Dive into Deep Learning

ASTON ZHANG, ZACHARY C. LIPTON, MU LI, AND ALEXANDER J. SMOLA

Contents

Pı	reface			page 20	
In	Installation				
N	otatio	n		30	
1	Intro	duction		33	
-	1.1	A Mot	ivating Example	34	
	1.2	Kev Co	omponents	35	
	1.3	Kinds	of Machine Learning Problems	38	
	1.4	Roots		49	
	1.5	The Ro	bad to Deep Learning	50	
	1.6	Succes	s Stories	53	
	1.7	The Es	sence of Deep Learning	54	
	1.8	Summa	ary	55	
2	Preli	minaries		57	
2	2.1	Data M	, Ianipulation	57	
	2.1	211	Getting Started	57	
		2.1.1	Indexing and Slicing	59	
		2.1.3	Operations	60	
		2.1.4	Broadcasting	61	
		2.1.5	Saving Memory	62	
		2.1.6	Conversion to Other Python Objects	63	
		2.1.7	Summary	63	
	2.2	Data P	reprocessing	64	
		2.2.1	Reading the Dataset	64	
		2.2.2	Data Preparation	64	
		2.2.3	Conversion to the Tensor Format	65	
		2.2.4	Discussion	65	
	2.3	Linear	Algebra	66	
		2.3.1	Scalars	66	
		2.3.2	Vectors	67	
		2.3.3	Matrices	68	
		2.3.4	Tensors	69	
		2.3.5	Basic Properties of Tensor Arithmetic	69	
		2.3.6	Reduction	70	
		2.3.7	Non-Reduction Sum	71	
		2.3.8	Dot Products	72	
		2.3.9	Matrix-Vector Products	73	
		2.3.10	Matrix-Matrix Multiplication	73	
		2.3.11	Norms	74	
		2.3.12	Discussion	75	
	2.4	Calcult	us	77	
		2.4.1	Derivatives and Differentiation	77	

		2.4.2	Visualization Utilities	79
		2.4.3	Partial Derivatives and Gradients	80
		2.4.4	Chain Rule	81
		2.4.5	Discussion	81
	2.5	Autom	natic Differentiation	82
		2.5.1	A Simple Function	82
		2.5.2	Backward for Non-Scalar Variables	83
		2.5.3	Detaching Computation	84
		2.5.4	Gradients and Python Control Flow	85
		2.5.5	Discussion	85
	2.6	Probab	bility and Statistics	86
		2.6.1	A Simple Example: Tossing Coins	87
		2.6.2	A More Formal Treatment	89
		2.6.3	Random Variables	90
		2.6.4	Multiple Random Variables	91
		2.6.5	An Example	93
		2.6.6	Expectations	94
		2.6.7	Discussion	95
	2.7	Docun	nentation	97
		2.7.1	Functions and Classes in a Module	97
		2.7.2	Specific Functions and Classes	98
			1	
3	Linea	ar Neura	al Networks for Regression	100
	3.1	Linear	Regression	100
		3.1.1	Basics	100
		3.1.2	Vectorization for Speed	104
		3.1.3	The Normal Distribution and Squared Loss	105
		3.1.4	Linear Regression as a Neural Network	107
		3.1.5	Summary	108
	3.2	Object	t-Oriented Design for Implementation	109
		3.2.1	Utilities	110
		3.2.2	Models	112
		3.2.3	Data	112
		3.2.4	Training	113
		3.2.5	Summary	113
	3.3	Synthe	etic Regression Data	114
		3.3.1	Generating the Dataset	114
		3.3.2	Reading the Dataset	115
		3.3.3	Concise Implementation of the Data Loader	116
		3.3.4	Summary	116
	3.4	Linear	Regression Implementation from Scratch	117
		3.4.1	Defining the Model	117
		3.4.2	Defining the Loss Function	118
		3.4.3	Defining the Optimization Algorithm	118
		3.4.4	Training	119
		3.4.5	Summary	121
	3.5	Concis	se Implementation of Linear Regression	122
		3.5.1	Defining the Model	122
		3.5.2	Defining the Loss Function	123
		3.5.3	Defining the Optimization Algorithm	123
		3.5.4	Training	123
		3.5.5	Summary	124
	3.6	Genera	alization	125
		3.6.1	Training Error and Generalization Error	126

		3.6.2	Underfitting or Overfitting?	127
		3.6.3	Model Selection	129
		3.6.4	Summary	130
	3.7	Weight	Decay	130
		3.7.1	Norms and Weight Decay	131
		3.7.2	High-Dimensional Linear Regression	132
		3.7.3	Implementation from Scratch	133
		3.7.4	Concise Implementation	134
		3.7.5	Summary	135
4	Linea	ar Neura	l Networks for Classification	137
	4.1	Softma	x Regression	137
		4.1.1	Classification	138
		4.1.2	Loss Function	140
		4.1.3	Information Theory Basics	141
		4.1.4	Summary and Discussion	142
	4.2	The Im	age Classification Dataset	144
		4.2.1	Loading the Dataset	145
		4.2.2	Reading a Minibatch	146
		4.2.3	Visualization	146
		4.2.4	Summary	147
	4.3	The Ba	se Classification Model	147
		4.3.1	The Classifier Class	148
		4.3.2	Accuracy	148
		4.3.3	Summary	149
	4.4	Softma	x Regression Implementation from Scratch	149
		4.4.1	The Softmax	150
		4.4.2	The Model	150
		4.4.3	The Cross-Entropy Loss	151
		4.4.4	Training	152
		4.4.5	Prediction	152
		4.4.6	Summary	153
	4.5	Concis	e Implementation of Softmax Regression	153
		4.5.1	Defining the Model	154
		4.5.2	Softmax Revisited	154
		4.5.3	Training	155
		4.5.4	Summary	155
	4.6	Genera	lization in Classification	156
		4.6.1	The Test Set	157
		4.6.2	Test Set Reuse	158
		4.6.3	Statistical Learning Theory	159
		4.6.4	Summary	160
	4.7	Enviro	nment and Distribution Shift	161
		4.7.1	Types of Distribution Shift	162
		4.7.2	Examples of Distribution Shift	164
		4.7.3	Correction of Distribution Shift	165
		4.7.4	A Taxonomy of Learning Problems	169
		4.7.5	Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency in Machine Learning	170
		4.7.6	Summary	171
5	Mult	ilayer Pe	erceptrons	172
	5.1	Multila	yer Perceptrons	172
		5.1.1	Hidden Layers	172
		5.1.2	Activation Functions	175

		5.1.3	Summary	178
	5.2	Implei	nentation of Multilayer Perceptrons	180
		5.2.1	Implementation from Scratch	180
		5.2.2	Concise Implementation	181
		5.2.3	Summary	182
	5.3	Forwa	rd Propagation. Backward Propagation. and Computational Graphs	183
		5.3.1	Forward Propagation	183
		532	Computational Graph of Forward Propagation	184
		533	Backpropagation	184
		534	Training Neural Networks	185
		535	Summary	186
	54	Nume	rical Stability and Initialization	186
		541	Vanishing and Exploding Gradients	187
		542	Parameter Initialization	189
		543	Summary	101
	55	Gener	alization in Deen Learning	191
	5.5	5 5 1	Revisiting Overfitting and Regularization	102
		5.5.1	Inspiration from Nonparametrics	103
		5.5.2	Early Stopping	195
		5.5.5	Classical Deculorization Matheda for Deen Naturalia	194
		5.5.4	Classical Regularization Methods for Deep Networks	194
	56	5.5.5 Deces	Summary	195
	5.0		Ul Dromout in Drootico	193
		5.0.1	Diopout in Plactice	190
		5.0.2	Consister International Consister International	197
		5.0.5		198
	- -	5.6.4	Summary	199
	5.7	Predic	Develop line Dete	200
		5.7.1	Downloading Data	200
		5.7.2	Kaggle	200
		5.7.5	Accessing and Reading the Dataset	200
		5.7.4		202
		5.7.5		203
		5.7.6	K -Fold Cross- validation	204
		5.7.7		204
		5.7.8	Submitting Predictions on Kaggle	205
		5.7.9	Summary	205
6	Build	lers Gui	ide	207
	6.1	Layers	and Modules	207
		6.1.1	A Custom Module	209
		6.1.2	The Sequential Module	210
		6.1.3	Executing Code in the Forward Propagation Method	211
		6.1.4	Summary	212
	6.2	Param	eter Management	213
		6.2.1	Parameter Access	213
		6.2.2	Tied Parameters	214
		6.2.3	Summary	215
	6.3	Param	eter Initialization	215
		6.3.1	Built-in Initialization	216
		6.3.2	Summary	217
	6.4	Lazy I	nitialization	217
		6.4.1	Summary	219
	6.5	Custor	n Layers	219
		6.5.1	Layers without Parameters	220

		6.5.2	Layers with Parameters	220
		6.5.3	Summary	221
	6.6	File I/	0	222
		6.6.1	Loading and Saving Tensors	222
		6.6.2	Loading and Saving Model Parameters	223
		6.6.3	Summary	224
	6.7	GPUs		224
		6.7.1	Computing Devices	225
		6.7.2	Tensors and GPUs	226
		6.7.3	Neural Networks and GPUs	228
		6.7.4	Summary	229
7	Conv	olution	al Neural Networks	231
	7.1	From 1	Fully Connected Layers to Convolutions	232
		7.1.1	Invariance	232
		7.1.2	Constraining the MLP	233
		7.1.3	Convolutions	235
		7.1.4	Channels	235
		7.1.5	Summary and Discussion	236
	7.2	Convo	lutions for Images	237
		7.2.1	The Cross-Correlation Operation	237
		7.2.2	Convolutional Layers	238
		7.2.3	Object Edge Detection in Images	239
		7.2.4	Learning a Kernel	240
		7.2.5	Cross-Correlation and Convolution	241
		7.2.6	Feature Map and Receptive Field	241
		7.2.7	Summary	242
	7.3	Paddir	ng and Stride	243
		7.3.1	Padding	244
		7.3.2	Stride	245
		7.3.3	Summary and Discussion	246
	7.4	Multip	le Input and Multiple Output Channels	247
		7.4.1	Multiple Input Channels	247
		7.4.2	Multiple Output Channels	248
		7.4.3	1×1 Convolutional Layer	249
		7.4.4	Discussion	250
	7.5	Poolin	g	252
		7.5.1	Maximum Pooling and Average Pooling	252
		7.5.2	Padding and Stride	254
		7.5.3	Multiple Channels	255
		7.5.4	Summary	255
	7.6	Convo	lutional Neural Networks (LeNet)	256
		7.6.1	LeNet	256
		7.6.2	Training	259
		7.6.3	Summary	259
8	Mode	ern Con	volutional Neural Networks	261
	8.1	Deep	Convolutional Neural Networks (AlexNet)	262
		8.1.1	Representation Learning	263
		8.1.2	AlexNet	265
		8.1.3	Training	268
		8.1.4	Discussion	268
	8.2	Netwo	rks Using Blocks (VGG)	269
		8.2.1	VGG Blocks	270

		8.2.2	VGG Network	270
		8.2.3	Training	272
		8.2.4	Summary	272
	8.3	Netwo	rk in Network (NiN)	273
		8.3.1	NiN Blocks	274
		8.3.2	NiN Model	275
		8.3.3	Training	276
		8.3.4	Summary	276
	8.4	Multi-	Branch Networks (GoogLeNet)	277
		8.4.1	Inception Blocks	277
		8.4.2	GoogLeNet Model	278
		8.4.3	Training	280
		8.4.4	Discussion	281
	8.5	Batch	Normalization	282
		8.5.1	Training Deep Networks	282
		8.5.2	Batch Normalization Layers	284
		8.5.3	Implementation from Scratch	285
		8.5.4	LeNet with Batch Normalization	287
		8.5.5	Concise Implementation	288
		8.5.6	Discussion	288
	8.6	Residu	al Networks (ResNet) and ResNeXt	290
		8.6.1	Function Classes	290
		8.6.2	Residual Blocks	291
		8.6.3	ResNet Model	293
		8.6.4	Training	295
		8.6.5	ResNeXt	295
		8.6.6	Summary and Discussion	297
	8.7	Densel	ly Connected Networks (DenseNet)	298
		8.7.1	From ResNet to DenseNet	299
		8.7.2	Dense Blocks	300
		8.7.3	Transition Layers	300
		8.7.4	DenseNet Model	301
		8.7.5	Training	302
		8.7.6	Summary and Discussion	302
	8.8	Design	ning Convolution Network Architectures	303
		8.8.1	The AnyNet Design Space	303
		8.8.2	Constraining Design Spaces with Lower Error Distributions	305
		8.8.3	RegNet	306
		8.8.4	Training	307
		8.8.5	Discussion	307
9	Recu	rrent No	eural Networks	309
	9.1	Worki	ng with Sequences	310
		9.1.1	Autoregressive Models	311
		9.1.2	Sequence Models	313
		9.1.3	Training	314
		9.1.4	Prediction	316
		9.1.5	Summary	318
	9.2	Conve	rting Raw Text into Sequence Data	318
		9.2.1	Reading the Dataset	319
		9.2.2	Tokenization	319
		9.2.3	Vocabulary	320
		9.2.4	Putting It All Together	321
		9.2.5	Exploratory Language Statistics	321

	9.2.6	Summary	323
9.3	Langua	age Models	324
	9.3.1	Learning Language Models	324
	9.3.2	Perplexity	326
	9.3.3	Partitioning Sequences	327
	9.3.4	Summary	328
9.4	Recurre	ent Neural Networks	329
	9.4.1	Neural Networks without Hidden States	329
	9.4.2	Recurrent Neural Networks with Hidden States	330
	9.4.3	RNN-based Character-Level Language Models	331
	9.4.4	Summary	332
9.5	Recurre	ent Neural Network Implementation from Scratch	332
	9.5.1	RNN Model	333
	9.5.2	RNN-based Language Model	334
	9.5.3	Gradient Clipping	335
	9.5.4	Training	336
	9.5.5	Decoding	337
	9.5.6	Summary	338
9.6	Concise	e Implementation of Recurrent Neural Networks	338
	9.6.1	Defining the Model	339
	9.6.2	Training and Predicting	339
	963	Summary	340
97	Backpr	conagation Through Time	340
2.1	971	Analysis of Gradients in RNNs	341
	972	Backpropagation Through Time in Detail	343
	973	Summary	345
	2.1.5	Summary	515
10 Mode	ern Recu	irrent Neural Networks	346
10.1	Long S	hort-Term Memory (LSTM)	346
	10.1.1	Gated Memory Cell	347
	10.1.2	Implementation from Scratch	349
	10.1.3	Concise Implementation	351
	10.1.4	Summary	352
10.2	Gated I	Recurrent Units (GRU)	353
	10.2.1	Reset Gate and Update Gate	353
	10.2.2	Candidate Hidden State	353
	10.2.3	Hidden State	354
	10.2.4	Initializing Model Parameters	355
	10.2.5	Defining the Model	355
	10.2.6	Training	356
10.3	Deen R	Recurrent Neural Networks	357
1010	1031	Implementation from Scratch	359
	10.3.2	Concise Implementation	359
	10.3.2	Summary	360
10.4	Bidirec	tional Recurrent Neural Networks	360
10.1	10.4.1	Implementation from Scratch	362
	10.4.2	Concise Implementation	362
10.5	Machin	Translation and the Dataset	362
10.5	10 5 1	Downloading and Preprocessing the Dataset	262
	10.5.1	Tokenization	303
	10.5.2	Loading Sequences of Fixed Length	366
	10.5.5	Reading the Dataset	266
	10.5.4	Summary	300
10.6	Fncode	ounnary Pr-Decoder Architecture	369
10.0	Licout		508

		10.6.1 Encoder	368
		10.6.2 Decoder	369
		10.6.3 Putting the Encoder and Decoder Together	369
		10.6.4 Summary	369
	10.7	Encoder-Decoder Seq2Seq for Machine Translation	370
		10.7.1 Teacher Forcing	370
		10.7.2 Encoder	371
		10.7.3 Decoder	372
		10.7.4 Encoder-Decoder for Sequence to Sequence Learning	373
		10.7.5 Loss Function with Masking	374
		10.7.6 Training	374
		10.7.7 Prediction	375
		10.7.8 Evaluation of Predicted Sequences	375
		10.7.9 Summary	376
	10.8	Beam Search	377
		10.8.1 Greedy Search	377
		10.8.2 Exhaustive Search	378
		10.8.3 Beam Search	379
		10.8.4 Summary	380
		10.0.1 Summary	500
11	Atten	tion Mechanisms and Transformers	381
	11.1	Attention Cues	381
		11.1.1. Attention Cues in Biology	382
		11.1.2 Oueries Keys and Values	383
		11.1.2 Queries, Reys, and Values	384
		11.1.4 Summary	385
	11.2	Attention Pooling	385
	11.2	11.2.1 Generating the Dataset	385
		11.2.2 Average Pooling	386
		11.2.2 Average Fooling	386
		11.2.4 Parametric Attention Pooling	388
		11.2.5 Summary	300
	112	Attention Scoring Functions	390
	11.5	11.2.1 Masked Softmax Operation	391
		11.3.2 Additive Attention	392
		11.3.2 Additive Attention	392 204
		11.2.4 Summary	394 205
	11 /	11.3.4 Summary	595 205
	11.4	Danuanau Auention	393 206
		11.4.1 Model	390
		11.4.2 Defining the Decoder with Attention	390
		11.4.5 Training	398
	115	11.4.4 Summary	399
	11.5	Multi-Head Attention	400
		11.5.1 Model	400
		11.5.2 Implementation	401
		11.5.3 Summary	402
	11.6	Self-Attention and Positional Encoding	402
		11.6.1 Self-Attention	403
		11.6.2 Comparing CNNs, RNNs, and Self-Attention	403
		11.6.3 Positional Encoding	404
		11.6.4 Summary	406
	11.7	The Transformer Architecture	407
		11.7.1 Model	407
		11.7.2 Positionwise Feed-Forward Networks	408

	11.7.3 Residual Connection and Layer Normalization	409
	11.7.4 Encoder	410
	11.7.5 Decoder	411
	11.7.6 Training	413
	11.7.7 Summary	416
11.8	Large-Scale Pretraining with Transformers	416
	11.8.1 Encoder-Only	417
	11.8.2 Encoder-Decoder	419
	11.8.3 Decoder-Only	420
	11.8.4 Scalability	423
	11.8.5 Summary and Discussion	424
12 Optir	nization Algorithms	426
12.1	Optimization and Deep Learning	426
	12.1.1 Goal of Optimization	426
	12.1.2 Optimization Challenges in Deep Learning	427
	12.1.3 Summary	430
12.2	Convexity	431
	12.2.1 Definitions	431
	12.2.2 Properties	433
	12.2.3 Constraints	436
	12.2.4 Summary	437
12.3	Gradient Descent	438
1210	12.3.1 One-Dimensional Gradient Descent	439
	12.3.2 Multivariate Gradient Descent	441
	12.3.2 Adaptive Methods	443
	12.3.4 Summary	447
12.4	Stochastic Gradient Descent	447
12.7	12.4.1. Stochastic Gradient Undates	448
	12.4.2 Dynamic Learning Pate	440
	12.4.3 Convergence Analysis for Convex Objectives	451
	12.4.4 Stochastic Gradients and Finite Samples	452
	12.4.5 Summary	453
12.5	12.4.5 Summary	453
12.3	12.5.1. Vesterization and Cashes	433
	12.5.1 Vectorization and Caches	434
	12.5.2 Minibalches	430
	12.5.5 Reading the Dataset	457
	12.5.4 Implementation from Scratch	457
	12.5.5 Concise Implementation	460
10.6	12.5.6 Summary	461
12.6	Momentum	462
	12.6.1 Basics	462
	12.6.2 Practical Experiments	466
	12.6.3 Theoretical Analysis	468
	12.6.4 Summary	469
12.7	Adagrad	470
	12.7.1 Sparse Features and Learning Rates	470
	12.7.2 Preconditioning	471
	12.7.3 The Algorithm	472
	12.7.4 Implementation from Scratch	474
	12.7.5 Concise Implementation	474
	12.7.6 Summary	474
12.8	RMSProp	475
	12.8.1 The Algorithm	476

	12.8.2 Implementation from Scratch	477
	12.8.3 Concise Implementation	478
	12.8.4 Summary	478
12.9	Adadelta	479
	12.9.1 The Algorithm	479
	12.9.2 Implementation	480
	12.9.3 Summary	480
12.10	Adam	481
	12.10.1 The Algorithm	482
	12.10.2 Implementation	482
	12.10.3 Yogi	484
	12.10.4 Summary	484
12.11	Learning Rate Scheduling	485
	12.11.1 Toy Problem	486
	12.11.2 Schedulers	487
	12.11.3 Policies	488
	12.11.4 Summary	492
13 Com	putational Performance	493
13.1	Compilers and Interpreters	493
	13.1.1 Symbolic Programming	494
	13.1.2 Hybrid Programming	495
	13.1.3 Hybridizing the Sequential Class	495
	13.1.4 Summary	499
13.2	Asynchronous Computation	499
	13.2.1 Asynchrony via Backend	500
	13.2.2 Barriers and Blockers	501
	13.2.3 Improving Computation	502
	13.2.4 Summary	503
13.3	Automatic Parallelism	503
	13.3.1 Parallel Computation on GPUs	504
	13.3.2 Parallel Computation and Communication	505
	13.3.3 Summary	505
13.4	Hardware	507
	13.4.1 Computers	507
	13.4.2 Memory	508
	13.4.3 Storage	509
	13.4.4 CPUs	510
	13.4.5 GPUs and other Accelerators	513
	13.4.6 Networks and Buses	514
	13.4.7 More Latency Numbers	516
	13.4.8 Summary	517
13.5	Training on Multiple GPUs	518
	13.5.1 Splitting the Problem	518
	13.5.2 Data Parallelism	520
	13.5.3 A Toy Network	520
	13.5.4 Data Synchronization	521
	13.5.5 Distributing Data	522
	13.5.6 Training	523
	13.5.7 Summary	524
13.6	Concise Implementation for Multiple GPUs	525
	13.6.1 A Toy Network	525
	13.6.2 Network Initialization	526
	13.6.3 Training	527

	137	13.6.4 Summary Parameter Servers	528 529
	15.7	13.7.1 Data Parallel Training	520
		13.7.2 Ding Synchronization	521
		13.7.2 King Synchronization	532
		12.7.4 Key Value Stores	524
		12.7.5 Summer	534
		13.7.5 Summary	535
14	Comp	puter Vision	536
	14.1	Image Augmentation	536
		14.1.1 Common Image Augmentation Methods	537
		14.1.2 Training with Image Augmentation	540
		14.1.3 Summary	542
	14.2	Fine-Tuning	543
		14.2.1 Steps	543
		14.2.2 Hot Dog Recognition	544
		14.2.3 Summary	547
	14.3	Object Detection and Bounding Boxes	548
		14.3.1 Bounding Boxes	549
		14.3.2 Summary	550
	14.4	Anchor Boxes	551
		14.4.1 Generating Multiple Anchor Boxes	551
		14.4.2 Intersection over Union (IoU)	553
		14.4.3 Labeling Anchor Boxes in Training Data	554
		14.4.4 Predicting Bounding Boxes with Non-Maximum Suppression	559
		14.4.5 Summary	561
	14.5	Multiscale Object Detection	562
		14.5.1 Multiscale Anchor Boxes	563
		14.5.2 Multiscale Detection	564
		14.5.3 Summary	565
	14.6	The Object Detection Dataset	566
		14.6.1 Downloading the Dataset	566
		14.6.2 Reading the Dataset	566
		14.6.3 Demonstration	568
		14.6.4 Summary	568
	14.7	Single Shot Multibox Detection	568
		14.7.1 Model	569
		14.7.2 Training	573
		14.7.3 Prediction	575
		14.7.4 Summary	577
	14.8	Region-based CNNs (R-CNNs)	578
		14.8.1 R-CNNs	578
		14.8.2 Fast R-CNN	579
		14.8.3 Faster R-CNN	581
		14.8.4 Mask R-CNN	582
		14.8.5 Summary	583
	14.9	Semantic Segmentation and the Dataset	583
		14.9.1 Image Segmentation and Instance Segmentation	584
		14.9.2 The Pascal VOC2012 Semantic Segmentation Dataset	584
		14.9.3 Summary	588
	14.10	Transposed Convolution	589
	1 1110	14 10 1 Basic Operation	589
		14 10 2 Padding Strides and Multiple Channels	590
		14 10 3Connection to Matrix Transposition	591
			571

14.10.4 Summary	593
14.11 Fully Convolutional Networks	593
14.11.1 The Model	593
14.11.2 Initializing Transposed Convolutional Layers	595
14.11.3 Reading the Dataset	596
14.11.4 Training	596
14.11.5 Prediction	597
14.11.6 Summary	598
14.12 Neural Style Transfer	599
14.12.1 Method	599
14.12.2 Reading the Content and Style Images	600
14.12.3 Preprocessing and Postprocessing	601
14.12.4Extracting Features	601
14.12.5 Defining the Loss Function	602
14.12.6 Initializing the Synthesized Image	604
14.12.7 Training	604
14.12.8 Summary	605
14 13 Image Classification (CIFAR-10) on Kaggle	606
14 13 1 Obtaining and Organizing the Dataset	606
14.13.2 Image Augmentation	609
14.13.3 Reading the Dataset	609
14.13.4 Defining the Model	610
14.13 5 Defining the Training Function	611
14.13.6 Training and Validating the Model	611
14.13.7 Classifying the Testing Set and Submitting Results on Kaggle	612
14.13.8 Summary	613
14.14 Dog Breed Identification (ImageNet Dogs) on Kaggle	613
14.14 Dog Dieed Identification (Inflagence Dogs) on Raggie	613
14.14.10 Utaning and Organizing the Dataset	615
14.14.2 Deading the Detest	615
14.14.5 Keading the Dataset	616
14.14.4 Fine- running a Fleuranieu Model	617
14.14.5 Defining the Training Function	01/
14.14.0 Fraining and Validating the Model	01/
14.14.9 Summers	018
14.14.8 Summary	618
15 Natural Language Processing: Pretraining	620
15.1 Word Embedding (word2vec)	621
15.1.1 One-Hot Vectors Are a Bad Choice	621
15.1.2 Self-Supervised word2vec	621
15.1.3 The Skip-Gram Model	621
15.1.4 The Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW) Model	623
15.1.5 Summary	624
15.2 Approximate Training	625
15.2.1 Negative Sampling	625
15.2.2 Hierarchical Softmax	626
15.2.3 Summary	627
15.3 The Dataset for Pretraining Word Embeddings	628
15.3.1 Reading the Dataset	628
15.3.2 Subsampling	629
15.3.3 Extracting Center Words and Context Words	630
15.3.4 Negative Sampling	631
15.3.5 Loading Training Examples in Minibatches	632
15.3.6 Putting It All Together	633

	15.3.7 Summary	633
15.4	Pretraining word2vec	634
	15.4.1 The Skip-Gram Model	634
	15.4.2 Training	635
	15.4.3 Applying Word Embeddings	637
	15.4.4 Summary	637
15.5	Word Embedding with Global Vectors (GloVe)	638
	15.5.1 Skip-Gram with Global Corpus Statistics	638
	15.5.2 The GloVe Model	639
	15.5.3 Interpreting GloVe from the Ratio of Co-occurrence Probabilities	639
	15.5.4 Summary	640
15.6	Subword Embedding	641
	15.6.1 The fastText Model	641
	15.6.2 Byte Pair Encoding	642
	15.6.3 Summary	644
15.7	Word Similarity and Analogy	645
	15.7.1 Loading Pretrained Word Vectors	645
	15.7.2 Applying Pretrained Word Vectors	646
	15.7.3 Summary	648
15.8	Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT)	648
	15.8.1 From Context-Independent to Context-Sensitive	649
	15.8.2 From Task-Specific to Task-Agnostic	649
	15.8.3 BERT: Combining the Best of Both Worlds	650
	15.8.4 Input Representation	650
	15.8.5 Pretraining Tasks	652
	15.8.6 Putting It All Together	655
	15.8.7 Summary	655
15.9	The Dataset for Pretraining BERT	656
	15.9.1 Defining Helper Functions for Pretraining Tasks	657
	15.9.2 Transforming Text into the Pretraining Dataset	658
	15.9.3 Summary	660
15.10	Pretraining BERT	661
	15.10.1 Pretraining BERT	661
	15.10.2 Representing Text with BERT	663
	15.10.3 Summary	664
16 Natur	al Language Processing: Applications	665
16.1	Sentiment Analysis and the Dataset	666
	16.1.1 Reading the Dataset	666
	16.1.2 Preprocessing the Dataset	667
	16.1.3 Creating Data Iterators	667
	16.1.4 Putting It All Together	668
	16.1.5 Summary	668
16.2	Sentiment Analysis: Using Recurrent Neural Networks	669
	16.2.1 Representing Single Text with RNNs	669
	16.2.2 Loading Pretrained Word Vectors	670
	16.2.3 Training and Evaluating the Model	670
	16.2.4 Summary	671
16.3	Sentiment Analysis: Using Convolutional Neural Networks	672
	16.3.1 One-Dimensional Convolutions	673
	16.3.2 Max-Over-Time Pooling	674
	16.3.3 The textCNN Model	674
	16.3.4 Summary	677
16.4	Natural Language Inference and the Dataset	677

	16.4.1 Natural Language Inference	678
	16.4.2 The Stanford Natural Language Inference (SNLI) Dataset	678
	16.4.3 Summary	681
16.5	Natural Language Inference: Using Attention	681
	16.5.1 The Model	682
	16.5.2 Training and Evaluating the Model	685
	16.5.3 Summary	687
16.6	Fine-Tuning BERT for Sequence-Level and Token-Level Applications	688
	16.6.1 Single Text Classification	688
	16.6.2 Text Pair Classification or Regression	689
	16.6.3 Text Tagging	689
	16.6.4 Question Answering	690
	16.6.5 Summary	691
16.7	Natural Language Inference: Fine-Tuning BERT	691
	16.7.1 Loading Pretrained BERT	692
	16.7.2 The Dataset for Fine-Tuning BERT	693
	16.7.3 Fine-Tuning BERT	694
	16.7.4 Summary	695
17 Reco	mmender Systems	696
17.1	Overview of Recommender Systems	696
	17.1.1 Collaborative Filtering	697
	17.1.2 Explicit Feedback and Implicit Feedback	697
	17.1.3 Recommendation Tasks	698
	17.1.4 Summary	698
17.2	The MovieLens Dataset	698
	17.2.1 Getting the Data	698
	17.2.2 Statistics of the Dataset	699
	17.2.3 Splitting the dataset	700
	17.2.5 Spritting the data	701
	17.2.5 Summary	702
173	Matrix Factorization	702
17.5	17.3.1 The Matrix Factorization Model	702
	17.3.2 Model Implementation	702
	17.3.3 Evaluation Measures	703
	17.3.4 Training and Evaluating the Model	704
	17.3.5 Summary	706
174	AutoRec: Rating Prediction with Autoencoders	706
17.1	17.4.1 Model	707
	17.4.2 Implementing the Model	707
	17.4.3 Reimplementing the Evaluator	707
	17.4.4 Training and Evaluating the Model	708
	17.4.5 Summary	700
17 5	Personalized Ranking for Recommender Systems	709
17.5	17.5.1. Bayesian Personalized Ranking Loss and its Implementation	709
	17.5.2 Hinge Loss and its Implementation	707
	17.5.2 Thinge Loss and its implementation	711
17.6	Neural Collaborative Filtering for Personalized Panking	711
17.0	17.6.1 The NeuME model	712
	17.6.2 Model Implementation	712
	17.6.2 Customized Dataset with Negative Sampling	713
	17.6.4 Evaluator	713
	17.0.4 Evaluator	/14 715
	17.6.6 Summary	/13 716
	17.0.0 Summary	/10

	17.7	Sequen	nce-Aware Recommender Systems	717
		17.7.1	Model Architectures	717
		17.7.2	Model Implementation	719
		17.7.3	Sequential Dataset with Negative Sampling	719
		17.7.4	Load the MovieLens 100K dataset	721
		17.7.5	Train the Model	721
		17.7.6	Summary	722
	17.8	Feature	e-Rich Recommender Systems	722
		17.8.1	An Online Advertising Dataset	723
		17.8.2	Dataset Wrapper	723
		17.8.3	Summary	724
	17.9	Factori	ization Machines	725
		17.9.1	2-Way Factorization Machines	725
		17.9.2	An Efficient Optimization Criterion	725
		17.9.3	Model Implementation	726
		17.9.4	Load the Advertising Dataset	726
		17.9.4	Train the Model	720
		17.9.5	Summary	727
	17 10	17.9.0 Doon E	Summary	721
	17.10	17 10 1	Model Architectures	720
		17.10.1	I Model Alchitectures	720
		17.10.2	2 Implementation of DeepFW	729
		17.10.3	A Compared Evaluating the Model	730
		17.10.4	+Summary	/30
18	Gene	rative A	dversarial Networks	732
	18.1	Genera	tive Adversarial Networks	732
		18.1.1	Generate Some "Real" Data	734
		18.1.2	Generator	734
		18.1.3	Discriminator	735
		18.1.4	Training	735
		18.1.5	Summarv	736
	18.2	Deep (Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks	737
		18.2.1	The Pokemon Dataset	737
		18.2.2	The Generator	738
		18.2.3	Discriminator	730
		18.2.5	Training	740
		18.2.4	Summary	741
		10.2.5	Summary	742
19	Appe	ndix: M	lathematics for Deep Learning	744
	19.1	Geome	etry and Linear Algebraic Operations	745
		19.1.1	Geometry of Vectors	745
		19.1.2	Dot Products and Angles	746
		19.1.3	Hyperplanes	748
		19.1.4	Geometry of Linear Transformations	751
		19.1.5	Linear Dependence	752
		19.1.6	Rank	753
		19.1.7	Invertibility	753
		19.1.8	Determinant	755
		19.1.9	Tensors and Common Linear Algebra Operations	756
		19.1.10	OSummary	758
	19.2	Eigend	ecompositions	759
		19.2.1	Finding Eigenvalues	759
		19.2.2	Decomposing Matrices	760
		19.2.3	Operations on Eigendecompositions	760
		17.2.5	- r on 2.5-nort on positions	700

	19.2.4 Eigendecompositions of Symmetric Matrices	761
	19.2.5 Gershgorin Circle Theorem	761
	19.2.6 A Useful Application: The Growth of Iterated Maps	762
	19.2.7 Discussion	765
	19.2.8 Summary	766
19.3	Single Variable Calculus	767
	19.3.1 Differential Calculus	767
	19.3.2 Rules of Calculus	770
	19.3.3 Summary	776
19.4	Multivariable Calculus	776
	19.4.1 Higher-Dimensional Differentiation	776
	19.4.2 Geometry of Gradients and Gradient Descent	778
	19.4.3 A Note on Mathematical Optimization	779
	19.4.4 Multivariate Chain Rule	780
	19.4.5 The Backpropagation Algorithm	781
	19.4.6 Hessians	784
	19.4.7 A Little Matrix Calculus	786
	19.4.8 Summary	789
19.5	Integral Calculus	790
	19.5.1 Geometric Interpretation	790
	19.5.2 The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus	792
	19.5.3 Change of Variables	793
	19.5.4 A Comment on Sign Conventions	794
	19.5.5 Multiple Integrals	795
	19.5.6 Change of Variables in Multiple Integrals	797
	19.5.7 Summary	798
19.6	Random Variables	798
	19.6.1 Continuous Random Variables	798
	19.6.2 Summary	813
19.7	Maximum Likelihood	813
	19.7.1 The Maximum Likelihood Principle	814
	19.7.2 Numerical Optimization and the Negative Log-Likelihood	815
	19.7.3 Maximum Likelihood for Continuous Variables	817
	19.7.4 Summary	818
19.8	Distributions	818
	19.8.1 Bernoulli	818
	19.8.2 Discrete Uniform	820
	19.8.3 Continuous Uniform	821
	19.8.4 Binomial	823
	19.8.5 Poisson	825
	19.8.6 Gaussian	826
	19.8.7 Exponential Family	829
	19.8.8 Summary	830
19.9	Naive Bayes	831
	19.9.1 Optical Character Recognition	831
	19.9.2 The Probabilistic Model for Classification	832
	19.9.3 The Naive Bayes Classifier	833
	19.9.4 Training	833
	19.9.5 Summary	836
19.10) Statistics	837
	19.10.1 Evaluating and Comparing Estimators	837
	19.10.2 Conducting Hypothesis Tests	841
	19.10.3 Constructing Confidence Intervals	844
	19.10.4 Summary	846

19.11	Information Theory	846
	19.11.1 Information	847
	19.11.2 Entropy	848
	19.11.3 Mutual Information	850
	19.11.4Kullback–Leibler Divergence	854
	19.11.5 Cross-Entropy	856
	19.11.6Summary	858
20 Appe	ndix: Tools for Deep Learning	860
20.1	Using Jupyter Notebooks	860
	20.1.1 Editing and Running the Code Locally	860
	20.1.2 Advanced Options	862
	20.1.3 Summary	863
20.2	Using Amazon SageMaker	864
	20.2.1 Signing Up	864
	20.2.2 Creating a SageMaker Instance	864
	20.2.3 Running and Stopping an Instance	865
	20.2.4 Updating Notebooks	865
	20.2.5 Summary	866
20.3	Using AWS EC2 Instances	866
	20.3.1 Creating and Running an EC2 Instance	867
	20.3.2 Installing CUDA	871
	20.3.3 Installing Libraries for Running the Code	871
	20.3.4 Running the Jupyter Notebook remotely	872
	20.3.5 Closing Unused Instances	872
	20.3.6 Summary	873
20.4	Using Google Colab	873
	20.4.1 Summary	874
20.5	Selecting Servers and GPUs	874
	20.5.1 Selecting Servers	874
	20.5.2 Selecting GPUs	875
	20.5.3 Summary	877
20.6	Contributing to This Book	877
	20.6.1 Submitting Minor Changes	878
	20.6.2 Proposing Major Changes	878
	20.6.3 Submitting Major Changes	878
	20.6.4 Summary	881
20.7	Utility Functions and Classes	881
20.8	d21 API Document	889
	20.8.1 Models	889
	20.8.2 Data	890
	20.8.3 Trainer	891
	20.8.4 Utilities	892
21 Bibli	ography	893

Index

909

Preface

Just a few years ago, there were no legions of deep learning scientists developing intelligent products and services at major companies and startups. When we entered the field, machine learning did not command headlines in daily newspapers. Our parents had no idea what machine learning was, let alone why we might prefer it to a career in medicine or law. Machine learning was a blue skies academic discipline whose industrial significance was limited to a narrow set of real-world applications, including speech recognition and computer vision. Moreover, many of these applications required so much domain knowledge that they were often regarded as entirely separate areas for which machine learning was one small component. At that time, neural networks—the predecessors of the deep learning methods that we focus on in this book—were generally regarded as outmoded.

In just the past few years, deep learning has taken the world by surprise, driving rapid progress in such diverse fields as computer vision, natural language processing, automatic speech recognition, reinforcement learning, and biomedical informatics. Moreover, the success of deep learning on so many tasks of practical interest has even catalyzed developments in theoretical machine learning and statistics. With these advances in hand, we can now build cars that drive themselves with more autonomy than ever before (and less autonomy than some companies might have you believe), smart reply systems that automatically draft the most mundane emails, helping people dig out from oppressively large inboxes, and software agents that dominate the world's best humans at board games like Go, a feat once thought to be decades away. Already, these tools exert ever-wider impacts on industry and society, changing the way movies are made, diseases are diagnosed, and playing a growing role in basic sciences—from astrophysics to biology.

About This Book

This book represents our attempt to make deep learning approachable, teaching you the *concepts*, the *context*, and the *code*.

One Medium Combining Code, Math, and HTML

For any computing technology to reach its full impact, it must be well-understood, welldocumented, and supported by mature, well-maintained tools. The key ideas should be clearly distilled, minimizing the onboarding time needing to bring new practitioners up to date. Mature libraries should automate common tasks, and exemplar code should make it easy for practitioners to modify, apply, and extend common applications to suit their needs. Take dynamic web applications as an example. Despite a large number of companies, like Amazon, developing successful database-driven web applications in the 1990s, the potential of this technology to aid creative entrepreneurs has been realized to a far greater degree in the past ten years, owing in part to the development of powerful, well-documented frameworks. Testing the potential of deep learning presents unique challenges because any single application brings together various disciplines. Applying deep learning requires simultaneously understanding (i) the motivations for casting a problem in a particular way; (ii) the mathematical form of a given model; (iii) the optimization algorithms for fitting the models to data; (iv) the statistical principles that tell us when we should expect our models to generalize to unseen data and practical methods for certifying that they have, in fact, generalized; and (v) the engineering techniques required to train models efficiently, navigating the pitfalls of numerical computing and getting the most out of available hardware. Teaching both the critical thinking skills required to formulate problems, the mathematics to solve them, and the software tools to implement those solutions all in one place presents formidable challenges. Our goal in this book is to present a unified resource to bring would-be practitioners up to speed.

When we started this book project, there were no resources that simultaneously (i) remained up to date; (ii) covered the breadth of modern machine learning practices with sufficient technical depth; and (iii) interleaved exposition of the quality one expects of a textbook with the clean runnable code that one expects of a hands-on tutorial. We found plenty of code examples for how to use a given deep learning framework (e.g., how to do basic numerical computing with matrices in TensorFlow) or for implementing particular techniques (e.g., code snippets for LeNet, AlexNet, ResNet, etc.) scattered across various blog posts and GitHub repositories. However, these examples typically focused on how to implement a given approach, but left out the discussion of *why* certain algorithmic decisions are made. While some interactive resources have popped up sporadically to address a particular topic, e.g., the engaging blog posts published on the website Distill¹, or personal blogs, they only covered selected topics in deep learning, and often lacked associated code. On the other hand, while several deep learning textbooks have emerged-e.g., (Goodfellow et al., 2016), which offers a comprehensive survey on the basics of deep learning-these resources do not marry the descriptions to realizations of the concepts in code, sometimes leaving readers clueless as to how to implement them. Moreover, too many resources are hidden behind the paywalls of commercial course providers.

We set out to create a resource that could (i) be freely available for everyone; (ii) offer sufficient technical depth to provide a starting point on the path to actually becoming an applied machine learning scientist; (iii) include runnable code, showing readers *how* to solve problems in practice; (iv) allow for rapid updates, both by us and also by the community at large; and (v) be complemented by a forum² for interactive discussion of technical details and to answer questions.

These goals were often in conflict. Equations, theorems, and citations are best managed and laid out in LaTeX. Code is best described in Python. And webpages are native in HTML and JavaScript. Furthermore, we want the content to be accessible both as executable code, as a physical book, as a downloadable PDF, and on the Internet as a website. No workflows seemed suited to these demands, so we decided to assemble our own (Section 20.6). We settled on GitHub to share the source and to facilitate community contributions; Jupyter notebooks for mixing code, equations and text; Sphinx as a rendering engine; and Discourse as a discussion platform. While our system is not perfect, these choices strike a compromise among the competing concerns. We believe that *Dive into Deep Learning* might be the first book published using such an integrated workflow.

Learning by Doing

Many textbooks present concepts in succession, covering each in exhaustive detail. For example, Chris Bishop's excellent textbook (Bishop, 2006), teaches each topic so thoroughly that getting to the chapter on linear regression requires a non-trivial amount of work. While



experts love this book precisely for its thoroughness, for true beginners, this property limits its usefulness as an introductory text.

In this book, we teach most concepts *just in time*. In other words, you will learn concepts at the very moment that they are needed to accomplish some practical end. While we take some time at the outset to teach fundamental preliminaries, like linear algebra and probability, we want you to taste the satisfaction of training your first model before worrying about more esoteric concepts.

Aside from a few preliminary notebooks that provide a crash course in the basic mathematical background, each subsequent chapter introduces both a reasonable number of new concepts and provides several self-contained working examples, using real datasets. This presented an organizational challenge. Some models might logically be grouped together in a single notebook. And some ideas might be best taught by executing several models in succession. On the other hand, there is a big advantage to adhering to a policy of *one working example, one notebook*: This makes it as easy as possible for you to start your own research projects by leveraging our code. Just copy a notebook and start modifying it.

Throughout, we interleave the runnable code with background material as needed. In general, we err on the side of making tools available before explaining them fully (often filling in the background later). For instance, we might use *stochastic gradient descent* before explaining why it is useful or offering intuitions for why it works. This helps to give practitioners the necessary ammunition to solve problems quickly, at the expense of requiring the reader to trust us with some curatorial decisions.

This book teaches deep learning concepts from scratch. Sometimes, we delve into fine details about models that would typically be hidden from users by modern deep learning frameworks. This comes up especially in the basic tutorials, where we want you to understand everything that happens in a given layer or optimizer. In these cases, we often present two versions of the example: one where we implement everything from scratch, relying only on NumPy-like functionality and automatic differentiation, and a more practical example, where we write succinct code using the high-level APIs of deep learning frameworks. After explaining how some component works, we rely on the high-level API in subsequent tutorials.

Content and Structure

The book can be divided into roughly three parts, focusing on preliminaries, deep learning techniques, and advanced topics focused on real systems and applications (Fig.1).

- Part 1: Basics and Preliminaries. Chapter 1 offers an introduction to deep learning. Then, in Chapter 2, we quickly bring you up to speed on the prerequisites required for hands-on deep learning, such as how to store and manipulate data, and how to apply various numerical operations based on basic concepts from linear algebra, calculus, and probability. Chapter 3 and Chapter 5 cover the most basic concepts and techniques in deep learning, including regression and classification; linear models; multilayer perceptrons; and overfitting and regularization.
- Part 2: Modern Deep Learning Techniques. Chapter 6 describes the key computational components of deep learning systems and lays the groundwork for our subsequent implementations of more complex models. Next, Chapter 7 and Chapter 8 introduce convolutional neural networks (CNNs), powerful tools that form the backbone of most modern computer vision systems. Similarly, Chapter 9 and Chapter 10 introduce recurrent neural networks (RNNs), models that exploit sequential (e.g., temporal) structure in data and are commonly used for natural language processing and time series prediction. In Chapter 11, we introduce a relatively new class of models based on so-called *attention mechanisms* that has displaced RNNs as the dominant architecture for most natural language.





Book structure

guage processing tasks. These sections will bring you up to speed on the most powerful and general tools that are widely used by deep learning practitioners.

• Part 3: Scalability, Efficiency, and Applications. In Chapter 12, we discuss several common optimization algorithms used to train deep learning models. Next, in Chapter 13, we examine several key factors that influence the computational performance of deep learning code. Then, in Chapter 14, we illustrate major applications of deep learning in computer vision. Finally, in Chapter 15 and Chapter 16, we demonstrate how to pretrain language representation models and apply them to natural language processing tasks. This part is available online³.



Code

Most sections of this book feature executable code. We believe that some intuitions are best developed via trial and error, tweaking the code in small ways and observing the results. Ideally, an elegant mathematical theory might tell us precisely how to tweak our code to achieve a desired result. However, deep learning practitioners today must often tread where no solid theory provides guidance. Despite our best attempts, formal explanations for the efficacy of various techniques are still lacking, both because the mathematics to characterize these models can be so difficult, because the explanation likely depends on properties of the data that currently lack clear definitions, and because serious inquiry on these topics has just recently kicked into high gear. We are hopeful that as the theory of deep learning progresses, each future edition of this book will provide insights that eclipse those presently available.

To avoid unnecessary repetition, we encapsulate some of our most frequently imported and used functions and classes in the d21 package. Throughout, we mark blocks of code (such as functions, classes, or collection of import statements) with #@save to indicate that they will be accessed later via the d21 package. We offer a detailed overview of these functions and classes in Section 20.8. The d21 package is lightweight and only requires the following dependencies:

(continued from previous page)

```
import hashlib
import inspect
import math
import os
import random
import re
import shutil
import sys
import tarfile
import time
import zipfile
from collections import defaultdict
import pandas as pd
import requests
from IPython import display
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
from matplotlib_inline import backend_inline
```

d2l = sys.modules[__name__]

Most of the code in this book is based on Apache MXNet, an open-source framework for deep learning that is the preferred choice of AWS (Amazon Web Services), as well as many colleges and companies. All of the code in this book has passed tests under the newest MXNet version. However, due to the rapid development of deep learning, some code *in the print edition* may not work properly in future versions of MXNet. We plan to keep the online version up-to-date. In case you encounter any problems, please consult *Installation* (page 27) to update your code and runtime environment.

Here is how we import modules from MXNet.

```
#@save
from mxnet import autograd, context, gluon, image, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn, rnn
```

Target Audience

This book is for students (undergraduate or graduate), engineers, and researchers, who seek a solid grasp of the practical techniques of deep learning. Because we explain every concept from scratch, no previous background in deep learning or machine learning is required. Fully explaining the methods of deep learning requires some mathematics and programming, but we will only assume that you come in with some basics, including modest amounts of linear algebra, calculus, probability, and Python programming. Just in case you forget the basics, the Appendix provides a refresher on most of the mathematics you will find in this book. Most of the time, we will prioritize intuition and ideas over mathematical rigor. If you would like to extend these foundations beyond the prerequisites to understand our book, we happily recommend some other terrific resources: Linear Analysis by Bela Bollobas (Bollobás, 1999) covers linear algebra and functional analysis in great depth. All of Statistics (Wasserman, 2013) provides a marvelous introduction to statistics. Joe Blitzstein's books⁴ and courses⁵ on probability and inference are pedagogical gems. And if you have not used Python before, you may want to peruse this Python tutorial⁶.



Associated with this book, we have launched a discussion forum, located at discuss.d2l.ai⁷. When you have questions on any section of the book, you can find a link to the associated ⁷ discussion page at the end of each notebook.



Acknowledgments

We are indebted to the hundreds of contributors for both the English and the Chinese drafts. They helped improve the content and offered valuable feedback. Specifically, we thank every contributor of this English draft for making it better for everyone. Their GitHub IDs or names are (in no particular order): alxnorden, avinashingit, bowen0701, brettkoonce, Chaitanya Prakash Bapat, cryptonaut, Davide Fiocco, edgarroman, gkutiel, John Mitro, Liang Pu, Rahul Agarwal, Mohamed Ali Jamaoui, Michael (Stu) Stewart, Mike Müller, NRauschmayr, Prakhar Srivastav, sad-, sfermigier, Sheng Zha, sundeepteki, topecongiro, tpdi, vermicelli, Vishaal Kapoor, Vishwesh Ravi Shrimali, YaYaB, Yuhong Chen, Evgeniy Smirnov, Igov, Simon Corston-Oliver, Igor Dzreyev, Ha Nguyen, pmuens, Andrei Lukovenko, senorcinco, vfdev-5, dsweet, Mohammad Mahdi Rahimi, Abhishek Gupta, uwsd, DomKM, Lisa Oakley, Bowen Li, Aarush Ahuja, Prasanth Buddareddygari, brianhendee, mani2106, mtn, lkevinzc, caojilin, Lakshya, Fiete Lüer, Surbhi Vijayvargeeya, Muhyun Kim, dennismalmgren, adursun, Anirudh Dagar, liqingnz, Pedro Larroy, Igov, ati-ozgur, Jun Wu, Matthias Blume, Lin Yuan, geogunow, Josh Gardner, Maximilian Böther, Rakib Islam, Leonard Lausen, Abhinav Upadhyay, rongruosong, Steve Sedlmeyer, Ruslan Baratov, Rafael Schlatter, liusy182, Giannis Pappas, ati-ozgur, qbaza, dchoi77, Adam Gerson, Phuc Le, Mark Atwood, christabella, vn09, Haibin Lin, jjangga0214, RichyChen, noelo, hansent, Giel Dops, dvincent1337, WhiteD3vil, Peter Kulits, codypenta, joseppinilla, ahmaurya, karolszk, heytitle, Peter Goetz, rigtorp, Tiep Vu, sfilip, mlxd, Kale-ab Tessera, Sanjar Adilov, MatteoFerrara, hsneto, Katarzyna Biesialska, Gregory Bruss, Duy-Thanh Doan, paulaurel, graytowne, Duc Pham, sl7423, Jaedong Hwang, Yida Wang, cys4, clhm, Jean Kaddour, austinmw, trebeljahr, tbaums, Cuong V. Nguyen, pavelkomarov, vzlamal, NotAnotherSystem, J-Arun-Mani, jancio, eldarkurtic, thegreat-shazbot, doctorcolossus, gducharme, cclauss, Daniel-Mietchen, hoonose, biagiom, abhinavsp0730, jonathanhrandall, ysraell, Nodar Okroshiashvili, UgurKap, Jiyang Kang, Steven-Jokes, Tomer Kaftan, liweiwp, netyster, ypandya, NishantTharani, heiligerl, SportsTHU, Hoa Nguyen, manuel-arno-korfmann-webentwicklung, aterzis-personal, nxby, Xiaoting He, Josiah Yoder, mathresearch, mzz2017, jroberayalas, iluu, ghejc, BSharmi, vkramdev, simonwardjones, LakshKD, TalNeoran, djliden, Nikhil95, Oren Barkan, guoweis, haozhu233, pratikhack, Yue Ying, tayfununal, steinsag, charleybeller, Andrew Lumsdaine, Jiekui Zhang, Deepak Pathak, Florian Donhauser, Tim Gates, Adriaan Tijsseling, Ron Medina, Gaurav Saha, Murat Semerci, Lei Mao, Levi McClenny, Joshua Broyde, jake221, jonbally, zyhazwraith, Brian Pulfer, Nick Tomasino, Lefan Zhang, Hongshen Yang, Vinney Cavallo, yuntai, Yuanxiang Zhu, amarazov, pasricha, Ben Greenawald, Shivam Upadhyay, Quanshangze Du, Biswajit Sahoo, Parthe Pandit, Ishan Kumar, HomunculusK, Lane Schwartz, varadgunjal, Jason Wiener, Armin Gholampoor, Shreshtha13, eigen-arnav, Hyeonggyu Kim, EmilyOng, Bálint Mucsányi, Chase DuBois, Juntian Tao, Wenxiang Xu, Lifu Huang, filevich, quake2005, nilswerner, Yiming Li, Marsel Khisamutdinov, Francesco "Fuma" Fumagalli, Peilin Sun, Vincent Gurgul, qingfengtommy, Janmey Shukla, Mo Shan, Kaan Sancak, regob, AlexSauer, Gopalakrishna Ramachandra, Tobias Uelwer, Chao Wang, Tian Cao, Nicolas Corthorn, akash5474, kxxt, zxydi1992, Jacob Britton, Shuangchi He, zhmou, krahets, Jie-Han Chen, Atishay Garg, Marcel Flygare, adtygan, Nik Vaessen, bolded, Louis Schlessinger, Balaji Varatharajan, atgctg, Kaixin Li, Victor Barbaros, Riccardo Musto, Elizabeth Ho, azimjonn, Guilherme Miotto, Alessandro Finamore, Joji Joseph, Anthony Biel, Zeming Zhao.

We thank Amazon Web Services, especially Swami Sivasubramanian, Peter DeSantis, Adam Selipsky, and Andrew Jassy for their generous support in writing this book. Without the available time, resources, discussions with colleagues, and continuous encouragement, this book would not have happened.

Summary

Deep learning has revolutionized pattern recognition, introducing technology that now powers a wide range of technologies, in such diverse fields as computer vision, natural language processing, and automatic speech recognition. To successfully apply deep learning, you must understand how to cast a problem, the basic mathematics of modeling, the algorithms for fitting your models to data, and the engineering techniques to implement it all. This book presents a comprehensive resource, including prose, figures, mathematics, and code, all in one place. To ask (or answer) questions related to this book, visit our forum at https://discuss.d2l. ai/. All of our notebooks are available for download on the D2L.ai website⁸ and on GitHub ⁹.

Exercises

- 1. Register an account on the discussion forum of this book discuss.d2l.ai 10 .
- 2. Install Python on your computer.
- 3. Follow the links at the bottom of the section to the forum, where you will be able to seek out help and discuss the book and find answers to your questions by engaging the authors and broader community.

Discussions¹¹







8

10

Installation

In order to get up and running, we will need an environment for running Python, the Jupyter Notebook, the relevant libraries, and the code needed to run the book itself.

Installing Miniconda



Your simplest option is to install Miniconda¹². Note that the Python 3.x version is required. You can skip the following steps if your machine already has conda installed.

Visit the Miniconda website and determine the appropriate version for your system based on your Python 3.x version and machine architecture. Suppose that your Python version is 3.9 (our tested version). If you are using macOS, you would download the bash script whose name contains the strings "MacOSX", navigate to the download location, and execute the installation as follows (taking Intel Macs as an example):

```
# The file name is subject to changes
sh Miniconda3-py39_4.12.0-MacOSX-x86_64.sh -b
```

A Linux user would download the file whose name contains the strings "Linux" and execute the following at the download location:

```
# The file name is subject to changes
sh Miniconda3-py39_4.12.0-Linux-x86_64.sh -b
```

Next, initialize the shell so we can run conda directly.

~/miniconda3/bin/conda init

Then close and reopen your current shell. You should be able to create a new environment as follows:

```
conda create --name d2l python=3.9 -y
```

Now we can activate the d21 environment:

```
conda activate d2l
```

Installing the Deep Learning Framework and the d21 Package

Before installing any deep learning framework, please first check whether or not you have proper GPUs on your machine (the GPUs that power the display on a standard laptop are not relevant for our purposes). For example, if your computer has NVIDIA GPUs and has installed CUDA¹³, then you are all set. If your machine does not house any GPU, there is no need to worry just yet. Your CPU provides more than enough horsepower to get you through the first few chapters. Just remember that you will want to access GPUs before running larger models.



To install a GPU-enabled version of MXNet, we need to find out what version of CUDA you have installed. You can check this by running nvcc --version or cat /usr/local/ cuda/version.txt. Assume that you have installed CUDA 10.2, then execute the following command:

```
# For macOS and Linux users
pip install mxnet-cu102==1.7.0
# For Windows users
pip install mxnet-cu102==1.7.0 -f https://dist.mxnet.io/python
```

You may change the last digits according to your CUDA version, e.g., cu101 for CUDA 10.1 and cu90 for CUDA 9.0.

If your machine has no NVIDIA GPUs or CUDA, you can install the CPU version as follows:

```
pip install mxnet==1.7.0.post1
```

Our next step is to install the d21 package that we developed in order to encapsulate frequently used functions and classes found throughout this book:

```
pip install d2l==1.0.0a1.post0
```

Downloading and Running the Code

Next, you will want to download the notebooks so that you can run each of the book's code blocks. Simply click on the "Notebooks" tab at the top of any HTML page on the D2L.ai website¹⁴ to download the code and then unzip it. Alternatively, you can fetch the notebooks¹⁴ from the command line as follows:



```
mkdir d2l-en && cd d2l-en
curl https://d2l.ai/d2l-en.zip -o d2l-en.zip
unzip d2l-en.zip && rm d2l-en.zip
cd mxnet
```

If you don't already have unzip installed, first run sudo apt-get install unzip. Now we can start the Jupyter Notebook server by running:

jupyter notebook

Discussions¹⁵

At this point, you can open http://localhost:8888 (it may have already opened automatically) in your Web browser. Then we can run the code for each section of the book. Whenever you open a new command line window, you will need to execute conda activate d21 to activate the runtime environment before running the D2L notebooks, or updating your packages (either the deep learning framework or the d21 package). To exit the environment, run conda deactivate.



Notation

Throughout this book, we adhere to the following notational conventions. Note that some of these symbols are placeholders, while others refer to specific objects. As a general rule of thumb, the indefinite article "a" often indicates that the symbol is a placeholder and that similarly formatted symbols can denote other objects of the same type. For example, "x: a scalar" means that lowercased letters generally represent scalar values, but " \mathbb{Z} : the set of integers" refers specifically to the symbol \mathbb{Z} .

Numerical Objects

- x: a scalar
- *x*: a vector
- X: a matrix
- X: a general tensor
- *I*: the identity matrix (of some given dimension), i.e., a square matrix with 1 on all diagonal entries and 0 on all off-diagonals
- x_i , $[\boldsymbol{x}]_i$: the i^{th} element of vector \boldsymbol{x}
- $x_{ij}, x_{i,j}, [X]_{ij}, [X]_{i,j}$: the element of matrix X at row i and column j.

Set Theory

- *X*: a set
- \mathbb{Z} : the set of integers
- \mathbb{Z}^+ : the set of positive integers
- \mathbb{R} : the set of real numbers
- \mathbb{R}^n : the set of *n*-dimensional vectors of real numbers
- $\mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$: The set of matrices of real numbers with *a* rows and *b* columns
- $|\mathcal{X}|$: cardinality (number of elements) of set \mathcal{X}
- $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$: union of sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}
- $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$: intersection of sets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}

A \ B: set subtraction of B from A (contains only those elements of A that do not belong to B)

Functions and Operators

- $f(\cdot)$: a function
- $\log(\cdot)$: the natural logarithm (base e)
- $\log_2(\cdot)$: logarithm with base 2
- $\exp(\cdot)$: the exponential function
- $\mathbf{1}(\cdot)$: the indicator function, evaluates to 1 if the boolean argument is true and 0 otherwise
- $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{X}}(z)$: the set-membership indicator function, evaluates to 1 if the element z belongs to the set \mathcal{X} and 0 otherwise
- $(\cdot)^{\top}$: transpose of a vector or a matrix
- X^{-1} : inverse of matrix X
- : Hadamard (elementwise) product
- $[\cdot, \cdot]$: concatenation
- $\|\cdot\|_p: \ell_p \text{ norm}$
- $\|\cdot\|:\ell_2$ norm
- $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle$: dot product of vectors \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y}
- \sum : summation over a collection of elements
- \prod : product over a collection of elements
- $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$: an equality asserted as a definition of the symbol on the left-hand side

Calculus

- $\frac{dy}{dx}$: derivative of y with respect to x
- $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$: partial derivative of y with respect to x
- $\nabla_{x} y$: gradient of y with respect to x
- $\int_a^b f(x) dx$: definite integral of f from a to b with respect to x
- $\int f(x) dx$: indefinite integral of f with respect to x

Probability and Information Theory

- X: a random variable
- *P*: a probability distribution
- $X \sim P$: the random variable X follows distribution P
- P(X = x): the probability assigned to the event where random variable X takes value x
- $P(X \mid Y)$: the conditional probability distribution of X given Y
- $p(\cdot)$: a probability density function (PDF) associated with distribution P
- E[X]: expectation of a random variable X
- $X \perp Y$: random variables X and Y are independent
- $X \perp Y \mid Z$: random variables X and Y are conditionally independent given Z
- σ_X : standard deviation of random variable X
- Var(X): variance of random variable X, equal to σ_X^2
- $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$: covariance of random variables X and Y
- $\rho(X, Y)$: the Pearson correlation coefficient between X and Y, equals $\frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$
- H(X): entropy of random variable X
- $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P\|Q)$: the KL-divergence (or relative entropy) from distribution Q to distribution P

Discussions¹⁶



Introduction

Until recently, nearly every computer program that you might interact with on an ordinary day was coded up as a rigid set of rules specifying precisely how it should behave. Say that we wanted to write an application to manage an e-commerce platform. After huddling around a whiteboard for a few hours to ponder the problem, we might settle on the broad strokes of a working solution, for example: (i) users interact with the application through an interface running in a web browser or mobile application; (ii) our application interacts with a commercial-grade database engine to keep track of each user's state and maintain records of historical transactions; and (iii) at the heart of our application, the *business logic* (you might say, the *brains*) of our application spells out a set of rules that map every conceivable circumstance to the corresponding action that our program should take.

To build the brains of our application, we might enumerate all the common events that our program should handle. For example, whenever a customer clicks to add an item to their shopping cart, our program should add an entry to the shopping cart database table, associating that user's ID with the requested product's ID. We might then attempt to step through every possible corner case, testing the appropriateness of our rules and making any necessary modifications. What happens if a user initiates a purchase with an empty cart? While few developers ever get it completely right the first time (it might take some test runs to work out the kinks), for the most part, we can write such programs and confidently launch them *before* ever seeing a real customer. Our ability to manually design automated systems that drive functioning products and systems, often in novel situations, is a remarkable cognitive feat. And when you are able to devise solutions that work 100% of the time, you typically should not be worrying about machine learning.

Fortunately for the growing community of machine learning scientists, many tasks that we would like to automate do not bend so easily to human ingenuity. Imagine huddling around the whiteboard with the smartest minds you know, but this time you are tackling one of the following problems:

- Write a program that predicts tomorrow's weather given geographic information, satellite images, and a trailing window of past weather.
- Write a program that takes in a factoid question, expressed in free-form text, and answers it correctly.
- Write a program that, given an image, identifies all of people depicted in it and draws outlines around each.
- Write a program that presents users with products that they are likely to enjoy but unlikely, in the natural course of browsing, to encounter.

For these problems, even elite programmers would struggle to code up solutions from scratch. The reasons can vary. Sometimes the program that we are looking for follows a pattern that changes over time, so there is no fixed right answer! In such cases, any successful solution must adapt gracefully to a changing world. At other times, the relationship (say between pixels, and abstract categories) may be too complicated, requiring thousands or millions of computations and following unknown principles. In the case of image recognition, the precise steps required to perform the task lie beyond our conscious understanding, even though our subconscious cognitive processes execute the task effortlessly.

Machine learning is the study of algorithms that can learn from experience. As a machine learning algorithm accumulates more experience, typically in the form of observational data or interactions with an environment, its performance improves. Contrast this with our deterministic e-commerce platform, which follows the same business logic, no matter how much experience accrues, until the developers themselves learn and decide that it is time to update the software. In this book, we will teach you the fundamentals of machine learning, focusing in particular on *deep learning*, a powerful set of techniques driving innovations in areas as diverse as computer vision, natural language processing, healthcare, and genomics.

1.1 A Motivating Example

Before beginning writing, the authors of this book, like much of the work force, had to become caffeinated. We hopped in the car and started driving. Using an iPhone, Alex called out "Hey Siri", awakening the phone's voice recognition system. Then Mu commanded "directions to Blue Bottle coffee shop". The phone quickly displayed the transcription of his command. It also recognized that we were asking for directions and launched the Maps application (app) to fulfill our request. Once launched, the Maps app identified a number of routes. Next to each route, the phone displayed a predicted transit time. While we fabricated this story for pedagogical convenience, it demonstrates that in the span of just a few seconds, our everyday interactions with a smart phone can engage several machine learning models.

Imagine just writing a program to respond to a *wake word* such as "Alexa", "OK Google", and "Hey Siri". Try coding it up in a room by yourself with nothing but a computer and a code editor, as illustrated in Fig.1.1.1. How would you write such a program from first principles? Think about it... the problem is hard. Every second, the microphone will collect roughly 44000 samples. Each sample is a measurement of the amplitude of the sound wave. What rule could map reliably from a snippet of raw audio to confident predictions {yes, no} on whether the snippet contains the wake word? If you are stuck, do not worry. We do not know how to write such a program from scratch either. That is why we use machine learning.



Figure 1.1.1 Identify a wake word.

Here is the trick. Often, even when we do not know how to tell a computer explicitly how to map from inputs to outputs, we are nonetheless capable of performing the cognitive feat ourselves. In other words, even if you do not know how to program a computer to recognize the word "Alexa", you yourself are able to recognize it. Armed with this ability, we can collect a huge *dataset* containing examples of audio snippets and associated labels, indicating which snippets contain the wake word. In the dominant approach to machine learning, we do not attempt to design a system *explicitly* to recognize wake words. Instead, we define a flexible program whose behavior is determined by a number of *parameters*. Then we use the dataset to determine the best possible parameter values, i.e., those that improve the performance of our program with respect to a chosen performance measure.

You can think of the parameters as knobs that we can turn, manipulating the behavior of the program. Fixing the parameters, we call the program a *model*. The set of all distinct programs (input-output mappings) that we can produce just by manipulating the parameters is called a *family* of models. And the meta-program that uses our dataset to choose the parameters is called a *learning algorithm*.

Before we can go ahead and engage the learning algorithm, we have to define the problem precisely, pinning down the exact nature of the inputs and outputs, and choosing an appropriate model family. In this case, our model receives a snippet of audio as *input*, and the model generates a selection among {yes, no} as *output*. If all goes according to plan the model's guesses will typically be correct as to whether the snippet contains the wake word.

If we choose the right family of models, there should exist one setting of the knobs such that the model fires "yes" every time it hears the word "Alexa". Because the exact choice of the wake word is arbitrary, we will probably need a model family sufficiently rich that, via another setting of the knobs, it could fire "yes" only upon hearing the word "Apricot". We expect that the same model family should be suitable for "Alexa" recognition and "Apricot" recognition because they seem, intuitively, to be similar tasks. However, we might need a different family of models entirely if we want to deal with fundamentally different inputs or outputs, say if we wanted to map from images to captions, or from English sentences to Chinese sentences.

As you might guess, if we just set all of the knobs randomly, it is unlikely that our model will recognize "Alexa", "Apricot", or any other English word. In machine learning, the *learning* is the process by which we discover the right setting of the knobs coercing the desired behavior from our model. In other words, we *train* our model with data. As shown in Fig.1.1.2, the training process usually looks like the following:

- 1. Start off with a randomly initialized model that cannot do anything useful.
- 2. Grab some of your data (e.g., audio snippets and corresponding {yes, no} labels).
- 3. Tweak the knobs to make the model perform better as assessed on those examples.
- 4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 until the model is awesome.



Figure 1.1.2 A typical training process.

To summarize, rather than code up a wake word recognizer, we code up a program that can *learn* to recognize wake words, if presented with a large labeled dataset. You can think of this act of determining a program's behavior by presenting it with a dataset as *programming with data*. That is to say, we can "program" a cat detector by providing our machine learning system with many examples of cats and dogs. This way the detector will eventually learn to emit a very large positive number if it is a cat, a very large negative number if it is a dog, and something closer to zero if it is not sure. This barely scratches the surface of what machine learning can do. Deep learning, which we will explain in greater detail later, is just one among many popular methods for solving machine learning problems.

1.2 Key Components

In our wake word example, we described a dataset consisting of audio snippets and binary labels, and we gave a hand-wavy sense of how we might train a model to approximate a mapping from snippets to classifications. This sort of problem, where we try to predict a designated unknown label based on known inputs given a dataset consisting of examples for which the labels are known, is called *supervised learning*. This is just one among many kinds of machine learning problems. Before we explore other varieties, we would like to shed more light on some core components that will follow us around, no matter what kind of machine learning problem we take on:

- 1. The *data* that we can learn from.
- 2. A model of how to transform the data.
- 3. An objective function that quantifies how well (or badly) the model is doing.
- 4. An *algorithm* to adjust the model's parameters to optimize the objective function.

1.2.1 Data

It might go without saying that you cannot do data science without data. We could lose hundreds of pages pondering what precisely data *is*, but for now, we will focus on the key properties of the datasets that we will be concerned with. Generally, we are concerned with a collection of examples. In order to work with data usefully, we typically need to come up with a suitable numerical representation. Each *example* (or *data point, data instance, sample*) typically consists of a set of attributes called *features* (sometimes called *covariates* or *inputs*), based on which the model must make its predictions. In supervised learning problems, our goal is to predict the value of a special attribute, called the *label* (or *target*), that is not part of the model's input.

If we were working with image data, each example might consist of an individual photograph (the features) and a number indicating the category to which the photograph belongs (the label). The photograph would be represented numerically as three grids of numerical values representing the brightness of red, green, and blue light at each pixel location. For example, a 200×200 color photograph would consist of $200 \times 200 \times 3 = 120000$ numerical values.

Alternatively, we might work with electronic health record data and tackle the task of predicting the likelihood that a given patient will survive the next 30 days. Here, our features might consist of a collection of readily available attributes and frequently recorded measurements, including age, vital signs, comorbidities, current medications, and recent procedures. The label available for training would be a binary value indicating whether each patient in the historical data survived within the 30-day window.

In such cases, when every example is characterized by the same number of numerical features, we say that the inputs are fixed-length vectors and we call the (constant) length of the vectors the *dimensionality* of the data. As you might imagine, fixed-length inputs can be convenient, giving us one less complication to worry about. However, not all data can easily be represented as *fixed-length* vectors. While we might expect microscope images to come from standard equipment, we cannot expect images mined from the Internet to all show up with the same resolution or shape. For images, we might consider cropping them all to a standard size, but that strategy only gets us so far. We risk losing information in the cropped out portions. Moreover, text data resists fixed-length representations even more stubbornly. Consider the customer reviews left on e-commerce sites such as Amazon, IMDb, and TripAdvisor. Some are short: "it stinks!". Others ramble for pages. One major advantage of deep learning over traditional methods is the comparative grace with which modern models can handle *varying-length* data.

Generally, the more data we have, the easier our job becomes. When we have more data, we can train more powerful models and rely less heavily on preconceived assumptions. The regime change from (comparatively) small to big data is a major contributor to the success of modern deep learning. To drive the point home, many of the most exciting models in deep
learning do not work without large datasets. Some others work in the small data regime, but are no better than traditional approaches.

Finally, it is not enough to have lots of data and to process it cleverly. We need the *right* data. If the data is full of mistakes, or if the chosen features are not predictive of the target quantity of interest, learning is going to fail. The situation is captured well by the cliché: *garbage in, garbage out.* Moreover, poor predictive performance is not the only potential consequence. In sensitive applications of machine learning, like predictive policing, resume screening, and risk models used for lending, we must be especially alert to the consequences of garbage data. One common failure mode occurs in datasets where some groups of people are unrepresented in the training data. Imagine applying a skin cancer recognition system in the wild that had never seen black skin before. Failure can also occur when the data does not merely underrepresent some groups but reflects societal prejudices. For example, if past hiring decisions are used to train a predictive model that will be used to screen resumes, then machine learning models could inadvertently capture and automate historical injustices. Note that this can all happen without the data scientist actively conspiring, or even being aware.

1.2.2 Models

Most machine learning involves transforming the data in some sense. We might want to build a system that ingests photos and predicts smiley-ness. Alternatively, we might want to ingest a set of sensor readings and predict how normal vs. anomalous the readings are. By *model*, we denote the computational machinery for ingesting data of one type, and spitting out predictions of a possibly different type. In particular, we are interested in statistical models that can be estimated from data. While simple models are perfectly capable of addressing appropriately simple problems, the problems that we focus on in this book stretch the limits of classical methods. Deep learning is differentiated from classical approaches principally by the set of powerful models that it focuses on. These models consist of many successive transformations of the data that are chained together top to bottom, thus the name *deep learning*. On our way to discussing deep models, we will also discuss some more traditional methods.

1.2.3 Objective Functions

Earlier, we introduced machine learning as learning from experience. By *learning* here, we mean improving at some task over time. But who is to say what constitutes an improvement? You might imagine that we could propose to update our model, and some people might disagree on whether the proposed update constituted an improvement or a decline.

In order to develop a formal mathematical system of learning machines, we need to have formal measures of how good (or bad) our models are. In machine learning, and optimization more generally, we call these *objective functions*. By convention, we usually define objective functions so that lower is better. This is merely a convention. You can take any function for which higher is better, and turn it into a new function that is qualitatively identical but for which lower is better by flipping the sign. Because lower is better, these functions are sometimes called *loss functions*.

When trying to predict numerical values, the most common loss function is *squared error*, i.e., the square of the difference between the prediction and the ground truth target. For classification, the most common objective is to minimize error rate, i.e., the fraction of examples on which our predictions disagree with the ground truth. Some objectives (e.g., squared error) are easy to optimize, while others (e.g., error rate) are difficult to optimize directly, owing to non-differentiability or other complications. In these cases, it is common to optimize a *surrogate objective*.

During optimization, we think of the loss as a function of the model's parameters, and treat

the training dataset as a constant. We learn the best values of our model's parameters by minimizing the loss incurred on a set consisting of some number of examples collected for training. However, doing well on the training data does not guarantee that we will do well on unseen data. So we will typically want to split the available data into two partitions: the *training dataset* (or *training set*), for learning model parameters; and the *test dataset* (or *test set*), which is held out for evaluation. At the end of the day, we typically report how our models perform on both partitions. You could think of training performance as analogous to the scores that a student achieves on the practice exams used to prepare for some real final exam. Even if the results are encouraging, that does not guarantee success on the final exam. Over the course of studying, the student might begin to memorize the practice questions, appearing to master the topic but faltering when faced with previously unseen questions on the actual final exam. When a model performs well on the training set but fails to generalize to unseen data, we say that it is *overfitting* to the training data.

1.2.4 Optimization Algorithms

Once we have got some data source and representation, a model, and a well-defined objective function, we need an algorithm capable of searching for the best possible parameters for minimizing the loss function. Popular optimization algorithms for deep learning are based on an approach called *gradient descent*. In short, at each step, this method checks to see, for each parameter, which way the training set loss would move if you perturbed that parameter just a small amount. It then updates the parameter in the direction that lowers the loss.

1.3 Kinds of Machine Learning Problems

The wake word problem in our motivating example is just one among many problems that machine learning can tackle. To motivate the reader further and provide us with some common language that will follow us throughout the book, we now provide a broad overview of the landscape of machine learning problem formulations.

1.3.1 Supervised Learning

Supervised learning describes tasks where we are given a dataset containing both features and labels and tasked with producing a model to predict the labels given input features. Each feature–label pair is called an example. Sometimes, when the context is clear, we may use the term *examples* to refer to a collection of inputs, even when the corresponding labels are unknown. The supervision comes into play because for choosing the parameters, we (the supervisors) provide the model with a dataset consisting of labeled examples. In probabilistic terms, we typically are interested in estimating the conditional probability of a label given input features. While it is just one among several paradigms within machine learning, supervised learning accounts for the majority of successful applications of machine learning in industry. Partly, that is because many important tasks can be described crisply as estimating the probability of something unknown given a particular set of available data:

- Predict cancer vs. not cancer, given a computer tomography image.
- Predict the correct translation in French, given a sentence in English.
- Predict the price of a stock next month based on this month's financial reporting data.

While all supervised learning problems are captured by the simple description "predicting the labels given input features", supervised learning can take diverse forms and require tons of modeling decisions, depending on (among other considerations) the type, size, and quantity of the inputs and outputs. For example, we use different models to process sequences of arbitrary lengths and for processing fixed-length vector representations. We will visit many of these problems in depth throughout this book.

Informally, the learning process looks something like the following. First, grab a big collection of examples for which the features are known and select from them a random subset, acquiring the ground-truth labels for each. Sometimes these labels might be available data that have already been collected (e.g., did a patient die within the following year?) and other times we might need to employ human annotators to label the data, (e.g., assigning images to categories). Together, these inputs and corresponding labels comprise the training set. We feed the training dataset into a supervised learning algorithm, a function that takes as input a dataset and outputs another function: the learned model. Finally, we can feed previously unseen inputs to the learned model, using its outputs as predictions of the corresponding label. The full process is drawn in Fig.1.3.1.





Regression

Perhaps the simplest supervised learning task to wrap your head around is *regression*. Consider, for example, a set of data harvested from a database of home sales. We might construct a table, where each row corresponds to a different house, and each column corresponds to some relevant attribute, such as the square footage of a house, the number of bedrooms, the number of bathrooms, and the number of minutes (walking) to the center of town. In this dataset, each example would be a specific house, and the corresponding feature vector would be one row in the table. If you live in New York or San Francisco, and you are not the CEO of Amazon, Google, Microsoft, or Facebook, the (sq. footage, no. of bedrooms, no. of bathrooms, walking distance) feature vector for your home might look something like: [600, 1, 1, 60]. However, if you live in Pittsburgh, it might look more like [3000, 4, 3, 10]. Fixed-length feature vectors like this are essential for most classic machine learning algorithms.

What makes a problem a regression is actually the form of the target. Say that you are in the market for a new home. You might want to estimate the fair market value of a house, given some features like above. The data here might consist of historical home listings and the labels might be the observed sales prices. When labels take on arbitrary numerical values (even within some interval), we call this a *regression* problem. The goal is to produce a model whose predictions closely approximate the actual label values.

Lots of practical problems are easily described as regression problems. Predicting the rating that a user will assign to a movie can be thought of as a regression problem and if you designed a great algorithm to accomplish this feat in 2009, you might have won the 1-million-dollar Netflix prize¹⁷. Predicting the length of stay for patients in the hospital is also a regression problem. A good rule of thumb is that any *how much?* or *how many?* problem should suggest regression, for example:





- How many hours will this surgery take?
- How much rainfall will this town have in the next six hours?

Even if you have never worked with machine learning before, you have probably worked through a regression problem informally. Imagine, for example, that you had your drains repaired and that your contractor spent 3 hours removing gunk from your sewage pipes. Then he sent you a bill of 350 dollars. Now imagine that your friend hired the same contractor for 2 hours and that he received a bill of 250 dollars. If someone then asked you how much to expect on their upcoming gunk-removal invoice you might make some reasonable assumptions, such as more hours worked costs more dollars. You might also assume that there is some base charge and that the contractor then charges per hour. If these assumptions held true, then given these two data examples, you could already identify the contractor's pricing structure: 100 dollars per hour plus 50 dollars to show up at your house. If you followed that much, then you already understand the high-level idea behind linear regression.

In this case, we could produce the parameters that exactly matched the contractor's prices. Sometimes this is not possible, e.g., if some of the variance owes to a few factors besides your two features. In these cases, we will try to learn models that minimize the distance between our predictions and the observed values. In most of our chapters, we will focus on minimizing the squared error loss function. As we will see later, this loss corresponds to the assumption that our data were corrupted by Gaussian noise.

Classification

While regression models are great for addressing *how many*? questions, lots of problems do not bend comfortably to this template. Consider, for example, a bank that wants to develop a check scanning feature for its mobile app. Ideally, the customer would simply snap a photo of a check and the app would automatically recognize the text from the image. Assuming that we had some ability to segment out image patches corresponding to each handwritten character, then the primary remaining task would be to determine which character among some known set is depicted in each image patch. These kinds of *which one*? problems are called *classification* and require a different set of tools than those used for regression, although many techniques will carry over.

In *classification*, we want our model to look at features, e.g., the pixel values in an image, and then predict which *category* (sometimes called a *class*) among some discrete set of options, an example belongs. For handwritten digits, we might have ten classes, corresponding to the digits 0 through 9. The simplest form of classification is when there are only two classes, a problem which we call *binary classification*. For example, our dataset could consist of images of animals and our labels might be the classes {cat, dog}. While in regression, we sought a regressor to output a numerical value, in classification, we seek a classifier, whose output is the predicted class assignment.

For reasons that we will get into as the book gets more technical, it can be hard to optimize a model that can only output a hard categorical assignment, e.g., either "cat" or "dog". In these cases, it is usually much easier to instead express our model in the language of probabilities. Given features of an example, our model assigns a probability to each possible class. Returning to our animal classification example where the classes are $\{cat, dog\}$, a classifier might see an image and output the probability that the image is a cat as 0.9. We can interpret this number by saying that the classifier is 90% sure that the image depicts a cat. The magnitude of the probability for the predicted class conveys one notion of uncertainty. It is not the only notion of uncertainty and we will discuss others in more advanced chapters.

When we have more than two possible classes, we call the problem *multiclass classification*. Common examples include hand-written character recognition $\{0, 1, 2, ..., 9, a, b, c, ...\}$. While we attacked regression problems by trying to minimize the squared error loss function, the common loss function for classification problems is called *cross-entropy*, whose name can be demystified via an introduction to information theory in subsequent chapters.

Note that the most likely class is not necessarily the one that you are going to use for your decision. Assume that you find a beautiful mushroom in your backyard as shown in Fig.1.3.2.



Figure 1.3.2 Death cap - do not eat!

Now, assume that you built a classifier and trained it to predict whether a mushroom is poisonous based on a photograph. Say our poison-detection classifier outputs that the probability that Fig.1.3.2 contains a death cap is 0.2. In other words, the classifier is 80% sure that our mushroom is not a death cap. Still, you would have to be a fool to eat it. That is because the certain benefit of a delicious dinner is not worth a 20% risk of dying from it. In other words, the effect of the uncertain risk outweighs the benefit by far. Thus, in order to make a decision about whether to eat the mushroom, we need to compute the expected disutility associated with each action which depends both on the likely outcomes and the benefits or harms associated with each. In this case, the disutility incurred by eating the mushroom might be $0.2 \times \infty + 0.8 \times 0 = \infty$, whereas the loss of discarding it is $0.2 \times 0 + 0.8 \times 1 = 0.8$. Our caution was justified: as any mycologist would tell us, the mushroom in Fig.1.3.2 is actually a death cap.

Classification can get much more complicated than just binary or multiclass classification. For instance, there are some variants of classification addressing hierarchically structured classes. In such cases not all errors are equal-if we must err, we might prefer to misclassify to a related class rather than a distant class. Usually, this is referred to as hierarchical classification. For inspiration, you might think of Linnaeus¹⁸, who organized the animals in a hierarchy.



In the case of animal classification, it might not be so bad to mistake a poodle for a schnauzer, but our model would pay a huge penalty if it confused a poodle for a dinosaur. Which hierarchy is relevant might depend on how you plan to use the model. For example, rattlesnakes and garter snakes might be close on the phylogenetic tree, but mistaking a rattler for a garter could be deadly.

Tagging

Some classification problems fit neatly into the binary or multiclass classification setups. For example, we could train a normal binary classifier to distinguish cats from dogs. Given the current state of computer vision, we can do this easily, with off-the-shelf tools. Nonetheless, no matter how accurate our model gets, we might find ourselves in trouble when the classifier encounters an image of the *Town Musicians of Bremen*, a popular German fairy tale featuring four animals (Fig.1.3.3).





As you can see, the photo features a cat, a rooster, a dog, and a donkey, with some trees in the background. When we anticipate encountering such images, multiclass classification might not be the right problem formulation. Instead, we might want to give the model the option of saying the image depicts a cat, a dog, a donkey, *and* a rooster.

The problem of learning to predict classes that are not mutually exclusive is called *multi-label classification*. Auto-tagging problems are typically best described as multi-label classification problems. Think of the tags people might apply to posts on a technical blog, e.g., "machine learning", "technology", "gadgets", "programming languages", "Linux", "cloud computing", "AWS". A typical article might have 5–10 tags applied. Typically, tags will exhibit some correlation structure. Posts about "cloud computing" are likely to mention "AWS" and posts about "machine learning" are likely to mention "GPUs".

Sometimes such tagging problems draw on enormous label sets. The National Library of Medicine employs many professional annotators who associate each article to be indexed in PubMed with a set of tags drawn from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) ontology, a collection of roughly 28000 tags. Correctly tagging articles is important because it allows researchers to conduct exhaustive reviews of the literature. This is a time-consuming process and the annotators typically have a one-year lag between archiving and tagging. Machine learning can provide provisional tags until each article can have a proper manual review. Indeed, for several years, the BioASQ organization has hosted competitions¹⁹ for this task.



Search

In the field of information retrieval, we often impose rankings over sets of items. Take web search for example. The goal is less to determine *whether* a particular page is relevant for a query, but rather, which, among a set of relevant results should be shown most prominently to a particular user. One possible solution might be to first assign a score to every element in the set and then to retrieve the top-rated elements. PageRank²⁰, the original secret sauce behind the Google search engine, was an early example of such a scoring system. Peculiarly, the scoring provided by PageRank did not depend on the actual query. Instead, they relied on a simple relevance filter to identify the set of relevant candidates and then used PageRank to prioritize the more authoritative pages. Nowadays, search engines use machine learning and behavioral models to obtain query-dependent relevance scores. There are entire academic conferences devoted to this subject.

Recommender Systems

Recommender systems are another problem setting that is related to search and ranking. The problems are similar insofar as the goal is to display a set of relevant items to the user. The main difference is the emphasis on *personalization* to specific users in the context of recommender systems. For instance, for movie recommendations, the results page for a science fiction fan and the results page for a connoisseur of Peter Sellers comedies might differ significantly. Similar problems pop up in other recommendation settings, e.g., for retail products, music, and news recommendation.

In some cases, customers provide explicit feedback, communicating how much they liked a particular product (e.g., the product ratings and reviews on Amazon, IMDb, and Goodreads). In other cases, they provide implicit feedback, e.g., by skipping titles on a playlist, which might indicate dissatisfaction, or might just indicate that the song was inappropriate in context. In the simplest formulations, these systems are trained to estimate some score, such as an expected star rating or the probability that a given user will purchase a particular item.

Given such a model, for any given user, we could retrieve the set of objects with the largest scores, which could then be recommended to the user. Production systems are considerably more advanced and take detailed user activity and item characteristics into account when computing such scores. Fig.1.3.4 displays the deep learning books recommended by Amazon based on personalization algorithms tuned to capture Aston's preferences.

Despite their tremendous economic value, recommendation systems naively built on top of predictive models suffer some serious conceptual flaws. To start, we only observe *censored feedback*: users preferentially rate movies that they feel strongly about. For example, on a five-point scale, you might notice that items receive many one- and five-star ratings but that there are conspicuously few three-star ratings. Moreover, current purchase habits are often a result of the recommendation algorithm currently in place, but learning algorithms do not always take this detail into account. Thus it is possible for feedback loops to form where a recommender system preferentially pushes an item that is then taken to be better (due to greater purchases) and in turn is recommended even more frequently. Many of these problems about how to deal with censoring, incentives, and feedback loops, are important open research questions.

Sequence Learning

So far, we have looked at problems where we have some fixed number of inputs and produce a fixed number of outputs. For example, we considered predicting house prices given a fixed set of features: square footage, number of bedrooms, number of bathrooms, and the transit time





Figure 1.3.4 Deep learning books recommended by Amazon.

to downtown. We also discussed mapping from an image (of fixed dimension) to the predicted probabilities that it belongs to each among a fixed number of classes and predicting star ratings associated with purchases based on the user ID and product ID alone. In these cases, once our model is trained, after each test example is fed into our model, it is immediately forgotten. We assumed that successive observations were independent and thus there was no need to hold on to this context.

But how should we deal with video snippets? In this case, each snippet might consist of a different number of frames. And our guess of what is going on in each frame might be much stronger if we take into account the previous or succeeding frames. Same goes for language. One popular deep learning problem is machine translation: the task of ingesting sentences in some source language and predicting their translations in another language.

These problems also occur in medicine. We might want a model to monitor patients in the intensive care unit and to fire off alerts whenever their risk of dying in the next 24 hours exceeds some threshold. Here, we wouldn't throw away everything that we know about the patient history every hour, making predictions based only on the most recent measurements.

These problems are among the most exciting applications of machine learning and they are instances of *sequence learning*. They require a model to either ingest sequences of inputs or to emit sequences of outputs (or both). Specifically, *sequence-to-sequence learning* considers problems where inputs and outputs both consist of variable-length sequences. Examples include machine translation and speech-to-text transcription. While it is impossible to consider all types of sequence transformations, the following special cases are worth mentioning.

Tagging and Parsing. This involves annotating a text sequence with attributes. Here, the inputs and outputs are *aligned*, i.e., they are of the same number and occur in a corresponding order. For instance, in *part-of-speech (PoS) tagging*, we annotate every word in a sentence with the corresponding part of speech, i.e., "noun" or "direct object". Alternatively, we might want to know which groups of contiguous words refer to named entities, like *people*, *places*, or *organizations*. In the cartoonishly simple example below, we might just want to indicate, for every word in a sentence, whether it is part of a named entity (tagged as "Ent").

Tom has dinner in Washington with Sally Ent - - - Ent - Ent

Automatic Speech Recognition. With speech recognition, the input sequence is an audio recording of a speaker (Fig.1.3.5), and the output is a transcript of what the speaker said. The challenge is that there are many more audio frames (sound is typically sampled at 8kHz or 16kHz) than text, i.e., there is no 1:1 correspondence between audio and text, since thousands of samples may correspond to a single spoken word. These are sequence-to-sequence learning problems, where the output is much shorter than the input.



Figure 1.3.5 -D-e-e-p- L-ea-r-ni-ng- in an audio recording.

Text to Speech. This is the inverse of automatic speech recognition. Here, the input is text and the output is an audio file. In this case, the output is much longer than the input. While humans are remarkably good at recognizing speech, even from low-quality audio, getting computers to perform the feat is a formidable challenge.

Machine Translation. Unlike the case of speech recognition, where corresponding inputs and outputs occur in the same order, in machine translation, unaligned data poses a new challenge. Here the input and output sequences can have different lengths, and the corresponding regions of the respective sequences may appear in different orders. Consider the following illustrative example of the peculiar tendency of Germans to place the verbs at the end of sentences:

German:Haben Sie sich schon dieses grossartige Lehrwerk angeschaut?English:Did you already check out this excellent tutorial?Wrong alignment:Did you yourself already this excellent tutorial looked-at?

Many related problems pop up in other learning tasks. For instance, determining the order in which a user reads a webpage is a two-dimensional layout analysis problem. Dialogue problems exhibit all kinds of additional complications, where determining what to say next requires taking into account real-world knowledge and the prior state of the conversation across long temporal distances. These are active areas of research.

1.3.2 Unsupervised and Self-Supervised Learning

The previous examples focused on supervised learning, where we feed the model a giant dataset containing both the features and corresponding label values. You could think of the supervised learner as having an extremely specialized job and an extremely dictatorial boss. The boss stands over its shoulder and tells it exactly what to do in every situation until you learn to map from situations to actions. Working for such a boss sounds pretty lame. On the other hand, pleasing such a boss is pretty easy. You just recognize the pattern as quickly as possible and imitate their actions.

Considering the opposite situation, it could be frustrating to work for a boss who has no idea what they want you to do. However, if you plan to be a data scientist, you had better get used to it. The boss might just hand you a giant dump of data and tell you to *do some data science with it!* This sounds vague because it is. We call this class of problems *unsupervised learning*, and the type and number of questions we could ask is limited only by our creativity. We will address unsupervised learning techniques in later chapters. To whet your appetite for now, we describe a few of the following questions you might ask.

- Can we find a small number of prototypes that accurately summarize the data? Given a set of photos, can we group them into landscape photos, pictures of dogs, babies, cats, and mountain peaks? Likewise, given a collection of users' browsing activities, can we group them into users with similar behavior? This problem is typically known as *clustering*.
- Can we find a small number of parameters that accurately capture the relevant properties of the data? The trajectories of a ball are well described by velocity, diameter, and mass of the ball. Tailors have developed a small number of parameters that describe human body shape fairly accurately for the purpose of fitting clothes. These problems are referred to as *subspace estimation*. If the dependence is linear, it is called *principal component analysis*.
- Is there a representation of (arbitrarily structured) objects in Euclidean space such that symbolic properties can be well matched? This can be used to describe entities and their relations, such as "Rome" "Italy" + "France" = "Paris".
- Is there a description of the root causes of much of the data that we observe? For instance, if we have demographic data about house prices, pollution, crime, location, education, and salaries, can we discover how they are related simply based on empirical data? The fields concerned with *causality* and *probabilistic graphical models* tackle such questions.
- Another important and exciting recent development in unsupervised learning is the advent of deep generative models. These models estimate the density of the data p(x), either explicitly or *implicitly*. Once trained, we can use a generative model either to score examples according to how likely they are, or to sample synthetic examples from the learned distribution. Early deep learning breakthroughs in generative modeling came with the invention of *variational autoencoders* (Kingma and Welling, 2014) and continued with the development of *generative adversarial networks* (Goodfellow *et al.*, 2014). More recent advances include normalizing flows, diffusion models, and score-based models.

A major development in unsupervised learning, has been the rise of *self-supervised learning*, techniques that leverage some aspect of the unlabeled data to provide supervision. For text, we can train models to "fill in the blanks" by predicting randomly masked words using their surrounding words (contexts) in big corpora without any labeling effort (Devlin *et al.*, 2018)! For images, we may train models to tell the relative position between two cropped regions of the same image (Doersch *et al.*, 2015), to predict an occluded part of an image based on the remaining portions of the image, or to predict whether two examples are perturbed versions of the same underlying image. Self-supervised models often learn representations that are subsequently leveraged by fine-tuning the resulting models on some downstream task of interest.

1.3.3 Interacting with an Environment

So far, we have not discussed where data actually comes from, or what actually happens when a machine learning model generates an output. That is because supervised learning and unsupervised learning do not address these issues in a very sophisticated way. In either case, we grab a big pile of data upfront, then set our pattern recognition machines in motion without ever interacting with the environment again. Because all of the learning takes place after the algorithm is disconnected from the environment, this is sometimes called *offline learning*. For example, supervised learning assumes the simple interaction pattern depicted in Fig.1.3.6.

This simplicity of offline learning has its charms. The upside is that we can worry about pattern recognition in isolation, without worrying about complications arising from interactions with a dynamic environment. But this problem formulation is limiting. If you grew up reading Asimov's Robot novels, then you might imagine artificially intelligent agents capable not only of making predictions, but also of taking actions in the world. We want to think about



Figure 1.3.6

6 Collecting data for supervised learning from an environment.

intelligent *agents*, not just predictive models. This means that we need to think about choosing *actions*, not just making predictions. Unlike mere predictions, actions actually impact the environment. If we want to train an intelligent agent, we must account for the way its actions might impact the future observations of the agent.

Considering the interaction with an environment opens a whole set of new modeling questions. The following are just a few examples.

- Does the environment remember what we did previously?
- Does the environment want to help us, e.g., a user reading text into a speech recognizer?
- Does the environment want to beat us, e.g., spammers altering their emails to evade spam filters?
- Does the environment have shifting dynamics? For example, does future data always resemble the past or do the patterns change over time, either naturally or in response to our automated tools?

These questions raise the problem of *distribution shift*, where training and test data are different. Most of us have have experienced this problem when taking exams written by a lecturer, while the homework was composed by their teaching assistants. Next, we briefly describe reinforcement learning, a rich framework for posing learning problems in which an agent interacts with an environment.

1.3.4 Reinforcement Learning

If you are interested in using machine learning to develop an agent that interacts with an environment and takes actions, then you are probably going to wind up focusing on *rein-forcement learning*. This might include applications to robotics, to dialogue systems, and even to developing artificial intelligence (AI) for video games. *Deep reinforcement learning*, which applies deep learning to reinforcement learning problems, has surged in popularity. The breakthrough deep Q-network that beat humans at Atari games using only the visual input (Mnih *et al.*, 2015), and the AlphaGo program that dethroned the world champion at the board game Go (Silver *et al.*, 2016) are two prominent examples.

Reinforcement learning gives a very general statement of a problem, in which an agent interacts with an environment over a series of time steps. At each time step, the agent receives some *observation* from the environment and must choose an *action* that is subsequently transmitted back to the environment via some mechanism (sometimes called an *actuator*). Finally, the agent receives a reward from the environment. This process is illustrated in Fig.1.3.7. The agent then receives a subsequent observation, and chooses a subsequent action, and so on. The behavior of a reinforcement learning agent is governed by a *policy*. In short, a *policy* is just a function that maps from observations of the environment to actions. The goal of reinforcement learning is to produce good policies.

It is hard to overstate the generality of the reinforcement learning framework. For example,



Figure 1.3.7 The interaction between reinforcement learning and an environment.

we can cast supervised learning problems as reinforcement learning problems. Say we had a classification problem. We could create a reinforcement learning agent with one action corresponding to each class. We could then create an environment which gave a reward that was exactly equal to the loss function from the original supervised learning problem.

That being said, reinforcement learning can also address many problems that supervised learning cannot. For example, in supervised learning, we always expect that the training input comes associated with the correct label. But in reinforcement learning, we do not assume that for each observation the environment tells us the optimal action. In general, we just get some reward. Moreover, the environment may not even tell us which actions led to the reward.

Consider the game of chess. The only real reward signal comes at the end of the game when we either win, earning a reward of, say, 1, or when we lose, receiving a reward of, say, -1. So reinforcement learners must deal with the *credit assignment* problem: determining which actions to credit or blame for an outcome. The same goes for an employee who gets a promotion on October 11. That promotion likely reflects a large number of well-chosen actions over the previous year. Getting more promotions in the future requires figuring out what actions along the way led to the promotion.

Reinforcement learners may also have to deal with the problem of partial observability. That is, the current observation might not tell you everything about your current state. Say a cleaning robot found itself trapped in one of many identical closets in a house. Inferring the precise location of the robot might require considering its previous observations before entering the closet.

Finally, at any given point, reinforcement learners might know of one good policy, but there might be many other better policies that the agent has never tried. The reinforcement learner must constantly choose whether to *exploit* the best (currently) known strategy as a policy, or to *explore* the space of strategies, potentially giving up some short-run reward in exchange for knowledge.

The general reinforcement learning problem is a very general setting. Actions affect subsequent observations. Rewards are only observed corresponding to the chosen actions. The environment may be either fully or partially observed. Accounting for all this complexity at once may ask too much of researchers. Moreover, not every practical problem exhibits all this complexity. As a result, researchers have studied a number of special cases of reinforcement learning problems.

When the environment is fully observed, we call the reinforcement learning problem a *Markov decision process*. When the state does not depend on the previous actions, we call the problem a *contextual bandit problem*. When there is no state, just a set of available actions with initially unknown rewards, this problem is the classic *multi-armed bandit problem*.

1.4 Roots

We have just reviewed a small subset of problems that machine learning can address. For a diverse set of machine learning problems, deep learning provides powerful tools for solving them. Although many deep learning methods are recent inventions, the core ideas behind learning from data have been studied for centuries. In fact, humans have held the desire to analyze data and to predict future outcomes for long and much of natural science has its roots in this. For instance, the Bernoulli distribution is named after Jacob Bernoulli (1655–1705)²¹, and the Gaussian distribution was discovered by Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855)²². He invented, for instance, the least mean squares algorithm, which is still used today for countless problems from insurance calculations to medical diagnostics. These tools gave rise to an experimental approach in the natural sciences—for instance, Ohm's law relating current and voltage in a resistor is perfectly described by a linear model.

Even in the middle ages, mathematicians had a keen intuition of estimates. For instance, the geometry book of Jacob Köbel $(1460-1533)^{23}$ illustrates averaging the length of 16 adult men's feet to estimate the average foot length in the population (Fig.1.4.1).



Figure 1.4.1 Estimating the length of a foot.

As a group of individuals exited a church, 16 adult men were asked to line up in a row and have their feet measured. The sum of these measurements was then divided by 16 to obtain an estimate for what now amounts to 1 foot. This "algorithm" was later improved to deal with misshapen feet; The 2 men with the shortest and longest feet were sent away, averaging only over the remainder. This is among the earliest examples of a trimmed mean estimate.



Statistics really took off with the collection and availability of data. One of its pioneers, Ronald Fisher $(1890-1962)^{24}$, contributed significantly to its theory and also its applications in genetics. Many of his algorithms (such as linear discriminant analysis) and formulas (such as the Fisher information matrix) still hold a prominent place in the foundations of modern statistics. Even his data resources had a lasting impact. The Iris dataset that Fisher released in 1936 is still used sometimes to demonstrate machine learning algorithms. Fisher was also a proponent of eugenics, which should remind us that the morally dubious use of data science has as long and enduring a history as its productive use in industry and the natural sciences.

A second influence for machine learning came from information theory by Claude Shannon (1916–2001)²⁵ and the theory of computation via Alan Turing (1912–1954)²⁶. Turing posed the question "can machines think?" in his famous paper *Computing Machinery and*²⁵ *Intelligence* (Turing, 1950). In what he described as the Turing test, a machine can be considered *intelligent* if it is difficult for a human evaluator to distinguish between the replies from a machine and a human based on textual interactions.

Another influence can be found in neuroscience and psychology. After all, humans clearly exhibit intelligent behavior. Many scholars have asked whether one could explain and possibly reverse engineer this capacity. One of the oldest biologically inspired algorithms was formulated by Donald Hebb (1904–1985)²⁷. In his groundbreaking book *The Organization of Behavior* (Hebb and Hebb, 1949), he posited that neurons learn by positive reinforcement. This became known as the Hebbian learning rule. These ideas inspired later works like Rosenblatt's perceptron learning algorithm and laid the foundations of many stochastic gradient descent algorithms that underpin deep learning today: reinforce desirable behavior and diminish undesirable behavior to obtain good settings of the parameters in a neural network.

Biological inspiration is what gave *neural networks* their name. For over a century (dating back to the models of Alexander Bain, 1873 and James Sherrington, 1890), researchers have tried to assemble computational circuits that resemble networks of interacting neurons. Over time, the interpretation of biology has become less literal, but the name stuck. At its heart, lie a few key principles that can be found in most networks today:

- The alternation of linear and nonlinear processing units, often referred to as layers.
- The use of the chain rule (also known as *backpropagation*) for adjusting parameters in the entire network at once.

After initial rapid progress, research in neural networks languished from around 1995 until 2005. This was mainly due to two reasons. First, training a network is computationally very expensive. While random-access memory was plentiful at the end of the past century, computational power was scarce. Second, datasets were relatively small. In fact, Fisher's Iris dataset from 1932 was a popular tool for testing the efficacy of algorithms. The MNIST dataset with its 60000 handwritten digits was considered huge.

Given the scarcity of data and computation, strong statistical tools such as kernel methods, decision trees, and graphical models proved empirically superior in many applications. Moreover, unlike neural networks, they did not require weeks to train and provided predictable results with strong theoretical guarantees.

1.5 The Road to Deep Learning

Much of this changed with the availability of large amounts of data, due to the World Wide Web, the advent of companies serving hundreds of millions of users online, a dissemination of cheap, high-quality sensors, cheap data storage (Kryder's law), and cheap computation (Moore's law). In particular, the landscape of computation in deep learning was revolutionized by advances in GPUs, which were originally engineered for computer gaming. Suddenly







Decade	Dataset	Mem-	Floating point calculations per
		ory	second
1970	100 (Iris)	1 KB	100 KF (Intel 8080)
1980	1 K (house prices in Boston)	100	1 MF (Intel 80186)
		KB	
1990	10 K (optical character recog-	10 MB	10 MF (Intel 80486)
	nition)		
2000	10 M (web pages)	100	1 GF (Intel Core)
		MB	
2010	10 G (advertising)	1 GB	1 TF (Nvidia C2050)
2020	1 T (social network)	100	1 PF (Nvidia DGX-2)
		GB	

algorithms and models that seemed computationally infeasible became relevant (and vice versa). This is best illustrated in Chapter 1.5.

Table: Dataset vs. computer memory and computational power

Note that random-access memory has not kept pace with the growth in data. At the same time, increases in computational power have outpaced the growth in datasets. This means that statistical models need to become more memory efficient, and are free to spend more computer cycles optimizing parameters, due to the increased compute budget. Consequently, the sweet spot in machine learning and statistics moved from (generalized) linear models and kernel methods to deep neural networks. This is also one of the reasons why many of the mainstays of deep learning, such as multilayer perceptrons (McCulloch and Pitts, 1943), convolutional neural networks (LeCun *et al.*, 1998), long short-term memory (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), and Q-Learning (Watkins and Dayan, 1992), were essentially "rediscovered" in the past decade, after laying comparatively dormant for considerable time.

The recent progress in statistical models, applications, and algorithms has sometimes been likened to the Cambrian explosion: a moment of rapid progress in the evolution of species. Indeed, the state of the art is not just a mere consequence of available resources, applied to decades old algorithms. Note that the list below barely scratches the surface of the ideas that have helped researchers achieve tremendous progress over the past decade.

- Novel methods for capacity control, such as *dropout* (Srivastava *et al.*, 2014), have helped to mitigate overfitting. Here, noise is injected (Bishop, 1995) throughout the neural network during training.
- Attention mechanisms solved a second problem that had plagued statistics for over a century: how to increase the memory and complexity of a system without increasing the number of learnable parameters. Researchers found an elegant solution by using what can only be viewed as a learnable pointer structure (Bahdanau *et al.*, 2014). Rather than having to remember an entire text sequence, e.g., for machine translation in a fixeddimensional representation, all that needed to be stored was a pointer to the intermediate state of the translation process. This allowed for significantly increased accuracy for long sequences, since the model no longer needed to remember the entire sequence before commencing the generation of a new sequence. Built solely on attention mechanisms, the transformer architecture (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017) has demonstrated compelling success in a wide range of areas. For example, a single transformer pretrained on modalities as diverse as text, images, joint torques, and button presses can play Atari, caption images, chat, and control a robot (Reed *et al.*, 2022).
- Multi-stage designs, e.g., via the memory networks (Sukhbaatar *et al.*, 2015) and the neural programmer-interpreter (Reed and De Freitas, 2015) allowed statistical modelers to describe iterative approaches to reasoning. These tools allow for an internal state of the

deep neural network to be modified repeatedly, thus carrying out subsequent steps in a chain of reasoning, similar to how a processor can modify memory for a computation.

- Another key development was the invention of generative adversarial networks (Goodfellow et al., 2014). Traditionally, statistical methods for density estimation and generative models focused on finding proper probability distributions and (often approximate) algorithms for sampling from them. As a result, these algorithms were largely limited by the lack of flexibility inherent in the statistical models. The crucial innovation in generative adversarial networks was to replace the sampler by an arbitrary algorithm with differentiable parameters. These are then adjusted in such a way that the discriminator (effectively a two-sample test) cannot distinguish fake from real data. Through the ability to use arbitrary algorithms to generate data, it opened up density estimation to a wide variety of techniques. Examples of galloping Zebras (Zhu et al., 2017) and of fake celebrity faces (Karras et al., 2017) are both testimony to this progress. Even amateur doodlers can produce photorealistic images based on just sketches that describe how the layout of a scene looks like (Park et al., 2019).
- In many cases, a single GPU is insufficient to process the large amounts of data available for training. Over the past decade the ability to build parallel and distributed training algorithms has improved significantly. One of the key challenges in designing scalable ²⁹ algorithms is that the workhorse of deep learning optimization, stochastic gradient descent, relies on relatively small minibatches of data to be processed. At the same time, small batches limit the efficiency of GPUs. Hence, training on 1024 GPUs with a minibatch size of, say 32 images per batch amounts to an aggregate minibatch of about 32000 images. Recent work, first by Li (2017), and subsequently by You et al. (2017) and Jia et al. (2018) pushed the size up to 64000 observations, reducing training time for the ResNet-50 model on the ImageNet dataset to less than 7 minutes. For comparisoninitially training times were measured in the order of days.
- The ability to parallelize computation has also contributed to progress in reinforcement learning, This has led to significant progress in computers achieving superhuman performance on tasks like Go, Atari games, Starcraft, and in physics simulations (e.g., using MuJoCo), Where environment simulators are available. See, e.g., Silver et al. (2016) for a description of how to achieve this in AlphaGo. In a nutshell, reinforcement learning works best if plenty of (state, action, reward) tuples are available. Simulation provides such an avenue.

32

34

37

• Deep learning frameworks have played a crucial role in disseminating ideas. The first generation of open-source frameworks for neural network modeling consisted of Caffe²⁸ , Torch²⁹, and Theano³⁰. Many seminal papers were written using these tools. By now, they have been superseded by TensorFlow³¹ (often used via its high level API Keras³²), CNTK³³, Caffe 2³⁴, and Apache MXNet³⁵. The third generation of tools ³⁶ consists of so-called *imperative* tools for deep learning, a trend that was arguably ignited by Chainer³⁶, which used a syntax similar to Python NumPy to describe models. This idea was adopted by both PyTorch37 , the Gluon API38 of MXNet, and Jax39 .

The division of labor between system researchers building better tools and statistical modelers building better neural networks has greatly simplified things. For instance, training a ³⁸ linear logistic regression model used to be a nontrivial homework problem, worthy to give to new machine learning Ph.D. students at Carnegie Mellon University in 2014. By now, this task can be accomplished with less than 10 lines of code, putting it firmly into the grasp of 39 programmers.

1.6 Success Stories

AI has a long history of delivering results that would be difficult to accomplish otherwise. For instance, the mail sorting systems using optical character recognition have been deployed since the 1990s. This is, after all, the source of the famous MNIST dataset of handwritten digits. The same applies to reading checks for bank deposits and scoring creditworthiness of applicants. Financial transactions are checked for fraud automatically. This forms the backbone of many e-commerce payment systems, such as PayPal, Stripe, AliPay, WeChat, Apple, Visa, and MasterCard. Computer programs for chess have been competitive for decades. Machine learning feeds search, recommendation, personalization, and ranking on the Internet. In other words, machine learning is pervasive, albeit often hidden from sight.

It is only recently that AI has been in the limelight, mostly due to solutions to problems that were considered intractable previously and that are directly related to consumers. Many of such advances are attributed to deep learning.

- Intelligent assistants, such as Apple's Siri, Amazon's Alexa, and Google's assistant, are able to answer spoken questions with a reasonable degree of accuracy. This includes menial tasks, like turning on light switches, and more complex tasks, like arranging barber's appointments and offering phone support dialog. This is likely the most noticeable sign that AI is affecting our lives.
- A key ingredient in digital assistants is the ability to recognize speech accurately. Gradually, the accuracy of such systems has increased to the point of achieving human parity for certain applications (Xiong *et al.*, 2018).
- Object recognition has likewise come a long way. Estimating the object in a picture was a fairly challenging task in 2010. On the ImageNet benchmark researchers from NEC Labs and University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign achieved a top-5 error rate of 28% (Lin *et al.*, 2010). By 2017, this error rate was reduced to 2.25% (Hu *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, stunning results have been achieved for identifying birds and for diagnosing skin cancer.
- Prowess in games used to provide a measuring stick for human intelligence. Starting from TD-Gammon, a program for playing backgammon using temporal difference reinforcement learning, algorithmic and computational progress has led to algorithms for a wide range of applications. Unlike backgammon, chess has a much more complex state space and set of actions. DeepBlue beat Garry Kasparov using massive parallelism, special-purpose hardware and efficient search through the game tree (Campbell *et al.*, 2002). Go is more difficult still, due to its huge state space. AlphaGo reached human parity in 2015, using deep learning combined with Monte Carlo tree sampling (Silver *et al.*, 2016). The challenge in Poker was that the state space is large and only partially observed (we do not know the opponents' cards). Libratus exceeded human performance in Poker using efficiently structured strategies (Brown and Sandholm, 2017).
- Another indication of progress in AI is the advent of self-driving cars and trucks. While full
 autonomy is not quite within reach, excellent progress has been made in this direction,
 with companies such as Tesla, NVIDIA, and Waymo shipping products that enable at
 least partial autonomy. What makes full autonomy so challenging is that proper driving
 requires the ability to perceive, to reason and to incorporate rules into a system. At
 present, deep learning is used primarily in the computer vision aspect of these problems.
 The rest is heavily tuned by engineers.

This barely scratches the surface for impactful applications of machine learning. For instance, robotics, logistics, computational biology, particle physics, and astronomy owe some of their

most impressive recent advances at least in parts to machine learning. Machine learning is thus becoming a ubiquitous tool for engineers and scientists.

Frequently, questions about a coming AI apocalypse and the plausibility of a *singularity* have been raised in non-technical articles on AI. The fear is that somehow machine learning systems will become sentient and make decisions, independently from their programmers that directly impact the lives of humans. To some extent, AI already affects the livelihood of humans in direct ways: creditworthiness is assessed automatically, autopilots mostly navigate vehicles, decisions about whether to grant bail use statistical data as input. More frivolously, we can ask Alexa to switch on the coffee machine.

Fortunately, we are far from a sentient AI system that could deliberately manipulate its human creators. First, AI systems are engineered, trained, and deployed in a specific, goal-oriented manner. While their behavior might give the illusion of general intelligence, it is a combination of rules, heuristics and statistical models that underlie the design. Second, at present tools for *artificial general intelligence* simply do not exist that are able to improve themselves, reason about themselves, and that are able to modify, extend, and improve their own architecture while trying to solve general tasks.

A much more pressing concern is how AI is being used in our daily lives. It is likely that many menial tasks fulfilled by truck drivers and shop assistants can and will be automated. Farm robots will likely reduce the cost for organic farming but they will also automate harvesting operations. This phase of the industrial revolution may have profound consequences on large swaths of society, since truck drivers and shop assistants are some of the most common jobs in many countries. Furthermore, statistical models, when applied without care can lead to racial, gender, or age bias and raise reasonable concerns about procedural fairness if automated to drive consequential decisions. It is important to ensure that these algorithms are used with care. With what we know today, this strikes us a much more pressing concern than the potential of malevolent superintelligence to destroy humanity.

1.7 The Essence of Deep Learning

Thus far, we have talked about machine learning broadly. Deep learning is the subset of machine learning concerned with models based on many-layered neural networks. It is *deep* in precisely the sense that its models learn many *layers* of transformations. While this might sound narrow, deep learning has given rise to a dizzying array of models, techniques, problem formulations, and applications. Many intuitions have been developed to explain the benefits of depth. Arguably, all machine learning has many layers of computation, the first consisting of feature processing steps. What differentiates deep learning is that the operations learned at each of the many layers of representations are learned jointly from data.

The problems that we have discussed so far, such as learning from the raw audio signal, the raw pixel values of images, or mapping between sentences of arbitrary lengths and their counterparts in foreign languages, are those where deep learning excels and traditional methods falter. It turns out that these many-layered models are capable of addressing low-level perceptual data in a way that previous tools could not. Arguably the most significant commonality in deep learning methods is *end-to-end training*. That is, rather than assembling a system based on components that are individually tuned, one builds the system and then tunes their performance jointly. For instance, in computer vision scientists used to separate the process of *feature engineering* from the process of building machine learning models. The Canny edge detector (Canny, 1987) and Lowe's SIFT feature extractor (Lowe, 2004) reigned supreme for over a decade as algorithms for mapping images into feature vectors. In bygone days, the crucial part of applying machine learning to these problems consisted of coming up

with manually-engineered ways of transforming the data into some form amenable to shallow models. Unfortunately, there is only so little that humans can accomplish by ingenuity in comparison with a consistent evaluation over millions of choices carried out automatically by an algorithm. When deep learning took over, these feature extractors were replaced by automatically tuned filters, yielding superior accuracy.

Thus, one key advantage of deep learning is that it replaces not only the shallow models at the end of traditional learning pipelines, but also the labor-intensive process of feature engineering. Moreover, by replacing much of the domain-specific preprocessing, deep learning has eliminated many of the boundaries that previously separated computer vision, speech recognition, natural language processing, medical informatics, and other application areas, offering a unified set of tools for tackling diverse problems.

Beyond end-to-end training, we are experiencing a transition from parametric statistical descriptions to fully nonparametric models. When data is scarce, one needs to rely on simplifying assumptions about reality in order to obtain useful models. When data is abundant, these can be replaced by nonparametric models that better fit the data. To some extent, this mirrors the progress that physics experienced in the middle of the previous century with the availability of computers. Rather than solving parametric approximations of how electrons behave by hand, one can now resort to numerical simulations of the associated partial differential equations. This has led to much more accurate models, albeit often at the expense of explainability.

Another difference to previous work is the acceptance of suboptimal solutions, dealing with nonconvex nonlinear optimization problems, and the willingness to try things before proving them. This newfound empiricism in dealing with statistical problems, combined with a rapid influx of talent has led to rapid progress of practical algorithms, albeit in many cases at the expense of modifying and re-inventing tools that existed for decades.

In the end, the deep learning community prides itself on sharing tools across academic and corporate boundaries, releasing many excellent libraries, statistical models, and trained networks as open source. It is in this spirit that the notebooks forming this book are freely available for distribution and use. We have worked hard to lower the barriers of access for everyone to learn about deep learning and we hope that our readers will benefit from this.

1.8 Summary

Machine learning studies how computer systems can leverage experience (often data) to improve performance at specific tasks. It combines ideas from statistics, data mining, and optimization. Often, it is used as a means of implementing AI solutions. As a class of machine learning, representational learning focuses on how to automatically find the appropriate way to represent data. As multi-level representation learning through learning many layers of transformations, deep learning replaces not only the shallow models at the end of traditional machine learning pipelines, but also the labor-intensive process of feature engineering. Much of the recent progress in deep learning has been triggered by an abundance of data arising from cheap sensors and Internet-scale applications, and by significant progress in computation, mostly through GPUs. Besides, the availability of efficient deep learning frameworks has made design and implementation of whole system optimization significantly easier, which is a key component in obtaining high performance.

Exercises

- 1. Which parts of code that you are currently writing could be "learned", i.e., improved by learning and automatically determining design choices that are made in your code? Does your code include heuristic design choices? What data might you need to learn the desired behavior?
- 2. Which problems that you encounter have many examples for how to solve them, yet no specific way to automate them? These may be prime candidates for using deep learning.
- 3. Describe the relationships between algorithms, data, and computation. How do characteristics of the data and the current available computational resources influence the appropriateness of various algorithms?
- 4. Name some settings where end-to-end training is not currently the default approach but might be useful.

Discussions⁴⁰



Preliminaries

To prepare for your dive into deep learning, you will need a few survival skills: (i) techniques for storing and manipulating data; (ii) libraries for ingesting and preprocessing data from a variety of sources; (iii) knowledge of the basic linear algebraic operations that we apply to high-dimensional data elements; (iv) just enough calculus to determine which direction to adjust each parameter in order to decrease the loss function; (v) the ability to automatically compute derivatives so that you can forget much of the calculus you just learned; (vi) some basic fluency in probability, our primary language for reasoning under uncertainty; and (vii) some aptitude for finding answers in the official documentation when you get stuck.

In short, this chapter provides a rapid introduction to the basics that you will need to follow *most* of the technical content in this book.

2.1 Data Manipulation

In order to get anything done, we need some way to store and manipulate data. Generally, there are two important things we need to do with data: (i) acquire them; and (ii) process them once they are inside the computer. There is no point in acquiring data without some way to store it, so to start, let's get our hands dirty with *n*-dimensional arrays, which we also call *tensors*. If you already know the NumPy scientific computing package, this will be a breeze. For all modern deep learning frameworks, the *tensor class* (ndarray in MXNet, Tensor in PyTorch and TensorFlow) resembles NumPy's ndarray, with a few killer features added. First, the tensor class supports automatic differentiation. Second, it leverages GPUs to accelerate numerical computation, whereas NumPy only runs on CPUs. These properties make neural networks both easy to code and fast to run.

2.1.1 Getting Started

To start, we import the np (numpy) and npx (numpy_extension) modules from MXNet. Here, the np module includes functions supported by NumPy, while the npx module contains a set of extensions developed to empower deep learning within a NumPy-like environment. When using tensors, we almost always invoke the set_np function: this is for compatibility of tensor processing by other components of MXNet.

from mxnet import np, npx
npx.set_np()

A tensor represents a (possibly multi-dimensional) array of numerical values. With one axis, a tensor is called a *vector*. With two axes, a tensor is called a *matrix*. With k > 2 axes, we drop the specialized names and just refer to the object as a k^{th} order tensor.

MXNet provides a variety of functions for creating new tensors prepopulated with values.

For example, by invoking arange(n), we can create a vector of evenly spaced values, starting at 0 (included) and ending at n (not included). By default, the interval size is 1. Unless otherwise specified, new tensors are stored in main memory and designated for CPU-based computation.

```
x = np.arange(12)
x
```

array([0., 1., 2., 3., 4., 5., 6., 7., 8., 9., 10., 11.])

Each of these values is called an *element* of the tensor. The tensor x contains 12 elements. We can inspect the total number of elements in a tensor via its size attribute.

x.size

12

We can access a tensor's *shape* (the length along each axis) by inspecting its shape attribute. Because we are dealing with a vector here, the shape contains just a single element and is identical to the size.

x.shape

(12,)

We can change the shape of a tensor without altering its size or values, by invoking reshape. For example, we can transform our vector x whose shape is (12,) to a matrix X with shape (3, 4). This new tensor retains all elements but reconfigures them into a matrix. Notice that the elements of our vector are laid out one row at a time and thus x[3] == X[0, 3].

```
X = x.reshape(3, 4)
X
```

array([[0., 1., 2., 3.], [4., 5., 6., 7.], [8., 9., 10., 11.]])

Note that specifying every shape component to reshape is redundant. Because we already know our tensor's size, we can work out one component of the shape given the rest. For example, given a tensor of size n and target shape (h, w), we know that w = n/h. To automatically infer one component of the shape, we can place a -1 for the shape component that should be inferred automatically. In our case, instead of calling x.reshape(3, 4), we could have equivalently called x.reshape(-1, 4) or x.reshape(3, -1).

Practitioners often need to work with tensors initialized to contain all zeros or ones. We can construct a tensor with all elements set to zero and a shape of (2, 3, 4) via the zeros function.

np.zeros((2, 3, 4))

```
array([[[0., 0., 0., 0.],
[0., 0., 0., 0.],
[0., 0., 0., 0.]],
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

[[0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.]]])

Similarly, we can create a tensor with all ones by invoking ones.

```
np.ones((2, 3, 4))
```

array([[[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.],
[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.],
[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.]],
[[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.],
[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.],
[1.,	1.,	1.,	1.]])

We often wish to sample each element randomly (and independently) from a given probability distribution. For example, the parameters of neural networks are often initialized randomly. The following snippet creates a tensor with elements drawn from a standard Gaussian (normal) distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1.

```
np.random.normal(0, 1, size=(3, 4))
array([[ 2.2122064 , 1.1630787 , 0.7740038 , 0.4838046 ],
      [ 1.0434403 , 0.29956347 , 1.1839255 , 0.15302546],
      [ 1.8917114 , -1.1688148 , -1.2347414 , 1.5580711 ]])
```

Finally, we can construct tensors by supplying the exact values for each element by supplying (possibly nested) Python list(s) containing numerical literals. Here, we construct a matrix with a list of lists, where the outermost list corresponds to axis 0, and the inner list to axis 1.

np.array([[2, 1, 4, 3], [1, 2, 3, 4], [4, 3, 2, 1]])

array([[2., 1., 4., 3.], [1., 2., 3., 4.], [4., 3., 2., 1.]])

2.1.2 Indexing and Slicing

As with Python lists, we can access tensor elements by indexing (starting with 0). To access an element based on its position relative to the end of the list, we can use negative indexing. Finally, we can access whole ranges of indices via slicing (e.g., X[start:stop]), where the returned value includes the first index (start) *but not the last* (stop). Finally, when only one index (or slice) is specified for a k^{th} order tensor, it is applied along axis 0. Thus, in the following code, [-1] selects the last row and [1:3] selects the second and third rows.

X[-1], X[1:3]

```
(array([ 8., 9., 10., 11.]),
array([[ 4., 5., 6., 7.],
        [ 8., 9., 10., 11.]]))
```

Beyond reading, we can also write elements of a matrix by specifying indices.

X[1, 2] = 17 X array([[0., 1., 2., 3.], [4., 5., 17., 7.], [8., 9., 10., 11.]])

If we want to assign multiple elements the same value, we apply the indexing on the lefthand side of the assignment operation. For instance, [:2, :] accesses the first and second rows, where : takes all the elements along axis 1 (column). While we discussed indexing for matrices, this also works for vectors and for tensors of more than 2 dimensions.

X[:2, :] = 12 X

```
array([[12., 12., 12., 12.],
[12., 12., 12., 12.],
[ 8., 9., 10., 11.]])
```

2.1.3 Operations

Now that we know how to construct tensors and how to read from and write to their elements, we can begin to manipulate them with various mathematical operations. Among the most useful tools are the *elementwise* operations. These apply a standard scalar operation to each element of a tensor. For functions that take two tensors as inputs, elementwise operations apply some standard binary operator on each pair of corresponding elements. We can create an elementwise function from any function that maps from a scalar to a scalar.

In mathematical notation, we denote such *unary* scalar operators (taking one input) by the signature $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. This just means that the function maps from any real number onto some other real number. Most standard operators can be applied elementwise including unary operators like e^x .

np.exp(x)

```
array([1.0000000e+00, 2.7182817e+00, 7.3890562e+00, 2.0085537e+01,
5.4598148e+01, 1.4841316e+02, 4.0342880e+02, 1.0966332e+03,
2.9809580e+03, 8.1030840e+03, 2.2026465e+04, 5.9874141e+04])
```

Likewise, we denote *binary* scalar operators, which map pairs of real numbers to a (single) real number via the signature $f : \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Given any two vectors u and v of the same shape, and a binary operator f, we can produce a vector c = F(u, v) by setting $c_i \leftarrow f(u_i, v_i)$ for all i, where c_i, u_i , and v_i are the i^{th} elements of vectors c, u, and v. Here, we produced the vector-valued $F : \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by *lifting* the scalar function to an elementwise vector operation. The common standard arithmetic operators for addition (+), subtraction (-), multiplication (*), division (/), and exponentiation (**) have all been *lifted* to elementwise operations for identically-shaped tensors of arbitrary shape.

x = np.array([1, 2, 4, 8]) y = np.array([2, 2, 2, 2]) x + y, x - y, x * y, x / y, x ** y

(array([3.,	4.,	6.,	10.]),
array([-1.,	0.,	2.,	6.]),
array([2.,	4.,	8.,	16.]),
array([0.5,	1.,	2.,	4.]),
array([1.,	4.,	16.,	64.]))

In addition to elementwise computations, we can also perform linear algebra operations, such as dot products and matrix multiplications. We will elaborate on these shortly in Section 2.3.

We can also *concatenate* multiple tensors together, stacking them end-to-end to form a larger tensor. We just need to provide a list of tensors and tell the system along which axis to concatenate. The example below shows what happens when we concatenate two matrices along rows (axis 0) vs. columns (axis 1). We can see that the first output's axis-0 length (6) is the sum of the two input tensors' axis-0 lengths (3 + 3); while the second output's axis-1 length (8) is the sum of the two input tensors' axis-1 lengths (4 + 4).

```
X = np.arange(12).reshape(3, 4)
Y = np.array([[2, 1, 4, 3], [1, 2, 3, 4], [4, 3, 2, 1]])
np.concatenate([X, Y], axis=0), np.concatenate([X, Y], axis=1)
```

```
(array([[ 0., 1., 2., 3.],
      [ 4., 5., 6., 7.],
      [ 8., 9., 10., 11.],
      [ 2., 1., 4., 3.],
      [ 1., 2., 3., 4.],
      [ 4., 3., 2., 1.]]),
array([[ 0., 1., 2., 3., 2., 1., 4., 3.],
      [ 4., 5., 6., 7., 1., 2., 3., 4.],
      [ 8., 9., 10., 11., 4., 3., 2., 1.]]))
```

Sometimes, we want to construct a binary tensor via *logical statements*. Take X == Y as an example. For each position i, j, if X[i, j] and Y[i, j] are equal, then the corresponding entry in the result takes value 1, otherwise it takes value 0.

X == Y

```
array([[False, True, False, True],
[False, False, False, False],
[False, False, False, False, False]])
```

Summing all the elements in the tensor yields a tensor with only one element.

X.sum()

array(66.)

2.1.4 Broadcasting

By now, you know how to perform elementwise binary operations on two tensors of the same shape. Under certain conditions, even when shapes differ, we can still perform elementwise binary operations by invoking the *broadcasting mechanism*. Broadcasting works according to the following two-step procedure: (i) expand one or both arrays by copying elements along axes with length 1 so that after this transformation, the two tensors have the same shape; (ii) perform an elementwise operation on the resulting arrays.

Since a and b are 3×1 and 1×2 matrices, respectively, their shapes do not match up. Broadcasting produces a larger 3×2 matrix by replicating matrix a along the columns and matrix b along the rows before adding them elementwise.

a + b

```
array([[0., 1.],
[1., 2.],
[2., 3.]])
```

2.1.5 Saving Memory

Running operations can cause new memory to be allocated to host results. For example, if we write Y = X + Y, we dereference the tensor that Y used to point to and instead point Y at the newly allocated memory. We can demonstrate this issue with Python's id() function, which gives us the exact address of the referenced object in memory. Note that after we run Y = Y + X, id(Y) points to a different location. That's because Python first evaluates Y + X, allocating new memory for the result and then points Y to this new location in memory.

before = id(Y)
Y = Y + X
id(Y) == before

False

This might be undesirable for two reasons. First, we do not want to run around allocating memory unnecessarily all the time. In machine learning, we often have hundreds of megabytes of parameters and update all of them multiple times per second. Whenever possible, we want to perform these updates *in place*. Second, we might point at the same parameters from multiple variables. If we do not update in place, we must be careful to update all of these references, lest we spring a memory leak or inadvertently refer to stale parameters.

Fortunately, performing in-place operations is easy. We can assign the result of an operation to a previously allocated array Y by using slice notation: $Y[:] = \langle expression \rangle$. To illustrate this concept, we overwrite the values of tensor Z, after initializing it, using zeros_like, to have the same shape as Y.

Z = np.zeros_like(Y)
print('id(Z):', id(Z))
Z[:] = X + Y
print('id(Z):', id(Z))

```
id(Z): 140663403043584
id(Z): 140663403043584
```

If the value of X is not reused in subsequent computations, we can also use X[:] = X + Y or X += Y to reduce the memory overhead of the operation.

before = id(X)
X += Y
id(X) == before

True

2.1.6 Conversion to Other Python Objects

Converting to a NumPy tensor (ndarray), or vice versa, is easy. The converted result does not share memory. This minor inconvenience is actually quite important: when you perform operations on the CPU or on GPUs, you do not want to halt computation, waiting to see whether the NumPy package of Python might want to be doing something else with the same chunk of memory.

A = X.asnumpy()
B = np.array(A)
type(A), type(B)

```
(numpy.ndarray, mxnet.numpy.ndarray)
```

To convert a size-1 tensor to a Python scalar, we can invoke the item function or Python's built-in functions.

```
a = np.array([3.5])
a, a.item(), float(a), int(a)
```

(array([3.5]), 3.5, 3.5, 3)

2.1.7 Summary

- The tensor class is the main interface for storing and manipulating data in deep learning libraries.
- Tensors provide a variety of functionalities including construction routines; indexing and slicing; basic mathematics operations; broadcasting; memory-efficient assignment; and conversion to and from other Python objects.

Exercises

1. Run the code in this section. Change the conditional statement X == Y to X < Y or X > Y, and then see what kind of tensor you can get.



2. Replace the two tensors that operate by element in the broadcasting mechanism with other shapes, e.g., 3-dimensional tensors. Is the result the same as expected?

Discussions⁴¹

63

2.2 Data Preprocessing

So far, we have been working with synthetic data that arrived in ready-made tensors. However, to apply deep learning in the wild we must extract messy data stored in arbitrary formats, and preprocess it to suit our needs. Fortunately, the *pandas* library⁴² can do much of the heavy lifting. This section, while no substitute for a proper *pandas* tutorial⁴³, will give you a crash ⁴² course on some of the most common routines.

2.2.1 Reading the Dataset

Comma-separated values (CSV) files are ubiquitous for storing tabular (spreadsheet-like) data. Here, each line corresponds to one record and consists of several (comma-separated) fields, e.g., "Albert Einstein,March 14 1879,Ulm,Federal polytechnic school,Accomplishments in the field of gravitational physics". To demonstrate how to load CSV files with pandas, we create a CSV file below .../data/house_tiny.csv. This file represents a dataset of homes, where each row corresponds to a distinct home and the columns correspond to the number of rooms (NumRooms), the roof type (RoofType), and the price (Price).

```
import os
```

```
os.makedirs(os.path.join('..', 'data'), exist_ok=True)
data_file = os.path.join('..', 'data', 'house_tiny.csv')
with open(data_file, 'w') as f:
    f.write('''NumRooms,RoofType,Price
NA,NA,127500
2,NA,106000
4,Slate,178100
NA,NA,140000''')
```

Now let's import pandas and load the dataset with read_csv.

```
import pandas as pd
data = pd.read_csv(data_file)
print(data)
```

	NumRooms	RoofType	Price
0	NaN	NaN	127500
1	2.0	NaN	106000
2	4.0	Slate	178100
3	NaN	NaN	140000

2.2.2 Data Preparation

In supervised learning, we train models to predict a designated *target* value, given some set of *input* values. Our first step in processing the dataset is to separate out columns corresponding to input versus target values. We can select columns either by name or via integer-location based indexing (iloc).

You might have noticed that pandas replaced all CSV entries with value NA with a special NaN (*not a number*) value. This can also happen whenever an entry is empty, e.g., "3,,,270000". These are called *missing values* and they are the "bed bugs" of data science, a persistent menace that you will confront throughout your career. Depending upon the context, missing



values might be handled either via *imputation* or *deletion*. Imputation replaces missing values with estimates of their values while deletion simply discards either those rows or those columns that contain missing values.

Here are some common imputation heuristics. For categorical input fields, we can treat NaN as a category. Since the RoofType column takes values Slate and NaN, pandas can convert this column into two columns RoofType_Slate and RoofType_nan. A row whose alley type is Slate will set values of RoofType_Slate and RoofType_nan to 1 and 0, respectively. The converse holds for a row with a missing RoofType value.

```
inputs, targets = data.iloc[:, 0:2], data.iloc[:, 2]
inputs = pd.get_dummies(inputs, dummy_na=True)
print(inputs)
```

	NumRooms	RoofType_Slate	RoofType_nan
0	NaN	0	1
1	2.0	0	1
2	4.0	1	0
3	NaN	0	1

For missing numerical values, one common heuristic is to replace the NaN entries with the mean value of the corresponding column.

```
inputs = inputs.fillna(inputs.mean())
print(inputs)
```

```
NumRooms RoofType_Slate RoofType_nan
0
        3.0
                            0
                                           1
                            0
1
        2.0
                                           1
2
        4.0
                            1
                                           0
3
        3.0
                            0
                                           1
```

2.2.3 Conversion to the Tensor Format

Now that all the entries in inputs and targets are numerical, we can load them into a tensor (recall Section 2.1).

```
from mxnet import np
X, y = np.array(inputs.values), np.array(targets.values)
X, y
```

```
(array([[3., 0., 1.],
       [2., 0., 1.],
       [4., 1., 0.],
       [3., 0., 1.]], dtype=float64),
array([127500, 106000, 178100, 140000], dtype=int64))
```

2.2.4 Discussion

You now know how to partition data columns, impute missing variables, and load pandas data into tensors. In Section 5.7, you will pick up some more data processing skills. While this crash course kept things simple, data processing can get hairy. For example, rather than arriving in a single CSV file, our dataset might be spread across multiple files extracted from a relational database. For instance, in an e-commerce application, customer addresses might

live in one table and purchase data in another. Moreover, practitioners face myriad data types beyond categorical and numeric. Other data types include text strings, images, audio data, and point clouds. Oftentimes, advanced tools and efficient algorithms are required to prevent data processing from becoming the biggest bottleneck in the machine learning pipeline. These problems will arise when we get to computer vision and natural language processing. Finally, we must pay attention to data quality. Real-world datasets are often plagued by outliers, faulty measurements from sensors, and recording errors, which must be addressed before feeding the data into any model. Data visualization tools such as seaborn⁴⁴, Bokeh⁴⁵, or matplotlib ⁴⁶ can help you to manually inspect the data and develop intuitions about what problems you 44 may need to address.

Exercises

- 1. Try loading datasets, e.g., Abalone from the UCI Machine Learning Repository⁴⁷ and inspect their properties. What fraction of them has missing values? What fraction of the variables is numerical, categorical, or text?
- 2. Try out indexing and selecting data columns by name rather than by column number. The pandas documentation on indexing⁴⁸ has further details on how to do this.
- 3. How large a dataset do you think you could load this way? What might be the limitations? Hint: consider the time to read the data, representation, processing, and memory footprint. 48 Try this out on your laptop. What changes if you try it out on a server?
- 4. How would you deal with data that has a very large number of categories? What if the category labels are all unique? Should you include the latter?
- 5. What alternatives to pandas can you think of? How about loading NumPy tensors from a file⁴⁹? Check out Pillow⁵⁰, the Python Imaging Library.

Discussions⁵¹

2.3 Linear Algebra

By now, we can load datasets into tensors and manipulate these tensors with basic mathematical operations. To start building sophisticated models, we will also need a few tools from linear algebra. This section offers a gentle introduction to the most essential concepts, starting from scalar arithmetic and ramping up to matrix multiplication.

2.3.1 Scalars

Most everyday mathematics consists of manipulating numbers one at a time. Formally, we call these values *scalars*. For example, the temperature in Palo Alto is a balmy 72 degrees Fahrenheit. If you wanted to convert the temperature to Celsius you would evaluate the expression $c = \frac{5}{9}(f - 32)$, setting f to 72. In this equation, the values 5, 9, and 32 are scalars. The variables c and f represent unknown scalars.

We denote scalars by ordinary lower-cased letters (e.g., x, y, and z) and the space of all (continuous) *real-valued* scalars by \mathbb{R} . For expedience, we will skip past rigorous definitions of *spaces*. Just remember that the expression $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a formal way to say that x is a real-valued scalar. The symbol \in (pronounced "in") denotes membership in a set. For example, $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates that x and y are variables that can only take values 0 or 1.

45









Scalars are implemented as tensors that contain only one element. Below, we assign two scalars and perform the familiar addition, multiplication, division, and exponentiation operations.

from mxnet import np, npx
npx.set_np()
x = np.array(3.0)
y = np.array(2.0)
x + y, x * y, x / y, x ** y

(array(5.), array(6.), array(1.5), array(9.))

2.3.2 Vectors

For our purposes, you can think of vectors as fixed-length arrays of scalars. As with their code counterparts, we call these values the *elements* of the vector (synonyms include *entries* and *components*). When vectors represent examples from real-world datasets, their values hold some real-world significance. For example, if we were training a model to predict the risk of a loan defaulting, we might associate each applicant with a vector whose components correspond to quantities like their income, length of employment, or number of previous defaults. If we were studying heart attack risk, each vector might represent a patient and its components might correspond to their most recent vital signs, cholesterol levels, minutes of exercise per day, etc. We denote vectors by bold lowercase letters, (e.g., x, y, and z).

Vectors are implemented as 1st-order tensors. In general, such tensors can have arbitrary lengths, subject to memory limitations. Caution: in Python, like in most programming languages, vector indices start at 0, also known as *zero-based indexing*, whereas in linear algebra subscripts begin at 1 (one-based indexing).

x = np.arange(3)
x

array([0., 1., 2.])

We can refer to an element of a vector by using a subscript. For example, x_2 denotes the second element of x. Since x_2 is a scalar, we do not bold it. By default, we visualize vectors by stacking their elements vertically.

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.3.1)$$

Here x_1, \ldots, x_n are elements of the vector. Later on, we will distinguish between such *column vectors* and *row vectors* whose elements are stacked horizontally. Recall that we access a tensor's elements via indexing.

x[2]

array(2.)

To indicate that a vector contains n elements, we write $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Formally, we call n the

dimensionality of the vector. In code, this corresponds to the tensor's length, accessible via Python's built-in len function.

len(x)

3

We can also access the length via the shape attribute. The shape is a tuple that indicates a tensor's length along each axis. Tensors with just one axis have shapes with just one element.

x.shape

(3,)

Oftentimes, the word "dimension" gets overloaded to mean both the number of axes and the length along a particular axis. To avoid this confusion, we use *order* to refer to the number of axes and *dimensionality* exclusively to refer to the number of components.

2.3.3 Matrices

Just as scalars are 0th-order tensors and vectors are 1st-order tensors, matrices are 2nd-order tensors. We denote matrices by bold capital letters (e.g., X, Y, and Z), and represent them in code by tensors with two axes. The expression $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ indicates that a matrix A contains $m \times n$ real-valued scalars, arranged as m rows and n columns. When m = n, we say that a matrix is *square*. Visually, we can illustrate any matrix as a table. To refer to an individual element, we subscript both the row and column indices, e.g., a_{ij} is the value that belongs to A's *i*th row and *j*th column:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.3.2)

In code, we represent a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ by a 2nd-order tensor with shape (m, n). We can convert any appropriately sized $m \times n$ tensor into an $m \times n$ matrix by passing the desired shape to reshape:

```
A = np.arange(6).reshape(3, 2)
A
```

array([[0., 1.], [2., 3.], [4., 5.]])

Sometimes, we want to flip the axes. When we exchange a matrix's rows and columns, the result is called its *transpose*. Formally, we signify a matrix \mathbf{A} 's transpose by \mathbf{A}^{\top} and if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}$, then $b_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all i and j. Thus, the transpose of an $m \times n$ matrix is an $n \times m$ matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.3.3)

In code, we can access any matrix's transpose as follows:

Α.Τ

array([[0., 2., 4.], [1., 3., 5.]])

Symmetric matrices are the subset of square matrices that are equal to their own transposes: $A = A^{\top}$. The following matrix is symmetric:

```
A = np.array([[1, 2, 3], [2, 0, 4], [3, 4, 5]])
A == A.T
```

```
array([[ True, True, True],
[ True, True, True],
[ True, True, True]])
```

Matrices are useful for representing datasets. Typically, rows correspond to individual records and columns correspond to distinct attributes.

2.3.4 Tensors

While you can go far in your machine learning journey with only scalars, vectors, and matrices, eventually you may need to work with higher-order tensors. Tensors give us a generic way to describe extensions to n^{th} -order arrays. We call software objects of the *tensor class* "tensors" precisely because they too can have arbitrary numbers of axes. While it may be confusing to use the word *tensor* for both the mathematical object and its realization in code, our meaning should usually be clear from context. We denote general tensors by capital letters with a special font face (e.g., X, Y, and Z) and their indexing mechanism (e.g., x_{ijk} and $[X]_{1,2i-1,3}$) follows naturally from that of matrices.

Tensors will become more important when we start working with images. Each image arrives as a $3^{\rm rd}$ -order tensor with axes corresponding to the height, width, and *channel*. At each spatial location, the intensities of each color (red, green, and blue) are stacked along the channel. Moreover a collection of images is represented in code by a $4^{\rm th}$ -order tensor, where distinct images are indexed along the first axis. Higher-order tensors are constructed analogously to vectors and matrices, by growing the number of shape components.

```
np.arange(24).reshape(2, 3, 4)
```

```
array([[[ 0., 1., 2., 3.],
[ 4., 5., 6., 7.],
[ 8., 9., 10., 11.]],
[[12., 13., 14., 15.],
[16., 17., 18., 19.],
[20., 21., 22., 23.]]])
```

2.3.5 Basic Properties of Tensor Arithmetic

Scalars, vectors, matrices, and higher-order tensors all have some handy properties. For example, elementwise operations produce outputs that have the same shape as their operands.

```
A = np.arange(6).reshape(2, 3)
B = A.copy() # Assign a copy of `A` to `B` by allocating new memory
A, A + B
```

(array([[0., 1., 2.], [3., 4., 5.]]), array([[0., 2., 4.], [6., 8., 10.]]))

The elementwise product of two matrices is called their *Hadamard product* (denoted \odot). Below, we spell out the entries of the Hadamard product of two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$:

$$\boldsymbol{A} \odot \boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{12}b_{12} & \dots & a_{1n}b_{1n} \\ a_{21}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} & \dots & a_{2n}b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}b_{m1} & a_{m2}b_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn}b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.3.4)

A * B

array([[0., 1., 4.], [9., 16., 25.]])

Adding or multiplying a scalar and a tensor produces a result with the same shape as the original tensor. Here, each element of the tensor is added to (or multiplied by) the scalar.

```
a = 2
X = np.arange(24).reshape(2, 3, 4)
a + X, (a * X).shape
(array([[[ 2., 3., 4., 5.]],
```

```
(array([[[ 2., 3., 4., 5.],
       [ 6., 7., 8., 9.],
       [10., 11., 12., 13.]],
       [[14., 15., 16., 17.],
       [18., 19., 20., 21.],
       [22., 23., 24., 25.]]]),
 (2, 3, 4))
```

2.3.6 Reduction

Often, we wish to calculate the sum of a tensor's elements. To express the sum of the elements in a vector x of length n, we write $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$. There's a simple function for it:

```
x = np.arange(3)
x, x.sum()
```

(array([0., 1., 2.]), array(3.))

To express sums over the elements of tensors of arbitrary shape, we simply sum over all of its axes. For example, the sum of the elements of an $m \times n$ matrix A could be written $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$.

A.shape, A.sum()

```
((2, 3), array(15.))
```

By default, invoking the sum function *reduces* a tensor along all of its axes, eventually producing a scalar. Our libraries also allow us to specify the axes along which the tensor should be reduced. To sum over all elements along the rows (axis 0), we specify axis=0 in sum. Since the input matrix reduces along axis 0 to generate the output vector, this axis is missing from the shape of the output.

A.shape, A.sum(axis=0).shape

((2, 3), (3,))

Specifying axis=1 will reduce the column dimension (axis 1) by summing up elements of all the columns.

```
A.shape, A.sum(axis=1).shape
```

((2, 3), (2,))

Reducing a matrix along both rows and columns via summation is equivalent to summing up all the elements of the matrix.

```
A.sum(axis=[0, 1]) == A.sum() # Same as `A.sum()`
```

array(True)

A related quantity is the *mean*, also called the *average*. We calculate the mean by dividing the sum by the total number of elements. Because computing the mean is so common, it gets a dedicated library function that works analogously to sum.

```
A.mean(), A.sum() / A.size
```

```
(array(2.5), array(2.5))
```

Likewise, the function for calculating the mean can also reduce a tensor along specific axes.

```
A.mean(axis=0), A.sum(axis=0) / A.shape[0]
```

```
(array([1.5, 2.5, 3.5]), array([1.5, 2.5, 3.5]))
```

2.3.7 Non-Reduction Sum

Sometimes it can be useful to keep the number of axes unchanged when invoking the function for calculating the sum or mean. This matters when we want to use the broadcast mechanism.

```
sum_A = A.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True)
sum_A, sum_A.shape
```

(array([[3.], [12.]]), (2, 1))

For instance, since sum_A keeps its two axes after summing each row, we can divide A by sum_A with broadcasting to create a matrix where each row sums up to 1.

A / sum_A

```
array([[0. , 0.33333334, 0.66666667],
[0.25 , 0.33333334, 0.41666666]])
```

If we want to calculate the cumulative sum of elements of A along some axis, say axis=0 (row by row), we can call the cumsum function. By design, this function does not reduce the input tensor along any axis.

```
A.cumsum(axis=0)
```

array([[0., 1., 2.], [3., 5., 7.]])

2.3.8 Dot Products

So far, we have only performed elementwise operations, sums, and averages. And if this was all we could do, linear algebra would not deserve its own section. Fortunately, this is where things get more interesting. One of the most fundamental operations is the dot product. Given two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, their *dot product* $x^\top y$ (or $\langle x, y \rangle$) is a sum over the products of the elements at the same position: $x^\top y = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i$.

y = np.ones(3)
x, y, np.dot(x, y)

(array([0., 1., 2.]), array([1., 1., 1.]), array(3.))

Equivalently, we can calculate the dot product of two vectors by performing an elementwise multiplication followed by a sum:

np.sum(x * y)

array(3.)

Dot products are useful in a wide range of contexts. For example, given some set of values, denoted by a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a set of weights denoted by $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the weighted sum of the values in x according to the weights w could be expressed as the dot product $x^{\top}w$. When the weights are non-negative and sum to one, i.e., $(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1)$, the dot product expresses a *weighted average*. After normalizing two vectors to have unit length, the dot products express the cosine of the angle between them. Later in this section, we will formally introduce this notion of *length*.

2.3.9 Matrix-Vector Products
Now that we know how to calculate dot products, we can begin to understand the *product* between an $m \times n$ matrix A and an *n*-dimensional vector x. To start off, we visualize our matrix in terms of its row vectors

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_1^\top \\ \boldsymbol{a}_2^\top \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_m^\top \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.3.5)$$

where each $a_i^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a row vector representing the i^{th} row of the matrix A.

The matrix-vector product Ax is simply a column vector of length m, whose i^{th} element is the dot product $a_i^{\top} x$:

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{m}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{m}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.3.6)

We can think of multiplication with a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a transformation that projects vectors from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m . These transformations are remarkably useful. For example, we can represent rotations as multiplications by certain square matrices. Matrix-vector products also describe the key calculation involved in computing the outputs of each layer in a neural network given the outputs from the previous layer.

To express a matrix-vector product in code, we use the same dot function. The operation is inferred based on the type of the arguments. Note that the column dimension of A (its length along axis 1) must be the same as the dimension of x (its length).

A.shape, x.shape, np.dot(A, x)

((2, 3), (3,), array([5., 14.]))

2.3.10 Matrix-Matrix Multiplication

If you've gotten the hang of dot products and matrix-vector products, then *matrix-matrix multiplication* should be straightforward.

Say that we have two matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nk} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1m} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{k1} & b_{k2} & \cdots & b_{km} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.3.7)

Let $a_i^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the row vector representing the *i*th row of the matrix A and let $b_j \in \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the column vector from the *j*th column of the matrix B:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{n}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} & \boldsymbol{b}_{2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{b}_{m} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.3.8)

To form the matrix product $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, we simply compute each element c_{ij} as the dot

product between the i^{th} row of \boldsymbol{A} and the j^{th} row of \boldsymbol{B} , i.e., $\boldsymbol{a}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}_j$:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{n}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_{1} & \boldsymbol{b}_{2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{b}_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{1} & \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{m} \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{1} & \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{a}_{n}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{1} & \boldsymbol{a}_{n}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{2} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{a}_{n}^{\top}\boldsymbol{b}_{m} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.3.9)$$

We can think of the matrix-matrix multiplication AB as performing m matrix-vector products or $m \times n$ dot products and stitching the results together to form an $n \times m$ matrix. In the following snippet, we perform matrix multiplication on A and B. Here, A is a matrix with 2 rows and 3 columns, and B is a matrix with 3 rows and 4 columns. After multiplication, we obtain a matrix with 2 rows and 4 columns.

B = np.ones(shape=(3, 4))
np.dot(A, B)

array([[3., 3., 3., 3.], [12., 12., 12., 12.]])

The term *matrix-matrix multiplication* is often simplified to *matrix multiplication*, and should not be confused with the Hadamard product.

2.3.11 Norms

Some of the most useful operators in linear algebra are *norms*. Informally, the norm of a vector tells us how *big* it is. For instance, the

 ell_2 norm measures the (Euclidean) length of a vector. Here, we are employing a notion of *size* that concerns the magnitude a vector's components (not its dimensionality).

A norm is a function $\|\cdot\|$ that maps a vector to a scalar and satisfies the following three properties:

1. Given any vector \boldsymbol{x} , if we scale (all elements of) the vector by a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, its norm scales accordingly:

$$\|\alpha \boldsymbol{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\boldsymbol{x}\|. \tag{2.3.10}$$

2. For any vectors x and y: norms satisfy the triangle inequality:

$$\|x + y\| \le \|x\| + \|y\|.$$
 (2.3.11)

3. The norm of a vector is nonnegative and it only vanishes if the vector is zero:

$$\|x\| > 0 \text{ for all } x \neq 0.$$
 (2.3.12)

Many functions are valid norms and different norms encode different notions of size. The Euclidean norm that we all learned in elementary school geometry when calculating the hypotenuse of right triangle is the square root of the sum of squares of a vector's elements. Formally, this is called the ℓ_2 norm and expressed as

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}.$$
(2.3.13)

The method norm calculates the ℓ_2 norm.

u = np.array([3, -4])
np.linalg.norm(u)

array(5.)

The ℓ_1 norm is also popular and the associated metric is called the Manhattan distance. By definition, the ℓ_1 norm sums the absolute values of a vector's elements:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|.$$
(2.3.14)

Compared to the ℓ_2 norm, it is less sensitive to outliers. To compute the ℓ_1 norm, we compose the absolute value with the sum operation.

np.abs(u).sum()

array(7.)

Both the ℓ_2 and ℓ_1 norms are special cases of the more general ℓ_p norms:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}.$$
(2.3.15)

In the case of matrices, matters are more complicated. After all, matrices can be viewed both as collections of individual entries *and* as objects that operate on vectors and transform them into other vectors. For instance, we can ask by how much longer the matrix-vector product Xv could be relative to v. This line of thought leads to a norm called the *spectral* norm. For now, we introduce the *Frobenius norm*, which is much easier to compute and defined as the square root of the sum of the squares of a matrix's elements:

$$\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_{F} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}^{2}}.$$
(2.3.16)

The Frobenius norm behaves as if it were an ℓ_2 norm of a matrix-shaped vector. Invoking the following function will calculate the Frobenius norm of a matrix.

```
np.linalg.norm(np.ones((4, 9)))
```

array(6.)

While we do not want to get too far ahead of ourselves, we can plant some intuition already about why these concepts are useful. In deep learning, we are often trying to solve optimization problems: *maximize* the probability assigned to observed data; *maximize* the revenue associated with a recommender model; *minimize* the distance between predictions and the ground-truth observations; *minimize* the distance between representations of photos of the same person while *maximizing* the distance between representations of photos of different people. These distances, which constitute the objectives of deep learning algorithms, are often expressed as norms.

2.3.12 Discussion

In this section, we reviewed all the linear algebra that you will need to understand a remarkable chunk of modern deep learning. There is a lot more to linear algebra and much of it is useful for machine learning. For example, matrices can be decomposed into factors, and these decompositions can reveal low-dimensional structure in real-world datasets. There are entire subfields of machine learning that focus on using matrix decompositions and their generalizations to high-order tensors to discover structure in datasets and solve prediction problems. But this book focuses on deep learning. And we believe you will be more inclined to learn more mathematics once you have gotten your hands dirty applying machine learning to real datasets. So while we reserve the right to introduce more mathematics later on, we wrap up this section here.

If you are eager to learn more linear algebra, there are many excellent books and online resources. For a more advanced crash course, consider checking out (Kolter, 2008, Petersen *et al.*, 2008, Strang, 1993).

To recap:

- Scalars, vectors, matrices, and tensors are the basic mathematical objects used in linear algebra and have zero, one, two, and an arbitrary number of axes, respectively.
- Tensors can be sliced or reduced along specified axes via indexing, or operations such as sum and mean, respectively.
- Elementwise products are called Hadamard products. By contrast, dot products, matrixvector products, and matrix-matrix products are not elementwise operations and in general return objects that have different shapes than the operands.
- Compared to Hadamard products, matrix-matrix products take considerably longer to compute (cubic rather than quadratic time).
- Norms capture various notions of the magnitude of a vector, and are commonly applied to the difference of two vectors to measure their distance.
- Common vector norms include the ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 norms, and common matrix norms include the *spectral* and *Frobenius* norms.

Exercises

- 1. Prove that the transpose of the transpose of a matrix is the matrix itself: $(\mathbf{A}^{\top})^{\top} = \mathbf{A}$.
- 2. Given two matrices A and B, show that sum and transposition commute: $A^{\top} + B^{\top} = (A + B)^{\top}$.
- 3. Given any square matrix A, is $A + A^{\top}$ always symmetric? Can you prove the result by using only the result of the previous two exercises?
- 4. We defined the tensor X of shape (2, 3, 4) in this section. What is the output of len(X)? Write your answer without implementing any code, then check your answer using code.
- 5. For a tensor X of arbitrary shape, does len(X) always correspond to the length of a certain axis of X? What is that axis?
- 6. Run A / A. sum(axis=1) and see what happens. Can you analyze the reason?
- 7. When traveling between two points in downtown Manhattan, what is the distance that you need to cover in terms of the coordinates, i.e., in terms of avenues and streets? Can you travel diagonally?
- 8. Consider a tensor with shape (2, 3, 4). What are the shapes of the summation outputs along axis 0, 1, and 2?
- 9. Feed a tensor with 3 or more axes to the linalg.norm function and observe its output. What does this function compute for tensors of arbitrary shape?
- 10. Define three large matrices, say $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{10} \times 2^{16}}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{16} \times 2^5}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{2^5 \times 2^{14}}$, for instance initialized with Gaussian random variables. You want to compute the product *ABC*. Is there any difference in memory footprint and speed, depending on whether you compute (AB)C or A(BC). Why?

- 11. Define three large matrices, say $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{10} \times 2^{16}}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{16} \times 2^5}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{2^5 \times 2^{16}}$. Is there any difference in speed depending on whether you compute AB or AC^{\top} ? Why? What changes if you initialize $C = B^{\top}$ without cloning memory? Why?
- 12. Define three matrices, say $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 200}$. Constitute a tensor with 3 axes by stacking [A, B, C]. What is the dimensionality? Slice out the second coordinate of the third axis to recover B. Check that your answer is correct.

Discussions⁵²



2.4 Calculus

For a long time, how to calculate the area of a circle remained a mystery. Then, the ancient Greek mathematician Archimedes came up with the clever idea to inscribe a series of polygons with increasing numbers of vertices on the inside of a circle (Fig.2.4.1). For a polygon with n vertices, we obtain n triangles. The height of each triangle approaches the radius r as we partition the circle more finely. At the same time, its base approaches $2\pi r/n$, since the ratio between arc and secant approaches 1 for a large number of vertices. Thus, the area of the triangle approaches $n \cdot r \cdot \frac{1}{2}(2\pi r/n) = \pi r^2$.





This limiting procedure leads to both *differential calculus* and *integral calculus* (Section 19.5). The former can tell us how to increase or decrease a function value by manipulating its arguments. This comes in handy for the *optimization problems* that we face in deep learning, where we repeatedly update our parameters in order to decrease the loss function. Optimization addresses how to fit our models to training data, and calculus is its key prerequisite. However, don't forget that our ultimate goal is to perform well on *previously unseen* data. That problem is called *generalization* and will be a key focus of other chapters.

2.4.1 Derivatives and Differentiation

Put simply, a *derivative* is the rate of change in a function with respect to changes in its arguments. Derivatives can tell us how rapidly a loss function would increase or decrease were we to *increase* or *decrease* each parameter by an infinitesimally small amount. Formally, for functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, that map from scalars to scalars, the *derivative* of f at a point x is defined as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$
(2.4.1)

This term on the right hand side is called a *limit* and it tells us what happens to the value of an expression as a specified variable approaches a particular value. This limit tells us what the ratio between a perturbation h and the change in the function value f(x + h) - f(x) converges to as we shrink its size to zero.

When f'(x) exists, f is said to be *differentiable* at x; and when f'(x) exists for all x on a set, e.g., the interval [a, b], we say that f is differentiable on this set. Not all functions are

differentiable, including many that we wish to optimize, including accuracy and the area under the receiving operating characteristic (AUC). However, because computing the derivative of the loss is a crucial step in nearly all algorithms for training deep neural networks, we often optimize a differentiable *surrogate* instead.

We can interpret the derivative f'(x) as the *instantaneous* rate of change of f(x) with respect to x. Let's develop some intuition with an example. Define $u = f(x) = 3x^2 - 4x$.

```
%matplotlib inline
from matplotlib_inline import backend_inline
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def f(x):
    return 3 * x ** 2 - 4 * x
```

Setting x = 1, $\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$ approaches 2 as h approaches 0. While this experiment lacks the rigor of a mathematical proof, we will soon see that indeed f'(1) = 2.

for h in 10.0**np.arange(-1, -6, -1):
 print(f'h={h:.5f}, numerical limit={(f(1+h)-f(1))/h:.5f}')

```
h=0.10000, numerical limit=2.30000
h=0.01000, numerical limit=2.02999
h=0.00100, numerical limit=2.00295
h=0.00010, numerical limit=2.00033
h=0.00001, numerical limit=2.00272
```

There are several equivalent notational conventions for derivatives. Given y = f(x), the following expressions are equivalent:

$$f'(x) = y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{df}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}f(x) = Df(x) = D_x f(x),$$
(2.4.2)

where the symbols $\frac{d}{dx}$ and D are *differentiation operators*. Below, we present the derivatives of some common functions:

$$\frac{d}{dx}C = 0 \qquad \text{for any constant } C$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \neq 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}e^x = e^x$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\ln x = x^{-1}$$
(2.4.3)

Functions composed from differentiable functions are often themselves differentiable. The following rules come in handy for working with compositions of any differentiable functions f and g, and constant C.

$$\frac{d}{dx}[Cf(x)] = C\frac{d}{dx}f(x) \qquad \text{Constant multiple rule}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[f(x) + g(x)] = \frac{d}{dx}f(x) + \frac{d}{dx}g(x) \qquad \text{Sum rule}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[f(x)g(x)] = f(x)\frac{d}{dx}g(x) + g(x)\frac{d}{dx}f(x) \qquad \text{Product rule}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{g(x)\frac{d}{dx}f(x) - f(x)\frac{d}{dx}g(x)}{g^2(x)} \qquad \text{Quotient rule}$$

Using this, we can apply the rules to find the derivative of $3x^2 - 4x$ via

$$\frac{d}{dx}[3x^2 - 4x] = 3\frac{d}{dx}x^2 - 4\frac{d}{dx}x = 6x - 4.$$
(2.4.5)

Plugging in x = 1 shows that, indeed, the derivative is 2 at this location. Note that derivatives tell us the *slope* of a function at a particular location.

2.4.2 Visualization Utilities

We can visualize the slopes of functions using the matplotlib library. We need to define a few functions. As its name indicates, use_svg_display tells matplotlib to output graphics in SVG format for crisper images. The comment #@save is a special modifier that allows us to save any function, class, or other code block to the d2l package so that we can invoke it later without repeating the code, e.g., via d2l.use_svg_display().

```
def use_svg_display(): #@save
    """Use the svg format to display a plot in Jupyter."""
    backend_inline.set_matplotlib_formats('svg')
```

Conveniently, we can set figure sizes with set_figsize. Since the import statement from matplotlib import pyplot as plt was marked via #@save in the d2l package, we can call d2l.plt.

```
def set_figsize(figsize=(3.5, 2.5)): #@save
    """Set the figure size for matplotlib."""
    use_svg_display()
    d2l.plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = figsize
```

The set_axes function can associate axes with properties, including labels, ranges, and scales.

With these three functions, we can define a plot function to overlay multiple curves. Much of the code here is just ensuring that the sizes and shapes of inputs match.

(continued from previous page)

X = X * len(Y)
set_figsize(figsize)
if axes is None: axes = d2l.plt.gca()
axes.cla()
for x, y, fmt in zip(X, Y, fmts):
 axes.plot(x,y,fmt) if len(x) else axes.plot(y,fmt)
set_axes(axes, xlabel, ylabel, xlim, ylim, xscale, yscale, legend)

Now we can plot the function u = f(x) and its tangent line y = 2x - 3 at x = 1, where the coefficient 2 is the slope of the tangent line.

```
x = np.arange(0, 3, 0.1)
plot(x, [f(x), 2 * x - 3], 'x', 'f(x)', legend=['f(x)', 'Tangent line (x=1)'])
```



2.4.3 Partial Derivatives and Gradients

Thus far, we have been differentiating functions of just one variable. In deep learning, we also need to work with functions of *many* variables. We briefly introduce notions of the derivative that apply to such *multivariate* functions.

Let $y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ be a function with *n* variables. The *partial derivative* of *y* with respect to its *i*th parameter x_i is

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}{h}.$$
 (2.4.6)

To calculate $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i}$, we can treat $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n$ as constants and calculate the derivative of y with respect to x_i . The following notation conventions for partial derivatives are all common and all mean the same thing:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \partial_{x_i} f = \partial_i f = f_{x_i} = f_i = D_i f = D_{x_i} f.$$
(2.4.7)

We can concatenate partial derivatives of a multivariate function with respect to all its variables to obtain a vector that is called the *gradient* of the function. Suppose that the input of function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is an *n*-dimensional vector $\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{\top}$ and the output is a scalar. The gradient of the function f with respect to \boldsymbol{x} is a vector of n partial derivatives:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[\partial_{x_1} f(\boldsymbol{x}), \partial_{x_2} f(\boldsymbol{x}), \dots \partial_{x_n} f(\boldsymbol{x})\right]^{\top}.$$
(2.4.8)

When there is no ambiguity, $\nabla_{x} f(x)$ is typically replaced by $\nabla f(x)$. The following rules come in handy for differentiating multivariate functions:

• For all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we have $\nabla_x A x = A^{\top}$ and $\nabla_x x^{\top} A = A$.

• For square matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ we have that $\nabla_x x^\top A x = (A + A^\top) x$ and in particular $\nabla_x \|x\|^2 = \nabla_x x^\top x = 2x$.

Similarly, for any matrix X, we have $\nabla_X ||X||_F^2 = 2X$.

2.4.4 Chain Rule

In deep learning, the gradients of concern are often difficult to calculate because we are working with deeply nested functions (of functions (of functions...)). Fortunately, the *chain rule* takes care of this. Returning to functions of a single variable, suppose that y = f(g(x)) and that the underlying functions y = f(u) and u = g(x) are both differentiable. The chain rule states that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du}\frac{du}{dx}.$$
(2.4.9)

Turning back to multivariate functions, suppose that y = f(u) has variables u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m , where each $u_i = g_i(x)$ has variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , i.e., u = g(x). Then the chain rule states that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial u_1} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial u_2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} + \ldots + \frac{\partial y}{\partial u_m} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_i} \text{ and thus } \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} y = \boldsymbol{A} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{u}} y, \quad (2.4.10)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is a *matrix* that contains the derivative of vector u with respect to vector x. Thus, evaluating the gradient requires computing a vector-matrix product. This is one of the key reasons why linear algebra is such an integral building block in building deep learning systems.

2.4.5 Discussion

While we have just scratched the surface of a deep topic, a number of concepts already come into focus: first, the composition rules for differentiation can be applied mindlessly, enabling us to compute gradients *automatically*. This task requires no creativity and thus we can focus our cognitive powers elsewhere. Second, computing the derivatives of vector-valued functions requires us to multiply matrices as we trace the dependency graph of variables from output to input. In particular, this graph is traversed in a *forward* direction when we evaluate a function and in a *backwards* direction when we compute gradients. Later chapters will formally introduce backpropagation, a computational procedure for applying the chain rule.

From the viewpoint of optimization, gradients allow us to determine how to move the parameters of a model in order to lower the loss, and each step of the optimization algorithms used throughout this book will require calculating the gradient.

Exercises

- 1. So far we took the rules for derivatives for granted. Using the definition and limits prove the properties for (i) f(x) = c, (ii) $f(x) = x^n$, (iii) $f(x) = e^x$ and (iv) $f(x) = \log x$.
- 2. In the same vein, prove the product, sum, and quotient rule from first principles.
- 3. Prove that the constant multiple rule follows as a special case of the product rule.
- 4. Calculate the derivative of $f(x) = x^x$.
- 5. What does it mean that f'(x) = 0 for some x? Give an example of a function f and a location x for which this might hold.
- 6. Plot the function $y = f(x) = x^3 \frac{1}{x}$ and plot its tangent line at x = 1.

- 7. Find the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 5e^{x_2}$.
- 8. What is the gradient of the function $f(x) = ||x||_2$? What happens for x = o?
- 9. Can you write out the chain rule for the case where u = f(x, y, z) and x = x(a, b), y = y(a, b), and z = z(a, b)?
- 10. Given a function f(x) that is invertible, compute the derivative of its inverse $f^{-1}(x)$. Here we have that $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ and conversely $f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$. Hint: use these properties in your derivation.

Discussions⁵³

3 9499 9499 9499

2.5 Automatic Differentiation

Recall from Section 2.4 that calculating derivatives is the crucial step in all of the optimization algorithms that we will use to train deep networks. While the calculations are straightforward, working them out by hand can be tedious and error-prone, and this problem only grows as our models become more complex.

Fortunately all modern deep learning frameworks take this work off of our plates by offering *automatic differentiation* (often shortened to *autograd*). As we pass data through each successive function, the framework builds a *computational graph* that tracks how each value depends on others. To calculate derivatives, automatic differentiation packages then work backwards through this graph applying the chain rule. The computational algorithm for applying the chain rule this fashion is called *backpropagation*.

While autograd libraries become hot concerns over the past decade, they have a long history. In fact the earliest references to autograd date back over half of a century (Wengert, 1964). The core ideas behind modern backpropagation date to a PhD thesis from 1980 (Speelpenning, 1980) and were further developed in the late 1980s (Griewank, 1989). While backpropagation has become the default method for computing gradients, it's not the only option. For instance, the Julia programming language employs forward propagation (Revels *et al.*, 2016). Before exploring methods, let's first master the autograd package.

2.5.1 A Simple Function

Let's assume that we are interested in differentiating the function $y = 2x^{\top}x$ with respect to the column vector x. To start, we assign x an initial value.

```
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
npx.set_np()
x = np.arange(4.0)
x
```

array([0., 1., 2., 3.])

Before we calculate the gradient of y with respect to x, we need a place to store it. In general, we avoid allocating new memory every time we take a derivative because deep learning requires successively computing derivatives with respect to the same parameters thousands or millions of times, and we might risk running out of memory. Note that the gradient of a

scalar-valued function with respect to a vector x is vector-valued and has the same shape as x.

```
# We allocate memory for a tensor's gradient by invoking `attach_grad`
x.attach_grad()
# After we calculate a gradient taken with respect to `x`, we will be able to
# access it via the `grad` attribute, whose values are initialized with 0s
x.grad
```

array([0., 0., 0., 0.])

We now calculate our function of x and assign the result to y.

array(28.)

We can now take the gradient of y with respect to x by calling its backward method. Next, we can access the gradient via x's grad attribute.

y.backward() x.grad

[22:42:07] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.

```
array([ 0., 4., 8., 12.])
```

We already know that the gradient of the function $y = 2x^{\top}x$ with respect to x should be 4x. We can now verify that the automatic gradient computation and the expected result are identical.

x.grad == 4 * x

array([True, True, True, True])

Now let's calculate another function of x and take its gradient. Note that MXNet resets the gradient buffer whenever we record a new gradient.

```
with autograd.record():
    y = x.sum()
y.backward()
x.grad # Overwritten by the newly calculated gradient
```

array([1., 1., 1., 1.])

2.5.2 Backward for Non-Scalar Variables

When y is a vector, the most natural interpretation of the derivative of y with respect to a vector x is a matrix called the *Jacobian* that contains the partial derivatives of each com-

ponent of y with respect to each component of x. Likewise, for higher-order y and x, the differentiation result could be an even higher-order tensor.

While Jacobians do show up in some advanced machine learning techniques, more commonly we want to sum up the gradients of each component of y with respect to the full vector x, yielding a vector of the same shape as x. For example, we often have a vector representing the value of our loss function calculated separately for each among a *batch* of training examples. Here, we just want to sum up the gradients computed individually for each example.

MXNet handles this problem by reducing all tensors to scalars by summing before computing a gradient. In other words, rather than returning the Jacobian $\partial_x y$, it returns the gradient of the sum $\partial_x \sum_i y_i$.

```
with autograd.record():
    y = x * x
y.backward()
x.grad # Equals the gradient of y = sum(x * x)
```

array([0., 2., 4., 6.])

2.5.3 Detaching Computation

Sometimes, we wish to move some calculations outside of the recorded computational graph. For example, say that we use the input to create some auxiliary intermediate terms for which we do not want to compute a gradient. In this case, we need to *detach* the respective computational influence graph from the final result. The following toy example makes this clearer: suppose we have z = x * y and y = x * x but we want to focus on the *direct* influence of x on z rather than the influence conveyed via y. In this case, we can create a new variable u that takes the same value as y but whose *provenance* (how it was created) has been wiped out. Thus u has no ancestors in the graph and gradients to not flow through u to x. For example, taking the gradient of z = x * u will yield the result x, (not 3 * x * x as you might have expected since z = x * x * x).

```
with autograd.record():
    y = x * x
    u = y.detach()
    z = u * x
z.backward()
x.grad == u
```

array([True, True, True, True])

Note that while this procedure detaches y's ancestors from the graph leading to z, the computational graph leading to y persists and thus we can calculate the gradient of y with respect to x.

```
y.backward()
x.grad == 2 * x
```

```
array([ True, True, True, True])
```

2.5.4 Gradients and Python Control Flow

So far we reviewed cases where the path from input to output was well-defined via a function such as z = x * x * x. Programming offers us a lot more freedom in how we compute results. For instance, we can make them depend on auxiliary variables or condition choices on intermediate results. One benefit of using automatic differentiation is that even if building the computational graph of a function required passing through a maze of Python control flow (e.g., conditionals, loops, and arbitrary function calls), we can still calculate the gradient of the resulting variable. To illustrate this, consider the following code snippet where the number of iterations of the while loop and the evaluation of the if statement both depend on the value of the input a.

```
def f(a):
    b = a * 2
    while np.linalg.norm(b) < 1000:
        b = b * 2
    if b.sum() > 0:
        c = b
    else:
        c = 100 * b
    return c
```

Below, we call this function, passing in a random value as input. Since the input is a random variable, we do not know what form the computational graph will take. However, whenever we execute f(a) on a specific input, we realize a specific computational graph and can subsequently run backward.

```
a = np.random.normal()
a.attach_grad()
with autograd.record():
    d = f(a)
d.backward()
```

Even though our function f is a bit contrived for demonstration purposes, its dependence on the input is quite simple: it is a *linear* function of a with piecewise defined scale. As such, f(a) / a is a vector of constant entries and, moreover, f(a) / a needs to match the gradient of f(a) with respect to a.

```
a.grad == d / a
```

array(True)

Dynamic control flow is very common in deep learning. For instance, when processing text, the computational graph depends on the length of the input. In these cases, automatic differentiation becomes vital for statistical modeling since it is impossible to compute the gradient a priori.

2.5.5 Discussion

You've now gotten a taste of the power of automatic differentiation. The development of libraries for calculating derivatives both automatically and efficiently has been a massive productivity booster for deep learning practitioners, liberating them to focus on loftier concerns. Moreover, autograd permits us to design massive models for which pen and paper gradient computations would be prohibitively time consuming. Interestingly, while we use autograd to *optimize* models (in a statistical sense) the *optimization* of autograd libraries themselves (in a computational sense) is a rich subject of vital interest to framework designers. Here, tools from compilers and graph manipulation are leveraged to compute results in the most expedient and memory-efficient manner.

For now, try to remember these basics: (i) attach gradients to those variables with respect to which we desire derivatives; (ii) record the computation of the target value; (iii) execute the backpropagation function; and (iv) access the resulting gradient.

Exercises

- 1. Why is the second derivative much more expensive to compute than the first derivative?
- 2. After running the function for backpropagation, immediately run it again and see what happens. Why?
- 3. In the control flow example where we calculate the derivative of d with respect to a, what would happen if we changed the variable a to a random vector or a matrix? At this point, the result of the calculation f(a) is no longer a scalar. What happens to the result? How do we analyze this?
- 4. Let $f(x) = \sin(x)$. Plot the graph of f and of its derivative f'. Do not exploit the fact that $f'(x) = \cos(x)$ but rather use automatic differentiation to get the result.
- 5. Let $f(x) = ((\log x^2) \cdot \sin x) + x^{-1}$. Write out a dependency graph tracing results from x to f(x).
- 6. Use the chain rule to compute the derivative $\frac{df}{dx}$ of the aforementioned function, placing each term on the dependency graph that you constructed previously.
- 7. Given the graph and the intermediate derivative results, you have a number of options when computing the gradient. Evaluate the result once starting from x to f and once from f tracing back to x. The path from x to f is commonly known as *forward differentiation*, whereas the path from f to x is known as backward differentiation.
- 8. When might you want to use forward differentiation and when backward differentiation? Hint: consider the amount of intermediate data needed, the ability to parallelize steps, and the size of matrices and vectors involved.

Discussions⁵⁴

2.6 Probability and Statistics

One way or another, machine learning is all about uncertainty. In supervised learning, we want to predict something unknown (the *target*) given something known (the *features*). Depending on our objective, we might attempt to predict the most likely value of the target. Or we might predict the value with the smallest expected distance from the target. And sometimes we wish not only to predict a specific value but to *quantify our uncertainty*. For example, given some features describing a patient, we might want to know *how likely* they are to suffer a heart attack in the next year. In unsupervised learning, we often care about uncertainty. To determine whether a set of measurements are anomalous, it helps to know how likely one is to observe values in a population of interest. Moreover, in reinforcement learning, we wish to develop agents that act intelligently in various environments. This requires reasoning about how an environment might be expected to change and what rewards one might expect to encounter in response to each of the available actions.

Probability is the mathematical field concerned with reasoning under uncertainty. Given a probabilistic model of some process, we can reason about the likelihood of various events. The use of probabilities to describe the frequencies of repeatable events (like coin tosses)



is fairly uncontroversial. In fact, *frequentist* scholars adhere to an interpretation of probability that applies *only* to such repeatable events. By contrast *Bayesian* scholars use the language of probability more broadly to formalize our reasoning under uncertainty. Bayesian probability is characterized by two unique features: (i) assigning degrees of belief to non-repeatable events, e.g., what is the *probability* that the moon is made out of cheese?; and (ii) subjectivity—while Bayesian probability provides unambiguous rules for how one should update their beliefs in light of new evidence, it allows for different individuals to start off with different *prior* beliefs. *Statistics* helps us to reason backwards, starting off with collection and organization of data and backing out to what inferences we might draw about the process that generated the data. Whenever we analyze a dataset, hunting for patterns that we hope might characterize a broader population, we are employing statistical thinking. Most courses, majors, theses, careers, departments, companies, and institutions have been devoted to the study of probability and statistics. While this section only scratches the surface, we will provide the foundation that you need to begin building models.

2.6.1 A Simple Example: Tossing Coins

Imagine that we plan to toss a coin and want to quantify how likely we are to see heads (vs. tails). If the coin is *fair*, then both outcomes (heads and tails), are equally likely. Moreover if we plan to toss the coin n times then the fraction of heads that we *expect* to see should exactly match the *expected* fraction of tails. One intuitive way to see this is by symmetry: for every possible outcome with n_h heads and $n_t = (n - n_h)$ tails, there is an equally likely outcome with n_t heads and n_h tails. Note that this is only possible if on average we expect to see 1/2 of tosses come up heads and 1/2 come up tails. Of course, if you conduct this experiment many times with n = 1000000 tosses each, you might never see a trial where $n_h = n_t$ exactly.

Formally, the quantity 1/2 is called a *probability* and here it captures the certainty with which any given toss will come up heads. Probabilities assign scores between 0 and 1 to outcomes of interest, called *events*. Here the event of interest is heads and we denote the corresponding probability P(heads). A probability of 1 indicates absolute certainty (imagine a trick coin where both sides were heads) and a probability of 0 indicates impossibility (e.g., if both sides were tails). The frequencies n_h/n and n_t/n are not probabilities but rather *statistics*. Probabilities are *theoretical* quantities that underly the data generating process. Here, the probability 1/2 is a property of the coin itself. By contrast, statistics are *empirical* quantities that are computed as functions of the observed data. Our interests in probabilistic and statistical quantities are inextricably intertwined. We often design special statistics called *estimators* that, given a dataset, produce *estimates* of model parameters like probabilities. Moreover, when those estimators satisfy a nice property called *consistency*, our estimates will converge to the corresponding probability. In turn, these inferred probabilities tell about the likely statistical properties of data from the same population that we might encounter in the future.

Suppose that we stumbled upon a real coin for which we did not know the true P(heads). To investigate this quantity with statistical methods, we need to (i) collect some data; and (ii) design an estimator. Data acquisition here is easy; we can toss the coin many times and record all of the outcomes. Formally, drawing realizations from some underlying random process is called *sampling*. As you might have guessed, one natural estimator is the fraction between the number of observed *heads* by the total number of tosses.

%matplotlib inline import random from mxnet import np, npx from mxnet.numpy.random import multinomial from d21 import mxnet as d21

npx.set_np()

Now, suppose that the coin was in fact fair, i.e., P(heads) = 0.5. To simulate tosses of a fair coin, we can invoke any random number generator. Some easy ways to draw samples of an event with probability 0.5. For example Python's random random yields numbers in the interval [0, 1] where the probability of lying in any sub-interval $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ is equal to b - a. Thus we can get out 0 and 1 with probability 0.5 each by testing whether the returned float is greater than 0.5

```
num_tosses = 100
heads = sum([random.random() > 0.5 for _ in range(100)])
tails = num_tosses - heads
print("heads, tails: ", [heads, tails])
```

```
heads, tails: [46, 54]
```

More generally, we can simulate multiple draws from any variable with a finite number of possible outcomes (like the toss of a coin or roll of a die) by calling the multinomial function, setting the first argument to the number of draws and the second as a list of probabilities associated with each of the possible outcomes. To simulate ten tosses of a fair coin, we assign probability vector [0.5, 0.5], interpreting index 0 as heads and index 1 as tails. The function returns a vector with length equal to the number of possible outcomes (here, 2), where the first component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the number of occurrences of heads and the second component tells us the num

fair_probs = [0.5, 0.5]
multinomial(100, fair_probs)

array([46, 54], dtype=int64)

Each time you run this sampling process, you will receive a new random value that may differ from the previous outcome. Dividing by the number of tosses gives us the *frequency* of each outcome in our data. Note that these frequencies, like the probabilities that they are intended to estimate, sum to 1.

multinomial(100, fair_probs) / 100

```
array([0.53, 0.47])
```

Here, even though our simulated coin is fair (we set the probabilities [0.5, 0.5] ourselves), the counts of heads and tails may not be identical. That's because we only drew a finite number of samples. If we didn't implement the simulation ourselves, and only saw the outcome, how would we know if the coin were slightly unfair or if the possible deviation from 1/2 was just an artifact of the small sample size? Let's see what happens when we simulate 10000 tosses.

```
counts = multinomial(10000, fair_probs).astype(np.float32)
counts / 10000
```

```
array([0.4952, 0.5048])
```

In general, for averages of repeated events (like coin tosses), as the number of repetitions grows, our estimates are guaranteed to converge to the true underlying probabilities. The mathematical proof of this phenomenon is called the *law of large numbers* and the *central limit theorem* tells us that in many situations, as the sample size n grows, these errors should

go down at a rate of $(1/\sqrt{n})$. Let's get some more intuition by studying how our estimate evolves as we grow the number of tosses from 1 to 10000.

```
counts = multinomial(1, fair_probs, size=10000)
cum_counts = counts.astype(np.float32).cumsum(axis=0)
estimates = cum_counts / cum_counts.sum(axis=1, keepdims=True)
```

```
d2l.set_figsize((4.5, 3.5))
d2l.plt.plot(estimates[:, 0], label=("P(coin=heads)"))
d2l.plt.plot(estimates[:, 1], label=("P(coin=tails)"))
d2l.plt.axhline(y=0.5, color='black', linestyle='dashed')
d2l.plt.gca().set_xlabel('Samples')
d2l.plt.gca().set_ylabel('Estimated probability')
d2l.plt.legend();
```



Each solid curve corresponds to one of the two values of the coin and gives our estimated probability that the coin turns up that value after each group of experiments. The dashed black line gives the true underlying probability. As we get more data by conducting more experiments, the curves converge towards the true probability. You might already begin to see the shape of some of the more advanced questions that preoccupy statisticians: How quickly does this convergence happen? If we had already tested many coins manufactured at the same plant, how might we incorporate this information?

2.6.2 A More Formal Treatment

We've already gotten pretty far: posing a probabilistic model, generating synthetic data, running a statistical estimator, empirically assessing convergence, and reporting error metrics (checking the deviation). However, to go much further, we will need to be more precise.

When dealing with randomness, we denote the set of possible outcomes S and call it the *sample space* or *outcome space*. Here, each element is a distinct possible *outcome*. In the case of rolling a single coin, $S = \{\text{heads}, \text{tails}\}$. For a single die, $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. When flipping two coins, we have four possible outcomes: $\{(\text{heads}, \text{heads}), (\text{heads}, \text{tails}), (\text{tails}, \text{heads}), (\text{tails}, \text{tails})\}$. *Events* are subsets of the sample space. For instance, the event "the first coin toss comes up heads" corresponds to the set $\{(\text{heads}, \text{heads}), (\text{heads}, \text{tails})\}$. Whenever the outcome z of a random experiment satisfies $z \in A$, then event A has occurred. For a single roll of a die, we could define the events "seeing a 5" ($A = \{5\}$) and "seeing an odd number" ($\mathcal{B} = \{1, 3, 5\}$). In this case, if the die came up 5, we would say that both A and B occurred. On the other hand, if z = 3, then A did not occur but \mathcal{B} did.

A probability function maps events onto real values $P : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The probability

of an event A in the given sample space S, denoted P(A), satisfies the following properties:

- The probability of any event A is a non-negative real number, i.e., $P(A) \ge 0$;
- The probability of the entire sample space is 1, i.e., P(S) = 1;
- For any countable sequence of events A₁, A₂,... that are *mutually exclusive* (A_i∩A_j = Ø for all i ≠ j), the probability that any of them happens is equal to the sum of their individual probabilities, i.e., P(⋃_{i=1}[∞] A_i) = ∑_{i=1}[∞] P(A_i).

These axioms of probability theory, proposed by Kolmogorov (1933), can be applied to rapidly derive a number of important consequences. For instance, it follows immediately that the probability of any event \mathcal{A} or its complement \mathcal{A}' occurring is 1 (because $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{S}$). We can also prove that $P(\emptyset) = 0$ because $1 = P(\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}') = P(\mathcal{S} \cup \emptyset) = P(\mathcal{S}) + P(\emptyset) = 1 + P(\emptyset)$. Consequently, the probability of any event \mathcal{A} and its complement \mathcal{A}' occurring simultaneously is $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}') = 0$. Informally, this tells us that impossible events have zero probability of occurring.

2.6.3 Random Variables

When we spoke about events like the roll of a die coming up odds or the first coin toss coming up heads, we were invoking the idea of a *random variable*. Formally, random variables are mappings from an underlying sample space to a set of (possibly many) values. You might wonder how a random variable is different from the sample space, since both are collections of outcomes. Importantly, random variables can be much coarser than the raw sample space. We can define a binary random variable like "greater than 0.5" even when the underlying sample space is infinite, e.g., the line segment between 0 and 1. Additionally, multiple random variables can share the same underlying sample space. For example "whether my home alarm goes off" and "whether my house was burglarized" are both binary random variables that share an underlying sample space. Consequently, knowing the value taken by one random variable can tell us something about the likely value of another random variable. Knowing that the alarm went off, we might suspect that the house was likely burglarized.

Every value taken by a random variable corresponds to a subset of the underlying sample space. Thus the occurrence where the random variable X takes value v, denoted by X = v, is an *event* and P(X = v) denotes its probability. Sometimes this notation can get clunky, and we can abuse notation when the context is clear. For example, we might use P(X) to refer broadly to the *distribution* of X, i.e., the function that tells us the probability that X takes any given value. Other times we write expressions like P(X, Y) = P(X)P(Y), as a shorthand to express a statement that is true for all of the values that the random variables X and Y can take, i.e., for all i, j it holds that P(X = i and Y = j) = P(X = i)P(Y = j). Other times, we abuse notation by writing P(v) when the random variable is clear from the context. Since an event in probability theory is a set of outcomes from the sample space, we can specify a range of values for a random variable to take. For example, $P(1 \le X \le 3)$ denotes the probability of the event $\{1 \le X \le 3\}$.

Note that there is a subtle difference between *discrete* random variables, like flips of a coin or tosses of a die, and *continuous* ones, like the weight and the height of a person sampled at random from the population. In this case we seldom really care about someone's exact height. Moreover, if we took precise enough measurements, we would find that no two people on the planet have the exact same height. In fact, with fine enough measurements, you would never have the same height when you wake up and when you go to sleep. There's little point in asking about the exact probability that someone is 1.801392782910287192 meters tall. Instead, we typically care more about being able to say whether someone's height falls into a given interval, say between 1.79 and 1.81 meters. In these cases we work with probability *densities*. The height of exactly 1.80 meters has no probability, but nonzero density. To get

out the probability assigned to an interval, we must take an *integral* of the density over that interval.

2.6.4 Multiple Random Variables

You might have noticed that we couldn't even make it past the last section without making statements involving interactions among multiple random variables (recall P(X, Y) = P(X)P(Y)). Most of machine learning is concerned with such relationships. Here, the sample space would be the population of interest, say customers who transact with a business, photographs on the internet, or proteins known to biologists. Each random variable would represent the (unknown) value of a different attribute. Whenever we sample an individual from the population, we observe a realization of each of the random variables. Because the values taken by random variables correspond to subsets of the sample space that could be overlapping, partially overlapping, or entirely disjoint, knowing the value taken by one random variable can cause us to update our beliefs about what values of another random variable are likely. If a patient walks into a hospital and we observe that they are having trouble breathing and have lost their sense of smell, then we believe that they are more likely to have COVID-19 than we might if they had no trouble breathing and a perfectly ordinary sense of smell.

When working with multiple random variables, we can construct events corresponding to every combination of values that the variables can jointly take. The probability function that assigns probabilities to each of these combinations (e.g. A = a and B = b) is called the *joint probability* function and simply returns the probability assigned to the intersection of the corresponding subsets of the sample space. The *joint probability* assigned to the event where random variables A and B take values a and b, respectively, is denoted P(A = a, B = b), where the comma indicates "and". Note that for any values a and b, it holds that $P(A = a, B = b) \leq P(A = a)$ and $P(A = a, B = b) \leq P(B = b)$, since for A = a and B = b to happen, A = a has to happen and B = b also has to happen. Interestingly, the joint probability tells us all that we can know about these random variables in a probabilistic sense, and can be used to derive many other useful quantities, including recovering the individual distributions P(A) and P(B). To recover P(A = a) we simply sum up P(A = a, B = v) over all values v that the random variable B can take: $P(A = a) = \sum_{v} P(A = a, B = v)$

The ratio $\frac{P(A=a,B=b)}{P(A=a)} \leq 1$ turns out to be extremely important. It is called the *conditional* probability, and is denoted via the "|" symbol, $P(B = b \mid A = a) = P(A = a, B = b)/P(A = a)$. It tells us the new probability associated with the event B = b, once we condition on the fact A = a took place. We can think of this conditional probability as restricting attention only to the subset of the sample space associated with A = a and then renormalizing so that all probabilities sum to 1. Conditional probabilities are in fact probabilities and thus respect all of the axioms, so long as we condition all terms on the same event and thus restrict attention to the same sample space. For instance, for disjoint events \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' , we have that $P(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}' \mid A = a) = P(\mathcal{B} \mid A = a) + P(\mathcal{B}' \mid A = a)$.

Using the definition of conditional probabilities, we can derive the famous result called *Bayes'* theorem. By construction, we have that $P(A, B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)$ and $P(A, B) = P(A \mid B)P(B)$. Combining both equations yields $P(B \mid A)P(A) = P(A \mid B)P(B)$ and hence

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(B \mid A)P(A)}{P(B)}.$$
(2.6.1)

This simple equation has profound implications because it allows us to reverse the order of conditioning. If we know how to estimate $P(B \mid A)$, P(A), and P(B), then we can estimate $P(A \mid B)$. We often find it easier to estimate one term directly but not the other and Bayes' theorem can come to the rescue here. For instance, if we know the prevalence of symptoms

for a given disease, and the overall prevalences of the disease and symptoms, respectively, we can determine how likely someone is to have the disease based on their symptoms. In some cases we might not have direct access to P(B), such as the prevalence of symptoms. In this case a simplified version of Bayes' theorem comes in handy:

$$P(A \mid B) \propto P(B \mid A)P(A). \tag{2.6.2}$$

Since we know that $P(A \mid B)$ must be normalized to 1, i.e., $\sum_{a} P(A = a \mid B) = 1$, we can use it to compute

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(B \mid A)P(A)}{\sum_{b} P(B = b \mid A)P(A)}.$$
(2.6.3)

In Bayesian statistics, we think of an observer as possessing some (subjective) prior beliefs about the plausibility of the available hypotheses encoded in the *prior* P(H), and a *likelihood function* that says how likely one is to observe any value of the collected evidence for each of the hypotheses in the class $P(E \mid H)$. Bayes' theorem is then interpreted as telling us how to update the initial *prior* P(H) in light of the available evidence E to produce *posterior* beliefs $P(H \mid E) = \frac{P(E \mid H)P(H)}{P(E)}$. Informally, this can be stated as "posterior equals prior times likelihood, divided by the evidence". Now, because the evidence P(E) is the same for all hypotheses, we can get away with simply normalizing over the hypotheses.

Note that $\sum_{a} P(A = a \mid B) = 1$ also allows us to *marginalize* over random variables. That is, we can drop variables from a joint distribution such as P(A, B). After all, we have that

$$\sum_{a} P(A = a, B) = P(B) \sum_{a} P(A = a \mid B) = P(B).$$
(2.6.4)

Independence is another fundamentally important concept that forms the backbone of many important ideas in statistics. In short, two variables are *independent* if conditioning on the value of A does not cause any change to the probability distribution associated with B and vice versa. More formally, independence, denoted $A \perp B$, requires that $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$ and, consequently, that $P(A, B) = P(A \mid B)P(B) = P(A)P(B)$. Independence is often an appropriate assumption. For example, if the random variable A represents the outcome from tossing one fair coin and the random variable B represents the outcome from tossing another, then knowing whether A came up heads should not influence the probability of B coming up heads.

Independence is especially useful when it holds among the successive draws of our data from some underlying distribution (allowing us to make strong statistical conclusions) or when it holds among various variables in our data, allowing us to work with simpler models that encode this independence structure. On the other hand, estimating the dependencies among random variables is often the very aim of learning. We care to estimate the probability of disease given symptoms specifically because we believe that diseases and symptoms are *not* independent.

Note that because conditional probabilities are proper probabilities, the concepts of independence and dependence also apply to them. Two random variables A and B are conditionally independent given a third variable C if and only if P(A, B | C) = P(A | C)P(B | C). Interestingly, two variables can be independent in general but become dependent when conditioning on a third. This often occurs when the two random variables A and B correspond to causes of some third variable C. For example, broken bones and lung cancer might be independent in the general population but if we condition on being in the hospital then we might find that broken bones are negatively correlated with lung cancer. That's because the broken bone *explains away* why some person is in the hospital and thus lowers the probability that they have lung cancer.

And conversely, two dependent random variables can become independent upon conditioning on a third. This often happens when two otherwise unrelated events have a common cause. Shoe size and reading level are highly correlated among elementary school students, but this correlation disappears if we condition on age.

2.6.5 An Example

Let's put our skills to the test. Assume that a doctor administers an HIV test to a patient. This test is fairly accurate and it fails only with 1% probability if the patient is healthy but reporting him as diseased. Moreover, it never fails to detect HIV if the patient actually has it. We use $D_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ to indicate the diagnosis (0 if negative and 1 if positive) and $H \in \{0, 1\}$ to denote the HIV status.

Conditional probability	H = 1	H = 0
$P(D_1 = 1 \mid H)$	1	0.01
$P(D_1 = 0 \mid H)$	0	0.99

Note that the column sums are all 1 (but the row sums don't), since they are conditional probabilities. Let's compute the probability of the patient having HIV if the test comes back positive, i.e., $P(H = 1 \mid D_1 = 1)$. Intuitively this is going to depend on how common the disease is, since it affects the number of false alarms. Assume that the population is fairly healthy, e.g., P(H = 1) = 0.0015. To apply Bayes' theorem, we need to apply marginalization to determine

$$P(D_1 = 1) = P(D_1 = 1, H = 0) + P(D_1 = 1, H = 1)$$

= P(D_1 = 1 | H = 0)P(H = 0) + P(D_1 = 1 | H = 1)P(H = 1) (2.6.5)
= 0.011485.

This leads us to

$$P(H=1 \mid D_1=1) = \frac{P(D_1=1 \mid H=1)P(H=1)}{P(D_1=1)} = 0.1306.$$
 (2.6.6)

In other words, there is only a 13.06% chance that the patient actually has HIV, despite using a very accurate test. As we can see, probability can be counterintuitive. What should a patient do upon receiving such terrifying news? Likely, the patient would ask the physician to administer another test to get clarity. The second test has different characteristics and it is not as good as the first one.

Conditional probability	H = 1	H = 0
$P(D_2 = 1 \mid H)$	0.98	0.03
$P(D_2 = 0 \mid H)$	0.02	0.97

Unfortunately, the second test comes back positive, too. Let's calculate the requisite probabilities to invoke Bayes' theorem by assuming conditional independence:

$$P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 | H = 0) = P(D_1 = 1 | H = 0)P(D_2 = 1 | H = 0) = 0.0003,$$

$$P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 | H = 1) = P(D_1 = 1 | H = 1)P(D_2 = 1 | H = 1) = 0.98.$$

(2.6.7)

Now we can apply marginalization to obtain the probability that both tests come back positive:

$$P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1) = P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1, H = 0) + P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1, H = 1)$$

= $P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 | H = 0)P(H = 0) + P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 | H = 1)P(H = 1)$
= $0.00176955.$

Finally, the probability of the patient having HIV given both tests being positive is

$$P(H = 1 \mid D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1) = \frac{P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 \mid H = 1)P(H = 1)}{P(D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1)} = 0.8307.$$
(2.6.9)

That is, the second test allowed us to gain much higher confidence that not all is well. Despite the second test being considerably less accurate than the first one, it still significantly improved our estimate. The assumption of both tests being conditional independent of each other was crucial for our ability to generate a more accurate estimate. Take the extreme case where we run the same test twice. In this situation we would expect the same outcome in both times, hence no additional insight is gained from running the same test again. The astute reader might have noticed that the diagnosis behaved like a classifier hiding in plain sight where our ability to decide whether a patient is healthy increases as we obtain more features (test outcomes).

2.6.6 Expectations

Often, making decisions requires not just looking at the probabilities assigned to individual events but composing them together into useful aggregates that can provide us with guidance. For example, when random variables take continuous scalar values, we often care about knowing what value to expect *on average*. This quantity is formally called an *expectation*. If we are making investments, the first quantity of interest might be the return we can expect, averaging over all the possible outcomes (and weighting by the appropriate probabilities). For instance, say that with 50% probability, an investment might fail altogether, with 40% probability it might provide a $2 \times$ return, and with 10% probability it might provide a $10 \times$ return $10 \times$. To calculate the expected return, we sum over all returns, multiplying each by the probability that they will occur. This yields the expectation $0.5 \cdot 0 + 0.4 \cdot 2 + 0.1 \cdot 10 = 1.8$. Hence the expected return is $1.8 \times$.

In general, the *expectation* (or average) of the random variable X is defined as

$$E[X] = E_{x \sim P}[x] = \sum_{x} x P(X = x).$$
(2.6.10)

Likewise, for densities we obtain $E[X] = \int x \, dp(x)$. Sometimes we are interested in the expected value of some function of x. We can calculate these expectations as

$$E_{x \sim P}[f(x)] = \sum_{x} f(x)P(x) \text{ and } E_{x \sim P}[f(x)] = \int f(x)p(x) \, dx$$
 (2.6.11)

for discrete probabilities and densities, respectively. Returning to the investment example from above, f might be the *utility* (happiness) associated with the return. Behavior economists have long noted that people associate greater disutility with losing money than the utility gained from earning one dollar relative to their baseline. Moreover, the value of money tends to be sub-linear. Possessing 100k dollars versus zero dollars can make the difference between paying the rent, eating well, and enjoying quality healthcare versus suffering through home-lessness. On the other hand, the gains due to possessing 200k versus 100k are less dramatic. Reasoning like this motivates the cliché that "the utility of money is logarithmic".

If the utility associated with a total loss were -1, and the utilities associated with returns of 1, 2, and 10 were 1, 2 and 4, respectively, then the expected happiness of investing would be $0.5 \cdot (-1) + 0.4 \cdot 2 + 0.1 \cdot 4 = 0.7$ (an expected loss of utility of 30%). If indeed this were your utility function, you might be best off keeping the money in the bank.

For financial decisions, we might also want to measure how *risky* an investment is. Here, we care not just about the expected value but how much the actual values tend to *vary* relative to this value. Note that we can't just take the expectation of the difference between the actual and expected values. That's because the expectation of a difference is the difference of the

expectations, and thus E[X - E[X]] = E[X] - E[E[X]] = 0. However, we can look at the expectation of any non-negative function of this difference. The *variance* of a random variable is calculated by looking at the expected value of the *squared* deviations:

$$\operatorname{Var}[X] = E\left[(X - E[X])^2\right] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2.$$
(2.6.12)

Here the equality follows by expanding $(X - E[X])^2 = X^2 - 2XE[X] + E[X]^2$ and taking expectations for each term. The square root of the variance is another useful quantity called the *standard deviation*. While the variance and standard deviation convey the same information (either can be calculated from the other), the standard deviation has the nice property that it is expressed in the same units as the original quantity represented by the random variable.

Lastly, the variance of a function of a random variable is defined analogously as

$$\operatorname{Var}_{x \sim P}[f(x)] = E_{x \sim P}[f^2(x)] - E_{x \sim P}[f(x)]^2.$$
(2.6.13)

Returning to our investment example, we can now compute the variance of the investment. It is given by $0.5 \cdot 0 + 0.4 \cdot 2^2 + 0.1 \cdot 10^2 - 1.8^2 = 8.36$. For all intents and purposes this is a risky investment. Note that by mathematical convention mean and variance are often referenced as μ and σ^2 . This is particularly common whenever we use it to parametrize a Gaussian distribution.

In the same way as we introduced expectations and variance for *scalar* random variables, we can do so for vector-valued ones. Expectations are easy, since we can apply them elementwise. For instance, $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ has coordinates $\mu_i = E_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P}[x_i]$. Covariances are more complicated. We resolve the problem by taking expectations of the *outer product* of the difference between random variables and their mean.

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Cov}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P}[\boldsymbol{x}] = E_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P}\left[(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \right].$$
(2.6.14)

This matrix Σ is referred to as the covariance matrix. An easy way to see its effect is to consider some vector v of the same size as x. It follows that

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{v} = E_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim P}\left[\boldsymbol{v}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}\right] = \operatorname{Var}_{\boldsymbol{x}\sim P}[\boldsymbol{v}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x}]. \tag{2.6.15}$$

As such, Σ allows us to compute the variance for any linear function of x by a simple matrix multiplication. The off-diagonal elements tell us how correlated coordinates are: a value of 0 means no correlation, where a larger positive value means that they are more strongly correlated.

2.6.7 Discussion

In machine learning, there are many things to be uncertain about! We can be uncertain about the value of a label given an input. We can be uncertain about the estimated value of a parameter. We can even be uncertain about whether data arriving at deployment is even from the same distribution as the training data.

By *aleatoric uncertainty*, we denote that uncertainty that is intrinsic to the problem, and due to genuine randomness unaccounted for by the observed variables. By *epistemic uncertainty*, we denote uncertainty over a model's parameters, the sort of uncertainty that we can hope to reduce by collecting more data. We might have epistemic uncertainty concerning the probability that a coin turns up heads, but even once we know this probability, we are left with aleatoric uncertainty about the outcome of any future toss. No matter how long we watch someone tossing a fair coin, we will never be more or less than 50% certain that the next toss will come up heads. These terms owe to literature in mechanical modeling, (see e.g., Der Kiureghian and Ditlevsen (2009) for a review on this aspect of uncertainty quantification⁵⁵. It's worth noting that these terms constitute a slight abuse of language. The term *epistemic*



refers to anything concerning *knowledge* and thus in the philosophical sense, all uncertainty is epistemic.

We saw that sampling data from some unknown probability distribution can provide us with information that can be used to estimate the parameters of the data generating distribution. That said, the rate at which this is possible can be quite slow. In our coin tossing example (and many others) we can do no better than to design estimators that converge at a rate of $1/\sqrt{n}$, where *n* is the sample size (e.g., the number of tosses). This means that by going from 10 to 1000 observations (usually a very achievable task) we see a tenfold reduction of uncertainty, whereas the next 1000 observations help comparatively little, offering only a 1.41 times reduction. This is a persistent feature of machine learning: while there are often easy gains, it takes a very large amount of data, and often with it an enormous amount of computation to make even further gains. For an empirical review of this fact for large scale language models see Revels *et al.* (2016).

We also sharpened our language and tools for statistical modeling. In the process of that we learned about conditional probabilities and about one of the most important equations in statistics—Bayes' theorem. It is an effective tool for decoupling information conveyed by data through a likelihood term $P(B \mid A)$ that addresses how well observations B match a choice of parameters A, and a prior probability P(A) which governs how plausible a particular choice of A was in the first place. In particular, we saw how this rule can be applied to assign probabilities to diagnoses, based on the efficacy of the test *and* the prevalence of the disease itself (i.e., our prior).

Lastly, we introduced a first set of nontrivial questions about the effect of a specific probability distribution, namely expectations and variances. While there are many more than just linear and quadratic expectations for a probability distribution, these two already provide a good deal of knowledge about the possible behavior of the distribution. For instance, Chebyshev's inequality⁵⁶ states that $P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le 1/k^2$, where μ is the expectation, σ^2 is the variance of the distribution, and k > 1 is a confidence parameter of our choosing. It tells us that draws from a distribution lie with at least 50% probability within a $[-\sqrt{2}\sigma, \sqrt{2}\sigma]$ interval centered on the expectation.

Exercises

- 1. Give an example where observing more data can reduce the amount of uncertainty about the outcome to an arbitrarily low level.
- 2. Give an example where observing more data will only reduce the amount of uncertainty up to a point and then no further. Explain why this is the case and where you expect this point to occur.
- 3. We empirically demonstrated convergence to the mean for the toss of a coin. Calculate the variance of the estimate of the probability that we see a head after drawing *n* samples.
 - 1. How does the variance scale with the number of observations?
 - 2. Use Chebyshev's inequality to bound the deviation from the expectation.
 - 3. How does it relate to the central limit theorem?
- 4. Assume that we draw *n* samples x_i from a probability distribution with zero mean and unit variance. Compute the averages $z_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$. Can we apply Chebyshev's inequality for every z_m independently? Why not?
- 5. Given two events with probability $P(\mathcal{A})$ and $P(\mathcal{B})$, compute upper and lower bounds on ⁵⁷ $P(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B})$ and $P(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})$. Hint: graph the situation using a Venn diagram⁵⁷.



6. Assume that we have a sequence of random variables, say A, B, and C, where B only depends on A, and C only depends on B, can you simplify the joint probability P(A, B, C)? Hint: this is a Markov chain⁵⁸.



- 7. In Section 2.6.5, assume that the outcomes of the two tests are not independent. In particular assume that either test on its own has a false positive rate of 10% and a false negative rate of 1%. That is, assume that P(D = 1 | H = 0) = 0.1 and that P(D = 0 | H = 1) = 0.01. Moreover, assume that for H = 1 (infected) the test outcomes are conditionally independent, i.e., that $P(D_1, D_2 | H = 1) = P(D_1 | H = 1)P(D_2 | H = 1)$ but that for healthy patients the outcomes are coupled via $P(D_1 = D_2 = 1 | H = 0) = 0.02$.
 - 1. Work out the joint probability table for D_1 and D_2 , given H = 0 based on the information you have so far.
 - 2. Derive the probability of the patient being positive (H = 1) after one test returns positive. You can assume the same baseline probability P(H = 1) = 0.0015 as before.
 - 3. Derive the probability of the patient being positive (H = 1) after both tests return positive.
- 8. Assume that you are an asset manager for an investment bank and you have a choice of stocks s_i to invest in. Your portfolio needs to add up to 1 with weights α_i for each stock. The stocks have an average return $\mu = E_{s \sim P}[s]$ and covariance $\Sigma = \text{Cov}_{s \sim P}[s]$.
 - 1. Compute the expected return for a given portfolio α .
 - 2. If you wanted to maximize the return of the portfolio, how should you choose your investment?
 - 3. Compute the *variance* of the portfolio.
 - 4. Formulate an optimization problem of maximizing the return while keeping the variance constrained to an upper bound. This is the Nobel-Prize winning Markovitz portfolio⁵⁹ (Mangram, 2013). To solve it you will need a quadratic programming solver, something way beyond the scope of this book.

Discussions⁶⁰

2.7 Documentation



While we cannot possibly introduce every single MXNet function and class (and the information might become outdated quickly), the API documentation⁶¹ and additional tutorials ⁶² and examples provide such documentation. This section provides some guidance for how to explore the MXNet API.



2.7.1 Functions and Classes in a Module

In order to know which functions and classes can be called in a module, we invoke the dir function. For instance, we can query all properties in the module for generating random numbers:

```
from mxnet import np
print(dir(np.random))

['__all__', '__builtins__', '__cached__', '__doc__', '__file__', '__loader__',
$\[$'__name__', '__package__', '__spec__', '_mx_nd_np', 'beta', 'chisquare',
$\[$'choice', 'exponential', 'gamma', 'gumbel', 'logistic', 'lognormal',
$\[$'multinomial', 'multivariate_normal', 'normal', 'pareto', 'power', 'rand',
$\[$'randint', 'randn', 'rayleigh', 'shuffle', 'uniform', 'weibull']
```

Generally, we can ignore functions that start and end with __ (special objects in Python) or functions that start with a single _(usually internal functions). Based on the remaining function or attribute names, we might hazard a guess that this module offers various methods for generating random numbers, including sampling from the uniform distribution (uniform), normal distribution (normal), and multinomial distribution (multinomial).

2.7.2 Specific Functions and Classes

For more specific instructions on how to use a given function or class, we can invoke the help function. As an example, let's explore the usage instructions for tensors' ones function.

```
help(np.ones)
```

Help on function ones in module mxnet.numpy:

```
ones(shape, dtype=<class 'numpy.float32'>, order='C', ctx=None)
    Return a new array of given shape and type, filled with ones.
   This function currently only supports storing multi-dimensional data
    in row-major (C-style).
   Parameters
     _____
    shape : int or tuple of int
        The shape of the empty array.
    dtype : str or numpy.dtype, optional
        An optional value type. Default is numpy.float32. Note that this
        behavior is different from NumPy's ones function where float64
        is the default value, because float32 is considered as the default
        data type in deep learning.
   order : {'C'}, optional, default: 'C'
        How to store multi-dimensional data in memory, currently only row-major
        (C-style) is supported.
    ctx : Context, optional
        An optional device context (default is the current default context).
   Returns
    _____
    out : ndarray
        Array of ones with the given shape, dtype, and ctx.
   Examples
    _____
```

```
>>> np.ones(5)
array([1., 1., 1., 1., 1.])
>>> np.ones((5,), dtype=int)
array([1, 1, 1, 1, 1], dtype=int64)
>>> np.ones((2, 1))
array([[1.],
       [1.]])
>>> s = (2,2)
>>> np.ones(s)
array([[1., 1.],
       [1., 1.]])
```

From the documentation, we can see that the ones function creates a new tensor with the specified shape and sets all the elements to the value of 1. Whenever possible, you should run a quick test to confirm your interpretation:

np.ones(4)

array([1., 1., 1., 1.])

In the Jupyter notebook, we can use ? to display the document in another window. For example, list? will create content that is almost identical to help(list), displaying it in a new browser window. In addition, if we use two question marks, such as list??, the Python code implementing the function will also be displayed.

The official documentation provides plenty of descriptions and examples that are beyond this book. Our emphasis lies on covering important use cases that will allow you to get started quickly with practical problems, rather than completeness of coverage. We also encourage you to study the source code of the libraries to see examples of high quality implementations for production code. By doing this you will become a better engineer in addition to becoming a better scientist.

Discussions⁶³



Before we worry about making our neural networks deep, it will be helpful to implement some shallow neural networks, for which the inputs connect directly to the outputs. This will prove important for a few reasons. First, rather than getting distracted by complicated architectures, we can focus on the basics of neural network training, including parameterizing the output layer, handling data, specifying a loss function, and training the model. Second, this class of shallow networks happens to comprise the set of linear models, which subsumes many classical methods for statistical prediction, including linear and softmax regression. Understanding these classical tools is pivotal because they are widely used in many contexts and we will often need to use them as baselines when justifying the use of fancier architectures. This chapter will focus narrowly on linear regression and the subsequent chapter will extend our modeling repertoire by developing linear neural networks for classification.

3.1 Linear Regression

Regression problems pop up whenever we want to predict a numerical value. Common examples include predicting prices (of homes, stocks, etc.), predicting the length of stay (for patients in the hospital), forecasting demand (for retail sales), among countless others. Not every prediction problem is a classic regression problem. Later on, we will introduce classification problems, where the goal is to predict membership among a set of categories.

As a running example, suppose that we wish to estimate the prices of houses (in dollars) based on their area (in square feet) and age (in years). To develop a model for predicting house prices, we need to get our hands on data consisting of sales, including the sales price, area, and age for each home. In the terminology of machine learning, the dataset is called a *training dataset* or *training set*, and each row (containing the data corresponding to one sale) is called an *example* (or *data point, instance, sample*). The thing we are trying to predict (price) is called a *label* (or *target*). The variables (age and area) upon which the predictions are based are called *features* (or *covariates*).

3.1.1 Basics

Linear regression may be both the simplest and most popular among the standard tools for tackling regression problems. Dating back to the dawn of the 19th century (Gauss, 1809, Legendre, 1805), linear regression flows from a few simple assumptions. First, we assume that the relationship between features x and target y is approximately linear, i.e., that the conditional mean $E[Y \mid X = x]$ can be expressed as a weighted sum of the features x. This setup allows that the target value may still deviate from its expected value on account of observation noise. Next, we can impose the assumption that any such noise is well-behaved, following a Gaussian distribution. Typically, we will use n to denote the number of examples in our dataset. We use superscripts to enumerate samples and targets, and subscripts to index coordinates. More concretely, $x^{(i)}$ denotes the *i*-th sample and $x_j^{(i)}$ denotes its *j*-th coordinate.

Model

At the heart of every solution is a model that describes how features can be transformed into an estimate of the target. The assumption of linearity means that the expected value of the target (price) can be expressed as a weighted sum of the features (area and age):

$$price = w_{area} \cdot area + w_{age} \cdot age + b.$$
(3.1.1)

Here w_{area} and w_{age} are called *weights*, and *b* is called a *bias* (or *offset* or *intercept*). The weights determine the influence of each feature on our prediction. The bias determines the value of the estimate when all features are zero. Even though we will never see any newly-built homes with precisely zero area, we still need the bias because it allows us to express all linear functions of our features (versus restricting us to lines that pass through the origin). Strictly speaking, (3.1.1) is an *affine transformation* of input features, which is characterized by a *linear transformation* of features via weighted sum, combined with a *translation* via the added bias. Given a dataset, our goal is to choose the weights w and the bias *b* that, on average, make our model's predictions fit the true prices observed in the data as closely as possible.

In disciplines where it is common to focus on datasets with just a few features, explicitly expressing models long-form, as in (3.1.1), is common. In machine learning, we usually work with high-dimensional datasets, where it's more convenient to employ compact linear algebra notation. When our inputs consist of d features, we can assign each an index (between 1 and d) and express our prediction \hat{y} (in general the "hat" symbol denotes an estimate) as

$$\hat{y} = w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_d x_d + b. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Collecting all features into a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all weights into a vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can express our model compactly via the dot product between w and x:

$$\hat{y} = \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}. \tag{3.1.3}$$

In (3.1.3), the vector \boldsymbol{x} corresponds to the features of a single example. We will often find it convenient to refer to features of our entire dataset of n examples via the *design matrix* $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. Here, \boldsymbol{X} contains one row for every example and one column for every feature. For a collection of features \boldsymbol{X} , the predictions $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be expressed via the matrix-vector product:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{b}, \tag{3.1.4}$$

where broadcasting (Section 2.1.4) is applied during the summation. Given features of a training dataset X and corresponding (known) labels y, the goal of linear regression is to find the weight vector w and the bias term b that given features of a new data example sampled from the same distribution as X, the new example's label will (in expectation) be predicted with the lowest error.

Even if we believe that the best model for predicting y given x is linear, we would not expect to find a real-world dataset of n examples where $y^{(i)}$ exactly equals $w^{\top}x^{(i)} + b$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. For example, whatever instruments we use to observe the features X and labels y might suffer small amount of measurement error. Thus, even when we are confident that the underlying relationship is linear, we will incorporate a noise term to account for such errors.

Before we can go about searching for the best *parameters* (or *model parameters*) w and b, we will need two more things: (i) a quality measure for some given model; and (ii) a procedure for updating the model to improve its quality.

Loss Function

Naturally, fitting our model to the data requires that we agree on some measure of *fitness* (or, equivalently, of *unfitness*). Loss functions quantify the distance between the *real* and *predicted* values of the target. The loss will usually be a non-negative number where smaller values are better and perfect predictions incur a loss of 0. For regression problems, the most common loss function is squared error. When our prediction for an example *i* is $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ and the corresponding true label is $y^{(i)}$, the squared error is given by:

$$l^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right)^2.$$
(3.1.5)

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ makes no real difference but proves to be notationally convenient, since it cancels out when we take the derivative of the loss. Because the training dataset is given to us, and thus out of our control, the empirical error is only a function of the model parameters. Below, we visualize the fit of a linear regression model in a problem with one-dimensional inputs (Fig.3.1.1).



Figure 3.1.1 Fitting a linear regression model to one-dimensional data.

Note that large differences between estimates $\hat{y}^{(i)}$ and targets $y^{(i)}$ lead to even larger contributions to the loss, due to the quadratic form of the loss (this can be a double-edge sword. While it encourages the model to avoid large errors it can also lead to excessive sensitivity to anomalous data). To measure the quality of a model on the entire dataset of n examples, we simply average (or equivalently, sum) the losses on the training set:

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right)^{2}.$$
 (3.1.6)

When training the model, we want to find parameters (w^*, b^*) that minimize the total loss across all training examples:

$$\boldsymbol{w}^*, b^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w}, b} L(\boldsymbol{w}, b).$$
 (3.1.7)

Analytic Solution

Unlike most of the models that we will cover, linear regression presents us with a surprisingly easy optimization problem. In particular, we can find the optimal parameters (as assessed on the training data) analytically by applying a simple formula as follows. First, we can subsume the bias b into the parameter w by appending a column to the design matrix consisting of all ones. Then our prediction problem is to minimize $||y - Xw||^2$. So long as the design matrix X has full rank (no feature is linearly dependent on the others), then there will be just one critical point on the loss surface and it corresponds to the minimum of the loss over the entire domain. Taking the derivative of the loss with respect to w and setting it equal to zero yields:

Solving for w provides us with the optimal solution for the optimization problem. Note that this solution

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = (\boldsymbol{X}^\top \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^\top \boldsymbol{y} \tag{3.1.9}$$

will only be unique when the matrix $X^{\top}X$ is invertible, i.e., when the columns of the design matrix are linearly independent (Golub and Van Loan, 1996).

While simple problems like linear regression may admit analytic solutions, you should not get used to such good fortune. Although analytic solutions allow for nice mathematical analysis, the requirement of an analytic solution is so restrictive that it would exclude almost all exciting aspects of deep learning.

Minibatch Stochastic Gradient Descent

Fortunately, even in cases where we cannot solve the models analytically, we can still often train models effectively in practice. Moreover, for many tasks, those difficult-to-optimize models turn out to be so much better that figuring out how to train them ends up being well worth the trouble.

The key technique for optimizing nearly any deep learning model, and which we will call upon throughout this book, consists of iteratively reducing the error by updating the parameters in the direction that incrementally lowers the loss function. This algorithm is called *gradient descent*.

The most naive application of gradient descent consists of taking the derivative of the loss function, which is an average of the losses computed on every single example in the dataset. In practice, this can be extremely slow: we must pass over the entire dataset before making a single update, even if the update steps might be very powerful (Liu and Nocedal, 1989). Even worse, if there is a lot of redundancy in the training data, the benefit of a full update is even lower.

The other extreme is to consider only a single example at a time and to take update steps based on one observation at a time. The resulting algorithm, *stochastic gradient descent* (SGD) can be an effective strategy (Bottou, 2010), even for large datasets. Unfortunately, SGD has drawbacks, both computational and statistical. One problem arises from the fact that processors are a lot faster multiplying and adding numbers than they are at moving data from main memory to processor cache. It is up to an order of magnitude more efficient to perform a matrixvector multiplication than a corresponding number of vector-vector operations. This means that it can take a lot longer to process one sample at a time compared to a full batch. A second problem is that some of the layers, such as batch normalization (to be described in Section 8.5), only work well when we have access to more than one observation at a time.

The solution to both problems is to pick an intermediate strategy: rather than taking a full batch or only a single sample at a time, we take a *minibatch* of observations (Li *et al.*, 2014). The specific choice of the size of the said minibatch depends on many factors, such as the amount of memory, the number of accelerators, the choice of layers, and the total dataset size. Despite all of that, a number between 32 and 256, preferably a multiple of a large power of 2, is a good start. This leads us to *minibatch stochastic gradient descent*.

In its most basic form, in each iteration t, we first randomly sample a minibatch \mathcal{B}_t consisting of a fixed number $|\mathcal{B}|$ of training examples. We then compute the derivative (gradient) of the average loss on the minibatch with respect to the model parameters. Finally, we multiply the gradient by a predetermined small positive value η , called the *learning rate*, and subtract the resulting term from the current parameter values. We can express the update as follows:

$$(\boldsymbol{w}, b) \leftarrow (\boldsymbol{w}, b) - \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \partial_{(\boldsymbol{w}, b)} l^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}, b).$$
 (3.1.10)

In summary, minibatch SGD proceeds as follows: (i) initialize the values of the model parameters, typically at random; (ii) iteratively sample random minibatches from the data, updating the parameters in the direction of the negative gradient. For quadratic losses and affine transformations, this has a closed-form expansion:

$$\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}} l^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = \boldsymbol{w} - \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right)$$

$$b \leftarrow b - \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \partial_b l^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = b - \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^\top \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right).$$
(3.1.11)

Since we pick a minibatch \mathcal{B} we need to normalize by its size $|\mathcal{B}|$. Frequently minibatch size and learning rate are user-defined. Such tunable parameters that are not updated in the training loop are called *hyperparameters*. They can be tuned automatically by a number of techniques, such as Bayesian optimization (Frazier, 2018). In the end, the quality of the solution is typically assessed on a separate *validation dataset* (or *validation set*).

After training for some predetermined number of iterations (or until some other stopping criterion is met), we record the estimated model parameters, denoted \hat{w} , \hat{b} . Note that even if our function is truly linear and noiseless, these parameters will not be the exact minimizers of the loss, or even deterministic. Although the algorithm converges slowly towards the minimizers it typically cannot achieve it exactly in a finite number of steps. Moreover, the minibatches \mathcal{B} used to update the parameters are chosen at random. This breaks determinism.

Linear regression happens to be a learning problem with a global minimum (whenever X is full rank, or equivalently, whenever $X^{\top}X$ is invertible). However, the loss surfaces for deep networks contain many saddle points and minima. Fortunately, we typically don't care about finding an exact set of parameters but merely any set of parameters that leads to accurate predictions (and thus low loss). In practice, deep learning practitioners seldom struggle to find parameters that minimize the loss *on training sets* (Frankle and Carbin, 2018, Izmailov *et al.*, 2018). The more formidable task is to find parameters that lead to accurate predictions on previously unseen data, a challenge called *generalization*. We return to these topics throughout the book.

Predictions

Given the model $\hat{w}^{\top}x + \hat{b}$, we can now make *predictions* for a new example, e.g., to predict the sales price of a previously unseen house given its area x_1 and age x_2 . Deep learning practitioners have taken to calling the prediction phase *inference* but this is a bit of a misnomer *inference* refers broadly to any conclusion reached on the basis of evidence, including both the values of the parameters and the likely label for an unseen instance. If anything, in the statistics literature *inference* more often denotes parameter inference and this overloading of terminology creates unnecessary confusion when deep learning practitioners talk to statisticians. In the following we will stick to *prediction* whenever possible.

3.1.2 Vectorization for Speed

When training our models, we typically want to process whole minibatches of examples simultaneously. Doing this efficiently requires that we vectorize the calculations and leverage fast linear algebra libraries rather than writing costly for-loops in Python.

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import time
from mxnet import np
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

To illustrate why this matters so much, we can consider two methods for adding vectors. To start, we instantiate two 10,000-dimensional vectors containing all ones. In one method, we loop over the vectors with a Python for-loop. In the other method, we rely on a single call to +.

n = 10000 a = np.ones(n) b = np.ones(n)

Now we can benchmark the workloads. First, we add them, one coordinate at a time, using a for-loop.

```
c = np.zeros(n)
t = time.time()
for i in range(n):
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i]
f'{time.time() - t:.5f} sec'
```

```
'4.43670 sec'
```

Alternatively, we rely on the reloaded + operator to compute the elementwise sum.

t = time.time()
d = a + b
f'{time.time() - t:.5f} sec'

'0.00028 sec'

The second method is dramatically faster than the first. Vectorizing code often yields orderof-magnitude speedups. Moreover, we push more of the mathematics to the library without the need to write as many calculations ourselves, reducing the potential for errors and increasing portability of the code.

3.1.3 The Normal Distribution and Squared Loss

So far we've given a fairly functional motivation of the squared loss objective: the optimal parameters return the conditional expectation $E[Y \mid X]$ whenever the underlying pattern is truly linear, and the loss assigns outsize penalties for outliers. We can also provide a more formal motivation for the squared loss objective by making probabilistic assumptions about the distribution of noise.

Linear regression was invented at the turn of the 19th century. While it has long been debated whether Gauss or Legendre first thought up the idea, it was Gauss who also discovered the normal distribution (also called the *Gaussian*). It turns out that the normal distribution and linear regression with squared loss share a deeper connection than common parentage.

To begin, recall that a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 (standard deviation σ) is given as

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right).$$
 (3.1.12)

Below we define a function to compute the normal distribution.

```
def normal(x, mu, sigma):
    p = 1 / math.sqrt(2 * math.pi * sigma**2)
    return p * np.exp(-0.5 * (x - mu)**2 / sigma**2)
```

We can now visualize the normal distributions.



Note that changing the mean corresponds to a shift along the x-axis, and increasing the variance spreads the distribution out, lowering its peak.

One way to motivate linear regression with squared loss is to assume that observations arise from noisy measurements, where the noise is normally distributed as follows:

$$y = \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x} + b + \epsilon$$
 where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. (3.1.13)

Thus, we can now write out the *likelihood* of seeing a particular y for a given x via

$$P(y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x} - b)^2\right).$$
(3.1.14)

As such, the likelihood factorizes. According to *the principle of maximum likelihood*, the best values of parameters w and b are those that maximize the *likelihood* of the entire dataset:

$$P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}).$$
(3.1.15)

The equality follows since all pairs $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ were drawn independently of each other. Estimators chosen according to the principle of maximum likelihood are called *maximum likelihood estimators*. While, maximizing the product of many exponential functions, might look difficult, we can simplify things significantly, without changing the objective, by maximizing the logarithm of the likelihood instead. For historical reasons, optimizations are more often expressed as minimization rather than maximization. So, without changing anything, we can *minimize* the *negative log-likelihood*, which we can express as follows:

$$-\log P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(y^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - b \right)^2.$$
(3.1.16)

If we assume that σ is fixed, we can ignore the first term, because it does not depend on w or b. The second term is identical to the squared error loss introduced earlier, except for the multiplicative constant $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$. Fortunately, the solution does not depend on σ either. It follows that minimizing the mean squared error is equivalent to maximum likelihood estimation of a linear model under the assumption of additive Gaussian noise.

3.1.4 Linear Regression as a Neural Network

While linear models are not sufficiently rich to express the many complicated neural networks that we will introduce in this book, neural networks are rich enough to subsume linear models as neural networks in which every feature is represented by an input neuron, all of which are connected directly to the output.

Fig.3.1.2 depicts linear regression as a neural network. The diagram highlights the connectivity pattern such as how each input is connected to the output, but not the specific values taken by the weights or biases.



Figure 3.1.2 Linear regression is a single-layer neural network.

The inputs are x_1, \ldots, x_d . We refer to d as the number of inputs or feature dimensionality in the input layer. The output of the network is o_1 . Because we are just trying to predict a single numerical value, we have only one output neuron. Note that the input values are all given. There is just a single computed neuron. In summary, we can think of linear regression as a single-layer fully connected neural network. We will encounter networks with far more layers in future chapters.

Biology

Because linear regression predates computational neuroscience, it might seem anachronistic to describe linear regression in terms of neural networks. Nonetheless, they were a natural place to start when the cyberneticists and neurophysiologists Warren McCulloch and Walter Pitts began to develop models of artificial neurons. Consider the cartoonish picture of a biological neuron in Fig.3.1.3, consisting of *dendrites* (input terminals), the *nucleus* (CPU), the *axon* (output wire), and the *axon terminals* (output terminals), enabling connections to other neurons via *synapses*.





Information x_i arriving from other neurons (or environmental sensors) is received in the dendrites. In particular, that information is weighted by *synaptic weights* w_i , determining the effect of the inputs, e.g., activation or inhibition via the product x_iw_i . The weighted inputs arriving from multiple sources are aggregated in the nucleus as a weighted sum $y = \sum_i x_i w_i + b$, possibly subject to some nonlinear postprocessing via $\sigma(y)$. This information is then sent via the axon to the axon terminals, where it reaches its destination (e.g., an actuator such as a muscle) or it is fed into another neuron via its dendrites.

Certainly, the high-level idea that many such units could be combined with the right connectivity and right learning algorithm, to produce far more interesting and complex behavior than any one neuron alone could express owes to our study of real biological neural systems. At the same time, most research in deep learning today draws inspiration from a much wider source. We invoke Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig (Russell and Norvig, 2016) who pointed out that although airplanes might have been *inspired* by birds, ornithology has not been the primary driver of aeronautics innovation for some centuries. Likewise, inspiration in deep learning these days comes in equal or greater measure from mathematics, linguistics, psychology, statistics, computer science, and many other fields.

3.1.5 Summary

In this section, we introduced traditional linear regression, where the parameters of a linear function are chosen to minimize squared loss on the training set. We also motivated this choice of objective both via some practical considerations and through an interpretation of linear regression as maximimum likelihood estimation under an assumption of linearity and Gaussian noise. After discussing both computational considerations and connections to statistics, we showed how such linear models could be expressed as simple neural networks where the inputs are directly wired to the output(s). While we will soon move past linear models altogether, they are sufficient to introduce most of the components that all of our models require: parametric forms, differentiable objectives, optimization via minibatch stochastic gradient descent, and ultimately, evaluation on previously unseen data.

Exercises

- 1. Assume that we have some data $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Our goal is to find a constant b such that $\sum_i (x_i b)^2$ is minimized.
 - 1. Find an analytic solution for the optimal value of *b*.
 - 2. How does this problem and its solution relate to the normal distribution?
 - 3. What if we change the loss from $\sum_{i} (x_i b)^2$ to $\sum_{i} |x_i b|$? Can you find the optimal solution for *b*?
- 2. Prove that the affine functions that can be expressed by $x^{\top}w + b$ are equivalent to linear functions on (x, 1).
- 3. Assume that you want to find quadratic functions of x, i.e., $f(x) = b + \sum_{i} w_i x_i + \sum_{j \le i} w_{ij} x_i x_j$. How would you formulate this in a deep network?
- 4. Recall that one of the conditions for the linear regression problem to be solvable was that the design matrix $X^{\top}X$ has full rank.
 - 1. What happens if this is not the case?
 - 2. How could you fix it? What happens if you add a small amount of coordinate-wise independent Gaussian noise to all entries of *X*?
 - 3. What is the expected value of the design matrix $X^{\top}X$ in this case?
- 4. What happens with stochastic gradient descent when $X^{\top}X$ doesn't have full rank?
- 5. Assume that the noise model governing the additive noise ϵ is the exponential distribution. That is, $p(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-|\epsilon|)$.
 - 1. Write out the negative log-likelihood of the data under the model $-\log P(y \mid X)$.
 - 2. Can you find a closed form solution?
 - 3. Suggest a minibatch stochastic gradient descent algorithm to solve this problem. What could possibly go wrong (hint: what happens near the stationary point as we keep on updating the parameters)? Can you fix this?
- 6. Assume that we want to design a neural network with two layers by composing two linear layers. That is, the output of the first layer becomes the input of the second layer. Why would such a naive composition not work?
- 7. What happens if you want to use regression for realistic price estimation of houses or stock prices?
 - 1. Show that the additive Gaussian noise assumption is not appropriate. Hint: can we have negative prices? What about fluctuations?
 - 2. Why would regression to the logarithm of the price be much better, i.e., $y = \log \text{ price}$?
 - 3. What do you need to worry about when dealing with pennystock, i.e., stock with very low prices? Hint: can you trade at all possible prices? Why is this a bigger problem for cheap stock?
 - For more information review the celebrated Black-Scholes model for option pricing (Black and Scholes, 1973).
- 8. Suppose we want to use regression to estimate the *number* of apples sold in a grocery store.
 - 1. What are the problems with a Gaussian additive noise model? Hint: you are selling apples, not oil.

- 2. The Poisson distribution ⁶⁴ captures distributions over counts. It is given by $p(k \mid \lambda) = \lambda^k e^{-\lambda} / k!$. Here λ is the rate function and k is the number of events you see. Prove that λ is the expected value of counts k.
- 3. Design a loss function associated with the Poisson distribution.
- 4. Design a loss function for estimating $\log \lambda$ instead.

Discussions⁶⁵

3.2 Object-Oriented Design for Implementation

In our introduction to linear regression, we walked through various components including the data, the model, the loss function, and the optimization algorithm. Indeed, linear regression is one of the simplest machine learning models. Training it, however, uses many of the same components as other models in this book require. Therefore, before diving into the implementation details it is worth designing some of the APIs used throughout this book. Treating components in deep learning as objects, we can start by defining classes for these objects and their interactions. This object-oriented design for implementation will greatly streamline the presentation and you might even want to use it in your projects.

Inspired by open-source libraries such as PyTorch Lightning⁶⁶, on a high level we wish to have three classes: (i) Module contains models, losses, and optimization methods; (ii) Data- 66 Module provides data loaders for training and validation; (iii) both classes are combined using the Trainer class, which allows us to train models on a variety of hardware platforms. Most code in this book adapts Module and DataModule. We will touch upon the Trainer class only when we discuss GPUs, CPUs, parallel training, and optimization algorithms.



import time import numpy as np from mxnet.gluon import nn from d21 import mxnet as d21

3.2.1 Utilities

We need a few utilities to simplify object-oriented programming in Jupyter notebooks. One of the challenges is that class definitions tend to be fairly long blocks of code. Notebook readability demands short code fragments, interspersed with explanations, a requirement incompatible with the style of programming common for Python libraries. The first utility function allows us to register functions as methods in a class *after* the class has been created. In fact, we can do so *even after* we've created instances of the class! It allows us to split the implementation of a class into multiple code blocks.

```
def add_to_class(Class): #@save
    def wrapper(obj):
        setattr(Class, obj.__name__, obj)
    return wrapper
```

Let's have a quick look at how to use it. We plan to implement a class A with a method do. Instead of having code for both A and do in the same code block, we can first declare the class A and create an instance a.

```
class A:
    def __init__(self):
        self.b = 1
a = A()
```

Next we define the method do as we normally would, but not in class A's scope. Instead, we decorate this method by add_to_class with class A as its argument. In doing so, the method is able to access the member variables of A as we would expect if it had been defined as part of A's definition. Let's see what happens when we invoke it for the instance a.

```
@add_to_class(A)
def do(self):
    print('Class attribute "b" is', self.b)
a.do()
```

Class attribute "b" is 1

The second one is a utility class that saves all arguments in a class's __init__ method as class attributes. This allows us to extend constructor call signatures implicitly without additional code.

```
class HyperParameters: #@save
  def save_hyperparameters(self, ignore=[]):
      raise NotImplemented
```

We defer its implementation into Section 20.7. To use it, we define our class that inherits from HyperParameters and calls save_hyperparameters in the __init__ method.

```
# Call the fully implemented HyperParameters class saved in d2l
class B(d2l.HyperParameters):
    def __init__(self, a, b, c):
        self.save_hyperparameters(ignore=['c'])
        print('self.a =', self.a, 'self.b =', self.b)
        print('There is no self.c =', not hasattr(self, 'c'))
b = B(a=1, b=2, c=3)
```

```
self.a = 1 self.b = 2
There is no self.c = True
```



The last utility allows us to plot experiment progress interactively while it is going on. In deference to the much more powerful (and complex) TensorBoard⁶⁷ we name it ProgressBoard. The implementation is deferred to Section 20.7. For now, let's simply see it in action.

The draw function plots a point (x, y) in the figure, with label specified in the legend. The optional every_n smooths the line by only showing 1/n points in the figure. Their values are averaged from the *n* neighbor points in the original figure.

In the following example, we draw sin and cos with a different smoothness. If you run this code block, you will see the lines grow in animation.

```
board = d2l.ProgressBoard('x')
for x in np.arange(0, 10, 0.1):
    board.draw(x, np.sin(x), 'sin', every_n=2)
    board.draw(x, np.cos(x), 'cos', every_n=10)
```



3.2.2 Models

The Module class is the base class of all models we will implement. At a minimum we need to define three methods. The __init__ method stores the learnable parameters, the training_step method accepts a data batch to return the loss value, the configure_optimizers method returns the optimization method, or a list of them, that is used to update the learnable parameters. Optionally we can define validation_step to report the evaluation measures. Sometimes we put the code to compute the output into a separate forward method to make it more reusable.

```
class Module(nn.Block, d21.HyperParameters): #@save
    def __init__(self, plot_train_per_epoch=2, plot_valid_per_epoch=1):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.board = ProgressBoard()
    def loss(self, y_hat, y):
        raise NotImplementedError
    def forward(self, X):
        assert hasattr(self, 'net'), 'Neural network is defined'
        return self.net(X)
    def plot(self, key, value, train):
          "Plot a point in animation."""
        assert hasattr(self, 'trainer'), 'Trainer is not inited'
self.board.xlabel = 'epoch'
        if train:
            x = self.trainer.train_batch_idx / \
                self.trainer.num_train_batches
            n = self.trainer.num_train_batches / \
                self.plot_train_per_epoch
        else:
            x = self.trainer.epoch + 1
            n = self.trainer.num_val_batches / \
                self.plot_valid_per_epoch
        self.board.draw(x, value.asnumpy(), (
            'train_' if train else 'val_') + key, every_n=int(n))
    def training_step(self, batch):
        1 = self.loss(self(*batch[:-1]), batch[-1])
        self.plot('loss', l, train=True)
        return 1
    def validation_step(self, batch):
        1 = self.loss(self(*batch[:-1]), batch[-1])
        self.plot('loss', 1, train=False)
    def configure_optimizers(self):
        raise NotImplementedError
```

You may notice that Module is a subclass of nn.Block, the base class of neural networks in Gluon. It provides convenient features to handle neural networks. For example, if we define a forward method, such as forward(self, X), then for an instance a we can invoke this function by a(X). This works since it calls the forward method in the built-in __call__ method. You can find more details and examples about nn.Block in Section 6.1.

3.2.3 Data

The DataModule class is the base class for data. Quite frequently the __init__ method is used to prepare the data. This includes downloading and preprocessing if needed. The train_dataloader returns the data loader for the training dataset. A data loader is a (Python) generator that yields a data batch each time it is used. This batch is then fed into the training_step method of Module to compute the loss. There is an optional val_dataloader to

return the validation dataset loader. It behaves in the same manner, except that it yields data batches for the validation_step method in Module.

```
class DataModule(d21.HyperParameters): #@save
  def __init__(self, root='../data', num_workers=4):
      self.save_hyperparameters()
  def get_dataloader(self, train):
      raise NotImplementedError
  def train_dataloader(self):
      return self.get_dataloader(train=True)
  def val_dataloader(self):
      return self.get_dataloader(train=False)
```

3.2.4 Training

The Trainer class trains the learnable parameters in the Module class with data specified in DataModule. The key method is fit, which accepts two arguments: model, an instance of Module, and data, an instance of DataModule. It then iterates over the entire dataset max_epochs times to train the model. As before, we will defer the implementation of this function to later chapters.

```
class Trainer(d21.HyperParameters): #@save
    def __init__(self, max_epochs, num_gpus=0, gradient_clip_val=0):
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        assert num_gpus == 0, 'No GPU support yet'
    def prepare_data(self, data):
        self.train_dataloader = data.train_dataloader()
        self.val_dataloader = data.val_dataloader()
        self.num_train_batches = len(self.train_dataloader)
        self.num_val_batches = (len(self.val_dataloader)
                                if self.val_dataloader is not None else 0)
    def prepare_model(self, model):
       model.trainer = self
        model.board.xlim = [0, self.max_epochs]
        self.model = model
    def fit(self, model, data):
        self.prepare_data(data)
        self.prepare_model(model)
        self.optim = model.configure_optimizers()
        self.epoch = 0
        self.train_batch_idx = 0
        self.val_batch_idx = 0
        for self.epoch in range(self.max_epochs):
            self.fit_epoch()
    def fit_epoch(self):
        raise NotImplementedError
```

3.2.5 Summary

To highlight the object-oriented design for our future deep learning implementation, the above classes just show how their objects store data and interact with each other. We will keep enriching implementations of these classes, such as via @add_to_class, in the rest of the book. Moreover, these fully implemented classes are saved in the d2l library⁶⁸, a *lightweight toolkit* that makes structured modeling for deep learning easy. In particular, it



facilitates reusing many components between projects without changing much at all. For instance, we can replace just the optimizer, just the model, just the dataset, etc.; this degree of modularity pays dividends throughout the book in terms of conciseness and simplicity (this is why we added it) and it can do the same for your own projects.

Exercises

- 1. Locate full implementations of the above classes that are saved in the d2l library⁶⁹. We strongly recommend that you look at the implementation in detail once you have gained some more familiarity with deep learning modeling.
- 2. Remove the save_hyperparameters statement in the B class. Can you still print self.a and self.b? Optional: if you have dived into the full implementation of the HyperParameters class, can you explain why?

Discussions⁷⁰



3.3 Synthetic Regression Data

Machine learning is all about extracting information from data. So you might wonder, what could we possibly learn from synthetic data? While we might not care intrinsically about the patterns that we ourselves baked into an artificial data generating model, such datasets are nevertheless useful for didactic purposes, helping us to evaluate the properties of our learning algorithms and to confirm that our implementations work as expected. For example, if we create data for which the correct parameters are known *a priori*, then we can verify that our model can in fact recover them.

%matplotlib inline import random from mxnet import gluon, np, npx from d2l import mxnet as d2l

npx.set_np()

3.3.1 Generating the Dataset

For this example, we will work low-dimensional for succinctness. The following code snippet generates 1000 examples with 2-dimensional features drawn from a standard normal distribution. The resulting design matrix X belongs to $\mathbb{R}^{1000 \times 2}$. We generate each label by applying a *ground truth* linear function, corrupted them via additive noise ϵ , drawn independently and identically for each example:

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}. \tag{3.3.1}$$

For convenience we assume that ϵ is drawn from a normal distribution with mean $\mu = 0$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 0.01$. Note that for object-oriented design we add the code to the __init__ method of a subclass of d2l.DataModule (introduced in Section 3.2.3). It's good practice to allow setting any additional hyperparameters. We accomplish this with save_hyperparameters(). The batch_size will be determined later on.

Below, we set the true parameters to $w = [2, -3.4]^{\top}$ and b = 4.2. Later, we can check our estimated parameters against these *ground truth* values.

```
data = SyntheticRegressionData(w=np.array([2, -3.4]), b=4.2)
```

Each row in features consists of a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 and each row in labels is a scalar. Let's have a look at the first entry.

```
print('features:', data.X[0],'\nlabel:', data.y[0])
```

```
features: [2.2122064 1.1630787]
label: [4.684836]
```

3.3.2 Reading the Dataset

Training machine learning models often requires multiple passes over a dataset, grabbing one minibatch of examples at a time. This data is then used to update the model. To illustrate how this works, we implement the get_dataloader function, registering it as a method in the SyntheticRegressionData class via add_to_class (introduced in Section 3.2.1). It takes a batch size, a matrix of features, and a vector of labels, and generates minibatches of size batch_size. As such, each minibatch consists of a tuple of features and labels. Note that we need to be mindful of whether we're in training or validation mode: in the former, we will want to read the data in random order, whereas for the latter, being able to read data in a pre-defined order may be important for debugging purposes.

```
@d21.add_to_class(SyntheticRegressionData)
def get_dataloader(self, train):
    if train:
        indices = list(range(0, self.num_train))
        # The examples are read in random order
        random.shuffle(indices)
    else:
        indices = list(range(self.num_train, self.num_train+self.num_val))
    for i in range(0, len(indices), self.batch_size):
        batch_indices = np.array(indices[i: i+self.batch_size])
        yield self.X[batch_indices], self.y[batch_indices]
```

To build some intuition, let's inspect the first minibatch of data. Each minibatch of features provides us with both its size and the dimensionality of input features. Likewise, our minibatch of labels will have a matching shape given by batch_size.

```
X, y = next(iter(data.train_dataloader()))
print('X shape:', X.shape, '\ny shape:', y.shape)
```

```
X shape: (32, 2)
y shape: (32, 1)
```

While seemingly innocuous, the invocation of iter(data.train_dataloader()) illustrates the power of Python's object-oriented design. Note that we added a method to the SyntheticRegressionData class *after* creating the data object. Nonetheless, the object benefits from the *ex post facto* addition of functionality to the class.

Throughout the iteration we obtain distinct minibatches until the entire dataset has been exhausted (try this). While the iteration implemented above is good for didactic purposes, it is inefficient in ways that might get us in trouble on real problems. For example, it requires that we load all the data in memory and that we perform lots of random memory access. The built-in iterators implemented in a deep learning framework are considerably more efficient and they can deal with sources such as data stored in files, data received via a stream, and data generated or processed on the fly. Next let's try to implement the same function using built-in iterators.

3.3.3 Concise Implementation of the Data Loader

Rather than writing our own iterator, we can call the existing API in a framework to load data. As before, we need a dataset with features X and labels y. Beyond that, we set batch_size in the built-in data loader and let it take care of shuffling examples efficiently.

The new data loader behaves just as the previous one, except that it is more efficient and has some added functionality.

```
X, y = next(iter(data.train_dataloader()))
print('X shape:', X.shape, '\ny shape:', y.shape)
```

X shape: (32, 2) y shape: (32, 1)

For instance, the data loader provided by the framework API supports the built-in __len__ method, so we can query its length, i.e., the number of batches.

len(data.train_dataloader())

32

3.3.4 Summary

Data loaders are a convenient way of abstracting out the process of loading and manipulating data. This way the same machine learning *algorithm* is capable of processing many different types and sources of data without the need for modification. One of the nice things about data loaders is that they can be composed. For instance, we might be loading images and then have a post-processing filter that crops them or modifies them otherwise. As such, data loaders can be used to describe an entire data processing pipeline.

As for the model itself, the two-dimensional linear model is about as simple a model as we might encounter. It lets us test out the accuracy of regression models without worry about having insufficient amounts of data or an underdetermined system of equations. We will put this to good use in the next section.

Exercises

- 1. What will happen if the number of examples cannot be divided by the batch size. How to change this behavior by specifying a different argument by using framework's API?
- 2. What if we want to generate a huge dataset, where both the size of the parameter vector w and the number of examples num_examples are large?
 - 1. What happens if we cannot hold all data in memory?
 - 2. How would you shuffle the data if data is held on disk? Your task is to design an *efficient* algorithm that does not require too many random reads or writes. Hint: pseudorandom permutation generators⁷¹ allow you to design a reshuffle without the need to store the permutation table explicitly (Naor and Reingold, 1999).
- 3. Implement a data generator that produces new data on the fly, every time the iterator is called.
- 4. How would you design a random data generator that generates *the same* data each time it's called?

Discussions⁷²



3.4 Linear Regression Implementation from Scratch

We're now ready to work through a fully functioning implementation of linear regression. In this section, we will implement the entire method from scratch, including (i) the model; (ii) the loss function; (iii) a minibatch stochastic gradient descent optimizer; and (iv) the training function that stitches all of these pieces together. Finally, we will run our synthetic data generator from Section 3.3 and apply our model on the resulting dataset. While modern deep learning frameworks can automate nearly all of this work, implementing things from scratch is the only way to make sure that you really know what you are doing. Moreover, when it comes time to customize models, defining our own layers or loss functions, understanding how things work under the hood will prove handy. In this section, we will rely only on tensors and automatic differentiation. Later on, we will introduce a more concise implementation, taking advantage of bells and whistles of deep learning frameworks while retaining the structure of what follows below.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

3.4.1 Defining the Model

Before we can begin optimizing our model's parameters by minibatch SGD, we need to have some parameters in the first place. In the following we initialize weights by drawing random numbers from a normal distribution with mean 0 and a standard deviation of 0.01. The magic number 0.01 often works well in practice, but you can specify a different value through the argument sigma. Moreover we set the bias to 0. Note that for object-oriented design we add the code to the __init__ method of a subclass of d21.Module (introduced in Section 3.2.2).

```
class LinearRegressionScratch(d2l.Module): #@save
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, lr, sigma=0.01):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.w = np.random.normal(0, sigma, (num_inputs, 1))
        self.b = np.zeros(1)
        self.w.attach_grad()
        self.b.attach_grad()
```

Next, we must define our model, relating its input and parameters to its output. For our linear model we simply take the matrix-vector product of the input features X and the model weights w, and add the offset b to each example. Xw is a vector and b is a scalar. Due to the broadcasting mechanism (see Section 2.1.4), when we add a vector and a scalar, the scalar is added to each component of the vector. The resulting forward function is registered as a method in the LinearRegressionScratch class via add_to_class (introduced in Section 3.2.1).

```
@d2l.add_to_class(LinearRegressionScratch) #@save
def forward(self, X):
    """The linear regression model."""
    return np.dot(X, self.w) + self.b
```

3.4.2 Defining the Loss Function

Since updating our model requires taking the gradient of our loss function, we ought to define the loss function first. Here we use the squared loss function in (3.1.5). In the implementation, we need to transform the true value y into the predicted value's shape y_hat. The result returned by the following function will also have the same shape as y_hat. We also return the averaged loss value among all examples in the minibatch.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(LinearRegressionScratch) #@save
def loss(self, y_hat, y):
    l = (y_hat - y.reshape(y_hat.shape)) ** 2 / 2
    return l.mean()
```

3.4.3 Defining the Optimization Algorithm

As discussed in Section 3.1, linear regression has a closed-form solution. However, our goal here is to illustrate how to train more general neural networks, and that requires that we teach you how to use minibatch SGD. Hence we will take this opportunity to introduce your first working example of SGD. At each step, using a minibatch randomly drawn from our dataset, we estimate the gradient of the loss with respect to the parameters. Next, we update the parameters in the direction that may reduce the loss.

The following code applies the update, given a set of parameters, a learning rate 1r. Since our loss is computed as an average over the minibatch, we don't need to adjust the learning rate against the batch size. In later chapters we will investigate how learning rates should be adjusted for very large minibatches as they arise in distributed large scale learning. For now, we can ignore this dependency.

We define our SGD class, a subclass of d21. HyperParameters (introduced in Section 3.2.1), to have a similar API as the built-in SGD optimizer. We update the parameters in the step method. It accepts a batch_size argument that can be ignored.

```
class SGD(d21.HyperParameters): #@save
    def __init__(self, params, lr):
        """Minibatch stochastic gradient descent."""
        self.save_hyperparameters()
    def step(self, _):
        for param in self.params:
            param -= self.lr * param.grad
```

We next define the configure_optimizers method, which returns an instance of the SGD class.

```
@d21.add_to_class(LinearRegressionScratch) #@save
def configure_optimizers(self):
    return SGD([self.w, self.b], self.lr)
```

3.4.4 Training

Now that we have all of the parts in place (parameters, loss function, model, and optimizer), we are ready to implement the main training loop. It is crucial that you understand this code well since you will employ similar training loops for every other deep learning model covered in this book. In each *epoch*, we iterate through the entire training dataset, passing once through every example (assuming that the number of examples is divisible by the batch size). In each iteration, we grab a minibatch of training examples, and compute its loss through the model's training_step method. Next, we compute the gradients with respect to each parameter. Finally, we will call the optimization algorithm to update the model parameters. In summary, we will execute the following loop:

- Initialize parameters (**w**, b)
- Repeat until done
 - -- Compute gradient $\boldsymbol{g} \leftarrow \partial_{(\boldsymbol{w},b)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}} l(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{w}, b)$
 - -- Update parameters $(\boldsymbol{w}, b) \leftarrow (\boldsymbol{w}, b) \eta \boldsymbol{g}$

Recall that the synthetic regression dataset that we generated in Section 3.3 does not provide a validation dataset. In most cases, however, we will use a validation dataset to measure our model quality. Here we pass the validation dataloader once in each epoch to measure the model performance. Following our object-oriented design, the prepare_batch and fit_epoch functions are registered as methods of the d21.Trainer class (introduced in Section 3.2.4).

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.Trainer) #@save
def prepare_batch(self, batch):
    return batch
@d21.add_to_class(d21.Trainer) #@save
def fit_epoch(self):
    for batch in self.train_dataloader:
        with autograd.record():
            loss = self.model.training_step(self.prepare_batch(batch))
            loss.backward()
            if self.gradient_clip_val > 0:
                 self.clip_gradients(self.gradient_clip_val, self.model)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
self.optim.step(1)
self.train_batch_idx += 1
if self.val_dataloader is None:
    return
for batch in self.val_dataloader:
    self.model.validation_step(self.prepare_batch(batch))
    self.val_batch_idx += 1
```

We are almost ready to train the model, but first we need some data to train on. Here we use the SyntheticRegressionData class and pass in some ground-truth parameters. Then, we train our model with the learning rate 1r=0.03 and set max_epochs=3. Note that in general, both the number of epochs and the learning rate are hyperparameters. In general, setting hyperparameters is tricky and we will usually want to use a 3-way split, one set for training, a second for hyperparameter seclection, and the third reserved for the final evaluation. We elide these details for now but will revise them later.

```
model = LinearRegressionScratch(2, lr=0.03)
data = d21.SyntheticRegressionData(w=np.array([2, -3.4]), b=4.2)
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=3)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



Because we synthesized the dataset ourselves, we know precisely what the true parameters are. Thus, we can evaluate our success in training by comparing the true parameters with those that we learned through our training loop. Indeed they turn out to be very close to each other.

```
print(f'error in estimating w: {data.w - model.w.reshape(data.w.shape)}')
print(f'error in estimating b: {data.b - model.b}')
```

```
error in estimating w: [ 0.1079303 -0.12717581]
error in estimating b: [0.18558264]
```

We should not take the ability to exactly recover the ground-truth parameters for granted. In general, for deep models unique solutions for the parameters do not exist, and even for linear models, exactly recovering the parameters is only possible when no feature is linearly dependent on the others. However, in machine learning, we are often less concerned with recovering true underlying parameters, and more concerned with parameters that lead to highly accurate prediction (Vapnik, 1992). Fortunately, even on difficult optimization problems, stochastic gradient descent can often find remarkably good solutions, owing partly to the fact that, for deep networks, there exist many configurations of the parameters that lead to highly accurate prediction.

3.4.5 Summary

In this section, we took a significant step towards designing deep learning systems by implementing a fully functional neural network model and training loop. In this process, we built a data loader, a model, a loss function, an optimization procedure, and a visualization and monitoring tool. We did this by composing a Python object that contains all relevant components for training a model. While this is not yet a professional-grade implementation it is perfectly functional and code like this could already help you to solve small problems quickly. In the next sections, we will see how to do this both more concisely (avoiding boilerplate code) and more efficiently (use our GPUs to their full potential).

Exercises

1. What would happen if we were to initialize the weights to zero. Would the algorithm still

- work? What if we initialized the parameters with variance 1,000 rather than 0.01?
- 2. Assume that you are Georg Simon Ohm⁷³ trying to come up with a model for resistors that relate voltage and current. Can you use automatic differentiation to learn the parameters of your model?
- 3. Can you use Planck's Law⁷⁴ to determine the temperature of an object using spectral energy density? For reference, the spectral density *B* of radiation emanating from a black body is $B(\lambda, T) = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} \cdot \left(\exp \frac{hc}{\lambda kT} - 1\right)^{-1}$. Here λ is the wavelength, *T* is the temperature, c is the speed of light, h is Planck's quantum, and k is the Boltzmann constant. You measure the energy for different wavelengths λ and you now need to fit the spectral density curve to Planck's law.
- 4. What are the problems you might encounter if you wanted to compute the second derivatives of the loss? How would you fix them?
- 5. Why is the reshape method needed in the loss function?
- 6. Experiment using different learning rates to find out how quickly the loss function value drops. Can you reduce the error by increasing the number of epochs of training?
- 7. If the number of examples cannot be divided by the batch size, what happens to data_iter at the end of an epoch?
- 8. Try implementing a different loss function, such as the absolute value loss (y_hat d21.reshape(y, y_hat.shape)).abs().sum().
 - 1. Check what happens for regular data.
- 2. Check whether there is a difference in behavior if you actively perturb some entries of y, such as $y_5 = 10,000$.
- 3. Can you think of a cheap solution for combining the best aspects of squared loss and absolute value loss? Hint: how can you avoid really large gradient values?
- 9. Why do we need to reshuffle the dataset? Can you design a case where a maliciously dataset would break the optimization algorithm otherwise?





3.5 Concise Implementation of Linear Regression

Deep learning has witnessed a Cambrian explosion of sorts over the past decade. The sheer number of techniques, applications and algorithms by far surpasses the progress of previous decades. This is due to a fortuitous combination of multiple factors, one of which is the powerful free tools offered by a number of open source deep learning frameworks. Theano (Bergstra *et al.*, 2010), DistBelief (Dean *et al.*, 2012), and Caffe (Jia *et al.*, 2014) arguably represent the first generation of such models that found widespread adoption. In contrast to earlier (seminal) works like SN2 (Simulateur Neuristique) (Bottou and Le Cun, 1988), which provided a Lisp-like programming experience, modern frameworks offer automatic differentiation and the convenience of Python. These frameworks allow us to automate and modularize the repetitive work of implementing gradient-based learning algorithms.

In Section 3.4, we relied only on (i) tensors for data storage and linear algebra; and (ii) automatic differentiation for calculating gradients. In practice, because data iterators, loss functions, optimizers, and neural network layers are so common, modern libraries implement these components for us as well. In this section, we will show you how to implement the linear regression model from Section 3.4 concisely by using high-level APIs of deep learning frameworks.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

3.5.1 Defining the Model

When we implemented linear regression from scratch in Section 3.4, we defined our model parameters explicitly and coded up the calculations to produce output using basic linear algebra operations. You *should* know how to do this. But once your models get more complex, and once you have to do this nearly every day, you will be glad for the assistance. The situation is similar to coding up your own blog from scratch. Doing it once or twice is rewarding and instructive, but you would be a lousy web developer if you spent a month reinventing the wheel.

For standard operations, we can use a framework's predefined layers, which allow us to focus on the layers used to construct the model rather than worrying about their implementation. Recall the architecture of a single-layer network as described in Fig.3.1.2. The layer is called *fully connected*, since each of its inputs is connected to each of its outputs by means of a matrix-vector multiplication.

In Gluon, the fully connected layer is defined in the Dense class. Since we only want to generate a single scalar output, we set that number to 1. It is worth noting that, for convenience, Gluon does not require us to specify the input shape for each layer. Hence we don't need to tell Gluon how many inputs go into this linear layer. When we first pass data through our model, e.g., when we execute net(X) later, Gluon will automatically infer the number of inputs to each layer and thus instantiate the correct model. We will describe how this works in more detail later.

```
class LinearRegression(d21.Module): #@save
    def __init__(self, lr):
        super().__init__()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
self.save_hyperparameters()
self.net = nn.Dense(1)
self.net.initialize(init.Normal(sigma=0.01))
```

In the forward method, we just invoke the built-in __call__ function of the predefined layers to compute the outputs.

```
@d21.add_to_class(LinearRegression) #@save
def forward(self, X):
    """The linear regression model."""
    return self.net(X)
```

3.5.2 Defining the Loss Function

The loss module defines many useful loss functions. For speed and convenience, we forgo implementing our own and choose the built-in loss.L2Loss instead. Because the loss that it returns is the squared error for each example, we use meanto average the loss across over the minibatch.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(LinearRegression) #@save
def loss(self, y_hat, y):
    fn = gluon.loss.L2Loss()
    return fn(y_hat, y).mean()
```

3.5.3 Defining the Optimization Algorithm

Minibatch SGD is a standard tool for optimizing neural networks and thus Gluon supports it alongside a number of variations on this algorithm through its Trainer class. Note that Gluon's Trainer class stands for the optimization algorithm, while the Trainer class we created in Section 3.2 contains the training function, i.e., repeatedly call the optimizer to update the model parameters. When we instantiate Trainer, we specify the parameters to optimize over, obtainable from our model net via net.collect_params(), the optimization algorithm we wish to use (sgd), and a dictionary of hyperparameters required by our optimization algorithm.

3.5.4 Training

You might have noticed that expressing our model through high-level APIs of a deep learning framework requires fewer lines of code. We did not have to allocate parameters individually, define our loss function, or implement minibatch SGD. Once we start working with much more complex models, the advantages of the high-level API will grow considerably. Now that we have all the basic pieces in place, the training loop itself is the same as the one we implemented from scratch. So we just call the fit method (introduced in Section 3.2.4), which relies on the implementation of the fit_epoch method in Section 3.4, to train our model.

```
model = LinearRegression(lr=0.03)
data = d2l.SyntheticRegressionData(w=np.array([2, -3.4]), b=4.2)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=3)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



Below, we compare the model parameters learned by training on finite data and the actual parameters that generated our dataset. To access parameters, we access the weights and bias of the layer that we need. As in our implementation from scratch, note that our estimated parameters are close to their true counterparts.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(LinearRegression) #@save
def get_w_b(self):
    return (self.net.weight.data(), self.net.bias.data())
w, b = model.get_w_b()
print(f'error in estimating w: {data.w - w.reshape(data.w.shape)}')
print(f'error in estimating b: {data.b - b}')
error in estimating w: [ 0.10694039 -0.12286997]
error in estimating b: [0.18698311]
```

3.5.5 Summary

This section contains the first implementation of a deep network (in this book) to tap into the conveniences afforded by modern deep learning frameworks, such as Gluon Chen.Li.Li. ea. 2015, JAX (Frostig *et al.*, 2018), PyTorch (Paszke *et al.*, 2019), and Tensorflow (Abadi *et al.*, 2016). We used framework defaults for loading data, defining a layer, a loss function, an optimizer and a training loop. Whenever the framework provides all necessary features, it's generally a good idea to use them, since the library implementations of these components tend to be heavily optimized for performance and properly tested for reliability. At the same time, try not to forget that these modules *can* be implemented directly. This is especially important for aspiring researchers who wish to live on the bleeding edge of model development, where you will be inventing new components that cannot possibly exist in any current library.

In Gluon, the data module provides tools for data processing, the nn module defines a large number of neural network layers, and the loss module defines many common loss functions. Moreover, the initializer gives access to many choices for parameter initialization. Conveniently for the user, dimensionality and storage are automatically inferred. A consequence of this lazy initialization is that you must not attempt to access parameters before they have been instantiated (and initialized).

- 1. How would you need to change the learning rate if you replace the aggregate loss over the minibatch with an average over the loss on the minibatch?
- 2. Review the framework documentation to see which loss functions are provided. In particular, replace the squared loss with Huber's robust loss function. That is, use the loss function

$$l(y, y') = \begin{cases} |y - y'| - \frac{\sigma}{2} & \text{if } |y - y'| > \sigma\\ \frac{1}{2\sigma}(y - y')^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3.5.1)

- 3. How do you access the gradient of the weights of the model?
- 4. How does the solution change if you change the learning rate and the number of epochs? Does it keep on improving?
- 5. How does the solution change as you change the amount of data generated?
 - 1. Plot the estimation error for $\hat{w} w$ and $\hat{b} b$ as a function of the amount of data. Hint: increase the amount of data logarithmically rather than linearly, i.e., 5, 10, 20, 50, ..., 10,000 rather than 1,000, 2,000, ..., 10,000.
 - 2. Why is the suggestion in the hint appropriate?



3.6 Generalization

Consider two college students diligently preparing for their final exam. Commonly, this preparation will consist of practicing and testing their abilities by taking exams administered in previous years. Nonetheless, doing well on past exams is no guarantee that they will excel when it matters. For instance, imagine a student, Elephantine Ellie, whose preparation consisted entirely of memorizing the answers to previous years' exam questions. Even if Ellie were endowed with an elephantine memory, and thus could perfectly recall the answer to any *previously seen* question, she might nevertheless freeze when faced with a new (*previously unseen*) question. By comparison, imagine another student, Inductive Irene, with comparably poor memorization skills, but a knack for picking up patterns. Note that if the exam truly consisted of recycled questions from a previous year, Ellie would handily outperform Irene. Even if Irene's inferred patterns yielded 90% accurate predictions, they could never compete with Ellie's 100% recall. However, even if the exam consisted entirely of fresh questions, Irene might maintain her 90% average.

As machine learning scientists, our goal is to discover *patterns*. But how can we be sure that we have truly discovered a *general* pattern and not simply memorized our data? Most of the time, our predictions are only useful if our model discovers such a pattern. We don't want to predict yesterday's stock prices, but tomorrow's. We don't need to recognize already diagnosed diseases for previously seen patients, but rather previously undiagnosed ailments in previously unseen patients. This problem—how to discover patterns that *generalize*—is the fundamental problem of machine learning, and arguably of all of statistics. We might cast this problem as just one slice of a far grander question that engulfs all of science: when are we ever justified in making the leap from particular observations to more general statements (Popper, 2005)?

In real life, we must fit out models using a finite collection of data. The typical scales of

that data vary wildly across domains. For many important medical problem, we can only access a few thousand data points. When studying rare diseases, we might be lucky to access hundreds. By contrast, the largest public datasets consisting of labeled photographs (e.g., ImageNet (Deng *et al.*, 2009)), contain millions of images. And some unlabeled image collections such as the Flickr YFC100M dataset can be even larger, containing over 100 million images (Thomee *et al.*, 2016). However, even at this extreme scale, the number of available data points remains infinitesimally small compared to the space of all possible images at 1 megapixel resolution. Whenever we work with finite samples, we must keep in mind the risk that we might fit our training data, only to discover that we failed to discover a generalizable pattern.

The phenomenon of fitting closer to our training data than to the underlying distribution is called *overfitting*, and techniques for combatting overfitting are often called *regularization* methods. While there is no substitute for a proper introduction to statistical learning theory (see Boucheron *et al.* (2005), Vapnik (1998)), we will give you just enough intuition to get going. We will revisit generalization in many chapters throughout the book, exploring both what is known about the principles underlying generalization in various models, and also heuristic techniques that have been found (empirically) to yield improved generalization on tasks of practical interest.

3.6.1 Training Error and Generalization Error

In the standard supervised learning setting, we assume that the training data and the test data are drawn *independently* from *identical* distributions. This is commonly called the *IID* assumption. While this assumption is strong, it's worth noting that absent any such assumption we would be dead in the water. Why should we believe that training data sampled from distribution P(X, Y) should tell us how to make predictions on test data generated by a *different distribution* Q(X, Y)? Making such leaps turns out to require strong assumptions about how P and Q are related. Later on we will discuss some assumptions that allow for shifts in distribution but first we need to understand the IID case, where $P(\cdot) = Q(\cdot)$.

To begin with, we need to differentiate between the *training error* R_{emp} , which is a *statistic* calculated on the training dataset, and the *generalization error* R, which is an *expectation* taken with respect to the underlying distribution. You can think of the generalization error as what you would see if you applied your model to an infinite stream of additional data examples drawn from the same underlying data distribution. Formally the training error is expressed as a *sum* (with the same notation in Section 3.1):

$$R_{\rm emp}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y}, f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, f(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})), \qquad (3.6.1)$$

while the generalization error is expressed as an integral:

$$R[p,f] = E_{(\boldsymbol{x},y)\sim P}[l(\boldsymbol{x},y,f(\boldsymbol{x}))] = \int \int l(\boldsymbol{x},y,f(\boldsymbol{x}))p(\boldsymbol{x},y) \, d\boldsymbol{x}dy.$$
(3.6.2)

Problematically, we can never calculate the generalization error R exactly. Nobody ever tells us the precise form of the density function p(x, y). Moreover, we cannot sample an infinite stream of data points. Thus, in practice, we must *estimate* the generalization error by applying our model to an independent test set constituted of a random selection of examples X' and labels y' that were withheld from our training set. This consists of applying the same formula as for calculating the empirical training error but to a test set X', y'.

Crucially, when we evaluate our classifier on the test set, we are working with a *fixed* classifier (it does not depend on the sample of the test set), and thus estimating its error is simply the problem of mean estimation. However the same cannot be said for the training set. Note that the model we wind up with depends explicitly on the selection of the training set and thus the training error will in general be a biased estimate of the true error on the underlying

population. The central question of generalization is then when should we expect our training error to be close to the population error (and thus the generalization error).

Model Complexity

In classical theory, when we have simple models and abundant data, the training and generalization errors tend to be close. However, when we work with more complex models and/or fewer examples, we expect the training error to go down but the generalization gap to grow. This should not be surprising. Imagine a model class so expressive that for any dataset of nexamples, we can find a set of parameters that can perfectly fit arbitrary labels, even if randomly assigned. In this case, even if we fit our training data perfectly, how can we conclude anything about the generalization error? For all we know, our generalization error might be no better than random guessing.

In general, absent any restriction on our model class, we cannot conclude based on fitting the training data alone that our model has discovered any generalizable pattern (Vapnik *et al.*, 1994). On the other hand, if our model class was not capable of fitting arbitrary labels, then it must have discovered a pattern. Learning-theoretic ideas about model complexity derived some inspiration from the ideas of Karl Popper, an influential philosopher of science, who formalized the criterion of falsifiability. According to Popper, a theory that can explain any and all observations is not a scientific theory at all! After all, what has it told us about the world if it has not ruled out any possibility? In short, what we want is a hypothesis that *could not* explain any observations we might conceivably make and yet nevertheless happens to be compatible with those observations that we *in fact* make.

Now what precisely constitutes an appropriate notion of model complexity is a complex matter. Often, models with more parameters are able to fit a greater number of arbitrarily assigned labels. However, this is not necessarily true. For instance, kernel methods operate in spaces with infinite numbers of parameters, yet their complexity is controlled by other means (Scholkopf and Smola, 2002). One notion of complexity that often proves useful is the range of values that the parameters can take. Here, a model whose parameters are permitted to take arbitrary values would be more complex. We will revisit this idea in the next section, when we introduce *weight decay*, your first practical regularization technique. Notably, it can be difficult to compare complexity among members of substantially different model classes (say, decision trees vs. neural networks).

At this point, we must stress another important point that we will revisit when introducing deep neural networks. When a model is capable of fitting arbitrary labels, low training error does not necessarily imply low generalization error. *However, it does not necessarily imply high generalization error either!* All we can say confidently is that low training error alone is not enough to certify low generalization error. Deep neural networks turn out to be just such models: while they generalize well in practice, they are too powerful to allow us to conclude much on the basis of training error alone. In these cases we must rely more heavily on our holdout data to certify generalization after the fact. Error on the holdout data, i.e., validation set, is called the *validation error*.

3.6.2 Underfitting or Overfitting?

When we compare the training and validation errors, we want to be mindful of two common situations. First, we want to watch out for cases when our training error and validation error are both substantial but there is a little gap between them. If the model is unable to reduce the training error, that could mean that our model is too simple (i.e., insufficiently expressive) to capture the pattern that we are trying to model. Moreover, since the *generalization* gap $(R_{emp} - R)$ between our training and generalization errors is small, we have reason to

believe that we could get away with a more complex model. This phenomenon is known as *underfitting*.

On the other hand, as we discussed above, we want to watch out for the cases when our training error is significantly lower than our validation error, indicating severe *overfitting*. Note that overfitting is not always a bad thing. In deep learning especially, the best predictive models often perform far better on training data than on holdout data. Ultimately, we usually care about driving the generalization error lower, and only care about the gap insofar as it becomes an obstacle to that end. Note that if the training error is zero, then the generalization gap is precisely equal to the generalization error and we can make progress only by reducing the gap.

Polynomial Curve Fitting

To illustrate some classical intuition about overfitting and model complexity, consider the following: given training data consisting of a single feature x and a corresponding real-valued label y, we try to find the polynomial of degree d

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{i} w_{i} \tag{3.6.3}$$

to estimate the label y. This is just a linear regression problem where our features are given by the powers of x, the model's weights are given by w_i , and the bias is given by w_0 since $x^0 = 1$ for all x. Since this is just a linear regression problem, we can use the squared error as our loss function.

A higher-order polynomial function is more complex than a lower-order polynomial function, since the higher-order polynomial has more parameters and the model function's selection range is wider. Fixing the training dataset, higher-order polynomial functions should always achieve lower (at worst, equal) training error relative to lower degree polynomials. In fact, whenever each data example has a distinct value of x, a polynomial function with degree equal to the number of data examples can fit the training set perfectly. We visualize the relationship between polynomial degree (model complexity) and underfitting vs. overfitting in Fig.3.6.1.



Figure 3.6.1 Influence of model complexity on underfitting and overfitting

Dataset Size

As the above bound already indicates, another big consideration to bear in mind is dataset size. Fixing our model, the fewer samples we have in the training dataset, the more likely (and more severely) we are to encounter overfitting. As we increase the amount of training data,

the generalization error typically decreases. Moreover, in general, more data never hurts. For a fixed task and data distribution, model complexity should not increase more rapidly than the amount of data. Given more data, we might attempt to fit a more complex model. Absent sufficient data, simpler models may be more difficult to beat. For many tasks, deep learning only outperforms linear models when many thousands of training examples are available. In part, the current success of deep learning owes considerably to the abundance of massive datasets arising from Internet companies, cheap storage, connected devices, and the broad digitization of the economy.

3.6.3 Model Selection

Typically, we select our final model, only after evaluating multiple models that differ in various ways (different architectures, training objectives, selected features, data preprocessing, learning rates, etc.). Choosing among many models is aptly called *model selection*.

In principle, we should not touch our test set until after we have chosen all our hyperparameters. Were we to use the test data in the model selection process, there is a risk that we might overfit the test data. Then we would be in serious trouble. If we overfit our training data, there is always the evaluation on test data to keep us honest. But if we overfit the test data, how would we ever know? See Ong *et al.* (2005) for an example how this can lead to absurd results even for models where the complexity can be tightly controlled.

Thus, we should never rely on the test data for model selection. And yet we cannot rely solely on the training data for model selection either because we cannot estimate the generalization error on the very data that we use to train the model.

In practical applications, the picture gets muddier. While ideally we would only touch the test data once, to assess the very best model or to compare a small number of models with each other, real-world test data is seldom discarded after just one use. We can seldom afford a new test set for each round of experiments. In fact, recycling benchmark data for decades can have a significant impact on the development of algorithms, e.g., for image classification 77 and optical character recognition 78 .



The common practice to address the problem of *training on the test set* is to split our data three ways, incorporating a *validation set* in addition to the training and test datasets. The result is a murky practice where the boundaries between validation and test data are worryingly ambiguous. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, in the experiments in this book we are really working with what should rightly be called training data and validation data, with no true test sets. Therefore, the accuracy reported in each experiment of the book is really the validation accuracy and not a true test set accuracy.

Cross-Validation

When training data is scarce, we might not even be able to afford to hold out enough data to constitute a proper validation set. One popular solution to this problem is to employ K-fold cross-validation. Here, the original training data is split into K non-overlapping subsets. Then model training and validation are executed K times, each time training on K - 1 subsets and validating on a different subset (the one not used for training in that round). Finally, the training and validation errors are estimated by averaging over the results from the K experiments.

This section explored some of the underpinnings of generalization in machine learning. Some of these ideas become complicated and counterintuitive when we get to deeper models, there, models are capable of overfitting data badly, and the relevant notions of complexity can be both implicit and counterintuitive (e.g., larger architectures with more parameters generalizing better). We leave you with a few rules of thumb:

- 1. Use validation sets (or K-fold cross-validation) for model selection;
- 2. More complex models often require more data;
- 3. Relevant notions of complexity include both the number of parameters and the range of values that they are allowed to take;
- 4. Keeping all else equal, more data almost always leads to better generalization;
- 5. This entire talk of generalization is all predicated on the IID assumption. If we relax this assumption, allowing for distributions to shift between the train and testing periods, then we cannot say anything about generalization absent a further (perhaps milder) assumption.

Exercises

- 1. When can you solve the problem of polynomial regression exactly?
- 2. Give at least five examples where dependent random variables make treating the problem as IID data inadvisable.
- 3. Can you ever expect to see zero training error? Under which circumstances would you see zero generalization error?
- 4. Why is K-fold cross-validation very expensive to compute?
- 5. Why is the K-fold cross-validation error estimate biased?
- 6. The VC dimension is defined as the maximum number of points that can be classified with arbitrary labels $\{\pm 1\}$ by a function of a class of functions. Why might this not be a good idea to measure how complex the class of functions is? Hint: what about the magnitude of the functions?
- 7. Your manager gives you a difficult dataset on which your current algorithm doesn't perform so well. How would you justify to him that you need more data? Hint: you cannot increase the data but you can decrease it.

Discussions⁷⁹

3.7 Weight Decay

Now that we have characterized the problem of overfitting, we can introduce our first *regular-ization* technique. Recall that we can always mitigate overfitting by collecting more training data. However, that can be costly, time consuming, or entirely out of our control, making it impossible in the short run. For now, we can assume that we already have as much high-quality data as our resources permit and focus the tools at our disposal even when the dataset is taken as a given.

Recall that in our polynomial regression example (Section 3.6.2) we could limit our model's capacity by tweaking the degree of the fitted polynomial. Indeed, limiting the number of features is a popular technique to mitigate overfitting. However, simply tossing aside features



can be too blunt an instrument. Sticking with the polynomial regression example, consider what might happen with high-dimensional input. The natural extensions of polynomials to multivariate data are called *monomials*, which are simply products of powers of variables. The degree of a monomial is the sum of the powers. For example, $x_1^2x_2$, and $x_3x_5^2$ are both monomials of degree 3.

Note that the number of terms with degree d blows up rapidly as d grows larger. Given k variables, the number of monomials of degree d (i.e., k multichoose d) is $\binom{k-1+d}{k-1}$. Even small changes in degree, say from 2 to 3, dramatically increase the complexity of our model. Thus we often need a more fine-grained tool for adjusting function complexity.

3.7.1 Norms and Weight Decay

Rather than directly manipulating the number of parameters, weight decay, operates by restricting the values that the parameters can take. More commonly called ℓ_2 regularization outside of deep learning circles when optimized by minibatch stochastic gradient descent, weight decay might be the most widely used technique for regularizing parametric machine learning models. The technique is motivated by the basic intuition that among all functions f, the function f = 0 (assigning the value 0 to all inputs) is in some sense the *simplest*, and that we can measure the complexity of a function by the distance of its parameters from zero. But how precisely should we measure the distance between a function and zero? There's no single right answer. In fact, entire branches of mathematics, including parts of functional analysis and the theory of Banach spaces, are devoted to addressing such issues.

One simple interpretation might be to measure the complexity of a linear function $f(x) = w^{\top}x$ by some norm of its weight vector, e.g., $||w||^2$. Recall that we introduced the ℓ_2 norm and ℓ_1 norm, which are special cases of the more general ℓ_p norm in Section 2.3.11. The most common method for ensuring a small weight vector is to add its norm as a penalty term to the problem of minimizing the loss. Thus we replace our original objective, *minimizing the prediction loss on the training labels*, with new objective, *minimizing the sum of the prediction loss and the penalty term*. Now, if our weight vector grows too large, our learning algorithm might focus on minimizing the weight norm $||w||^2$ vs. minimizing the training error. That is exactly what we want. To illustrate things in code, we revive our previous example from Section 3.1 for linear regression. There, our loss was given by

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right)^{2}.$$
 (3.7.1)

Recall that $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ are the features, $y^{(i)}$ is the label for any data example *i*, and (\mathbf{w}, b) are the weight and bias parameters, respectively. To penalize the size of the weight vector, we must somehow add $||\mathbf{w}||^2$ to the loss function, but how should the model trade off the standard loss for this new additive penalty? In practice, we characterize this tradeoff via the *regularization constant* λ , a non-negative hyperparameter that we fit using validation data:

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}, b) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2.$$
(3.7.2)

For $\lambda = 0$, we recover our original loss function. For $\lambda > 0$, we restrict the size of ||w||. We divide by 2 by convention: when we take the derivative of a quadratic function, the 2 and 1/2 cancel out, ensuring that the expression for the update looks nice and simple. The astute reader might wonder why we work with the squared norm and not the standard norm (i.e., the Euclidean distance). We do this for computational convenience. By squaring the ℓ_2 norm, we remove the square root, leaving the sum of squares of each component of the weight vector. This makes the derivative of the penalty easy to compute: the sum of derivatives equals the derivative of the sum.

Moreover, you might ask why we work with the ℓ_2 norm in the first place and not, say, the ℓ_1 norm. In fact, other choices are valid and popular throughout statistics. While ℓ_2 -regularized

linear models constitute the classic *ridge regression* algorithm, ℓ_1 -regularized linear regression is a similarly fundamental method in statistics, popularly known as *lasso regression*. One reason to work with the ℓ_2 norm is that it places an outsize penalty on large components of the weight vector. This biases our learning algorithm towards models that distribute weight evenly across a larger number of features. In practice, this might make them more robust to measurement error in a single variable. By contrast, ℓ_1 penalties lead to models that concentrate weights on a small set of features by clearing the other weights to zero. This gives us an effective method for *feature selection*, which may be desirable for other reasons. For example, if our model only relies on a few features, then we may not need to collect, store, or transmit data for the other (dropped) features.

Using the same notation in (3.1.11), the minibatch stochastic gradient descent updates for ℓ_2 -regularized regression follow:

$$\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow (1 - \eta \lambda) \, \boldsymbol{w} - \frac{\eta}{|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}|} \sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right). \tag{3.7.3}$$

As before, we update w based on the amount by which our estimate differs from the observation. However, we also shrink the size of w towards zero. That is why the method is sometimes called "weight decay": given the penalty term alone, our optimization algorithm *decays* the weight at each step of training. In contrast to feature selection, weight decay offers us a continuous mechanism for adjusting the complexity of a function. Smaller values of λ correspond to less constrained w, whereas larger values of λ constrain w more considerably. Whether we include a corresponding bias penalty b^2 can vary across implementations, and may vary across layers of a neural network. Often, we do not regularize the bias term. Besides, although ℓ_2 regularization may not be equivalent to weight decay for other optimization algorithms, the idea of regularization through shrinking the size of weights still holds true.

3.7.2 High-Dimensional Linear Regression

We can illustrate the benefits of weight decay through a simple synthetic example.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

First, we generate some data as before:

$$y = 0.05 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} 0.01 x_i + \epsilon$$
 where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.01^2)$. (3.7.4)

In this synthetic dataset, our label is given by an underlying linear function of our inputs, corrupted by Gaussian noise with zero mean and standard deviation 0.01. For illustrative purposes, we can make the effects of overfitting pronounced, by increasing the dimensionality of our problem to d = 200 and working with a small training set with only 20 examples.

```
class Data(d21.DataModule):
    def __init__(self, num_train, num_val, num_inputs, batch_size):
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        n = num_train + num_val
        self.X = np.random.randn(n, num_inputs)
        noise = np.random.randn(n, 1) * 0.01
        w, b = np.ones((num_inputs, 1)) * 0.01, 0.05
        self.y = np.dot(self.X, w) + b + noise
        def get_dataloader(self, train):
```

(continued from previous page)

```
i = slice(0, self.num_train) if train else slice(self.num_train, None)
return self.get_tensorloader([self.X, self.y], train, i)
```

3.7.3 Implementation from Scratch

Now, let's try implementing weight decay from scratch. Since minibatch stochastic gradient descent is our optimizer, we just need to add the squared ℓ_2 penalty to the original loss function.

Defining ℓ_2 **Norm Penalty**

Perhaps the most convenient way to implement this penalty is to square all terms in place and sum them up.

```
def l2_penalty(w):
    return (w ** 2).sum() / 2
```

Defining the Model

In the final model, the linear regression and the squared loss have not changed since Section 3.4, so we will just define a subclass of d21.LinearRegressionScratch. The only change here is that our loss now includes the penalty term.

```
class WeightDecayScratch(d21.LinearRegressionScratch):
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, lambd, lr, sigma=0.01):
        super().__init__(num_inputs, lr, sigma)
        self.save_hyperparameters()
    def loss(self, y_hat, y):
        return super().loss(y_hat, y) + self.lambd * l2_penalty(self.w)
```

The following code fits our model on the training set with 20 examples and evaluates it on the validation set with 100 examples.

```
data = Data(num_train=20, num_val=100, num_inputs=200, batch_size=5)
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=10)

def train_scratch(lambd):
    model = WeightDecayScratch(num_inputs=200, lambd=lambd, lr=0.01)
    model.board.yscale='log'
    trainer.fit(model, data)
    print('L2 norm of w:', float(l2_penalty(model.w)))
```

Training without Regularization

We now run this code with lambd = 0, disabling weight decay. Note that we overfit badly, decreasing the training error but not the validation error—a textbook case of overfitting.

```
L2 norm of w: 0.009325271472334862
```



Using Weight Decay

Below, we run with substantial weight decay. Note that the training error increases but the validation error decreases. This is precisely the effect we expect from regularization.





Because weight decay is ubiquitous in neural network optimization, the deep learning framework makes it especially convenient, integrating weight decay into the optimization algorithm itself for easy use in combination with any loss function. Moreover, this integration serves a computational benefit, allowing implementation tricks to add weight decay to the algorithm, without any additional computational overhead. Since the weight decay portion of the update depends only on the current value of each parameter, the optimizer must touch each parameter once anyway.

In the following code, we specify the weight decay hyperparameter directly through wd when instantiating our Trainer. By default, Gluon decays both weights and biases simultaneously. Note that the hyperparameter wd will be multiplied by wd_mult when updating model parameters. Thus, if we set wd_mult to zero, the bias parameter b will not decay.

The plot looks similar to that when we implemented weight decay from scratch. However, this version runs faster and is easier to implement, benefits that will become more pronounced as you address larger problems and this work becomes more routine.

```
model = WeightDecay(wd=3, lr=0.01)
model.board.yscale='log'
trainer.fit(model, data)
print('L2 norm of w:', float(l2_penalty(model.get_w_b()[0])))
```

L2 norm of w: 0.0013164952397346497





So far, we only touched upon one notion of what constitutes a simple linear function. Moreover, what constitutes a simple nonlinear function can be an even more complex question. For instance, reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS)⁸⁰ allows one to apply tools introduced for linear functions in a nonlinear context. Unfortunately, RKHS-based algorithms tend to scale poorly to large, high-dimensional data. In this book we will often adopt the common heuristic whereby weight decay is applied to all layers of a deep network.

3.7.5 Summary

- Regularization is a common method for dealing with overfitting. Classical regularization techniques add a penalty term to the loss function (when training) to reduce the complexity of the learned model.
- One particular choice for keeping the model simple is using an l₂ penalty. This leads to weight decay in the update steps of the minibatch stochastic gradient descent algorithm.
- The weight decay functionality is provided in optimizers from deep learning frameworks.
- Different sets of parameters can have different update behaviors within the same training loop.

Exercises

- 1. Experiment with the value of λ in the estimation problem in this section. Plot training and validation accuracy as a function of λ . What do you observe?
- 2. Use a validation set to find the optimal value of λ . Is it really the optimal value? Does this matter?
- 3. What would the update equations look like if instead of $||w||^2$ we used $\sum_i |w_i|$ as our penalty of choice (ℓ_1 regularization)?
- 4. We know that $||w||^2 = w^\top w$. Can you find a similar equation for matrices (see the Frobenius norm in Section 2.3.11)?
- 5. Review the relationship between training error and generalization error. In addition to weight decay, increased training, and the use of a model of suitable complexity, what other ways can you think of to deal with overfitting?
- 6. In Bayesian statistics we use the product of prior and likelihood to arrive at a posterior via $P(w \mid x) \propto P(x \mid w)P(w)$. How can you identify P(w) with regularization?

Discussions⁸¹



Now that you have worked through all of the mechanics you are ready to apply these skills to broader kinds of tasks. Even as we pivot towards classification, most of the plumbing remains the same: loading the data, passing it through the model, generating output, calculating the loss, taking gradients with respect to weights, and updating the model. However, the precise form of the targets, the parameterization of the output layer, and the choice of loss function will adapt to suit the *classification* setting.

4.1 Softmax Regression

In Section 3.1, we introduced linear regression, working through implementations from scratch in Section 3.4 and again using high-level APIs of a deep learning framework in Section 3.5 to do the heavy lifting.

Regression is the hammer we reach for when we want to answer *how much?* or *how many?* questions. If you want to predict the number of dollars (price) at which a house will be sold, or the number of wins a baseball team might have, or the number of days that a patient will remain hospitalized before being discharged, then you are probably looking for a regression model. However, even within regression models, there are important distinctions. For instance, the price of a house will never be negative and changes might often be *relative* to its baseline price. As such, it might be more effective to regress on the logarithm of the price. Likewise, the number of days a patient spends in hospital is a *discrete nonnegative* random variable. As such, least mean squares might not be an ideal approach either. This sort of time-to-event modeling comes with a host of other complications that are dealt with in a specialized subfield called *survival modeling*.

The point here is not to overwhelm you but just to let you know that there is a lot more to estimation than simply minimizing squared errors. And more broadly, there's a lot more to supervised learning than regression. In this section, we focus on *classification* problems where we put aside *how much*? questions and instead focus on *which category*? questions.

- Does this email belong in the spam folder or the inbox?
- Is this customer more likely to sign up or not to sign up for a subscription service?
- Does this image depict a donkey, a dog, a cat, or a rooster?
- Which movie is Aston most likely to watch next?
- Which section of the book are you going to read next?

Colloquially, machine learning practitioners overload the word *classification* to describe two subtly different problems: (i) those where we are interested only in hard assignments of examples to categories (classes); and (ii) those where we wish to make soft assignments, i.e., to assess the probability that each category applies. The distinction tends to get blurred, in part, because often, even when we only care about hard assignments, we still use models that make soft assignments.

Even more, there are cases where more than one label might be true. For instance, a news article might simultaneously cover the topics of entertainment, business, and space flight, but

not the topics of medicine or sports. Thus, categorizing it into one of the above categories on their own would not be very useful. This problem is commonly known as multi-label classification⁸². See Tsoumakas and Katakis (2007) for an overview and Huang *et al.* (2015) for an effective algorithm when tagging images.



To get our feet wet, let's start with a simple image classification problem. Here, each input consists of a 2×2 grayscale image. We can represent each pixel value with a single scalar, giving us four features x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . Further, let's assume that each image belongs to one among the categories "cat", "chicken", and "dog".

Next, we have to choose how to represent the labels. We have two obvious choices. Perhaps the most natural impulse would be to choose $y \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where the integers represent $\{\text{dog}, \text{cat}, \text{chicken}\}\$ respectively. This is a great way of *storing* such information on a computer. If the categories had some natural ordering among them, say if we were trying to predict $\{\text{baby}, \text{toddler}, \text{adolescent}, \text{young adult}, \text{adult}, \text{geriatric}\}\$, then it might even make sense to cast this as an ordinal regression⁸³ problem and keep the labels in this format. See Moon ⁸³ *et al.* (2010) for an overview of different types of ranking loss functions and Beutel *et al.* (2014) for a Bayesian approach that addresses responses with more than one mode.

In general, classification problems do not come with natural orderings among the classes. Fortunately, statisticians long ago invented a simple way to represent categorical data: the *one-hot encoding*. A one-hot encoding is a vector with as many components as we have categories. The component corresponding to a particular instance's category is set to 1 and all other components are set to 0. In our case, a label y would be a three-dimensional vector, with (1,0,0) corresponding to "cat", (0,1,0) to "chicken", and (0,0,1) to "dog":

$$y \in \{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\}.$$
 (4.1.1)

Linear Model

In order to estimate the conditional probabilities associated with all the possible classes, we need a model with multiple outputs, one per class. To address classification with linear models, we will need as many affine functions as we have outputs. Strictly speaking, we only need one fewer, since the last category has to be the difference between 1 and the sum of the other categories but for reasons of symmetry we use a slightly redundant parametrization. Each output corresponds to its own affine function. In our case, since we have 4 features and 3 possible output categories, we need 12 scalars to represent the weights (*w* with subscripts), and 3 scalars to represent the biases (*b* with subscripts). This yields:

$$o_{1} = x_{1}w_{11} + x_{2}w_{12} + x_{3}w_{13} + x_{4}w_{14} + b_{1},$$

$$o_{2} = x_{1}w_{21} + x_{2}w_{22} + x_{3}w_{23} + x_{4}w_{24} + b_{2},$$

$$o_{3} = x_{1}w_{31} + x_{2}w_{32} + x_{3}w_{33} + x_{4}w_{34} + b_{3}.$$

(4.1.2)

The corresponding neural network diagram is shown in Fig.4.1.1. Just as in linear regression, we use a single-layer neural network. And since the calculation of each output, o_1 , o_2 , and o_3 , depends on all inputs, x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , and x_4 , the output layer can also be described as a *fully connected layer*.

For a more concise notation we use vectors and matrices: o = Wx + b is much better suited for mathematics and code. Note that we have gathered all of our weights into a 3×4 matrix and all biases $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in a vector.







The Softmax

Assuming a suitable loss function, we could try, directly, to minimize the difference between o and the labels y. While it turns out that treating classification as a vector-valued regression problem works surprisingly well, it is nonetheless lacking in the following ways:

- There is no guarantee that the outputs o_i sum up to 1 in the way we expect probabilities to behave.
- There is no guarantee that the outputs o_i are even nonnegative, even if their outputs sum up to 1, or that they do not exceed 1.

Both aspects render the estimation problem difficult to solve and the solution very brittle to outliers. For instance, if we assume that there is a positive linear dependency between the number of bedrooms and the likelihood that someone will buy a house, the probability might exceed 1 when it comes to buying a mansion! As such, we need a mechanism to "squish" the outputs.

There are many ways we might to accomplish this goal. For instance, we could assume that the outputs \boldsymbol{o} are corrupted versions of \boldsymbol{y} , where the corruption occurs by means of adding noise $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ drawn from a normal distribution. In other words, $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{o} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. This is the so-called probit model⁸⁴, first introduced by Fechner (1860). While appealing, it doesn't work quite as well or lead to a particularly nice optimization problem, when compared to the softmax.

Another way to accomplish this goal (and to ensure nonnegativity) is to use an exponential function $P(y = i) \propto \exp o_i$. This does indeed satisfy the requirement that the conditional class probability increases with increasing o_i , it is monotonic, and all probabilities are nonnegative. We can then transform these values so that they add up to 1 by dividing each by their sum. This process is called *normalization*. Putting these two pieces together gives us the *softmax* function:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{o}) \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{y}_i = \frac{\exp(o_i)}{\sum_j \exp(o_j)}.$$
 (4.1.3)

Note that the largest coordinate of o corresponds to the most likely class according to \hat{y} . Moreover, because the softmax operation preserves the ordering among its arguments, we do not need to compute the softmax to determine which class has been assigned the highest probability.

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{j} \hat{y}_{j} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{j} o_{j}. \tag{4.1.4}$$

The idea of a softmax dates back to Gibbs, who adapted ideas from physics (Gibbs, 1902). Dating even further back, Boltzmann, the father of modern thermodynamics, used this trick to model a distribution over energy states in gas molecules. In particular, he discovered that the prevalence of a state of energy in a thermodynamic ensemble, such as the molecules in a gas, is proportional to $\exp(-E/kT)$. Here, E is the energy of a state, T is the temperature, and k is the Boltzmann constant. When statisticians talk about increasing or decreasing the "temperature" of a statistical system, they refer to changing T in order to favor lower or higher energy states. Following Gibbs' idea, energy equates to error. Energy-based models (Ranzato *et al.*, 2007) use this point of view when describing problems in deep learning.



Vectorization

To improve computational efficiency, we vectorize calculations in minibatches of data. Assume that we are given a minibatch $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ of n features with dimensionality (number of inputs) d. Moreover, assume that we have q categories in the output. Then the weights satisfy $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times q}$ and the bias satisfies $b \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$.

$$O = XW + b,$$

$$\hat{Y} = \text{softmax}(O).$$
(4.1.5)

This accelerates the dominant operation into a matrix-matrix product XW. Moreover, since each row in X represents a data example, the softmax operation itself can be computed *rowwise*: for each row of O, exponentiate all entries and then normalize them by the sum. Note, though, that care must be taken to avoid exponentiating and taking logarithms of large numbers, since this can cause numerical overflow or underflow. Deep learning frameworks take care of this automatically.

4.1.2 Loss Function

Now that we have a mapping from features x to probabilities \hat{y} , we need a way to optimize the accuracy of this mapping. We will rely on maximum likelihood estimation, the very same concept that we encountered when providing a probabilistic justification for the mean squared error loss in Section 3.1.3.

Log-Likelihood

The softmax function gives us a vector \hat{y} , which we can interpret as (estimated) conditional probabilities of each class, given any input x, such as $\hat{y}_1 = P(y = \text{cat} | x)$. In the following we assume that for a dataset with features X the labels Y are represented using a one-hot encoding label vector. We can compare the estimates with reality by checking how probable the actual classes are according to our model, given the features:

$$P(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}).$$
(4.1.6)

We are allowed to use the factorization since we assume that each label is drawn independently from its respective distribution $P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$. Since maximizing the product of terms is awkward, we take the negative logarithm to obtain the equivalent problem of minimizing the negative log-likelihood:

$$-\log P(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\log P(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}^{(i)}), \quad (4.1.7)$$

where for any pair of label y and model prediction \hat{y} over q classes, the loss function l is

$$l(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_j \log \hat{y}_j.$$
(4.1.8)

For reasons explained later on, the loss function in (4.1.8) is commonly called the *cross-entropy loss*. Since \boldsymbol{y} is a one-hot vector of length q, the sum over all its coordinates j vanishes for all but one term. Note that the loss $l(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})$ is bounded from below by 0 whenever $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$ is a probability vector: no single entry is larger than 1, hence their negative logarithm cannot be lower than 0; $l(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = 0$ only if we predict the actual label with *certainty*. This can never happen for any finite setting of the weights because taking a softmax output towards 1 requires taking the corresponding input o_i to infinity (or all other outputs o_j for $j \neq i$ to

negative infinity). Even if our model could assign an output probability of 0, any error made when assigning such high confidence would incur infinite loss $(-\log 0 = \infty)$.

Softmax and Cross-Entropy Loss

Since the softmax function and the corresponding cross-entropy loss are so common, it is worth understanding a bit better how they are computed. Plugging (4.1.3) into the definition of the loss in (4.1.8) and using the definition of the softmax we obtain:

$$l(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_j \log \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{q} \exp(o_k)}$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{q} y_j \log \sum_{k=1}^{q} \exp(o_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{q} y_j o_j$ (4.1.9)
= $\log \sum_{k=1}^{q} \exp(o_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{q} y_j o_j.$

To understand a bit better what is going on, consider the derivative with respect to any logit o_j . We get

$$\partial_{o_j} l(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{q} \exp(o_k)} - y_j = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{o})_j - y_j.$$
(4.1.10)

In other words, the derivative is the difference between the probability assigned by our model, as expressed by the softmax operation, and what actually happened, as expressed by elements in the one-hot label vector. In this sense, it is very similar to what we saw in regression, where the gradient was the difference between the observation y and estimate \hat{y} . This is not coincidence. In any exponential family model, the gradients of the log-likelihood are given by precisely this term. This fact makes computing gradients easy in practice.

Now consider the case where we observe not just a single outcome but an entire distribution over outcomes. We can use the same representation as before for the label y. The only difference is that rather than a vector containing only binary entries, say (0, 0, 1), we now have a generic probability vector, say (0.1, 0.2, 0.7). The math that we used previously to define the loss l in (4.1.8) still works out fine, just that the interpretation is slightly more general. It is the expected value of the loss for a distribution over labels. This loss is called the *cross-entropy loss* and it is one of the most commonly used losses for classification problems. We can demystify the name by introducing just the basics of information theory. In a nutshell, it measures the number of bits to encode what we see y relative to what we predict that should happen \hat{y} . We provide a very basic explanation in the following. For further details on information theory see (Cover and Thomas, 1999) or (MacKay and Mac Kay, 2003).

4.1.3 Information Theory Basics

Many deep learning papers use intuition and terms from information theory. To make sense of them, we need some common language. This is a survival guide. *Information theory* deals with the problem of encoding, decoding, transmitting, and manipulating information (also known as data).

Entropy

The central idea in information theory is to quantify the amount of information contained in data. This places a limit on our ability to compress data. For a distribution P its *entropy* is

defined as:

$$H[P] = \sum_{j} -P(j)\log P(j).$$
(4.1.11)

One of the fundamental theorems of information theory states that in order to encode data drawn randomly from the distribution P, we need at least H[P] "nats" to encode it (Shannon, 1948). If you wonder what a "nat" is, it is the equivalent of bit but when using a code with base e rather than one with base 2. Thus, one nat is $\frac{1}{\log(2)} \approx 1.44$ bit.

Surprisal

You might be wondering what compression has to do with prediction. Imagine that we have a stream of data that we want to compress. If it is always easy for us to predict the next token, then this data is easy to compress. Take the extreme example where every token in the stream always takes the same value. That is a very boring data stream! And not only it is boring, but it is also easy to predict. Because they are always the same, we do not have to transmit any information to communicate the contents of the stream. Easy to predict, easy to compress.

However if we cannot perfectly predict every event, then we might sometimes be surprised. Our surprise is greater when we assigned an event lower probability. Claude Shannon settled on $\log \frac{1}{P(j)} = -\log P(j)$ to quantify one's *surprisal* at observing an event j having assigned it a (subjective) probability P(j). The entropy defined in (4.1.11) is then the *expected surprisal* when one assigned the correct probabilities that truly match the data-generating process.

Cross-Entropy Revisited

So if entropy is the level of surprise experienced by someone who knows the true probability, then you might be wondering, what is cross-entropy? The cross-entropy from P to Q, denoted H(P,Q), is the expected surprisal of an observer with subjective probabilities Q upon seeing data that was actually generated according to probabilities P. This is given by $H(P,Q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j} -P(j) \log Q(j)$. The lowest possible cross-entropy is achieved when P = Q. In this case, the cross-entropy from P to Q is H(P, P) = H(P).

In short, we can think of the cross-entropy classification objective in two ways: (i) as maximizing the likelihood of the observed data; and (ii) as minimizing our surprisal (and thus the number of bits) required to communicate the labels.

4.1.4 Summary and Discussion

In this section, we encountered the first nontrivial loss function, allowing us to optimize over *discrete* output spaces. Key in its design was that we took a probabilistic approach, treating discrete categories as instances of draws from a probability distribution. As a side effect, we encountered the softmax, a convenient activation function that transforms outputs of an ordinary neural network layer into valid discrete probability distributions. We saw that the derivative of the cross entropy loss when combined with softmax behaves very similarly to the derivative of squared error, namely by taking the difference between the expected behavior and its prediction. And, while we were only able to scratch the very surface of it, we encountered exciting connections to statistical physics and information theory.

While this is enough to get you on your way, and hopefully enough to whet your appetite, we hardly dived deep here. Among other things, we skipped over computational considerations. Specifically, for any fully connected layer with d inputs and q outputs, the parameterization

and computational cost is $\mathcal{O}(dq)$, which can be prohibitively high in practice. Fortunately, this cost of transforming *d* inputs into *q* outputs can be reduced through approximation and compression. For instance Deep Fried Convnets (Yang *et al.*, 2015) uses a combination of permutations, Fourier transforms, and scaling to reduce the cost from quadratic to log-linear. Similar techniques work for more advanced structural matrix approximations (Sindhwani *et al.*, 2015). Lastly, we can use Quaternion-like decompositions to reduce the cost to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{dq}{n})$, again if we are willing to trade off a small amount of accuracy for computational and storage cost (Zhang *et al.*, 2021) based on a compression factor *n*. This is an active area of research. What makes it challenging is that we do not necessarily strive for the most compact representation or the smallest number of floating point operations but rather for the solution that can be executed most efficiently on modern GPUs.

Exercises

- 1. We can explore the connection between exponential families and the softmax in some more depth.
 - 1. Compute the second derivative of the cross-entropy loss $l(y, \hat{y})$ for the softmax.
 - 2. Compute the variance of the distribution given by softmax(*o*) and show that it matches the second derivative computed above.
- 2. Assume that we have three classes which occur with equal probability, i.e., the probability vector is $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$.
 - 1. What is the problem if we try to design a binary code for it?
 - 2. Can you design a better code? Hint: what happens if we try to encode two independent observations? What if we encode *n* observations jointly?
- 3. When encoding signals transmitted over a physical wire, engineers don't always use binary codes. For instance, PAM-3⁸⁵ uses three signal levels $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ as opposed to two levels $\{0, 1\}$. How many ternary units do you need to transmit an integer in the range $\{0, \ldots, 7\}$? Why might this be a better idea in terms of electronics?
- 4. The Bradley-Terry model⁸⁶ uses a logistic model to capture preferences. For a user to choose between apples and oranges one assumes scores o_{apple} and o_{orange}. Our requirements are that larger scores should lead to a higher likelihood in choosing the associated item and that the item with the largest score is the most likely one to be chosen (Bradley and Terry, 1952).
 - 1. Prove that the softmax satisfies this requirement.
 - 2. What happens if you want to allow for a default option of choosing neither apples nor oranges? Hint: now the user has 3 choices.
 - 5. Softmax derives its name from the following mapping: RealSoftMax $(a, b) = \log(\exp(a) + \exp(b))$.
 - 1. Prove that $\operatorname{RealSoftMax}(a, b) > \max(a, b)$.
 - 2. How small can you make the difference between both functions? Hint: without loss of generality you can set b = 0 and $a \ge b$.
 - 3. Prove that this holds for λ^{-1} RealSoftMax($\lambda a, \lambda b$), provided that $\lambda > 0$.
 - 4. Show that for $\lambda \to \infty$ we have λ^{-1} RealSoftMax $(\lambda a, \lambda b) \to \max(a, b)$.
 - 5. What does the soft-min look like?
 - 6. Extend this to more than two numbers.

- 6. The function $g(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log \sum_i \exp x_i$ is sometimes also referred to as the log-partition function⁸⁷.
 - 1. Prove that the function is convex. Hint: to do so, use the fact that the first derivative amounts to the probabilities from the softmax function and show that the second derivative is the variance.
 - 2. Show that g is translation invariant, i.e., g(x + b) = g(x).
 - 3. What happens if some of the coordinates x_i are very large? What happens if they're all very small?
 - 4. Show that if we choose $b = \max_i x_i$ we end up with a numerically stable implementation.
- 7. Assume that we have some probability distribution P. Suppose we pick another distribution Q with $Q(i) \propto P(i)^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 0$.
 - 1. Which choice of α corresponds to doubling the temperature? Which choice corresponds to halving it?
 - 2. What happens if we let the temperature converge to 0?
 - 3. What happens if we let the temperature converge to ∞ ?

Discussions⁸⁸

4.2 The Image Classification Dataset

One of the widely used dataset for image classification is the MNIST dataset⁸⁹ (LeCun *et al.*, 1998) of handwritten digits. At the time of its release in the 1990s it posed a formidable challenge to most machine learning algorithms, consisting of 60,000 images of 28×28 pixels resolution (plus a test dataset of 10,000 images). To put things into perspective, at the time, a Sun SPARCStation 5 with a whopping 64MB of RAM and a blistering 5 MFLOPs was considered state of the art equipment for machine learning at AT&T Bell Laboratories in 1995. Achieving high accuracy on digit recognition was a key component in automating letter sorting for the USPS in the 1990s. Deep networks such as LeNet-5 (LeCun *et al.*, 1995), support vector machines with invariances (Schölkopf *et al.*, 1996), and tangent distance classifiers (Simard *et al.*, 1998) all allowed to reach error rates below 1%.

For over a decade, MNIST served as *the* point of reference for comparing machine learning algorithms. While it had a good run as a benchmark dataset, even simple models by today's standards achieve classification accuracy over 95%, making it unsuitable for distinguishing between stronger models and weaker ones. Even more so, the dataset allows for *very* high levels of accuracy, not typically seen in many classification problems. This skewed algorithmic development towards specific families of algorithms that can take advantage of clean datasets, such as active set methods and boundary-seeking active set algorithms. Today, MNIST serves as more of sanity checks than as a benchmark. ImageNet (Deng *et al.*, 2009) poses a much more relevant challenge. Unfortunately, ImageNet is too large for many of the examples and illustrations in this book, as it would take too long to train to make the examples interactive. As a substitute we will focus our discussion in the coming sections on the qualitatively similar, but much smaller Fashion-MNIST dataset (Xiao *et al.*, 2017), which was released in 2017. It constains images of 10 categories of clothing at 28×28 pixels resolution.


```
%matplotlib inline
import time
from mxnet import gluon, npx
from mxnet.gluon.data.vision import transforms
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
d2l.use_svg_display()
```

4.2.1 Loading the Dataset

Since it is such a frequently used dataset, all major frameworks provide preprocessed versions of it. We can download and read the Fashion-MNIST dataset into memory using built-in framework functions.

Fashion-MNIST consists of images from 10 categories, each represented by 6,000 images in the training dataset and by 1,000 in the test dataset. A *test dataset* is used for evaluating model performance (it must not be used for training). Consequently the training set and the test set contain 60,000 and 10,000 images, respectively.

```
data = FashionMNIST(resize=(32, 32))
len(data.train), len(data.val)
```

(60000, 10000)

The images are grayscale and upscaled to 32×32 pixels in resolution above. This is similar to the original MNIST dataset which consisted of (binary) black and white images. Note, though, that most modern image data which has 3 channels (red, green, blue) and hyperspectral images which can have in excess of 100 channels (the HyMap sensor has 126 channels). By convention we store image as a $c \times h \times w$ tensor, where c is the number of color channels, h is the height and w is the width.

data.train[0][0].shape

(1, 32, 32)

The categories of Fashion-MNIST have human-understandable names. The following convenience function converts between numeric labels and their names.

4.2.2 Reading a Minibatch

To make our life easier when reading from the training and test sets, we use the built-in data iterator rather than creating one from scratch. Recall that at each iteration, a data iterator reads a minibatch of data with size batch_size. We also randomly shuffle the examples for the training data iterator.

To see how this works, let's load a minibatch of images by invoking the newly-added train_dataloader method. It contains 64 images.

```
X, y = next(iter(data.train_dataloader()))
print(X.shape, X.dtype, y.shape, y.dtype)
```

(64, 1, 32, 32) float32 (64,) int32

Let's look at the time it takes to read the images. Even though it's a built-in loader, it isn't blazingly fast. Nonetheless, this is sufficient since processing images with a deep network takes quite a bit longer. Hence it's good enough that training a network won't be IO constrained.

```
tic = time.time()
for X, y in data.train_dataloader():
    continue
f'{time.time() - tic:.2f} sec'
```

'3.30 sec'

4.2.3 Visualization

We'll be using the Fashion-MNIST dataset quite frequently. A convenience function show_images can be used to visualize the images and the associated labels. Details of its implementation are deferred to the appendix.

```
def show_images(imgs, num_rows, num_cols, titles=None, scale=1.5): #@save
    """Plot a list of images."""
    raise NotImplementedError
```

Let's put it to good use. In general, it is a good idea to visualize and inspect data that you're training on. Humans are very good at spotting unusual aspects and as such, visualization serves as an additional safeguard against mistakes and errors in the design of experiments. Here are the images and their corresponding labels (in text) for the first few examples in the training dataset.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(FashionMNIST) #@save
def visualize(self, batch, nrows=1, ncols=8, labels=[]):
    X, y = batch
    if not labels:
        labels = self.text_labels(y)
    d2l.show_images(X.squeeze(1), nrows, ncols, titles=labels)
```

					(LL	intillucu nom	previous page)
batch = next(iter(data.val_dataloader())) data.visualize(batch)							
t-shirt	trouser	pullover	pullover	dress	pullover	bag	shirt

We are now ready to work with the Fashion-MNIST dataset in the sections that follow.

4.2.4 Summary

We now have a slightly more realistic dataset to use for classification. Fashion-MNIST is an apparel classification dataset consisting of images representing 10 categories. We will use this dataset in subsequent sections and chapters to evaluate various network designs, from a simple linear model to advanced residual networks. As we commonly do with images, we read them as a tensor of shape (batch size, number of channels, height, width). For now, we only have one channel as the images are grayscale (the visualization above use a false color palette for improved visibility).

Lastly, data iterators are a key component for efficient performance. For instance, we might use GPUs for efficient image decompression, video transcoding, or other preprocessing. Whenever possible, you should rely on well-implemented data iterators that exploit highperformance computing to avoid slowing down your training loop.

Exercises

- 1. Does reducing the batch_size (for instance, to 1) affect the reading performance?
- 2. The data iterator performance is important. Do you think the current implementation is fast enough? Explore various options to improve it. Use a system profiler to find out where the bottlenecks are.
- 3. Check out the framework's online API documentation. Which other datasets are available?



4.3 The Base Classification Model

You may have noticed that the implementations from scratch and the concise implementation using framework functionality were quite similar in the case of regression. The same is true for classification. Since a great many models in this book deal with classification, it is worth adding some functionality to support this setting specifically. This section provides a base class for classification models to simplify future code.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

4.3.1 The Classifier Class

We define the Classifier class below. In the validation_step we report both the loss value and the classification accuracy on a validation batch. We draw an update for every num_val_batches batches. This has the benefit of generating the averaged loss and accuracy on the whole validation data. These average numbers are not exactly correct if the last batch contains fewer examples, but we ignore this minor difference to keep the code simple.

```
class Classifier(d21.Module): #@save
    def validation_step(self, batch):
        Y_hat = self(*batch[:-1])
        self.plot('loss', self.loss(Y_hat, batch[-1]), train=False)
        self.plot('acc', self.accuracy(Y_hat, batch[-1]), train=False)
```

By default we use a stochastic gradient descent optimizer, operating on minibatches, just as we did in the context of linear regression.

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.Module) #@save
def configure_optimizers(self):
    params = self.parameters()
    if isinstance(params, list):
        return d21.SGD(params, self.lr)
    return gluon.Trainer(params, 'sgd', {'learning_rate': self.lr})
```

4.3.2 Accuracy

Given the predicted probability distribution y_hat , we typically choose the class with the highest predicted probability whenever we must output a hard prediction. Indeed, many applications require that we make a choice. For instance, Gmail must categorize an email into "Primary", "Social", "Updates", "Forums", or "Spam". It might estimate probabilities internally, but at the end of the day it has to choose one among the classes.

When predictions are consistent with the label class y, they are correct. The classification accuracy is the fraction of all predictions that are correct. Although it can be difficult to optimize accuracy directly (it is not differentiable), it is often the performance measure that we care about the most. It is often *the* relevant quantity in benchmarks. As such, we will nearly always report it when training classifiers.

Accuracy is computed as follows. First, if y_hat is a matrix, we assume that the second dimension stores prediction scores for each class. We use argmax to obtain the predicted class by the index for the largest entry in each row. Then we compare the predicted class with the ground-truth y elementwise. Since the equality operator == is sensitive to data types, we convert y_hat 's data type to match that of y. The result is a tensor containing entries of 0 (false) and 1 (true). Taking the sum yields the number of correct predictions.

```
@d21.add_to_class(Classifier) #@save
def accuracy(self, Y_hat, Y, averaged=True):
    """Compute the number of correct predictions."""
    Y_hat = Y_hat.reshape((-1, Y_hat.shape[-1]))
    preds = Y_hat.argmax(axis=1).astype(Y.dtype)
    compare = (preds == Y.reshape(-1)).astype(np.float32)
    return compare.mean() if averaged else compare
```

```
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Module) #@save
def get_scratch_params(self):
    params = []
    for attr in dir(self):
```

148

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
a = getattr(self, attr)
if isinstance(a, np.ndarray):
    params.append(a)
if isinstance(a, d21.Module):
    params.extend(a.get_scratch_params())
return params
@d21.add_to_class(d21.Module) #@save
def parameters(self):
    params = self.collect_params()
return params if isinstance(params, gluon.parameter.ParameterDict) and len(
    params.keys()) else self.get_scratch_params()
```

4.3.3 Summary

Classification is a sufficiently common problem that it warrants its own convenience functions. Of central importance in classification is the *accuracy* of the classifier. Note that while we often care primarily about accuracy, we train classifiers to optimize a variety of other objectives for statistical and computational reasons. However, regardless of which loss function was minimized during training, it's useful to have a convenience method for assessing the accuracy of our classifier empirically.

Exercises

- 1. Denote by L_v the validation loss, and let L_v^q be its quick and dirty estimate computed by the loss function averaging in this section. Lastly, denote by l_v^b the loss on the last minibatch. Express L_v in terms of L_v^q , l_v^b , and the sample and minibatch sizes.
- 2. Show that the quick and dirty estimate L_v^q is unbiased. That is, show that $E[L_v] = E[L_v^q]$. Why would you still want to use L_v instead?
- 3. Given a multiclass classification loss, denoting by l(y, y') the penalty of estimating y' when we see y and given a probability $p(y \mid x)$, formulate the rule for an optimal selection of y'. Hint: express the expected loss, using l and $p(y \mid x)$.

Discussions⁹¹

4.4 Softmax Regression Implementation from Scratch

Because softmax regression is so fundamental, we believe that you ought to know how to implement it yourself. Here, we limit ourselves to defining the softmax-specific aspects of the model and reuse the other components from our linear regression section, including the training loop.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

4.4.1 The Softmax

Let's begin with the most important part: the mapping from scalars to probabilities. For a refresher, recall the operation of the sum operator along specific dimensions in a tensor, as discussed in Section 2.3.6 and Section 2.3.7. Given a matrix X we can sum over all elements (by default) or only over elements in the same axis. The axis variable lets us compute row and column sums:

X = np.array([[1.0, 2.0, 3.0], [4.0, 5.0, 6.0]])
X.sum(0, keepdims=True), X.sum(1, keepdims=True)

Computing the softmax requires three steps: (i) exponentiation of each term; (ii) a sum over each row to compute the normalization constant for each example; (iii) division of each row by its normalization constant, ensuring that the result sums to 1.

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{X})_{ij} = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{X}_{ij})}{\sum_{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{X}_{ik})}.$$
(4.4.1)

The (logarithm of the) denominator is called the (log) *partition function*. It was introduced in statistical physics 92 to sum over all possible states in a thermodynamic ensemble. The implementation is straightforward:

```
def softmax(X):
    X_exp = np.exp(X)
    partition = X_exp.sum(1, keepdims=True)
    return X_exp / partition # The broadcasting mechanism is applied here
```

For any input X, we turn each element into a non-negative number. Each row sums up to 1, as is required for a probability. Caution: the code above is *not* robust against very large or very small arguments. While this is sufficient to illustrate what is happening, you should *not* use this code verbatim for any serious purpose. Deep learning frameworks have such protections built-in and we will be using the built-in softmax going forward.

```
X = np.random.rand(2, 5)
X_prob = softmax(X)
X_prob, X_prob.sum(1)
```

```
(array([[0.17777154, 0.1857739 , 0.20995119, 0.23887765, 0.18762572],
        [0.24042214, 0.1757977 , 0.23786479, 0.15572716, 0.19018826]]),
        array([1., 1.]))
```

4.4.2 The Model

We now have everything that we need to implement the softmax regression model. As in our linear regression example, each instance will be represented by a fixed-length vector. Since the raw data here consists of 28×28 pixel images, we flatten each image, treating them as vectors of length 784. In later chapters, we will introduce convolutional neural networks, which exploit the spatial structure in a more satisfying way.

In softmax regression, the number of outputs from our network should be equal to the number of classes. Since our dataset has 10 classes, our network has an output dimension of 10. Consequently, our weights constitute a 784×10 matrix plus a 1×10 dimensional row vector

for the biases. As with linear regression, we initialize the weights W with Gaussian noise. The biases are initialized as zeros.

```
class SoftmaxRegressionScratch(d2l.Classifier):
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, num_outputs, lr, sigma=0.01):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.W = np.random.normal(0, sigma, (num_inputs, num_outputs))
        self.b = np.zeros(num_outputs)
        self.b = np.zeros(num_outputs)
        self.W.attach_grad()
        self.b.attach_grad()
    def collect_params(self):
        return [self.W, self.b]
```

The code below defines how the network maps each input to an output. Note that we flatten each 28×28 pixel image in the batch into a vector using reshape before passing the data through our model.

```
@d21.add_to_class(SoftmaxRegressionScratch)
def forward(self, X):
    return softmax(np.dot(X.reshape((-1, self.W.shape[0])), self.W) + self.b)
```

4.4.3 The Cross-Entropy Loss

Next we need to implement the cross-entropy loss function (introduced in Section 4.1.2). This may be the most common loss function in all of deep learning. At the moment, applications of deep learning easily cast classification problems far outnumber those better treated as regression problems.

Recall that cross-entropy takes the negative log-likelihood of the predicted probability assigned to the true label. For efficiency we avoid Python for-loops and use indexing instead. In particular, the one-hot encoding in y allows us to select the matching terms in \hat{y} .

To see this in action we create sample data y_hat with 2 examples of predicted probabilities over 3 classes and their corresponding labels y. The correct labels are 1 and 2 respectively. Using y as the indices of the probabilities in y_hat, we can pick out terms efficiently.

```
y = np.array([0, 2])
y_hat = np.array([[0.1, 0.3, 0.6], [0.3, 0.2, 0.5]])
y_hat[[0, 1], y]
```

array([0.1, 0.5])

Now we can implement the cross-entropy loss function by averaging over the logarithms of the selected probabilities.

```
def cross_entropy(y_hat, y):
    return - np.log(y_hat[range(len(y_hat)), y]).mean()
cross_entropy(y_hat, y)
```

array(1.4978662)

```
@d21.add_to_class(SoftmaxRegressionScratch)
def loss(self, y_hat, y):
    return cross_entropy(y_hat, y)
```

4.4.4 Training

We reuse the fit method defined in Section 3.4 to train the model with 10 epochs. Note that both the number of epochs (max_epochs), the minibatch size (batch_size), and learning rate (1r) are adjustable hyperparameters. That means that while these values are not learned during our primary training loop, they still influence the performance of our model, bot vis-a-vis training and generalization performance. In practice you will want to choose these values based on the *validation* split of the data and then to ultimately evaluate your final model on the *test* split. As discussed in Section 3.6.3, we will treat the test data of Fashion-MNIST as the validation set, thus reporting validation loss and validation accuracy on this split.

```
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=256)
model = SoftmaxRegressionScratch(num_inputs=784, num_outputs=10, lr=0.1)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



4.4.5 Prediction

Now that training is complete, our model is ready to classify some images.

```
X, y = next(iter(data.val_dataloader()))
preds = model(X).argmax(axis=1)
preds.shape
```

(256,)

We are more interested in the images we label *incorrectly*. We visualize them by comparing their actual labels (first line of text output) with the predictions from the model (second line of text output).

```
wrong = preds.astype(y.dtype) != y
X, y, preds = X[wrong], y[wrong], preds[wrong]
labels = [a+'\n'+b for a, b in zip(
    data.text_labels(y), data.text_labels(preds))]
data.visualize([X, y], labels=labels)
    dress
              pullover
                           shirt
                                      shirt
                                                sneaker
                                                            sandal
                                                                        bag
                                                                                   t-shirt
    coat
               shirt
                           coat
                                      coat
                                               ankle boot
                                                           sneaker
                                                                        shirt
                                                                                   shirt
```

4.4.6 Summary

By now we are starting to get some experience with solving linear regression and classification problems. With it, we have reached what would arguably be the state of the art of 1960-1970s of statistical modeling. In the next section, we'll show you how to leverage deep learning frameworks to implement this model much more efficiently.

Exercises

- 1. In this section, we directly implemented the softmax function based on the mathematical definition of the softmax operation. As discussed in Section 4.1 this can cause numerical instabilities.
 - 1. Test whether softmax still works correctly if an input has a value of 100?
 - 2. Test whether softmax still works correctly if the largest of all inputs is smaller than -100?
 - 3. Implement a fix by looking at the value relative to the largest entry in the argument.
- 2. Implement a cross_entropy function that follows the definition of the cross-entropy loss function $\sum_i y_i \log \hat{y}_i$.
 - 1. Try it out in the code example above.
 - 2. Why do you think it runs more slowly?
 - 3. Should you use it? In which cases would it make sense?
 - 4. What do you need to be careful of? Hint: consider the domain of the logarithm.
- 3. Is it always a good idea to return the most likely label? For example, would you do this for medical diagnosis? How would you try to address this?
- 4. Assume that we want to use softmax regression to predict the next word based on some features. What are some problems that might arise from a large vocabulary?
- 5. Experiment with the hyperparameters of the code above. In particular:
 - 1. Plot how the validation loss changes as you change the learning rate.
 - 2. Do the validation and training loss change as you change the minibatch size? How large or small do you need to go before you see an effect?

Discussions⁹³

4.5 Concise Implementation of Softmax Regression

Just as high-level deep learning frameworks made it easier to implement linear regression (see Section 3.5), they are similarly convenient here.

```
from mxnet import gluon, init, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

4.5.1 Defining the Model

As in Section 3.5, we construct our fully connected layer using the built-in layer. The built-in __call__ method then invokes forward whenever we need to apply the network to some input.

Even though the input X is a 4th order tensor, the built-in Dense layer will automatically convert X into a 2nd order tensor by keeping the dimensionality along the first axis unchanged.

```
class SoftmaxRegression(d21.Classifier):
    def __init__(self, num_outputs, lr):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.net = nn.Dense(num_outputs)
        self.net.initialize()
    def forward(self, X):
        return self.net(X)
```

4.5.2 Softmax Revisited

In Section 4.4 we calculated our model's output and applied the cross-entropy loss. While this is perfectly reasonable mathematically, it is risky computationally, due to numerical underflow and overflow in the exponentiation.

Recall that the softmax function computes probabilities via $\hat{y}_j = \frac{\exp(o_j)}{\sum_k \exp(o_k)}$. If some of the o_k are very large, i.e., very positive, then $\exp(o_k)$ might be larger than the largest number we can have for certain data types. This is called *overflow*. Likewise, if all arguments are very negative, we will get *underflow*. For instance, single precision floating point numbers approximately cover the range of 10^{-38} to 10^{38} . As such, if the largest term in o lies outside the interval [-90, 90], the result will not be stable. A solution to this problem is to subtract $\bar{o} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_k o_k$ from all entries:

$$\hat{y}_j = \frac{\exp o_j}{\sum_k \exp o_k} = \frac{\exp(o_j - \bar{o}) \exp \bar{o}}{\sum_k \exp(o_k - \bar{o}) \exp \bar{o}} = \frac{\exp(o_j - \bar{o})}{\sum_k \exp(o_k - \bar{o})}.$$
(4.5.1)

By construction we know that $o_j - \bar{o} \le 0$ for all j. As such, for a q-class classification problem, the denominator is contained in the interval [1, q]. Moreover, the numerator never exceeds 1, thus preventing numerical overflow. Numerical underflow only occurs when $\exp(o_j - \bar{o})$ numerically evaluates as 0. Nonetheless, a few steps down the road we might find ourselves in trouble when we want to compute $\log \hat{y}_j$ as $\log 0$. In particular, in backpropagation, we might find ourselves faced with a screenful of the dreaded NaN (Not a Number) results.

Fortunately, we are saved by the fact that even though we are computing exponential functions, we ultimately intend to take their log (when calculating the cross-entropy loss). By combining softmax and cross-entropy, we can escape the numerical stability issues altogether. We have:

$$\log \hat{y}_j = \log \frac{\exp(o_j - \bar{o})}{\sum_k \exp(o_k - \bar{o})} = o_j - \bar{o} - \log \sum_k \exp(o_k - \bar{o}).$$
(4.5.2)

This avoids both overflow and underflow. We will want to keep the conventional softmax function handy in case we ever want to evaluate the output probabilities by our model. But instead of passing softmax probabilities into our new loss function, we just pass the logits and compute the softmax and its log all at once inside the cross-entropy loss function, which does ⁹⁴ smart things like the "LogSumExp trick"⁹⁴.



```
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Classifier) #@save
def loss(self, Y_hat, Y, averaged=True):
    Y_hat = Y_hat.reshape((-1, Y_hat.shape[-1]))
    Y = Y.reshape((-1,))
    fn = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
    l = fn(Y_hat, Y)
    return l.mean() if averaged else l
```

4.5.3 Training

Next we train our model. As before, we use Fashion-MNIST images, flattened to 784-dimensional feature vectors.

```
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=256)
model = SoftmaxRegression(num_outputs=10, lr=0.1)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



As before, this algorithm converges to a solution that achieves a decent accuracy, albeit this time with fewer lines of code than before.

4.5.4 Summary

High-level APIs are very convenient at hiding potentially dangerous aspects from their user, such as numerical stability. Moreover, they allow users to design models concisely with very few lines of code. This is both a blessing and a curse. The obvious benefit is that it makes things highly accessible, even to engineers who never took a single class of statistics in their life (in fact, this is one of the target audiences of the book). But hiding the sharp edges also comes with a price: a disincentive to add new and different components on your own, since there's little muscle memory for doing it. Moreover, it makes it more difficult to *fix* things whenever the protective padding of a framework fails to cover all the corner cases entirely. Again, this is due to lack of familiarity.

As such, we strongly urge you to review *both* the bare bones and the elegant versions of many of the implementations that follow. While we emphasize ease of understanding, the implementations are nonetheless usually quite performant (convolutions are the big exception here). It is our intention to allow you to build on these when you invent something new that no framework can give you.

Exercises

- Deep learning uses many different number formats, including FP64 double precision (used extremely rarely), FP32 single precision, BFLOAT16 (good for compressed representations), FP16 (very unstable), TF32 (a new format from NVIDIA), and INT8. Compute the smallest and largest argument of the exponential function for which the result does not lead to a numerical underflow or overflow.
- 2. INT8 is a very limited format with nonzero numbers from 1 to 255. How could you extend its dynamic range without using more bits? Do standard multiplication and addition still work?
- 3. Increase the number of epochs for training. Why might the validation accuracy decrease after a while? How could we fix this?
- 4. What happens as you increase the learning rate? Compare the loss curves for several learning rates. Which one works better? When?

Discussions⁹⁵



4.6 Generalization in Classification

So far, we have focused on how to tackle multiclass classification problems by training (linear) neural networks with multiple outputs and softmax functions. Interpreting our model's outputs as probabilistic predictions, we motivated and derived the cross-entropy loss function, which calculates the negative log likelihood that our model (for a fixed set of parameters) assigns to the actual labels. And finally, we put these tools into practice by fitting our model to the training set. However, as always, our goal is to learn *general patterns*, as assessed empirically on previously unseen data (the test set). High accuracy on the training set means nothing. Whenever each of our inputs is unique (and indeed this is true for most high-dimensional datasets), we can attain perfect accuracy on the training set by just memorizing the dataset on the first training epoch, and subsequently looking up the label whenever we see a new image. And yet, memorizing the exact labels associated with the exact training examples does not tell us how to classify new examples. Absent further guidance, we might have to fall back on random guessing whenever we encounter new examples.

A number of burning questions demand immediate attention: 1. How many test examples do we need to precisely estimate the accuracy of our classifiers on the underlying population? 1. What happens if we keep evaluating models on the same test repeatedly? 1. Why should we expect that fitting our linear models to the training set should fare any better than our naive memorization scheme?

While Section 3.6 introduced the basics of overfitting and generalization in the context of linear regression, this chapter will go a little deeper, introducing some of the foundational ideas of statistical learning theory. It turns out that we often can guarantee generalization *a priori*: for many models, and for any desired upper bound on the generalization gap ϵ , we can often determine some required number of samples *n* such that if our training set contains at least *n* samples, then our empirical error will lie within ϵ of the true error, *for any data generating distribution*. Unfortunately, it also turns out that while these sorts of guarantees provide a profound set of intellectual building blocks, they are of limited practical utility to the deep learning practitioner. In short, these guarantees suggest that ensuring generalization of deep neural networks *a priori* requires an absurd number of examples (perhaps trillions or more), even when we find that on the tasks we care about that deep neural networks typically to generalize remarkably well with far fewer examples (thousands). Thus deep learning practitioners often forgo a priori guarantees altogether, instead employing methods on the

basis that they have generalized well on similar problems in the past, and certifying generalization *post hoc* through empirical evaluations. When we get to Chapter 5, we will revisit generalization and provide a light introduction to the vast scientific literature that has sprung in attempts to explain why deep neural networks generalize in practice.

4.6.1 The Test Set

Since we have already begun to rely on test sets as the gold standard method for assessing generalization error, let's get started by discussing the properties of such error estimates. Let's focus on a fixed classifier f, without worrying about how it was obtained. Moreover suppose that we possess a *fresh* dataset of examples $\mathcal{D} = (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})_{i=1}^{n}$ that were not used to train the classifier f. The *empirical error* of our classifier f on \mathcal{D} is simply the fraction of instances for which the prediction $f(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$ disagrees with the true label $y^{(i)}$, and is given by the following expression:

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}(f(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)}).$$
(4.6.1)

By contrast, the *population error* is the *expected* fraction of examples in the underlying population (some distribution P(X, Y) characterized by probability density function p(x, y) for which our classifier disagrees with the true label:

$$\epsilon(f) = E_{(\boldsymbol{x},y)\sim P} \mathbf{1}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y) = \int \int \mathbf{1}(f(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq y) p(\boldsymbol{x},y) \, d\boldsymbol{x} dy.$$
(4.6.2)

While $\epsilon(f)$ is the quantity that we actually care about, we cannot observe it directly, just as we cannot directly observe the average height in a large population without measuring every single person. We can only estimate this quantity based on samples. Because our test set \mathcal{D} is statistically representative of the underlying population, we can view $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ as a statistical estimator of the population error $\epsilon(f)$. Moreover, because our quantity of interest $\epsilon(f)$ is an expectation (of the random variable $\mathbf{1}(f(X) \neq Y)$) and the corresponding estimator $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ is the sample average, estimating the population error is simply the classic problem of mean estimation, which you may recall from Section 2.6.

An important classical result from probability theory called the *central limit theorem* guarantees that whenever we possess n random samples $a_1, ..., a_n$ drawn from any distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ , as the number of samples n approaches infinity, the sample average $\hat{\mu}$ approximately tends towards a normal distribution centered at the true mean and with standard deviation σ/\sqrt{n} . Already, this tells us something important: as the number of examples grows large, our test error $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ should approach the true error $\epsilon(f)$ at a rate of $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{n})$. Thus, to estimate our test error twice as precisely, we must collect four times as large a test set. To reduce our test error by a factor of one hundred, we must collect ten thousand times as large a test set. In general, such a rate of $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{n})$ is often the best we can hope for in statistics.

Now that we know something about the asymptotic rate at which our test error $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ converges to the true error $\epsilon(f)$, we can zoom in on some important details. Recall that the random variable of interest $\mathbf{1}(f(X) \neq Y)$ can only take values 0 and 1 and thus is a Bernoulli random variable, characterized by a parameter indicating the probability that it takes value 1. Here, 1 means that our classifier made an error, so the parameter of our random variable is actually the true error rate $\epsilon(f)$. The variance σ^2 of a Bernoulli depends on its parameter (here, $\epsilon(f)$) according to the expression $\epsilon(f)(1 - \epsilon(f))$. While $\epsilon(f)$ is initially unknown, we know that it cannot be greater than 1. A little investigation of this function reveals that our variance is highest when the true error rate is close to 0.5 and can be far lower when it is close to 0 or close to 1. This tells us that the asymptotic standard deviation of our estimate $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ of the error $\epsilon(f)$ (over the choice of the *n* test samples) cannot be any greater than $\sqrt{0.25/n}$.

If we ignore the fact that this rate characterizes behavior as the test set size approaches infinity rather than when we possess finite samples, this tells us that if we want our test error $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ to approximate the population error $\epsilon(f)$ such that one standard deviation corresponds to an interval of ± 0.01 , then we should collect roughly 2500 samples. If we want to fit two standard deviations in that range and thus be 95% that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f) \in \epsilon(f) \pm 0.01$, then we will need 10000 samples!

This turns out to be the size of the test sets for many popular benchmarks in machine learning. You might be surprised to find out that thousands of applied deep learning papers get published every year making a big deal out of error rate improvements of 0.01 or less. Of course, when the error rates are much closer to 0, then an improvement of 0.01 can indeed be a big deal.

One pesky feature of our analysis thus far is that it really only tells us about asymptotics, i.e., how the relationship between ϵ_D and ϵ evolves as our sample size goes to infinity. Fortunately, because our random variable is bounded, we can obtain valid finite sample bounds by applying an inequality due to Hoeffding (1963):

$$P(\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f) - \epsilon(f) \ge t) < \exp\left(-2nt^2\right). \tag{4.6.3}$$

Solving for the smallest dataset size that would allow us to conclude with 95% confidence that the distance t between our estimate $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$ and the true error rate $\epsilon(f)$ does not exceed 0.01, you will find that roughly 15000 examples are required as compared to the 10000 examples suggested by the asymptotic analysis above. If you go deeper into statistics you will find that this trend holds generally. Guarantees that hold even in finite samples are typically slightly more conservative. Note that in the scheme of things, these numbers are not so far apart, reflecting the general usefulness of asymptotic analysis for giving us ballpark figures even if not guarantees we can take to court.

4.6.2 Test Set Reuse

In some sense, you are now set up to succeed at conducting empirical machine learning research. Nearly all practical models are developed and validated based on test set performance and you are now a master of the test set. For any fixed classifier f, you know to evaluate its test error $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$, and know precisely what can (and can't) be said about its population error $\epsilon(f)$.

So let's say that you take this knowledge and prepare to train your first model f_1 . Knowing just how confident you need to be in the performance of your classifier's error rate you apply our analysis above to determine an appropriate number of examples to set aside for the test set. Moreover, let's assume that you took the lessons from Section 3.6 to heart and made sure to preserve the sanctity of the test set by conducting all of your preliminary analysis, hyperparameter tuning, and even selection among multiple competing model architectures on a validation set. Finally you evaluate your model f_1 on the test set and report an unbiased estimate of the population error with an associated confidence interval.

So far everything seems to be going well. However, that night you wake up at 3am with a brilliant idea for a new modeling approach. The next day, you code up your new model, tune its hyperparameters on the validation set and not only are you getting your new model f_2 to work but it's error rate appears to be much lower than f_1 's. However, the thrill of discovery suddenly fades as you prepare for the final evaluation. You don't have a test set!

Even though the original test set \mathcal{D} is still sitting on your server, you now face two formidable problems. First, when you collected your test set, you determined the required level of precision under the assumption that you were evaluating a single classifier f. However, if you get into the business of evaluating multiple classifiers $f_1, ..., f_k$ on the same test set, you must consider the problem of false discovery. Before, you might have been 95% sure that

 $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f) \in \epsilon(f) \pm 0.01$ for a single classifier f and thus the probability of a misleading result was a mere 5%. With k classifiers in the mix, it can be hard to guarantee that there is not even one among them whose test set performance is misleading. With 20 classifiers under consideration, you might have no power at all to rule out the possibility that at least one among them received a misleading score. This problem relates to multiple hypothesis testing, which despite a vast literature in statistics, remains a persistent problem plaguing scientific research.

If that's not enough to worry you, there's a special reason to distrust the results that you get on subsequent evaluations. Recall that our analysis of test set performance rested on the assumption that the classifier was chosen absent any contact with the test set and thus we could view the test set as drawn randomly from the underlying population. Here, not only are you testing multiple functions, the subsequent function f_2 was chosen after you observed the test set performance of f_1 . Once information from the test set has leaked to the modeler, it can never be a true test set again in the strictest sense. This problem is called *adaptive overfitting* and has recently emerged as a topic of intense interest to learning theorists and statisticians (Dwork *et al.*, 2015). Fortunately, while it is possible to leak all information out of a holdout set, and the theoretical worst case scenarios are bleak, these analyses may be too conservative. In practice, take care to create real test sets, to consult them as infrequently as possible, to account for multiple hypothesis testing when reporting confidence intervals, and to dial up your vigilance more aggressively when the stakes are high and your dataset size is small. When running a series of benchmark challenges, it's often good practice to maintain several test sets so that after each round, the old test set can be demoted to a validation set.

4.6.3 Statistical Learning Theory

At once, *test sets are all that we really have*, and yet this fact seems strangely unsatisfying. First, we seldom possess a *true test set*—unless we are the ones creating the dataset, someone else has probably already evaluated their own classifier on our ostensible "test set". And even when we get first dibs, we soon find ourselves frustrated, wishing we could evaluate our subsequent modeling attempts without the gnawing feeling that we cannot trust our numbers. Moreover, even a true test set can only tell us *post hoc* whether a classifier has in fact generalized to the population, not whether we have any reason to expect *a priori* that it should generalize.

With these misgivings in mind, you might now be sufficiently primed to see the appeal of *statistical learning theory*, the mathematical subfield of machine learning whose practitioners aim to elucidate the fundamental principles that explain why/when models trained on empirical data can/will generalize to unseen data. One of the primary aims for several decades of statistical learning researchers has been to bound the generalization gap, relating the properties of the model class, the number of samples in the dataset.

Learning theorists aim to bound the difference between the *empirical error* $\epsilon_S(f_S)$ of a learned classifier f_S , both trained and evaluated on the training set S, and the true error $\epsilon(f_S)$ of that same classifier on the underlying population. This might look similar to the evaluation problem that we just addressed but there's a major difference. Before, the classifier f was fixed and we only needed a dataset for evaluative purposes. And indeed, any fixed classifier does generalize: its error on a (previously unseen) dataset is an unbiased estimate of the population error. But what can we say when a classifier is trained and evaluated on the same dataset? Can we ever be confident that the training error will be close to the testing error?

Suppose that our learned classifier f_S must be chosen among some pre-specified set of functions \mathcal{F} . Recall from our discussion of test sets that while it's easy to estimate the error of a single classifier, things get hairy when we begin to consider collections of classifiers. Even if the empirical error of any one (fixed) classifier will be close to its true error with high probability, once we consider a collection of classifiers, we need to worry about the possibility that *just one* classifier in the set will receive a badly misestimated error. The worry is that if just one classifier in our collection receives a misleadingly low error then we might pick it and thereby grossly underestimate the population error. Moreover, even for linear models, because their parameters are continuously valued, we are typically choosing among an infinite class of functions ($|\mathcal{F}| = \infty$).

One ambitious solution to the problem is to develop analytic tools for proving uniform convergence, i.e., that with high probability, the empirical error rate for every classifier in the class $f \in \mathcal{F}$ will *simultaneously* converge to its true error rate. In other words, we seek a theoretical principle that would allow us to state that with probability at least $1 - \delta$ (for some small δ) no classifier's error rate $\epsilon(f)$ (among all classifiers in the class \mathcal{F}) will be misestimated by more than some small amount α . Clearly, we cannot make such statements for all model classes \mathcal{F} . Recall the class of memorization machines that always achieve empirical error 0 but never outperform random guessing on the underlying population.

In a sense the class of memorizers is too flexible. No such a uniform convergence result could possibly hold. On the other hand, a fixed classifier is useless—it generalizes perfectly, but fits neither the training data nor the test data. The central question of learning has thus historically been framed as a tradeoff between more flexible (higher variance) model classes that better fit the training data but risk overfitting, versus more rigid (higher bias) model classes that generalize well but risk underfitting. A central question in learning theory has been to develop the appropriate mathematical analysis to quantify where a model sits along this spectrum, and to provide the associated guarantees.

In a series of seminal papers, Vapnik and Chervonenkis extended the theory on the convergence of relative frequencies to more general classes of functions (Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1964, Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1968, Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1971, Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1981, Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1991, Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1974). One of the key contributions of this line of work is the Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension, which measures (one notion of) the complexity (flexibility) of a model class. Moreover, one of their key results bounds the difference between the empirical error and the population error as a function of the VC dimension and the number of samples:

$$P(R[p, f] - R_{\text{emp}}[\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}, f] < \alpha) \ge 1 - \delta \text{ for } \alpha \ge c\sqrt{(\text{VC} - \log \delta)/n}.$$
(4.6.4)

Here $\delta > 0$ is the probability that the bound is violated, α is the upper bound on the generalization gap, and n is the dataset size. Lastly, c > 0 is a constant that depends only on the scale of the loss that can be incurred. One use of the bound might be to plug in desired values of δ and α to determine how many samples to collect. The VC dimension quantifies the largest number of data points for which we can assign any arbitrary (binary) labeling and for each find some model f in the class that agrees with that labeling. For example, linear models on d-dimensional inputs have VC dimension d + 1. It's easy to see that a line can assign any possible labeling to three points in two dimensions, but not to four. Unfortunately, the theory tends to be overly pessimistic for more complex models and obtaining this guarantee typically requires far more examples than are actually required to achieve the desired error rate. Note also that fixing the model class and δ , our error rate again decays with the usual $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ rate. It seems unlikely that we could do better in terms of n. However, as we vary the model class, VC dimension can present a pessimistic picture of the generalization gap.

4.6.4 Summary

The most straightforward way to evaluate a model is to consult a test set comprised of previously unseen data. Test set evaluations provide an unbiased estimate of the true error and converge at the desired $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ rate as the test set grows. We can provide approximate confidence intervals based on exact asymptotic distributions or valid finite sample confidence intervals based on (more conservative) finite sample guarantees. Indeed test set evaluation is the bedrock of modern machine learning research. However, test sets are seldom true test sets (used by multiple researchers again and again). Once the same test set is used to evaluate multiple models, controlling for false discovery can be difficult. This can cause huge problems in theory. In practice, the significance of the problem depends on the size of the holdout sets in question and whether they are merely being used to choose hyperparameters or if they are leaking information more directly. Nevertheless, it's good practice to curate real test sets (or multiple) and to be as conservative as possible about how often they are used.

Hoping to provide a more satisfying solution, statistical learning theorists have developed methods for guaranteeing uniform convergence over a model class. If indeed every model's empirical error converges to its true error simultaneously, then we are free to choose the model that performs best, minimizing the training error, knowing that it too will perform similarly well on the holdout data. Crucially, any of such results must depend on some property of the model class. Vladimir Vapnik and Alexey Chernovenkis introduced the VC dimension, presenting uniform convergence results that hold for all models in a VC class. The training errors for all models in the class are (simultaneously) guaranteed to be close to their true errors, and guaranteed to grow closer at $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{n})$ rates. Following the revolutionary discovery of VC dimension, numerous alternative complexity measures have been proposed, each facilitating an analogous generalization guarantee. See Boucheron et al. (2005) for a detailed discussion of several advanced ways of measuring function complexity. Unfortunately, while these complexity measures have become broadly useful tools in statistical theory, they turn out to be powerless (as straightforwardly applied) for explaining why deep neural networks generalize. Deep neural networks often have millions of parameters (or more), and can easily assign random labels to large collections of points. Nevertheless, they generalize well on practical problems and, surprisingly, they often generalize better, when they are larger and deeper, despite incurring larger VC dimensions. In the next chapter, we will revisit generalization in the context of deep learning.

Exercises

- 1. If we wish to estimate the error of a fixed model f to within 0.0001 with probability greater than 99.9%, how many samples do we need?
- 2. Suppose that somebody else possesses a labeled test set \mathcal{D} and only makes available the unlabeled inputs (features). Now suppose that you can only access the test set labels by running a model f (no restrictions placed on the model class) on each of the unlabeled inputs and receiving the corresponding error $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}(f)$. How many models would you need to evaluate before you leak the entire test set and thus could appear to have error 0, regardless of your true error?
- 3. What is the VC dimension of the class of 5th-order polynomials?
- 4. What is the VC dimension of axis-aligned rectangles on two-dimensional data?



4.7 Environment and Distribution Shift

In the previous sections, we worked through a number of hands-on applications of machine learning, fitting models to a variety of datasets. And yet, we never stopped to contemplate either where data comes from in the first place or what we plan to ultimately do with the outputs from our models. Too often, machine learning developers in possession of data rush to develop models without pausing to consider these fundamental issues.

Many failed machine learning deployments can be traced back to this pattern. Sometimes models appear to perform marvelously as measured by test set accuracy but fail catastrophically in deployment when the distribution of data suddenly shifts. More insidiously, sometimes the very deployment of a model can be the catalyst that perturbs the data distribution. Say, for example, that we trained a model to predict who will repay vs. default on a loan, finding that an applicant's choice of footwear was associated with the risk of default (Oxfords indicate repayment, sneakers indicate default). We might be inclined to thereafter grant loans to all applicants wearing Oxfords and to deny all applicants wearing sneakers.

In this case, our ill-considered leap from pattern recognition to decision-making and our failure to critically consider the environment might have disastrous consequences. For starters, as soon as we began making decisions based on footwear, customers would catch on and change their behavior. Before long, all applicants would be wearing Oxfords, without any coinciding improvement in credit-worthiness. Take a minute to digest this because similar issues abound in many applications of machine learning: by introducing our model-based decisions to the environment, we might break the model.

While we cannot possibly give these topics a complete treatment in one section, we aim here to expose some common concerns, and to stimulate the critical thinking required to detect these situations early, mitigate damage, and use machine learning responsibly. Some of the solutions are simple (ask for the "right" data), some are technically difficult (implement a reinforcement learning system), and others require that we step outside the realm of statistical prediction altogether and grapple with difficult philosophical questions concerning the ethical application of algorithms.

4.7.1 Types of Distribution Shift

To begin, we stick with the passive prediction setting considering the various ways that data distributions might shift and what might be done to salvage model performance. In one classic setup, we assume that our training data was sampled from some distribution $p_S(x, y)$ but that our test data will consist of unlabeled examples drawn from some different distribution $p_T(x, y)$. Already, we must confront a sobering reality. Absent any assumptions on how p_S and p_T relate to each other, learning a robust classifier is impossible.

Consider a binary classification problem, where we wish to distinguish between dogs and cats. If the distribution can shift in arbitrary ways, then our setup permits the pathological case in which the distribution over inputs remains constant: $p_S(x) = p_T(x)$, but the labels are all flipped: $p_S(y \mid x) = 1 - p_T(y \mid x)$. In other words, if God can suddenly decide that in the future all "cats" are now dogs and what we previously called "dogs" are now cats—without any change in the distribution of inputs p(x), then we cannot possibly distinguish this setting from one in which the distribution did not change at all.

Fortunately, under some restricted assumptions on the ways our data might change in the future, principled algorithms can detect shift and sometimes even adapt on the fly, improving on the accuracy of the original classifier.

Covariate Shift

Among categories of distribution shift, covariate shift may be the most widely studied. Here, we assume that while the distribution of inputs may change over time, the labeling function, i.e., the conditional distribution $P(y \mid x)$ does not change. Statisticians call this *covariate* shift because the problem arises due to a shift in the distribution of the covariates (features).

While we can sometimes reason about distribution shift without invoking causality, we note that covariate shift is the natural assumption to invoke in settings where we believe that x causes y.

Consider the challenge of distinguishing cats and dogs. Our training data might consist of images of the kind in Fig.4.7.1.



Figure 4.7.1

Training data for distinguishing cats and dogs.

At test time we are asked to classify the images in Fig.4.7.2.



Figure 4.7.2

Test data for distinguishing cats and dogs.

The training set consists of photos, while the test set contains only cartoons. Training on a dataset with substantially different characteristics from the test set can spell trouble absent a coherent plan for how to adapt to the new domain.

Label Shift

Label shift describes the converse problem. Here, we assume that the label marginal P(y) can change but the class-conditional distribution $P(x \mid y)$ remains fixed across domains. Label shift is a reasonable assumption to make when we believe that y causes x. For example, we may want to predict diagnoses given their symptoms (or other manifestations), even as the relative prevalence of diagnoses are changing over time. Label shift is the appropriate assumption here because diseases cause symptoms. In some degenerate cases the label shift and covariate shift assumptions can hold simultaneously. For example, when the label is deterministic, the covariate shift assumption will be satisfied, even when y causes x. Interestingly, in these cases, it is often advantageous to work with methods that flow from the label shift assumption. That is because these methods tend to involve manipulating objects that look like labels (often low-dimensional), as opposed to objects that look like inputs, which tend to be high-dimensional in deep learning.

Concept Shift

We may also encounter the related problem of *concept shift*, which arises when the very definitions of labels can change. This sounds weird—a *cat* is a *cat*, no? However, other categories

are subject to changes in usage over time. Diagnostic criteria for mental illness, what passes for fashionable, and job titles, are all subject to considerable amounts of concept shift. It turns out that if we navigate around the United States, shifting the source of our data by geography, we will find considerable concept shift regarding the distribution of names for *soft drinks* as shown in Fig.4.7.3.



Figure 4.7.3 Concept shift on soft drink names in the United States.

If we were to build a machine translation system, the distribution $P(y \mid x)$ might be different depending on our location. This problem can be tricky to spot. We might hope to exploit knowledge that shift only takes place gradually either in a temporal or geographic sense.

4.7.2 Examples of Distribution Shift

Before delving into formalism and algorithms, we can discuss some concrete situations where covariate or concept shift might not be obvious.

Medical Diagnostics

Imagine that you want to design an algorithm to detect cancer. You collect data from healthy and sick people and you train your algorithm. It works fine, giving you high accuracy and you conclude that you are ready for a successful career in medical diagnostics. *Not so fast.*

The distributions that gave rise to the training data and those you will encounter in the wild might differ considerably. This happened to an unfortunate startup that some of us (authors) worked with years ago. They were developing a blood test for a disease that predominantly affects older men and hoped to study it using blood samples that they had collected from patients. However, it is considerably more difficult to obtain blood samples from healthy men than sick patients already in the system. To compensate, the startup solicited blood donations from students on a university campus to serve as healthy controls in developing their test. Then they asked whether we could help them to build a classifier for detecting the disease.

As we explained to them, it would indeed be easy to distinguish between the healthy and sick cohorts with near-perfect accuracy. However, that is because the test subjects differed in age, hormone levels, physical activity, diet, alcohol consumption, and many more factors unrelated to the disease. This was unlikely to be the case with real patients. Due to their sampling procedure, we could expect to encounter extreme covariate shift. Moreover, this case was

unlikely to be correctable via conventional methods. In short, they wasted a significant sum of money.

Self-Driving Cars

Say a company wanted to leverage machine learning for developing self-driving cars. One key component here is a roadside detector. Since real annotated data is expensive to get, they had the (smart and questionable) idea to use synthetic data from a game rendering engine as additional training data. This worked really well on "test data" drawn from the rendering engine. Alas, inside a real car it was a disaster. As it turned out, the roadside had been rendered with a very simplistic texture. More importantly, *all* the roadside had been rendered with the *same* texture and the roadside detector learned about this "feature" very quickly.

A similar thing happened to the US Army when they first tried to detect tanks in the forest. They took aerial photographs of the forest without tanks, then drove the tanks into the forest and took another set of pictures. The classifier appeared to work *perfectly*. Unfortunately, it had merely learned how to distinguish trees with shadows from trees without shadows—the first set of pictures was taken in the early morning, the second set at noon.

Nonstationary Distributions

A much more subtle situation arises when the distribution changes slowly (also known as *nonstationary distribution*) and the model is not updated adequately. Below are some typical cases.

- We train a computational advertising model and then fail to update it frequently (e.g., we forget to incorporate that an obscure new device called an iPad was just launched).
- We build a spam filter. It works well at detecting all spam that we have seen so far. But then the spammers wisen up and craft new messages that look unlike anything we have seen before.
- We build a product recommendation system. It works throughout the winter but then continues to recommend Santa hats long after Christmas.

More Anecdotes

- We build a face detector. It works well on all benchmarks. Unfortunately it fails on test data—the offending examples are close-ups where the face fills the entire image (no such data was in the training set).
- We build a Web search engine for the US market and want to deploy it in the UK.
- We train an image classifier by compiling a large dataset where each among a large set of classes is equally represented in the dataset, say 1000 categories, represented by 1000 images each. Then we deploy the system in the real world, where the actual label distribution of photographs is decidedly non-uniform.

4.7.3 Correction of Distribution Shift

As we have discussed, there are many cases where training and test distributions P(x, y) are different. In some cases, we get lucky and the models work despite covariate, label, or concept shift. In other cases, we can do better by employing principled strategies to cope with the shift. The remainder of this section grows considerably more technical. The impatient

reader could continue on to the next section as this material is not prerequisite to subsequent concepts.

Empirical Risk and Risk

Let's first reflect about what exactly is happening during model training: we iterate over features and associated labels of training data $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ and update the parameters of a model f after every minibatch. For simplicity we do not consider regularization, so we largely minimize the loss on the training:

minimize
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), y_i),$$
 (4.7.1)

where l is the loss function measuring "how bad" the prediction $f(x_i)$ is given the associated label y_i . Statisticians call the term in (4.7.1) *empirical risk*. The *empirical risk* is an average loss over the training data to approximate the *risk*, which is the expectation of the loss over the entire population of data drawn from their true distribution p(x, y):

$$E_{p(\boldsymbol{x},y)}[l(f(\boldsymbol{x}),y)] = \int \int l(f(\boldsymbol{x}),y)p(\boldsymbol{x},y) \, d\boldsymbol{x}dy.$$
(4.7.2)

However, in practice we typically cannot obtain the entire population of data. Thus, *empirical risk minimization*, which is minimizing the empirical risk in (4.7.1), is a practical strategy for machine learning, with the hope to approximate minimizing the risk.

Covariate Shift Correction

Assume that we want to estimate some dependency $P(y \mid x)$ for which we have labeled data (x_i, y_i) . Unfortunately, the observations x_i are drawn from some source distribution q(x) rather than the *target distribution* p(x). Fortunately, the dependency assumption means that the conditional distribution does not change: $p(y \mid x) = q(y \mid x)$. If the source distribution q(x) is "wrong", we can correct for that by using the following simple identity in the risk:

$$\int \int l(f(\boldsymbol{x}), y) p(y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) p(\boldsymbol{x}) \, d\boldsymbol{x} dy = \int \int l(f(\boldsymbol{x}), y) q(y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) q(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x})}{q(\boldsymbol{x})} \, d\boldsymbol{x} dy.$$
(4.7.3)

In other words, we need to reweigh each data example by the ratio of the probability that it would have been drawn from the correct distribution to that from the wrong one:

$$\beta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}_i)}{q(\boldsymbol{x}_i)}.$$
(4.7.4)

Plugging in the weight β_i for each data example (x_i, y_i) we can train our model using weighted empirical risk minimization:

$$\underset{f}{\text{minimize}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i l(f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), y_i).$$
(4.7.5)

Alas, we do not know that ratio, so before we can do anything useful we need to estimate it. Many methods are available, including some fancy operator-theoretic approaches that attempt to recalibrate the expectation operator directly using a minimum-norm or a maximum entropy principle. Note that for any such approach, we need samples drawn from both distributions—the "true" p, e.g., by access to test data, and the one used for generating the training set q (the latter is trivially available). Note however, that we only need features $x \sim p(x)$; we do not need to access labels $y \sim p(y)$.

In this case, there exists a very effective approach that will give almost as good results as the original: logistic regression, which is a special case of softmax regression (see Section 4.1)

for binary classification. This is all that is needed to compute estimated probability ratios. We learn a classifier to distinguish between data drawn from p(x) and data drawn from q(x). If it is impossible to distinguish between the two distributions then it means that the associated instances are equally likely to come from either one of the two distributions. On the other hand, any instances that can be well discriminated should be significantly overweighted or underweighted accordingly.

For simplicity's sake assume that we have an equal number of instances from both distributions p(x) and q(x), respectively. Now denote by z labels that are 1 for data drawn from p and -1 for data drawn from q. Then the probability in a mixed dataset is given by

$$P(z=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x})}{p(\boldsymbol{x}) + q(\boldsymbol{x})} \text{ and hence } \frac{P(z=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{P(z=-1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})} = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x})}{q(\boldsymbol{x})}.$$
 (4.7.6)

Thus, if we use a logistic regression approach, where $P(z = 1 | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-h(\boldsymbol{x}))}$ (*h* is a parameterized function), it follows that

$$\beta_i = \frac{1/(1 + \exp(-h(\boldsymbol{x}_i)))}{\exp(-h(\boldsymbol{x}_i))/(1 + \exp(-h(\boldsymbol{x}_i)))} = \exp(h(\boldsymbol{x}_i)).$$
(4.7.7)

As a result, we need to solve two problems: first one to distinguish between data drawn from both distributions, and then a weighted empirical risk minimization problem in (4.7.5) where we weigh terms by β_i .

Now we are ready to describe a correction algorithm. Suppose that we have a training set $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ and an unlabeled test set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$. For covariate shift, we assume that x_i for all $1 \le i \le n$ are drawn from some source distribution and u_i for all $1 \le i \le m$ are drawn from the target distribution. Here is a prototypical algorithm for correcting covariate shift:

- 1. Generate a binary-classification training set: $\{(\boldsymbol{x}_1, -1), \dots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, -1), (\boldsymbol{u}_1, 1), \dots, (\boldsymbol{u}_m, 1)\}$.
- 2. Train a binary classifier using logistic regression to get function h.
- 3. Weigh training data using $\beta_i = \exp(h(x_i))$ or better $\beta_i = \min(\exp(h(x_i)), c)$ for some constant c.
- 4. Use weights β_i for training on $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ in (4.7.5).

Note that the above algorithm relies on a crucial assumption. For this scheme to work, we need that each data example in the target (e.g., test time) distribution had nonzero probability of occurring at training time. If we find a point where p(x) > 0 but q(x) = 0, then the corresponding importance weight should be infinity.

Label Shift Correction

Assume that we are dealing with a classification task with k categories. Using the same notation in Section 4.7.3, q and p are the source distribution (e.g., training time) and target distribution (e.g., test time), respectively. Assume that the distribution of labels shifts over time: $q(y) \neq p(y)$, but the class-conditional distribution stays the same: $q(x \mid y) = p(x \mid y)$. If the source distribution q(y) is "wrong", we can correct for that according to the following identity in the risk as defined in (4.7.2):

$$\int \int l(f(\boldsymbol{x}), y) p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y) p(y) \, d\boldsymbol{x} dy = \int \int l(f(\boldsymbol{x}), y) q(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y) q(y) \frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \, d\boldsymbol{x} dy.$$
(4.7.8)

Here, our importance weights will correspond to the label likelihood ratios

$$\beta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{p(y_i)}{q(y_i)}.\tag{4.7.9}$$

One nice thing about label shift is that if we have a reasonably good model on the source distribution, then we can get consistent estimates of these weights without ever having to deal

with the ambient dimension. In deep learning, the inputs tend to be high-dimensional objects like images, while the labels are often simpler objects like categories.

To estimate the target label distribution, we first take our reasonably good off-the-shelf classifier (typically trained on the training data) and compute its confusion matrix using the validation set (also from the training distribution). The *confusion matrix*, C, is simply a $k \times k$ matrix, where each column corresponds to the label category (ground truth) and each row corresponds to our model's predicted category. Each cell's value c_{ij} is the fraction of total predictions on the validation set where the true label was j and our model predicted i.

Now, we cannot calculate the confusion matrix on the target data directly, because we do not get to see the labels for the examples that we see in the wild, unless we invest in a complex real-time annotation pipeline. What we can do, however, is average all of our models predictions at test time together, yielding the mean model outputs $\mu(\hat{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, whose i^{th} element $\mu(\hat{y}_i)$ is the fraction of total predictions on the test set where our model predicted i.

It turns out that under some mild conditions—if our classifier was reasonably accurate in the first place, and if the target data contains only categories that we have seen before, and if the label shift assumption holds in the first place (the strongest assumption here), then we can estimate the test set label distribution by solving a simple linear system

$$\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}), \tag{4.7.10}$$

because as an estimate $\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{ij} p(y_j) = \mu(\hat{y}_i)$ holds for all $1 \le i \le k$, where $p(y_j)$ is the j^{th} element of the k-dimensional label distribution vector $p(\boldsymbol{y})$. If our classifier is sufficiently accurate to begin with, then the confusion matrix \boldsymbol{C} will be invertible, and we get a solution $p(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{C}^{-1} \mu(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}})$.

Because we observe the labels on the source data, it is easy to estimate the distribution q(y). Then for any training example *i* with label y_i , we can take the ratio of our estimated $p(y_i)/q(y_i)$ to calculate the weight β_i , and plug this into weighted empirical risk minimization in (4.7.5).

Concept Shift Correction

Concept shift is much harder to fix in a principled manner. For instance, in a situation where suddenly the problem changes from distinguishing cats from dogs to one of distinguishing white from black animals, it will be unreasonable to assume that we can do much better than just collecting new labels and training from scratch. Fortunately, in practice, such extreme shifts are rare. Instead, what usually happens is that the task keeps on changing slowly. To make things more concrete, here are some examples:

- In computational advertising, new products are launched, old products become less popular. This means that the distribution over ads and their popularity changes gradually and any click-through rate predictor needs to change gradually with it.
- Traffic camera lenses degrade gradually due to environmental wear, affecting image quality progressively.
- News content changes gradually (i.e., most of the news remains unchanged but new stories appear).

In such cases, we can use the same approach that we used for training networks to make them adapt to the change in the data. In other words, we use the existing network weights and simply perform a few update steps with the new data rather than training from scratch.

4.7.4 A Taxonomy of Learning Problems

Armed with knowledge about how to deal with changes in distributions, we can now consider some other aspects of machine learning problem formulation.

Batch Learning

In *batch learning*, we have access to training features and labels $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\}$, which we use to train a model f(x). Later on, we deploy this model to score new data (x, y) drawn from the same distribution. This is the default assumption for any of the problems that we discuss here. For instance, we might train a cat detector based on lots of pictures of cats and dogs. Once we trained it, we ship it as part of a smart catdoor computer vision system that lets only cats in. This is then installed in a customer's home and is never updated again (barring extreme circumstances).

Online Learning

Now imagine that the data (x_i, y_i) arrives one sample at a time. More specifically, assume that we first observe x_i , then we need to come up with an estimate $f(x_i)$ and only once we have done this, we observe y_i and with it, we receive a reward or incur a loss, given our decision. Many real problems fall into this category. For example, we need to predict tomorrow's stock price, this allows us to trade based on that estimate and at the end of the day we find out whether our estimate allowed us to make a profit. In other words, in *online learning*, we have the following cycle where we are continuously improving our model given new observations.

model $f_t \longrightarrow \text{data } \mathbf{x}_t \longrightarrow \text{estimate } f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \longrightarrow \text{observation } y_t \longrightarrow \log l(y_t, f_t(\mathbf{x}_t)) \longrightarrow \text{model } f_{t+1}$ (4.7.11)

Bandits

Bandits are a special case of the problem above. While in most learning problems we have a continuously parametrized function f where we want to learn its parameters (e.g., a deep network), in a *bandit* problem we only have a finite number of arms that we can pull, i.e., a finite number of actions that we can take. It is not very surprising that for this simpler problem stronger theoretical guarantees in terms of optimality can be obtained. We list it mainly since this problem is often (confusingly) treated as if it were a distinct learning setting.

Control

In many cases the environment remembers what we did. Not necessarily in an adversarial manner but it will just remember and the response will depend on what happened before. For instance, a coffee boiler controller will observe different temperatures depending on whether it was heating the boiler previously. PID (proportional-integral-derivative) controller algorithms are a popular choice there. Likewise, a user's behavior on a news site will depend on what we showed him previously (e.g., he will read most news only once). Many such algorithms form a model of the environment in which they act such as to make their decisions appear less random. Recently, control theory (e.g., PID variants) has also been used to automatically tune hyperparameters to achieve better disentangling and reconstruction quality, and improve the diversity of generated text and the reconstruction quality of generated images (Shao *et al.*, 2020).

Reinforcement Learning

In the more general case of an environment with memory, we may encounter situations where the environment is trying to cooperate with us (cooperative games, in particular for non-zerosum games), or others where the environment will try to win. Chess, Go, Backgammon, or StarCraft are some of the cases in *reinforcement learning*. Likewise, we might want to build a good controller for autonomous cars. The other cars are likely to respond to the autonomous car's driving style in nontrivial ways, e.g., trying to avoid it, trying to cause an accident, and trying to cooperate with it.

Considering the Environment

One key distinction between the different situations above is that the same strategy that might have worked throughout in the case of a stationary environment, might not work throughout when the environment can adapt. For instance, an arbitrage opportunity discovered by a trader is likely to disappear once he starts exploiting it. The speed and manner at which the environment changes determines to a large extent the type of algorithms that we can bring to bear. For instance, if we know that things may only change slowly, we can force any estimate to change only slowly, too. If we know that the environment might change instantaneously, but only very infrequently, we can make allowances for that. These types of knowledge are crucial for the aspiring data scientist to deal with concept shift, i.e., when the problem that he is trying to solve changes over time.

4.7.5 Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency in Machine Learning

Finally, it is important to remember that when you deploy machine learning systems you are not merely optimizing a predictive model—you are typically providing a tool that will be used to (partially or fully) automate decisions. These technical systems can impact the lives of individuals subject to the resulting decisions. The leap from considering predictions to decisions raises not only new technical questions, but also a slew of ethical questions that must be carefully considered. If we are deploying a medical diagnostic system, we need to know for which populations it may work and which it may not. Overlooking foreseeable risks to the welfare of a subpopulation could cause us to administer inferior care. Moreover, once we contemplate decision-making systems, we must step back and reconsider how we evaluate our technology. Among other consequences of this change of scope, we will find that accuracy is seldom the right measure. For instance, when translating predictions into actions, we will often want to take into account the potential cost sensitivity of erring in various ways. If one way of misclassifying an image could be perceived as a racial sleight of hand, while misclassification to a different category would be harmless, then we might want to adjust our thresholds accordingly, accounting for societal values in designing the decision-making protocol. We also want to be careful about how prediction systems can lead to feedback loops. For example, consider predictive policing systems, which allocate patrol officers to areas with high forecasted crime. It is easy to see how a worrying pattern can emerge:

- 1. Neighborhoods with more crime get more patrols.
- Consequently, more crimes are discovered in these neighborhoods, entering the training data available for future iterations.
- 3. Exposed to more positives, the model predicts yet more crime in these neighborhoods.
- 4. In the next iteration, the updated model targets the same neighborhood even more heavily leading to yet more crimes discovered, etc.

Often, the various mechanisms by which a model's predictions become coupled to its training data are unaccounted for in the modeling process. This can lead to what researchers call *runaway feedback loops*. Additionally, we want to be careful about whether we are addressing the right problem in the first place. Predictive algorithms now play an outsize role in mediating the dissemination of information. Should the news that an individual encounters be determined by the set of Facebook pages they have *Liked*? These are just a few among the many pressing ethical dilemmas that you might encounter in a career in machine learning.

4.7.6 Summary

- In many cases training and test sets do not come from the same distribution. This is called distribution shift.
- The risk is the expectation of the loss over the entire population of data drawn from their true distribution. However, this entire population is usually unavailable. Empirical risk is an average loss over the training data to approximate the risk. In practice, we perform empirical risk minimization.
- Under the corresponding assumptions, covariate and label shift can be detected and corrected for at test time. Failure to account for this bias can become problematic at test time.
- In some cases, the environment may remember automated actions and respond in surprising ways. We must account for this possibility when building models and continue to monitor live systems, open to the possibility that our models and the environment will become entangled in unanticipated ways.

Exercises

- 1. What could happen when we change the behavior of a search engine? What might the users do? What about the advertisers?
- 2. Implement a covariate shift detector. Hint: build a classifier.
- 3. Implement a covariate shift corrector.
- 4. Besides distribution shift, what else could affect how the empirical risk approximates the risk?

Discussions⁹⁷



In this chapter, we will introduce your first truly *deep* network. The simplest deep networks are called *multilayer perceptrons*, and they consist of multiple layers of neurons each fully connected to those in the layer below (from which they receive input) and those above (which they, in turn, influence). Although automatic differentiation significantly simplifies the implementation of deep learning algorithms, we will dive deep into how these gradients are calculated in deep networks. Then we will be ready to discuss issues relating to numerical stability and parameter initialization that are key to successfully training deep networks. When we train such high-capacity models we run the risk of overfitting. Thus, we will revisit regularization and generalization for deep networks. Throughout, we aim to give you a firm grasp not just of the concepts but also of the practice of using deep networks. At the end of this chapter, we apply what we have introduced so far to a real case: house price prediction. We punt matters relating to the computational performance, scalability, and efficiency of our models to subsequent chapters.

5.1 Multilayer Perceptrons

In Chapter 4, we introduced softmax regression (Section 4.1), implementing the algorithm from scratch (Section 4.4) and using high-level APIs (Section 4.5). This allowed us to train classifiers capable of recognizing 10 categories of clothing from low-resolution images. Along the way, we learned how to wrangle data, coerce our outputs into a valid probability distribution, apply an appropriate loss function, and minimize it with respect to our model's parameters. Now that we have mastered these mechanics in the context of simple linear models, we can launch our exploration of deep neural networks, the comparatively rich class of models with which this book is primarily concerned.

5.1.1 Hidden Layers

We described affine transformations in Section 3.1.1 as linear transformations with added bias. To begin, recall the model architecture corresponding to our softmax regression example, illustrated in Fig.4.1.1. This model maps inputs directly to outputs via a single affine transformation, followed by a softmax operation. If our labels truly were related to the input data by a simple affine transformation, then this approach would be sufficient. However, linearity (in affine transformations) is a *strong* assumption.

Limitations of Linear Models

For example, linearity implies the *weaker* assumption of *monotonicity*, i.e., that any increase in our feature must either always cause an increase in our model's output (if the corresponding weight is positive), or always cause a decrease in our model's output (if the corresponding weight is negative). Sometimes that makes sense. For example, if we were trying to predict whether an individual will repay a loan, we might reasonably assume that all other things being equal, an applicant with a higher income would always be more likely to repay than one with a lower income. While monotonic, this relationship likely is not linearly associated with

5

the probability of repayment. An increase in income from \$0 to \$50,000 likely corresponds to a bigger increase in likelihood of repayment than an increase from \$1 million to \$1.05million. One way to handle this might be to post-process our outcome such that linearity becomes more plausible, by using the logistic map (and thus the logarithm of the probability of outcome).

Note that we can easily come up with examples that violate monotonicity. Say for example that we want to predict health as a function of body temperature. For individuals with a body temperature above 37° C (98.6°F), higher temperatures indicate greater risk. However, for individuals with body temperatures below 37° C, lower temperatures indicate greater risk! Again, we might resolve the problem with some clever preprocessing, such as using the distance from 37° C as a feature.

But what about classifying images of cats and dogs? Should increasing the intensity of the pixel at location (13, 17) always increase (or always decrease) the likelihood that the image depicts a dog? Reliance on a linear model corresponds to the implicit assumption that the only requirement for differentiating cats vs. dogs is to assess the brightness of individual pixels. This approach is doomed to fail in a world where inverting an image preserves the category.

And yet despite the apparent absurdity of linearity here, as compared with our previous examples, it is less obvious that we could address the problem with a simple preprocessing fix. That is, because the significance of any pixel depends in complex ways on its context (the values of the surrounding pixels). While there might exist a representation of our data that would take into account the relevant interactions among our features, on top of which a linear model would be suitable, we simply do not know how to calculate it by hand. With deep neural networks, we used observational data to jointly learn both a representation via hidden layers and a linear predictor that acts upon that representation.

This problem of nonlinearity has been studied for at least a century (Fisher, 1928). For instance, decision trees in their most basic form use a sequence of binary decisions to decide upon class membership (Quinlan, 2014). Likewise, kernel methods have been used for many decades to model nonlinear dependencies (Aronszajn, 1950). This has found its way, e.g., into nonparametric spline models (Wahba, 1990) and kernel methods (Schölkopf and Smola, 2002). It is also something that the brain solves quite naturally. After all, neurons feed into other neurons which, in turn, feed into other neurons again (y Cajal and Azoulay, 1894). Consequently we have a sequence of relatively simple transformations.

Incorporating Hidden Layers

We can overcome the limitations of linear models by incorporating one or more hidden layers. The easiest way to do this is to stack many fully connected layers on top of each other. Each layer feeds into the layer above it, until we generate outputs. We can think of the first L - 1 layers as our representation and the final layer as our linear predictor. This architecture is commonly called a *multilayer perceptron*, often abbreviated as *MLP* (Fig.5.1.1).



This MLP has 4 inputs, 3 outputs, and its hidden layer contains 5 hidden units. Since the input layer does not involve any calculations, producing outputs with this network requires implementing the computations for both the hidden and output layers; thus, the number of layers in this MLP is 2. Note that both layers are fully connected. Every input influences every neuron in the hidden layer, and each of these in turn influences every neuron in the output layer. Alas, we are not quite done yet.

From Linear to Nonlinear

As before, we denote by the matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ a minibatch of n examples where each example has d inputs (features). For a one-hidden-layer MLP whose hidden layer has h hidden units, we denote by $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ the outputs of the hidden layer, which are *hidden representations*. Since the hidden and output layers are both fully connected, we have hidden-layer weights $W^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ and biases $b^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ and output-layer weights $W^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times q}$ and biases $b^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$. This allows us to calculate the outputs $O \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$ of the one-hidden-layer MLP as follows:

$$H = XW^{(1)} + b^{(1)},$$

$$O = HW^{(2)} + b^{(2)}.$$
(5.1.1)

Note that after adding the hidden layer, our model now requires us to track and update additional sets of parameters. So what have we gained in exchange? You might be surprised to find out that—in the model defined above—*we gain nothing for our troubles*! The reason is plain. The hidden units above are given by an affine function of the inputs, and the outputs (pre-softmax) are just an affine function of the hidden units. An affine function of an affine function is itself an affine function. Moreover, our linear model was already capable of representing any affine function.

To see this formally we can just collapse out the hidden layer in the above definition, yielding an equivalent single-layer model with parameters $W = W^{(1)}W^{(2)}$ and $b = b^{(1)}W^{(2)} + b^{(2)}$:

$$O = (XW^{(1)} + b^{(1)})W^{(2)} + b^{(2)} = XW^{(1)}W^{(2)} + b^{(1)}W^{(2)} + b^{(2)} = XW + b.$$
(5.1.2)

In order to realize the potential of multilayer architectures, we need one more key ingredient: a nonlinear *activation function* σ to be applied to each hidden unit following the affine transformation. For instance, a popular choice is the ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation function (Nair and Hinton, 2010) $\sigma(x) = \max(0, x)$ operating on its arguments element-wise. The outputs of activation functions $\sigma(\cdot)$ are called *activations*. In general, with activation functions in place, it is no longer possible to collapse our MLP into a linear model:

$$H = \sigma(XW^{(1)} + b^{(1)}),$$

$$O = HW^{(2)} + b^{(2)}.$$
(5.1.3)

Since each row in X corresponds to an example in the minibatch, with some abuse of notation, we define the nonlinearity σ to apply to its inputs in a row-wise fashion, i.e., one example at a time. Note that we used the same notation for softmax when we denoted a rowwise operation in Section 4.1.1. Quite frequently the activation functions we use apply not merely row-wise but element-wise. That means that after computing the linear portion of the layer, we can calculate each activation without looking at the values taken by the other hidden units.

To build more general MLPs, we can continue stacking such hidden layers, e.g., $\boldsymbol{H}^{(1)} = \sigma_1(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{W}^{(1)} + \boldsymbol{b}^{(1)})$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^{(2)} = \sigma_2(\boldsymbol{H}^{(1)}\boldsymbol{W}^{(2)} + \boldsymbol{b}^{(2)})$, one atop another, yielding ever more expressive models.

Universal Approximators

We know that the brain is capable of very sophisticated statistical analysis. As such, it is worth asking, just *how powerful* a deep network could be. This question has been answered multiple times, e.g., in Cybenko (1989) in the context of MLPs, and in Micchelli (1984) in the context of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in a way that could be seen as radial basis function (RBF) networks with a single hidden layer. These (and related results) suggest that even with a single-hidden-layer network, given enough nodes (possibly absurdly many), and the right set of weights, we can model any function. Actually learning that function is the hard part, though. You might think of your neural network as being a bit like the C programming language. The language, like any other modern language, is capable of expressing any computable program. But actually coming up with a program that meets your specifications is the hard part.

Moreover, just because a single-hidden-layer network *can* learn any function does not mean that you should try to solve all of your problems with single-hidden-layer networks. In fact, in this case kernel methods are way more effective, since they are capable of solving the problem *exactly* even in infinite dimensional spaces (Kimeldorf and Wahba, 1971, Schölkopf *et al.*, 2001). In fact, we can approximate many functions much more compactly by using deeper (vs. wider) networks (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014). We will touch upon more rigorous arguments in subsequent chapters.

5.1.2 Activation Functions

Activation functions decide whether a neuron should be activated or not by calculating the weighted sum and further adding bias with it. They are differentiable operators to transform input signals to outputs, while most of them add non-linearity. Because activation functions are fundamental to deep learning, let's briefly survey some common activation functions.

%matplotlib inline from mxnet import autograd, np, npx from d21 import mxnet as d21

npx.set_np()

ReLU Function

The most popular choice, due to both simplicity of implementation and its good performance on a variety of predictive tasks, is the *rectified linear unit* (*ReLU*) (Nair and Hinton, 2010). ReLU provides a very simple nonlinear transformation. Given an element x, the function is defined as the maximum of that element and 0:

$$\operatorname{ReLU}(x) = \max(x, 0). \tag{5.1.4}$$

Informally, the ReLU function retains only positive elements and discards all negative elements by setting the corresponding activations to 0. To gain some intuition, we can plot the function. As you can see, the activation function is piecewise linear.

```
x = np.arange(-8.0, 8.0, 0.1)
x.attach_grad()
with autograd.record():
    y = npx.relu(x)
d2l.plot(x, y, 'x', 'relu(x)', figsize=(5, 2.5))
```

When the input is negative, the derivative of the ReLU function is 0, and when the input is



positive, the derivative of the ReLU function is 1. Note that the ReLU function is not differentiable when the input takes value precisely equal to 0. In these cases, we default to the left-hand-side derivative and say that the derivative is 0 when the input is 0. We can get away with this because the input may never actually be zero (mathematicians would say that it's nondifferentiable on a set of measure zero). There is an old adage that if subtle boundary conditions matter, we are probably doing (*real*) mathematics, not engineering. That conventional wisdom may apply here, or at least, the fact that we are not performing constrained optimization (Mangasarian, 1965, Rockafellar, 1970). We plot the derivative of the ReLU function plotted below.

```
y.backward()
d2l.plot(x, x.grad, 'x', 'grad of relu', figsize=(5, 2.5))
```

```
[22:42:56] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.
```



The reason for using ReLU is that its derivatives are particularly well behaved: either they vanish or they just let the argument through. This makes optimization better behaved and it mitigated the well-documented problem of vanishing gradients that plagued previous versions of neural networks (more on this later).

Note that there are many variants to the ReLU function, including the *parameterized ReLU* (*pReLU*) function (He *et al.*, 2015). This variation adds a linear term to ReLU, so some information still gets through, even when the argument is negative:

$$pReLU(x) = \max(0, x) + \alpha \min(0, x).$$
(5.1.5)

Sigmoid Function

The *sigmoid function* transforms its inputs, for which values lie in the domain \mathbb{R} , to outputs that lie on the interval (0, 1). For that reason, the sigmoid is often called a *squashing function*:

it squashes any input in the range (-inf, inf) to some value in the range (0, 1):

sigmoid(x) =
$$\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}$$
. (5.1.6)

In the earliest neural networks, scientists were interested in modeling biological neurons which either *fire* or *do not fire*. Thus the pioneers of this field, going all the way back to McCulloch and Pitts, the inventors of the artificial neuron, focused on thresholding units (McCulloch and Pitts, 1943). A thresholding activation takes value 0 when its input is below some threshold and value 1 when the input exceeds the threshold.

When attention shifted to gradient based learning, the sigmoid function was a natural choice because it is a smooth, differentiable approximation to a thresholding unit. Sigmoids are still widely used as activation functions on the output units, when we want to interpret the outputs as probabilities for binary classification problems: you can think of the sigmoid as a special case of the softmax. However, the sigmoid has mostly been replaced by the simpler and more easily trainable ReLU for most use in hidden layers. Much of this has to do with the fact that the sigmoid poses challenges for optimization (LeCun *et al.*, 1998) since its gradient vanishes for large positive *and* negative arguments. This can lead to plateaus that are difficult to escape from. Nonetheless sigmoids are important. In later chapters (e.g., Section 10.1) on recurrent neural networks, we will describe architectures that leverage sigmoid units to control the flow of information across time.

Below, we plot the sigmoid function. Note that when the input is close to 0, the sigmoid function approaches a linear transformation.



The derivative of the sigmoid function is given by the following equation:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\operatorname{sigmoid}(x) = \frac{\exp(-x)}{(1 + \exp(-x))^2} = \operatorname{sigmoid}(x)\left(1 - \operatorname{sigmoid}(x)\right).$$
(5.1.7)

The derivative of the sigmoid function is plotted below. Note that when the input is 0, the derivative of the sigmoid function reaches a maximum of 0.25. As the input diverges from 0 in either direction, the derivative approaches 0.

```
y.backward()
d2l.plot(x, x.grad, 'x', 'grad of sigmoid', figsize=(5, 2.5))
```



Tanh Function

Like the sigmoid function, the tanh (hyperbolic tangent) function also squashes its inputs, transforming them into elements on the interval between -1 and 1:

$$\tanh(x) = \frac{1 - \exp(-2x)}{1 + \exp(-2x)}.$$
(5.1.8)

We plot the tanh function below. Note that as input nears 0, the tanh function approaches a linear transformation. Although the shape of the function is similar to that of the sigmoid function, the tanh function exhibits point symmetry about the origin of the coordinate system (Kalman and Kwasny, 1992).

```
with autograd.record():
    y = np.tanh(x)
d2l.plot(x, y, 'x', 'tanh(x)', figsize=(5, 2.5))
```



The derivative of the tanh function is:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\tanh(x) = 1 - \tanh^2(x). \tag{5.1.9}$$

It is plotted below. As the input nears 0, the derivative of the tanh function approaches a maximum of 1. And as we saw with the sigmoid function, as input moves away from 0 in either direction, the derivative of the tanh function approaches 0.

```
y.backward()
d2l.plot(x, x.grad, 'x', 'grad of tanh', figsize=(5, 2.5))
```

5.1.3 Summary

We now know how to incorporate nonlinearities to build expressive multilayer neural network architectures. As a side note, your knowledge already puts you in command of a similar toolkit



to a practitioner circa 1990. In some ways, you have an advantage over anyone working in the 1990s, because you can leverage powerful open-source deep learning frameworks to build models rapidly, using only a few lines of code. Previously, training these networks required researchers to code up layers and derivatives explicitly in C, Fortran, or even Lisp (in the case of LeNet).

A secondary benefit is that ReLU is significantly more amenable to optimization than the sigmoid or the tanh function. One could argue that this was one of the key innovations that helped the resurgence of deep learning over the past decade. Note, though, that research in activation functions has not stopped. For instance, the Swish activation function $\sigma(x) = x \operatorname{sigmoid}(\beta x)$ as proposed in (Ramachandran *et al.*, 2017) can yield better accuracy in many cases.

Exercises

- 1. Show that adding layers to a *linear* deep network, i.e., a network without nonlinearity σ can never increase the expressive power of the network. Give an example where it actively reduces it.
- 2. Compute the derivative of the pReLU activation function.
- 3. Compute the derivative of the Swish activation function $x \operatorname{sigmoid}(\beta x)$.
- 4. Show that an MLP using only ReLU (or pReLU) constructs a continuous piecewise linear function.
- 5. Sigmoid and tanh are very similar.
 - 1. Show that $tanh(x) + 1 = 2 \operatorname{sigmoid}(2x)$.
 - 2. Prove that the function classes parametrized by both nonlinearities are identical. Hint: affine layers have bias terms, too.
- 6. Assume that we have a nonlinearity that applies to one minibatch at a time, such as the batch normalization (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015). What kinds of problems do you expect this to cause?
 - 7. Provide an example where the gradients vanish for the sigmoid activation function.

Discussions⁹⁸

5.2 Implementation of Multilayer Perceptrons

Multilayer perceptrons (MLPs) are not much more complex to implement than simple linear models. The key conceptual difference is that we now concatenate multiple layers.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

5.2.1 Implementation from Scratch

Let's begin again by implementing such a network from scratch.

Initializing Model Parameters

Recall that Fashion-MNIST contains 10 classes, and that each image consists of a $28 \times 28 = 784$ grid of grayscale pixel values. As before we will disregard the spatial structure among the pixels for now, so we can think of this as a classification dataset with 784 input features and 10 classes. To begin, we will implement an MLP with one hidden layer and 256 hidden units. Both the number of layers and their width are adjustable (they are considered hyperparameters). Typically, we choose the layer widths to be divisible by larger powers of 2. This is computationally efficient due to the way memory is allocated and addressed in hardware.

Again, we will represent our parameters with several tensors. Note that *for every layer*, we must keep track of one weight matrix and one bias vector. As always, we allocate memory for the gradients of the loss with respect to these parameters.

Model

To make sure we know how everything works, we will implement the ReLU activation ourselves rather than invoking the built-in relu function directly.

```
def relu(X):
    return np.maximum(X, 0)
```

Since we are disregarding spatial structure, we reshape each two-dimensional image into a flat vector of length num_inputs. Finally, we implement our model with just a few lines of code. Since we use the framework built-in autograd this is all that it takes.
```
@d2l.add_to_class(MLPScratch)
def forward(self, X):
    X = X.reshape((-1, self.num_inputs))
    H = relu(np.dot(X, self.W1) + self.b1)
    return np.dot(H, self.W2) + self.b2
```

Training

Fortunately, the training loop for MLPs is exactly the same as for softmax regression. We define the model, data, trainer and finally invoke the fit function on model and data.

```
model = MLPScratch(num_inputs=784, num_outputs=10, num_hiddens=256, lr=0.1)
data = d21.FashionMNIST(batch_size=256)
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=10)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



5.2.2 Concise Implementation

As you might expect, by relying on the high-level APIs, we can implement MLPs even more concisely.

Model

As compared with our concise implementation of softmax regression implementation (Section 4.5), the only difference is that we add *two* fully connected layers where we previously added only *one*. The first is the hidden layer, the second is the output layer.

Training

The training loop is exactly the same as when we implemented softmax regression. This modularity enables us to separate matters concerning the model architecture from orthogonal considerations.

model = MLP(num_outputs=10, num_hiddens=256, lr=0.1)
trainer.fit(model, data)



5.2.3 Summary

Now that we have more practice in designing deep networks, the step from a single to multiple layers of deep networks doesn't pose such a significant challenge any longer. In particular, we can reuse the training algorithm and data loader. Note, though, that implementing MLPs from scratch is nonetheless messy: naming and keeping track of the model parameters makes it difficult to extend models. For instance, imagine wanting to insert another layer between layers 42 and 43. This might now be layer 42b, unless we are willing to perform sequential renaming. Moreover, if we implement the network from scratch, it is much more difficult for the framework to perform meaningful performance optimizations.

Nonetheless, you have now reached the state of the art of the late 1980s when fully connected deep networks were the method of choice for neural network modeling. Our next conceptual step will be to consider images. Before we do so, we need to review a number of statistical basics and details on how to compute models efficiently.

Exercises

- 1. Change the number of hidden units num_hiddens and plot how its number affects the accuracy of the model. What is the best value of this hyperparameter?
- 2. Try adding a hidden layer to see how it affects the results.
- 3. Why is it a bad idea to insert a hidden layer with a single neuron? What could go wrong?
- 4. How does changing the learning rate alter your results? With all other parameters fixed, which learning rate gives you the best results? How does this relate to the number of epochs?
- 5. Let's optimize over all hyperparameters jointly, i.e., learning rate, number of epochs, number of hidden layers, and number of hidden units per layer.
 - 1. What is the best result you can get by optimizing over all of them?
 - 2. Why it is much more challenging to deal with multiple hyperparameters?
 - 3. Describe an efficient strategy for optimizing over multiple parameters jointly.
- 6. Compare the speed of the framework and the from-scratch implementation for a challenging problem. How does it change with the complexity of the network?

- 7. Measure the speed of tensor-matrix multiplications for well-aligned and misaligned matrices. For instance, test for matrices with dimension 1024, 1025, 1026, 1028, and 1032.
 - 1. How does this change between GPUs and CPUs?
 - 2. Determine the memory bus width of your CPU and GPU.
- 8. Try out different activation functions. Which one works best?
- 9. Is there a difference between weight initializations of the network? Does it matter?

Discussions⁹⁹



5.3 Forward Propagation, Backward Propagation, and Computational Graphs

So far, we have trained our models with minibatch stochastic gradient descent. However, when we implemented the algorithm, we only worried about the calculations involved in *forward propagation* through the model. When it came time to calculate the gradients, we just invoked the backpropagation function provided by the deep learning framework.

The automatic calculation of gradients (automatic differentiation) profoundly simplifies the implementation of deep learning algorithms. Before automatic differentiation, even small changes to complicated models required recalculating complicated derivatives by hand. Surprisingly often, academic papers had to allocate numerous pages to deriving update rules. While we must continue to rely on automatic differentiation so we can focus on the interesting parts, you ought to know how these gradients are calculated under the hood if you want to go beyond a shallow understanding of deep learning.

In this section, we take a deep dive into the details of *backward propagation* (more commonly called *backpropagation*). To convey some insight for both the techniques and their implementations, we rely on some basic mathematics and computational graphs. To start, we focus our exposition on a one-hidden-layer MLP with weight decay (ℓ_2 regularization, to be described in subsequent chapters).

5.3.1 Forward Propagation

Forward propagation (or *forward pass*) refers to the calculation and storage of intermediate variables (including outputs) for a neural network in order from the input layer to the output layer. We now work step-by-step through the mechanics of a neural network with one hidden layer. This may seem tedious but in the eternal words of funk virtuoso James Brown, you must "pay the cost to be the boss".

For the sake of simplicity, let's assume that the input example is $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and that our hidden layer does not include a bias term. Here the intermediate variable is:

$$\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}\boldsymbol{x},\tag{5.3.1}$$

where $W^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$ is the weight parameter of the hidden layer. After running the intermediate variable $z \in \mathbb{R}^h$ through the activation function ϕ we obtain our hidden activation vector of length h,

$$\boldsymbol{h} = \phi(\boldsymbol{z}). \tag{5.3.2}$$

The hidden layer output h is also an intermediate variable. Assuming that the parameters

of the output layer only possess a weight of $W^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times h}$, we can obtain an output layer variable with a vector of length q:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)} \boldsymbol{h}.$$
 (5.3.3)

Assuming that the loss function is l and the example label is y, we can then calculate the loss term for a single data example,

$$L = l(\boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{y}). \tag{5.3.4}$$

According to the definition of ℓ_2 regularization that we will introduce later, given the hyperparameter λ , the regularization term is

$$s = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\| \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)} \|_{F}^{2} + \| \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)} \|_{F}^{2} \right), \qquad (5.3.5)$$

where the Frobenius norm of the matrix is simply the ℓ_2 norm applied after flattening the matrix into a vector. Finally, the model's regularized loss on a given data example is:

$$J = L + s. \tag{5.3.6}$$

We refer to J as the *objective function* in the following discussion.

5.3.2 Computational Graph of Forward Propagation

Plotting *computational graphs* helps us visualize the dependencies of operators and variables within the calculation. Fig.5.3.1 contains the graph associated with the simple network described above, where squares denote variables and circles denote operators. The lower-left corner signifies the input and the upper-right corner is the output. Notice that the directions of the arrows (which illustrate data flow) are primarily rightward and upward.



Figure 5.3.1

5.3.3 Backpropagation

Backpropagation refers to the method of calculating the gradient of neural network parameters. In short, the method traverses the network in reverse order, from the output to the input layer, according to the *chain rule* from calculus. The algorithm stores any intermediate variables (partial derivatives) required while calculating the gradient with respect to some parameters. Assume that we have functions Y = f(X) and Z = g(Y), in which the input and the output X, Y, Z are tensors of arbitrary shapes. By using the chain rule, we can compute the derivative of Z with respect to X via

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial X} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial Y}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X}\right).$$
(5.3.7)

Here we use the prod operator to multiply its arguments after the necessary operations, such as transposition and swapping input positions, have been carried out. For vectors, this is straightforward: it is simply matrix-matrix multiplication. For higher dimensional tensors, we use the appropriate counterpart. The operator prod hides all the notation overhead.

Recall that the parameters of the simple network with one hidden layer, whose computational

graph is in Fig.5.3.1, are $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$. The objective of backpropagation is to calculate the gradients $\partial J/\partial W^{(1)}$ and $\partial J/\partial W^{(2)}$. To accomplish this, we apply the chain rule and calculate, in turn, the gradient of each intermediate variable and parameter. The order of calculations are reversed relative to those performed in forward propagation, since we need to start with the outcome of the computational graph and work our way towards the parameters. The first step is to calculate the gradients of the objective function J = L + s with respect to the loss term L and the regularization term s.

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial L} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{\partial J}{\partial s} = 1.$$
 (5.3.8)

Next, we compute the gradient of the objective function with respect to variable of the output layer *o* according to the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial L}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}} \in \mathbb{R}^{q}.$$
(5.3.9)

Next, we calculate the gradients of the regularization term with respect to both parameters:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)} \text{ and } \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}.$$
 (5.3.10)

Now we are able to calculate the gradient $\partial J/\partial W^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times h}$ of the model parameters closest to the output layer. Using the chain rule yields:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}}\right) + \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}}\right) = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}\boldsymbol{h}^{\top} + \lambda \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)}.$$
 (5.3.11)

To obtain the gradient with respect to $W^{(1)}$ we need to continue backpropagation along the output layer to the hidden layer. The gradient with respect to the hidden layer output $\partial J/\partial h \in \mathbb{R}^h$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}\right) = \boldsymbol{W}^{(2)^{\top}} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}}.$$
(5.3.12)

Since the activation function ϕ applies elementwise, calculating the gradient $\partial J/\partial z \in \mathbb{R}^h$ of the intermediate variable z requires that we use the elementwise multiplication operator, which we denote by \odot :

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\right) = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \odot \phi'(\boldsymbol{z}).$$
(5.3.13)

Finally, we can obtain the gradient $\partial J/\partial W^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$ of the model parameters closest to the input layer. According to the chain rule, we get

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}}\right) + \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}}\right) = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\boldsymbol{x}^{\top} + \lambda \boldsymbol{W}^{(1)}.$$
 (5.3.14)

5.3.4 Training Neural Networks

When training neural networks, forward and backward propagation depend on each other. In particular, for forward propagation, we traverse the computational graph in the direction of dependencies and compute all the variables on its path. These are then used for backpropagation where the compute order on the graph is reversed.

Take the aforementioned simple network as an example to illustrate. On the one hand, computing the regularization term (5.3.5) during forward propagation depends on the current values of model parameters $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$. They are given by the optimization algorithm according to backpropagation in the latest iteration. On the other hand, the gradient calculation for the parameter (5.3.11) during backpropagation depends on the current value of the hidden layer output h, which is given by forward propagation.

Therefore when training neural networks, after model parameters are initialized, we alternate

forward propagation with backpropagation, updating model parameters using gradients given by backpropagation. Note that backpropagation reuses the stored intermediate values from forward propagation to avoid duplicate calculations. One of the consequences is that we need to retain the intermediate values until backpropagation is complete. This is also one of the reasons why training requires significantly more memory than plain prediction. Besides, the size of such intermediate values is roughly proportional to the number of network layers and the batch size. Thus, training deeper networks using larger batch sizes more easily leads to *out of memory* errors.

5.3.5 Summary

- Forward propagation sequentially calculates and stores intermediate variables within the computational graph defined by the neural network. It proceeds from the input to the output layer.
- Backpropagation sequentially calculates and stores the gradients of intermediate variables and parameters within the neural network in the reversed order.
- When training deep learning models, forward propagation and back propagation are interdependent.
- Training requires significantly more memory than prediction.

Exercises

- 1. Assume that the inputs X to some scalar function f are $n \times m$ matrices. What is the dimensionality of the gradient of f with respect to X?
- 2. Add a bias to the hidden layer of the model described in this section (you do not need to include bias in the regularization term).
 - 1. Draw the corresponding computational graph.
 - 2. Derive the forward and backward propagation equations.
- Compute the memory footprint for training and prediction in the model described in this section.
- 4. Assume that you want to compute second derivatives. What happens to the computational graph? How long do you expect the calculation to take?
- 5. Assume that the computational graph is too large for your GPU.
 - 1. Can you partition it over more than one GPU?
 - 2. What are the advantages and disadvantages over training on a smaller minibatch?

Discussions¹⁰⁰



5.4 Numerical Stability and Initialization

Thus far, every model that we have implemented required that we initialize its parameters according to some pre-specified distribution. Until now, we took the initialization scheme for granted, glossing over the details of how these choices are made. You might have even gotten the impression that these choices are not especially important. To the contrary, the choice of

initialization scheme plays a significant role in neural network learning, and it can be crucial for maintaining numerical stability. Moreover, these choices can be tied up in interesting ways with the choice of the nonlinear activation function. Which function we choose and how we initialize parameters can determine how quickly our optimization algorithm converges. Poor choices here can cause us to encounter exploding or vanishing gradients while training. In this section, we delve into these topics with greater detail and discuss some useful heuristics that you will find useful throughout your career in deep learning.

5.4.1 Vanishing and Exploding Gradients

Consider a deep network with L layers, input x and output o. With each layer l defined by a transformation f_l parameterized by weights $W^{(l)}$, whose hidden layer output is $h^{(l)}$ (let $h^{(0)} = x$), our network can be expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{(l)} = f_l(\boldsymbol{h}^{(l-1)}) \text{ and thus } \boldsymbol{o} = f_L \circ \ldots \circ f_1(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{5.4.1}$$

If all the hidden layer output and the input are vectors, we can write the gradient of o with respect to any set of parameters $W^{(l)}$ as follows:

$$\partial_{\boldsymbol{W}^{(l)}}\boldsymbol{o} = \underbrace{\partial_{\boldsymbol{h}^{(L-1)}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(L)}}_{\boldsymbol{M}^{(L)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}} \cdots \underbrace{\partial_{\boldsymbol{h}^{(l)}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(l+1)}}_{\boldsymbol{M}^{(l+1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}} \underbrace{\partial_{\boldsymbol{W}^{(l)}}\boldsymbol{h}^{(l)}}_{\boldsymbol{v}^{(l)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}}.$$
(5.4.2)

In other words, this gradient is the product of L-l matrices $M^{(L)} \cdot \ldots \cdot M^{(l+1)}$ and the gradient vector $v^{(l)}$. Thus we are susceptible to the same problems of numerical underflow that often crop up when multiplying together too many probabilities. When dealing with probabilities, a common trick is to switch into log-space, i.e., shifting pressure from the mantissa to the exponent of the numerical representation. Unfortunately, our problem above is more serious: initially the matrices $M^{(l)}$ may have a wide variety of eigenvalues. They might be small or large, and their product might be *very large* or *very small*.

The risks posed by unstable gradients go beyond numerical representation. Gradients of unpredictable magnitude also threaten the stability of our optimization algorithms. We may be facing parameter updates that are either (i) excessively large, destroying our model (the *exploding gradient* problem); or (ii) excessively small (the *vanishing gradient* problem), rendering learning impossible as parameters hardly move on each update.

Vanishing Gradients

One frequent culprit causing the vanishing gradient problem is the choice of the activation function σ that is appended following each layer's linear operations. Historically, the sigmoid function $1/(1 + \exp(-x))$ (introduced in Section 5.1) was popular because it resembles a thresholding function. Since early artificial neural networks were inspired by biological neural networks, the idea of neurons that fire either *fully* or *not at all* (like biological neurons) seemed appealing. Let's take a closer look at the sigmoid to see why it can cause vanishing gradients.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
x = np.arange(-8.0, 8.0, 0.1)
x.attach_grad()
with autograd.record():
    y = npx.sigmoid(x)
```

187

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
y.backward()
d2l.plot(x, [y, x.grad], legend=['sigmoid', 'gradient'], figsize=(4.5, 2.5))
```

[22:45:52] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.



As you can see, the sigmoid's gradient vanishes both when its inputs are large and when they are small. Moreover, when backpropagating through many layers, unless we are in the Goldilocks zone, where the inputs to many of the sigmoids are close to zero, the gradients of the overall product may vanish. When our network boasts many layers, unless we are careful, the gradient will likely be cut off at some layer. Indeed, this problem used to plague deep network training. Consequently, ReLUs, which are more stable (but less neurally plausible), have emerged as the default choice for practitioners.

Exploding Gradients

The opposite problem, when gradients explode, can be similarly vexing. To illustrate this a bit better, we draw 100 Gaussian random matrices and multiply them with some initial matrix. For the scale that we picked (the choice of the variance $\sigma^2 = 1$), the matrix product explodes. When this happens due to the initialization of a deep network, we have no chance of getting a gradient descent optimizer to converge.

```
M = np.random.normal(size=(4, 4))
print('a single matrix', M)
for i in range(100):
    M = np.dot(M, np.random.normal(size=(4, 4)))
print('after multiplying 100 matrices', M)
```

```
a single matrix [[ 2.2122064 1.1630787 0.7740038 0.4838046 ]

[ 1.0434403 0.29956347 1.1839255 0.15302546]

[ 1.8917114 -1.1688148 -1.2347414 1.5580711 ]

[-1.771029 -0.5459446 -0.45138445 -2.3556297 ]]

after multiplying 100 matrices [[ 3.4459747e+23 -7.8040759e+23 5.9973355e+23 ]

=4.5230040e+23]

[ 2.5275059e+23 -5.7240258e+23 4.3988419e+23 3.3174704e+23]

[ 1.3731275e+24 -3.1097129e+24 2.3897754e+24 1.8022945e+24]

[ -4.4951091e+23 1.0180045e+24 -7.8232368e+23 -5.9000419e+23]]
```

Breaking the Symmetry

Another problem in neural network design is the symmetry inherent in their parametrization. Assume that we have a simple MLP with one hidden layer and two units. In this case, we could permute the weights $W^{(1)}$ of the first layer and likewise permute the weights of the output layer to obtain the same function. There is nothing special differentiating the first hidden unit vs. the second hidden unit. In other words, we have permutation symmetry among the hidden units of each layer.

This is more than just a theoretical nuisance. Consider the aforementioned one-hidden-layer MLP with two hidden units. For illustration, suppose that the output layer transforms the two hidden units into only one output unit. Imagine what would happen if we initialized all of the parameters of the hidden layer as $W^{(1)} = c$ for some constant c. In this case, during forward propagation either hidden unit takes the same inputs and parameters, producing the same activation, which is fed to the output unit. During backpropagation, differentiating the output unit with respect to parameters $W^{(1)}$ gives a gradient whose elements all take the same value. Thus, after gradient-based iteration (e.g., minibatch stochastic gradient descent), all the elements of $W^{(1)}$ still take the same value. Such iterations would never *break the symmetry* on its own and we might never be able to realize the network's expressive power. The hidden layer would behave as if it had only a single unit. Note that while minibatch stochastic gradient descent would not break this symmetry, dropout regularization (to be introduced later) would!

5.4.2 Parameter Initialization

One way of addressing—or at least mitigating—the issues raised above is through careful initialization. As we will see later, additional care during optimization and suitable regularization can further enhance stability.

Default Initialization

In the previous sections, e.g., in Section 3.5, we used a normal distribution to initialize the values of our weights. If we do not specify the initialization method, the framework will use a default random initialization method, which often works well in practice for moderate problem sizes.

Xavier Initialization

Let's look at the scale distribution of an output o_i for some fully connected layer without nonlinearities. With n_{in} inputs x_j and their associated weights w_{ij} for this layer, an output is given by

$$o_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\rm in}} w_{ij} x_j.$$
(5.4.3)

The weights w_{ij} are all drawn independently from the same distribution. Furthermore, let's assume that this distribution has zero mean and variance σ^2 . Note that this does not mean that the distribution has to be Gaussian, just that the mean and variance need to exist. For now, let's assume that the inputs to the layer x_j also have zero mean and variance γ^2 and that they are independent of w_{ij} and independent of each other. In this case, we can compute the

 \boldsymbol{n}

mean and variance of o_i as follows:

$$E[o_i] = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{in}}} E[w_{ij}x_j]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{in}}} E[w_{ij}]E[x_j]$$

$$= 0,$$

$$Var[o_i] = E[o_i^2] - (E[o_i])^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{in}}} E[w_{ij}^2x_j^2] - 0$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{in}}} E[w_{ij}^2]E[x_j^2]$$

$$= n_{\text{in}}\sigma^2\gamma^2.$$

(5.4.4)

One way to keep the variance fixed is to set $n_{in}\sigma^2 = 1$. Now consider backpropagation. There we face a similar problem, albeit with gradients being propagated from the layers closer to the output. Using the same reasoning as for forward propagation, we see that the gradients' variance can blow up unless $n_{out}\sigma^2 = 1$, where n_{out} is the number of outputs of this layer. This leaves us in a dilemma: we cannot possibly satisfy both conditions simultaneously. Instead, we simply try to satisfy:

$$\frac{1}{2}(n_{\rm in} + n_{\rm out})\sigma^2 = 1 \text{ or equivalently } \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_{\rm in} + n_{\rm out}}}.$$
(5.4.5)

This is the reasoning underlying the now-standard and practically beneficial *Xavier initialization*, named after the first author of its creators (Glorot and Bengio, 2010). Typically, the Xavier initialization samples weights from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2 = \frac{2}{n_{in} + n_{out}}$. We can also adapt Xavier's intuition to choose the variance when sampling weights from a uniform distribution. Note that the uniform distribution U(-a, a) has variance $\frac{a^2}{3}$. Plugging $\frac{a^2}{3}$ into our condition on σ^2 yields the suggestion to initialize according to

$$U\left(-\sqrt{\frac{6}{n_{\rm in}+n_{\rm out}}},\sqrt{\frac{6}{n_{\rm in}+n_{\rm out}}}\right).$$
(5.4.6)

Though the assumption for nonexistence of nonlinearities in the above mathematical reasoning can be easily violated in neural networks, the Xavier initialization method turns out to work well in practice.

Beyond

The reasoning above barely scratches the surface of modern approaches to parameter initialization. A deep learning framework often implements over a dozen different heuristics. Moreover, parameter initialization continues to be a hot area of fundamental research in deep learning. Among these are heuristics specialized for tied (shared) parameters, superresolution, sequence models, and other situations. For instance, Xiao et al. demonstrated the possibility of training 10000-layer neural networks without architectural tricks by using a carefully-designed initialization method (Xiao *et al.*, 2018).

If the topic interests you we suggest a deep dive into this module's offerings, reading the papers that proposed and analyzed each heuristic, and then exploring the latest publications on the topic. Perhaps you will stumble across or even invent a clever idea and contribute an implementation to deep learning frameworks.

5.4.3 Summary

- Vanishing and exploding gradients are common issues in deep networks. Great care in parameter initialization is required to ensure that gradients and parameters remain well controlled.
- Initialization heuristics are needed to ensure that the initial gradients are neither too large nor too small.
- ReLU activation functions mitigate the vanishing gradient problem. This can accelerate convergence.
- Random initialization is key to ensure that symmetry is broken before optimization.
- Xavier initialization suggests that, for each layer, variance of any output is not affected by the number of inputs, and variance of any gradient is not affected by the number of outputs.

Exercises

- 1. Can you design other cases where a neural network might exhibit symmetry requiring breaking besides the permutation symmetry in an MLP's layers?
- 2. Can we initialize all weight parameters in linear regression or in softmax regression to the same value?
- 3. Look up analytic bounds on the eigenvalues of the product of two matrices. What does this tell you about ensuring that gradients are well conditioned?
- 4. If we know that some terms diverge, can we fix this after the fact? Look at the paper on layerwise adaptive rate scaling for inspiration (You *et al.*, 2017).

Discussions¹⁰¹

101

5.5 Generalization in Deep Learning

In Chapter 3 and Chapter 4, we tackled regression and classification problems by fitting linear models to training data. In both cases, we provided practical algorithms for finding the parameters that maximized the likelihood of the observed training labels. And then, towards the end of each chapter, we recalled that fitting the training data was only an intermediate goal. Our real quest all along was to discover *general patterns* on the basis of which we can make accurate predictions even on new examples drawn from the same underlying population. Machine learning researchers are *consumers* of optimization algorithms. Sometimes, we must even develop new optimization algorithms. But at the end of the day, optimization is merely a means to an end. At its core, machine learning is a statistical discipline and we wish to optimize training loss only insofar as some statistical principle (known or unknown) leads the resulting models to generalize beyond the training set.

On the bright side, it turns out that deep neural networks trained by stochastic gradient descent generalize remarkably well across myriad prediction problems, spanning computer vision; natural language processing; time series data; recommender systems; electronic health records; protein folding; value function approximation in video games and board games; and countless other domains. On the downside, if you were looking for a straightforward account of either the optimization story (why we can fit them to training data) or the generalization story (why the resulting models generalize to unseen examples), then you might want to pour yourself a drink. While our procedures for optimizing linear models and the statistical properties of the solutions are both described well by a comprehensive body of theory, our understanding of deep learning still resembles the wild west on both fronts.

The theory and practice of deep learning are rapidly evolving on both fronts, with theorists adopting new strategies to explain what's going on, even as practitioners continue to innovate at a blistering pace, building arsenals of heuristics for training deep networks and a body of intuitions and folk knowledge that provide guidance for deciding which techniques to apply in which situations.

The TL;DR of the present moment is that the theory of deep learning has produced promising lines of attack and scattered fascinating results, but still appears far from a comprehensive account of both (i) why we are able to optimize neural networks and (ii) how models learned by gradient descent manage to generalize so well, even on high-dimensional tasks. However, in practice, (i) is seldom a problem (we can always find parameters that will fit all of our training data) and thus understanding generalization is far the bigger problem. On the other hand, even absent the comfort of a coherent scientific theory, practitioners have developed a large collection of techniques that may help you to produce models that generalize well in practice. While no pithy summary can possibly do justice to the vast topic of generalization in deep learning, and while the overall state of research is far from resolved, we hope, in this section, to present a broad overview of the state of research and practice.

5.5.1 Revisiting Overfitting and Regularization

Recall that our approach to training machine learning models typically consists of two phases: (i) fit the training data; and (ii) estimate the *generalization error* (the true error on the underlying population) by evaluating the model on holdout data. The difference between our fit on the training data and our fit on the test data is called the *generalization gap* and when the generalization gap is large, we say that our models *overfit* to the training data. In extreme cases of overfitting, we might exactly fit the training data, even when the test error remains significant. And in the classical view, the interpretation is that our models are too complex, requiring that we either shrink the number of features, the number of nonzero parameters learned, or the size of the parameters as quantified. Recall the plot of model complexity vs loss (Fig.3.6.1) from Section 3.6.

However deep learning complicates this picture in counterintuitive ways. First, for classification problems, our models are typically expressive enough to perfectly fit every training example, even in datasets consisting of millions (Zhang *et al.*, 2021). In the classical picture, we might think that this setting lies on the far right extreme of the model complexity axis, and that any improvements in generalization error must come by way of regularization, either by reducing the complexity of the model class, or by applying a penalty, severely constraining the set of values that our parameters might take. But that's where things start to get weird.

Strangely, for many deep learning tasks (e.g., image recognition and text classification) we are typically choosing among model architectures, all of which can achieve arbitrarily low training loss (and zero training error). Because all models under consideration achieve zero training error, *the only avenue for further gains is to reduce overfitting*. Even stranger, it's often the case that despite fitting the training data perfectly, we can actually *reduce the generalization error* further by making the model *even more expressive*, e.g., adding layers, nodes, or training for a larger number of epochs. Stranger yet, the pattern relating the generalization gap to the *complexity* of the model (as captured, e.g., in the depth or width of the networks) can be non-monotonic, with greater complexity hurting at first but subsequently helping in a so-called "double-descent" pattern (Nakkiran *et al.*, 2021). Thus the deep learning practitioner

possesses a bag of tricks, some of which seemingly restrict the model in some fashion and others that seemingly make it even more expressive, and all of which, in some sense, are applied to mitigate overfitting.

Complicating things even further, while the guarantees provided by classical learning theory can be conservative even for classical models, they appear powerless to explain why it is that deep neural networks generalize in the first place. Because deep neural networks are capable of fitting arbitrary labels even for large datasets, and despite the use of familiar methods like ℓ_2 regularization, traditional complexity-based generalization bounds, e.g., those based on the VC dimension or Rademacher complexity of a hypothesis class cannot explain why neural networks generalize.

5.5.2 Inspiration from Nonparametrics

Approaching deep learning for the first time, it's tempting to think of them as parametric models. After all, the models *do* have millions of parameters. When we update the models, we update their parameters. When we save the models, we write their parameters to disk. However, mathematics and computer science are riddled with counterintuitive changes of perspective, and surprising isomorphisms seemingly different problems. While neural networks, clearly *have* parameters, in some ways, it can be more fruitful to think of them as behaving like nonparametric models. So what precisely makes a model nonparametric? While the name covers a diverse set of approaches, one common theme is that nonparametric methods tend to have a level of complexity that grows as the amount of available data grows.

Perhaps the simplest example of a nonparametric model is the k-nearest neighbor algorithm (we will cover more nonparametric models later, such as in Section 11.2). Here, at training time, the learner simply memorizes the dataset. Then, at prediction time, when confronted with a new point x, the learner looks up the k nearest neighbors (the k points x'_i that minimize some distance $d(x, x'_i)$). When k = 1, this is algorithm is called 1-nearest neighbors, and the algorithm will always achieve a training error of zero. That however, does not mean that the algorithm will not generalize. In fact, it turns out that under some mild conditions, the 1-nearest neighbor algorithm is consistent (eventually converging to the optimal predictor).

Note that 1 nearest neighbor requires that we specify some distance function d, or equivalently, that we specify some vector-valued basis function $\phi(x)$ for featurizing our data. For any choice of the distance metric, we will achieve 0 training error and eventually reach an optimal predictor, but different distance metrics d encode different inductive biases and with a finite amount of available data will yield different predictors. Different choices of the distance metric d represent different assumptions about the underlying patterns and the performance of the different predictors will depend on how compatible the assumptions are with the observed data.

In a sense, because neural networks are over-parameterized, possessing many more parameters than are needed to fit the training data, they tend to *interpolate* the training data (fitting it perfectly) and thus behave, in some ways, more like nonparametric models. More recent theoretical research has established deep connection between large neural networks and nonparametric methods, notably kernel methods. In particular, (Jacot *et al.*, 2018) demonstrated that in the limit, as multilayer perceptrons with randomly initialized weights grow infinitely wide, they become equivalent to (nonparametric) kernel methods for a specific choice of the kernel function (essentially, a distance function), which they call the neural tangent kernel. While current neural tangent kernel models may not fully explain the behavior of modern deep networks, their success as an analytical tool underscores the usefulness of nonparametric modeling for understanding the behavior of over-parameterized deep networks.

5.5.3 Early Stopping

While deep neural networks are capable of fitting arbitrary labels, even when labels are assigned incorrectly or randomly (Zhang *et al.*, 2021), this ability only emerges over many iterations of training. A new line of work (Rolnick *et al.*, 2017) has revealed that in the setting of label noise, neural networks tend to fit cleanly labeled data first and only subsequently to interpolate the mislabeled data. Moreover, it's been established that this phenomenon translates directly into a guarantee on generalization: whenever a model has fitted the cleanly labeled data but not randomly labeled examples included in the training set, it has in fact generalized (Garg *et al.*, 2021).

Together these findings help to motivate *early stopping*, a classic technique for regularizing deep neural networks. Here, rather than directly constraining the values of the weights, one constrains the number of epochs of training. The most common way to determine the stopping criteria is to monitor validation error throughout training (typically by checking once after each epoch) and to cut off training when the validation error has not decreased by more than some small amount ϵ for some number of epochs. This is sometimes called a *patience criteria*. Besides the potential to lead to better generalization, in the setting of noisy labels, another benefit of early stopping is the time saved. Once the patience criteria is met, one can terminate training. For large models that might require days of training simultaneously across 8 GPUs or more, well-tuned early stopping can save researchers days of time and can save their employers many thousands of dollars.

Notably, when there is no label noise and datasets are *realizable* (the classes are truly separable, e.g., distinguishing cats from dogs), early stopping tends not to lead to significant improvements in generalization. On the other hand, when there is label noise, or intrinsic variability in the label (e.g., predicting mortality among patients), early stopping is crucial. Training models until they interpolate noisy data is typically a bad idea.

5.5.4 Classical Regularization Methods for Deep Networks

In Chapter 3, we described several classical regularization techniques for constraining the complexity of our models. In particular, Section 3.7 introduced a method called weight decay, which consists of adding a regularization term to the loss function to penalize large values of the weights. Depending on which weight norm is penalized this technique is known either as ridge regularization (for ℓ_2 penalty) or lasso regularization (for an ℓ_1 penalty). In the classical analysis of these regularizers, they are considered to restrict the values that the weights can take sufficiently to prevent the model from fitting arbitrary labels.

In deep learning implementations, weight decay remains a popular tool. However, researchers have noted that typical strengths of ℓ_2 regularization are insufficient to prevent the networks from interpolating the data

(Zhang et al., 2021)

and thus the benefits if interpreted as regularization might only make sense in combination with the early stopping criteria. Absent early stopping, it's possible that just like the number of layers or number of nodes (in deep learning) or the distance metric (in 1-nearest neighbor), these methods may lead to better generalization not because they meaningfully constrain the power of the neural network but rather because they somehow encode inductive biases that are better compatible with the patterns found in datasets of interests. Thus, classical regularizers remain popular in deep learning implementations, even if the theoretical rationale for their efficacy may be radically different.

Notably, deep learning researchers have also built on techniques first popularized in classical regularization contexts, such as adding noise to model inputs. In the next section we will introduce the famous dropout technique (invented by Srivastava *et al.* (2014)), which has

become a mainstay of deep learning, even as the theoretical basis for its efficacy remains similarly mysterious.

5.5.5 Summary

Unlike classical linear models, which tend to have fewer parameters than examples, deep networks tend to be over-parameterized, and for most tasks are capable of perfectly fitting the training set. This *interpolation regime* challenges many of hard fast-held intuitions. Functionally, neural networks look like parametric models. But thinking of them as nonparametric models can sometimes be a more reliable source of intuition. Because it's often the case that all deep networks under consideration are capable of fitting all of the training labels, nearly all gains must come by mitigating overfitting (closing the *generalization gap*). Paradoxically, the interventions that reduce the generalization gap sometimes appear to increase model complexity and at other times appear to decrease complexity. However, these methods seldom decrease complexity sufficiently for classical theory to explain the generalization of deep networks, and *why certain choices lead to improved generalization* remains for the most part a massive open question despite the concerted efforts of many brilliant researchers.

Exercises

- 1. In what sense do traditional complexity-based measures fail to account for generalization of deep neural networks?
- 2. Why might *early stopping* be considered a regularization technique?
- 3. How do researchers typically determine the stopping criteria?
- 4. What important factor seems to differentiate cases when early stopping leads to big improvements in generalization?
- 5. Beyond generalization, describe another benefit of early stopping.

Discussions¹⁰²

102



Let's think briefly about what we expect from a good predictive model. We want it to peform well on unseen data. Classical generalization theory suggests that to close the gap between train and test performance, we should aim for a simple model. Simplicity can come in the form of a small number of dimensions. We explored this when discussing the monomial basis functions of linear models in Section 3.6. Additionally, as we saw when discussing weight decay (ℓ_2 regularization) in Section 3.7, the (inverse) norm of the parameters also represents a useful measure of simplicity. Another useful notion of simplicity is smoothness, i.e., that the function should not be sensitive to small changes to its inputs. For instance, when we classify images, we would expect that adding some random noise to the pixels should be mostly harmless.

In 1995, Christopher Bishop formalized this idea when he proved that training with input noise is equivalent to Tikhonov regularization (Bishop, 1995). This work drew a clear mathematical connection between the requirement that a function be smooth (and thus simple), and the requirement that it be resilient to perturbations in the input.

Then, in 2014, Srivastava et al. (Srivastava et al., 2014) developed a clever idea for how to

apply Bishop's idea to the internal layers of a network, too. Their idea, called *dropout*, involves injecting noise while computing each internal layer during forward propagation, and it has become a standard technique for training neural networks. The method is called *dropout* because we literally *drop out* some neurons during training. Throughout training, on each iteration, standard dropout consists of zeroing out some fraction of the nodes in each layer before calculating the subsequent layer.

To be clear, we are imposing our own narrative with the link to Bishop. The original paper on dropout offers intuition through a surprising analogy to sexual reproduction. The authors argue that neural network overfitting is characterized by a state in which each layer relies on a specific pattern of activations in the previous layer, calling this condition *co-adaptation*. dropout, they claim, breaks up co-adaptation just as sexual reproduction is argued to break up co-adapted genes. While the explanatory of this theory is certainly up for debate, the dropout technique itself has proved enduring, and various forms of dropout are implemented in most deep learning libraries.

The key challenge is how to inject this noise. One idea is to inject the noise in an *unbiased* manner so that the expected value of each layer—while fixing the others—equals to the value it would have taken absent noise. In Bishop's work, he added Gaussian noise to the inputs to a linear model. At each training iteration, he added noise sampled from a distribution with mean zero $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ to the input \boldsymbol{x} , yielding a perturbed point $\boldsymbol{x}' = \boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon$. In expectation, $E[\boldsymbol{x}'] = \boldsymbol{x}$.

In standard dropout regularization, one zeros out some fraction of the nodes in each layer and then *debiases* each layer by normalizing by the fraction of nodes that were retained (not dropped out). In other words, with *dropout probability* p, each intermediate activation h is replaced by a random variable h' as follows:

$$h' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } p \\ \frac{h}{1-p} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(5.6.1)

By design, the expectation remains unchanged, i.e., E[h'] = h.

5.6.1 Dropout in Practice

Recall the MLP with a hidden layer and 5 hidden units in Fig.5.1.1. When we apply dropout to a hidden layer, zeroing out each hidden unit with probability p, the result can be viewed as a network containing only a subset of the original neurons. In Fig.5.6.1, h_2 and h_5 are removed. Consequently, the calculation of the outputs no longer depends on h_2 or h_5 and their respective gradient also vanishes when performing backpropagation. In this way, the calculation of the output layer cannot be overly dependent on any one element of h_1, \ldots, h_5 .



Figure 5.6.1 MLP before and after dropout.

Typically, we disable dropout at test time. Given a trained model and a new example, we do

not drop out any nodes and thus do not need to normalize. However, there are some exceptions: some researchers use dropout at test time as a heuristic for estimating the *uncertainty* of neural network predictions: if the predictions agree across many different dropout masks, then we might say that the network is more confident.

5.6.2 Implementation from Scratch

To implement the dropout function for a single layer, we must draw as many samples from a Bernoulli (binary) random variable as our layer has dimensions, where the random variable takes value 1 (keep) with probability 1 - p and 0 (drop) with probability p. One easy way to implement this is to first draw samples from the uniform distribution U[0, 1]. Then we can keep those nodes for which the corresponding sample is greater than p, dropping the rest.

In the following code, we implement a dropout_layer function that drops out the elements in the tensor input X with probability dropout, rescaling the remainder as described above: dividing the survivors by 1.0-dropout.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def dropout_layer(X, dropout):
    assert 0 <= dropout <= 1
    if dropout == 1: return np.zeros_like(X)
    mask = np.random.uniform(0, 1, X.shape) > dropout
    return mask.astype(np.float32) * X / (1.0 - dropout)
```

We can test out the dropout_layer function on a few examples. In the following lines of code, we pass our input X through the dropout operation, with probabilities 0, 0.5, and 1, respectively.

```
X = np.arange(16).reshape(2, 8)
print('dropout_p = 0:', dropout_layer(X, 0))
print('dropout_p = 0.5:', dropout_layer(X, 0.5))
print('dropout_p = 1:', dropout_layer(X, 1))
```

Defining the Model

The model below applies dropout to the output of each hidden layer (following the activation function). We can set dropout probabilities for each layer separately. A common trend is to set a lower dropout probability closer to the input layer. We ensure that dropout is only active during training.

(continued from previous page)

```
super().__init__()
self.save_hyperparameters()
self.lin1 = nn.Dense(num_hiddens_1, activation='relu')
self.lin2 = nn.Dense(num_hiddens_2, activation='relu')
self.lin3 = nn.Dense(num_outputs)
self.initialize()

def forward(self, X):
H1 = self.lin1(X)
if autograd.is_training():
H1 = dropout_layer(H1, self.dropout_1)
H2 = self.lin2(H1)
if autograd.is_training():
H2 = dropout_layer(H2, self.dropout_2)
return self.lin3(H2)
```

Training

The following is similar to the training of MLPs described previously.





With high-level APIs, all we need to do is add a Dropout layer after each fully connected layer, passing in the dropout probability as the only argument to its constructor. During training, the Dropout layer will randomly drop out outputs of the previous layer (or equivalently, the inputs to the subsequent layer) according to the specified dropout probability. When not in training mode, the Dropout layer simply passes the data through during testing.

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
nn.Dropout(dropout_2),
nn.Dense(num_outputs))
self.net.initialize()
```

Next, we train the model.

model = DropoutMLP(**hparams)
trainer.fit(model, data)



5.6.4 Summary

- Beyond controlling the number of dimensions and the size of the weight vector, dropout is yet another tool to avoid overfitting. Often they are used jointly.
- Dropout replaces an activation h with a random variable with expected value h.
- Dropout is only used during training.

Exercises

- 1. What happens if you change the dropout probabilities for the first and second layers? In particular, what happens if you switch the ones for both layers? Design an experiment to answer these questions, describe your results quantitatively, and summarize the qualitative takeaways.
- 2. Increase the number of epochs and compare the results obtained when using dropout with those when not using it.
- 3. What is the variance of the activations in each hidden layer when dropout is and is not applied? Draw a plot to show how this quantity evolves over time for both models.
- 4. Why is dropout not typically used at test time?
- 5. Using the model in this section as an example, compare the effects of using dropout and weight decay. What happens when dropout and weight decay are used at the same time? Are the results additive? Are there diminished returns (or worse)? Do they cancel each other out?
- 6. What happens if we apply dropout to the individual weights of the weight matrix rather than the activations?
- 7. Invent another technique for injecting random noise at each layer that is different from the standard dropout technique. Can you develop a method that outperforms dropout on the Fashion-MNIST dataset (for a fixed architecture)?

Discussions¹⁰³



5.7 Predicting House Prices on Kaggle

Now that we have introduced some basic tools for building and training deep networks and regularizing them with techniques including weight decay and dropout, we are ready to put all this knowledge into practice by participating in a Kaggle competition. The house price prediction competition is a great place to start. The data is fairly generic and do not exhibit exotic structure that might require specialized models (as audio or video might). This dataset, collected by Bart de Cock in 2011 (De Cock, 2011), covers house prices in Ames, IA from the period of 2006–2010. It is considerably larger than the famous Boston housing dataset¹⁰⁴ of Harrison and Rubinfeld (1978), boasting both more examples and more features.

In this section, we will walk you through details of data preprocessing, model design, and hyperparameter selection. We hope that through a hands-on approach, you will gain some intuitions that will guide you in your career as a data scientist.

5.7.1 Downloading Data

Throughout the book, we will train and test models on various downloaded datasets. Here, we implement two utility functions to download files and extract zip or tar files. Again, we defer their implementations into Section 20.7.

```
def download(url, folder, sha1_hash=None):
    """Download a file to folder and return the local filepath."""
def extract(filename, folder):
    """Extract a zip/tar file into folder."""
```

5.7.2 Kaggle

Kaggle¹⁰⁵ is a popular platform that hosts machine learning competitions. Each competition centers on a dataset and many are sponsored by stakeholders who offer prizes to the winning solutions. The platform helps users to interact via forums and shared code, fostering both collaboration and competition. While leaderboard chasing often spirals out of control, with researchers focusing myopically on preprocessing steps rather than asking fundamental questions, there is also tremendous value in the objectivity of a platform that facilitates direct quantitative comparisons among competing approaches as well as code sharing so that everyone can learn what did and did not work. If you want to participate in a Kaggle competition, you will first need to register for an account (see Fig.5.7.1).

On the house price prediction competition page, as illustrated in Fig.5.7.2, you can find the dataset (under the "Data" tab), submit predictions, and see your ranking, The URL is right here:

https://www.kaggle.com/c/house-prices-advanced-regression-techniques

5.7.3 Accessing and Reading the Dataset

Note that the competition data is separated into training and test sets. Each record includes the property value of the house and attributes such as street type, year of construction, roof type,



105

	kaggle Search kag	gle Q	Competitions Da	atasets Kernels D	iscussion Learn	··· Sign In
Figure 5.7.1 The Ka	Kaggle is the place to do data science projects See how it works (*)			Sign up with just one click: We wort share anything without your permission Google Facebook Yahoo Manually create an account: Email Password		
	House Prices: Advanced Regression Techniques Predict sales prices and practice feature engineering, RFs, and gradient boosting 5,012 teams · Ongoing					
	Overview Data Kernel	s Discussion Leaderboar	d Rules Team	Му	Submissions	Submit Predictions
	Overview					
	Description	Start here if				
	Evaluation Frequently Asked Questions	You have some experience for data science students v expand their skill set befor	with R or Python an who have completed e trying a featured c	nd machine learning l d an online course in competition.	basics. This is a pe machine learning	erfect competition and are looking to



Competition Description

7.2 The house price prediction competition page.

Tutorials

basement condition, etc. The features consist of various data types. For example, the year of construction is represented by an integer, the roof type by discrete categorical assignments, and other features by floating point numbers. And here is where reality complicates things: for some examples, some data is altogether missing with the missing value marked simply as "na". The price of each house is included for the training set only (it is a competition after all). We will want to partition the training set to create a validation set, but we only get to evaluate our models on the official test set after uploading predictions to Kaggle. The "Data" tab on the competition tab in Fig.5.7.2 has links to download the data.

```
%matplotlib inline
import pandas as pd
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

To get started, we will read in and process the data using pandas, which we have introduced in Section 2.2. For convenience, we can download and cache the Kaggle housing dataset. If a file corresponding to this dataset already exists in the cache directory and its SHA-1 matches sha1_hash, our code will use the cached file to avoid clogging up your internet with redundant downloads.

```
class KaggleHouse(d21.DataModule):
    def __init__(self, batch_size, train=None, val=None):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        if self.train is None:
            self.raw_train = pd.read_csv(d21.download())
```

(continued from previous page)

```
d2l.DATA_URL + 'kaggle_house_pred_train.csv', self.root,
    sha1_hash='585e9cc93e70b39160e7921475f9bcd7d31219ce'))
self.raw_val = pd.read_csv(d2l.download(
    d2l.DATA_URL + 'kaggle_house_pred_test.csv', self.root,
    sha1_hash='fa19780a7b011d9b009e8bff8e99922a8ee2eb90'))
```

The training dataset includes 1460 examples, 80 features, and 1 label, while the validation data contains 1459 examples and 80 features.

```
data = KaggleHouse(batch_size=64)
print(data.raw_train.shape)
print(data.raw_val.shape)
```

```
Downloading ../data/kaggle_house_pred_train.csv from http://d2l-data.s3-

\ominusaccelerate.amazonaws.com/kaggle_house_pred_train.csv...

Downloading ../data/kaggle_house_pred_test.csv from http://d2l-data.s3-

\ominusaccelerate.amazonaws.com/kaggle_house_pred_test.csv...

(1460, 81)

(1459, 80)
```

5.7.4 Data Preprocessing

Let's take a look at the first four and last two features as well as the label (SalePrice) from the first four examples.

```
print(data.raw_train.iloc[:4, [0, 1, 2, 3, -3, -2, -1]])
```

	Id	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	SaleType	SaleCondition	SalePrice
0	1	60	RL	65.0	WD	Normal	208500
1	2	20	RL	80.0	WD	Normal	181500
2	3	60	RL	68.0	WD	Normal	223500
3	4	70	RL	60.0	WD	Abnorml	140000

We can see that in each example, the first feature is the ID. This helps the model identify each training example. While this is convenient, it does not carry any information for prediction purposes. Hence, we will remove it from the dataset before feeding the data into the model. Besides, given a wide variety of data types, we will need to preprocess the data before we can start modeling.

Let's start with the numerical features. First, we apply a heuristic, replacing all missing values by the corresponding feature's mean. Then, to put all features on a common scale, we *standardize* the data by rescaling features to zero mean and unit variance:

$$x \leftarrow \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma},\tag{5.7.1}$$

where μ and σ denote mean and standard deviation, respectively. To verify that this indeed transforms our feature (variable) such that it has zero mean and unit variance, note that $E[\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}] = \frac{\mu-\mu}{\sigma} = 0$ and that $E[(x-\mu)^2] = (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - 2\mu^2 + \mu^2 = \sigma^2$. Intuitively, we standardize the data for two reasons. First, it proves convenient for optimization. Second, because we do not know *a priori* which features will be relevant, we do not want to penalize coefficients assigned to one feature more than on any other.

Next we deal with discrete values. This includes features such as "MSZoning". We replace them by a one-hot encoding in the same way that we previously transformed multiclass labels into vectors (see Section 4.1.1). For instance, "MSZoning" assumes the values "RL" and "RM". Dropping the "MSZoning" feature, two new indicator features "MSZoning_RL" and

"MSZoning_RM" are created with values being either 0 or 1. According to one-hot encoding, if the original value of "MSZoning" is "RL", then "MSZoning_RL" is 1 and "MSZoning_RM" is 0. The pandas package does this automatically for us.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(KaggleHouse)
def preprocess(self):
    # Remove the ID and label columns
   label = 'SalePrice'
    features = pd.concat(
        (self.raw_train.drop(columns=['Id', label]),
         self.raw_val.drop(columns=['Id'])))
    # Standardize numerical columns
    numeric_features = features.dtypes[features.dtypes != 'object'].index
    features[numeric_features] = features[numeric_features].apply(
        lambda x: (x - x.mean()) / (x.std()))
    # Replace NAN numerical features by 0
    features[numeric_features] = features[numeric_features].fillna(0)
    # Replace discrete features by one-hot encoding.
    features = pd.get_dummies(features, dummy_na=True)
    # Save preprocessed features
    self.train = features[:self.raw_train.shape[0]].copy()
    self.train[label] = self.raw_train[label]
    self.val = features[self.raw_train.shape[0]:].copy()
```

You can see that this conversion increases the number of features from 79 to 331 (excluding ID and label columns).

data.preprocess()
data.train.shape

(1460, 332)

5.7.5 Error Measure

To get started we will train a linear model with squared loss. Not surprisingly, our linear model will not lead to a competition-winning submission but it provides a sanity check to see whether there is meaningful information in the data. If we cannot do better than random guessing here, then there might be a good chance that we have a data processing bug. And if things work, the linear model will serve as a baseline giving us some intuition about how close the simple model gets to the best reported models, giving us a sense of how much gain we should expect from fancier models.

With house prices, as with stock prices, we care about relative quantities more than absolute quantities. Thus we tend to care more about the relative error $\frac{y-\hat{y}}{y}$ than about the absolute error $y - \hat{y}$. For instance, if our prediction is off by USD 100,000 when estimating the price of a house in Rural Ohio, where the value of a typical house is 125,000 USD, then we are probably doing a horrible job. On the other hand, if we err by this amount in Los Altos Hills, California, this might represent a stunningly accurate prediction (there, the median house price exceeds 4 million USD).

One way to address this problem is to measure the discrepancy in the logarithm of the price estimates. In fact, this is also the official error measure used by the competition to evaluate the quality of submissions. After all, a small value δ for $|\log y - \log \hat{y}| \le \delta$ translates into $e^{-\delta} \le \frac{\hat{y}}{y} \le e^{\delta}$. This leads to the following root-mean-squared-error between the logarithm of the predicted price and the logarithm of the label price:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log y_i - \log \hat{y}_i\right)^2}.$$
(5.7.2)

5.7.6 K-Fold Cross-Validation

You might recall that we introduced cross-validation in Section 3.6.3, where we discussed how to deal with model selection. We will put this to good use to select the model design and to adjust the hyperparameters. We first need a function that returns the i^{th} fold of the data in a K-fold cross-validation procedure. It proceeds by slicing out the i^{th} segment as validation data and returning the rest as training data. Note that this is not the most efficient way of handling data and we would definitely do something much smarter if our dataset was considerably larger. But this added complexity might obfuscate our code unnecessarily so we can safely omit it here owing to the simplicity of our problem.

The average validation error is returned when we train K times in the K-fold cross-validation.

```
def k_fold(trainer, data, k, lr):
    val_loss, models = [], []
    for i, data_fold in enumerate(k_fold_data(data, k)):
        model = d2l.LinearRegression(lr)
        model.board.yscale='log'
        if i != 0: model.board.display = False
        trainer.fit(model, data_fold)
        val_loss.append(float(model.board.data['val_loss'][-1].y))
        models.append(model)
    print(f'average validation log mse = {sum(val_loss)/len(val_loss)}')
    return models
```

5.7.7 Model Selection

In this example, we pick an untuned set of hyperparameters and leave it up to the reader to improve the model. Finding a good choice can take time, depending on how many variables one optimizes over. With a large enough dataset, and the normal sorts of hyperparameters, K-fold cross-validation tends to be reasonably resilient against multiple testing. However, if we try an unreasonably large number of options we might just get lucky and find that our validation performance is no longer representative of the true error.

```
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10)
models = k_fold(trainer, data, k=5, lr=0.01)
```

average validation log mse = 0.1260703319311142



Notice that sometimes the number of training errors for a set of hyperparameters can be very low, even as the number of errors on K-fold cross-validation is considerably higher. This indicates that we are overfitting. Throughout training you will want to monitor both numbers. Less overfitting might indicate that our data can support a more powerful model. Massive overfitting might suggest that we can gain by incorporating regularization techniques.

5.7.8 Submitting Predictions on Kaggle

Now that we know what a good choice of hyperparameters should be, we might calculate the average predictions on the test set by all the K models. Saving the predictions in a csv file will simplify uploading the results to Kaggle. The following code will generate a file called submission.csv.

Next, as demonstrated in Fig.5.7.3, we can submit our predictions on Kaggle and see how they compare with the actual house prices (labels) on the test set. The steps are quite simple:

- Log in to the Kaggle website and visit the house price prediction competition page.
- Click the "Submit Predictions" or "Late Submission" button (as of this writing, the button is located on the right).
- Click the "Upload Submission File" button in the dashed box at the bottom of the page and select the prediction file you wish to upload.
- Click the "Make Submission" button at the bottom of the page to view your results.

5.7.9 Summary

- Real data often contains a mix of different data types and need to be preprocessed.
- Rescaling real-valued data to zero mean and unit variance is a good default. So is replacing missing values with their mean.

Step 1 Upload submission file	Upload Submission File				
	File Format Your submission should be in CSV format. You can upload this in a zip/gz/rar/7z archive, if you prefer.	Number of Predictions We expect the solution file to have 1459 prediction rows. This file should have a header row. Please see sample submission file on the data page.			
Step 2 Describe submission	B / % 66 ¢ ⊠ ⊨ ≡ B Briefly describe your submission.	Ⅰ 🛏 │ つ C			
	Make Submission				

Figure 5.7.3 Submitting data to Kaggle

- Transforming categorical features into indicator features allows us to treat them like onehot vectors.
- We can use K-fold cross-validation to select the model and adjust the hyperparameters.
- Logarithms are useful for relative errors.

Exercises

- 1. Submit your predictions for this section to Kaggle. How good are your predictions?
- 2. Is it always a good idea to replace missing values by their mean? Hint: can you construct a situation where the values are not missing at random?
- 3. Improve the score on Kaggle by tuning the hyperparameters through K-fold cross-validation.
- 4. Improve the score by improving the model (e.g., layers, weight decay, and dropout).
- 5. What happens if we do not standardize the continuous numerical features like what we have done in this section?

Discussions¹⁰⁶



Builders Guide

Alongside giant datasets and powerful hardware, great software tools have played an indispensable role in the rapid progress of deep learning. Starting with the pathbreaking Theano library released in 2007, flexible open-source tools have enabled researchers to rapidly prototype models, avoiding repetitive work when recycling standard components while still maintaining the ability to make low-level modifications. Over time, deep learning's libraries have evolved to offer increasingly coarse abstractions. Just as semiconductor designers went from specifying transistors to logical circuits to writing code, neural networks researchers have moved from thinking about the behavior of individual artificial neurons to conceiving of networks in terms of whole layers, and now often design architectures with far coarser *blocks* in mind.

So far, we have introduced some basic machine learning concepts, ramping up to fullyfunctional deep learning models. In the last chapter, we implemented each component of an MLP from scratch and even showed how to leverage high-level APIs to roll out the same models effortlessly. To get you that far that fast, we *called upon* the libraries, but skipped over more advanced details about *how they work*. In this chapter, we will peel back the curtain, digging deeper into the key components of deep learning computation, namely model construction, parameter access and initialization, designing custom layers and blocks, reading and writing models to disk, and leveraging GPUs to achieve dramatic speedups. These insights will move you from *end user* to *power user*, giving you the tools needed to reap the benefits of a mature deep learning library while retaining the flexibility to implement more complex models, including those you invent yourself! While this chapter does not introduce any new models or datasets, the advanced modeling chapters that follow rely heavily on these techniques.

6.1 Layers and Modules

When we first introduced neural networks, we focused on linear models with a single output. Here, the entire model consists of just a single neuron. Note that a single neuron (i) takes some set of inputs; (ii) generates a corresponding scalar output; and (iii) has a set of associated parameters that can be updated to optimize some objective function of interest. Then, once we started thinking about networks with multiple outputs, we leveraged vectorized arithmetic to characterize an entire layer of neurons. Just like individual neurons, layers (i) take a set of inputs, (ii) generate corresponding outputs, and (iii) are described by a set of tunable parameters. When we worked through softmax regression, a single layer was itself the model. However, even when we subsequently introduced MLPs, we could still think of the model as retaining this same basic structure.

Interestingly, for MLPs, both the entire model and its constituent layers share this structure. The entire model takes in raw inputs (the features), generates outputs (the predictions), and possesses parameters (the combined parameters from all constituent layers). Likewise, each individual layer ingests inputs (supplied by the previous layer) generates outputs (the inputs to the subsequent layer), and possesses a set of tunable parameters that are updated according to the signal that flows backwards from the subsequent layer.

While you might think that neurons, layers, and models give us enough abstractions to go about our business, it turns out that we often find it convenient to speak about components that are larger than an individual layer but smaller than the entire model. For example, the ResNet-152 architecture, which is wildly popular in computer vision, possesses hundreds of layers. These layers consist of repeating patterns of *groups of layers*. Implementing such a network one layer at a time can grow tedious. This concern is not just hypothetical—such design patterns are common in practice. The ResNet architecture mentioned above won the 2015 ImageNet and COCO computer vision competitions for both recognition and detection (He *et al.*, 2016) and remains a go-to architecture for many vision tasks. Similar architectures in which layers are arranged in various repeating patterns are now ubiquitous in other domains, including natural language processing and speech.

To implement these complex networks, we introduce the concept of a neural network *mod-ule*. A module could describe a single layer, a component consisting of multiple layers, or the entire model itself! One benefit of working with the module abstraction is that they can be combined into larger artifacts, often recursively. This is illustrated in Fig.6.1.1. By defining code to generate modules of arbitrary complexity on demand, we can write surprisingly compact code and still implement complex neural networks.



Figure 6.1.1 Multiple layers are combined into modules, forming repeating patterns of larger models.

From a programming standpoint, a module is represented by a *class*. Any subclass of it must define a forward propagation method that transforms its input into output and must store any necessary parameters. Note that some modules do not require any parameters at all. Finally a module must possess a backpropagation method, for purposes of calculating gradients. Fortunately, due to some behind-the-scenes magic supplied by the auto differentiation (introduced in Section 2.5) when defining our own module, we only need to worry about parameters and the forward propagation method.

To begin, we revisit the code that we used to implement MLPs (Section 5.1). The following code generates a network with one fully connected hidden layer with 256 units and ReLU activation, followed by a fully connected output layer with 10 units (no activation function).

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(256, activation='relu'))
net.add(nn.Dense(10))
net.initialize()
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 20))
net(X).shape
```

(2, 10)

In this example, we constructed our model by instantiating an nn. Sequential, assigning the returned object to the net variable. Next, we repeatedly call its add method, appending layers in the order that they should be executed. In short, nn. Sequential defines a special kind of Block, the class that presents a *module* in Gluon. It maintains an ordered list of constituent Blocks. The add method simply facilitates the addition of each successive Block to the list. Note that each layer is an instance of the Dense class which is itself a subclass of Block. The forward propagation (forward) method is also remarkably simple: it chains each Block in the list together, passing the output of each as input to the next. Note that until now, we have been invoking our models via the construction net(X) to obtain their outputs. This is actually just shorthand for net.forward(X), a slick Python trick achieved via the Block class's __call__ method.

6.1.1 A Custom Module

Perhaps the easiest way to develop intuition about how a module works is to implement one ourselves. Before we implement our own custom module, we briefly summarize the basic functionality that each module must provide:

- 1. Ingest input data as arguments to its forward propagation method.
- 2. Generate an output by having the forward propagation method return a value. Note that the output may have a different shape from the input. For example, the first fully connected layer in our model above ingests an input of arbitrary dimension but returns an output of dimension 256.
- 3. Calculate the gradient of its output with respect to its input, which can be accessed via its backpropagation method. Typically this happens automatically.
- 4. Store and provide access to those parameters necessary to execute the forward propagation computation.
- 5. Initialize model parameters as needed.

In the following snippet, we code up a module from scratch corresponding to an MLP with one hidden layer with 256 hidden units, and a 10-dimensional output layer. Note that the MLP class below inherits the class that represents a module. We will heavily rely on the parent class's methods, supplying only our own constructor (the __init__ method in Python) and the forward propagation method.

```
class MLP(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self):
        # Call the constructor of the MLP parent class nn.Block to perform
        # the necessary initialization
        super().__init__()
        self.hidden = nn.Dense(256, activation='relu')
        self.out = nn.Dense(10)
    # Define the forward propagation of the model, that is, how to return the
    # required model output based on the input X
    def forward(self, X):
        return self.out(self.hidden(X))
```

Let's first focus on the forward propagation method. Note that it takes X as input, calculates the hidden representation with the activation function applied, and outputs its logits. In this MLP implementation, both layers are instance variables. To see why this is reasonable, imagine instantiating two MLPs, net1 and net2, and training them on different data. Naturally, we would expect them to represent two different learned models.

We instantiate the MLP's layers in the constructor and subsequently invoke these layers on each call to the forward propagation method. Note a few key details. First, our customized ___init__ method invokes the parent class's __init__ method via super().__init__() sparing us the pain of restating boilerplate code applicable to most modules. We then instantiate our two fully connected layers, assigning them to self.hidden and self.out. Note that unless we implement a new layer, we need not worry about the backpropagation method or parameter initialization. The system will generate these methods automatically. Let's try this out.

net = MLP()
net.initialize()
net(X).shape

(2, 10)

A key virtue of the module abstraction is its versatility. We can subclass a module to create layers (such as the fully connected layer class), entire models (such as the MLP class above), or various components of intermediate complexity. We exploit this versatility throughout the following chapters, such as when addressing convolutional neural networks.

6.1.2 The Sequential Module

We can now take a closer look at how the Sequential class works. Recall that Sequential was designed to daisy-chain other modules together. To build our own simplified MySequential, we just need to define two key methods: 1. A method to append modules one by one to a list. 2. A forward propagation method to pass an input through the chain of modules, in the same order as they were appended.

The following MySequential class delivers the same functionality of the default Sequential class.

The add method adds a single block to the ordered dictionary _children. You might wonder why every Gluon Block possesses a _children attribute and why we used it rather than just define a Python list ourselves. In short the chief advantage of _children is that during our block's parameter initialization, Gluon knows to look inside the _children dictionary to find sub-blocks whose parameters also need to be initialized.

When our MySequential's forward propagation method is invoked, each added module is executed in the order in which they were added. We can now reimplement an MLP using our MySequential class.

```
net = MySequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(256, activation='relu'))
net.add(nn.Dense(10))
net.initialize()
net(X).shape
```

(2, 10)

Note that this use of MySequential is identical to the code we previously wrote for the Sequential class (as described in Section 5.1).

6.1.3 Executing Code in the Forward Propagation Method

The Sequential class makes model construction easy, allowing us to assemble new architectures without having to define our own class. However, not all architectures are simple daisy chains. When greater flexibility is required, we will want to define our own blocks. For example, we might want to execute Python's control flow within the forward propagation method. Moreover, we might want to perform arbitrary mathematical operations, not simply relying on predefined neural network layers.

You might have noticed that until now, all of the operations in our networks have acted upon our network's activations and its parameters. Sometimes, however, we might want to incorporate terms that are neither the result of previous layers nor updatable parameters. We call these *constant parameters*. Say for example that we want a layer that calculates the function $f(x, w) = c \cdot w^{\top} x$, where x is the input, w is our parameter, and c is some specified constant that is not updated during optimization. So we implement a FixedHiddenMLP class as follows.

```
class FixedHiddenMLP(nn.Block):
   def __init__(self):
       super().__init__()
       # Random weight parameters created with the `get_constant` method
        # are not updated during training (i.e., constant parameters)
       self.rand_weight = self.params.get_constant(
            'rand_weight', np.random.uniform(size=(20, 20)))
        self.dense = nn.Dense(20, activation='relu')
    def forward(self, X):
       X = self.dense(X)
       # Use the created constant parameters, as well as the `relu` and `dot`
       # functions
       X = npx.relu(np.dot(X, self.rand_weight.data()) + 1)
       # Reuse the fully connected layer. This is equivalent to sharing
       # parameters with two fully connected layers
       X = self.dense(X)
       # Control flow
       while np.abs(X).sum() > 1:
           X /= 2
        return X.sum()
```

In this FixedHiddenMLP model, we implement a hidden layer whose weights (self.rand_weight) are initialized randomly at instantiation and are thereafter constant. This weight is not a model parameter and thus it is never updated by backpropagation. The network then passes the output of this "fixed" layer through a fully connected layer.

Note that before returning the output, our model did something unusual. We ran a while-loop, testing on the condition its ℓ_1 norm is larger than 1, and dividing our output vector by 2 until it satisfied the condition. Finally, we returned the sum of the entries in X. To our knowledge, no standard neural network performs this operation. Note that this particular operation may

not be useful in any real-world task. Our point is only to show you how to integrate arbitrary code into the flow of your neural network computations.

```
net = FixedHiddenMLP()
net.initialize()
net(X)
```

array(0.52637565)

We can mix and match various ways of assembling modules together. In the following example, we nest modules in some creative ways.

array(0.9772054)

6.1.4 Summary

- Layers are modules.
- Many layers can comprise a module.
- Many modules can comprise a module.
- A module can contain code.
- Modules take care of lots of housekeeping, including parameter initialization and backpropagation.
- Sequential concatenations of layers and modules are handled by the Sequential module.

Exercises

- 1. What kinds of problems will occur if you change MySequential to store modules in a Python list?
- 2. Implement a module that takes two modules as an argument, say net1 and net2 and returns the concatenated output of both networks in the forward propagation. This is also called a parallel module.
- 3. Assume that you want to concatenate multiple instances of the same network. Implement a factory function that generates multiple instances of the same module and build a larger network from it.



Discussions¹⁰⁷

6.2 Parameter Management

Once we have chosen an architecture and set our hyperparameters, we proceed to the training loop, where our goal is to find parameter values that minimize our loss function. After training, we will need these parameters in order to make future predictions. Additionally, we will sometimes wish to extract the parameters either to reuse them in some other context, to save our model to disk so that it may be executed in other software, or for examination in the hope of gaining scientific understanding.

Most of the time, we will be able to ignore the nitty-gritty details of how parameters are declared and manipulated, relying on deep learning frameworks to do the heavy lifting. However, when we move away from stacked architectures with standard layers, we will sometimes need to get into the weeds of declaring and manipulating parameters. In this section, we cover the following:

- Accessing parameters for debugging, diagnostics, and visualizations.
- Sharing parameters across different model components.

We start by focusing on an MLP with one hidden layer.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(8, activation='relu'))
net.add(nn.Dense(1))
net.initialize()  # Use the default initialization method
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 4))
net(X).shape
```

(2, 1)

6.2.1 Parameter Access

Let's start with how to access parameters from the models that you already know. When a model is defined via the Sequential class, we can first access any layer by indexing into the model as though it were a list. Each layer's parameters are conveniently located in its attribute. We can inspect the parameters of the second fully connected layer as follows.

```
net[1].params
```

```
dense1_ (
  Parameter dense1_weight (shape=(1, 8), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_bias (shape=(1,), dtype=float32)
)
```

We can see that this fully connected layer contains two parameters, corresponding to that layer's weights and biases, respectively.

Targeted Parameters

Note that each parameter is represented as an instance of the parameter class. To do anything useful with the parameters, we first need to access the underlying numerical values. There are several ways to do this. Some are simpler while others are more general. The following code extracts the bias from the second neural network layer, which returns a parameter class instance, and further accesses that parameter's value.

type(net[1].bias), net[1].bias.data()

(mxnet.gluon.parameter.Parameter, array([0.]))

Parameters are complex objects, containing values, gradients, and additional information. That's why we need to request the value explicitly.

In addition to the value, each parameter also allows us to access the gradient. Because we have not invoked backpropagation for this network yet, it is in its initial state.

```
net[1].weight.grad()
```

array([[0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.]])

All Parameters at Once

When we need to perform operations on all parameters, accessing them one-by-one can grow tedious. The situation can grow especially unwieldy when we work with more complex modules (e.g., nested modules), since we would need to recurse through the entire tree to extract each sub-module's parameters. Below we demonstrate accessing the parameters of all layers.

net.collect_params()

```
sequential0_ (
  Parameter dense0_weight (shape=(8, 4), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense0_bias (shape=(8,), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_weight (shape=(1, 8), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_bias (shape=(1,), dtype=float32)
)
```

6.2.2 Tied Parameters

Often, we want to share parameters across multiple layers. Let's see how to do this elegantly. In the following we allocate a fully connected layer and then use its parameters specifically to set those of another layer. Here we need to run the forward propagation net(X) before accessing the parameters.

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
nn.Dense(8, activation='relu', params=shared.params),
nn.Dense(10))
net.initialize()
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 20))
net(X)
# Check whether the parameters are the same
print(net[1].weight.data()[0] == net[2].weight.data()[0])
net[1].weight.data()[0, 0] = 100
# Make sure that they are actually the same object rather than just having the
# same value
print(net[1].weight.data()[0] == net[2].weight.data()[0])
```

[True True True True True True True] [True True True True True True True]

This example shows that the parameters of the second and third layer are tied. They are not just equal, they are represented by the same exact tensor. Thus, if we change one of the parameters, the other one changes, too. You might wonder, when parameters are tied what happens to the gradients? Since the model parameters contain gradients, the gradients of the second hidden layer and the third hidden layer are added together during backpropagation.

6.2.3 Summary

We have several ways to access and tie model parameters.

Exercises

- 1. Use the NestMLP model defined in Section 6.1 and access the parameters of the various layers.
- 2. Construct an MLP containing a shared parameter layer and train it. During the training process, observe the model parameters and gradients of each layer.
- 3. Why is sharing parameters a good idea?



Discussions¹⁰⁸

6.3 Parameter Initialization

Now that we know how to access the parameters, let's look at how to initialize them properly. We discussed the need for proper initialization in Section 5.4. The deep learning framework provides default random initializations to its layers. However, we often want to initialize our weights according to various other protocols. The framework provides most commonly used protocols, and also allows to create a custom initializer.

By default, MXNet initializes weight parameters by randomly drawing from a uniform distribution U(-0.07, 0.07), clearing bias parameters to zero. MXNet's init module provides a variety of preset initialization methods.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(8, activation='relu'))
net.add(nn.Dense(1))
net.initialize()  # Use the default initialization method
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 4))
net(X).shape
```

(2, 1)

6.3.1 Built-in Initialization

Let's begin by calling on built-in initializers. The code below initializes all weight parameters as Gaussian random variables with standard deviation 0.01, while bias parameters cleared to zero.

```
# Here `force_reinit` ensures that parameters are freshly initialized even if
# they were already initialized previously
net.initialize(init=init.Normal(sigma=0.01), force_reinit=True)
net[0].weight.data()[0]
```

array([0.00354961, -0.00614133, 0.0107317, 0.01830765])

We can also initialize all the parameters to a given constant value (say, 1).

```
net.initialize(init=init.Constant(1), force_reinit=True)
net[0].weight.data()[0]
```

array([1., 1., 1., 1.])

We can also apply different initializers for certain blocks. For example, below we initialize the first layer with the Xavier initializer and initialize the second layer to a constant value of 42.

```
net[0].weight.initialize(init=init.Xavier(), force_reinit=True)
net[1].initialize(init=init.Constant(42), force_reinit=True)
print(net[0].weight.data()[0])
print(net[1].weight.data())
```

[-0.26102373 0.15249556 -0.19274211 -0.24742058] [[42. 42. 42. 42. 42. 42. 42. 42.]]

Custom Initialization

Sometimes, the initialization methods we need are not provided by the deep learning framework. In the example below, we define an initializer for any weight parameter w using the
following strange distribution:

$$w \sim \begin{cases} U(5,10) & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ U(-10,-5) & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$$
(6.3.1)

Here we define a subclass of the Initializer class. Usually, we only need to implement the _init_weight function which takes a tensor argument (data) and assigns to it the desired initialized values.

```
class MyInit(init.Initializer):
    def __init_weight(self, name, data):
        print('Init', name, data.shape)
        data[:] = np.random.uniform(-10, 10, data.shape)
        data *= np.abs(data) >= 5
net.initialize(MyInit(), force_reinit=True)
net[0].weight.data()[:2]
```

Init dense0_weight (8, 4)
Init dense1_weight (1, 8)

array([[-6.0683527, 8.991421, -0., 0.], [6.4198647, -9.728567, -8.057975, 0.]])

Note that we always have the option of setting parameters directly.

```
net[0].weight.data()[:] += 1
net[0].weight.data()[0, 0] = 42
net[0].weight.data()[0]
```

array([42. , 9.991421, 1. , 1.])

6.3.2 Summary

We can initialize parameters using built-in and custom initializers.

Exercises

Look up the online documentation for more built-in initializers.



Discussions¹⁰⁹

6.4 Lazy Initialization

So far, it might seem that we got away with being sloppy in setting up our networks. Specifically, we did the following unintuitive things, which might not seem like they should work:

- We defined the network architectures without specifying the input dimensionality.
- We added layers without specifying the output dimension of the previous layer.

• We even "initialized" these parameters before providing enough information to determine how many parameters our models should contain.

You might be surprised that our code runs at all. After all, there is no way the deep learning framework could tell what the input dimensionality of a network would be. The trick here is that the framework *defers initialization*, waiting until the first time we pass data through the model, to infer the sizes of each layer on the fly.

Later on, when working with convolutional neural networks, this technique will become even more convenient since the input dimensionality (i.e., the resolution of an image) will affect the dimensionality of each subsequent layer. Hence, the ability to set parameters without the need to know, at the time of writing the code, what the dimensionality is can greatly simplify the task of specifying and subsequently modifying our models. Next, we go deeper into the mechanics of initialization.

To begin, let's instantiate an MLP.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(256, activation='relu'))
net.add(nn.Dense(10))
```

At this point, the network cannot possibly know the dimensions of the input layer's weights because the input dimension remains unknown. Consequently the framework has not yet initialized any parameters. We confirm by attempting to access the parameters below.

```
print(net.collect_params)
print(net.collect_params())
```

```
<bound method Block.collect_params of Sequential(
  (0): Dense(-1 -> 256, Activation(relu))
  (1): Dense(-1 -> 10, linear)
)>
sequential0_ (
  Parameter dense0_weight (shape=(256, -1), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense0_bias (shape=(256,), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_weight (shape=(10, -1), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_bias (shape=(10,), dtype=float32)
)
```

Note that while the parameter objects exist, the input dimension to each layer is listed as -1. MXNet uses the special value -1 to indicate that the parameter dimension remains unknown. At this point, attempts to access net[0].weight.data() would trigger a runtime error stating that the network must be initialized before the parameters can be accessed. Now let's see what happens when we attempt to initialize parameters via the initialize method.

```
net.initialize()
net.collect_params()
```

```
sequential0_ (
  Parameter dense0_weight (shape=(256, -1), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense0_bias (shape=(256,), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_weight (shape=(10, -1), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_bias (shape=(10,), dtype=float32)
)
```

As we can see, nothing has changed. When input dimensions are unknown, calls to initialize do not truly initialize the parameters. Instead, this call registers to MXNet that we wish (and optionally, according to which distribution) to initialize the parameters.

Next let's pass data through the network to make the framework finally initialize parameters.

```
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 20))
net(X)
```

```
net.collect_params()
```

```
sequential0_ (
  Parameter dense0_weight (shape=(256, 20), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense0_bias (shape=(256,), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_weight (shape=(10, 256), dtype=float32)
  Parameter dense1_bias (shape=(10,), dtype=float32)
)
```

As soon as we know the input dimensionality, 20, the framework can identify the shape of the first layer's weight matrix by plugging in the value of 20. Having recognized the first layer's shape, the framework proceeds to the second layer, and so on through the computational graph until all shapes are known. Note that in this case, only the first layer requires lazy initialization, but the framework initializes sequentially. Once all parameter shapes are known, the framework can finally initialize the parameters.

6.4.1 Summary

- Lazy initialization can be convenient, allowing the framework to infer parameter shapes automatically, making it easy to modify architectures and eliminating one common source of errors.
- We can pass data through the model to make the framework finally initialize parameters.

Exercises

- 1. What happens if you specify the input dimensions to the first layer but not to subsequent layers? Do you get immediate initialization?
- 2. What happens if you specify mismatching dimensions?
- What would you need to do if you have input of varying dimensionality? Hint: look at the parameter tying.

Discussions¹¹⁰

6.5 Custom Layers

One factor behind deep learning's success is the availability of a wide range of layers that can be composed in creative ways to design architectures suitable for a wide variety of tasks. For instance, researchers have invented layers specifically for handling images, text, looping over sequential data, and performing dynamic programming. Sooner or later, you will encounter



or invent a layer that does not exist yet in the deep learning framework. In these cases, you must build a custom layer. In this section, we show you how.

6.5.1 Layers without Parameters

To start, we construct a custom layer that does not have any parameters of its own. This should look familiar if you recall our introduction to module in Section 6.1. The following CenteredLayer class simply subtracts the mean from its input. To build it, we simply need to inherit from the base layer class and implement the forward propagation function.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
class CenteredLayer(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, **kwargs):
        super().__init__(**kwargs)
    def forward(self, X):
        return X - X.mean()
```

Let's verify that our layer works as intended by feeding some data through it.

layer = CenteredLayer()
layer(np.array([1.0, 2, 3, 4, 5]))

array([-2., -1., 0., 1., 2.])

We can now incorporate our layer as a component in constructing more complex models.

```
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(128), CenteredLayer())
net.initialize()
```

As an extra sanity check, we can send random data through the network and check that the mean is in fact 0. Because we are dealing with floating point numbers, we may still see a very small nonzero number due to quantization.

```
Y = net(np.random.rand(4, 8))
Y.mean()
```

array(3.783498e-10)

6.5.2 Layers with Parameters

Now that we know how to define simple layers, let's move on to defining layers with parameters that can be adjusted through training. We can use built-in functions to create parameters, which provide some basic housekeeping functionality. In particular, they govern access, initialization, sharing, saving, and loading model parameters. This way, among other benefits, we will not need to write custom serialization routines for every custom layer. Now let's implement our own version of the fully connected layer. Recall that this layer requires two parameters, one to represent the weight and the other for the bias. In this implementation, we bake in the ReLU activation as a default. This layer requires two input arguments: in_units and units, which denote the number of inputs and outputs, respectively.

```
class MyDense(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, units, in_units, **kwargs):
        super().__init__(**kwargs)
        self.weight = self.params.get('weight', shape=(in_units, units))
        self.bias = self.params.get('bias', shape=(units,))
    def forward(self, x):
        linear = np.dot(x, self.weight.data(ctx=x.ctx)) + self.bias.data(
            ctx=x.ctx)
        return npx.relu(linear)
```

Next, we instantiate the MyDense class and access its model parameters.

```
dense = MyDense(units=3, in_units=5)
dense.params
```

```
mydense0_ (
   Parameter mydense0_weight (shape=(5, 3), dtype=<class 'numpy.float32'>)
   Parameter mydense0_bias (shape=(3,), dtype=<class 'numpy.float32'>)
)
```

We can directly carry out forward propagation calculations using custom layers.

```
dense.initialize()
dense(np.random.uniform(size=(2, 5)))
```

array([[0. , 0.01633355, 0.], [0. , 0.01581812, 0.]])

We can also construct models using custom layers. Once we have that we can use it just like the built-in fully connected layer.

array([[0.06508517], [0.0615553]])

6.5.3 Summary

- We can design custom layers via the basic layer class. This allows us to define flexible new layers that behave differently from any existing layers in the library.
- Once defined, custom layers can be invoked in arbitrary contexts and architectures.
- Layers can have local parameters, which can be created through built-in functions.

Exercises

- 1. Design a layer that takes an input and computes a tensor reduction, i.e., it returns $y_k = \sum_{i,j} W_{ijk} x_i x_j$.
- 2. Design a layer that returns the leading half of the Fourier coefficients of the data.

Discussions¹¹¹



6.6 File I/O

So far we discussed how to process data and how to build, train, and test deep learning models. However, at some point, we will hopefully be happy enough with the learned models that we will want to save the results for later use in various contexts (perhaps even to make predictions in deployment). Additionally, when running a long training process, the best practice is to periodically save intermediate results (checkpointing) to ensure that we do not lose several days worth of computation if we trip over the power cord of our server. Thus it is time to learn how to load and store both individual weight vectors and entire models. This section addresses both issues.

6.6.1 Loading and Saving Tensors

For individual tensors, we can directly invoke the load and save functions to read and write them respectively. Both functions require that we supply a name, and save requires as input the variable to be saved.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
x = np.arange(4)
npx.save('x-file', x)
```

We can now read the data from the stored file back into memory.

```
x2 = npx.load('x-file')
x2
```

[array([0., 1., 2., 3.])]

We can store a list of tensors and read them back into memory.

```
y = np.zeros(4)
npx.save('x-files', [x, y])
x2, y2 = npx.load('x-files')
(x2, y2)
```

(array([0., 1., 2., 3.]), array([0., 0., 0., 0.]))

We can even write and read a dictionary that maps from strings to tensors. This is convenient when we want to read or write all the weights in a model.

```
mydict = {'x': x, 'y': y}
npx.save('mydict', mydict)
mydict2 = npx.load('mydict')
mydict2
```

{'x': array([0., 1., 2., 3.]), 'y': array([0., 0., 0., 0.])}

6.6.2 Loading and Saving Model Parameters

Saving individual weight vectors (or other tensors) is useful, but it gets very tedious if we want to save (and later load) an entire model. After all, we might have hundreds of parameter groups sprinkled throughout. For this reason the deep learning framework provides built-in functionalities to load and save entire networks. An important detail to note is that this saves model *parameters* and not the entire model. For example, if we have a 3-layer MLP, we need to specify the architecture separately. The reason for this is that the models themselves can contain arbitrary code, hence they cannot be serialized as naturally. Thus, in order to reinstate a model, we need to generate the architecture in code and then load the parameters from disk. Let's start with our familiar MLP.

```
class MLP(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, **kwargs):
        super(MLP, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.hidden = nn.Dense(256, activation='relu')
        self.output = nn.Dense(10)
    def forward(self, x):
        return self.output(self.hidden(x))
net = MLP()
net.initialize()
X = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 20))
Y = net(X)
```

Next, we store the parameters of the model as a file with the name "mlp.params".

```
net.save_parameters('mlp.params')
```

To recover the model, we instantiate a clone of the original MLP model. Instead of randomly initializing the model parameters, we read the parameters stored in the file directly.

```
clone = MLP()
clone.load_parameters('mlp.params')
```

Since both instances have the same model parameters, the computational result of the same input X should be the same. Let's verify this.

```
Y_clone = clone(X)
Y_clone == Y
```

array([[True, True, True, True, True, True, True, True, True], [True, True, True, True, True, True, True, True, True]])

- The save and load functions can be used to perform file I/O for tensor objects.
- We can save and load the entire sets of parameters for a network via a parameter dictionary.
- Saving the architecture has to be done in code rather than in parameters.

Exercises

- 1. Even if there is no need to deploy trained models to a different device, what are the practical benefits of storing model parameters?
- 2. Assume that we want to reuse only parts of a network to be incorporated into a network of a different architecture. How would you go about using, say the first two layers from a previous network in a new network?
- 3. How would you go about saving the network architecture and parameters? What restrictions would you impose on the architecture?

Discussions¹¹²

6.7 GPUs



In Chapter 1.5, we discussed the rapid growth of computation over the past two decades. In a nutshell, GPU performance has increased by a factor of 1000 every decade since 2000. This offers great opportunities but it also suggests a significant need to provide such performance.

In this section, we begin to discuss how to harness this computational performance for your research. First by using single GPUs and at a later point, how to use multiple GPUs and multiple servers (with multiple GPUs).

Specifically, we will discuss how to use a single NVIDIA GPU for calculations. First, make sure you have at least one NVIDIA GPU installed. Then, download the NVIDIA driver and CUDA¹¹³ and follow the prompts to set the appropriate path. Once these preparations are complete, the nvidia-smi command can be used to view the graphics card information.



!nvidia-smi

NVID	IA-SMI	460.1	06.00	Driver	Version:	460.106.00	CUDA Versi	on: 11.2
GPU Fan	Name Temp	Perf	Persist Pwr:Usa	tence-M age/Cap	Bus-Id	Disp./ Memory-Usage	A Volatile e GPU-Util	e Uncorr. ECC . Compute M. MIG M.
0 0 N/A	Tesla 45C	V100- P0	-SXM2 51W /	Off / 300W	 00000000 1760M	0:00:1B.0 Of iB / 16160Mil	==+======= F B 0%	0 Default N/A
1 N/A	Tesla 45C	V100- P0	-SXM2 50W /	Off / 300W	0000000 3M	0:00:1C.0 Of iB / 16160Mil	F 3 0%	0 Default N/A

⁽continues on next page)

GPUs

(continued from previous page) Tesla V100-SXM2... Off | 00000000:00:1D.0 Off | 0 2 55W / 300W | N/A 49C P0 694MiB / 16160MiB 5% Default N/A Tesla V100-SXM2... Off | 00000000:00:1E.0 Off | 0 3 N/A 58C P0 48W / 300W | 3MiB / 16160MiB 0% Default N/A Processes: GPU GI CI PID Type Process name GPU Memory ID ID Usage _____ 2 N/A N/A 113257 С ...l-en-release-0/bin/python 697MiB

You might have noticed that a MXNet tensor looks almost identical to a NumPy ndarray. But there are a few crucial differences. One of the key features that distinguishes MXNet from NumPy is its support for diverse hardware devices.

In MXNet, every array has a context. So far, by default, all variables and associated computation have been assigned to the CPU. Typically, other contexts might be various GPUs. Things can get even hairier when we deploy jobs across multiple servers. By assigning arrays to contexts intelligently, we can minimize the time spent transferring data between devices. For example, when training neural networks on a server with a GPU, we typically prefer for the model's parameters to live on the GPU.

Next, we need to confirm that the GPU version of MXNet is installed. If a CPU version of MXNet is already installed, we need to uninstall it first. For example, use the pip uninstall mxnet command, then install the corresponding MXNet version according to your CUDA version. Assuming you have CUDA 10.0 installed, you can install the MXNet version that supports CUDA 10.0 via pip install mxnet-cu100.

To run the programs in this section, you need at least two GPUs. Note that this might be extravagant for most desktop computers but it is easily available in the cloud, e.g., by using the AWS EC2 multi-GPU instances. Almost all other sections do *not* require multiple GPUs. Instead, this is simply to illustrate how data flow between different devices.

6.7.1 Computing Devices

We can specify devices, such as CPUs and GPUs, for storage and calculation. By default, tensors are created in the main memory and then use the CPU to calculate it.

In MXNet, the CPU and GPU can be indicated by cpu() and gpu(). It should be noted that cpu() (or any integer in the parentheses) means all physical CPUs and memory. This means that MXNet's calculations will try to use all CPU cores. However, gpu() only represents one card and the corresponding memory. If there are multiple GPUs, we use gpu(i) to represent the i^{th} GPU (i starts from 0). Also, gpu(\emptyset) and gpu() are equivalent.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

def cpu(): #@save
 return npx.cpu()

225

(continued from previous page)

def gpu(i=0): #@save
 return npx.gpu(i)
cpu(), gpu(), gpu(1)

(cpu(0), gpu(0), gpu(1))

We can query the number of available GPUs.

```
def num_gpus(): #@save
    return npx.num_gpus()
num_gpus()
```

2

Now we define two convenient functions that allow us to run code even if the requested GPUs do not exist.

```
def try_gpu(i=0): #@save
   """Return gpu(i) if exists, otherwise return cpu()."""
   if num_gpus() >= i + 1:
        return gpu(i)
   return cpu()
def try_all_gpus(): #@save
   """Return all available GPUs, or [cpu(),] if no GPU exists."""
   return [gpu(i) for i in range(num_gpus())]
try_gpu(), try_gpu(10), try_all_gpus()
```

(gpu(0), cpu(0), [gpu(0), gpu(1)])

6.7.2 Tensors and GPUs

By default, tensors are created on the CPU. We can query the device where the tensor is located.

x = np.array([1, 2, 3])
x.ctx

cpu(0)

It is important to note that whenever we want to operate on multiple terms, they need to be on the same device. For instance, if we sum two tensors, we need to make sure that both arguments live on the same device—otherwise the framework would not know where to store the result or even how to decide where to perform the computation.

Storage on the GPU

There are several ways to store a tensor on the GPU. For example, we can specify a storage device when creating a tensor. Next, we create the tensor variable X on the first gpu. The tensor created on a GPU only consumes the memory of this GPU. We can use the nvidia-smi command to view GPU memory usage. In general, we need to make sure that we do not create data that exceeds the GPU memory limit.

```
X = np.ones((2, 3), ctx=try_gpu())
Х
```

```
array([[1., 1., 1.],
       [1., 1., 1.]], ctx=gpu(0))
```

Assuming that you have at least two GPUs, the following code will create a random tensor on the second GPU.

```
Y = np.random.uniform(size=(2, 3), ctx=try_gpu(1))
Y
```

```
array([[0.67478997, 0.07540122, 0.9956977 ],
      [0.09488854, 0.415456 , 0.11231736]], ctx=gpu(1))
```

Copying

If we want to compute X + Y, we need to decide where to perform this operation. For instance, as shown in Fig.6.7.1, we can transfer X to the second GPU and perform the operation there. Do not simply add X and Y, since this will result in an exception. The runtime engine would not know what to do: it cannot find data on the same device and it fails. Since Y lives on the second GPU, we need to move X there before we can add the two.



Figure 6.7.1 Copy data to perform an operation on the same device.

```
Z = X.copyto(try_gpu(1))
print(X)
print(Z)
```

```
[[1. 1. 1.]
[1. 1. 1.]] @gpu(0)
[[1. 1. 1.]
[1. 1. 1.]] @gpu(1)
```

Now that the data is on the same GPU (both Z and Y are), we can add them up.

Y + Z

```
array([[1.6747899, 1.0754012, 1.9956977],
       [1.0948886, 1.415456 , 1.1123173]], ctx=gpu(1))
```

Imagine that your variable Z already lives on your second GPU. What happens if we still call Z.copyto(gpu(1))? It will make a copy and allocate new memory, even though that variable already lives on the desired device. There are times where, depending on the environment our code is running in, two variables may already live on the same device. So we want to make a copy only if the variables currently live in different devices. In these cases, we can call as_in_ctx. If the variable already live in the specified device then this is a no-op. Unless you specifically want to make a copy, as_in_ctx is the method of choice.

Z.as_in_ctx(try_gpu(1)) is Z

True

Side Notes

People use GPUs to do machine learning because they expect them to be fast. But transferring variables between devices is slow. So we want you to be 100% certain that you want to do something slow before we let you do it. If the deep learning framework just did the copy automatically without crashing then you might not realize that you had written some slow code.

Also, transferring data between devices (CPU, GPUs, and other machines) is something that is much slower than computation. It also makes parallelization a lot more difficult, since we have to wait for data to be sent (or rather to be received) before we can proceed with more operations. This is why copy operations should be taken with great care. As a rule of thumb, many small operations are much worse than one big operation. Moreover, several operations at a time are much better than many single operations interspersed in the code unless you know what you are doing. This is the case since such operations can block if one device has to wait for the other before it can do something else. It is a bit like ordering your coffee in a queue rather than pre-ordering it by phone and finding out that it is ready when you are.

Last, when we print tensors or convert tensors to the NumPy format, if the data is not in the main memory, the framework will copy it to the main memory first, resulting in additional transmission overhead. Even worse, it is now subject to the dreaded global interpreter lock that makes everything wait for Python to complete.

6.7.3 Neural Networks and GPUs

Similarly, a neural network model can specify devices. The following code puts the model parameters on the GPU.

```
net = nn.Sequential()
net.add(nn.Dense(1))
net.initialize(ctx=try_gpu())
```

We will see many more examples of how to run models on GPUs in the following chapters, simply since they will become somewhat more computationally intensive.

When the input is a tensor on the GPU, the model will calculate the result on the same GPU.

net(X)

```
array([[0.04995865],
[0.04995865]], ctx=gpu(0))
```

Let's confirm that the model parameters are stored on the same GPU.

net[0].weight.data().ctx

gpu(0)

Let the trainer support GPU.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Trainer) #@save
def __init__(self, max_epochs, num_gpus=0, gradient_clip_val=0):
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    self.gpus = [d2l.gpu(i) for i in range(min(num_gpus, d2l.num_gpus()))]
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Trainer) #@save
def prepare_batch(self, batch):
    if self.gpus:
       batch = [a.as_in_context(self.gpus[0]) for a in batch]
    return batch
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Trainer)
                                #@save
def prepare_model(self, model):
   model.trainer = self
   model.board.xlim = [0, self.max_epochs]
    if self.gpus:
        model.collect_params().reset_ctx(self.gpus[0])
        model.set_scratch_params_device(self.gpus[0])
    self.model = model
```

In short, as long as all data and parameters are on the same device, we can learn models efficiently. In the following chapters we will see several such examples.

6.7.4 Summary

- We can specify devices for storage and calculation, such as the CPU or GPU. By default, data is created in the main memory and then uses the CPU for calculations.
- The deep learning framework requires all input data for calculation to be on the same device, be it CPU or the same GPU.
- You can lose significant performance by moving data without care. A typical mistake is as follows: computing the loss for every minibatch on the GPU and reporting it back to the user on the command line (or logging it in a NumPy ndarray) will trigger a global interpreter lock which stalls all GPUs. It is much better to allocate memory for logging inside the GPU and only move larger logs.

Exercises

- 1. Try a larger computation task, such as the multiplication of large matrices, and see the difference in speed between the CPU and GPU. What about a task with a small amount of calculations?
- 2. How should we read and write model parameters on the GPU?
- 3. Measure the time it takes to compute 1000 matrix-matrix multiplications of 100×100 matrices and log the Frobenius norm of the output matrix one result at a time vs. keeping a log on the GPU and transferring only the final result.
- 4. Measure how much time it takes to perform two matrix-matrix multiplications on two GPUs at the same time vs. in sequence on one GPU. Hint: you should see almost linear scaling.

Discussions¹¹⁴



Image data is represented as a two-dimensional grid of pixels, be it monochromatic or in color. Accordingly each pixel corresponds to one or multiple numerical values respectively. So far we ignored this rich structure and treated them as vectors of numbers by *flattening* the images, irrespective of the spatial relation between pixels. This deeply unsatisfying approach was necessary in order to feed the resulting one-dimensional vectors through a fully connected MLP.

Because these networks are invariant to the order of the features, we could get similar results regardless of whether we preserve an order corresponding to the spatial structure of the pixels or if we permute the columns of our design matrix before fitting the MLP's parameters. Preferably, we would leverage our prior knowledge that nearby pixels are typically related to each other, to build efficient models for learning from image data.

This chapter introduces *convolutional neural networks* (CNNs) (LeCun *et al.*, 1995), a powerful family of neural networks that are designed for precisely this purpose. CNN-based architectures are now ubiquitous in the field of computer vision. For instance, on the Imagnet collection (Deng *et al.*, 2009) it was only the use of convolutional neural networks, in short Convnets that provided significant performance improvements (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2012).

Modern CNNs, as they are called colloquially owe their design to inspirations from biology, group theory, and a healthy dose of experimental tinkering. In addition to their sample efficiency in achieving accurate models, CNNs tend to be computationally efficient, both because they require fewer parameters than fully connected architectures and because convolutions are easy to parallelize across GPU cores (Chetlur *et al.*, 2014). Consequently, practitioners often apply CNNs whenever possible, and increasingly they have emerged as credible competitors even on tasks with a one-dimensional sequence structure, such as audio (Abdel-Hamid *et al.*, 2014), text (Kalchbrenner *et al.*, 2014), and time series analysis (LeCun *et al.*, 1995), where recurrent neural networks are conventionally used. Some clever adaptations of CNNs have also brought them to bear on graph-structured data (Kipf and Welling, 2016) and in recommender systems.

First, we will dive more deeply into the motivation for convolutional neural networks. This is followed by a walk through the basic operations that comprise the backbone of all convolutional networks. These include the convolutional layers themselves, nitty-gritty details including padding and stride, the pooling layers used to aggregate information across adjacent spatial regions, the use of multiple channels at each layer, and a careful discussion of the structure of modern architectures. We will conclude the chapter with a full working example of LeNet, the first convolutional network successfully deployed, long before the rise of modern deep learning. In the next chapter, we will dive into full implementations of some popular and comparatively recent CNN architectures whose designs represent most of the techniques commonly used by modern practitioners.

7.1 From Fully Connected Layers to Convolutions

To this day, the models that we have discussed so far remain appropriate options when we are dealing with tabular data. By tabular, we mean that the data consist of rows corresponding to examples and columns corresponding to features. With tabular data, we might anticipate that the patterns we seek could involve interactions among the features, but we do not assume any structure *a priori* concerning how the features interact.

Sometimes, we truly lack knowledge to guide the construction of craftier architectures. In these cases, an MLP may be the best that we can do. However, for high-dimensional perceptual data, such structure-less networks can grow unwieldy.

For instance, let's return to our running example of distinguishing cats from dogs. Say that we do a thorough job in data collection, collecting an annotated dataset of one-megapixel photographs. This means that each input to the network has one million dimensions. Even an aggressive reduction to one thousand hidden dimensions would require a fully connected layer characterized by $10^6 \times 10^3 = 10^9$ parameters. Unless we have lots of GPUs, a talent for distributed optimization, and an extraordinary amount of patience, learning the parameters of this network may turn out to be infeasible.

A careful reader might object to this argument on the basis that one megapixel resolution may not be necessary. However, while we might be able to get away with one hundred thousand pixels, our hidden layer of size 1000 grossly underestimates the number of hidden units that it takes to learn good representations of images, so a practical system will still require billions of parameters. Moreover, learning a classifier by fitting so many parameters might require collecting an enormous dataset. And yet today both humans and computers are able to distinguish cats from dogs quite well, seemingly contradicting these intuitions. That is because images exhibit rich structure that can be exploited by humans and machine learning models alike. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are one creative way that machine learning has embraced for exploiting some of the known structure in natural images.

7.1.1 Invariance

Imagine that we want to detect an object in an image. It seems reasonable that whatever method we use to recognize objects should not be overly concerned with the precise location of the object in the image. Ideally, our system should exploit this knowledge. Pigs usually do not fly and planes usually do not swim. Nonetheless, we should still recognize a pig were one to appear at the top of the image. We can draw some inspiration here from the children's game "Where's Waldo" (depicted in Fig.7.1.1). The game consists of a number of chaotic scenes bursting with activities. Waldo shows up somewhere in each, typically lurking in some unlikely location. The reader's goal is to locate him. Despite his characteristic outfit, this can be surprisingly difficult, due to the large number of distractions. However, *what Waldo looks like* does not depend upon *where Waldo is located*. We could sweep the image with a Waldo detector that could assign a score to each patch, indicating the likelihood that the patch contains Waldo. In fact, many object detection and segmentation algorithms are based on this approach (Long *et al.*, 2015). CNNs systematize this idea of *spatial invariance*, exploiting it to learn useful representations with fewer parameters.

We can now make these intuitions more concrete by enumerating a few desiderata to guide our design of a neural network architecture suitable for computer vision:

1. In the earliest layers, our network should respond similarly to the same patch, regardless of where it appears in the image. This principle is called *translation invariance* (or *translation equivariance*).



Figure 7.1.1 An image of the Wheres Waldo game.

- 2. The earliest layers of the network should focus on local regions, without regard for the contents of the image in distant regions. This is the *locality* principle. Eventually, these local representations can be aggregated to make predictions at the whole image level.
- 3. As we proceed, deeper layers should be able to capture longer-range features of the image, in a way similar to higher level vision in nature.

Let's see how this translates into mathematics.

7.1.2 Constraining the MLP

To start off, we can consider an MLP with two-dimensional images X as inputs and their immediate hidden representations H similarly represented as matrices (they are two-dimensional tensors in code), where both X and H have the same shape. Let that sink in. We now conceive of not only the inputs but also the hidden representations as possessing spatial structure.

Let $[X]_{i,j}$ and $[H]_{i,j}$ denote the pixel at location (i, j) in the input image and hidden representation, respectively. Consequently, to have each of the hidden units receive input from each of the input pixels, we would switch from using weight matrices (as we did previously in MLPs) to representing our parameters as fourth-order weight tensors W. Suppose that Ucontains biases, we could formally express the fully connected layer as

$$[\boldsymbol{H}]_{i,j} = [\boldsymbol{U}]_{i,j} + \sum_{k} \sum_{l} [W]_{i,j,k,l} [\boldsymbol{X}]_{k,l}$$

= $[\boldsymbol{U}]_{i,j} + \sum_{a} \sum_{b} [V]_{i,j,a,b} [\boldsymbol{X}]_{i+a,j+b}.$ (7.1.1)

The switch from W to V is entirely cosmetic for now since there is a one-to-one correspondence between coefficients in both fourth-order tensors. We simply re-index the subscripts (k, l) such that k = i + a and l = j + b. In other words, we set $[V]_{i,j,a,b} = [W]_{i,j,i+a,j+b}$. The indices a and b run over both positive and negative offsets, covering the entire image. For any given location (i, j) in the hidden representation $[H]_{i,j}$, we compute its value by summing over pixels in x, centered around (i, j) and weighted by $[V]_{i,j,a,b}$. Before we carry on, let's consider the total number of parameters required for a *single* layer in this parametrization: a 1000×1000 image (1 megapixel) is mapped to a 1000×1000 hidden representation. This requires 10^{12} parameters, far beyond what computers currently can handle.

Translation Invariance

Now let's invoke the first principle established above: translation invariance (Zhang and others, 1988). This implies that a shift in the input \boldsymbol{X} should simply lead to a shift in the hidden representation \boldsymbol{H} . This is only possible if V and \boldsymbol{U} do not actually depend on (i, j). As such, we have $[V]_{i,j,a,b} = [\boldsymbol{V}]_{a,b}$ and \boldsymbol{U} is a constant, say u. As a result, we can simplify the definition for \boldsymbol{H} :

$$[\mathbf{H}]_{i,j} = u + \sum_{a} \sum_{b} [\mathbf{V}]_{a,b} [\mathbf{X}]_{i+a,j+b}.$$
(7.1.2)

This is a *convolution*! We are effectively weighting pixels at (i + a, j + b) in the vicinity of location (i, j) with coefficients $[V]_{a,b}$ to obtain the value $[H]_{i,j}$. Note that $[V]_{a,b}$ needs many fewer coefficients than $[V]_{i,j,a,b}$ since it no longer depends on the location within the image. Consequently, the number of parameters required is no longer 10^{12} but a much more reasonable $4 \cdot 10^6$: we still have the dependency on $a, b \in (-1000, 1000)$. In short, we have made significant progress. Time-delay neural networks (TDNNs) are some of the first examples to exploit this idea (Waibel *et al.*, 1989).

Locality

Now let's invoke the second principle: locality. As motivated above, we believe that we should not have to look very far away from location (i, j) in order to glean relevant information to assess what is going on at $[\mathbf{H}]_{i,j}$. This means that outside some range $|a| > \Delta$ or $|b| > \Delta$, we should set $[\mathbf{V}]_{a,b} = 0$. Equivalently, we can rewrite $[\mathbf{H}]_{i,j}$ as

$$[\mathbf{H}]_{i,j} = u + \sum_{a=-\Delta}^{\Delta} \sum_{b=-\Delta}^{\Delta} [\mathbf{V}]_{a,b} [\mathbf{X}]_{i+a,j+b}.$$
(7.1.3)

This reduces the number of parameters from $4 \cdot 10^6$ to $4\Delta^2$, where Δ is typically smaller than 10. As such, we reduced the number of parameters by another 4 orders of magnitude. Note that (7.1.3), in a nutshell, is what is called a *convolutional layer*. *Convolutional neural networks* (CNNs) are a special family of neural networks that contain convolutional layers. In the deep learning research community, V is referred to as a *convolution kernel*, a *filter*, or simply the layer's *weights* that are learnable parameters.

While previously, we might have required billions of parameters to represent just a single layer in an image-processing network, we now typically need just a few hundred, without altering the dimensionality of either the inputs or the hidden representations. The price paid for this drastic reduction in parameters is that our features are now translation invariant and that our layer can only incorporate local information, when determining the value of each hidden activation. All learning depends on imposing inductive bias. When that bias agrees with reality, we get sample-efficient models that generalize well to unseen data. But of course, if those biases do not agree with reality, e.g., if images turned out not to be translation invariant, our models might struggle even to fit our training data.

This dramatic reduction in parameters brings us to our last desideratum, namely that deeper layers should represent larger and more complex aspects of an image. This can be achieved by interleaving nonlinearities and convolutional layers repeatedly.

7.1.3 Convolutions

Let's briefly review why (7.1.3) is called a convolution. In mathematics, the *convolution* between two functions (Rudin, 1973), say $f, g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$(f * g)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int f(\boldsymbol{z})g(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z})d\boldsymbol{z}.$$
(7.1.4)

That is, we measure the overlap between f and g when one function is "flipped" and shifted by x. Whenever we have discrete objects, the integral turns into a sum. For instance, for vectors from the set of square summable infinite dimensional vectors with index running over \mathbb{Z} we obtain the following definition:

$$(f * g)(i) = \sum_{a} f(a)g(i - a).$$
(7.1.5)

For two-dimensional tensors, we have a corresponding sum with indices (a, b) for f and (i-a, j-b) for g, respectively:

$$(f * g)(i,j) = \sum_{a} \sum_{b} f(a,b)g(i-a,j-b).$$
(7.1.6)

This looks similar to (7.1.3), with one major difference. Rather than using (i + a, j + b), we are using the difference instead. Note, though, that this distinction is mostly cosmetic since we can always match the notation between (7.1.3) and (7.1.6). Our original definition in (7.1.3) more properly describes a cross-correlation. We will come back to this in the following section.

7.1.4 Channels

Returning to our Waldo detector, let's see what this looks like. The convolutional layer picks windows of a given size and weighs intensities according to the filter V, as demonstrated in Fig.7.1.2. We might aim to learn a model so that wherever the "waldoness" is highest, we should find a peak in the hidden layer representations.



Figure 7.1.2 Detect Waldo.

There is just one problem with this approach. So far, we blissfully ignored that images consist of 3 channels: red, green, and blue. In sum, images are not two-dimensional objects but rather third-order tensors, characterized by a height, width, and channel, e.g., with shape $1024 \times$ 1024×3 pixels. While the first two of these axes concern spatial relationships, the third can be regarded as assigning a multidimensional representation to each pixel location. We thus index X as $[X]_{i,j,k}$. The convolutional filter has to adapt accordingly. Instead of $[V]_{a,b}$, we now have $[V]_{a,b,c}$.

Moreover, just as our input consists of a third-order tensor, it turns out to be a good idea to similarly formulate our hidden representations as third-order tensors H. In other words, rather than just having a single hidden representation corresponding to each spatial location, we want an entire vector of hidden representations corresponding to each spatial location. We could think of the hidden representations as comprising a number of two-dimensional grids stacked on top of each other. As in the inputs, these are sometimes called *channels*. They are also sometimes called *feature maps*, as each provides a spatialized set of learned features to the subsequent layer. Intuitively, you might imagine that at lower layers that are closer to inputs, some channels could become specialized to recognize edges while others could recognize textures.

To support multiple channels in both inputs (X) and hidden representations (H), we can add a fourth coordinate to V: $[V]_{a,b,c,d}$. Putting everything together we have:

$$[H]_{i,j,d} = \sum_{a=-\Delta}^{\Delta} \sum_{b=-\Delta}^{\Delta} \sum_{c} [V]_{a,b,c,d} [X]_{i+a,j+b,c},$$
(7.1.7)

where d indexes the output channels in the hidden representations H. The subsequent convolutional layer will go on to take a third-order tensor, H, as input. Being more general, (7.1.7) is the definition of a convolutional layer for multiple channels, where V is a kernel or filter of the layer.

There are still many operations that we need to address. For instance, we need to figure out how to combine all the hidden representations to a single output, e.g., whether there is a Waldo *anywhere* in the image. We also need to decide how to compute things efficiently, how to combine multiple layers, appropriate activation functions, and how to make reasonable design choices to yield networks that are effective in practice. We turn to these issues in the remainder of the chapter.

7.1.5 Summary and Discussion

In this section we derived the structure of convolutional neural networks from first principles. While it is unclear whether this is what led to the invention of CNNs, it is satisfying to know that they are the *right* choice when applying reasonable principles to how image processing and computer vision algorithms should operate, at least at lower levels. In particular, translation invariance in images implies that all patches of an image will be treated in the same manner. Locality means that only a small neighborhood of pixels will be used to compute the corresponding hidden representations. Some of the earliest references to CNNs are in the form of the Neocognitron (Fukushima, 1982).

A second principle that we encountered in our reasoning is how to reduce the number of parameters in a function class without limiting its expressive power, at least, whenever certain assumptions on the model hold. We saw a dramatic reduction of complexity as a result of this restriction, turning computationally and statistically infeasible problems into tractable models.

Adding channels allowed us to bring back some of the complexity that was lost due to the restrictions imposed on the convolutional kernel by locality and translation invariance. Note that channels are quite a natural addition beyond red, green, and blue. Many satellite images, in particular for agriculture and meteorology, have tens to hundreds of channels, generating hyperspectral images instead. They report data on many different wavelengths. In the following we will see how to use convolutions effectively to manipulate the dimensionality of the images they operate on, how to move from location-based to channel-based representations and how to deal with large numbers of categories efficiently.

- 1. Assume that the size of the convolution kernel is $\Delta = 0$. Show that in this case the convolution kernel implements an MLP independently for each set of channels. This leads to the Network in Network architectures (Lin *et al.*, 2013).
- 2. Audio data is often represented as a one-dimensional sequence.
 - 1. When might you want to impose locality and translation invariance for audio?
 - 2. Derive the convolution operations for audio.
 - 3. Can you treat audio using the same tools as computer vision? Hint: use the spectrogram.
- 3. Why might translation invariance not be a good idea after all? Give an example.
- 4. Do you think that convolutional layers might also be applicable for text data? Which problems might you encounter with language?
- 5. What happens with convolutions when an object is at the boundary of an image.
- 6. Prove that the convolution is symmetric, i.e., f * g = g * f.
- 7. Prove the convolution theorem, i.e., $f * g = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\mathcal{F}[f] \cdot \mathcal{F}[g]]$. Can you use it to accelerate convolutions?

Discussions¹¹⁵

7.2 Convolutions for Images

Now that we understand how convolutional layers work in theory, we are ready to see how they work in practice. Building on our motivation of convolutional neural networks as efficient architectures for exploring structure in image data, we stick with images as our running example.

7.2.1 The Cross-Correlation Operation

Recall that strictly speaking, convolutional layers are a misnomer, since the operations they express are more accurately described as cross-correlations. Based on our descriptions of convolutional layers in Section 7.1, in such a layer, an input tensor and a kernel tensor are combined to produce an output tensor through a cross-correlation operation.

Let's ignore channels for now and see how this works with two-dimensional data and hidden representations. In Fig.7.2.1, the input is a two-dimensional tensor with a height of 3 and width of 3. We mark the shape of the tensor as 3×3 or (3, 3). The height and width of the kernel are both 2. The shape of the *kernel window* (or *convolution window*) is given by the height and width of the kernel (here it is 2×2).



Figure 7.2.1

Two-dimensional cross-correlation operation. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $0 \times 0 + 1 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 4 \times 3 = 19$.

In the two-dimensional cross-correlation operation, we begin with the convolution window positioned at the upper-left corner of the input tensor and slide it across the input tensor, both from left to right and top to bottom. When the convolution window slides to a certain position, the input subtensor contained in that window and the kernel tensor are multiplied elementwise and the resulting tensor is summed up yielding a single scalar value. This result gives the value of the output tensor at the corresponding location. Here, the output tensor has a height of 2 and width of 2 and the four elements are derived from the two-dimensional cross-correlation operation:

$$0 \times 0 + 1 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 4 \times 3 = 19,$$

$$1 \times 0 + 2 \times 1 + 4 \times 2 + 5 \times 3 = 25,$$

$$3 \times 0 + 4 \times 1 + 6 \times 2 + 7 \times 3 = 37,$$

$$4 \times 0 + 5 \times 1 + 7 \times 2 + 8 \times 3 = 43.$$

(7.2.1)

Note that along each axis, the output size is slightly smaller than the input size. Because the kernel has width and height greater than one, we can only properly compute the crosscorrelation for locations where the kernel fits wholly within the image, the output size is given by the input size $n_h \times n_w$ minus the size of the convolution kernel $k_h \times k_w$ via

$$(n_h - k_h + 1) \times (n_w - k_w + 1). \tag{7.2.2}$$

This is the case since we need enough space to "shift" the convolution kernel across the image. Later we will see how to keep the size unchanged by padding the image with zeros around its boundary so that there is enough space to shift the kernel. Next, we implement this process in the corr2d function, which accepts an input tensor X and a kernel tensor K and returns an output tensor Y.

```
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

```
def corr2d(X, K): #@save
    """Compute 2D cross-correlation."""
    h, w = K.shape
    Y = np.zeros((X.shape[0] - h + 1, X.shape[1] - w + 1))
    for i in range(Y.shape[0]):
        for j in range(Y.shape[1]):
            Y[i, j] = (X[i:i + h, j:j + w] * K).sum()
    return Y
```

We can construct the input tensor X and the kernel tensor K from Fig.7.2.1 to validate the output of the above implementation of the two-dimensional cross-correlation operation.

```
X = np.array([[0.0, 1.0, 2.0], [3.0, 4.0, 5.0], [6.0, 7.0, 8.0]])
K = np.array([[0.0, 1.0], [2.0, 3.0]])
corr2d(X, K)
```

array([[19., 25.], [37., 43.]])

7.2.2 Convolutional Layers

A convolutional layer cross-correlates the input and kernel and adds a scalar bias to produce an output. The two parameters of a convolutional layer are the kernel and the scalar bias. When

training models based on convolutional layers, we typically initialize the kernels randomly, just as we would with a fully connected layer.

We are now ready to implement a two-dimensional convolutional layer based on the corr2d function defined above. In the __init__ constructor method, we declare weight and bias as the two model parameters. The forward propagation function calls the corr2d function and adds the bias.

```
class Conv2D(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, kernel_size, **kwargs):
        super().__init__(**kwargs)
        self.weight = self.params.get('weight', shape=kernel_size)
        self.bias = self.params.get('bias', shape=(1,))
    def forward(self, x):
        return corr2d(x, self.weight.data()) + self.bias.data()
```

In $h \times w$ convolution or a $h \times w$ convolution kernel, the height and width of the convolution kernel are h and w, respectively. We also refer to a convolutional layer with a $h \times w$ convolution kernel simply as a $h \times w$ convolutional layer.

7.2.3 Object Edge Detection in Images

Let's take a moment to parse a simple application of a convolutional layer: detecting the edge of an object in an image by finding the location of the pixel change. First, we construct an "image" of 6×8 pixels. The middle four columns are black (0) and the rest are white (1).

```
X = np.ones((6, 8))
X[:, 2:6] = 0
X
```

1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.],
1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.],
1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.],
1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.],
1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.],
1.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	0.,	1.,	1.]]
	1., 1., 1., 1., 1., 1.,	1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0.,	1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0.,	1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0.,	1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0.,	1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1.,

Next, we construct a kernel K with a height of 1 and a width of 2. When we perform the cross-correlation operation with the input, if the horizontally adjacent elements are the same, the output is 0. Otherwise, the output is non-zero. Note that this kernel is special case of a finite difference operator. At location (i, j) it computes $x_{i,j} - x_{(i+1),j}$, i.e., it computes the difference between the values of horizontally adjacent pixels. This is a discrete approximation of the first derivative in the horizontal direction. After all, for a function f(i, j) its derivative $-\partial_i f(i, j) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(i, j) - f(i + \epsilon, j)}{\epsilon}$. Let's see how this works in practice.

K = np.array([[1.0, -1.0]])

We are ready to perform the cross-correlation operation with arguments X (our input) and K (our kernel). As you can see, we detect 1 for the edge from white to black and -1 for the edge from black to white. All other outputs take value 0.

Y = corr2d(X, K)Y array([[0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.], [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., -1., 0.]])

We can now apply the kernel to the transposed image. As expected, it vanishes. The kernel K only detects vertical edges.

```
corr2d(d2l.transpose(X), K)
```

```
array([[0., 0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0.]])
```

7.2.4 Learning a Kernel

Designing an edge detector by finite differences [1, -1] is neat if we know this is precisely what we are looking for. However, as we look at larger kernels, and consider successive layers of convolutions, it might be impossible to specify precisely what each filter should be doing manually.

Now let's see whether we can learn the kernel that generated Y from X by looking at the input– output pairs only. We first construct a convolutional layer and initialize its kernel as a random tensor. Next, in each iteration, we will use the squared error to compare Y with the output of the convolutional layer. We can then calculate the gradient to update the kernel. For the sake of simplicity, in the following we use the built-in class for two-dimensional convolutional layers and ignore the bias.

```
# Construct a two-dimensional convolutional layer with 1 output channel and a
# kernel of shape (1, 2). For the sake of simplicity, we ignore the bias here
conv2d = nn.Conv2D(1, kernel_size=(1, 2), use_bias=False)
conv2d.initialize()
# The two-dimensional convolutional layer uses four-dimensional input and
# output in the format of (example, channel, height, width), where the batch
# size (number of examples in the batch) and the number of channels are both 1
X = X.reshape(1, 1, 6, 8)
Y = Y.reshape(1, 1, 6, 7)
lr = 3e-2 # Learning rate
for i in range(10):
    with autograd.record():
        Y_hat = conv2d(X)
       1 = (Y_hat - Y) ** 2
    1.backward()
    # Update the kernel
    conv2d.weight.data()[:] -= lr * conv2d.weight.grad()
    if (i + 1) % 2 == 0:
        print(f'epoch {i + 1}, loss {float(l.sum()):.3f}')
```

```
epoch 2, loss 4.949
epoch 4, loss 0.831
```

(continued from previous page)

```
epoch 6, loss 0.140
epoch 8, loss 0.024
epoch 10, loss 0.004
[22:51:54] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.
```

Note that the error has dropped to a small value after 10 iterations. Now we will take a look at the kernel tensor we learned.

```
conv2d.weight.data().reshape((1, 2))
```

```
array([[ 0.9895 , -0.9873705]])
```

Indeed, the learned kernel tensor is remarkably close to the kernel tensor K we defined earlier.

7.2.5 Cross-Correlation and Convolution

Recall our observation from Section 7.1 of the correspondence between the cross-correlation and convolution operations. Here let's continue to consider two-dimensional convolutional layers. What if such layers perform strict convolution operations as defined in (7.1.6) instead of cross-correlations? In order to obtain the output of the strict *convolution* operation, we only need to flip the two-dimensional kernel tensor both horizontally and vertically, and then perform the *cross-correlation* operation with the input tensor.

It is noteworthy that since kernels are learned from data in deep learning, the outputs of convolutional layers remain unaffected no matter such layers perform either the strict convolution operations or the cross-correlation operations.

To illustrate this, suppose that a convolutional layer performs *cross-correlation* and learns the kernel in Fig.7.2.1, which is denoted as the matrix K here. Assuming that other conditions remain unchanged, when this layer performs strict *convolution* instead, the learned kernel K' will be the same as K after K' is flipped both horizontally and vertically. That is to say, when the convolutional layer performs strict *convolution* for the input in Fig.7.2.1 and K', the same output in Fig.7.2.1 (cross-correlation of the input and K) will be obtained.

In keeping with standard terminology with deep learning literature, we will continue to refer to the cross-correlation operation as a convolution even though, strictly-speaking, it is slightly different. Besides, we use the term *element* to refer to an entry (or component) of any tensor representing a layer representation or a convolution kernel.

7.2.6 Feature Map and Receptive Field

As described in Section 7.1.4, the convolutional layer output in Fig.7.2.1 is sometimes called a *feature map*, as it can be regarded as the learned representations (features) in the spatial dimensions (e.g., width and height) to the subsequent layer. In CNNs, for any element x of some layer, its *receptive field* refers to all the elements (from all the previous layers) that may affect the calculation of x during the forward propagation. Note that the receptive field may be larger than the actual size of the input.

Let's continue to use Fig.7.2.1 to explain the receptive field. Given the 2×2 convolution kernel, the receptive field of the shaded output element (of value 19) is the four elements in the shaded portion of the input. Now let's denote the 2×2 output as Y and consider a deeper CNN with an additional 2×2 convolutional layer that takes Y as its input, outputting a single element z. In this case, the receptive field of z on Y includes all the four elements of

Y, while the receptive field on the input includes all the nine input elements. Thus, when any element in a feature map needs a larger receptive field to detect input features over a broader area, we can build a deeper network.

Receptive fields derive their name from neurophysiology. In a series of experiments (Hubel and Wiesel, 1959, Hubel and Wiesel, 1962, Hubel and Wiesel, 1968) on a range of animals and different stimuli, Hubel and Wiesel explored the response of what is called the visual cortex on said stimuli. By and large they found that lower levels respond to edges and related shapes. Later on, Field (1987) illustrated this effect on natural images with, what can only be called, convolutional kernels. We reprint a key figure in Fig.7.2.2 to illustrate the striking similarities.



Figure 7.2.2 Figure and caption taken from Field (1987): An example of coding with six different channels. (Left) Examples of the six types of sensor associated with each channel. (Right) Convolution of the image in (Middle) with the six sensors shown in (Left). The response of the individual sensors is determined by sampling these filtered images at a distance proportional to the size of the sensor (shown with dots). This diagram shows the response of only the even symmetric sensors.

As it turns out, this relation even holds for the features computed by deeper layers of networks trained on image classification tasks, as demonstrated e.g., in Kuzovkin *et al.* (2018). Suffice it to say, convolutions have proven to be an incredibly powerful tool for computer vision, both in biology and in code. As such, it is not surprising (in hindsight) that they heralded the recent success in deep learning.

7.2.7 Summary

The core computation required for a convolutional layer is a cross-correlation operation. We saw that a simple nested for-loop is all that is required to compute its value. If we have multiple input and multiple output channels, we are performing a matrix-matrix operation between channels. As can be seen, the computation is straightforward and, most importantly, highly *local*. This affords significant hardware optimization and many recent results in computer vision are only possible due to that. After all, it means that chip designers can invest into fast computation rather than memory, when it comes to optimizing for convolutions. While this may not lead to optimal designs for other applications, it opens the door to ubiquitous and affordable computer vision.

In terms of convolutions themselves, they can be used for many purposes such as to detect

edges and lines, to blur images, or to sharpen them. Most importantly, it is not necessary that the statistician (or engineer) invents suitable filters. Instead, we can simply *learn* them from data. This replaces feature engineering heuristics by evidence-based statistics. Lastly, and quite delightfully, these filters are not just advantageous for building deep networks but they also correspond to receptive fields and feature maps in the brain. This gives us confidence that we are on the right track.

Exercises

- 1. Construct an image X with diagonal edges.
 - 1. What happens if you apply the kernel K in this section to it?
 - 2. What happens if you transpose X?
 - 3. What happens if you transpose K?
- 2. Design some kernels manually.
 - 1. Given a directional vector $v = (v_1, v_2)$, derive an edge-detection kernel that detects edges orthogonal to v, i.e., edges in the direction $(v_2, -v_1)$.
 - 2. Derive a finite difference operator for the second derivative. What is the minimum size of the convolutional kernel associate with it? Which structures in images respond most strongly to it?
 - 3. How would you design a blur kernel? Why might you want to use such a kernel?
 - 4. What is the minimum size of a kernel to obtain a derivative of order d?
- 3. When you try to automatically find the gradient for the Conv2D class we created, what kind of error message do you see?
- 4. How do you represent a cross-correlation operation as a matrix multiplication by changing the input and kernel tensors?

Discussions¹¹⁶



7.3 Padding and Stride

Recall the example of a convolution in Fig.7.2.1. The input had both a height and width of 3 and the convolution kernel had both a height and width of 2, yielding an output representation with dimension 2×2 . Assuming that the input shape is $n_h \times n_w$ and the convolution kernel shape is $k_h \times k_w$, the output shape will be $(n_h - k_h + 1) \times (n_w - k_w + 1)$: we can only shift the convolution kernel so far until it runs out of pixels to apply the convolution to.

In the following we will explore a number of techniques, including padding and strided convolutions, that offer more control over the size of the output. As motivation, note that since kernels generally have width and height greater than 1, after applying many successive convolutions, we tend to wind up with outputs that are considerably smaller than our input. If we start with a 240×240 pixel image, 10 layers of 5×5 convolutions reduce the image to 200×200 pixels, slicing off 30% of the image and with it obliterating any interesting information on the boundaries of the original image. *Padding* is the most popular tool for handling this issue. In other cases, we may want to reduce the dimensionality drastically, e.g., if we find the original input resolution to be unwieldy. *Strided convolutions* are a popular technique that can help in these instances.

7.3.1 Padding

As described above, one tricky issue when applying convolutional layers is that we tend to lose pixels on the perimeter of our image. Consider Fig.7.3.1 that depicts the pixel utilization as a function of the convolution kernel size and the position within the image. The pixels in the corners are hardly used at all.



Figure 7.3.1 Pixel utilization for convolutions of size 1×1 , 2×2 , and 3×3 respectively.

Since we typically use small kernels, for any given convolution, we might only lose a few pixels, but this can add up as we apply many successive convolutional layers. One straightforward solution to this problem is to add extra pixels of filler around the boundary of our input image, thus increasing the effective size of the image. Typically, we set the values of the extra pixels to zero. In Fig.7.3.2, we pad a 3×3 input, increasing its size to 5×5 . The corresponding output then increases to a 4×4 matrix. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $0 \times 0 + 0 \times 1 + 0 \times 2 + 0 \times 3 = 0$.



Figure 7.3.2

.2 Two-dimensional cross-correlation with padding.

In general, if we add a total of p_h rows of padding (roughly half on top and half on bottom) and a total of p_w columns of padding (roughly half on the left and half on the right), the output shape will be

$$(n_h - k_h + p_h + 1) \times (n_w - k_w + p_w + 1).$$
(7.3.1)

This means that the height and width of the output will increase by p_h and p_w , respectively.

In many cases, we will want to set $p_h = k_h - 1$ and $p_w = k_w - 1$ to give the input and output the same height and width. This will make it easier to predict the output shape of each layer when constructing the network. Assuming that k_h is odd here, we will pad $p_h/2$ rows on both sides of the height. If k_h is even, one possibility is to pad $\lceil p_h/2 \rceil$ rows on the top of the input and $\lfloor p_h/2 \rfloor$ rows on the bottom. We will pad both sides of the width in the same way.

CNNs commonly use convolution kernels with odd height and width values, such as 1, 3, 5, or 7. Choosing odd kernel sizes has the benefit that we can preserve the dimensionality while padding with the same number of rows on top and bottom, and the same number of columns on left and right.

Moreover, this practice of using odd kernels and padding to precisely preserve dimensionality offers a clerical benefit. For any two-dimensional tensor X, when the kernel's size is odd and

the number of padding rows and columns on all sides are the same, producing an output with the same height and width as the input, we know that the output Y[i, j] is calculated by cross-correlation of the input and convolution kernel with the window centered on X[i, j].

In the following example, we create a two-dimensional convolutional layer with a height and width of 3 and apply 1 pixel of padding on all sides. Given an input with a height and width of 8, we find that the height and width of the output is also 8.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
npx.set_np()
# We define a helper function to calculate convolutions. It initializes
# the convolutional layer weights and performs corresponding dimensionality
# elevations and reductions on the input and output.
def comp_conv2d(conv2d, X):
    conv2d.initialize()
    # (1, 1) indicates that batch size and the number of channels are both 1
   X = X.reshape((1, 1) + X.shape)
   Y = conv2d(X)
    # Strip the first two dimensions: examples and channels
    return Y.reshape(Y.shape[2:])
# 1 row and column is padded on either side, so a total of 2 rows or columns_
\rightarrow are added
conv2d = nn.Conv2D(1, kernel_size=3, padding=1)
X = np.random.uniform(size=(8, 8))
comp_conv2d(conv2d, X).shape
```

(8, 8)

When the height and width of the convolution kernel are different, we can make the output and input have the same height and width by setting different padding numbers for height and width.

```
# We use a convolution kernel with height 5 and width 3. The padding on
# either side of the height and width are 2 and 1, respectively.
conv2d = nn.Conv2D(1, kernel_size=(5, 3), padding=(2, 1))
comp_conv2d(conv2d, X).shape
```

(8, 8)

7.3.2 Stride

When computing the cross-correlation, we start with the convolution window at the upper-left corner of the input tensor, and then slide it over all locations both down and to the right. In the previous examples, we defaulted to sliding one element at a time. However, sometimes, either for computational efficiency or because we wish to downsample, we move our window more than one element at a time, skipping the intermediate locations. This is particularly useful if the convolution kernel is large since it captures a large area of the underlying image.

We refer to the number of rows and columns traversed per slide as *stride*. So far, we have used strides of 1, both for height and width. Sometimes, we may want to use a larger stride. Fig.7.3.3 shows a two-dimensional cross-correlation operation with a stride of 3 vertically and 2 horizontally. The shaded portions are the output elements as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $0 \times 0 + 0 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 3 = 8$, $0 \times 0 + 6 \times 1 + 0 \times 2 + 0 \times 3 = 6$. We can see that when the second element of the

first column is generated, the convolution window slides down three rows. The convolution window slides two columns to the right when the second element of the first row is generated. When the convolution window continues to slide two columns to the right on the input, there is no output because the input element cannot fill the window (unless we add another column of padding).



Figure 7.3.3 Cross-correlation with strides of 3 and 2 for height and width, respectively.

In general, when the stride for the height is s_h and the stride for the width is s_w , the output shape is

$$\lfloor (n_h - k_h + p_h + s_h)/s_h \rfloor \times \lfloor (n_w - k_w + p_w + s_w)/s_w \rfloor.$$
(7.3.2)

If we set $p_h = k_h - 1$ and $p_w = k_w - 1$, then the output shape can be simplified to $\lfloor (n_h + s_h - 1)/s_h \rfloor \times \lfloor (n_w + s_w - 1)/s_w \rfloor$. Going a step further, if the input height and width are divisible by the strides on the height and width, then the output shape will be $(n_h/s_h) \times (n_w/s_w)$.

Below, we set the strides on both the height and width to 2, thus halving the input height and width.

conv2d = nn.Conv2D(1, kernel_size=3, padding=1, strides=2)
comp_conv2d(conv2d, X).shape

(4, 4)

Let's look at a slightly more complicated example.

conv2d = nn.Conv2D(1, kernel_size=(3, 5), padding=(0, 1), strides=(3, 4))
comp_conv2d(conv2d, X).shape

(2, 2)

7.3.3 Summary and Discussion

Padding can increase the height and width of the output. This is often used to give the output the same height and width as the input to avoid undesirable shrinkage of the output. Moreover, it ensures that all pixels are used equally frequently. Typically we pick symmetric padding on both sides of the input height and width. In this case we refer to (p_h, p_w) padding. Most commonly we set $p_h = p_w$, in which case we simply state that we choose padding p.

A similar convention applies to strides. When horizontal stride s_h and vertical stride s_w match, we simply talk about stride s. The stride can reduce the resolution of the output, for example reducing the height and width of the output to only 1/n of the height and width of the input for n > 1. By default, the padding is 0 and the stride is 1.

So far all padding that we discussed simply extended images with zeros. This has significant computational benefit since it is trivial to accomplish. Moreover, operators can be engineered

to take advantage of this padding implicitly without the need to allocate additional memory. At the same time, it allows CNNs to encode implicit position information within an image, simply by learning where the "whitespace" is. There are many alternatives to zero-padding. (Alsallakh *et al.*, 2020) provides an extensive overview of alternatives (albeit without a clear case to use nonzero paddings unless artifacts occur).

Exercises

- 1. Given the last code example in this section with kernel size (3, 5), padding (0, 1), and stride (3, 4), calculate the output shape to check if it is consistent with the experimental result.
- 2. For audio signals, what does a stride of 2 correspond to?
- 3. Implement mirror padding, i.e., padding where the border values are simply mirrored to extend tensors.
- 4. What are the computational benefits of a stride larger than 1?
- 5. What might be statistical benefits of a stride larger than 1?
- 6. How would you implement a stride of ¹/₂? What does it correspond to? When would this be useful?

Discussions¹¹⁷



7.4 Multiple Input and Multiple Output Channels

While we described the multiple channels that comprise each image (e.g., color images have the standard RGB channels to indicate the amount of red, green and blue) and convolutional layers for multiple channels in Section 7.1.4, until now, we simplified all of our numerical examples by working with just a single input and a single output channel. This allowed us to think of our inputs, convolution kernels, and outputs each as two-dimensional tensors.

When we add channels into the mix, our inputs and hidden representations both become three-dimensional tensors. For example, each RGB input image has shape $3 \times h \times w$. We refer to this axis, with a size of 3, as the *channel* dimension. The notion of channels is as old as CNNs themselves. For instance LeNet5 (LeCun *et al.*, 1995) uses them. In this section, we will take a deeper look at convolution kernels with multiple input and multiple output channels.

7.4.1 Multiple Input Channels

When the input data contains multiple channels, we need to construct a convolution kernel with the same number of input channels as the input data, so that it can perform cross-correlation with the input data. Assuming that the number of channels for the input data is c_i , the number of input channels of the convolution kernel also needs to be c_i . If our convolution kernel's window shape is $k_h \times k_w$, then when $c_i = 1$, we can think of our convolution kernel as just a two-dimensional tensor of shape $k_h \times k_w$.

However, when $c_i > 1$, we need a kernel that contains a tensor of shape $k_h \times k_w$ for *every* input channel. Concatenating these c_i tensors together yields a convolution kernel of shape $c_i \times k_h \times k_w$. Since the input and convolution kernel each have c_i channels, we can

perform a cross-correlation operation on the two-dimensional tensor of the input and the two-dimensional tensor of the convolution kernel for each channel, adding the c_i results together (summing over the channels) to yield a two-dimensional tensor. This is the result of a two-dimensional cross-correlation between a multi-channel input and a multi-input-channel convolution kernel.

Fig.7.4.1 provides an example of a two-dimensional cross-correlation with two input channels. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $(1 \times 1 + 2 \times 2 + 4 \times 3 + 5 \times 4) + (0 \times 0 + 1 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 4 \times 3) = 56$.



Figure 7.4.1 Cross-correlation computation with 2 input channels.

To make sure we really understand what is going on here, we can implement cross-correlation operations with multiple input channels ourselves. Notice that all we are doing is performing a cross-correlation operation per channel and then adding up the results.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

```
def corr2d_multi_in(X, K):
    # Iterate through the 0th dimension (channel) of K first, then add them up
    return sum(d2l.corr2d(x, k) for x, k in zip(X, K))
```

We can construct the input tensor X and the kernel tensor K corresponding to the values in Fig.7.4.1 to validate the output of the cross-correlation operation.

```
array([[ 56., 72.],
```

```
[104., 120.]])
```

7.4.2 Multiple Output Channels

Regardless of the number of input channels, so far we always ended up with one output channel. However, as we discussed in Section 7.1.4, it turns out to be essential to have multiple channels at each layer. In the most popular neural network architectures, we actually increase the channel dimension as we go deeper in the neural network, typically downsampling to trade off spatial resolution for greater *channel depth*. Intuitively, you could think of each channel as responding to a different set of features. The reality is a bit more complicated than this.

A naive interpretation would suggest that representations are learned independently per pixel or per channel. Instead, channels are optimized to be jointly useful. This means that rather than mapping a single channel to an edge detector, it may simply mean that some direction in channel space corresponds to detecting edges.

Denote by c_i and c_o the number of input and output channels, respectively, and let k_h and k_w be the height and width of the kernel. To get an output with multiple channels, we can create a kernel tensor of shape $c_i \times k_h \times k_w$ for *every* output channel. We concatenate them on the output channel dimension, so that the shape of the convolution kernel is $c_o \times c_i \times k_h \times k_w$. In cross-correlation operations, the result on each output channel is calculated from the convolution kernel corresponding to that output channel and takes input from all channels in the input tensor.

We implement a cross-correlation function to calculate the output of multiple channels as shown below.

```
def corr2d_multi_in_out(X, K):
    # Iterate through the 0th dimension of `K`, and each time, perform
    # cross-correlation operations with input `X`. All of the results are
    # stacked together
    return np.stack([corr2d_multi_in(X, k) for k in K], 0)
```

We construct a trivial convolution kernel with 3 output channels by concatenating the kernel tensor for K with K+1 and K+2.

```
K = np.stack((K, K + 1, K + 2), 0)
K.shape
```

(3, 2, 2, 2)

Below, we perform cross-correlation operations on the input tensor X with the kernel tensor K. Now the output contains 3 channels. The result of the first channel is consistent with the result of the previous input tensor X and the multi-input channel, single-output channel kernel.

```
corr2d_multi_in_out(X, K)
```

array([[[56., 72.], [104., 120.]], [[76., 100.], [148., 172.]], [[96., 128.], [192., 224.]]])

7.4.3 1×1 Convolutional Layer

At first, a 1×1 convolution, i.e., $k_h = k_w = 1$, does not seem to make much sense. After all, a convolution correlates adjacent pixels. A 1×1 convolution obviously does not. Nonetheless, they are popular operations that are sometimes included in the designs of complex deep networks (Lin *et al.*, 2013, Szegedy *et al.*, 2017) Let's see in some detail what it actually does.

Because the minimum window is used, the 1×1 convolution loses the ability of larger convolutional layers to recognize patterns consisting of interactions among adjacent elements in the height and width dimensions. The only computation of the 1×1 convolution occurs on the channel dimension.

Fig.7.4.2 shows the cross-correlation computation using the 1×1 convolution kernel with 3 input channels and 2 output channels. Note that the inputs and outputs have the same height and width. Each element in the output is derived from a linear combination of elements *at the same position* in the input image. You could think of the 1×1 convolutional layer as constituting a fully connected layer applied at every single pixel location to transform the c_i corresponding input values into c_o output values. Because this is still a convolutional layer, the weights are tied across pixel location. Thus the 1×1 convolutional layer requires $c_o \times c_i$ weights (plus the bias). Also note that convolutional layers are typically followed by nonlinearities. This ensures that 1×1 convolutions cannot simply be folded into other convolutions.



Figure 7.4.2

.2 The cross-correlation computation uses the 1×1 convolution kernel with 3 input channels and 2 output channels. The input and output have the same height and width.

Let's check whether this works in practice: we implement a 1×1 convolution using a fully connected layer. The only thing is that we need to make some adjustments to the data shape before and after the matrix multiplication.

```
def corr2d_multi_in_out_1x1(X, K):
    c_i, h, w = X.shape
    c_o = K.shape[0]
    X = X.reshape((c_i, h * w))
    K = K.reshape((c_o, c_i))
    # Matrix multiplication in the fully connected layer
    Y = np.dot(K, X)
    return Y.reshape((c_o, h, w))
```

When performing 1×1 convolutions, the above function is equivalent to the previously implemented cross-correlation function corr2d_multi_in_out. Let's check this with some sample data.

```
X = np.random.normal(0, 1, (3, 3, 3))
K = np.random.normal(0, 1, (2, 3, 1, 1))
```

Y1 = corr2d_multi_in_out_1x1(X, K)
Y2 = corr2d_multi_in_out(X, K)
assert float(np.abs(Y1 - Y2).sum()) < 1e-6</pre>

7.4.4 Discussion

Channels allow us to combine the best of both worlds: MLPs that allow for significant nonlinearities and convolutions that allow for *localized* analysis of features. In particular, channels allow the CNN to reason with multiple features, such as edge and shape detectors at the same time. They also offer a practical trade-off between the drastic parameter reduction arising from translation invariance and locality, and the need for expressive and diverse models in computer vision. Note, though, that this flexibility comes at a price. Given an image of size $(h \times w)$, the cost for computing a $k \times k$ convolution is $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w \cdot k^2)$. For c_i and c_o input and output channels respectively this increases to $\mathcal{O}(h \cdot w \cdot k^2 \cdot c_i \cdot c_o)$. For a 256 × 256 pixel image with a 5 × 5 kernel and 128 input and output channels respectively this amounts to over 53 billion operations (we count multiplications and additions separately). Later on we will encounter effective strategies to cut down on the cost, e.g., by requiring the channel-wise operations to be block-diagonal, leading to architectures such as ResNeXt (Xie *et al.*, 2017).

Exercises

- 1. Assume that we have two convolution kernels of size k_1 and k_2 , respectively (with no nonlinearity in-between).
 - 1. Prove that the result of the operation can be expressed by a single convolution.
 - 2. What is the dimensionality of the equivalent single convolution?
 - 3. Is the converse true, i.e., can you always decompose a convolution into two smaller ones?
- 2. Assume an input of shape $c_i \times h \times w$ and a convolution kernel of shape $c_o \times c_i \times k_h \times k_w$, padding of (p_h, p_w) , and stride of (s_h, s_w) .
 - 1. What is the computational cost (multiplications and additions) for the forward propagation?
 - 2. What is the memory footprint?
 - 3. What is the memory footprint for the backward computation?
 - 4. What is the computational cost for the backpropagation?
- 3. By what factor does the number of calculations increase if we double the number of input channels c_i and the number of output channels c_o ? What happens if we double the padding?
- 4. Are the variables Y1 and Y2 in the last example of this section exactly the same? Why?
- 5. Express convolutions as a matrix multiplication, even when the convolution window is not 1×1 ?
- 6. Your task is to implement fast convolutions with a k × k kernel. One of the algorithm candidates is to scan horizontally across the source, reading a k-wide strip and computing the 1-wide output strip one value at a time. The alternative is to read a k + Δ wide strip and compute a Δ-wide output strip. Why is the latter preferable? Is there a limit to how large you should choose Δ?



- 7. Assume that we have a $c \times c$ matrix.
 - 1. How much faster is it to multiply with a block-diagonal matrix if the matrix is broken up into *b* blocks?
 - 2. What is the downside of having *b* blocks? How could you fix it, at least partly?

7.5 Pooling

In many cases our ultimate task asks some global question about the image, e.g., *does it contain a cat?* Consequently, the units of our final layer should be sensitive to the entire input. By gradually aggregating information, yielding coarser and coarser maps, we accomplish this goal of ultimately learning a global representation, while keeping all of the advantages of convolutional layers at the intermediate layers of processing. The deeper we go in the network, the larger the receptive field (relative to the input) to which each hidden node is sensitive. Reducing spatial resolution accelerates this process, since the convolution kernels cover a larger effective area.

Moreover, when detecting lower-level features, such as edges (as discussed in Section 7.2), we often want our representations to be somewhat invariant to translation. For instance, if we take the image X with a sharp delineation between black and white and shift the whole image by one pixel to the right, i.e., Z[i, j] = X[i, j + 1], then the output for the new image Z might be vastly different. The edge will have shifted by one pixel. In reality, objects hardly ever occur exactly at the same place. In fact, even with a tripod and a stationary object, vibration of the camera due to the movement of the shutter might shift everything by a pixel or so (high-end cameras are loaded with special features to address this problem).

This section introduces *pooling layers*, which serve the dual purposes of mitigating the sensitivity of convolutional layers to location and of spatially downsampling representations.

7.5.1 Maximum Pooling and Average Pooling

Like convolutional layers, *pooling* operators consist of a fixed-shape window that is slid over all regions in the input according to its stride, computing a single output for each location traversed by the fixed-shape window (sometimes known as the *pooling window*). However, unlike the cross-correlation computation of the inputs and kernels in the convolutional layer, the pooling layer contains no parameters (there is no *kernel*). Instead, pooling operators are deterministic, typically calculating either the maximum or the average value of the elements in the pooling window. These operations are called *maximum pooling (max-pooling* for short) and *average pooling*, respectively.

Average pooling is essentially as old as CNNs. The idea is akin to downsampling an image. Rather than just taking the value of every second (or third) pixel for the lower resolution image, we can average over adjacent pixels to obtain an image with better signal to noise ratio since we are combining the information from multiple adjacent pixels. *Max-pooling* was introduced in (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999) in the context of cognitive neuroscience to describe how information aggregation might be aggregated hierarchically for the purpose of object recognition, and an earlier version in speech recognition (Yamaguchi *et al.*, 1990). In almost all cases, max-pooling, as it is also referred to, is preferable.

In both cases, as with the cross-correlation operator, we can think of the pooling window as starting from the upper-left of the input tensor and sliding across the input tensor from left to right and top to bottom. At each location that the pooling window hits, it computes the maximum or average value of the input subtensor in the window, depending on whether max or average pooling is employed.

The output tensor in Fig.7.5.1 has a height of 2 and a width of 2. The four elements are


```
Figure 7.5.1 Max-pooling with a pooling window shape of 2 \times 2. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input tensor elements used for the output computation:
max(0, 1, 3, 4) = 4.
```

derived from the maximum value in each pooling window:

$$\max(0, 1, 3, 4) = 4,$$

$$\max(1, 2, 4, 5) = 5,$$

$$\max(3, 4, 6, 7) = 7,$$

$$\max(4, 5, 7, 8) = 8.$$

(7.5.1)

More generally, we can define a $p \times q$ pooling layer by aggregating over a region of said size. Returning to the problem of edge detection, we use the output of the convolutional layer as input for 2×2 max-pooling. Denote by X the input of the convolutional layer input and Y the pooling layer output. Regardless of whether or not the values of X[i, j], X[i, j + 1], X[i+1, j] and X[i+1, j + 1] are different, the pooling layer always outputs Y[i, j] = 1. That is to say, using the 2×2 max-pooling layer, we can still detect if the pattern recognized by the convolutional layer moves no more than one element in height or width.

In the code below, we implement the forward propagation of the pooling layer in the pool2d function. This function is similar to the corr2d function in Section 7.2. However, no kernel is needed, computing the output as either the maximum or the average of each region in the input.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

```
def pool2d(X, pool_size, mode='max'):
    p_h, p_w = pool_size
    Y = np.zeros((X.shape[0] - p_h + 1, X.shape[1] - p_w + 1))
    for i in range(Y.shape[0]):
        for j in range(Y.shape[1]):
            if mode == 'max':
                Y[i, j] = X[i: i + p_h, j: j + p_w].max()
            elif mode == 'avg':
                    Y[i, j] = X[i: i + p_h, j: j + p_w].mean()
    return Y
```

We can construct the input tensor X in Fig.7.5.1 to validate the output of the two-dimensional max-pooling layer.

```
X = np.array([[0.0, 1.0, 2.0], [3.0, 4.0, 5.0], [6.0, 7.0, 8.0]])
pool2d(X, (2, 2))
```

```
array([[4., 5.],
[7., 8.]])
```

Also, we experiment with the average pooling layer.

pool2d(X, (2, 2), 'avg')

array([[2., 3.], [5., 6.]])

7.5.2 Padding and Stride

As with convolutional layers, pooling layers change the output shape. And as before, we can adjust the operation to achieve a desired output shape by padding the input and adjusting the stride. We can demonstrate the use of padding and strides in pooling layers via the built-in two-dimensional max-pooling layer from the deep learning framework. We first construct an input tensor X whose shape has four dimensions, where the number of examples (batch size) and number of channels are both 1.

```
X = np.arange(16, dtype=np.float32).reshape((1, 1, 4, 4))
X
```

```
array([[[[ 0., 1., 2., 3.],
[ 4., 5., 6., 7.],
[ 8., 9., 10., 11.],
[12., 13., 14., 15.]]]])
```

Since pooling aggregates information from an area, deep learning frameworks default to matching pooling window sizes and stride. For instance, if we use a pooling window of shape (3, 3) we get a stride shape of (3, 3) by default.

```
pool2d = nn.MaxPool2D(3)
# Pooling has no model parameters, hence it needs no initialization
pool2d(X)
```

```
array([[[[10.]]])
```

As expected, the stride and padding can be manually specified to override framework defaults if needed.

```
pool2d = nn.MaxPool2D(3, padding=1, strides=2)
pool2d(X)
```

```
array([[[ 5., 7.],
[13., 15.]]])
```

Of course, we can specify an arbitrary rectangular pooling window with arbitrary height and width respectively, as the example below shows.

```
pool2d = nn.MaxPool2D((2, 3), padding=(0, 1), strides=(2, 3))
pool2d(X)
```

array([[[[5., 7.], [13., 15.]]]])

7.5.3 Multiple Channels

When processing multi-channel input data, the pooling layer pools each input channel separately, rather than summing the inputs up over channels as in a convolutional layer. This means that the number of output channels for the pooling layer is the same as the number of input channels. Below, we will concatenate tensors X and X + 1 on the channel dimension to construct an input with 2 channels.

```
X = np.concatenate((X, X + 1), 1)
X
```

array([[[[0.,	1.,	2.,	3.],
[4.,	5.,	6.,	7.],
[8.,	9.,	10.,	11.],
[12.,	13.,	14.,	15.]],
[[1.,	2.,	3.,	4.],
[5.,	6.,	7.,	8.],
[9.,	10.,	11.,	12.],
[13.,	14.,	15.,	16.]]]])

As we can see, the number of output channels is still 2 after pooling.

```
pool2d = nn.MaxPool2D(3, padding=1, strides=2)
pool2d(X)
```

```
array([[[[ 5., 7.],
[13., 15.]],
[[ 6., 8.],
[14., 16.]]]])
```

7.5.4 Summary

Pooling is an exceedingly simple operation. It does exactly what its name indicates, aggregate results over a window of values. All convolution semantics, such as strides and padding apply in the same way as they did previously. Note that pooling is indifferent to channels, i.e., it leaves the number of channels unchanged and it applies to each channel separately. Lastly, of the two popular pooling choices, max-pooling is preferable to average pooling, as it confers some degree of invariance to output. A popular choice is to pick a pooling window size of 2×2 to quarter the spatial resolution of output.

Note that there are many more ways of reducing resolution beyond pooling. For instance, in stochastic pooling (Zeiler and Fergus, 2013) and fractional max-pooling (Graham, 2014) aggregation is combined with randomization. This can slightly improve the accuracy in some cases. Lastly, as we will see later with the attention mechanism, there are more refined ways of aggregating over outputs, e.g., by using the alignment between a query and representation vectors.

Exercises

- 1. Implement average pooling through a convolution.
- 2. Prove that max-pooling cannot be implemented through a convolution alone.
- 3. Max-pooling can be accomplished using ReLU operations, i.e., ReLU(x) = max(0, x).
 - 1. Express max(a, b) by using only ReLU operations.

- 2. Use this to implement max-pooling by means of convolutions and ReLU layers.
- 3. How many channels and layers do you need for a 2×2 convolution? How many for a 3×3 convolution.
- 4. What is the computational cost of the pooling layer? Assume that the input to the pooling layer is of size $c \times h \times w$, the pooling window has a shape of $p_h \times p_w$ with a padding of (p_h, p_w) and a stride of (s_h, s_w) .
- 5. Why do you expect max-pooling and average pooling to work differently?
- 6. Do we need a separate minimum pooling layer? Can you replace it with another operation?
- 7. We could use the softmax operation for pooling. Why might it not be so popular?

Discussions¹¹⁹



7.6 Convolutional Neural Networks (LeNet)

We now have all the ingredients required to assemble a fully-functional CNN. In our earlier encounter with image data, we applied a linear model with softmax regression (Section 4.4) and an MLP (Section 5.2) to pictures of clothing in the Fashion-MNIST dataset. To make such data amenable we first flattened each image from a 28×28 matrix into a fixed-length 784-dimensional vector, and thereafter processed them in fully connected layers. Now that we have a handle on convolutional layers, we can retain the spatial structure in our images. As an additional benefit of replacing fully connected layers with convolutional layers, we will enjoy more parsimonious models that require far fewer parameters.

In this section, we will introduce *LeNet*, among the first published CNNs to capture wide attention for its performance on computer vision tasks. The model was introduced by (and named for) Yann LeCun, then a researcher at AT&T Bell Labs, for the purpose of recognizing handwritten digits in images (LeCun *et al.*, 1998). This work represented the culmination of a decade of research developing the technology. In 1989, LeCun's team published the first study to successfully train CNNs via backpropagation (LeCun *et al.*, 1989).

At the time LeNet achieved outstanding results matching the performance of support vector machines, then a dominant approach in supervised learning, achieving an error rate of less than 1% per digit. LeNet was eventually adapted to recognize digits for processing deposits in ATM machines. To this day, some ATMs still run the code that Yann LeCun and his colleague Leon Bottou wrote in the 1990s!

7.6.1 LeNet

At a high level, LeNet (LeNet-5) consists of two parts: (i) a convolutional encoder consisting of two convolutional layers; and (ii) a dense block consisting of three fully connected layers; The architecture is summarized in Fig.7.6.1.

The basic units in each convolutional block are a convolutional layer, a sigmoid activation function, and a subsequent average pooling operation. Note that while ReLUs and maxpooling work better, these discoveries had not yet been made at the time. Each convolutional layer uses a 5×5 kernel and a sigmoid activation function. These layers map spatially arranged inputs to a number of two-dimensional feature maps, typically increasing the number of channels. The first convolutional layer has 6 output channels, while the second has 16. Each



Figure 7.6.1 Data flow in LeNet. The input is a handwritten digit, the output a probability over 10 possible outcomes.

 2×2 pooling operation (stride 2) reduces dimensionality by a factor of 4 via spatial downsampling. The convolutional block emits an output with shape given by (batch size, number of channel, height, width).

In order to pass output from the convolutional block to the dense block, we must flatten each example in the minibatch. In other words, we take this four-dimensional input and transform it into the two-dimensional input expected by fully connected layers: as a reminder, the two-dimensional representation that we desire uses the first dimension to index examples in the minibatch and the second to give the flat vector representation of each example. LeNet's dense block has three fully connected layers, with 120, 84, and 10 outputs, respectively. Because we are still performing classification, the 10-dimensional output layer corresponds to the number of possible output classes.

While getting to the point where you truly understand what is going on inside LeNet may have taken a bit of work, hopefully the following code snippet will convince you that implementing such models with modern deep learning frameworks is remarkably simple. We need only to instantiate a Sequential block and chain together the appropriate layers, using Xavier initialization as introduced in Section 5.4.2.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

We take some liberty in the reproduction of LeNet insofar as we replace the Gaussian activation layer by a softmax layer. This greatly simplifies the implementation, not the least due to the fact that the Gaussian decoder is rarely used nowadays. Other than that, this network matches the original LeNet-5 architecture.

Let's see what happens inside the network. By passing a single-channel (black and white) 28×28 image through the network and printing the output shape at each layer, we can inspect the model to make sure that its operations line up with what we expect from Fig.7.6.2.



Figure 7.6.2 Compressed notation for LeNet-5.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.Classifier) #@save
def layer_summary(self, X_shape):
    X = np.random.randn(*X_shape)
    for layer in self.net:
        X = layer(X)
        print(layer.__class_.__name__, 'output shape:\t', X.shape)
model = LeNet()
model.layer_summary((1, 1, 28, 28))
```

```
      Conv2D output shape:
      (1, 6, 28, 28)

      AvgPool2D output shape:
      (1, 6, 14, 14)

      Conv2D output shape:
      (1, 16, 10, 10)

      AvgPool2D output shape:
      (1, 16, 5, 5)

      Dense output shape:
      (1, 84)

      Dense output shape:
      (1, 10)
```

Note that the height and width of the representation at each layer throughout the convolutional block is reduced (compared with the previous layer). The first convolutional layer uses 2 pixels of padding to compensate for the reduction in height and width that would otherwise result from using a 5×5 kernel. As an aside, the image size of 28×28 pixels in the original MNIST OCR dataset is a result of *trimming* 2 pixel rows (and columns) from the original scans that measured 32×32 pixels. This was done primarily to save space (a 30% reduction) at a time when Megabytes mattered.

In contrast, the second convolutional layer forgoes padding, and thus the height and width are both reduced by 4 pixels. As we go up the stack of layers, the number of channels increases layer-over-layer from 1 in the input to 6 after the first convolutional layer and 16 after the second convolutional layer. However, each pooling layer halves the height and width. Finally, each fully connected layer reduces dimensionality, finally emitting an output whose dimension matches the number of classes. Now that we have implemented the model, let's run an experiment to see how the LeNet-5 model fares on Fashion-MNIST.

While CNNs have fewer parameters, they can still be more expensive to compute than similarly deep MLPs because each parameter participates in many more multiplications. If you have access to a GPU, this might be a good time to put it into action to speed up training. Note that the d21.Trainer class takes care of all details. By default, it initializes the model parameters on the available devices. Just as with MLPs, our loss function is cross-entropy, and we minimize it via minibatch stochastic gradient descent.

```
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128)
model = LeNet(lr=0.1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



7.6.3 Summary

In this chapter we made significant progress. We moved from the MLPs of the 1980s to the CNNs of the 1990s and early 2000s. The architectures proposed, e.g., in the form of LeNet-5 remain meaningful, even to this day. It is worth comparing the error rates on Fashion-MNIST achievable with LeNet-5 both to the very best possible with MLPs (Section 5.2) and those with significantly more advanced architectures such as ResNet (Section 8.6). LeNet is much more similar to the latter than to the former. One of the primary differences, as we shall see, is that greater amounts of computation afforded significantly more complex architectures.

A second difference is the relative ease with which we were able to implement LeNet. What used to be an engineering challenge worth months of C++ and assembly code, engineering to improve SN, an early Lisp based deep learning tool (Bottou and Le Cun, 1988), and finally experimentation with models can now be accomplished in minutes. It is this incredible productivity boost that has democratized deep learning model development tremendously. In the next chapter we will follow down this rabbit to hole to see where it takes us.

Exercises

- 1. Let's modernize LeNet. Implement and test the following changes:
 - 1. Replace the average pooling with max-pooling.
 - 2. Replace the softmax layer with ReLU.
- Try to change the size of the LeNet style network to improve its accuracy in addition to max-pooling and ReLU.

- 1. Adjust the convolution window size.
- 2. Adjust the number of output channels.
- 3. Adjust the number of convolution layers.
- 4. Adjust the number of fully connected layers.
- 5. Adjust the learning rates and other training details (e.g., initialization and number of epochs.)
- 3. Try out the improved network on the original MNIST dataset.
- 4. Display the activations of the first and second layer of LeNet for different inputs (e.g., sweaters and coats).
- 5. What happens to the activations when you feed significantly different images into the network (e.g., cats, cars, or even random noise)?

Discussions¹²⁰





121

Now that we understand the basics of wiring together CNNs, let's take a tour of modern CNN architectures. This tour is, by necessity, incomplete, thanks to the plethora of exciting new designs being added. Their importance derives from the fact that not only can they be used directly for vision tasks, but they also serve as basic feature generators for more advanced tasks such as tracking (Zhang *et al.*, 2021), segmentation (Long *et al.*, 2015), object detection (Redmon and Farhadi, 2018), or style transformation (Gatys *et al.*, 2016). In this chapter, most sections correspond to a significant CNN architecture that was at some point (or currently) the base model upon which many research projects and deployed systems were built. Each of these networks was briefly a dominant architecture and many were winners or runners-up in the ImageNet competition ¹²¹ which has served as a barometer of progress on supervised learning in computer vision since 2010. It is only recently that transformers have begun to displace CNNs, starting with Dosovitskiy *et al.* (2021) and followed by the swin transformer (Liu *et al.*, 2021). We will cover this development later in the chapter on *Attention Mechanisms and Transformers* (page 381).

While the idea of *deep* neural networks is quite simple (stack together a bunch of layers), performance can vary wildly across architectures and hyperparameter choices. The neural networks described in this chapter are the product of intuition, a few mathematical insights, and a lot of trial and error. We present these models in chronological order, partly to convey a sense of the history so that you can form your own intuitions about where the field is heading and perhaps develop your own architectures. For instance, batch normalization and residual connections described in this chapter have offered two popular ideas for training and designing deep models, both of which have since been applied to architectures beyond computer vision, too.

We begin our tour of modern CNNs with AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012), the first largescale network deployed to beat conventional computer vision methods on a large-scale vision challenge; the VGG network (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014), which makes use of a number of repeating blocks of elements; the network in network (NiN) that convolves whole neural networks patch-wise over inputs (Lin et al., 2013); GoogLeNet that uses networks with multi-branch convolutions (Szegedy et al., 2015); the residual network (ResNet) (He et al., 2016), which remains some of the most popular off-the-shelf architectures in computer vision; ResNeXt blocks (Xie et al., 2017) for sparser connections; and DenseNet (Huang et al., 2017) for a generalization of the residual architecture. Over time many special optimizations for efficient networks were developed, such as coordinate shifts (ShiftNet) (Wu et al., 2018). This culminated in the automatic search for efficient architectures such as MobileNet v3 (Howard et al., 2019). It also includes the semi-automatic design exploration of Radosavovic et al. (2020) that led to the RegNetX/Y which we will discuss later in this chapter. The work is instructive insofar as it offers a path to marry brute force computation with the ingenuity of an experimenter in the search for efficient design spaces. Of note is also the work of Liu et al. (2022) as it shows that training techniques (e.g., optimizers, data augmentation, and regularization) play a pivotal role in improving accuracy. It also shows that long-held assumptions, such as the size of a convolution window, may need to be revisited, given the increase in computation and data. We will cover this and many more questions in due course throughout this chapter.

8.1 Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (AlexNet)

Although CNNs were well known in the computer vision and machine learning communities following the introduction of LeNet (LeCun *et al.*, 1995), they did not immediately dominate the field. Although LeNet achieved good results on early small datasets, the performance and feasibility of training CNNs on larger, more realistic datasets had yet to be established. In fact, for much of the intervening time between the early 1990s and the watershed results of 2012 (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2012), neural networks were often surpassed by other machine learning methods, such as kernel methods (Schölkopf and Smola, 2002), ensemble methods (Freund *et al.*, 1996), and structured estimation (Taskar *et al.*, 2004).

For computer vision, this comparison is perhaps not entirely accurate. That is, although the inputs to convolutional networks consist of raw or lightly-processed (e.g., by centering) pixel values, practitioners would never feed raw pixels into traditional models. Instead, typical computer vision pipelines consisted of manually engineering feature extraction pipelines, such as SIFT (Lowe, 2004), SURF (Bay *et al.*, 2006), and bags of visual words (Sivic and Zisserman, 2003). Rather than *learning* the features, the features were *crafted*. Most of the progress came from having more clever ideas for feature extraction on the one hand and deep insight into geometry (Hartley and Zisserman, 2000) on the other hand. The learning algorithm was often considered an afterthought.

Although some neural network accelerators were available in the 1990s, they were not yet sufficiently powerful to make deep multichannel, multilayer CNNs with a large number of parameters. For instance, NVIDIA's GeForce 256 from 1999 was able to process at most 480 million operations per second (MFLOPs), without any meaningful programming framework for operations beyond games. Today's accelerators are able to perform in excess of 300 TFLOPs per device (NVIDIA's Ampere A100). Note that *FLOPs* are floating-point operations such as multiplications and additions. Moreover, datasets were still relatively small: OCR on 60,000 low-resolution 28×28 pixel images was considered a highly challenging task. Added to these obstacles, key tricks for training neural networks including parameter initialization heuristics (Glorot and Bengio, 2010), clever variants of stochastic gradient descent (Kingma and Ba, 2014), non-squashing activation functions (Nair and Hinton, 2010), and effective regularization techniques (Srivastava *et al.*, 2014) were still missing.

Thus, rather than training *end-to-end* (pixel to classification) systems, classical pipelines looked more like this:

 Obtain an interesting dataset. In the early days, these datasets required expensive sensors. For instance, the Apple QuickTake 100¹²² of 1994 sported a whopping 0.3 Megapixel (VGA) resolution, capable of storing up to 8 images, all for the price of \$1,000.



- 2. Preprocess the dataset with hand-crafted features based on some knowledge of optics, geometry, other analytic tools, and occasionally on the serendipitous discoveries of lucky graduate students.
- 3. Feed the data through a standard set of feature extractors such as the SIFT (scale-invariant feature transform) (Lowe, 2004), the SURF (speeded up robust features) (Bay *et al.*, 2006), or any number of other hand-tuned pipelines. OpenCV still provides SIFT extractors to this day!
- 4. Dump the resulting representations into your favorite classifier, likely a linear model or kernel method, to train a classifier.

If you spoke to machine learning researchers, they believed that machine learning was both important and beautiful. Elegant theories proved the properties of various classifiers (Boucheron

et al., 2005) and convex optimization (Boyd and Vandenberghe, 2004) had become the mainstay for obtaining them. The field of machine learning was thriving, rigorous, and eminently useful. However, if you spoke to a computer vision researcher, you would hear a very different story. The dirty truth of image recognition, they would tell you, is that features, geometry (Hartley and Zisserman, 2000, Hartley and Kahl, 2009), and engineering, rather than novel learning algorithms, drove progress. Computer vision researchers justifiably believed that a slightly bigger or cleaner dataset or a slightly improved feature-extraction pipeline mattered far more to the final accuracy than any learning algorithm.

8.1.1 Representation Learning

Another way to cast the state of affairs is that the most important part of the pipeline was the representation. And up until 2012 the representation was calculated mostly mechanically. In fact, engineering a new set of feature functions, improving results, and writing up the method was a prominent genre of paper. SIFT (Lowe, 2004), SURF (Bay et al., 2006), HOG (histograms of oriented gradient) (Dalal and Triggs, 2005), bags of visual words (Sivic and Zisserman, 2003), and similar feature extractors ruled the roost.

Another group of researchers, including Yann LeCun, Geoff Hinton, Yoshua Bengio, Andrew Ng, Shun-ichi Amari, and Juergen Schmidhuber, had different plans. They believed that features themselves ought to be learned. Moreover, they believed that to be reasonably complex, the features ought to be hierarchically composed with multiple jointly learned layers, each with learnable parameters. In the case of an image, the lowest layers might come to detect edges, colors, and textures, in analogy to how the visual system in animals processes its input. In particular, the automatic design of visual features such as those obtained by sparse coding (Olshausen and Field, 1996) remained an open challenge until the advent of modern CNNs. It was not until Dean et al. (2012), Le (2013) that the idea of generating features from image data automatically gained significant traction.

The first modern CNN (Krizhevsky et al., 2012), named AlexNet after one of its inventors, Alex Krizhevsky, is largely an evolutionary improvement over LeNet. It achieved excellent performance in the 2012 ImageNet challenge.



Figure 8.1.1 Image filters learned by the first layer of AlexNet. Reproduction courtesy of Krizhevsky et al. (2012).

Interestingly in the lowest layers of the network, the model learned feature extractors that resembled some traditional filters. Fig.8.1.1 shows lower-level image descriptors. Higher layers in the network might build upon these representations to represent larger structures, like eyes, noses, blades of grass, and so on. Even higher layers might represent whole objects like people, airplanes, dogs, or frisbees. Ultimately, the final hidden state learns a compact representation of the image that summarizes its contents such that data belonging to different categories can be easily separated.

AlexNet (2012) and its precursor LeNet (1995) share many architectural elements. This begs the question: why did it take so long? A key difference is that over the past two decades, the amount of data and computing power available had increased significantly. As such AlexNet was much larger: it was trained on much more data, and on much faster GPUs, compared to the CPUs available in 1995.

Missing Ingredient: Data

Deep models with many layers require large amounts of data in order to enter the regime where they significantly outperform traditional methods based on convex optimizations (e.g., linear and kernel methods). However, given the limited storage capacity of computers, the relative expense of (imaging) sensors, and the comparatively tighter research budgets in the 1990s, most research relied on tiny datasets. Numerous papers relied on the UCI collection of datasets, many of which contained only hundreds or (a few) thousands of images captured in low resolution and often with an artificially clean background.

In 2009, the ImageNet dataset was released (Deng *et al.*, 2009), challenging researchers to learn models from 1 million examples, 1000 each from 1000 distinct categories of objects. The categories themselves were based on the most popular noun nodes in WordNet (Miller, 1995). The ImageNet team used Google Image Search to prefilter large candidate sets for each category and employed the Amazon Mechanical Turk crowdsourcing pipeline to confirm for each image whether it belonged to the associated category. This scale was unprecedented, exceeding others by over an order of magnitude (e.g., CIFAR-100 has 60,000 images). Another aspect was that the images were at relatively high resolution of 224×224 pixels, unlike the 80 million sized TinyImages dataset (Torralba *et al.*, 2008), consisting of 32×32 pixel thumbnails. This allowed for the formation of higher-level features. The associated competition, dubbed the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (Russakovsky *et al.*, 2015), pushed computer vision and machine learning research forward, challenging researchers to identify which models performed best at a greater scale than academics had previously considered. The largest vision datasets, such as LAION-5B (Schuhmann *et al.*, 2022) contain billions of images with additional metadata.

Missing Ingredient: Hardware

Deep learning models are voracious consumers of compute cycles. Training can take hundreds of epochs, and each iteration requires passing data through many layers of computationallyexpensive linear algebra operations. This is one of the main reasons why in the 1990s and early 2000s, simple algorithms based on the more-efficiently optimized convex objectives were preferred.

Graphical processing units (GPUs) proved to be a game changer in making deep learning feasible. These chips had long been developed for accelerating graphics processing to benefit computer games. In particular, they were optimized for high throughput 4×4 matrix-vector products, which are needed for many computer graphics tasks. Fortunately, the math is strikingly similar to that required to calculate convolutional layers. Around that time, NVIDIA and ATI had begun optimizing GPUs for general computing operations (Fernando, 2004), going as far as to market them as *general-purpose GPUs* (GPGPUs).

To provide some intuition, consider the cores of a modern microprocessor (CPU). Each of the cores is fairly powerful running at a high clock frequency and sporting large caches (up to

several megabytes of L3). Each core is well-suited to executing a wide range of instructions, with branch predictors, a deep pipeline, specialized execution units, speculative execution, and many other bells and whistles that enable it to run a large variety of programs with sophisticated control flow. This apparent strength, however, is also its Achilles heel: general-purpose cores are very expensive to build. They excel at general-purpose code with lots of control flow. This requires lots of chip area, not just for the actual ALU (arithmetic logical unit) where computation happens, but also for all the aforementioned bells and whistles, plus memory interfaces, caching logic between cores, high-speed interconnects, and so on. CPUs are comparatively bad at any single task when compared to dedicated hardware. Modern laptops have 4–8 cores, and even high-end servers rarely exceed 64 cores per socket, simply because it is not cost-effective.

By comparison, GPUs can consist of thousands of small processing elements (NIVIDA's latest Ampere chips have up to 6912 CUDA cores), often grouped into larger groups (NVIDIA calls them warps). The details differ somewhat between NVIDIA, AMD, ARM and other chip vendors. While each core is relatively weak, running at about 1GHz clock frequency, it is the total number of such cores that makes GPUs orders of magnitude faster than CPUs. For instance, NVIDIA's recent Ampere A100 GPU offers over 300 TFLOPs per chip for specialized 16 bit precision (BFLOAT16) matrix-matrix multiplications, and up to 20 TFLOPs for more general-purpose floating point operations (FP32). At the same time, floating point performance of CPUs rarely exceeds 1 TFLOPs. For instance, Amazon's Graviton 3 reaches 2 TFLOPs peak performance for 16 bit precision operations, a number similar to the GPU performance of Apple's M1 processor.

There are many reasons why GPUs are much faster than CPUs in terms of FLOPs. First, power consumption tends to grow *quadratically* with clock frequency. Hence, for the power budget of a CPU core that runs 4 times faster (a typical number), you can use 16 GPU cores at $\frac{1}{4}$ the speed, which yields $16 \times \frac{1}{4} = 4$ times the performance. Second, GPU cores are much simpler (in fact, for a long time they were not even *able* to execute general-purpose code), which makes them more energy efficient. For instance, (i) they tend not to support speculative evaluation, (ii) it typically isn't possible to program each processing element individually, and (iii) the caches per core tend to be much smaller. Last, many operations in deep learning require high memory bandwidth. Again, GPUs shine here with buses that are at least 10 times as wide as many CPUs.

Back to 2012. A major breakthrough came when Alex Krizhevsky and Ilya Sutskever implemented a deep CNN that could run on GPUs. They realized that the computational bottlenecks in CNNs, convolutions and matrix multiplications, are all operations that could be parallelized in hardware. Using two NVIDIA GTX 580s with 3GB of memory, either of which was capable of 1.5 TFLOPs (still a challenge for most CPUs a decade later), they implemented fast convolutions. The cuda-convnet ¹²³ code was good enough that for several years it was the industry standard and powered the first couple years of the deep learning boom.

123 123

8.1.2 AlexNet

AlexNet, which employed an 8-layer CNN, won the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge 2012 by a large margin (Russakovsky *et al.*, 2013). This network showed, for the first time, that the features obtained by learning can transcend manually-designed features, breaking the previous paradigm in computer vision.

The architectures of AlexNet and LeNet are strikingly similar, as Fig.8.1.2 illustrates. Note that we provide a slightly streamlined version of AlexNet removing some of the design quirks that were needed in 2012 to make the model fit on two small GPUs.

There are also significant differences between AlexNet and LeNet. First, AlexNet is much



Figure 8.1.2 From LeNet (left) to AlexNet (right).

deeper than the comparatively small LeNet5. AlexNet consists of eight layers: five convolutional layers, two fully connected hidden layers, and one fully connected output layer. Second, AlexNet used the ReLU instead of the sigmoid as its activation function. Let's delve into the details below.

Architecture

In AlexNet's first layer, the convolution window shape is 11×11 . Since the images in ImageNet are eight times higher and wider than the MNIST images, objects in ImageNet data tend to occupy more pixels with more visual detail. Consequently, a larger convolution window is needed to capture the object. The convolution window shape in the second layer is reduced to 5×5 , followed by 3×3 . In addition, after the first, second, and fifth convolutional layers, the network adds max-pooling layers with a window shape of 3×3 and a stride of 2. Moreover, AlexNet has ten times more convolution channels than LeNet.

After the last convolutional layer, there are two huge fully connected layers with 4096 outputs. These layers require nearly 1GB model parameters. Due to the limited memory in early GPUs, the original AlexNet used a dual data stream design, so that each of their two GPUs could be responsible for storing and computing only its half of the model. Fortunately, GPU memory is comparatively abundant now, so we rarely need to break up models across GPUs these days (our version of the AlexNet model deviates from the original paper in this aspect).

Activation Functions

Besides, AlexNet changed the sigmoid activation function to a simpler ReLU activation function. On the one hand, the computation of the ReLU activation function is simpler. For example, it does not have the exponentiation operation found in the sigmoid activation function. On the other hand, the ReLU activation function makes model training easier when using different parameter initialization methods. This is because, when the output of the sigmoid activation function is very close to 0 or 1, the gradient of these regions is almost 0, so that backpropagation cannot continue to update some of the model parameters. In contrast, the gradient of the ReLU activation function in the positive interval is always 1 (Section 5.1.2). Therefore, if the model parameters are not properly initialized, the sigmoid function may obtain a gradient of almost 0 in the positive interval, so that the model cannot be effectively trained.

Capacity Control and Preprocessing

AlexNet controls the model complexity of the fully connected layer by dropout (Section 5.6), while LeNet only uses weight decay. To augment the data even further, the training loop of AlexNet added a great deal of image augmentation, such as flipping, clipping, and color changes. This makes the model more robust and the larger sample size effectively reduces overfitting. We will discuss data augmentation in greater detail in Section 14.1. See also (Buslaev *et al.*, 2020) for an in-depth review of such preprocessing steps.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

```
class AlexNet(d21.Classifier):
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.net = nn.Sequential()
        self.net.add(
            nn.Conv2D(96, kernel_size=11, strides=4, activation='relu'),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nn.Conv2D(256, kernel_size=5, padding=2, activation='relu'),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nn.Conv2D(384, kernel_size=3, padding=1, activation='relu'),
            nn.Conv2D(384, kernel_size=3, padding=1, activation='relu'),
            nn.Conv2D(256, kernel_size=3, padding=1, activation='relu'),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nn.Dense(4096, activation='relu'), nn.Dropout(0.5),
            nn.Dense(4096, activation='relu'), nn.Dropout(0.5),
            nn.Dense(num_classes))
        self.net.initialize(init.Xavier())
```

We construct a single-channel data example with both height and width of 224 to observe the output shape of each layer. It matches the AlexNet architecture in Fig.8.1.2.

AlexNet().layer_summary((1, 1, 224, 224))

Conv2D output shape: (1, 96, 54, 54) (1, 96, 26, 26) MaxPool2D output shape: (1, 256, 26, 26) Conv2D output shape: MaxPool2D output shape: (1, 256, 12, 12) (1, 384, 12, 12) Conv2D output shape: (1, 384, 12, 12) Conv2D output shape: (1, 256, 12, 12) Conv2D output shape: MaxPool2D output shape: (1, 256, 5, 5) Dense output shape: (1, 4096) Dropout output shape: (1, 4096) Dense output shape: (1, 4096) (1, 4096) Dropout output shape: Dense output shape: (1, 10)

8.1.3 Training

Although AlexNet was trained on ImageNet in (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2012), we use Fashion-MNIST here since training an ImageNet model to convergence could take hours or days even on a modern GPU. One of the problems with applying AlexNet directly on Fashion-MNIST is that its images have lower resolution $(28 \times 28 \text{ pixels})$ than ImageNet images. To make things work, we upsample them to 224×224 . This is generally not a smart practice, as it simply increases the computational complexity without adding information. Nonetheless, we do it here to be faithful to the AlexNet architecture. We perform this resizing with the resize argument in the d21.FashionMNIST constructor.

Now, we can start training AlexNet. Compared to LeNet in Section 7.6, the main change here is the use of a smaller learning rate and much slower training due to the deeper and wider network, the higher image resolution, and the more costly convolutions.

```
model = AlexNet(lr=0.01)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(224, 224))
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```





AlexNet's structure bears a striking resemblance to LeNet, with a number of critical improvements, both for accuracy (dropout) and for ease of training (ReLU). What is equally striking is the amount of progress that has been made in terms of deep learning tooling. What was several months of work in 2012 can now be accomplished in a dozen lines of code using any modern framework.

Reviewing the architecture, we see that AlexNet has an Achilles heel when it comes to efficiency: the last two hidden layers require matrices of size 6400×4096 and 4096×4096 , respectively. This corresponds to 164 MB of memory and 81 MFLOPs of computation, both of which are a nontrivial outlay, especially on smaller devices, such as mobile phones. This is one of the reasons why AlexNet has been surpassed by much more effective architectures that we will cover in the following sections. Nonetheless, it is a key step from shallow to deep networks that are used nowadays. Note that even though the number of parameters by far exceeds the amount of training data in our experiments (the last two layers have more than 40 million parameters, trained on a datasets of 60 thousand images), there is hardly any overfitting: training and validation loss are virtually identical throughout training. This is due to the improved regularization, such as Dropout, inherent in modern deep network designs.

Although it seems that there are only a few more lines in AlexNet's implementation than in LeNet's, it took the academic community many years to embrace this conceptual change and take advantage of its excellent experimental results. This was also due to the lack of efficient computational tools. At the time neither DistBelief (Dean *et al.*, 2012) nor Caffe (Jia

et al., 2014) existed, and Theano (Bergstra *et al.*, 2010) still lacked many distinguishing features. It is only the availability of TensorFlow (Abadi *et al.*, 2016) that changed this situation dramatically.

Exercises

- 1. Following up on the discussion above, analyze the computational properties of AlexNet.
 - 1. Compute the memory footprint for convolutions and fully connected layers, respectively. Which one dominates?
 - 2. Calculate the computational cost for the convolutions and the fully connected layers.
 - 3. How does the memory (read and write bandwidth, latency, size) affect computation? Is there any difference in its effects for training and inference?
- 2. You are a chip designer and need to trade off computation and memory bandwidth. For example, a faster chip requires more power and possibly a larger chip area. More memory bandwidth requires more pins and control logic, thus also more area. How do you optimize?
- 3. Why do engineers no longer report performance benchmarks on AlexNet?
- 4. Try increasing the number of epochs when training AlexNet. Compared with LeNet, how do the results differ? Why?
- 5. AlexNet may be too complex for the Fashion-MNIST dataset, in particular due to the low resolution of the initial images.
 - 1. Try simplifying the model to make the training faster, while ensuring that the accuracy does not drop significantly.
 - 2. Design a better model that works directly on 28×28 images.
- 6. Modify the batch size, and observe the changes in throughput (images/s), accuracy, and GPU memory.
- 7. Apply dropout and ReLU to LeNet-5. Does it improve? Can you improve things further by preprocessing to take advantage of the invariances inherent in the images?
- 8. Can you make AlexNet overfit? Which feature do you need to remove or change to break training?



Discussions¹²⁴

8.2 Networks Using Blocks (VGG)

While AlexNet offered empirical evidence that deep CNNs can achieve good results, it did not provide a general template to guide subsequent researchers in designing new networks. In the following sections, we will introduce several heuristic concepts commonly used to design deep networks.

Progress in this field mirrors that of VLSI (very large scale integration) in chip design where engineers moved from placing transistors to logical elements to logic blocks (Mead, 1980). Similarly, the design of neural network architectures has grown progressively more abstract, with researchers moving from thinking in terms of individual neurons to whole layers, and

now to blocks, repeating patterns of layers. A decade later, this has now progressed to researchers using entire trained models to repurpose them for different, albeit related, tasks. Such large pretrained models are typically called *foundation models* (Bommasani *et al.*, 2021).

Back to network design. The idea of using blocks first emerged from the Visual Geometry Group (VGG) at Oxford University, in their eponymously-named *VGG* network (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014). It is easy to implement these repeated structures in code with any modern deep learning framework by using loops and subroutines.

8.2.1 VGG Blocks

The basic building block of CNNs is a sequence of the following: (i) a convolutional layer with padding to maintain the resolution, (ii) a nonlinearity such as a ReLU, (iii) a pooling layer such as max-pooling to reduce the resolution. One of the problems with this approach is that the spatial resolution decreases quite rapidly. In particular, this imposes a hard limit of $\log_2 d$ convolutional layers on the network before all dimensions (d) are used up. For instance, in the case of ImageNet, it would be impossible to have more than 8 convolutional layers in this way.

The key idea of Simonyan and Zisserman (2014) was to use *multiple* convolutions in between downsampling via max-pooling in the form of a block. They were primarily interested in whether deep or wide networks perform better. For instance, the successive application of two 3×3 convolutions touches the same pixels as a single 5×5 convolution does. At the same time, the latter uses approximately as many parameters $(25 \cdot c^2)$ as three 3×3 convolutions do $(3 \cdot 9 \cdot c^2)$. In a rather detailed analysis they showed that deep and narrow networks significantly outperform their shallow counterparts. This set deep learning on a quest for ever deeper networks with over 100 layers for typical applications. Stacking 3×3 convolutions has become a gold standard in later deep networks (a design decision only to be revisited recently by Liu *et al.* (2022)). Consequently, fast implementations for small convolutions have become a staple on GPUs (Lavin and Gray, 2016).

Back to VGG: a VGG block consists of a *sequence* of convolutions with 3×3 kernels with padding of 1 (keeping height and width) followed by a 2×2 max-pooling layer with stride of 2 (halving height and width after each block). In the code below, we define a function called vgg_block to implement one VGG block.

The function below takes two arguments, corresponding to the number of convolutional layers num_convs and the number of output channels num_channels.

8.2.2 VGG Network

Like AlexNet and LeNet, the VGG Network can be partitioned into two parts: the first consisting mostly of convolutional and pooling layers and the second consisting of fully connected



layers that are identical to those in AlexNet. The key difference is that the convolutional layers are grouped in nonlinear transformations that leave the dimensionality unchanged, followed by a resolution-reduction step, as depicted in Fig.8.2.1.

Figure 8.2.1

From AlexNet to VGG. The key difference is that VGG consists of blocks of layers, whereas AlexNets layers are all designed individually.

The convolutional part of the network connects several VGG blocks from Fig.8.2.1 (also defined in the vgg_block function) in succession. This grouping of convolutions is a pattern that has remained almost unchanged over the past decade, although the specific choice of operations has undergone considerable modifications. The variable conv_arch consists of a list of tuples (one per block), where each contains two values: the number of convolutional layers and the number of output channels, which are precisely the arguments required to call the vgg_block function. As such, VGG defines a *family* of networks rather than just a specific manifestation. To build a specific network we simply iterate over arch to compose the blocks.

The original VGG network had 5 convolutional blocks, among which the first two have one convolutional layer each and the latter three contain two convolutional layers each. The first block has 64 output channels and each subsequent block doubles the number of output channels, until that number reaches 512. Since this network uses 8 convolutional layers and 3 fully connected layers, it is often called VGG-11.

```
VGG(arch=((1, 64), (1, 128), (2, 256), (2, 512), (2, 512))).layer_summary(
        (1, 1, 224, 224))
Sequential output shape: (1, 64, 112, 112)
Sequential output shape: (1, 128, 56, 56)
        (1, 128, 56, 56)
```

```
Sequential output shape: (1, 256, 28, 28)
Sequential output shape: (1, 512, 14, 14)
Sequential output shape: (1, 512, 7, 7)
Dense output shape: (1, 4096)
Dropout output shape: (1, 4096)
Dropout output shape: (1, 4096)
Dropout output shape: (1, 4096)
Dense output shape: (1, 10)
```

As you can see, we halve height and width at each block, finally reaching a height and width of 7 before flattening the representations for processing by the fully connected part of the network. Simonyan and Zisserman (2014) described several other variants of VGG. In fact, it has become the norm to propose *families* of networks with different speed-accuracy trade-off when introducing a new architecture.

8.2.3 Training

Since VGG-11 is computationally more demanding than AlexNet we construct a network with a smaller number of channels. This is more than sufficient for training on Fashion-MNIST. The model training process is similar to that of AlexNet in Section 8.1. Again observe the close match between validation and training loss, suggesting only a small amount of overfitting.

```
model = VGG(arch=((1, 16), (1, 32), (2, 64), (2, 128), (2, 128)), lr=0.01)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(224, 224))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.2.4 Summary

One might argue that VGG is the first truly modern convolutional neural network. While AlexNet introduced many of the components of what make deep learning effective at scale, it is VGG that arguably introduced key properties such as blocks of multiple convolutions and a preference for deep and narrow networks. It is also the first network that is actually an entire family of similarly parametrized models, giving the practitioner ample trade-off between complexity and speed. This is also the place where modern deep learning frameworks shine. It is no longer necessary to generate XML config files to specify a network but rather, to assmple said networks through simple Python code.

Very recently ParNet (Goyal *et al.*, 2021) demonstrated that it is possible to achieve competitive performance using a much more shallow architecture through a large number of parallel computations. This is an exciting development and there's hope that it will influence architecture designs in the future. For the remainder of the chapter, though, we will follow the path of scientific progress over the past decade.

Exercises

- 1. Compared with AlexNet, VGG is much slower in terms of computation, and it also needs more GPU memory.
 - 1. Compare the number of parameters needed for AlexNet and VGG.
 - 2. Compare the number of floating point operations used in the convolutional layers and in the fully connected layers.
 - 3. How could you reduce the computational cost created by the fully connected layers?
- 2. When displaying the dimensions associated with the various layers of the network, we only see the information associated with 8 blocks (plus some auxiliary transforms), even though the network has 11 layers. Where did the remaining 3 layers go?
- Use Table 1 in the VGG paper (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014) to construct other common models, such as VGG-16 or VGG-19.
- 4. Upsampling the resolution in Fashion-MNIST by a factor of 8 from 28 × 28 to 224 × 224 dimensions is very wasteful. Try modifying the network architecture and resolution conversion, e.g., to 56 or to 84 dimensions for its input instead. Can you do so without reducing the accuracy of the network? Consider the VGG paper (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014) for ideas on adding more nonlinearities prior to downsampling.

Discussions¹²⁵



8.3 Network in Network (NiN)

LeNet, AlexNet, and VGG all share a common design pattern: extract features exploiting *spatial* structure via a sequence of convolutions and pooling layers and post-process the representations via fully connected layers. The improvements upon LeNet by AlexNet and VGG mainly lie in how these later networks widen and deepen these two modules.

This design poses two major challenges. First, the fully connected layers at the end of the architecture consume tremendous numbers of parameters. For instance, even a simple model such as VGG-11 requires a monstrous 25088×4096 matrix, occupying almost 400MB of RAM in single precision (FP32). This is a significant impediment to computation, in particular on mobile and embedded devices. After all, even high-end mobile phones sport no more than 8GB of RAM. At the time VGG was invented, this was an order of magnitude less (the iPhone 4S had 512MB). As such, it would have been difficult to justify spending the majority of memory on an image classifier.

Second, it is equally impossible to add fully connected layers earlier in the network to increase the degree of nonlinearity: doing so would destroy the spatial structure and require potentially even more memory.

The *network in network (NiN)* blocks (Lin *et al.*, 2013) offer an alternative, capable of solving both problems in one simple strategy. They were proposed based on a very simple insight: (i)

use 1×1 convolutions to add local nonlinearities across the channel activations and (ii) use global average pooling to integrate across all locations in the last representation layer. Note that global average pooling would not be effective, were it not for the added nonlinearities. Let's dive into this in detail.

8.3.1 NiN Blocks

Recall Section 7.4.3. In it we discussed that the inputs and outputs of convolutional layers consist of four-dimensional tensors with axes corresponding to the example, channel, height, and width. Also recall that the inputs and outputs of fully connected layers are typically twodimensional tensors corresponding to the example and feature. The idea behind NiN is to apply a fully connected layer at each pixel location (for each height and width). The resulting 1×1 convolution can be thought as a fully connected layer acting independently on each pixel location.

Fig.8.3.1 illustrates the main structural differences between VGG and NiN, and their blocks. Note both the difference in the NiN blocks (the initial convolution is followed by 1×1 convolutions, whereas VGG retains 3×3 convolutions) and in the end where we no longer require a giant fully connected layer.



Figure 8.3.1 Comparing the architectures of VGG and NiN, and of their blocks.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
def nin_block(num_channels, kernel_size, strides, padding):
```

(continued from previous page)

8.3.2 NiN Model

NiN uses the same initial convolution sizes as AlexNet (it was proposed shortly thereafter). The kernel sizes are 11×11 , 5×5 , and 3×3 , respectively, and the numbers of output channels match those of AlexNet. Each NiN block is followed by a max-pooling layer with a stride of 2 and a window shape of 3×3 .

The second significant difference between NiN and both AlexNet and VGG is that NiN avoids fully connected layers altogether. Instead, NiN uses a NiN block with a number of output channels equal to the number of label classes, followed by a *global* average pooling layer, yielding a vector of logits. This design significantly reduces the number of required model parameters, albeit at the expense of a potential increase in training time.

```
class NiN(d21.Classifier):
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.net = nn.Sequential()
        self.net.add(
            nin_block(96, kernel_size=11, strides=4, padding=0),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nin_block(256, kernel_size=5, strides=1, padding=2),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nin_block(384, kernel_size=3, strides=1, padding=1),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2),
            nn.Dropout(0.5),
            nin_block(num_classes, kernel_size=3, strides=1, padding=1),
            nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(),
            nn.Flatten())
        self.net.initialize(init.Xavier())
```

We create a data example to see the output shape of each block.

```
model = NiN()
X = np.random.randn(1, 1, 224, 224)
for layer in model.net:
    X = layer(X)
    print(layer.__class__.__name__,'output shape:\t', X.shape)
```

```
Sequential output shape:
                            (1, 96, 54, 54)
                            (1, 96, 26, 26)
MaxPool2D output shape:
                            (1, 256, 26, 26)
Sequential output shape:
MaxPool2D output shape:
                            (1, 256, 12, 12)
                            (1, 384, 12, 12)
Sequential output shape:
                            (1, 384, 5, 5)
MaxPool2D output shape:
Dropout output shape:
                            (1, 384, 5, 5)
Sequential output shape:
                          (1, 10, 5, 5)
GlobalAvgPool2D output shape:
                                  (1, 10, 1, 1)
Flatten output shape:
                          (1, 10)
```

As before we use Fashion-MNIST to train the model using the same optimizer that we used for AlexNet and VGG.

```
model = NiN(lr=0.05)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(224, 224))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.3.4 Summary

NiN has dramatically fewer parameters than AlexNet and VGG. This stems primarily from the fact that it needs no giant fully connected layers. Instead, it uses global average pooling to aggregate across all image locations after the last stage of the network body. This obviates the need for expensive (learned) reduction operations and replaces them by a simple average. What was surprising at the time is the fact that this averaging operation did not harm accuracy. Note that averaging across a low-resolution representation (with many channels) also adds to the amount of translation invariance that the network can handle.

Choosing fewer convolutions with wide kernels and replacing them by 1×1 convolutions aids the quest for fewer parameters further. It affords for a significant amount of nonlinearity across channels within any given location. Both 1×1 convolutions and global average pooling significantly influenced subsequent CNN designs.

Exercises

- 1. Why are there two 1×1 convolutional layers per NiN block? Increase their number to three. Reduce their number to one. What changes?
- 2. What changes if you replace the 1×1 convolutions by 3×3 convolutions?
- 3. What happens if you replace the global average pooling by a fully connected layer (speed, accuracy, number of parameters)?
- 4. Calculate the resource usage for NiN.
 - 1. What is the number of parameters?
 - 2. What is the amount of computation?
 - 3. What is the amount of memory needed during training?
 - 4. What is the amount of memory needed during prediction?
- 5. What are possible problems with reducing the $384 \times 5 \times 5$ representation to a $10 \times 5 \times 5$ representation in one step?

6. Use the structural design decisions in VGG that led to VGG-11, VGG-16, and VGG-19 to design a family of NiN-like networks.

Discussions¹²⁶



8.4 Multi-Branch Networks (GoogLeNet)

In 2014, *GoogLeNet* won the ImageNet Challenge (Szegedy *et al.*, 2015), using a structure that combined the strengths of NiN (Lin *et al.*, 2013), repeated blocks (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014), and a cocktail of convolution kernels. It is arguably also the first network that exhibits a clear distinction among the stem (data ingest), body (data processing), and head (prediction) in a CNN. This design pattern has persisted ever since in the design of deep networks: the *stem* is given by the first 2–3 convolutions that operate on the image. They extract low-level features from the underlying images. This is followed by a *body* of convolutional blocks. Finally, the *head* maps the features obtained so far to the required classification, segmentation, detection, or tracking problem at hand.

The key contribution in GoogLeNet was the design of the network body. It solved the problem of selecting convolution kernels in an ingenious way. While other works tried to identify which convolution, ranging from 1×1 to 11×11 would be best, it simply *concatenated* multi-branch convolutions. In what follows we introduce a slightly simplified version of GoogLeNet: the original design included a number of tricks to stabilize training through intermediate loss functions, applied to multiple layers of the network. They are no longer necessary due to the availability of improved training algorithms.

8.4.1 Inception Blocks

The basic convolutional block in GoogLeNet is called an *Inception block*, stemming from the meme "we need to go deeper" of the movie *Inception*.



Figure 8.4.1 Structure of the Inception block.

As depicted in Fig.8.4.1, the inception block consists of four parallel branches. The first three branches use convolutional layers with window sizes of 1×1 , 3×3 , and 5×5 to extract information from different spatial sizes. The middle two branches also add a 1×1 convolution of the input to reduce the number of channels, reducing the model's complexity. The fourth branch uses a 3×3 max-pooling layer, followed by a 1×1 convolutional layer to change the number of channels. The four branches all use appropriate padding to give the input and output the same height and width. Finally, the outputs along each branch are concatenated along the channel dimension and comprise the block's output. The commonly-tuned hyperparameters of the Inception block are the number of output channels per layer, i.e., how to allocate capacity among convolutions of different size.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
class Inception(nn.Block):
    # `c1`--`c4` are the number of output channels for each branch
    def __init__(self, c1, c2, c3, c4, **kwargs):
        super(Inception, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        # Branch 1
        self.b1_1 = nn.Conv2D(c1, kernel_size=1, activation='relu')
        # Branch 2
        self.b2_1 = nn.Conv2D(c2[0], kernel_size=1, activation='relu')
        self.b2_2 = nn.Conv2D(c2[1], kernel_size=3, padding=1,
                              activation='relu')
        # Branch 3
        self.b3_1 = nn.Conv2D(c3[0], kernel_size=1, activation='relu')
        self.b3_2 = nn.Conv2D(c3[1], kernel_size=5, padding=2,
                              activation='relu')
        # Branch 4
        self.b4_1 = nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=1, padding=1)
        self.b4_2 = nn.Conv2D(c4, kernel_size=1, activation='relu')
    def forward(self, x):
        b1 = self.b1_1(x)
        b2 = self.b2_2(self.b2_1(x))
        b3 = self.b3_2(self.b3_1(x))
        b4 = self.b4_2(self.b4_1(x))
        return np.concatenate((b1, b2, b3, b4), axis=1)
```

To gain some intuition for why this network works so well, consider the combination of the filters. They explore the image in a variety of filter sizes. This means that details at different extents can be recognized efficiently by filters of different sizes. At the same time, we can allocate different amounts of parameters for different filters.

8.4.2 GoogLeNet Model

As shown in Fig.8.4.2, GoogLeNet uses a stack of a total of 9 inception blocks, arranged into 3 groups with max-pooling in between, and global average pooling in its head to generate its estimates. Max-pooling between inception blocks reduces the dimensionality. At its stem, the first module is similar to AlexNet and LeNet.



Figure 8.4.2 The GoogLeNet architecture.

We can now implement GoogLeNet piece by piece. Let's begin with the stem. The first module uses a 64-channel 7×7 convolutional layer.

(continued from previous page)

```
nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2, padding=1))
return net
```

The second module uses two convolutional layers: first, a 64-channel 1×1 convolutional layer, followed by a 3×3 convolutional layer that triples the number of channels. This corresponds to the second branch in the Inception block and concludes the design of the body. At this point we have 192 channels.

The third module connects two complete Inception blocks in series. The number of output channels of the first Inception block is 64 + 128 + 32 + 32 = 256. This amounts to a ratio of the number of output channels among the four branches of 2:4:1:1. Achieving this, we first reduce the input dimensions by $\frac{1}{2}$ and by $\frac{1}{12}$ in the second and third branch respectively to arrive at 96 = 192/2 and 16 = 192/12 channels respectively.

The number of output channels of the second Inception block is increased to 128 + 192 + 96 + 64 = 480, yielding a ratio of 128 : 192 : 96 : 64 = 4 : 6 : 3 : 2. As before, we need to reduce the number of intermediate dimensions in the second and third channel. A scale of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{8}$ respectively suffices, yielding 128 and 32 channels respectively. This is captured by the arguments of the following Inception block constructors.

The fourth module is more complicated. It connects five Inception blocks in series, and they have 192 + 208 + 48 + 64 = 512, 160 + 224 + 64 + 64 = 512, 128 + 256 + 64 + 64 = 512, 112 + 288 + 64 + 64 = 528, and 256 + 320 + 128 + 128 = 832 output channels, respectively. The number of channels assigned to these branches is similar to that in the third module: the second branch with the 3×3 convolutional layer outputs the largest number of channels, followed by the first branch with only the 1×1 convolutional layer, the third branch with the 5×5 convolutional layer, and the fourth branch with the 3×3 max-pooling layer. The second and third branches will first reduce the number of channels according to the ratio. These ratios are slightly different in different Inception blocks.

The fifth module has two Inception blocks with 256 + 320 + 128 + 128 = 832 and 384 + 384 + 128 + 128 = 1024 output channels. The number of channels assigned to each branch

is the same as that in the third and fourth modules, but differs in specific values. It should be noted that the fifth block is followed by the output layer. This block uses the global average pooling layer to change the height and width of each channel to 1, just as in NiN. Finally, we turn the output into a two-dimensional array followed by a fully connected layer whose number of outputs is the number of label classes.

Now that we defined all blocks b1 through b5, it's just a matter of assembling them all into a full network.

The GoogLeNet model is computationally complex. Note the large number of relatively arbitrary hyperparameters in terms of the number of channels chosen, the number of blocks prior to dimensionality reduction, the relative partitioning of capacity across channels, etc. Much of it is due to the fact that at the time when GoogLeNet was introduced, automatic tools for network definition or design exploration were not yet available. For instance, by now we take it for granted that a competent deep learning framework is capable of inferring dimensionalities of input tensors automatically. At the time, many such configurations had to be specified explicitly by the experimenter, thus often slowing down active experimentation. Moreover, the tools needed for automatic exploration were still in flux and initial experiments largely amounted to costly brute force exploration, genetic algorithms, and similar strategies.

For now the only modification we will carry out is to reduce the input height and width from 224 to 96 to have a reasonable training time on Fashion-MNIST. This simplifies the computation. Let's have a look at the changes in the shape of the output between the various modules.

```
model = GoogleNet().layer_summary((1, 1, 96, 96))
```

```
      Sequential output shape:
      (1, 64, 24, 24)

      Sequential output shape:
      (1, 192, 12, 12)

      Sequential output shape:
      (1, 480, 6, 6)

      Sequential output shape:
      (1, 832, 3, 3)

      Sequential output shape:
      (1, 1024, 1, 1)

      Dense output shape:
      (1, 10)
```

8.4.3 Training

As before, we train our model using the Fashion-MNIST dataset. We transform it to 96×96 pixel resolution before invoking the training procedure.

```
model = GoogleNet(lr=0.01)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(96, 96))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.4.4 Discussion

A key feature of GoogLeNet is that it is actually *cheaper* to compute than its predecessors while simultaneously providing improved accuracy. This marks the beginning of a much more deliberate network design that trades off the cost of evaluating a network with a reduction in errors. It also marks the beginning of experimentation at a block level with network design hyperparameters, even though it was entirely manual at the time. We will revisit this topic in Section 8.8 when discussing strategies for network structure exploration.

Over the following sections we will encounter a number of design choices (e.g., batch normalization, residual connections, and channel grouping) that allow us to improve networks significantly. For now, you can be proud to have implemented what is arguably the first truly modern CNN.

Exercises

- 1. GoogLeNet was so successful that it went through a number of iterations. There are several iterations of GoogLeNet that progressively improved speed and accuracy. Try to implement and run some of them. They include the following:
- Add a batch normalization layer (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015), as described later in Section 8.5.
- 3. Make adjustments to the Inception block (width, choice and order of convolutions), as described in (Szegedy *et al.*, 2016).
- 4. Use label smoothing for model regularization, as described in (Szegedy et al., 2016).
- 5. Make further adjustments to the Inception block by adding residual connection (Szegedy *et al.*, 2017), as described later in Section 8.6.
- 6. What is the minimum image size for GoogLeNet to work?
- 7. Can you design a variant of GoogLeNet that works on Fashion-MNIST's native resolution of 28×28 pixels? How would you need to change the stem, the body, and the head of the network, if anything at all?
- 8. Compare the model parameter sizes of AlexNet, VGG, NiN, and GoogLeNet. How do the latter two network architectures significantly reduce the model parameter size?

9. Compare the amount of computation needed in GoogLeNet and AlexNet. How does this affect the design of an accelerator chip, e.g., in terms of memory size, memory bandwidth, cache size, the amount of computation, and the benefit of specialized operations?

127

Discussions¹²⁷

8.5 Batch Normalization

Training deep neural networks is difficult. Getting them to converge in a reasonable amount of time can be tricky. In this section, we describe *batch normalization*, a popular and effective technique that consistently accelerates the convergence of deep networks (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015). Together with residual blocks—covered later in Section 8.6—batch normalization has made it possible for practitioners to routinely train networks with over 100 layers. A secondary (serendipitous) benefit of batch normalization lies in its inherent regularization.

8.5.1 Training Deep Networks

When working with data, we often preprocess before training. Choices regarding data preprocessing often make an enormous difference in the final results. Recall our application of MLPs to predicting house prices (Section 5.7). Our first step when working with real data was to standardize our input features to have zero mean $\mu = 0$ and unit variance $\Sigma = 1$ across multiple observations (Friedman, 1987). At a minimum, one frequently rescales it such that the diagonal is unity, i.e., $\Sigma_{ii} = 1$. Yet another strategy is to rescale vectors to unit length, possibly zero mean *per observation*. This can work well, e.g., for spatial sensor data. These preprocessing techniques and many more are beneficial to keep the estimation problem well controlled. See e.g., the articles by Guyon *et al.* (2008) for a review of feature selection and extraction techniques. Standardizing vectors also has the nice side-effect of constraining the function complexity of functions that act upon it. For instance, the celebrated radius-margin bound (Vapnik, 1995) in support vector machines and the Perceptron Convergence Theorem (Novikoff, 1962) rely on inputs of bounded norm.

Intuitively, this standardization plays nicely with our optimizers since it puts the parameters *a priori* at a similar scale. As such, it is only natural to ask whether a corresponding normalization step *inside* a deep network might not be beneficial. While this isn't quite the reasoning that led to the invention of batch normalization (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015), it is a useful way of understanding it and its cousin, layer normalization (Ba *et al.*, 2016) within a unified framework.

Second, for a typical MLP or CNN, as we train, the variables in intermediate layers (e.g., affine transformation outputs in MLP) may take values with widely varying magnitudes: both along the layers from input to output, across units in the same layer, and over time due to our updates to the model parameters. The inventors of batch normalization postulated informally that this drift in the distribution of such variables could hamper the convergence of the network. Intuitively, we might conjecture that if one layer has variable activations that are 100 times that of another layer, this might necessitate compensatory adjustments in the learning rates. Adaptive solvers such as AdaGrad (Duchi *et al.*, 2011), Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014), Yogi (Zaheer *et al.*, 2018), or Distributed Shampoo (Anil *et al.*, 2020) aim to address this from the viewpoint of optimization, e.g., by adding aspects of second-order methods. The alternative is to prevent the problem from occurring, simply by adaptive normalization.

Third, deeper networks are complex and tend to be more easily capable of overfitting. This means that regularization becomes more critical. A common technique for regularization is

noise injection. This has been known for a long time, e.g., with regard to noise injection for the inputs (Bishop, 1995). It also forms the basis of dropout in Section 5.6. As it turns out, quite serendipitously, batch normalization conveys all three benefits: preprocessing, numerical stability, and regularization.

Batch normalization is applied to individual layers, or optionally, to all of them: In each training iteration, we first normalize the inputs (of batch normalization) by subtracting their mean and dividing by their standard deviation, where both are estimated based on the statistics of the current minibatch. Next, we apply a scale coefficient and an offset to recover the lost degrees of freedom. It is precisely due to this *normalization* based on *batch* statistics that *batch normalization* derives its name.

Note that if we tried to apply batch normalization with minibatches of size 1, we would not be able to learn anything. That is because after subtracting the means, each hidden unit would take value 0. As you might guess, since we are devoting a whole section to batch normalization, with large enough minibatches, the approach proves effective and stable. One takeaway here is that when applying batch normalization, the choice of batch size is even more significant than without batch normalization, or at least, suitable calibration is needed as we might adjust it.

Denote by \mathcal{B} a minibatch and let $x \in \mathcal{B}$ be an input to batch normalization (BN). In this case the batch normalization is defined as follows:

$$BN(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \odot \frac{\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\mathcal{B}}}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\mathcal{B}}} + \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$
(8.5.1)

In (8.5.1), $\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the sample mean and $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the sample standard deviation of the minibatch \mathcal{B} . After applying standardization, the resulting minibatch has zero mean and unit variance. The choice of unit variance (vs. some other magic number) is an arbitrary choice. We recover this degree of freedom by including an elementwise *scale parameter* γ and *shift parameter* β that have the same shape as x. Both are parameters that need to be learned as part of model training.

The variable magnitudes for intermediate layers cannot diverge during training since batch normalization actively centers and rescales them back to a given mean and size (via $\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}$). Practical experience confirms that, as alluded to when discussing feature rescaling, batch normalization seems to allow for more aggressive learning rates. We calculate $\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (8.5.1) as follows:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{x} \text{ and } \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{\mathcal{B}}^2 = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{B}} (\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\mathcal{B}})^2 + \epsilon.$$
(8.5.2)

Note that we add a small constant $\epsilon > 0$ to the variance estimate to ensure that we never attempt division by zero, even in cases where the empirical variance estimate might be very small or even vanish. The estimates $\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{B}}$ counteract the scaling issue by using noisy estimates of mean and variance. You might think that this noisiness should be a problem. Quite to the contrary, this is actually beneficial.

This turns out to be a recurring theme in deep learning. For reasons that are not yet wellcharacterized theoretically, various sources of noise in optimization often lead to faster training and less overfitting: this variation appears to act as a form of regularization. (Teye *et al.*, 2018) and (Luo *et al.*, 2018) relate the properties of batch normalization to Bayesian priors and penalties respectively. In particular, this sheds some light on the puzzle of why batch normalization works best for moderate minibatches sizes in the 50 ~ 100 range. This particular size of minibatch seems to inject just the "right amount" of noise per layer, both in terms of scale via $\hat{\sigma}$, and in terms of offset via $\hat{\mu}$: a larger minibatch regularizes less due to the more stable estimates, whereas tiny minibatches destroy useful signal due to high variance. Exploring this direction further, considering alternative types of preprocessing and filtering may yet lead to other effective types of regularization. Fixing a trained model, you might think that we would prefer using the entire dataset to estimate the mean and variance. Once training is complete, why would we want the same image to be classified differently, depending on the batch in which it happens to reside? During training, such exact calculation is infeasible because the intermediate variables for all data examples change every time we update our model. However, once the model is trained, we can calculate the means and variances of each layer's variables based on the entire dataset. Indeed this is standard practice for models employing batch normalization and thus batch normalization layers function differently in *training mode* (normalizing by minibatch statistics) and in *prediction mode* (normalizing by dataset statistics). In this form they closely resemble the behavior of dropout regularization of Section 5.6, where noise is only injected during training.

8.5.2 Batch Normalization Layers

Batch normalization implementations for fully connected layers and convolutional layers are slightly different. One key difference between batch normalization and other layers is that because batch normalization operates on a full minibatch at a time, we cannot just ignore the batch dimension as we did before when introducing other layers.

Fully Connected Layers

When applying batch normalization to fully connected layers, the original paper inserted batch normalization after the affine transformation and *before* the nonlinear activation function. Later applications experimented with inserting batch normalization right *after* activation functions (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015). Denoting the input to the fully connected layer by x, the affine transformation by Wx + b (with the weight parameter W and the bias parameter b), and the activation function by ϕ , we can express the computation of a batch-normalization-enabled, fully connected layer output h as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{h} = \phi(\mathrm{BN}(\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b})). \tag{8.5.3}$$

Recall that mean and variance are computed on the *same* minibatch on which the transformation is applied.

Convolutional Layers

Similarly, with convolutional layers, we can apply batch normalization after the convolution and before the nonlinear activation function. The key difference from batch normalization in fully connected layers is that we apply the operation on a per-channel basis *across all locations*. This is compatible with our assumption of translation invariance that led to convolutions: we assumed that the specific location of a pattern within an image was not critical for the purpose of understanding.

Assume that our minibatches contain m examples and that for each channel, the output of the convolution has height p and width q. For convolutional layers, we carry out each batch normalization over the $m \cdot p \cdot q$ elements per output channel simultaneously. Thus, we collect the values over all spatial locations when computing the mean and variance and consequently apply the same mean and variance within a given channel to normalize the value at each spatial location. Each channel has its own scale and shift parameters, both of which are scalars.

Layer Normalization

Note that in the context of convolutions the batch normalization is well-defined even for minibatches of size 1: after all, we have all the locations across an image to average. Consequently, mean and variance are well defined, even if it's just within a single observation. This consideration led Ba *et al.* (2016) to introduce the notion of *layer normalization*. It works just like a batch norm, only that it is applied to one observation at a time. Consequently both the offset and the scaling factor are scalars. Given an *n*-dimensional vector \boldsymbol{x} layer norms are given by

$$\boldsymbol{x} \to \mathrm{LN}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\mu}}{\hat{\sigma}},$$
 (8.5.4)

where scaling and offset are applied coefficient-wise and given by

$$\hat{\mu} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \text{ and } \hat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 + \epsilon.$$
 (8.5.5)

As before we add a small offset $\epsilon > 0$ to prevent division by zero. One of the major benefits of using layer normalization is that it prevents divergence. After all, ignoring ϵ , the output of the layer normalization is scale independent. That is, we have $LN(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx LN(\alpha \boldsymbol{x})$ for any choice of $\alpha \neq 0$. This becomes an equality for $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ (the approximate equality is due to the offset ϵ for the variance).

Another advantage of the layer normalization is that it doesn't depend on the minibatch size. It is also independent of whether we are in training or test regime. In other words, it is simply a deterministic transformation that standardizes the activations to a given scale. This can be very beneficial in preventing divergence in optimization. We skip further details and recommend the interested reader to consult the original paper.

Batch Normalization During Prediction

As we mentioned earlier, batch normalization typically behaves differently in training mode and prediction mode. First, the noise in the sample mean and the sample variance arising from estimating each on minibatches are no longer desirable once we have trained the model. Second, we might not have the luxury of computing per-batch normalization statistics. For example, we might need to apply our model to make one prediction at a time.

Typically, after training, we use the entire dataset to compute stable estimates of the variable statistics and then fix them at prediction time. Consequently, batch normalization behaves differently during training and at test time. Recall that dropout also exhibits this characteristic.

8.5.3 Implementation from Scratch

To see how batch normalization works in practice, we implement one from scratch below.

```
from mxnet import autograd, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def batch_norm(X, gamma, beta, moving_mean, moving_var, eps, momentum):
    # Use `autograd` to determine whether we are in training mode
    if not autograd.is_training():
        # In prediction mode, use mean and variance obtained by moving average
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
X_hat = (X - moving_mean) / np.sqrt(moving_var + eps)
else:
   assert len(X.shape) in (2, 4)
   if len(X.shape) == 2:
        # When using a fully connected layer, calculate the mean and
        # variance on the feature dimension
       mean = X.mean(axis=0)
       var = ((X - mean) ** 2).mean(axis=0)
    else:
        # When using a two-dimensional convolutional layer, calculate the
       # mean and variance on the channel dimension (axis=1). Here we
        # need to maintain the shape of `X`, so that the broadcasting
       # operation can be carried out later
       mean = X.mean(axis=(0, 2, 3), keepdims=True)
       var = ((X - mean) ** 2).mean(axis=(0, 2, 3), keepdims=True)
    # In training mode, the current mean and variance are used
   X_hat = (X - mean) / np.sqrt(var + eps)
   # Update the mean and variance using moving average
   moving_mean = (1.0 - momentum) * moving_mean + momentum * mean
   moving_var = (1.0 - momentum) * moving_var + momentum * var
Y = gamma * X_hat + beta # Scale and shift
return Y, moving_mean, moving_var
```

We can now create a proper BatchNorm layer. Our layer will maintain proper parameters for scale gamma and shift beta, both of which will be updated in the course of training. Additionally, our layer will maintain moving averages of the means and variances for subsequent use during model prediction.

Putting aside the algorithmic details, note the design pattern underlying our implementation of the layer. Typically, we define the mathematics in a separate function, say batch_norm. We then integrate this functionality into a custom layer, whose code mostly addresses bookkeeping matters, such as moving data to the right device context, allocating and initializing any required variables, keeping track of moving averages (here for mean and variance), and so on. This pattern enables a clean separation of mathematics from boilerplate code. Also note that for the sake of convenience we did not worry about automatically inferring the input shape here, thus we need to specify the number of features throughout. By now all modern deep learning frameworks offer automatic detection of size and shape in the high-level batch normalization APIs (in practice we will use this instead).

```
class BatchNorm(nn.Block):
   # `num_features`: the number of outputs for a fully connected layer
   # or the number of output channels for a convolutional layer. `num_dims`:
   # 2 for a fully connected layer and 4 for a convolutional layer
   def __init__(self, num_features, num_dims, **kwargs):
       super().__init__(**kwargs)
       if num_dims == 2:
            shape = (1, num_features)
       else:
            shape = (1, num_features, 1, 1)
       # The scale parameter and the shift parameter (model parameters) are
       # initialized to 1 and 0, respectively
       self.gamma = self.params.get('gamma', shape=shape, init=init.One())
       self.beta = self.params.get('beta', shape=shape, init=init.Zero())
       # The variables that are not model parameters are initialized to 0 and
       # 1
       self.moving_mean = np.zeros(shape)
       self.moving_var = np.ones(shape)
   def forward(self, X):
       # If `X` is not on the main memory, copy `moving_mean` and
       # `moving_var` to the device where `X` is located
       if self.moving_mean.ctx != X.ctx:
            self.moving_mean = self.moving_mean.copyto(X.ctx)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
self.moving_var = self.moving_var.copyto(X.ctx)
# Save the updated `moving_mean` and `moving_var`
Y, self.moving_mean, self.moving_var = batch_norm(
    X, self.gamma.data(), self.beta.data(), self.moving_mean,
    self.moving_var, eps=1e-12, momentum=0.1)
return Y
```

We used momentum to govern the aggregation over past mean and variance estimates. This is somewhat of a misnomer as it has nothing whatsoever to do with the *momentum* term of optimization in Section 12.6. Nonetheless, it is the commonly adopted name for this term and in deference to API naming convention we use the same variable name in our code, too.

8.5.4 LeNet with Batch Normalization

To see how to apply BatchNorm in context, below we apply it to a traditional LeNet model (Section 7.6). Recall that batch normalization is applied after the convolutional layers or fully connected layers but before the corresponding activation functions.

```
class BNLeNetScratch(d21.Classifier):
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.net = nn.Sequential()
        self.net.add(
            nn.Conv2D(6, kernel_size=5), BatchNorm(6, num_dims=4),
            nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.AvgPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2),
            nn.Conv2D(16, kernel_size=5), BatchNorm(16, num_dims=4),
            nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.AvgPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2), nn.Dense(120),
            BatchNorm(120, num_dims=2), nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.Dense(84), BatchNorm(84, num_dims=2),
            nn.Activation('sigmoid'), nn.Dense(num_classes))
        self.initialize()
```

As before, we will train our network on the Fashion-MNIST dataset. This code is virtually identical to that when we first trained LeNet.

```
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128)
model = BNLeNetScratch(lr=0.1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



Let's have a look at the scale parameter gamma and the shift parameter beta learned from the first batch normalization layer.

model.net[1].gamma.data().reshape(-1,), model.net[1].beta.data().reshape(-1,)

8.5.5 Concise Implementation

Compared with the BatchNorm class, which we just defined ourselves, we can use the Batch-Norm class defined in high-level APIs from the deep learning framework directly. The code looks virtually identical to our implementation above, except that we no longer need to provide additional arguments for it to get the dimensions right.

```
class BNLeNet(d21.Classifier):
   def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
       super().__init__()
       self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.net = nn.Sequential()
        self.net.add(
            nn.Conv2D(6, kernel_size=5), nn.BatchNorm(),
           nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.AvgPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2),
            nn.Conv2D(16, kernel_size=5), nn.BatchNorm(),
            nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.AvgPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2),
            nn.Dense(120), nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.Dense(84), nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('sigmoid'),
            nn.Dense(num_classes))
        self.initialize()
```

Below, we use the same hyperparameters to train our model. Note that as usual, the high-level API variant runs much faster because its code has been compiled to C++ or CUDA while our custom implementation must be interpreted by Python.

```
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128)
model = BNLeNet(lr=0.1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.5.6 Discussion

Intuitively, batch normalization is thought to make the optimization landscape smoother. However, we must be careful to distinguish between speculative intuitions and true expla-
nations for the phenomena that we observe when training deep models. Recall that we do not even know why simpler deep neural networks (MLPs and conventional CNNs) generalize well in the first place. Even with dropout and weight decay, they remain so flexible that their ability to generalize to unseen data likely needs significantly more refined learning-theoretic generalization guarantees.

In the original paper proposing batch normalization (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015), in addition to introducing a powerful and useful tool, offered an explanation for why it works: by reducing *internal covariate shift*. Presumably by *internal covariate shift* the authors meant something like the intuition expressed above—the notion that the distribution of variable values changes over the course of training. However, there were two problems with this explanation: i) This drift is very different from *covariate shift*, rendering the name a misnomer. If anything, it's closer to concept drift. ii) The explanation offers an under-specified intuition but leaves the question of *why precisely this technique works* an open question wanting for a rigorous explanation. Throughout this book, we aim to convey the intuitions that practitioners use to guide their development of deep neural networks. However, we believe that it is important to separate these guiding intuitions from established scientific fact. Eventually, when you master this material and start writing your own research papers you will want to be clear to delineate between technical claims and hunches.

Following the success of batch normalization, its explanation in terms of *internal covariate shift* has repeatedly surfaced in debates in the technical literature and broader discourse about how to present machine learning research. In a memorable speech given while accepting a Test of Time Award at the 2017 NeurIPS conference, Ali Rahimi used *internal covariate shift* as a focal point in an argument likening the modern practice of deep learning to alchemy. Subsequently, the example was revisited in detail in a position paper outlining troubling trends in machine learning (Lipton and Steinhardt, 2018). Other authors have proposed alternative explanations for the success of batch normalization, some claiming that batch normalization's success comes despite exhibiting behavior that is in some ways opposite to those claimed in the original paper (Santurkar *et al.*, 2018).

We note that the *internal covariate shift* is no more worthy of criticism than any of thousands of similarly vague claims made every year in the technical machine learning literature. Likely, its resonance as a focal point of these debates owes to its broad recognizability to the target audience. Batch normalization has proven an indispensable method, applied in nearly all deployed image classifiers, earning the paper that introduced the technique tens of thousands of citations. We conjecture, though, that the guiding principles of regularization through noise injection, acceleration through rescaling and lastly preprocessing may well lead to further inventions of layers and techniques in the future.

On a more practical note, there are a number of aspects worth remembering about batch normalization:

- During model training, batch normalization continuously adjusts the intermediate output of the network by utilizing the mean and standard deviation of the minibatch, so that the values of the intermediate output in each layer throughout the neural network are more stable.
- Batch normalization for fully connected layers and convolutional layers are slightly different. In fact, for convolutional layers, layer normalization can sometimes be used as an alternative.
- Like a dropout layer, batch normalization layers have different behaviors in training mode and prediction mode.
- Batch normalization is useful for regularization and improving convergence in optimization. On the other hand, the original motivation of reducing internal covariate shift seems not to be a valid explanation.

Exercises

- 1. Should we remove the bias parameter from the fully connected layer or the convolutional layer before the batch normalization? Why?
- 2. Compare the learning rates for LeNet with and without batch normalization.
 - 1. Plot the increase in validation accuracy.
 - 2. How large can you make the learning rate before the optimization fails in both cases?
- 3. Do we need batch normalization in every layer? Experiment with it?
- 4. Implement a "lite" version of batch normalization that only removes the mean, or alternatively one that only removes the variance. How does it behave?
- 5. Fix the parameters beta and gamma. Observe and analyze the results.
- 6. Can you replace dropout by batch normalization? How does the behavior change?
- 7. Research ideas: think of other normalization transforms that you can apply:
 - 1. Can you apply the probability integral transform?
 - 2. Can you use a full rank covariance estimate? Why should you probably not do that?
 - 3. Can you use other compact matrix variants (block-diagonal, low-displacement rank, Monarch, etc.)?
 - 4. Does a sparsification compression act as a regularizer?
 - 5. Are there other projections (e.g., convex cone, symmetry group-specific transforms) that you can use?

Discussions¹²⁸



8.6 Residual Networks (ResNet) and ResNeXt

As we design increasingly deeper networks it becomes imperative to understand how adding layers can increase the complexity and expressiveness of the network. Even more important is the ability to design networks where adding layers makes networks strictly more expressive rather than just different. To make some progress we need a bit of mathematics.

8.6.1 Function Classes

Consider \mathcal{F} , the class of functions that a specific network architecture (together with learning rates and other hyperparameter settings) can reach. That is, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists some set of parameters (e.g., weights and biases) that can be obtained through training on a suitable dataset. Let's assume that f^* is the "truth" function that we really would like to find. If it is in \mathcal{F} , we are in good shape but typically we will not be quite so lucky. Instead, we will try to find some $f^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ which is our best bet within \mathcal{F} . For instance, given a dataset with features X and labels y, we might try finding it by solving the following optimization problem:

$$f_{\mathcal{F}}^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{f} L(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y}, f) \text{ subject to } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$
(8.6.1)

We know that regularization (Morozov, 1984, Tikhonov and Arsenin, 1977) may control complexity of \mathcal{F} and achieve consistency, so a larger size of training data generally leads to

better $f_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. It is only reasonable to assume that if we design a different and more powerful architecture \mathcal{F}' we should arrive at a better outcome. In other words, we would expect that $f_{\mathcal{F}'}^*$ is "better" than $f_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. However, if $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ there is no guarantee that this should even happen. In fact, $f_{\mathcal{F}'}^*$ might well be worse. As illustrated by Fig.8.6.1, for non-nested function classes, a larger function class does not always move closer to the "truth" function f^* . For instance, on the left of Fig.8.6.1, though \mathcal{F}_3 is closer to f^* than $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_6$ moves away and there is no guarantee that further increasing the complexity can reduce the distance from f^* . With nested function classes where $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_6$ on the right of Fig.8.6.1, we can avoid the aforementioned issue from the non-nested function classes.



Figure 8.6.1

For non-nested function classes, a larger (indicated by area) function class does not guarantee to get closer to the truth function (f^*) . This does not happen in nested function classes.

Thus, only if larger function classes contain the smaller ones are we guaranteed that increasing them strictly increases the expressive power of the network. For deep neural networks, if we can train the newly-added layer into an identity function f(x) = x, the new model will be as effective as the original model. As the new model may get a better solution to fit the training dataset, the added layer might make it easier to reduce training errors.

This is the question that (He *et al.*, 2016) considered when working on very deep computer vision models. At the heart of their proposed *residual network* (*ResNet*) is the idea that every additional layer should more easily contain the identity function as one of its elements. These considerations are rather profound but they led to a surprisingly simple solution, a *residual block*. With it, ResNet won the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge in 2015. The design had a profound influence on how to build deep neural networks. For instance, residual blocks have been added to recurrent networks (Kim *et al.*, 2017, Prakash *et al.*, 2016). Likewise, transformers (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017) use them to stack many layers of networks efficiently. It is also used in graph neural networks (Kipf and Welling, 2016) and, as a basic concept, it has been used extensively in computer vision (Redmon and Farhadi, 2018, Ren *et al.*, 2015). Note that residual networks are predated by highway networks (Srivastava *et al.*, 2015) that share some of the motivation, albeit without the elegant parametrization around the identity function.

8.6.2 Residual Blocks

Let's focus on a local part of a neural network, as depicted in Fig.8.6.2. Denote the input by x. We assume that the desired underlying mapping we want to obtain by learning is f(x), to be used as input to the activation function on the top. On the left, the portion within the dotted-line box must directly learn the mapping f(x). On the right, the portion within the dotted-line box needs to learn the *residual mapping* g(x) = f(x) - x, which is how the residual block derives its name. If the identity mapping f(x) = x is the desired underlying mapping, the residual mapping amounts to g(x) = 0 and it is thus easier to learn: we only

need to push the weights and biases of the upper weight layer (e.g., fully connected layer and convolutional layer) within the dotted-line box to zero. The right figure illustrates the *residual block* of ResNet, where the solid line carrying the layer input x to the addition operator is called a *residual connection* (or *shortcut connection*). With residual blocks, inputs can forward propagate faster through the residual connections across layers. In fact, the residual block can be thought of as a special case of the multi-branch Inception block: it has two branches one of which is the identity mapping.



Figure 8.6.2 In a regular block (left), the portion within the dotted-line box must directly learn the mapping f(x). In a residual block (right), the portion within the dotted-line box needs to learn the residual mapping g(x) = f(x) - x, making the identity mapping f(x) = x easier to learn.

ResNet follows VGG's full 3×3 convolutional layer design. The residual block has two 3×3 convolutional layers with the same number of output channels. Each convolutional layer is followed by a batch normalization layer and a ReLU activation function. Then, we skip these two convolution operations and add the input directly before the final ReLU activation function. This kind of design requires that the output of the two convolutional layers has to be of the same shape as the input, so that they can be added together. If we want to change the number of channels, we need to introduce an additional 1×1 convolutional layer to transform the input into the desired shape for the addition operation. Let's have a look at the code below.

```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
class Residual(nn.Block): #@save
    """The Residual block of ResNet."""
    def __init__(self, num_channels, use_1x1conv=False, strides=1, **kwargs):
        super().__init__(**kwargs)
        self.conv1 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=3, padding=1,
                               strides=strides)
        self.conv2 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=3, padding=1)
        if use 1x1conv:
            self.conv3 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=1,
                                   strides=strides)
        else:
            self.conv3 = None
        self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm()
        self.bn2 = nn.BatchNorm()
    def forward(self, X):
        Y = npx.relu(self.bn1(self.conv1(X)))
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Y = self.bn2(self.conv2(Y))
if self.conv3:
    X = self.conv3(X)
return npx.relu(Y + X)
```

This code generates two types of networks: one where we add the input to the output before applying the ReLU nonlinearity whenever use_1x1conv=False, and one where we adjust channels and resolution by means of a 1×1 convolution before adding. Fig.8.6.3 illustrates this.



Figure 8.6.3

ResNet block with and without 1×1 convolution, which transforms the input into the desired shape for the addition operation.

Now let's look at a situation where the input and output are of the same shape, where 1×1 convolution is not needed.

```
blk = Residual(3)
blk.initialize()
X = np.random.randn(4, 3, 6, 6)
blk(X).shape
```

(4, 3, 6, 6)

We also have the option to halve the output height and width while increasing the number of output channels. In this case we use 1×1 convolutions via use_1x1conv=True. This comes in handy at the beginning of each ResNet block to reduce the spatial dimensionality via strides=2.

```
blk = Residual(6, use_1x1conv=True, strides=2)
blk.initialize()
blk(X).shape
```

(4, 6, 3, 3)

8.6.3 ResNet Model

The first two layers of ResNet are the same as those of the GoogLeNet we described before: the 7×7 convolutional layer with 64 output channels and a stride of 2 is followed by the

 3×3 max-pooling layer with a stride of 2. The difference is the batch normalization layer added after each convolutional layer in ResNet.

GoogLeNet uses four modules made up of Inception blocks. However, ResNet uses four modules made up of residual blocks, each of which uses several residual blocks with the same number of output channels. The number of channels in the first module is the same as the number of input channels. Since a max-pooling layer with a stride of 2 has already been used, it is not necessary to reduce the height and width. In the first residual block for each of the subsequent modules, the number of channels is doubled compared with that of the previous module, and the height and width are halved.

```
@d21.add_to_class(ResNet)
def block(self, num_residuals, num_channels, first_block=False):
    blk = nn.Sequential()
    for i in range(num_residuals):
        if i == 0 and not first_block:
            blk.add(Residual(num_channels, use_1x1conv=True, strides=2))
        else:
            blk.add(Residual(num_channels))
    return blk
```

Then, we add all the modules to ResNet. Here, two residual blocks are used for each module. Lastly, just like GoogLeNet, we add a global average pooling layer, followed by the fully connected layer output.

```
@d21.add_to_class(ResNet)
def __init__(self, arch, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
    super(ResNet, self).__init__()
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    self.net = nn.Sequential()
    self.net.add(self.b1())
    for i, b in enumerate(arch):
        self.net.add(self.block(*b, first_block=(i==0)))
    self.net.add(nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(), nn.Dense(num_classes))
    self.net.initialize(init.Xavier())
```

There are 4 convolutional layers in each module (excluding the 1×1 convolutional layer). Together with the first 7×7 convolutional layer and the final fully connected layer, there are 18 layers in total. Therefore, this model is commonly known as ResNet-18. By configuring different numbers of channels and residual blocks in the module, we can create different ResNet models, such as the deeper 152-layer ResNet-152. Although the main architecture of ResNet is similar to that of GoogLeNet, ResNet's structure is simpler and easier to modify. All these factors have resulted in the rapid and widespread use of ResNet. Fig.8.6.4 depicts the full ResNet-18.

Before training ResNet, let's observe how the input shape changes across different modules in ResNet. As in all the previous architectures, the resolution decreases while the number of channels increases up until the point where a global average pooling layer aggregates all features.

```
class ResNet18(ResNet):
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
```

(continues on next page)



Figure 8.6.4 The ResNet-18 architecture.

(continued from previous page)

ResNet18().layer_summary((1, 1, 96, 96))

```
Sequential output shape: (1, 64, 24, 24)
Sequential output shape: (1, 64, 24, 24)
Sequential output shape: (1, 128, 12, 12)
Sequential output shape: (1, 256, 6, 6)
Sequential output shape: (1, 512, 3, 3)
GlobalAvgPool2D output shape: (1, 512, 1, 1)
Dense output shape: (1, 10)
```

8.6.4 Training

We train ResNet on the Fashion-MNIST dataset, just like before. ResNet is quite a powerful and flexible architecture. The plot capturing training and validation loss illustrates a significant gap between both graphs, with the training loss being significantly lower. For a network of this flexibility, more training data would offer significant benefit in closing the gap and improving accuracy.

```
model = ResNet18(lr=0.01)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(96, 96))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.6.5 ResNeXt

One of the challenges one encounters in the design of ResNet is the trade-off between nonlinearity and dimensionality within a given block. That is, we could add more nonlinearity by increasing the number of layers, or by increasing the width of the convolutions. An alternative strategy is to increase the number of channels that can carry information between blocks. Unfortunately, the latter comes with a quadratic penalty since the computational cost of ingesting c_i channels and emitting c_o channels is proportional to $\mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o)$ (see our discussion in Section 7.4).

We can take some inspiration from the Inception block of Fig.8.4.1 which has information flowing through the block in separate groups. Applying the idea of multiple independent groups to the ResNet block of Fig.8.6.3 led to the design of ResNeXt (Xie *et al.*, 2017). Different from the smorgasbord of transformations in Inception, ResNeXt adopts the *same* transformation in all branches, thus minimizing the need for manual tuning of each branch.



Figure 8.6.5 The ResNeXt block. The use of grouped convolution with g groups is g times faster than a dense convolution. It is a bottleneck residual block when the number of intermediate channels b is less than c.

Breaking up a convolution from c_i to c_o channels into one of g groups of size c_i/g generating g outputs of size c_o/g is called, quite fittingly, a grouped convolution. The computational cost (proportionally) is reduced from $\mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o)$ to $\mathcal{O}(g \cdot (c_i/g) \cdot (c_o/g)) = \mathcal{O}(c_i \cdot c_o/g)$, i.e., it is g times faster. Even better, the number of parameters needed to generate the output is also reduced from a $c_i \times c_o$ matrix to g smaller matrices of size $(c_i/g) \times (c_o/g)$, again a g times reduction. In what follows we assume that both c_i and c_o are divisible by g.

The only challenge in this design is that no information is exchanged between the g groups. The ResNeXt block of Fig.8.6.5 amends this in two ways: the grouped convolution with a 3×3 kernel is sandwiched in between two 1×1 convolutions. The second one serves double duty in changing the number of channels back. The benefit is that we only pay the $O(c \cdot b)$ cost for 1×1 kernels and can make do with an $O(b^2/g)$ cost for 3×3 kernels. Similar to the residual block implementation in Section 8.6.2, the residual connection is replaced (thus generalized) by a 1×1 convolution.

The right figure in Fig.8.6.5 provides a much more concise summary of the resulting network block. It will also play a major role in the design of generic modern CNNs in Section 8.8. Note that the idea of grouped convolutions dates back to the implementation of AlexNet (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2012). When distributing the network across two GPUs with limited memory, the implementation treated each GPU as its own channel with no ill effects.

The following implementation of the ResNeXtBlock class takes as argument groups (g), with bot_channels (b) intermediate (bottleneck) channels. Lastly, when we need to reduce the

height and width of the representation, we add a stride of 2 by setting use_1x1conv=True, strides=2.

```
class ResNeXtBlock(nn.Block): #@save
    ""The ResNeXt block.
    def __init__(self, num_channels, groups, bot_mul,
                use_1x1conv=False, strides=1, **kwargs):
        super().__init__(**kwargs)
        bot_channels = int(round(num_channels * bot_mul))
        self.conv1 = nn.Conv2D(bot_channels, kernel_size=1, padding=0,
                               strides=1)
        self.conv2 = nn.Conv2D(bot_channels, kernel_size=3, padding=1,
                               strides=strides, groups=bot_channels//groups)
        self.conv3 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=1, padding=0,
                               strides=1)
        self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm()
        self.bn2 = nn.BatchNorm()
        self.bn3 = nn.BatchNorm()
        if use 1x1conv:
            self.conv4 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=1,
                                   strides=strides)
            self.bn4 = nn.BatchNorm()
        else:
            self.conv4 = None
    def forward(self, X):
        Y = npx.relu(self.bn1(self.conv1(X)))
        Y = npx.relu(self.bn2(self.conv2(Y)))
        Y = self.bn3(self.conv3(Y))
        if self.conv4:
           X = self.bn4(self.conv4(X))
        return npx.relu(Y + X)
```

Its use is entirely analogous to that of the ResNetBlock discussed previously. For instance, when using (use_1x1conv=False, strides=1), the input and output are of the same shape. Alternatively, setting use_1x1conv=True, strides=2 halves the output height and width.

```
blk = ResNeXtBlock(32, 16, 1)
blk.initialize()
X = np.random.randn(4, 32, 96, 96)
blk(X).shape
```

(4, 32, 96, 96)

8.6.6 Summary and Discussion

Nested function classes are desirable since they allow us to obtain strictly *more powerful* rather than also subtly *different* function classes when adding capacity. One way to accomplish this is by allowing additional layers to simply pass through the input to the output. Residual connections allow for this. As a consequence, this changes the inductive bias from simple functions being of the form f(x) = 0 to simple functions looking like f(x) = x.

The residual mapping can learn the identity function more easily, such as pushing parameters in the weight layer to zero. We can train an effective *deep* neural network by having residual blocks. Inputs can forward propagate faster through the residual connections across layers. As a consequence, we can thus train much deeper networks. For instance, the original ResNet paper (He *et al.*, 2016) allowed for up to 152 layers. Another benefit of residual networks is that it allows us to add layers, initialized as the identity function, *during* the training process. After all, the default behavior of a layer is to let the data pass through unchanged. This can accelerate the training of very large networks in some cases.

Prior to residual connections, bypassing paths with gating units were introduced to effectively train highway networks with over 100 layers (Srivastava *et al.*, 2015). Using identity functions as bypassing paths, ResNet performed remarkably well on multiple computer vision tasks. Residual connections had a major influence on the design of subsequent deep neural networks, both for convolutional and sequential nature. As we will introduce later, the transformer architecture (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017) adopts residual connections (together with other design choices) and is pervasive in areas as diverse as language, vision, speech, and reinforcement learning.

ResNeXt is an example for how the design of convolutional neural networks has evolved over time: by being more frugal with computation and trading it off with the size of the activations (number of channels), it allows for faster and more accurate networks at lower cost. An alternative way of viewing grouped convolutions is to think of a block-diagonal matrix for the convolutional weights. Note that there are quite a few such "tricks" that lead to more efficient networks. For instance, ShiftNet (Wu *et al.*, 2018) mimicks the effects of a 3×3 convolution, simply by adding shifted activations to the channels, offering increased function complexity, this time without any computational cost.

A common feature of the designs we have discussed so far is that the network design is fairly manual, primarily relying on the ingenuity of the designer to find the "right" network hyperparameters. While clearly feasible, it is also very costly in terms of human time and there is no guarantee that the outcome is optimal in any sense. In Section 8.8 we will discuss a number of strategies for obtaining high quality networks in a more automated fashion. In particular, we will review the notion of *network design spaces* that led to the RegNetX/Y models (Radosavovic *et al.*, 2020).

Exercises

- 1. What are the major differences between the Inception block in Fig.8.4.1 and the residual block? How do they compare in terms of computation, accuracy, and the classes of functions they can describe?
- 2. Refer to Table 1 in the ResNet paper (He *et al.*, 2016) to implement different variants of the network.
- 3. For deeper networks, ResNet introduces a "bottleneck" architecture to reduce model complexity. Try to implement it.
- 4. In subsequent versions of ResNet, the authors changed the "convolution, batch normalization, and activation" structure to the "batch normalization, activation, and convolution" structure. Make this improvement yourself. See Figure 1 in He *et al.* (2016) for details.
- 5. Why can't we just increase the complexity of functions without bound, even if the function classes are nested?

Discussions¹²⁹



8.7 Densely Connected Networks (DenseNet)

ResNet significantly changed the view of how to parametrize the functions in deep networks. *DenseNet* (dense convolutional network) is to some extent the logical extension of this (Huang *et al.*, 2017). DenseNet is characterized by both the connectivity pattern where each layer connects to all the preceding layers and the concatenation operation (rather than the addition

operator in ResNet) to preserve and reuse features from earlier layers. To understand how to arrive at it, let's take a small detour to mathematics.

8.7.1 From ResNet to DenseNet

Recall the Taylor expansion for functions. For the point x = 0 it can be written as

$$f(x) = f(0) + x \cdot \left[f'(0) + x \cdot \left[\frac{f''(0)}{2!} + x \cdot \left[\frac{f'''(0)}{3!} + \dots \right] \right] \right].$$
 (8.7.1)

The key point is that it decomposes a function into increasingly higher order terms. In a similar vein, ResNet decomposes functions into

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x} + g(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{8.7.2}$$

That is, ResNet decomposes f into a simple linear term and a more complex nonlinear one. What if we wanted to capture (not necessarily add) information beyond two terms? One such solution is DenseNet (Huang et al., 2017).



Figure 8.7.1 The main difference between ResNet (left) and DenseNet (right) in cross-layer connections: use of addition and use of concatenation.

> As shown in Fig.8.7.1, the key difference between ResNet and DenseNet is that in the latter case outputs are *concatenated* (denoted by [,]) rather than added. As a result, we perform a mapping from x to its values after applying an increasingly complex sequence of functions:

$$\boldsymbol{x} \to [\boldsymbol{x}, f_1(\boldsymbol{x}), f_2([\boldsymbol{x}, f_1(\boldsymbol{x})]), f_3([\boldsymbol{x}, f_1(\boldsymbol{x}), f_2([\boldsymbol{x}, f_1(\boldsymbol{x})])]), \ldots].$$
(8.7.3)

In the end, all these functions are combined in MLP to reduce the number of features again. In terms of implementation this is quite simple: rather than adding terms, we concatenate them. The name DenseNet arises from the fact that the dependency graph between variables becomes quite dense. The last layer of such a chain is densely connected to all previous layers. The dense connections are shown in Fig.8.7.2.





Figure 8.7.2 Dense connections in DenseNet. Note how the dimensionality increases with depth.

The main components that compose a DenseNet are *dense blocks* and *transition layers*. The former define how the inputs and outputs are concatenated, while the latter control the number of channels so that it is not too large, since the expansion $x \to [x, f_1(x), f_2([x, f_1(x)]), \ldots]$ can be quite high-dimensional.

8.7.2 Dense Blocks

DenseNet uses the modified "batch normalization, activation, and convolution" structure of ResNet (see the exercise in Section 8.6). First, we implement this convolution block structure.

A *dense block* consists of multiple convolution blocks, each using the same number of output channels. In the forward propagation, however, we concatenate the input and output of each convolution block on the channel dimension. Lazy evaluation allows us to adjust the dimensionality automatically.

```
class DenseBlock(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_convs, num_channels):
        super().__init__()
        self.net = nn.Sequential()
        for _ in range(num_convs):
            self.net.add(conv_block(num_channels))
    def forward(self, X):
        for blk in self.net:
            Y = blk(X)
            # Concatenate input and output of each block along the channels
            X = np.concatenate((X, Y), axis=1)
            return X
```

In the following example, we define a DenseBlock instance with 2 convolution blocks of 10 output channels. When using an input with 3 channels, we will get an output with 3+10+10 = 23 channels. The number of convolution block channels controls the growth in the number of output channels relative to the number of input channels. This is also referred to as the *growth rate*.

```
blk = DenseBlock(2, 10)
X = np.random.uniform(size=(4, 3, 8, 8))
blk.initialize()
Y = blk(X)
Y.shape
```

(4, 23, 8, 8)

8.7.3 Transition Layers

Since each dense block will increase the number of channels, adding too many of them will lead to an excessively complex model. A *transition layer* is used to control the complexity of the model. It reduces the number of channels by using an 1×1 convolution. Moreover, it halves the height and width via average pooling with a stride of 2.

Apply a transition layer with 10 channels to the output of the dense block in the previous example. This reduces the number of output channels to 10, and halves the height and width.

```
blk = transition_block(10)
blk.initialize()
blk(Y).shape
```

(4, 10, 4, 4)

8.7.4 DenseNet Model

Next, we will construct a DenseNet model. DenseNet first uses the same single convolutional layer and max-pooling layer as in ResNet.

```
class DenseNet(d21.Classifier):
    def b1(self):
        net = nn.Sequential()
        net.add(nn.Conv2D(64, kernel_size=7, strides=2, padding=3),
            nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('relu'),
            nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=3, strides=2, padding=1))
        return net
```

Then, similar to the four modules made up of residual blocks that ResNet uses, DenseNet uses four dense blocks. Similar to ResNet, we can set the number of convolutional layers used in each dense block. Here, we set it to 4, consistent with the ResNet-18 model in Section 8.6. Furthermore, we set the number of channels (i.e., growth rate) for the convolutional layers in the dense block to 32, so 128 channels will be added to each dense block.

In ResNet, the height and width are reduced between each module by a residual block with a stride of 2. Here, we use the transition layer to halve the height and width and halve the number of channels. Similar to ResNet, a global pooling layer and a fully connected layer are connected at the end to produce the output.

```
@d21.add_to_class(DenseNet)
def __init__(self, num_channels=64, growth_rate=32, arch=(4, 4, 4, 4),
             lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
    super(DenseNet, self).__init__()
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    self.net = nn.Sequential()
    self.net.add(self.b1())
    for i, num_convs in enumerate(arch):
        self.net.add(DenseBlock(num_convs, growth_rate))
        # The number of output channels in the previous dense block
        num_channels += num_convs * growth_rate
        # A transition layer that halves the number of channels is added
        # between the dense blocks
        if i != len(arch) - 1:
            num_channels //= 2
            self.net.add(transition_block(num_channels))
    self.net.add(nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('relu'),
```

(continued from previous page)

```
nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(), nn.Dense(num_classes))
self.net.initialize(init.Xavier())
```

8.7.5 Training

Since we are using a deeper network here, in this section, we will reduce the input height and width from 224 to 96 to simplify the computation.

```
model = DenseNet(lr=0.01)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(96, 96))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



8.7.6 Summary and Discussion

The main components that compose DenseNet are dense blocks and transition layers. For the latter, we need to keep the dimensionality under control when composing the network by adding transition layers that shrink the number of channels again. In terms of cross-layer connections, unlike ResNet, where inputs and outputs are added together, DenseNet concatenates inputs and outputs on the channel dimension. Although these concatenation operations reuse features to achieve computational efficiency, unfortunately they lead to heavy GPU memory consumption. As a result, applying DenseNet may require more memory-efficient implementations that may increase training time (Pleiss *et al.*, 2017).

Exercises

- 1. Why do we use average pooling rather than max-pooling in the transition layer?
- 2. One of the advantages mentioned in the DenseNet paper is that its model parameters are smaller than those of ResNet. Why is this the case?
- 3. One problem for which DenseNet has been criticized is its high memory consumption.
 - 1. Is this really the case? Try to change the input shape to 224×224 to see the actual GPU memory consumption empirically.
 - 2. Can you think of an alternative means of reducing the memory consumption? How would you need to change the framework?
- 4. Implement the various DenseNet versions presented in Table 1 of the DenseNet paper (Huang *et al.*, 2017).

5. Design an MLP-based model by applying the DenseNet idea. Apply it to the housing price prediction task in Section 5.7.

Discussions¹³⁰



8.8 Designing Convolution Network Architectures

The 2010s has witnessed shift from *feature engineering* to *network engineering* in computer vision. Since AlexNet (Section 8.1) beat conventional computer vision models on ImageNet, constructing very deep networks by stacking the same blocks, especially 3×3 convolutions, has been popularized by VGG networks (Section 8.2). The network in network (Section 8.3) adds local nonlinearities via 1×1 convolutions and uses global average pooling to aggregate information across all locations. GoogLeNet (Section 8.4) is a multi-branch network that combines the advantages from the VGG network and the network in network, where its Inception block adopts the strategy of concatenated parallel transformations. ResNets (Section 8.6) stack residual blocks, which are two-branch subnetworks using identity mapping in one branch. DenseNets (Section 8.7) generalize the residual architectures. Other notable architectures include MobileNets that use network learning to achieve high accuracy in resource-constrained settings (Howard *et al.*, 2019), the Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENets) that allow for efficient information transfer between channels (Hu *et al.*, 2018), and Efficient-Nets (Tan and Le, 2019) that scale up networks via neural architecture search.

Specifically, *neural architecture search* (NAS) (Liu *et al.*, 2018, Zoph and Le, 2016) is the process of automating neural network architectures. Given a fixed search space, NAS uses a search strategy to automatically select an architecture within the search space based on the returned performance estimation. The outcome of NAS is a single network instance.

Instead of focusing on designing such individual instances, an alternative approach is to *design network design spaces* that characterize populations of networks (Radosavovic *et al.*, 2020). This method combines the strength of manual design and NAS. Through semi-automatic procedures (like in NAS), designing network design spaces explores the structure aspect of network design from the initial *AnyNet* design space. It then proceeds to discover design principles (like in manual design) that lead to simple and regular networks: *RegNets*. Before shedding light on these design principles, let's start with the initial design space.

8.8.1 The AnyNet Design Space

The initial design space is called *AnyNet*, a relatively unconstrained design space, where we can focus on exploring network structure assuming standard, fixed blocks such as ResNeXt (Section 8.6.5). Specifically, the network structure includes elements such as the number of blocks and the number of output channels in each stage, and the number of groups (group width) and bottleneck ratio within each ResNeXt block.

The AnyNet design space is shown in Fig.8.8.1. This network begins with a *stem*, followed by a *body* with *n* stages of transformation, and a final *head*. More concretely, the network stem is a 3×3 convolution with stride 2 that halves the height and width of an input image. The network head is a global average pooling followed by a fully connected layer to predict the output class. Note that the network stem and head are kept fixed and simple, so that the design focus in on the network body that is central to performance. Specifically, the network body consists of *n* stages of transformation (*n* is given), where stage *i* consists of *d_i* ResNeXt blocks with w_i output channels, and progressively halves height and width via the first block (setting use_1x1conv=True, strides=2 in d21.ResNeXtBlock in Section 8.6.5). Let's further



Figure 8.8.1 The AnyNet design space. Besides the number of groups and bottleneck ratio within each block, design choices include depth d_i and the number of output channels w_i for any stage i.

denote the bottleneck ratio and the number of groups (group width) within each ResNeXt block for stage i as b_i and g_i , respectively. Overall, despite of the straightforward network structure, varying b_i , g_i , w_i , and d_i results in a vast number of possible networks in the AnyNet design space.

To implement AnyNet, we first define its network stem.

Each stage consists of depth ResNeXt blocks, where num_channels specifies the block width. Note that the first block halves the height and width of input images.

Putting the network stem, body, and head together, we complete the implementation of AnyNet.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(AnyNet)
def __init__(self, arch, stem_channels, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
    super(AnyNet, self).__init__()
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    self.net = nn.Sequential()
    self.net.add(self.stem(stem_channels))
    for i, s in enumerate(arch):
        self.net.add(self.stage(*s))
    self.net.add(nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(), nn.Dense(num_classes))
    self.net.initialize(init.Xavier())
```

8.8.2 Constraining Design Spaces with Lower Error Distributions

For any stage *i* of AnyNet, the design choices are the bottleneck ratio b_i and the number of groups g_i within each block, block width w_i , and depth d_i . The designing network design spaces process starts from relatively unconstrained network structure characterized by (b_i, g_i, w_i, d_i) in the initial AnyNet design space. Then this process progressively samples models from the input design space to evaluate the error distribution (Radosavovic *et al.*, 2019) as a quality indicator to output a more constrained design space with simpler models that may have better quality.

Let's detail this quality indicator for design spaces. Given n models sampled from some design space, the *error empirical distribution function* F(e) measures the fraction of models with errors e_i lower than e:

$$F(e) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}(e_i < e).$$
(8.8.1)

Starting from the initial unconstrained AnyNet design space (AnyNetX_A in Radosavovic *et al.* (2020)), sharing the bottle network ratio $b_i = b$ for all stages *i* results in a more constrained design space AnyNetX_B. Sampling and training n = 500 models from AnyNetX_A and AnyNetX_B each, left of Fig.8.8.2 shows that both design spaces have similar quality. Since simpler is better, we continue to search from AnyNetX_B by additionally sharing the number of groups $g_i = g$. This leads to a further simplified design space AnyNetX_C with virtually no change in error distributions (right of Fig.8.8.2).



Figure 8.8.2

Comparing error empirical distribution functions of design spaces. The legends show the min error and mean error. Sharing bottleneck ratio (from AnyNetX_A to AnyNetX_B) and sharing the number of groups (from AnyNetX_B to AnyNetX_C) simplify the design space with virtually no change in error distributions (figure taken from Radosavovic et al. (2020)).

Investigating good and bad models from AnyNet X_C suggests that it may be useful to increase width across stages (Radosavovic *et al.*, 2020). Empirically, simplifying AnyNet X_C

to AnyNetX_D with $w_i \leq w_{i+1}$ improves the quality of design spaces (left of Fig.8.8.3). Similarly, adding further constraints of $d_i \leq d_{i+1}$ to increase network depth across stages gives an even better AnyNetX_E (right of Fig.8.8.3).



Figure 8.8.3

Comparing error empirical distribution functions of design spaces. The legends show the min error and mean error. Increasing network width across stages (from AnyNetX_C to AnyNetX_D) and increasing network depth across stages (from AnyNetX_D to AnyNetX_E) simplify the design space with improved error distributions (figure taken from Radosavovic et al. (2020)).

8.8.3 RegNet

The resulting AnyNetX $_E$ design space consists of simple networks following easy-to-interpret design principles:

- Share the bottle network ratio $b_i = b$ for all stages *i*;
- Share the number of groups $g_i = g$ for all stages i;
- Increase network width across stages: $w_i \leq w_{i+1}$;
- Increase network depth across stages: $d_i \leq d_{i+1}$.

Following these design principles, (Radosavovic *et al.*, 2020) proposed quantized linear constraints to w_i and d_i increasing, leading to RegNetX using ResNeXt blocks and RegNetY that additionally uses operators from SENets (Hu *et al.*, 2018). As an example, we implement a 32-layer RegNetX variant characterized by

- $b_i = 1;$
- $g_i = 16;$
- $w_1 = 32, w_2 = 80;$
- $d_1 = 4, d_2 = 6.$

```
class RegNet32(AnyNet):
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1, num_classes=10):
        stem_channels, groups, bot_mul = 32, 16, 1
        depths, channels = (4, 6), (32, 80)
        super().__init__(
             ((depths[0], channels[0], groups, bot_mul),
             (depths[1], channels[1], groups, bot_mul)),
        stem_channels, lr, num_classes)
```

We can see that each RegNet stage progressively reduces resolution and increases output channels.

```
RegNet32().layer_summary((1, 1, 96, 96))

Sequential output shape: (1, 32, 48, 48)

Sequential output shape: (1, 32, 24, 24)

Sequential output shape: (1, 80, 12, 12)

GlobalAvgPool2D output shape: (1, 80, 1, 1)

Dense output shape: (1, 10)
```

8.8.4 Training

Training the 32-layer RegNet on the Fashion-MNIST dataset is just like before.

```
model = RegNet32(lr=0.05)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=10, num_gpus=1)
data = d2l.FashionMNIST(batch_size=128, resize=(96, 96))
trainer.fit(model, data)
```





With desirable properties like locality and translation invariance (Section 7.1) for vision, CNNs have been the dominant architectures in this area. Recently, transformers (Section 11.7) (Dosovitskiy *et al.*, 2021, Touvron *et al.*, 2021) and MLPs (Tolstikhin *et al.*, 2021) have also sparked research beyond the well-established CNN architectures for vision. Specifically, although lacking of the aforementioned inductive biases inherent to CNNs, vision transformers (sec_vision-transformer) attained state-of-the-art performance in large-scale image classification in early 2020s, showing that *scalability trumps inductive biases* (Dosovitskiy *et al.*, 2021). In other words, it is often possible to train large transformers to outperform large CNNs on large datasets. Inspired by the superior scaling behavior of transformers (Section 11.8) with multi-head self-attention (Section 11.5), the process of gradually improving from a standard ResNet architecture toward the design of a vision transformer leads to a family of CNNs called the ConvNeXt models that compete favorably with transformers for vision (Liu *et al.*, 2022). We refer the interested readers to CNN design discussions in the ConvNeXt paper (Liu *et al.*, 2022).

Exercises

^{1.} Increase the number of stages to 4. Can you design a deeper RegNet that performs better?

^{2.} De-ResNeXt-ify RegNets by replacing the ResNeXt block with the ResNet block. How does your new model perform?

3. Implement multiple instances of a "VioNet" family by *violating* the design principles of RegNet. How do they perform? Which of (d_i, w_i, g_i, b_i) is the most important factor?

Discussions¹³¹



Up until now, we have focused primarily on fixed-length data. When introducing linear and logistic regression in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 and multilayer perceptrons in Chapter 5, we were happy to assume that each feature vector x_i consisted of a fixed number of components x_1, \ldots, x_d , where each numerical feature x_j corresponded to a particular attribute. These datasets are sometimes called *tabular*, because they can be arranged in tables, where each example *i* gets its own row, and each attribute gets its own column. Crucially, with tabular data, we seldom assume any particular structure over the columns.

Subsequently, in Chapter 7, we moved on to image data, where inputs consist of the raw pixel values at each coordinate in an image. Image data hardly fit the bill of a protypical tabular dataset. There, we needed to call upon convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to handle the hierarchical structure and invariances. However, our data were still of fixed length. Every Fashion-MNIST image is represented as a 28×28 grid of pixel values. Moreover, our goal was to develop a model that looked at just one image and then output a single prediction. But what should we do when faced with a sequence of images, as in a video, or when tasked with producing a sequentially structured prediction, as in the case of image captioning?

Countless learning tasks require dealing with sequential data. Image captioning, speech synthesis, and music generation all require that models produce outputs consisting of sequences. In other domains, such as time series prediction, video analysis, and musical information retrieval, a model must learn from inputs that are sequences. These demands often arise simultaneously: tasks such as translating passages of text from one natural language to another, engaging in dialogue, or controlling a robot, demand that models both ingest and output sequentially-structured data.

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are deep learning models that capture the dynamics of sequences via *recurrent* connections, which can be thought of as cycles in the network of nodes. This might seem counterintuitive at first. After all, it is the feedforward nature of neural networks that makes the order of computation unambiguous. However, recurrent edges are defined in a precise way that ensures that no such ambiguity can arise. Recurrent neural networks are *unrolled* across time steps (or sequence steps), with the *same* underlying parameters applied at each step. While the standard connections are applied *synchronously* to propagate each layer's activations to the subsequent layer *at the same time step*, the recurrent connections are *dynamic*, passing information across adjacent time steps. As the unfolded view in Fig.9.1 reveals, RNNs can be thought of as feedforward neural networks where each layer's parameters (both conventional and recurrent) are shared across time steps.

Like neural networks more broadly, RNNs have a long discipline-spanning history, originating as models of the brain popularized by cognitive scientists and subsequently adopted as practical modeling tools employed by the machine learning community. As with deep learning more broadly, this book adopts the machine learning perspective, focusing on RNNs as practical tools which rose to popularity in the 2010s owing to breakthrough results on such diverse tasks as handwriting recognition (Graves *et al.*, 2008), machine translation (Sutskever *et al.*, 2014), and recognizing medical diagnoses (Lipton *et al.*, 2016). We point the reader interested in more background material to a publicly available comprehensive review (Lipton *et al.*, 2015). We also note that sequentiality is not unique to RNNs. For example, the CNNs that we already introduced can be adapted to handle data of varying length, e.g., images of varying resolution. Moreover, RNNs have recently ceded considerable market share to trans-



Figure 9.1

On the left recurrent connections are depicted via cyclic edges. On the right, we unfold the RNN over time steps. Here, recurrent edges span adjacent time steps, while conventional connections are computed synchronously.

former models, which will be covered in Chapter 11. However, RNNs rose to prominence as the default models for handling complex sequential structure in deep learning, and remain staple models for sequential modeling to this day. The stories of RNNs and of sequence modeling are inextricably linked, and this is as much a chapter about the ABCs of sequence modeling problems as it is a chapter about RNNs.

One key insight paved the way for a revolution in sequence modeling. While the inputs and targets for many fundamental tasks in machine learning cannot easily be represented as fixed length vectors, they can often nevertheless be represented as varying-length sequences of fixed length vectors. For example, documents can be represented as sequences of words. Medical records can often be represented as sequences of events (encounters, medications, procedures, lab tests, diagnoses). Videos can be represented as varying-length sequences of still images.

While sequence models have popped up in countless application areas, basic research in the area has been driven predominantly by advances on core tasks in natural language processing. Thus, throughout this chapter, we will focus our exposition and examples on text data. If you get the hang of these examples, then applying these models to other data modalities should be relatively straightforward. In the next few sections, we introduce basic notation for sequences and some evaluation measures for assessing the quality of sequentially structured model outputs. Next, we discuss basic concepts of a language model and use this discussion to motivate our first RNN models. Finally, we describe the method for calculating gradients when backpropagating through RNNs and explore some challenges that are often encountered when training such networks, motivating the modern RNN architectures that will follow in Chapter 10.

9.1 Working with Sequences

Up until now, we've focused on models whose inputs consisted of a single feature vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The main change of perspective when developing models capable of processing sequences is that we now focus on inputs that consist of an ordered list of feature vectors x_1, \ldots, x_T , where each feature vector x_t indexed by a time step $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ lies in \mathbb{R}^d .

Some datasets consist of a single massive sequence. Consider, for example, the extremely long streams of sensor readings that might be available to climate scientists. In such cases, we might create training datasets by randomly sampling subsequences of some predetermined length. More often, our data arrives as a collection of sequences. Consider the following examples: (i) a collection of documents, each represented as its own sequence of words, and each having its own length T_i ; (ii) sequence representation of patient stays in the hospital, where each stay

consists of a number of events and the sequence length depends roughly on the length of the stay.

Previously, when dealing with individual inputs, we assumed that they were sampled independently from the same underlying distribution P(X). While we still assume that entire sequences (e.g., entire documents or patient trajectories) are sampled independently, we cannot assume that the data arriving at each time step are independent of each other. For example, what words are likely to appear later in a document depends heavily on what words occurred earlier in the document. What medicine a patient is likely to receive on the 10th day of a hospital visit depends heavily on what transpired in the previous nine days.

This should come as no surprise. If we didn't believe that the elements in a sequence were related, we wouldn't have bothered to model them as a sequence in the first place. Consider the usefulness of the auto-fill features that are popular on search tools and modern email clients. They are useful precisely because it is often possible to predict (imperfectly, but better than random guessing) what likely continuations of a sequence might be, given some initial prefix. For most sequence models, we don't require independence, or even stationarity, of our sequences. Instead, we require only that the sequences themselves are sampled from some fixed underlying distribution over entire sequences.

This flexible approach, allows for such phenomena as (i) documents looking significantly different at the beginning than at the end, or (ii) patient status evolving either towards recovery or towards death over the course of a hospital stay; and (iii) customer taste evolving in predictable ways over course of continued interaction with a recommender system.

We sometimes wish to predict a fixed target y given sequentially structured input (e.g., sentiment classification based on a movie review). At other times, we wish to predict a sequentially structured target (y_1, \ldots, y_T) given a fixed input (e.g., image captioning). Still other times, our goal is to predict sequentially structured targets based on sequentially structured inputs (e.g., machine translation or video captioning). Such sequence-to-sequence tasks take two forms: (i) **aligned**: where the input at each time step aligns with a corresponding target (e.g., part of speech tagging); (ii) **unaligned**: where the input and target do not necessarily exhibit a step-for-step correspondence (e.g., machine translation).

Before we worry about handling targets of any kind, we can tackle the most straightforward problem: unsupervised density modeling (also called *sequence modeling*). Here, given a collection of sequences, our goal is to estimate the probability mass function that tells us how likely we are to see any given sequence, i.e., $p(x_1, \ldots, x_T)$.

9.1.1 Autoregressive Models

Before introducing specialized neural networks designed to handle sequentially structured data, let's take a look at some actual sequence data and build up some basic intuitions and statistical tools. In particular, we will focus on stock price data from the FTSE 100 index (Fig.9.1.1). At each *time step* $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we observe the price of the index at that time, denoted by x_t .

Now suppose that a trader would like to make short term trades, strategically getting into or out of the index, depending on whether they believe that it will rise or decline in the subsequent time step. Absent any other features (news, financial reporting data, etc.), the only available signal for predicting the subsequent value is the history of prices to date. The trader is thus interested in knowing the probability distribution

$$P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1) \tag{9.1.1}$$

over prices that the index might take in the subsequent time step. While estimating the entire distribution over a continuous-valued random variable can be difficult, the trader would be



Figure 9.1.1 FTSE 100 index over about 30 years.

happy to focus on a few key statistics of the distribution, particularly the expected value and the variance. One simple strategy for estimating the conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1)], \tag{9.1.2}$$

would be to apply a linear regression model (recall Section 3.1). Such models that regress the value of a signal on the previous values of that same signal are naturally called *autore*gressive models. There is just one major problem: the number of inputs, x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1 varies, depending on t. Namely, the number of inputs increases with the amount of data that we encounter. Thus if we want to treat our historical data as a training set, we are left with the problem that each example has a different number of features. Much of what follows in this chapter will revolve around techniques for overcoming these challenges when engaging in such *autoregressive* modeling problems where the object of interest is $P(x_t | x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1)$ or some statistic(s) of this distribution.

A few strategies recur frequently. First, we might believe that although long sequences x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1 are available, it may not be necessary to look back so far in the history when predicting the near future. In this case we might content ourselves to condition on some window of length τ and only use $x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_{t-\tau}$ observations. The immediate benefit is that now the number of arguments is always the same, at least for $t > \tau$. This allows us to train any linear model or deep network that requires fixed-length vectors as inputs. Second, we might develop models that maintain some summary h_t of the past observations (see Fig.9.1.2) and at the same time update h_t in addition to the prediction \hat{x}_t . This leads to models that estimate x_t with $\hat{x}_t = P(x_t \mid h_t)$ and moreover updates of the form $h_t = g(h_{t-1}, x_{t-1})$. Since h_t is never observed, these models are also called *latent autoregressive models*.



Figure 9.1.2 A latent autoregressive model.

To construct training data from historical data, one typically creates examples by sampling windows randomly. In general, we do not expect time to stand still. However, we often assume that while the specific values of x_t might change, the dynamics according to which each subsequent observation is generated given the previous observations do not. Statisticians call dynamics that do not change *stationary*.

9.1.2 Sequence Models

Sometimes, especially when working with language, we wish to estimate the joint probability of an entire sequence. This is a common task when working with sequences composed of discrete *tokens*, such as words. Generally, these estimated functions are called *sequence models* and for natural language data, they are called *language models*. The field of sequence modeling has been driven so much by natural language processing, that we often describe sequence models as "language models", even when dealing with non-language data. Language models prove useful for all sorts of reasons. Sometimes we want to evaluate the likelihood of sentences. For example, we might wish to compare the naturalness of two candidate outputs generated by a machine translation system or by a speech recognition system. But language modeling gives us not only the capacity to *evaluate* likelihood, but the ability to *sample* sequences, and even to optimize for the most likely sequences.

While language modeling might not look, at first glance, like an autoregressive problem, we can reduce language modeling to autoregressive prediction by decomposing the joint density of a sequence $p(x_t \mid x_1, \ldots, x_T)$ into the product of conditional densities in a left-to-right fashion by applying the chain rule of probability:

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_T) = P(x_1) \prod_{t=2}^T P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1).$$
(9.1.3)

Note that if we are working with discrete signals like words, then the autoregressive model must be a probabilistic classifier, outputting a full probability distribution over the vocabulary for what word will come next, given the leftwards context.

Markov Models

Now suppose that we wish to employ the strategy mentioned above, where we condition only on the τ previous time steps, i.e., $x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_{t-\tau}$, rather than the entire sequence history x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1 . Whenever we can throw away the history beyond the precious τ steps without any loss in predictive power, we say that the sequence satisfies a *Markov condition*, i.e., *that the future is conditionally independent of the past, given the recent history*. When $\tau = 1$, we say that the data is characterized by a *first-order Markov model*, and when $\tau = k$, we say that the data is characterized by a *k*-th order Markov model. For when the first-order Markov condition holds ($\tau = 1$) the factorization of our joint probability becomes a product of probabilities of each word given the previous word:

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_T) = P(x_1) \prod_{t=2}^T P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}).$$
(9.1.4)

We often find it useful to work with models that proceed as though a Markov condition were satisfied, even when we know that this is only *approximately* true. With real text documents we continue to gain information as we include more and more leftwards context. But these gains diminish rapidly. Thus, sometimes we compromise, obviating computational and statistical difficulties by training models whose validity depends on a *k*-th order Markov condition. Even today's massive RNN- and transformer-based language models seldom incorporate more than thousands of words of context.

With discrete data, a true Markov model simply counts the number of times that each word has occurred in each context, producing the relative frequency estimate of $P(x_t \mid x_{t-1})$. Whenever the data assumes only discrete values (as in language), the most likely sequence of words can be computed efficiently using dynamic programming.

The Order of Decoding

You might be wondering, why did we have to represent the factorization of a text sequence $P(x_1, \ldots, x_T)$ as a left-to-right chain of conditional probabilities. Why not right-to-left or some other, seemingly random order? In principle, there is nothing wrong with unfolding $P(x_1, \ldots, x_T)$ in reverse order. The result is a valid factorization:

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=T}^{1} P(x_t \mid x_{t+1}, \dots, x_T).$$
(9.1.5)

However, there are many reasons why factorizing text in the same directions as we read it (left-to-right for most languages, but right-to-left for Arabic and Hebrew) is preferred for the task of language modeling. First, this is just a more natural direction for us to think about. After all we all read text every day, and this process is guided by our ability to anticipate what words and phrases are likely to come next. Just think of how many times you have completed someone else's sentence. Thus, even if we had no other reason to prefer such in-order decodings, they would be useful if only because we have better intuitions for what should be likely when predicting in this order.

Second, by factorizing in order, we can assign probabilities to arbitrarily long sequences using the same language model. To convert a probability over steps 1 through t into one that extends to word t + 1 we simply multiply by the conditional probability of the additional token given the previous ones: $P(x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_1) = P(x_t, \ldots, x_1) \cdot P(x_{t+1} \mid x_t, \ldots, x_1)$.

Third, we have stronger predictive models for predicting adjacent words versus words at arbitrary other locations. While all orders of factorization are valid, they do not necessarily all represent equally easy predictive modeling problems. This is true not only for language, but for other kinds of data as well, e.g., when the data is causally structured. For example, we believe that future events cannot influence the past. Hence, if we change x_t , we may be able to influence what happens for x_{t+1} going forward but not the converse. That is, if we change x_t , the distribution over past events will not change. In some contexts, this makes it easier to predict $P(x_{t+1} | x_t)$ than to predict $P(x_t | x_{t+1})$. For instance, in some cases, we can find $x_{t+1} = f(x_t) + \epsilon$ for some additive noise ϵ , whereas the converse is not true (Hoyer *et al.*, 2009). This is great news, since it is typically the forward direction that we are interested in estimating. The book by Peters *et al.* (2017) has explained more on this topic. We are barely scratching the surface of it.

9.1.3 Training

Before we focus our attention on text data, let's first try this out with some continuous-valued synthetic data.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

Here, our 1000 synthetic data will follow the trigonometric sin function, applied to 0.01 times the time step. To make the problem a little more interesting, we corrupt each sample with additive noise. From this sequence we extract training examples, each consisting of features and a label.

```
class Data(d21.DataModule):
    def __init__(self, batch_size=16, T=1000, num_train=600, tau=4):
```

(continued from previous page)

1000



400

time

600

800

To begin, we try a model that acts as though the data satisfied a τ -order Markov condition, and thus predicts x_t using only the past τ observations. Thus for each time step we have an example with label $y = x_t$ and features $x_t = [x_{t-\tau}, \ldots, x_{t-1}]$. The astute reader might have noticed that this results in $1000 - \tau$ examples, since we lack sufficient history for y_1, \ldots, y_{τ} . While we could pad the first τ sequences with zeros, to keep things simple, we drop them for now. The resulting dataset contains $T - \tau$ examples, where each input to the model has sequence length τ . We create a data iterator on the first 600 examples, covering a period of the sin function.

```
@d21.add_to_class(Data)
def get_dataloader(self, train):
    features = [self.x[i : self.T-self.tau+i] for i in range(self.tau)]
    self.features = np.stack(features, 1)
    self.labels = self.x[self.tau:].reshape((-1, 1))
    i = slice(0, self.num_train) if train else slice(self.num_train, None)
    return self.get_tensorloader([self.features, self.labels], train, i)
```

In this example our model will be a standard linear regression.

200

```
model = d21.LinearRegression(lr=0.01)
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=5)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



9.1.4 Prediction

To evaluate our model, we first check how well our model performs at one-step-ahead prediction.

```
onestep_preds = model(data.features).asnumpy()
d2l.plot(data.time[data.tau:], [data.labels, onestep_preds], 'time',
         legend=['labels', '1-step preds'], figsize=(6, 3))
            1.5
            1.0
             0.5
            0.0
           -0.5
                       labels
           -1.0
                       1-step preds
           -1.5
                            200
                                                             800
                                       400
                                                  600
                                                                       1000
                                             time
```

The one-step-ahead predictions look good, even near the end t = 1000.

Now consider, what if we only observed sequence data up until time step 604 (n_train + tau) but wished to make predictions several steps into the future. Unfortunately, we cannot directly compute the one-step-ahead prediction for time step 609, because we do not know the corresponding inputs, having seen only up to x_{604} . We can address this problem by plugging in our earlier predictions as inputs to our model for making subsequent predictions, projecting forward, one step at a time, until reaching the desired time step:

$$\hat{x}_{605} = f(x_{601}, x_{602}, x_{603}, x_{604}),
\hat{x}_{606} = f(x_{602}, x_{603}, x_{604}, \hat{x}_{605}),
\hat{x}_{607} = f(x_{603}, x_{604}, \hat{x}_{605}, \hat{x}_{606}),
\hat{x}_{608} = f(x_{604}, \hat{x}_{605}, \hat{x}_{606}, \hat{x}_{607}),
\hat{x}_{609} = f(\hat{x}_{605}, \hat{x}_{606}, \hat{x}_{607}, \hat{x}_{608}),$$
(9.1.6)

Generally, for an observed sequence x_1, \ldots, x_t , its predicted output \hat{x}_{t+k} at time step t+k is called the *k*-step-ahead prediction. Since we have observed up to x_{604} , its *k*-step-ahead prediction is \hat{x}_{604+k} . In other words, we will have to keep on using our own predictions to make multistep-ahead predictions. Let's see how well this goes.

```
multistep_preds = np.zeros(data.T)
multistep_preds[:] = data.x
for i in range(data.num_train + data.tau, data.T):
    multistep_preds[i] = model(
        multistep_preds[i - data.tau:i].reshape((1, -1)))
multistep_preds = multistep_preds.asnumpy()
```

```
d2l.plot([data.time[data.tau:], data.time[data.num_train+data.tau:]],
      [onestep_preds, multistep_preds[data.num_train+data.tau:]], 'time',
      'x', legend=['1-step preds', 'multistep preds'], figsize=(6, 3))
```

Unfortunately, in this case we fail spectacularly. The predictions decay to a constant pretty quickly after a few prediction steps. Why did the algorithm perform so much worse when predicting further into the future? Ultimately, this owes to the fact that errors build up. Let's



say that after step 1 we have some error $\epsilon_1 = \bar{\epsilon}$. Now the *input* for step 2 is perturbed by ϵ_1 , hence we suffer some error in the order of $\epsilon_2 = \bar{\epsilon} + c\epsilon_1$ for some constant c, and so on. The predictions can diverge rapidly from the true observations. You may already be familiar with this common phenomenon. For instance, weather forecasts for the next 24 hours tend to be pretty accurate but beyond that, accuracy declines rapidly. We will discuss methods for improving this throughout this chapter and beyond.

Let's take a closer look at the difficulties in k-step-ahead predictions by computing predictions on the entire sequence for k = 1, 4, 16, 64.

```
def k_step_pred(k):
    features = []
    for i in range(data.tau):
        features.append(data.x[i : i+data.T-data.tau-k+1])
    # The (i+tau)-th element stores the (i+1)-step-ahead predictions
    for i in range(k):
        preds = model(np.stack(features[i : i+data.tau], 1))
        features.append(preds.reshape(-1))
    return features[data.tau:]
```

```
steps = (1, 4, 16, 64)
preds = k_step_pred(steps[-1])
d2l.plot(data.time[data.tau+steps[-1]-1:],
        [preds[k - 1].asnumpy() for k in steps], 'time', 'x',
        legend=[f'{k}-step preds' for k in steps], figsize=(6, 3))
```



This clearly illustrates how the quality of the prediction changes as we try to predict further into the future. While the 4-step-ahead predictions still look good, anything beyond that is almost useless.

9.1.5 Summary

There is quite a difference in difficulty between interpolation and extrapolation. Consequently, if you have a sequence, always respect the temporal order of the data when training, i.e., never train on future data. Given this kind of data, sequence models require specialized statistical tools for estimation. Two popular choices are autoregressive models and latent-variable autoregressive models. For causal models (e.g., time going forward), estimating the forward direction is typically a lot easier than the reverse direction. For an observed sequence up to time step t, its predicted output at time step t + k is the *k-step-ahead prediction*. As we predict further in time by increasing k, the errors accumulate and the quality of the prediction degrades, often dramatically.

Exercises

- 1. Improve the model in the experiment of this section.
 - 1. Incorporate more than the past 4 observations? How many do you really need?
 - 2. How many past observations would you need if there was no noise? Hint: you can write sin and cos as a differential equation.
 - 3. Can you incorporate older observations while keeping the total number of features constant? Does this improve accuracy? Why?
 - 4. Change the neural network architecture and evaluate the performance. You may train the new model with more epochs. What do you observe?
- 2. An investor wants to find a good security to buy. He looks at past returns to decide which one is likely to do well. What could possibly go wrong with this strategy?
- 3. Does causality also apply to text? To which extent?
- 4. Give an example for when a latent autoregressive model might be needed to capture the dynamic of the data.

Discussions¹³²



9.2 Converting Raw Text into Sequence Data

Throughout this book, we will often work with text data represented as sequences of words, characters, or word-pieces. To get going, we will need some basic tools for converting raw text into sequences of the appropriate form. Typical preprocessing pipelines execute the following steps:

- 1. Load text as strings into memory.
- 2. Split the strings into tokens (e.g., words or characters).
- Build a vocabulary dictionary to associate each vocabulary element with a numerical index.
- 4. Convert the text into sequences of numerical indices.

```
import collections
import random
import re
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

. ..

9.2.1 Reading the Dataset



Here, we will work with H. G. Wells' The Time Machine 133 , a book containing just over 30000 words. While real applications will typically involve significantly larger datasets, this is sufficient to demonstrate the preprocessing pipeline. The following _download method reads the raw text into a string.

```
Downloading ../data/timemachine.txt from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.

→amazonaws.com/timemachine.txt...
```

'The Time Machine, by H. G. Wells [1898]nnnnnInnnThe Time Tra'

For simplicity, we ignore punctuation and capitalization when preprocessing the raw text.

```
@d21.add_to_class(TimeMachine) #@save
def _preprocess(self, text):
    return re.sub('[^A-Za-z]+', ' ', text).lower()
text = data._preprocess(raw_text)
text[:60]
```

'the time machine by h g wells i the time traveller for so it'

9.2.2 Tokenization

Tokens are the atomic (indivisible) units of text. Each time step corresponds to 1 token, but what precisely constitutes a token is a design choice. For example, we could represent the sentence "Baby needs a new pair of shoes" as a sequence of 7 words, where the set of all words comprise a large vocabulary (typically tens or hundreds of thousands of words). Or we would represent the same sentence as a much longer sequence of 30 characters, using a much smaller vocabulary (there are only 256 distinct ASCII characters). Below, we tokenize our preprocessed text into a sequence of characters.

```
@d21.add_to_class(TimeMachine) #@save
def _tokenize(self, text):
    return list(text)
```

(continued from previous page)

tokens = data._tokenize(text)
','.join(tokens[:30])

't,h,e, ,t,i,m,e, ,m,a,c,h,i,n,e, ,b,y, ,h, ,g, ,w,e,l,l,s, '

9.2.3 Vocabulary

These tokens are still strings. However, the inputs to our models must ultimately consist of numerical inputs. Next, we introduce a class for constructing *vocabularies*, i.e., objects that associate each distinct token value with a unique index. First, we determine the set of unique tokens in our training *corpus*. We then assign a numerical index to each unique token. Rare vocabulary elements are often dropped for convenience. Whenever we encounter a token at training or test time that had not been previously seen or was dropped from the vocabulary, we represent it by a special "<unk>" token, signifying that this is an *unknown* value.

```
class Vocab: #@save
    """Vocabulary for text."""
   def __init__(self, tokens=[], min_freq=0, reserved_tokens=[]):
       # Flatten a 2D list if needed
       if tokens and isinstance(tokens[0], list):
           tokens = [token for line in tokens for token in line]
       # Count token frequencies
       counter = collections.Counter(tokens)
       self.token_freqs = sorted(counter.items(), key=lambda x: x[1],
                                  reverse=True)
       # The list of unique tokens
       self.idx_to_token = list(sorted(set(['<unk>'] + reserved_tokens + [
            token for token, freq in self.token_freqs if freq >= min_freq])))
       self.token_to_idx = {token: idx
                             for idx, token in enumerate(self.idx_to_token)}
   def __len__(self):
       return len(self.idx_to_token)
   def __getitem__(self, tokens):
       if not isinstance(tokens, (list, tuple)):
           return self.token_to_idx.get(tokens, self.unk)
       return [self.__getitem__(token) for token in tokens]
   def to_tokens(self, indices):
       if hasattr(indices, '__len__') and len(indices) > 1:
            return [self.idx_to_token[int(index)] for index in indices]
       return self.idx_to_token[indices]
   @property
   def unk(self): # Index for the unknown token
       return self.token_to_idx['<unk>']
```

We now construct a vocabulary for our dataset, converting the sequence of strings into a list of numerical indices. Note that we have not lost any information and can easily convert our dataset back to its original (string) representation.

```
vocab = Vocab(tokens)
indices = vocab[tokens[:10]]
print('indices:', indices)
print('words:', vocab.to_tokens(indices))
```

indices: [21, 9, 6, 0, 21, 10, 14, 6, 0, 14] words: ['t', 'h', 'e', '', 't', 'i', 'm', 'e', '', 'm']

9.2.4 Putting It All Together

Using the above classes and methods, we package everything into the following build method of the TimeMachine class, which returns corpus, a list of token indices, and vocab, the vocabulary of *The Time Machine* corpus. The modifications we did here are: (i) we tokenize text into characters, not words, to simplify the training in later sections; (ii) corpus is a single list, not a list of token lists, since each text line in *The Time Machine* dataset is not necessarily a sentence or paragraph.

```
@d21.add_to_class(TimeMachine) #@save
def build(self, raw_text, vocab=None):
    tokens = self._tokenize(self._preprocess(raw_text))
    if vocab is None: vocab = Vocab(tokens)
    corpus = [vocab[token] for token in tokens]
    return corpus, vocab
corpus, vocab = data.build(raw_text)
len(corpus), len(vocab)
```

(173428, 28)

9.2.5 Exploratory Language Statistics

Using the real corpus and the Vocab class defined over words, we can inspect basic statistics concerning word use in our corpus. Below, we construct a vocabulary from words used in *The Time Machine* and print the 10 most frequently occurring words.

```
words = text.split()
vocab = Vocab(words)
vocab.token_freqs[:10]
```

```
[('the', 2261),
 ('i', 1267),
 ('and', 1245),
 ('of', 1155),
 ('a', 816),
 ('to', 695),
 ('was', 552),
 ('in', 541),
 ('that', 443),
 ('my', 440)]
```

Note that the ten most frequent words are not all that descriptive. You might even imagine that we might see a very similar list if we had chosen any book at random. Articles like "the" and "a", pronouns like "i" and "my", and prepositions like "of", "to", and "in" occur often because they serve common syntactic roles. Such words that are at once common but particularly descriptive are often called *stop words* and, in previous generations of text classifiers based on bag-of-words representations, they were most often filtered out. However, they carry meaning and it is not necessary to filter them out when working with modern RNN- and transformer-based neural models. If you look further down the list, you will notice that word frequency decays quickly. The 10^{th} most frequent word is less than 1/5 as common as the most popular.

Word frequency tends to follow a power law distribution (specifically the Zipfian) as we go down the ranks. To get a better idea, we plot the figure of the word frequency.



After dealing with the first few words as exceptions, all the remaining words roughly follow a straight line on a log-log plot. This phenomena is captured by *Zipf's law*, which states that the frequency n_i of the i^{th} most frequent word is:

$$n_i \propto \frac{1}{i^{\alpha}},\tag{9.2.1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log n_i = -\alpha \log i + c, \tag{9.2.2}$$

where α is the exponent that characterizes the distribution and *c* is a constant. This should already give us pause if we want to model words by counting statistics. After all, we will significantly overestimate the frequency of the tail, also known as the infrequent words. But what about the other word combinations, such as two consecutive words (bigrams), three consecutive words (trigrams), and beyond? Let's see whether the bigram frequency behaves in the same manner as the single word (unigram) frequency.

```
bigram_tokens = ['--'.join(pair) for pair in zip(words[:-1], words[1:])]
bigram_vocab = Vocab(bigram_tokens)
bigram_vocab.token_freqs[:10]
```

```
[('of--the', 309),
 ('in--the', 169),
 ('i--had', 130),
 ('i--was', 112),
 ('and--the', 109),
 ('the--time', 102),
 ('it--was', 99),
 ('to--the', 85),
 ('as--i', 78),
 ('of--a', 73)]
```

One thing is notable here. Out of the ten most frequent word pairs, nine are composed of both stop words and only one is relevant to the actual book—"the time". Furthermore, let's see whether the trigram frequency behaves in the same manner.

```
trigram_tokens = ['--'.join(triple) for triple in zip(
    words[:-2], words[1:-1], words[2:])]
trigram_vocab = d21.Vocab(trigram_tokens)
trigram_vocab.token_freqs[:10]
```

[('the--time--traveller', 59), ('the--time--machine', 30), ('the--medical--man', 24), ('it--seemed--to', 16), ('it--was--a', 15), ('here--and--there', 15), ('seemed--to--me', 14), ('i--did--not', 14), ('i--saw--the', 13), ('i--began--to', 13)]

Last, let's visualize the token frequency among these three models: unigrams, bigrams, and trigrams.



This figure is quite exciting. First, beyond unigram words, sequences of words also appear to be following Zipf's law, albeit with a smaller exponent α in (9.2.1), depending on the sequence length. Second, the number of distinct *n*-grams is not that large. This gives us hope that there is quite a lot of structure in language. Third, many *n*-grams occur very rarely. This makes certain methods unsuitable for language modeling and motivates the use of deep learning models. We will discuss this in the next section.

9.2.6 Summary

Text is among the most common forms of sequence data encountered in deep learning. Common choices for what constitutes a token are characters, words, and word pieces. To preprocess text, we usually (i) split text into tokens; (ii) build a vocabulary to map token strings to numerical indices; and (iii) convert text data into token indices for models to manipulate. In practice, the frequency of words tends to follow Zipf's law. This is true not just for individual words (unigrams), but also for n-grams.

Exercises

- 1. In the experiment of this section, tokenize text into words and vary the min_freq argument value of the Vocab instance. Qualitatively characterize how changes in min_freq impact the size of the resulting vocabulary.
- 2. Estimate the exponent of Zipfian distribution for unigrams, bigrams, and trigrams in this corpus.

3. Find some other sources of data (download a standard machine learning dataset, pick another public domain book, scrape a website, etc). For each, tokenize the data at both the word and character levels. How do the vocabulary sizes compare with *The Time Machine* corpus at equivalent values of min_freq. Estimate the exponent of the Zipfian distribution corresponding to the unigram and bigram distributions for these corpora. How do they compare with the values that you observed for *The Time Machine* corpus?

Discussions¹³⁴



9.3 Language Models

In Section 9.2, we see how to map text sequences into tokens, where these tokens can be viewed as a sequence of discrete observations, such as words or characters. Assume that the tokens in a text sequence of length T are in turn x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T . The goal of *language models* is to estimate the joint probability of the whole sequence:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T),$$
 (9.3.1)

where statistical tools in Section 9.1 can be applied.

Language models are incredibly useful. For instance, an ideal language model would be able to generate natural text just on its own, simply by drawing one token at a time $x_t \sim P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1)$. Quite unlike the monkey using a typewriter, all text emerging from such a model would pass as natural language, e.g., English text. Furthermore, it would be sufficient for generating a meaningful dialog, simply by conditioning the text on previous dialog fragments. Clearly we are still very far from designing such a system, since it would need to *understand* the text rather than just generate grammatically sensible content.

Nonetheless, language models are of great service even in their limited form. For instance, the phrases "to recognize speech" and "to wreck a nice beach" sound very similar. This can cause ambiguity in speech recognition, which is easily resolved through a language model that rejects the second translation as outlandish. Likewise, in a document summarization algorithm it is worthwhile knowing that "dog bites man" is much more frequent than "man bites dog", or that "I want to eat grandma" is a rather disturbing statement, whereas "I want to eat, grandma" is much more benign.

9.3.1 Learning Language Models

The obvious question is how we should model a document, or even a sequence of tokens. Suppose that we tokenize text data at the word level. Let's start by applying basic probability rules:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(x_t \mid x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}).$$
(9.3.2)

For example, the probability of a text sequence containing four words would be given as:

 $P(\text{deep}, \text{learning}, \text{is}, \text{fun}) = P(\text{deep})P(\text{learning} \mid \text{deep})P(\text{is} \mid \text{deep}, \text{learning})P(\text{fun} \mid \text{deep}, \text{learning}, \text{is}).$ (9.3.3)
Markov Models and *n*-grams

Among those sequence model analysis in Section 9.1, let's apply Markov models to language modeling. A distribution over sequences satisfies the Markov property of first order if $P(x_{t+1} \mid x_t, \ldots, x_1) = P(x_{t+1} \mid x_t)$. Higher orders correspond to longer dependencies. This leads to a number of approximations that we could apply to model a sequence:

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = P(x_1)P(x_2)P(x_3)P(x_4),$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = P(x_1)P(x_2 \mid x_1)P(x_3 \mid x_2)P(x_4 \mid x_3),$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = P(x_1)P(x_2 \mid x_1)P(x_3 \mid x_1, x_2)P(x_4 \mid x_2, x_3).$$

(9.3.4)

The probability formulae that involve one, two, and three variables are typically referred to as *unigram*, *bigram*, and *trigram* models, respectively. In order to compute the language model, we need to calculate the probability of words and the conditional probability of a word given the previous few words. Note that such probabilities are language model parameters.

Word Frequency

Here, we assume that the training dataset is a large text corpus, such as all Wikipedia entries, Project Gutenberg¹³⁵, and all text posted on the Web. The probability of words can be calculated from the relative word frequency of a given word in the training dataset. For example, the estimate $\hat{P}(\text{deep})$ can be calculated as the probability of any sentence starting with the word "deep". A slightly less accurate approach would be to count all occurrences of the word "deep" and divide it by the total number of words in the corpus. This works fairly well, particularly for frequent words. Moving on, we could attempt to estimate

$$\hat{P}(\text{learning} \mid \text{deep}) = \frac{n(\text{deep, learning})}{n(\text{deep})},$$
 (9.3.5)

where n(x) and n(x, x') are the number of occurrences of singletons and consecutive word pairs, respectively. Unfortunately, estimating the probability of a word pair is somewhat more difficult, since the occurrences of "deep learning" are a lot less frequent. In particular, for some unusual word combinations it may be tricky to find enough occurrences to get accurate estimates. As suggested by the empirical results in Section 9.2.5, things take a turn for the worse for three-word combinations and beyond. There will be many plausible three-word combinations that we likely will not see in our dataset. Unless we provide some solution to assign such word combinations nonzero count, we will not be able to use them in a language model. If the dataset is small or if the words are very rare, we might not find even a single one of them.

Laplace Smoothing

A common strategy is to perform some form of *Laplace smoothing*. The solution is to add a small constant to all counts. Denote by n the total number of words in the training set and m the number of unique words. This solution helps with singletons, e.g., via

$$\hat{P}(x) = \frac{n(x) + \epsilon_1/m}{n + \epsilon_1},$$

$$\hat{P}(x' \mid x) = \frac{n(x, x') + \epsilon_2 \hat{P}(x')}{n(x) + \epsilon_2},$$

$$\hat{P}(x'' \mid x, x') = \frac{n(x, x', x'') + \epsilon_3 \hat{P}(x'')}{n(x, x') + \epsilon_3}.$$
(9.3.6)

Here ϵ_1, ϵ_2 , and ϵ_3 are hyperparameters. Take ϵ_1 as an example: when $\epsilon_1 = 0$, no smoothing is applied; when ϵ_1 approaches positive infinity, $\hat{P}(x)$ approaches the uniform probability

1/m. The above is a rather primitive variant of what other techniques can accomplish (Wood *et al.*, 2011).

Unfortunately, models like this get unwieldy rather quickly for the following reasons. First, as discussed in Section 9.2.5, many *n*-grams occur very rarely, making Laplace smoothing rather unsuitable for language modeling. Second, we need to store all counts. Third, this entirely ignores the meaning of the words. For instance, "cat" and "feline" should occur in related contexts. It is quite difficult to adjust such models to additional contexts, whereas, deep learning based language models are well suited to take this into account. Last, long word sequences are almost certain to be novel, hence a model that simply counts the frequency of previously seen word sequences is bound to perform poorly there. Therefore, we focus on using neural networks for language modeling in the rest of the chapter.

9.3.2 Perplexity

Next, let's discuss about how to measure the language model quality, which will be used to evaluate our models in the subsequent sections. One way is to check how surprising the text is. A good language model is able to predict with high-accuracy tokens that what we will see next. Consider the following continuations of the phrase "It is raining", as proposed by different language models:

- 1. "It is raining outside"
- 2. "It is raining banana tree"
- 3. "It is raining piouw;kcj pwepoiut"

In terms of quality, example 1 is clearly the best. The words are sensible and logically coherent. While it might not quite accurately reflect which word follows semantically ("in San Francisco" and "in winter" would have been perfectly reasonable extensions), the model is able to capture which kind of word follows. Example 2 is considerably worse by producing a nonsensical extension. Nonetheless, at least the model has learned how to spell words and some degree of correlation between words. Last, example 3 indicates a poorly trained model that does not fit data properly.

We might measure the quality of the model by computing the likelihood of the sequence. Unfortunately this is a number that is hard to understand and difficult to compare. After all, shorter sequences are much more likely to occur than the longer ones, hence evaluating the model on Tolstoy's magnum opus *War and Peace* will inevitably produce a much smaller likelihood than, say, on Saint-Exupery's novella *The Little Prince*. What is missing is the equivalent of an average.

Information theory comes handy here. We have defined entropy, surprisal, and cross-entropy when we introduced the softmax regression (Section 4.1.3). If we want to compress text, we can ask about predicting the next token given the current set of tokens. A better language model should allow us to predict the next token more accurately. Thus, it should allow us to spend fewer bits in compressing the sequence. So we can measure it by the cross-entropy loss averaged over all the n tokens of a sequence:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} -\log P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1),$$
(9.3.7)

where P is given by a language model and x_t is the actual token observed at time step t from the sequence. This makes the performance on documents of different lengths comparable. For historical reasons, scientists in natural language processing prefer to use a quantity called *perplexity*. In a nutshell, it is the exponential of (9.3.7):

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\log P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1)\right).$$
(9.3.8)

Perplexity can be best understood as the geometric mean of the number of real choices that we have when deciding which token to pick next. Let's look at a number of cases:

- In the best case scenario, the model always perfectly estimates the probability of the target token as 1. In this case the perplexity of the model is 1.
- In the worst case scenario, the model always predicts the probability of the target token as 0. In this situation, the perplexity is positive infinity.
- At the baseline, the model predicts a uniform distribution over all the available tokens of the vocabulary. In this case, the perplexity equals the number of unique tokens of the vocabulary. In fact, if we were to store the sequence without any compression, this would be the best we could do to encode it. Hence, this provides a nontrivial upper bound that any useful model must beat.

from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l

npx.set_np()

9.3.3 Partitioning Sequences

We will design language models using neural networks and use perplexity to evaluate how good the model is at predicting the next token given the current set of tokens in text sequences. Before introducing the model, let's assume that it processes a minibatch of sequences with predefined length at a time. Now the question is how to read minibatches of input sequences and target sequences at random.

Suppose that the dataset takes the form of a sequence of T token indices in corpus. We will partition it into subsequences, where each subsequence has n tokens (time steps). To iterate over (almost) all the tokens of the entire dataset for each epoch and obtain all possible length-n subsequences, we can introduce randomness. More concretely, at the beginning of each epoch, discard the first d tokens, where $d \in [0, n)$ is uniformly sampled at random. The rest of the sequence is then partitioned into $m = \lfloor (T - d)/n \rfloor$ subsequences. Denote by $x_t = [x_t, \ldots, x_{t+n-1}]$ the length-n subsequence starting from token x_t at time step t. The resulting m partitioned subsequences are $x_d, x_{d+n}, \ldots, x_{d+n(m-1)}$. Each subsequence will be used as an input sequence into the language model.

For language modeling, the goal is to predict the next token based on what tokens we have seen so far, hence the targets (labels) are the original sequence, shifted by one token. The target sequence for any input sequence x_t is x_{t+1} with length n.

Input sequences:	th <mark>e</mark>	time	machine	by	h	g	wells
Target sequences:	the	time	machine	by	h	g	wells

Figure 9.3.1 Obtaining 5 pairs of input sequences and target sequences from partitioned length-5 subsequences.

Fig.9.3.1 shows an example of obtaining 5 pairs of input sequences and target sequences with n = 5 and d = 2.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(d2l.TimeMachine) #@save
def __init__(self, batch_size, num_steps, num_train=10000, num_val=5000):
    super(d2l.TimeMachine, self).__init__()
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    corpus, self.vocab = self.build(self._download())
    array = np.array([corpus[i:i+num_steps+1]
```

(continued from previous page)

```
for i in range(0, len(corpus)-num_steps-1)])
self.X, self.Y = array[:,:-1], array[:,1:]
```

To train language models, we will randomly sample pairs of input sequences and target sequences in minibatches. The following data loader randomly generates a minibatch from the dataset each time. The argument batch_size specifies the number of subsequence examples (self.b) in each minibatch and num_steps is the subsequence length in tokens (self. n).

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.TimeMachine) #@save
def get_dataloader(self, train):
    idx = slice(0, self.num_train) if train else slice(
        self.num_train, self.num_train + self.num_val)
    return self.get_tensorloader([self.X, self.Y], train, idx)
```

As we can see in the following, a minibatch of target sequences can be obtained by shifting the input sequences by one token.

```
data = d2l.TimeMachine(batch_size=2, num_steps=10)
for X, Y in data.train_dataloader():
    print('X:', X, '\nY:', Y)
    break
```

```
X: [[ 0. 10. 21. 0. 20. 6. 6. 14. 6. 5.]

[ 0. 19. 6. 17. 6. 2. 21. 20. 0. 14.]]

Y: [[10. 21. 0. 20. 6. 6. 14. 6. 5. 0.]

[19. 6. 17. 6. 2. 21. 20. 0. 14. 26.]]
```

9.3.4 Summary

Language models estimate the joint probability of a text sequence. For long sequences, *n*-grams provide a convenient model by truncating the dependence. However, there is a lot of structure but not enough frequency to deal with infrequent word combinations efficiently via Laplace smoothing. Thus, we will focus on neural language modeling in subsequent sections. To train language models, we can randomly sample pairs of input sequences and target sequences in minibatches. After training, we will use perplexity to measure the language model quality.

Exercises

- 1. Suppose there are 100,000 words in the training dataset. How much word frequency and multi-word adjacent frequency does a four-gram need to store?
- 2. How would you model a dialogue?
- 3. What other methods can you think of for reading long sequence data?
- 4. Consider our method for discarding a uniformly random number of the first few tokens at the beginning of each epoch.
 - 1. Does it really lead to a perfectly uniform distribution over the sequences on the document?
 - 2. What would you have to do to make things even more uniform?
- 5. If we want a sequence example to be a complete sentence, what kind of problem does this introduce in minibatch sampling? How can we fix the problem?

Discussions¹³⁶



9.4 Recurrent Neural Networks

In Section 9.3 we described Markov models and *n*-grams for language modeling, where the conditional probability of token x_t at time step t only depends on the n-1 previous tokens. If we want to incorporate the possible effect of tokens earlier than time step t - (n-1) on x_t , we need to increase n. However, the number of model parameters would also increase exponentially with it, as we need to store $|\mathcal{V}|^n$ numbers for a vocabulary set \mathcal{V} . Hence, rather than modeling $P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_{t-n+1})$ it is preferable to use a latent variable model:

$$P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1) \approx P(x_t \mid h_{t-1}), \tag{9.4.1}$$

where h_{t-1} is a *hidden state* that stores the sequence information up to time step t - 1. In general, the hidden state at any time step t could be computed based on both the current input x_t and the previous hidden state h_{t-1} :

$$h_t = f(x_t, h_{t-1}). (9.4.2)$$

For a sufficiently powerful function f in (9.4.2), the latent variable model is not an approximation. After all, h_t may simply store all the data it has observed so far. However, it could potentially make both computation and storage expensive.

Recall that we have discussed hidden layers with hidden units in Chapter 5. It is noteworthy that hidden layers and hidden states refer to two very different concepts. Hidden layers are, as explained, layers that are hidden from view on the path from input to output. Hidden states are technically speaking *inputs* to whatever we do at a given step, and they can only be computed by looking at data at previous time steps.

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are neural networks with hidden states. Before introducing the RNN model, we first revisit the MLP model introduced in Section 5.1.

9.4.1 Neural Networks without Hidden States

Let's take a look at an MLP with a single hidden layer. Let the hidden layer's activation function be ϕ . Given a minibatch of examples $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ with batch size n and d inputs, the hidden layer output $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ is calculated as

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \phi(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{W}_{xh} + \boldsymbol{b}_h). \tag{9.4.3}$$

In (9.4.3), we have the weight parameter $W_{xh} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$, the bias parameter $b_h \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$, and the number of hidden units h, for the hidden layer. Thus, broadcasting (see Section 2.1.4) is applied during the summation. Next, the hidden layer output H is used as input of the output layer. The output layer is given by

$$\boldsymbol{O} = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{W}_{hq} + \boldsymbol{b}_q, \tag{9.4.4}$$

where $O \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$ is the output variable, $W_{hq} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times q}$ is the weight parameter, and $b_q \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$ is the bias parameter of the output layer. If it is a classification problem, we can use softmax(O) to compute the probability distribution of the output categories.

This is entirely analogous to the regression problem we solved previously in Section 9.1, hence we omit details. Suffice it to say that we can pick feature-label pairs at random and learn the parameters of our network via automatic differentiation and stochastic gradient descent.

9.4.2 Recurrent Neural Networks with Hidden States

Matters are entirely different when we have hidden states. Let's look at the structure in some more detail.

Assume that we have a minibatch of inputs $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ at time step t. In other words, for a minibatch of n sequence examples, each row of X_t corresponds to one example at time step t from the sequence. Next, denote by $H_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ the hidden layer output of time step t. Unlike the MLP, here we save the hidden layer output H_{t-1} from the previous time step and introduce a new weight parameter $W_{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ to describe how to use the hidden layer output of the previous time step in the current time step. Specifically, the calculation of the hidden layer output of the current time step is determined by the input of the current time step together with the hidden layer output of the previous time step:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{t} = \phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{xh} + \boldsymbol{H}_{t-1}\boldsymbol{W}_{hh} + \boldsymbol{b}_{h}).$$
(9.4.5)

Compared with (9.4.3), (9.4.5) adds one more term $H_{t-1}W_{hh}$ and thus instantiates (9.4.2). From the relationship between hidden layer outputs H_t and H_{t-1} of adjacent time steps, we know that these variables captured and retained the sequence's historical information up to their current time step, just like the state or memory of the neural network's current time step. Therefore, such a hidden layer output is called a *hidden state*. Since the hidden state uses the same definition of the previous time step in the current time step, the computation of (9.4.5) is *recurrent*. Hence, as we said, neural networks with hidden states based on recurrent computation are named *recurrent neural networks*. Layers that perform the computation of (9.4.5) in RNNs are called *recurrent layers*.

There are many different ways for constructing RNNs. RNNs with a hidden state defined by (9.4.5) are very common. For time step t, the output of the output layer is similar to the computation in the MLP:

$$\boldsymbol{O}_t = \boldsymbol{H}_t \boldsymbol{W}_{hq} + \boldsymbol{b}_q. \tag{9.4.6}$$

Parameters of the RNN include the weights $W_{xh} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$, $W_{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$, and the bias $b_h \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ of the hidden layer, together with the weights $W_{hq} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times q}$ and the bias $b_q \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$ of the output layer. It is worth mentioning that even at different time steps, RNNs always use these model parameters. Therefore, the parameterization cost of an RNN does not grow as the number of time steps increases.

Fig.9.4.1 illustrates the computational logic of an RNN at three adjacent time steps. At any time step t, the computation of the hidden state can be treated as: (i) concatenating the input X_t at the current time step t and the hidden state H_{t-1} at the previous time step t - 1; (ii) feeding the concatenation result into a fully connected layer with the activation function ϕ . The output of such a fully connected layer is the hidden state H_t of the current time step t. In this case, the model parameters are the concatenation of W_{xh} and W_{hh} , and a bias of b_h , all from (9.4.5). The hidden state of the current time step t + 1. What is more, H_t will also be fed into the fully connected output layer to compute the output O_t of the current time step t.

We just mentioned that the calculation of $X_t W_{xh} + H_{t-1} W_{hh}$ for the hidden state is equivalent to matrix multiplication of concatenation of X_t and H_{t-1} and concatenation of W_{xh} and W_{hh} . Though this can be proven in mathematics, in the following we just use a simple code snippet to show this. To begin with, we define matrices X, W_xh, H, and W_hh, whose shapes are (3, 1), (1, 4), (3, 4), and (4, 4), respectively. Multiplying X by W_xh, and H by W_hh, respectively, and then adding these two multiplications, we obtain a matrix of shape (3, 4).





```
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

```
X, W_xh = np.random.randn(3, 1), np.random.randn(1, 4)
H, W_hh = np.random.randn(3, 4), np.random.randn(4, 4)
np.dot(X, W_xh) + np.dot(H, W_hh)
```

```
array([[-0.21952915, 4.256434 , 4.5812645 , -5.344988 ],
[ 3.447858 , -3.0177274 , -1.6777471 , 7.535347 ],
[ 2.2390068 , 1.4199957 , 4.744728 , -8.421293 ]])
```

Now we concatenate the matrices X and H along columns (axis 1), and the matrices W_xh and W_hh along rows (axis 0). These two concatenations result in matrices of shape (3, 5) and of shape (5, 4), respectively. Multiplying these two concatenated matrices, we obtain the same output matrix of shape (3, 4) as above.

```
np.dot(np.concatenate((X, H), 1), np.concatenate((W_xh, W_hh), 0))
```

```
array([[-0.21952918, 4.256434 , 4.5812645 , -5.344988 ],
[ 3.4478583 , -3.0177271 , -1.677747 , 7.535347 ],
[ 2.2390068 , 1.4199957 , 4.744728 , -8.421294 ]])
```

9.4.3 RNN-based Character-Level Language Models

Recall that for language modeling in Section 9.3, we aim to predict the next token based on the current and past tokens, thus we shift the original sequence by one token as the targets (labels). Bengio et al. first proposed to use a neural network for language modeling (Bengio *et al.*, 2003). In the following we illustrate how RNNs can be used to build a language model. Let the minibatch size be one, and the sequence of the text be "machine". To simplify training in subsequent sections, we tokenize text into characters rather than words and consider a *character-level language model*. Fig.9.4.2 demonstrates how to predict the next character based on the current and previous characters via an RNN for character-level language modeleling.

During the training process, we run a softmax operation on the output from the output layer for each time step, and then use the cross-entropy loss to compute the error between the model output and the target. Due to the recurrent computation of the hidden state in the hidden layer, the output of time step 3 in Fig.9.4.2, O_3 , is determined by the text sequence "m", "a", and "c". Since the next character of the sequence in the training data is "h", the



Figure 9.4.2 A character-level language model based on the RNN. The input and target sequences are machin and achine, respectively.

loss of time step 3 will depend on the probability distribution of the next character generated based on the feature sequence "m", "a", "c" and the target "h" of this time step.

In practice, each token is represented by a *d*-dimensional vector, and we use a batch size n > 1. Therefore, the input X_t at time step t will be a $n \times d$ matrix, which is identical to what we discussed in Section 9.4.2.

In the following sections, we will implement RNNs for character-level language models.

9.4.4 Summary

A neural network that uses recurrent computation for hidden states is called a recurrent neural network (RNN). The hidden state of an RNN can capture historical information of the sequence up to the current time step. With recurrent computation, the number of RNN model parameters does not grow as the number of time steps increases. As for applications, an RNN can be used to create character-level language models.

Exercises

- 1. If we use an RNN to predict the next character in a text sequence, what is the required dimension for any output?
- 2. Why can RNNs express the conditional probability of a token at some time step based on all the previous tokens in the text sequence?
- 3. What happens to the gradient if you backpropagate through a long sequence?
- 4. What are some of the problems associated with the language model described in this section?

Discussions¹³⁷



9.5 Recurrent Neural Network Implementation from Scratch

We are now ready to implement an RNN from scratch. In particular, we will train this RNN to function as a character-level language model (see Section 9.4) and train it on a corpus consisting of the entire text of H. G. Wells' *The Time Machine*, following the data processing steps outlined in Section 9.2. We start by loading the dataset.

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

9.5.1 RNN Model

We begin by defining a class to implement the RNN model (Section 9.4.2). Note that the number of hidden units num_hiddens is a tunable hyperparameter.

```
class RNNScratch(d2l.Module): #@save
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, num_hiddens, sigma=0.01):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.W_xh = np.random.randn(num_inputs, num_hiddens) * sigma
        self.W_hh = np.random.randn(
            num_hiddens, num_hiddens) * sigma
        self.b_h = np.zeros(num_hiddens)
```

The forward method below defines how to compute the output and hidden state at any time step, given the current input and the state of the model at the previous time step. Note that the RNN model loops through the outermost dimension of inputs, updating the hidden state one time step at a time. The model here uses a tanh activation function (Section 5.1.2).

We can feed a minibatch of input sequences into an RNN model as follows.

```
batch_size, num_inputs, num_hiddens, num_steps = 2, 16, 32, 100
rnn = RNNScratch(num_inputs, num_hiddens)
X = np.ones((num_steps, batch_size, num_inputs))
outputs, state = rnn(X)
```

Let's check whether the RNN model produces results of the correct shapes to ensure that the dimensionality of the hidden state remains unchanged.

The following RNNLMScratch class defines an RNN-based language model, where we pass in our RNN via the rnn argument of the __init___ method. When training language models, the inputs and outputs are from the same vocabulary. Hence, they have the same dimension, which is equal to the vocabulary size. Note that we use perplexity to evaluate the model. As discussed in Section 9.3.2, this ensures that sequences of different length are comparable.

```
class RNNLMScratch(d2l.Classifier): #@save
   def __init__(self, rnn, vocab_size, lr=0.01):
       super().__init__()
       self.save_hyperparameters()
       self.init_params()
   def init_params(self):
       self.W_hq = np.random.randn(
           self.rnn.num_hiddens, self.vocab_size) * self.rnn.sigma
        self.b_q = np.zeros(self.vocab_size)
        for param in self.get_scratch_params():
           param.attach grad()
   def training_step(self, batch):
       1 = self.loss(self(*batch[:-1]), batch[-1])
        self.plot('ppl', np.exp(l), train=True)
        return 1
   def validation_step(self, batch):
       l = self.loss(self(*batch[:-1]), batch[-1])
        self.plot('ppl', np.exp(l), train=False)
```

One-Hot Encoding

Recall that each token is represented by a numerical index indicating the position in the vocabulary of the corresponding word/character/word-piece. You might be tempted to build a neural network with a single input node (at each time step), where the index could be fed in as a scalar value. This works when we are dealing with numerical inputs like price or temperature, where any two values sufficiently close together should be treated similarly. But this doesn't quite make sense. The $45^{\rm th}$ and $46^{\rm th}$ words in our vocabulary happen to be "their" and "said", whose meanings are not remotely similar.

When dealing with such categorical data, the most common strategy is to represent each item by a *one-hot encoding* (recall from Section 4.1.1). A one-hot encoding is a vector whose length is given by the size of the vocabulary N, where all entries are set to 0, except for the entry corresponding to our token, which is set to 1. For example, if the vocabulary had 5 elements, then the one-hot vectors corresponding to indices 0 and 2 would be the following.

npx.one_hot(np.array([0, 2]), 5)

array([[1., 0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 1., 0., 0.]])

The minibatches that we sample at each iteration will take the shape (batch size, number of time steps). Once representing each input as a one-hot vector, we can think of each minibatch as a three-dimensional tensor, where the length along the third axis is given by the vocabulary size (len(vocab)). We often transpose the input so that we will obtain an output of shape (number of time steps, batch size, vocabulary size). This will allow us to more conveniently loop through the outermost dimension for updating hidden states of a minibatch, time step by time step (e.g., in the above forward method).

```
@d2l.add_to_class(RNNLMScratch) #@save
def one_hot(self, X):
    # Output shape: (num_steps, batch_size, vocab_size)
    return npx.one_hot(X.T, self.vocab_size)
```

Transforming RNN Outputs

The language model uses a fully connected output layer to transform RNN outputs into token predictions at each time step.

```
@d21.add_to_class(RNNLMScratch) #@save
def output_layer(self, rnn_outputs):
    outputs = [np.dot(H, self.W_hq) + self.b_q for H in rnn_outputs]
    return np.stack(outputs, 1)
@d21.add_to_class(RNNLMScratch) #@save
def forward(self, X, state=None):
    embs = self.one_hot(X)
    rnn_outputs, _ = self.rnn(embs, state)
    return self.output_layer(rnn_outputs)
```

Let's check whether the forward computation produces outputs with the correct shape.

```
model = RNNLMScratch(rnn, num_inputs)
outputs = model(np.ones((batch_size, num_steps), dtype=np.int64))
d2l.check_shape(outputs, (batch_size, num_steps, num_inputs))
```

9.5.3 Gradient Clipping

While you are already used to thinking of neural networks as "deep" in the sense that many layers separate the input and output even within a single time step, the length of the sequence introduces a new notion of depth. In addition to the passing through the network in the input-to-output direction, inputs at the first time step must pass through a chain of T layers along the time steps in order to influence the output of the model at the final time step. Taking the backwards view, in each iteration, we backpropagate gradients through time, resulting in a chain of matrix-products with length O(T). As mentioned in Section 5.4, this can result in numerical instability, causing the gradients to either explode or vanish depending on the properties of the weight matrices.

Dealing with vanishing and exploding gradients is a fundamental problem when designing RNNs and has inspired some of the biggest advances in modern neural network architectures. In the next chapter, we will talk about specialized architectures that were designed in hopes of mitigating the vanishing gradient problem. However, even modern RNNs still often suffer from exploding gradients. One inelegant but ubiquitous solution is to simply clip the gradients forcing the resulting "clipped" gradients to take smaller values.

Generally speaking, when optimizing some objective by gradient descent, we iteratively update the parameter of interest, say a vector \boldsymbol{x} , but pushing it in the direction of the negative gradient \boldsymbol{g} (in stochastic gradient descent, we calculate this gradient on a randomly sampled minibatch). For example, with learning rate $\eta > 0$, each update takes the form $\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} - \eta \boldsymbol{g}$. Let's further assume that the objective function f is sufficiently smooth. Formally, we say that the objective is *Lipschitz continuous* with constant L, meaning that for any \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{y} , we have

As you can see, when we update the parameter vector by subtracting ηg , the change in the value of the objective depends on the learning rate, the norm of the gradient and L as follows:

$$|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{x} - \eta \boldsymbol{g})| \le L\eta \|\boldsymbol{g}\|.$$
(9.5.2)

In other words, the objective cannot change by more than $L\eta \|g\|$. Having a small value for this upper bound might be viewed as a good thing or a bad thing. On the downside, we are limiting the speed at which we can reduce the value of the objective. On the bright side, this limits just how much we can go wrong in any one gradient step.

When we say that gradients explode, we mean that ||g|| becomes excessively large. In this worst case, we might do so much damage in a single gradient step that we could undo all of the progress made over the course of thousands of training iterations. When gradients can be so large, neural network training often diverges, failing to reduce the value of the objective. At other times, training eventually converges but is unstable owing to massive spikes in the loss.

One way to limit the size of $L\eta || g ||$ is to shrink the learning rate η to tiny values. One advantage here is that we don't bias the updates. But what if we only *rarely* get large gradients? This drastic move slows down our progress at all steps, just to deal with the rare exploding gradient events. A popular alternative is to adopt a *gradient clipping* heuristic projecting the gradients g onto a ball of some given radius θ as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{g} \leftarrow \min\left(1, \frac{\theta}{\|\boldsymbol{g}\|}\right) \boldsymbol{g}.$$
 (9.5.3)

This ensures that the gradient norm never exceeds θ and that the updated gradient is entirely aligned with the original direction of g. It also has the desirable side-effect of limiting the influence any given minibatch (and within it any given sample) can exert on the parameter vector. This bestows a certain degree of robustness to the model. To be clear, it's a hack. Gradient clipping means that we are not always following the true gradient and it's hard to reason analytically about the possible side effects. However, it's a very useful hack, and is widely adopted in RNN implementations in most deep learning frameworks.

Below we define a method to clip gradients, which is invoked by the fit_epoch method of the d21.Trainer class (see Section 3.4). Note that when computing the gradient norm, we are concatenating all model parameters, treating them as a single giant parameter vector.

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.Trainer) #@save
def clip_gradients(self, grad_clip_val, model):
    params = model.parameters()
    if not isinstance(params, list):
        params = [p.data() for p in params.values()]
    norm = math.sqrt(sum((p.grad ** 2).sum() for p in params))
    if norm > grad_clip_val:
        for param in params:
            param.grad[:] *= grad_clip_val / norm
```

9.5.4 Training

Using *The Time Machine* dataset (data), we train a character-level language model (model) based on the RNN (rnn) implemented from scratch. Note that we first calculate the gradients, then clip them, and finally update the model parameters using the clipped gradients.

```
data = d2l.TimeMachine(batch_size=1024, num_steps=32)
rnn = RNNScratch(num_inputs=len(data.vocab), num_hiddens=32)
model = RNNLMScratch(rnn, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=1)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=100, gradient_clip_val=1, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```





Once a language model has been learned, we can use it not only to predict the next token but to continue predicting each subsequent token, treating the previously predicted token as though it were the next token in the input. Sometimes we will just want to generate text as though we were starting at the beginning of a document. However, it's often useful to condition the language model on a user-supplied prefix. For example, if we were developing an autocomplete feature for search engine or to assist users in writing emails, we would want to feed in what they had written so far (the prefix), and then generate a likely continuation.

The following predict function generates a continuation, one character at a time, after ingesting a user-provided prefix, When looping through the characters in prefix, we keep passing the hidden state to the next time step but do not generate any output. This is called the *warm-up* period. After ingesting the prefix, we are now ready to begin emitting the subsequent characters, each of which will be fed back into the model as the input at the subsequent time step.

```
@d21.add_to_class(RNNLMScratch) #@save
def predict(self, prefix, num_preds, vocab, device=None):
    state, outputs = None, [vocab[prefix[0]]]
    for i in range(len(prefix) + num_preds - 1):
        X = np.array([[outputs[-1]]], ctx=device)
        embs = self.one_hot(X)
        rnn_outputs, state = self.rnn(embs, state)
        if i < len(prefix) - 1: # Warm-up period
        outputs.append(vocab[prefix[i + 1]])
        else: # Predict `num_preds` steps
        Y = self.output_layer(rnn_outputs)
        outputs.append(int(Y.argmax(axis=2).reshape(1)))
    return ''.join([vocab.idx_to_token[i] for i in outputs])
```

In the following, we specify the prefix and have it generate 20 additional characters.

model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab, d2l.try_gpu())

'it has in the the the the '

While implementing the above RNN model from scratch is instructive, it is not convenient. In the next section, we will see how to leverage deep learning frameworks to whip up RNNs using standard architectures, and to reap performance gains by relying on highly optimized library functions.

9.5.6 Summary

We can train RNN-based language models to generate text following the user-provided text prefix. A simple RNN language model consists of input encoding, RNN modeling, and output generation. During training, gradient clipping can mitigate the problem of exploding gradients but does not address the problem of vanishing gradients. In the experiment, we implemented a simple RNN language model and trained it with gradient clipping on sequences of text, tokenized at the character level. By conditioning on a prefix, we can use a language model to generate likely continuations, which proves useful in many applications, e.g., autocomplete features.

Exercises

- 1. Does the implemented language model predict the next token based on all the past tokens up to the very first token in *The Time Machine*?
- 2. Which hyperparameter controls the length of history used for prediction?
- 3. Show that one-hot encoding is equivalent to picking a different embedding for each object.
- 4. Adjust the hyperparameters (e.g., number of epochs, number of hidden units, number of time steps in a minibatch, and learning rate) to improve the perplexity. How low can you go while sticking with this simple architecture?
- 5. Replace one-hot encoding with learnable embeddings. Does this lead to better performance?
- Conduct an experiment to determine how well this language model trained on *The Time Machine* works on other books by H. G. Wells, e.g., The War of the Worlds¹³⁸.
- 7. Conduct another experiment to evaluate the perplexity of this model on books written by other authors.
- Modify the prediction function such as to use sampling rather than picking the most likely next character.
 - What happens?
 - Bias the model towards more likely outputs, e.g., by sampling from $q(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1) \propto P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_1)^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 1$.
- 9. Run the code in this section without clipping the gradient. What happens?
- 10. Replace the activation function used in this section with ReLU and repeat the experiments in this section. Do we still need gradient clipping? Why?

Discussions¹³⁹



9.6 Concise Implementation of Recurrent Neural Networks

Like most of our from-scratch implementations, Section 9.5 was designed to provide insight into how each component works. But when you're using RNNs every day or writing production code, you'll want to rely more on libraries that cut down on both implementation time (by supplying library code for common models and functions) and computation time (by optimizing the heck out of these library implementations). This section will show you how to implement the same language model more efficiently using the high-level API provided by your deep learning framework. We begin, as before, by loading *The Time Machine* dataset.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn, rnn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

9.6.1 Defining the Model

We define the following class using the RNN implemented by high-level APIs.

Specifically, to initialize the hidden state, we invoke the member method begin_state. This returns a list that contains an initial hidden state for each example in the minibatch, whose shape is (number of hidden layers, batch size, number of hidden units). For some models to be introduced later (e.g., long short-term memory), this list will also contain other information.

```
class RNN(d21.Module): #@save
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.rnn = rnn.RNN(num_hiddens)
    def forward(self, inputs, H=None):
        if H is None:
            H, = self.rnn.begin_state(inputs.shape[1], ctx=inputs.ctx)
        outputs, (H, ) = self.rnn(inputs, (H, ))
        return outputs, H
```

Inheriting from the RNNLMScratch class in Section 9.5, the following RNNLM class defines a complete RNN-based language model. Note that we need to create a separate fully connected output layer.

```
class RNNLM(d21.RNNLMScratch): #@save
    def init_params(self):
        self.linear = nn.Dense(self.vocab_size, flatten=False)
        self.initialize()
    def output_layer(self, hiddens):
        return self.linear(hiddens).swapaxes(0, 1)
```

9.6.2 Training and Predicting

Before training the model, let's make a prediction with a model initialized with random weights. Given that we have not trained the network, it will generate nonsensical predictions.

```
data = d2l.TimeMachine(batch_size=1024, num_steps=32)
rnn = RNN(num_hiddens=32)
model = RNNLM(rnn, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=1)
model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab)
```

```
'it hasxlxlxlxlxlxlxlxlxlxlxl'
```

Next, we train our model, leveraging the high-level API.

trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=100, gradient_clip_val=1, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)



Compared with Section 9.5, this model achieves comparable perplexity, but runs faster due to the optimized implementations. As before, we can generate predicted tokens following the specified prefix string.

```
model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab, d2l.try_gpu())
```

'it has the the the the'

9.6.3 Summary

High-level APIs in deep learning frameworks provide implementations of standard RNNs. These libraries help you to avoid wasting time reimplementing standard models. Moreover, framework implementations are often highly optimized, leading to significant (computational) performance gains as compared to implementations from scratch.

Exercises

1. Can you make the RNN model overfit using the high-level APIs?

2. Implement the autoregressive model of Section 9.1 using an RNN.

Discussions¹⁴⁰



9.7 Backpropagation Through Time

If you completed the exercises in Section 9.5, you would have seen that gradient clipping is vital to prevent the occasional massive gradients from destabilizing training. We hinted that the exploding gradients stem from backpropagating across long sequences. Before introducing a slew of modern RNN architectures, let's take a closer look at how *backpropagation* works in sequence models in mathematical detail. Hopefully, this discussion will bring some precision to the notion of *vanishing* and *exploding* gradients. If you recall our discussion of forward and backward propagation through computational graphs when we introduced MLPs in Section 5.3, then forward propagation in RNNs should be relatively straightforward. Applying backpropagation in RNNs is called *backpropagation through time* (Werbos, 1990).

This procedure requires us to expand (or unroll) the computational graph of an RNN one time step at a time. The unrolled RNN is essentially a feedforward neural network with the special property that the same parameters are repeated throughout the unrolled network, appearing at each time step. Then, just as in any feedforward neural network, we can apply the chain rule, backpropagating gradients through the unrolled net. The gradient with respect to each parameter must be summed across all places that the parameter occurs in the unrolled neural neur

Complications arise because sequences can be rather long. It's not unusual to work with text sequences consisting of over a thousand tokens. Note that this poses problems both from a computational (too much memory) and optimization (numerical instability) standpoint. Input from the first step passes through over 1000 matrix products before arriving at the output, and another 1000 matrix products are required to compute the gradient. We now analyze what can go wrong and how to address it in practice.

9.7.1 Analysis of Gradients in RNNs

We start with a simplified model of how an RNN works. This model ignores details about the specifics of the hidden state and how it is updated. The mathematical notation here does not explicitly distinguish scalars, vectors, and matrices. We are just trying to develop some intuition. In this simplified model, we denote h_t as the hidden state, x_t as input, and o_t as output at time step t. Recall our discussions in Section 9.4.2 that the input and the hidden state can be concatenated before being multiplied by one weight variable in the hidden layer. Thus, we use w_h and w_o to indicate the weights of the hidden layer and the output layer, respectively. As a result, the hidden states and outputs at each time steps are

$$h_t = f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h),$$

$$o_t = g(h_t, w_o),$$
(9.7.1)

where f and g are transformations of the hidden layer and the output layer, respectively. Hence, we have a chain of values $\{\ldots, (x_{t-1}, h_{t-1}, o_{t-1}), (x_t, h_t, o_t), \ldots\}$ that depend on each other via recurrent computation. The forward propagation is fairly straightforward. All we need is to loop through the (x_t, h_t, o_t) triples one time step at a time. The discrepancy between output o_t and the desired target y_t is then evaluated by an objective function across all the T time steps as

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_T, y_1, \dots, y_T, w_h, w_o) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T l(y_t, o_t).$$
(9.7.2)

For backpropagation, matters are a bit trickier, especially when we compute the gradients with regard to the parameters w_h of the objective function L. To be specific, by the chain rule,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_h} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial l(y_t, o_t)}{\partial w_h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial l(y_t, o_t)}{\partial o_t} \frac{\partial g(h_t, w_o)}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial w_h}.$$
(9.7.3)

The first and the second factors of the product in (9.7.3) are easy to compute. The third factor $\partial h_t / \partial w_h$ is where things get tricky, since we need to recurrently compute the effect of the parameter w_h on h_t . According to the recurrent computation in (9.7.1), h_t depends on both h_{t-1} and w_h , where computation of h_{t-1} also depends on w_h . Thus, evaluating the total derivate of h_t with respect to w_h using the chain rule yields

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial w_h} = \frac{\partial f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h)}{\partial w_h} + \frac{\partial f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h)}{\partial h_{t-1}} \frac{\partial h_{t-1}}{\partial w_h}.$$
(9.7.4)

To derive the above gradient, assume that we have three sequences $\{a_t\}, \{b_t\}, \{c_t\}$ satisfying $a_0 = 0$ and $a_t = b_t + c_t a_{t-1}$ for t = 1, 2, ... Then for $t \ge 1$, it is easy to show

$$a_t = b_t + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^t c_j \right) b_i.$$
(9.7.5)

By substituting a_t , b_t , and c_t according to

$$a_{t} = \frac{\partial h_{t}}{\partial w_{h}},$$

$$b_{t} = \frac{\partial f(x_{t}, h_{t-1}, w_{h})}{\partial w_{h}},$$

$$c_{t} = \frac{\partial f(x_{t}, h_{t-1}, w_{h})}{\partial h_{t-1}},$$

(9.7.6)

the gradient computation in (9.7.4) satisfies $a_t = b_t + c_t a_{t-1}$. Thus, per (9.7.5), we can remove the recurrent computation in (9.7.4) with

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial w_h} = \frac{\partial f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h)}{\partial w_h} + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^t \frac{\partial f(x_j, h_{j-1}, w_h)}{\partial h_{j-1}} \right) \frac{\partial f(x_i, h_{i-1}, w_h)}{\partial w_h}.$$
(9.7.7)

While we can use the chain rule to compute $\partial h_t / \partial w_h$ recursively, this chain can get very long whenever t is large. Let's discuss a number of strategies for dealing with this problem.

Full Computation

One idea might be to compute the full sum in (9.7.7). However, this is very slow and gradients can blow up, since subtle changes in the initial conditions can potentially affect the outcome a lot. That is, we could see things similar to the butterfly effect, where minimal changes in the initial conditions lead to disproportionate changes in the outcome. This is generally undesirable. After all, we are looking for robust estimators that generalize well. Hence this strategy is almost never used in practice.

Truncating Time Steps

Alternatively, we can truncate the sum in (9.7.7) after τ steps. This is what we have been discussing so far. This leads to an *approximation* of the true gradient, simply by terminating the sum at $\partial h_{t-\tau}/\partial w_h$. In practice this works quite well. It is what is commonly referred to as truncated backpropgation through time (Jaeger, 2002). One of the consequences of this is that the model focuses primarily on short-term influence rather than long-term consequences. This is actually *desirable*, since it biases the estimate towards simpler and more stable models.

Randomized Truncation

Last, we can replace $\partial h_t / \partial w_h$ by a random variable which is correct in expectation but truncates the sequence. This is achieved by using a sequence of ξ_t with predefined $0 \le \pi_t \le 1$, where $P(\xi_t = 0) = 1 - \pi_t$ and $P(\xi_t = \pi_t^{-1}) = \pi_t$, thus $E[\xi_t] = 1$. We use this to replace the gradient $\partial h_t / \partial w_h$ in (9.7.4) with

$$z_t = \frac{\partial f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h)}{\partial w_h} + \xi_t \frac{\partial f(x_t, h_{t-1}, w_h)}{\partial h_{t-1}} \frac{\partial h_{t-1}}{\partial w_h}.$$
(9.7.8)

It follows from the definition of ξ_t that $E[z_t] = \partial h_t / \partial w_h$. Whenever $\xi_t = 0$ the recurrent computation terminates at that time step t. This leads to a weighted sum of sequences of varying lengths, where long sequences are rare but appropriately overweighted. This idea was proposed by Tallec and Ollivier (2017).

Comparing Strategies



Figure 9.7.1

1 Comparing strategies for computing gradients in RNNs. From top to bottom: randomized truncation, regular truncation, and full computation.

Fig.9.7.1 illustrates the three strategies when analyzing the first few characters of *The Time Machine* using backpropagation through time for RNNs:

- The first row is the randomized truncation that partitions the text into segments of varying lengths.
- The second row is the regular truncation that breaks the text into subsequences of the same length. This is what we have been doing in RNN experiments.
- The third row is the full backpropagation through time that leads to a computationally infeasible expression.

Unfortunately, while appealing in theory, randomized truncation does not work much better than regular truncation, most likely due to a number of factors. First, the effect of an observation after a number of backpropagation steps into the past is quite sufficient to capture dependencies in practice. Second, the increased variance counteracts the fact that the gradient is more accurate with more steps. Third, we actually *want* models that have only a short range of interactions. Hence, regularly truncated backpropagation through time has a slight regularizing effect that can be desirable.

9.7.2 Backpropagation Through Time in Detail

After discussing the general principle, let's discuss backpropagation through time in detail. Different from the analysis in Section 9.7.1, in the following we will show how to compute the gradients of the objective function with respect to all the decomposed model parameters. To keep things simple, we consider an RNN without bias parameters, whose activation function in the hidden layer uses the identity mapping ($\phi(x) = x$). For time step t, let the single example input and the target be $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and y_t , respectively. The hidden state $h_t \in \mathbb{R}^h$ and the output $o_t \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_t &= \mathbf{W}_{hx} \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{W}_{hh} \mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \\ \mathbf{o}_t &= \mathbf{W}_{ah} \mathbf{h}_t, \end{aligned}$$
 (9.7.9)

where $W_{hx} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$, $W_{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$, and $W_{qh} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times h}$ are the weight parameters. Denote by $l(o_t, y_t)$ the loss at time step t. Our objective function, the loss over T time steps from the beginning of the sequence is thus

$$L = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l(\boldsymbol{o}_t, y_t).$$
(9.7.10)

In order to visualize the dependencies among model variables and parameters during computation of the RNN, we can draw a computational graph for the model, as shown in Fig.9.7.2. For example, the computation of the hidden states of time step 3, h_3 , depends on the model parameters W_{hx} and W_{hh} , the hidden state of the last time step h_2 , and the input of the current time step x_3 .



Figure 9.7.2 Computational graph showing dependencies for an RNN model with three time steps. Boxes represent variables (not shaded) or parameters (shaded) and circles represent operators.

As just mentioned, the model parameters in Fig.9.7.2 are W_{hx} , W_{hh} , and W_{qh} . Generally, training this model requires gradient computation with respect to these parameters $\partial L/\partial W_{hx}$, $\partial L/\partial W_{hh}$, and $\partial L/\partial W_{qh}$. According to the dependencies in Fig.9.7.2, we can traverse in the opposite direction of the arrows to calculate and store the gradients in turn. To flexibly express the multiplication of matrices, vectors, and scalars of different shapes in the chain rule, we continue to use the prod operator as described in Section 5.3.

First of all, differentiating the objective function with respect to the model output at any time step t is fairly straightforward:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_t} = \frac{\partial l(\boldsymbol{o}_t, y_t)}{T \cdot \partial \boldsymbol{o}_t} \in \mathbb{R}^q.$$
(9.7.11)

Now, we can calculate the gradient of the objective with respect to the parameter W_{qh} in the output layer: $\partial L / \partial W_{qh} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times h}$. Based on Fig.9.7.2, the objective L depends on W_{qh} via o_1, \ldots, o_T . Using the chain rule yields

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{qh}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{qh}}\right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}} \boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\top}, \quad (9.7.12)$$

where $\partial L / \partial o_t$ is given by (9.7.11).

Next, as shown in Fig.9.7.2, at the final time step T, the objective function L depends on the hidden state h_T only via o_T . Therefore, we can easily find the gradient $\partial L/\partial h_T \in \mathbb{R}^h$ using the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_T} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_T}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_T}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_T}\right) = \boldsymbol{W}_{qh}^{\top} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_T}.$$
(9.7.13)

It gets trickier for any time step t < T, where the objective function L depends on h_t via h_{t+1} and o_t . According to the chain rule, the gradient of the hidden state $\partial L/\partial h_t \in \mathbb{R}^h$ at any time step t < T can be recurrently computed as:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}} = \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t+1}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t+1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}\right) + \operatorname{prod}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}\right) = \boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{\top} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t+1}} + \boldsymbol{W}_{qh}^{\top} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{t}}.$$
(9.7.14)

For analysis, expanding the recurrent computation for any time step $1 \le t \le T$ gives

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_t} = \sum_{i=t}^T \left(\boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{\top} \right)^{T-i} \boldsymbol{W}_{qh}^{\top} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{o}_{T+t-i}}.$$
(9.7.15)

We can see from (9.7.15) that this simple linear example already exhibits some key problems

of long sequence models: it involves potentially very large powers of W_{hh}^{\top} . In it, eigenvalues smaller than 1 vanish and eigenvalues larger than 1 diverge. This is numerically unstable, which manifests itself in the form of vanishing and exploding gradients. One way to address this is to truncate the time steps at a computationally convenient size as discussed in Section 9.7.1. In practice, this truncation can also be effected by detaching the gradient after a given number of time steps. Later on, we will see how more sophisticated sequence models such as long short-term memory can alleviate this further.

Finally, Fig.9.7.2 shows that the objective function L depends on model parameters W_{hx} and W_{hh} in the hidden layer via hidden states h_1, \ldots, h_T . To compute gradients with respect to such parameters $\partial L/\partial W_{hx} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$ and $\partial L/\partial W_{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$, we apply the chain rule that gives

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{hx}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{prod} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{hx}} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t}^{\top},$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{hh}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{prod} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}_{hh}} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}_{t}} \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1}^{\top},$$
(9.7.16)

where $\partial L/\partial h_t$ that is recurrently computed by (9.7.13) and (9.7.14) is the key quantity that affects the numerical stability.

Since backpropagation through time is the application of backpropagation in RNNs, as we have explained in Section 5.3, training RNNs alternates forward propagation with backpropagation through time. Besides, backpropagation through time computes and stores the above gradients in turn. Specifically, stored intermediate values are reused to avoid duplicate calculations, such as storing $\partial L/\partial h_t$ to be used in computation of both $\partial L/\partial W_{hx}$ and $\partial L/\partial W_{hh}$.

9.7.3 Summary

Backpropagation through time is merely an application of backpropagation to sequence models with a hidden state. Truncation is needed for computational convenience and numerical stability, such as regular truncation and randomized truncation. High powers of matrices can lead to divergent or vanishing eigenvalues. This manifests itself in the form of exploding or vanishing gradients. For efficient computation, intermediate values are cached during backpropagation through time.

Exercises

- 1. Assume that we have a symmetric matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with eigenvalues λ_i whose corresponding eigenvectors are v_i (i = 1, ..., n). Without loss of generality, assume that they are ordered in the order $|\lambda_i| \ge |\lambda_{i+1}|$.
- 2. Show that M^k has eigenvalues λ_i^k .
- 3. Prove that for a random vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with high probability $M^k x$ will be very much aligned with the eigenvector v_1 of M. Formalize this statement.
- 4. What does the above result mean for gradients in RNNs?
- 5. Besides gradient clipping, can you think of any other methods to cope with gradient explosion in recurrent neural networks?

Discussions¹⁴¹



Modern Recurrent Neural Networks

The previous chapter introduced the key ideas behind recurrent neural networks (RNNs). However, just as with convolutional neural networks, there has been a tremendous amount of innovation in RNN architectures, culminating in several complex designs that have proven successful in practice. In particular, the most popular designs feature mechanisms to mitigate the notorious numerical instability faced by RNNs, as typified by vanishing and exploding gradients. Recall that in Chapter 9 we dealt with exploding gradient by applying a blunt gradient clipping heuristic. Despite the efficacy of this hack, it leaves open the problem of vanishing gradients.

In this chapter, we introduce the key ideas behind the most successful RNN architectures for sequence, which stem from two papers published in 1997. The first paper, *Long Short-Term Memory* (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), introduces the *memory cell*, a unit of computation that replaces traditional nodes in the hidden layer of a network. With these memory cells, networks are able to overcome difficulties with training encountered by earlier recurrent networks. Intuitively, the memory cell avoids the vanishing gradient problem by keeping values in each memory cell's internal state cascading along a recurrent edge with weight 1 across many successive time steps. A set of multiplicative gates help the network to determine both which inputs to allow into the memory state, and when the content of the memory state should influence the model's output.

The second paper, *Bidirectional Recurrent Neural Networks* (Schuster and Paliwal, 1997), introduces an architecture in which information from both the future (subsequent time steps) and the past (preceding time steps) are used to determine the output at any point in the sequence. This is in contrast to previous networks, in which only past input can affect the output. Bidirectional RNNs have become a mainstay for sequence labeling tasks in natural language processing, among myriad other tasks. Fortunately, the two innovations are not mutually exclusive, and have been successfully combined for phoneme classification (Graves and Schmidhuber, 2005) and handwriting recognition (Graves *et al.*, 2008).

The first sections in this chapter will explain the LSTM architecture, a lighter-weight version called the gated recurrent unit (GRU), the key ideas behind bidirectional RNNs and a brief explanation of how RNN layers are stacked together to form deep RNNs. Subsequently, we will explore the application of RNNs in sequence-to-sequence tasks, introducing machine translation along with key ideas such as *encoder-decoder* architectures and *beam search*.

10.1 Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)

Shortly after the first Elman-style RNNs were trained using backpropagation (Elman, 1990), the problems of learning long-term dependencies (owing to vanishing and exploding gradients) became salient, with Bengio and Hochreiter discussing the problem (Bengio *et al.*, 1994) (Hochreiter *et al.*, 2001). Hochreiter had articulated this problem as early as in his 1991 masters thesis, although the results were not widely known because the thesis was written in German. While gradient clipping helps with exploding gradients, handling vanishing

gradients appears to require a more elaborate solution. One of the first and most successful techniques for addressing vanishing gradients came in the form of the long short-term memory (LSTM) model due to Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997). LSTMs resemble standard recurrent neural networks but here each ordinary recurrent node is replaced by a *memory cell*. Each memory cell contains an *internal state*, i.e., a node with a self-connected recurrent edge of fixed weight 1, ensuring that the gradient can pass across many time steps without vanishing or exploding.

The term "long short-term memory" comes from the following intuition. Simple recurrent neural networks have *long-term memory* in the form of weights. The weights change slowly during training, encoding general knowledge about the data. They also have *short-term memory* in the form of ephemeral activations, which pass from each node to successive nodes. The LSTM model introduces an intermediate type of storage via the memory cell. A memory cell is a composite unit, built from simpler nodes in a specific connectivity pattern, with the novel inclusion of multiplicative nodes.

10.1.1 Gated Memory Cell

Each memory cell is equipped with an *internal state* and a number of multiplicative gates that determine whether (i) a given input should impact the internal state (the *input gate*), (ii) the internal state should be flushed to 0 (the *forget gate*), and (iii) the internal state of a given neuron should be allowed to impact the cell's output (the *output* gate).

Gated Hidden State

The key distinction between vanilla RNNs and LSTMs is that the latter support gating of the hidden state. This means that we have dedicated mechanisms for when a hidden state should be *updated* and also when it should be *reset*. These mechanisms are learned and they address the concerns listed above. For instance, if the first token is of great importance we will learn not to update the hidden state after the first observation. Likewise, we will learn to skip irrelevant temporary observations. Last, we will learn to reset the latent state whenever needed. We discuss this in detail below.

Input Gate, Forget Gate, and Output Gate

The data feeding into the LSTM gates are the input at the current time step and the hidden state of the previous time step, as illustrated in Fig.10.1.1. Three fully connected layers with sigmoid activation functions compute the values of the input, forget, and output gates. As a result of the sigmoid activation, all values of the three gates are in the range of (0, 1). Additionally, we require an *input node*, typically computed with a *tanh* activation function. Intuitively, the *input gate* determines how much of the input node's value should be added to the current memory cell internal state. The *forget gate* determines whether to keep the current value of the memory or flush it. And the *output gate* determines whether the memory cell should influence the output at the current time step.

Mathematically, suppose that there are h hidden units, the batch size is n, and the number of inputs is d. Thus, the input is $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and the hidden state of the previous time step is $H_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$. Correspondingly, the gates at time step t are defined as follows: the input gate is $I_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$, the forget gate is $F_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$, and the output gate is $O_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$. They are calculated as follows:

$$I_{t} = \sigma(X_{t}W_{xi} + H_{t-1}W_{hi} + b_{i}),$$

$$F_{t} = \sigma(X_{t}W_{xf} + H_{t-1}W_{hf} + b_{f}),$$

$$O_{t} = \sigma(X_{t}W_{xo} + H_{t-1}W_{ho} + b_{o}),$$

(10.1.1)





where $W_{xi}, W_{xf}, W_{xo} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ and $W_{hi}, W_{hf}, W_{ho} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ are weight parameters and $b_i, b_f, b_o \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ are bias parameters. Note that broadcasting (see Section 2.1.4) is triggered during the summation. We use sigmoid functions (as introduced in Section 5.1) to map the input values to the interval (0, 1).

Input Node

Next we design the memory cell. Since we have not specified the action of the various gates yet, we first introduce the *input node* $\tilde{C}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$. Its computation is similar to that of the three gates described above, but using a tanh function with a value range for (-1, 1) as the activation function. This leads to the following equation at time step t:

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(\boldsymbol{X}_t \boldsymbol{W}_{xc} + \boldsymbol{H}_{t-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{hc} + \boldsymbol{b}_c), \qquad (10.1.2)$$

where $W_{xc} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ and $W_{hc} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ are weight parameters and $b_c \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ is a bias parameter.

A quick illustration of the input node is shown in Fig.10.1.2.





Memory Cell Internal State

In LSTMs, the input gate I_t governs how much we take new data into account via \tilde{C}_t and the forget gate F_t addresses how much of the old cell internal state $C_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ we retain.

Using the Hadamard (elementwise) product operator \odot we arrive at the following update equation:

$$\boldsymbol{C}_t = \boldsymbol{F}_t \odot \boldsymbol{C}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{I}_t \odot \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_t. \tag{10.1.3}$$

If the forget gate is always 1 and the input gate is always 0, the memory cell internal state C_{t-1} will remain constant forever, passing unchanged to each subsequent time step. However, input gates and forget gates give the model the flexibility to learn when to keep this value unchanged and when to perturb it in response to subsequent inputs. In practice, this design alleviates the vanishing gradient problem, resulting in models that are much easier to train, especially when facing datasets with long sequence lengths.

We thus arrive at the flow diagram in Fig.10.1.3.





Hidden State

Last, we need to define how to compute the output of the memory cell, i.e., the hidden state $H_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$, as seen by other layers. This is where the output gate comes into play. In LSTMs, we first apply tanh to the memory cell internal state and then apply another pointwise multiplication, this time with the output gate. This ensures that the values of H_t are always in the interval (-1, 1):

$$\boldsymbol{H}_t = \boldsymbol{O}_t \odot \tanh(\boldsymbol{C}_t). \tag{10.1.4}$$

Whenever the output gate is close to 1, we allow the memory cell internal state to impact the subsequent layers uninhibited, whereas for output gate values close to 0, we prevent the current memory from impacting other layers of the network at the current time step. Note that a memory cell can accrue information across many time steps without impacting the rest of the network (so long as the output gate takes values close to 0), and then suddenly impact the network at a subsequent time step as soon as the output gate flips from values close to 0 to values close to 1.

Fig.10.1.4 has a graphical illustration of the data flow.

10.1.2 Implementation from Scratch

Now let's implement an LSTM from scratch. As same as the experiments in Section 9.5, we first load *The Time Machine* dataset.



Figure 10.1.4 Computing the hidden state in an LSTM model.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import rnn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

Initializing Model Parameters

Next, we need to define and initialize the model parameters. As previously, the hyperparameter num_hiddens dictates the number of hidden units. We initialize weights following a Gaussian distribution with 0.01 standard deviation, and we set the biases to 0.

The actual model is defined as described above, consisting of three gates and an input node. Note that only the hidden state is passed to the output layer.

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
np.dot(H, self.W_hc) + self.b_c)
C = F * C + I * C_tilde
H = 0 * np.tanh(C)
outputs.append(H)
return outputs, (H, C)
```

Training and Prediction

Let's train an LSTM model by instantiating the RNNLMScratch class as introduced in Section 9.5.

```
data = d2l.TimeMachine(batch_size=1024, num_steps=32)
lstm = LSTMScratch(num_inputs=len(data.vocab), num_hiddens=32)
model = d2l.RNNLMScratch(lstm, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=4)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=50, gradient_clip_val=1, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```





Using high-level APIs, we can directly instantiate an LSTM model. This encapsulates all the configuration details that we made explicit above. The code is significantly faster as it uses compiled operators rather than Python for many details that we spelled out before.

```
class LSTM(d21.RNN):
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens):
        d21.Module.__init__(self)
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.rnn = rnn.LSTM(num_hiddens)
    def forward(self, inputs, H_C=None):
        if H_C is None: H_C = self.rnn.begin_state(
            inputs.shape[1], ctx=inputs.ctx)
        return self.rnn(inputs, H_C)
lstm = LSTM(num_hiddens=32)
```

```
model = d2l.RNNLM(lstm, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=4)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```

model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab, d2l.try_gpu())



'it has all the time travel'

LSTMs are the prototypical latent variable autoregressive model with nontrivial state control. Many variants thereof have been proposed over the years, e.g., multiple layers, residual connections, different types of regularization. However, training LSTMs and other sequence models (such as GRUs) are quite costly due to the long range dependency of the sequence. Later we will encounter alternative models such as transformers that can be used in some cases.

10.1.4 Summary

While LSTMs were published in 1997, they rose to greater prominence with some victories in prediction competitions in the mid-2000s, and became the dominant models for sequence learning from 2011 until more recently with the rise of transformer models, starting in 2017. Even transformers owe some of their key ideas to architecture design innovations introduced by the LSTM. LSTMs have three types of gates: input gates, forget gates, and output gates that control the flow of information. The hidden layer output of LSTM includes the hidden state and the memory cell internal state. Only the hidden state is passed into the output layer while the memory cell internal state is entirely internal. LSTMs can alleviate vanishing and exploding gradients.

Exercises

- 1. Adjust the hyperparameters and analyze their influence on running time, perplexity, and the output sequence.
- 2. How would you need to change the model to generate proper words as opposed to sequences of characters?
- 3. Compare the computational cost for GRUs, LSTMs, and regular RNNs for a given hidden dimension. Pay special attention to the training and inference cost.
- 4. Since the candidate memory cell ensures that the value range is between -1 and 1 by using the tanh function, why does the hidden state need to use the tanh function again to ensure that the output value range is between -1 and 1?
- 5. Implement an LSTM model for time series prediction rather than character sequence 142 prediction.



Discussions¹⁴²

10.2 Gated Recurrent Units (GRU)

As RNNs and particularly the LSTM architecture (Section 10.1) rapidly gained popularity during the 2010s, a number of papers began to experiment with simplified architectures in hopes of retaining the key idea of incorporating an internal state and multiplicative gating mechanisms but with the aim of speeding up computation. The gated recurrent unit (GRU) (Cho *et al.*, 2014) offered a streamlined version of the LSTM memory cell that often achieves comparable performance but with the advantage of being faster to compute (Chung *et al.*, 2014).

10.2.1 Reset Gate and Update Gate

Here, the LSTM's three gates are replaced by two: the *reset gate* and the *update gate*. As with LSTMs, these gates are given sigmoid activations, forcing their values to lie in the interval (0, 1). Intuitively, the reset gate controls how much of the previous state we might still want to remember. Likewise, an update gate would allow us to control how much of the new state is just a copy of the old state. Fig.10.2.1 illustrates the inputs for both the reset and update gates in a GRU, given the input of the current time step and the hidden state of the previous time step. The outputs of two gates are given by two fully connected layers with a sigmoid activation function.



Figure 10.2.1

Computing the reset gate and the update gate in a GRU model.

Mathematically, for a given time step t, suppose that the input is a minibatch $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ number of examples: n, number of inputs: d) and the hidden state of the previous time step is $H_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ (number of hidden units: h). Then, the reset gate $R_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ and update gate $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ are computed as follows:

$$R_t = \sigma(X_t W_{xr} + H_{t-1} W_{hr} + b_r),$$

$$Z_t = \sigma(X_t W_{xz} + H_{t-1} W_{hz} + b_z),$$
(10.2.1)

where $W_{xr}, W_{xz} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ and $W_{hr}, W_{hz} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ are weight parameters and $b_r, b_z \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ are bias parameters.

10.2.2 Candidate Hidden State

Next, we integrate the reset gate \mathbf{R}_t with the regular updating mechanism in (9.4.5), leading to the following *candidate hidden state* $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ at time step t:

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{H}}_{t} = \tanh(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{xh} + (\boldsymbol{R}_{t} \odot \boldsymbol{H}_{t-1})\boldsymbol{W}_{hh} + \boldsymbol{b}_{h}), \quad (10.2.2)$$

where $W_{xh} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ and $W_{hh} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ are weight parameters, $b_h \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ is the bias, and the symbol \odot is the Hadamard (elementwise) product operator. Here we use a tanh activation function.

The result is a *candidate*, since we still need to incorporate the action of the update gate. Comparing with (9.4.5), now the influence of the previous states can be reduced with the elementwise multiplication of \mathbf{R}_t and \mathbf{H}_{t-1} in (10.2.2). Whenever the entries in the reset gate \mathbf{R}_t are close to 1, we recover a vanilla RNN such as in (9.4.5). For all entries of the reset gate \mathbf{R}_t that are close to 0, the candidate hidden state is the result of an MLP with \mathbf{X}_t as input. Any pre-existing hidden state is thus *reset* to defaults.

Fig.10.2.2 illustrates the computational flow after applying the reset gate.



Figure 10.2.2 Computing the candidate hidden state in a GRU model.

10.2.3 Hidden State

Finally, we need to incorporate the effect of the update gate Z_t . This determines the extent to which the new hidden state $H_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ matches the old state H_{t-1} versus how much it resembles the new candidate state \tilde{H}_t . The update gate Z_t can be used for this purpose, simply by taking elementwise convex combinations of H_{t-1} and \tilde{H}_t . This leads to the final update equation for the GRU:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_t = \boldsymbol{Z}_t \odot \boldsymbol{H}_{t-1} + (1 - \boldsymbol{Z}_t) \odot \boldsymbol{H}_t.$$
(10.2.3)

Whenever the update gate Z_t is close to 1, we simply retain the old state. In this case the information from X_t is ignored, effectively skipping time step t in the dependency chain. In contrast, whenever Z_t is close to 0, the new latent state H_t approaches the candidate latent state \tilde{H}_t . Fig.10.2.3 illustrates the computational flow after the update gate is in action.

In summary, GRUs have the following two distinguishing features:

- Reset gates help capture short-term dependencies in sequences.
- Update gates help capture long-term dependencies in sequences.

Implementation from Scratch

To gain a better understanding of the GRU model, let's implement it from scratch.



```
npx.set_np()
```

10.2.4 Initializing Model Parameters

The first step is to initialize the model parameters. We draw the weights from a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation to be sigma and set the bias to 0. The hyperparameter num_hiddens defines the number of hidden units. We instantiate all weights and biases relating to the update gate, the reset gate, and the candidate hidden state.

10.2.5 Defining the Model

Now we are ready to define the GRU forward computation. Its structure is the same as that of the basic RNN cell, except that the update equations are more complex.

(continued from previous page)

10.2.6 Training

Training a language model on *The Time Machine* dataset works in exactly the same manner as in Section 9.5.

```
data = d2l.TimeMachine(batch_size=1024, num_steps=32)
gru = GRUScratch(num_inputs=len(data.vocab), num_hiddens=32)
model = d2l.RNNLMScratch(gru, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=4)
trainer = d2l.Trainer(max_epochs=50, gradient_clip_val=1, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



Concise Implementation

In high-level APIs, we can directly instantiate a GPU model. This encapsulates all the configuration detail that we made explicit above.

```
class GRU(d21.RNN):
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, num_hiddens):
        d21.Module.__init__(self)
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.rnn = rnn.GRU(num_hiddens)
```

The code is significantly faster in training as it uses compiled operators rather than Python.

```
gru = GRU(num_inputs=len(data.vocab), num_hiddens=32)
model = d21.RNNLM(gru, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=4)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```

After training, we print out the perplexity on the training set and the predicted sequence following the provided prefix.

```
model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab, d2l.try_gpu())
```

```
'it has exist and the time '
```



Summary

Compared with LSTMs, GRUs achieve similar performance but tend to be lighter computationally. Generally, compared with simple RNNs, gated RNNs like LSTMs and GRUs can better capture dependencies for sequences with large time step distances. GRUs contain basic RNNs as their extreme case whenever the reset gate is switched on. They can also skip subsequences by turning on the update gate.

Exercises

- 1. Assume that we only want to use the input at time step t' to predict the output at time step t > t'. What are the best values for the reset and update gates for each time step?
- 2. Adjust the hyperparameters and analyze their influence on running time, perplexity, and the output sequence.
- 3. Compare runtime, perplexity, and the output strings for rnn.RNN and rnn.GRU implementations with each other.
- 4. What happens if you implement only parts of a GRU, e.g., with only a reset gate or only an update gate?

Discussions¹⁴³

143

10.3 Deep Recurrent Neural Networks

Up until now, we have focused on defining networks consisting of a sequence input, a single hidden RNN layer, and an output layer. Despite having just one hidden layer between the input at any time step and the corresponding output, there is a sense in which these networks are deep. Inputs from the first time step can influence the outputs at the final time step T (often 100s or 1000s of steps later). These inputs pass through T applications of the recurrent layer before reaching the final output. However, we often also wish to retain the ability to express complex relationships between the inputs at a given time step and the outputs at that same time step. Thus we often construct RNNs that are deep not only in the time direction but also in the input-to-output direction. This is precisely the notion of depth that we have already encountered in our development of MLPs and deep CNNs.

The standard method for building this sort of deep RNN is strikingly simple: we stack the RNNs on top of each other. Given a sequence of length T, the first RNN produces a sequence of outputs, also of length T. These, in turn, constitute the inputs to the next RNN layer. In

this short section, we illustrate this design pattern and present a simple example for how to code up such stacked RNNs. Below, in Fig.10.3.1, we illustrate a deep RNN with L hidden layers. Each hidden state operates on a sequential input and produces a sequential output. Moreover, any RNN cell (white box in Fig.10.3.1) at each time step depends on both the same layer's value at the previous time step and the previous layer's value at the same time step.



Figure 10.3.1 Architecture of a deep RNN.

Formally, suppose that we have a minibatch input $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ (number of examples: n, number of inputs in each example: d) at time step t. At the same time step, let the hidden state of the l^{th} hidden layer $(l = 1, \ldots, L)$ be $H_t^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ (number of hidden units: h) and the output layer variable be $O_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$ (number of outputs: q). Setting $H_t^{(0)} = X_t$, the hidden state of the l^{th} hidden layer that uses the activation function ϕ_l is calculated as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{(l)} = \phi_{l} (\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{(l-1)} \boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(l)} + \boldsymbol{H}_{t-1}^{(l)} \boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(l)} + \boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(l)}), \qquad (10.3.1)$$

where the weights $\boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ and $\boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$, together with the bias $\boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$, are the model parameters of the l^{th} hidden layer.

In the end, the calculation of the output layer is only based on the hidden state of the final $L^{\rm th}$ hidden layer:

$$\boldsymbol{O}_t = \boldsymbol{H}_t^{(L)} \boldsymbol{W}_{hq} + \boldsymbol{b}_q, \tag{10.3.2}$$

where the weight $W_{hq} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times q}$ and the bias $b_q \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$ are the model parameters of the output layer.

Just as with MLPs, the number of hidden layers L and the number of hidden units h are hyperparameters that we can tune. Common RNN layer widths (h) are in the range (64, 2056), and common depths (L) are in the range (1, 8). In addition, we can easily get a deep gated RNN by replacing the hidden state computation in (10.3.1) with that from an LSTM or a GRU.

```
from mxnet import npx
from mxnet.gluon import rnn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

10.3.1 Implementation from Scratch

To implement a multi-layer RNN from scratch, we can treat each layer as an RNNScratch instance with its own learnable parameters.

The multi-layer forward computation simply performs forward computation layer by layer.

```
@d21.add_to_class(StackedRNNScratch)
def forward(self, inputs, Hs=None):
    outputs = inputs
    if Hs is None: Hs = [None] * len(inputs)
    for i in range(self.num_layers):
        outputs, Hs[i] = self.rnns[i](outputs, Hs[i])
    return outputs, Hs
```

As an example, we train a deep GRU model on *The Time Machine* dataset (same as in Section 9.5). To keep things simple we set the number of layers to 2.





Fortunately many of the logistical details required to implement multiple layers of an RNN are readily available in high-level APIs. Our concise implementation will use such built-in functionalities. The code generalizes the one we used previously in Section 10.2, allowing specification of the number of layers explicitly rather than picking the default of a single layer.

```
class GRU(d21.RNN): #@save
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, num_layers, dropout=0):
        d21.Module.__init__(self)
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.rnn = rnn.GRU(num_hiddens, num_layers, dropout=dropout)
```

The architectural decisions such as choosing hyperparameters are very similar to those of Section 10.2. We pick the same number of inputs and outputs as we have distinct tokens, i.e., vocab_size. The number of hidden units is still 32. The only difference is that we now select a nontrivial number of hidden layers by specifying the value of num_layers.

```
gru = GRU(num_hiddens=32, num_layers=2)
model = d2l.RNNLM(gru, vocab_size=len(data.vocab), lr=2)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



model.predict('it has', 20, data.vocab, d2l.try_gpu())

'it has i a man a man a man'

10.3.3 Summary

In deep RNNs, the hidden state information is passed to the next time step of the current layer and the current time step of the next layer. There exist many different flavors of deep RNNs, such as LSTMs, GRUs, or vanilla RNNs. Conveniently, these models are all available as parts of the high-level APIs of deep learning frameworks. Initialization of models requires care. Overall, deep RNNs require considerable amount of work (such as learning rate and clipping) to ensure proper convergence.

Exercises

- 1. Replace the GRU by an LSTM and compare the accuracy and training speed.
- 2. Increase the training data to include multiple books. How low can you go on the perplexity scale?
- 3. Would you want to combine sources of different authors when modeling text? Why is this a good idea? What could go wrong?

Discussions¹⁴⁴



10.4 Bidirectional Recurrent Neural Networks

So far, our working example of a sequence learning task has been language modeling, where we aim to predict the next token given all previous tokens in a sequence. In this scenario,
we wish only to condition upon the leftward context, and thus the unidirectional chaining of a standard RNN seems appropriate. However, there are many other sequence learning tasks contexts where it's perfectly fine to condition the prediction at every time step on both the leftward and the rightward context. Consider, for example, part of speech detection. Why shouldn't we take the context in both directions into account when assessing the part of speech associated with a given word?

Another common task—often useful as a pretraining exercise prior to fine-tuning a model on an actual task of interest—is to mask out random tokens in a text document and then to train a sequence model to predict the values of the missing tokens. Note that depending on what comes after the blank, the likely value of the missing token changes dramatically:

- I am ____.
- I am ___ hungry.
- I am ___ hungry, and I can eat half a pig.

In the first sentence "happy" seems to be a likely candidate. The words "not" and "very" seem plausible in the second sentence, but "not" seems incompatible with the third sentences.

Fortunately, a simple technique transforms any unidirectional RNN into a bidrectional RNN (Schuster and Paliwal, 1997). We simply implement two unidirectional RNN layers chained together in opposite directions and acting on the same input (Fig.10.4.1). For the first RNN layer, the first input is x_1 and the last input is x_T , but for the second RNN layer, the first input is x_1 . To produce the output of this bidirectional RNN layer, we simply concatenate together the corresponding outputs of the two underlying unidirectional RNN layers.



Figure 10.4.1 Architecture of a bidirectional RNN.

Formally for any time step t, we consider a minibatch input $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ (number of examples: n, number of inputs in each example: d) and let the hidden layer activation function be ϕ . In the bidirectional architecture, the forward and backward hidden states for this time step are $\overrightarrow{H}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$ and $\overleftarrow{H}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h}$, respectively, where h is the number of hidden units. The forward and backward hidden state updates are as follows:

$$\vec{H}_{t} = \phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(f)} + \vec{H}_{t-1}\boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(f)} + \boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(f)}),$$

$$\overleftarrow{H}_{t} = \phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{t}\boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(b)} + \overleftarrow{H}_{t+1}\boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(b)} + \boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(b)}),$$
(10.4.1)

where the weights $\boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(f)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}, \boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(f)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}, \boldsymbol{W}_{xh}^{(b)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$, and $\boldsymbol{W}_{hh}^{(b)} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$, and biases $\boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(f)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{h}^{(b)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times h}$ are all the model parameters.

Next, we concatenate the forward and backward hidden states \vec{H}_t and \overleftarrow{H}_t to obtain the hidden state $H_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2h}$ to be fed into the output layer. In deep bidirectional RNNs with multiple hidden layers, such information is passed on as *input* to the next bidirectional layer. Last, the output layer computes the output $O_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$ (number of outputs: q):

$$\boldsymbol{O}_t = \boldsymbol{H}_t \boldsymbol{W}_{hq} + \boldsymbol{b}_q. \tag{10.4.2}$$

Here, the weight matrix $W_{hq} \in \mathbb{R}^{2h \times q}$ and the bias $b_q \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times q}$ are the model parameters of the output layer. While technically, the two directions can have different numbers of hidden units, this design choice is seldom made in practice. We now demonstrate a simple implementation of a bidirectional RNN.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import rnn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

10.4.1 Implementation from Scratch

To implement a bidirectional RNN from scratch, we can include two unidirectional RNNScratch instances with separate learnable parameters.

```
class BiRNNScratch(d21.Module):
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, num_hiddens, sigma=0.01):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.f_rnn = d21.RNNScratch(num_inputs, num_hiddens, sigma)
        self.b_rnn = d21.RNNScratch(num_inputs, num_hiddens, sigma)
        self.num_hiddens *= 2 # The output dimension will be doubled
```

States of forward and backward RNNs are updated separately, while outputs of these two RNNs are concatenated.

10.4.2 Concise Implementation

Using the high-level APIs, we can implement bidirectional RNNs more concisely. Here we take a GRU model as an example.

```
class BiGRU(d21.RNN):
    def __init__(self, num_inputs, num_hiddens):
        d21.Module.__init__(self)
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.rnn = rnn.GRU(num_hiddens, bidirectional=True)
        self.num_hiddens *= 2
```

Summary

In bidirectional RNNs, the hidden state for each time step is simultaneously determined by the data prior to and after the current time step. Bidirectional RNNs are mostly useful for sequence encoding and the estimation of observations given bidirectional context. Bidirectional RNNs are very costly to train due to long gradient chains.

Exercises

- If the different directions use a different number of hidden units, how will the shape of *H_t* change?
- 2. Design a bidirectional RNN with multiple hidden layers.
- 3. Polysemy is common in natural languages. For example, the word "bank" has different meanings in contexts "i went to the bank to deposit cash" and "i went to the bank to sit down". How can we design a neural network model such that given a context sequence and a word, a vector representation of the word in the context will be returned? What type of neural architectures is preferred for handling polysemy?

Discussions¹⁴⁵



10.5 Machine Translation and the Dataset

Among the major breakthroughs that prompted widespread interest in modern RNNs was a major advance in the applied field of statistical *machine translation*. Here, the model is presented with a sentence in one language and must predict the corresponding sentence in another language. Note that here the sentences may be of different lengths, and that corresponding words in the two sentences may not occur in the same order, owing to differences in the two language's grammatical structure.

Many problems have this flavor of mapping between two such "unaligned" sequences. Examples include mapping from dialog prompts to replies or from questions to answers. Broadly, such problems are called *sequence-to-sequence* (seq2seq) problems and they are our focus for both the remainder of this chapter and much of Chapter 11.

In this section, we introduce the machine translation problem and an example dataset that we will use in the subsequent examples. For decades, statistical formulations of translation between languages had been popular (Brown *et al.*, 1990, Brown *et al.*, 1988), even before researchers got neural network approaches working (methods often lumped together under the term *neural machine translation*).

First we will need some new code to process our data. Unlike the language modeling that we saw in Section 9.3, here each example consists of two separate text sequences, one in the source language and another (the translation) in the target language. The following code snippets will show how to load the preprocessed data into minibatches for training.

```
import os
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

10.5.1 Downloading and Preprocessing the Dataset

To begin, we download an English-French dataset that consists of bilingual sentence pairs from the Tatoeba Project¹⁴⁶. Each line in the dataset is a tab-delimited pair consisting of an English text sequence and the translated French text sequence. Note that each text sequence can be just one sentence, or a paragraph of multiple sentences. In this machine translation problem where English is translated into French, English is called the *source language* and French is called the *target language*.



```
class MTFraEng(d2l.DataModule): #@save
    def _download(self):
        d2l.extract(d2l.download(
            d2l.DATA_URL+'fra-eng.zip', self.root,
            '94646ad1522d915e7b0f9296181140edcf86a4f5'))
        with open(self.root + '/fra-eng/fra.txt', encoding='utf-8') as f:
            return f.read()
data = MTFraEng()
raw_text = data._download()
print(raw_text[:75])
```

```
Downloading ../data/fra-eng.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.amazonaws.

→ com/fra-eng.zip...

Go. Va !

Hi. Salut !

Run! Cours !

Run! Courez !

Who? Qui ?

Wow! Ça alors !
```

After downloading the dataset, we proceed with several preprocessing steps for the raw text data. For instance, we replace non-breaking space with space, convert uppercase letters to lowercase ones, and insert space between words and punctuation marks.

```
@d21.add_to_class(MTFraEng) #@save
def _preprocess(self, text):
    # Replace non-breaking space with space
    text = text.replace('\u202f', ' ').replace('\xa0', ' ')
    # Insert space between words and punctuation marks
    no_space = lambda char, prev_char: char in ',.!?' and prev_char != ' '
    out = [' ' + char if i > 0 and no_space(char, text[i - 1]) else char
        for i, char in enumerate(text.lower())]
    return ''.join(out)
text = data._preprocess(raw_text)
print(text[:80])
```

gova !hisalut !run !cours !run !courez !who ?qui ?wow !ça alors !

10.5.2 Tokenization

Unlike the character-level tokenization in Section 9.3, for machine translation we prefer word-level tokenization here (today's state-of-the-art models use more complex tokenization techniques). The following _tokenize method tokenizes the first max_examples text sequence pairs, where each token is either a word or a punctuation mark. We append the special "<eos>" token to the end of every sequence to indicate the end of the sequence. When a model is predicting by generating a sequence token after token, the generation of the "<eos>" token can suggest that the output sequence is complete. In the end, the method below returns two lists of token lists: src and tgt. Specifically, src[i] is a list of tokens from the i^{th} text sequence in the source language (English here) and tgt[i] is that in the target language (French here).

```
src[:6], tgt[:6]
```

```
([['go', '.', '<eos>'],
 ['hi', '.', '<eos>'],
 ['run', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['run', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['who', '?', '<eos>'],
 ['wow', '!', '<eos>'],
 [['va', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['salut', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['cours', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['courez', '!', '<eos>'],
 ['qui', '?', '<eos>'],
 ['ça', 'alors', '!', '<eos>']])
```

Let's plot the histogram of the number of tokens per text sequence. In this simple English-French dataset, most of the text sequences have fewer than 20 tokens.

```
#@save
def show_list_len_pair_hist(legend, xlabel, ylabel, xlist, ylist):
    """Plot the histogram for list length pairs."""
    d21.set_figsize()
    _, _, patches = d21.plt.hist(
        [[len(l) for l in xlist], [len(l) for l in ylist]])
    d21.plt.xlabel(xlabel)
    d21.plt.ylabel(ylabel)
    for patch in patches[1].patches:
        patch.set_hatch('/')
    d21.plt.legend(legend)

chew_list_len_pair_hist(['courses', 'terset'], 'ff telese_pare courses'
```



10.5.3 Loading Sequences of Fixed Length

Recall that in language modeling each example sequence, either a segment of one sentence or a span over multiple sentences, had a fixed length. This was specified by the num_steps (number of time steps or tokens) argument in Section 9.3. In machine translation, each example is a pair of source and target text sequences, where the two text sequences may have different lengths.

For computational efficiency, we can still process a minibatch of text sequences at one time by *truncation* and *padding*. Suppose that every sequence in the same minibatch should have the same length num_steps. If a text sequence has fewer than num_steps tokens, we will keep appending the special "<pad>" token to its end until its length reaches num_steps. Otherwise, we will truncate the text sequence by only taking its first num_steps tokens and discarding the remaining. In this way, every text sequence will have the same length to be loaded in minibatches of the same shape. Besides, we also record length of the source sequence excluding padding tokens. This information will be needed by some models that we will cover later.

Since the machine translation dataset consists of pairs of languages, we can build two vocabularies for both the source language and the target language separately. With word-level tokenization, the vocabulary size will be significantly larger than that using character-level tokenization. To alleviate this, here we treat infrequent tokens that appear less than 2 times as the same unknown ("<unk>") token. As we will explain later (Fig.10.7.1), when training with target sequences, the decoder output (label tokens) can be the same decoder input (target tokens), shifted by one token; and the special beginning-of-sequence "
bos>" token will be used as the first input token for predicting the target sequence (Fig.10.7.3).

```
@d21.add_to_class(MTFraEng) #@save
def __init__(self, batch_size, num_steps=9, num_train=512, num_val=128):
    super(MTFraEng, self).__init__()
    self.save_hyperparameters()
    self.arrays, self.src_vocab, self.tgt_vocab = self._build_arrays(
        self._download())
@d2l.add_to_class(MTFraEng) #@save
def _build_arrays(self, raw_text, src_vocab=None, tgt_vocab=None):
    def _build_array(sentences, vocab, is_tgt=False):
       pad_or_trim = lambda seq, t: (
            seq[:t] if len(seq) > t else seq + ['<pad>'] * (t - len(seq)))
        sentences = [pad_or_trim(s, self.num_steps) for s in sentences]
        if is_tgt:
            sentences = [['<bos>'] + s for s in sentences]
        if vocab is None:
            vocab = d21.Vocab(sentences, min_freq=2)
        array = np.array([vocab[s] for s in sentences])
       valid_len = (array != vocab['<pad>']).astype(np.int32).sum(1)
        return array, vocab, valid_len
    src, tgt = self._tokenize(self._preprocess(raw_text),
                              self.num_train + self.num_val)
    src_array, src_vocab, src_valid_len = _build_array(src, src_vocab)
    tgt_array, tgt_vocab, _ = _build_array(tgt, tgt_vocab, True)
    return ((src_array, tgt_array[:,:-1], src_valid_len, tgt_array[:,1:]),
            src_vocab, tgt_vocab)
```

10.5.4 Reading the Dataset

Finally, we define the get_dataloader method to return the data iterator.

```
@d2l.add_to_class(MTFraEng) #@save
def get_dataloader(self, train):
```

(continued from previous page)

```
idx = slice(0, self.num_train) if train else slice(self.num_train, None)
return self.get_tensorloader(self.arrays, train, idx)
```

Let's read the first minibatch from the English-French dataset.

```
data = MTFraEng(batch_size=3)
src, tgt, src_valid_len, label = next(iter(data.train_dataloader()))
print('source:', src.astype(np.int32))
print('decoder input:', tgt.astype(np.int32))
print('source len excluding pad:', src_valid_len.astype(np.int32))
print('label:', label.astype(np.int32))
```

```
source: [[ 5 0 3 4 4 4 4 4]
[86 47 2 3 4 4 4 4 4]
[40 91 0 3 4 4 4 4 4]]
decoder input: [[ 3 210 113 6
                                   2
                                       4
                                           5
                                               5
                                                   51

      [ 3 6 201 30 2 4 5 5
      [ 3 114 193 0 4 5 5 5

                                    5]
                                   5]]
source len excluding pad: [3 4 4]
label: [[210 113 6 2 4 5
                                   55
                                            5]
[ 6 201 30 2 4 5 5 5 5]
[114 193 0 4 5 5 5 5]
                                    511
```

Below we show a pair of source and target sequences that are processed by the above _build_arrays method (in the string format).

```
src, tgt, _, _ = data.build(['hi .'], ['salut .'])
print('source:', data.src_vocab.to_tokens(src[0].astype(np.int32)))
print('target:', data.tgt_vocab.to_tokens(tgt[0].astype(np.int32)))
```

10.5.5 Summary

In natural language processing, *machine translation* refers to the task of automatically mapping from a sequence representing a string of text in a *source* language to a string representing a plausible translation in a *target* language. Using word-level tokenization, the vocabulary size will be significantly larger than that using character-level tokenization, but the sequence lengths will be much shorter. To mitigate the large vocabulary size, we can treat infrequent tokens as some "unknown" token. We can truncate and pad text sequences so that all of them will have the same length to be loaded in minibatches. Modern implementations often bucket sequences with similar lengths to avoid wasting excessive computation on padding.

- 1. Try different values of the max_examples argument in the _tokenize method. How does this affect the vocabulary sizes of the source language and the target language?
- 2. Text in some languages such as Chinese and Japanese does not have word boundary indicators (e.g., space). Is word-level tokenization still a good idea for such cases? Why or why not?

Discussions¹⁴⁷



10.6 Encoder-Decoder Architecture

In general seq2seq problems like machine translation (Section 10.5), inputs and outputs are of varying lengths that are unaligned. The standard approach to handling this sort of data is to design an *encoder-decoder* architecture (Fig.10.6.1) consisting of two major components: an *encoder* that takes a variable-length sequence as input, and a *decoder* that acts as a conditional language model, taking in the encoded input and the leftwards context of the target sequence and predicting the subsequent token in the target sequence.



Figure 10.6.1 The encoder-decoder architecture.

Let's take machine translation from English to French as an example. Given an input sequence in English: "They", "are", "watching", ".", this encoder-decoder architecture first encodes the variable-length input into a state, then decodes the state to generate the translated sequence, token by token, as output: "Ils", "regardent", ".". Since the encoder-decoder architecture forms the basis of different seq2seq models in subsequent sections, this section will convert this architecture into an interface that will be implemented later.

10.6.1 Encoder

In the encoder interface, we just specify that the encoder takes variable-length sequences as input X. The implementation will be provided by any model that inherits this base Encoder class.

```
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
#@save
class Encoder(nn.Block):
    """The base encoder interface for the encoder-decoder architecture."""
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__()
    # Later there can be additional arguments (e.g., length excluding padding)
    def forward(self, X, *args):
        raise NotImplementedError
```

In the following decoder interface, we add an additional init_state function to convert the encoder output (enc_outputs) into the encoded state. Note that this step may require extra inputs, such as the valid length of the input, which was explained in Section 10.5. To generate a variable-length sequence token by token, every time the decoder may map an input (e.g., the generated token at the previous time step) and the encoded state into an output token at the current time step.

```
#@save
class Decoder(nn.Block):
    """The base decoder interface for the encoder-decoder architecture."""
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__()
    # Later there can be additional arguments (e.g., length excluding padding)
    def init_state(self, enc_outputs, *args):
        raise NotImplementedError
    def forward(self, X, state):
        raise NotImplementedError
```

10.6.3 Putting the Encoder and Decoder Together

In the forward propagation, the output of the encoder is used to produce the encoded state, and this state will be further used by the decoder as one of its input.

```
#@save
class EncoderDecoder(d21.Classifier):
    """The base class for the encoder-decoder architecture."""
    def __init__(self, encoder, decoder):
        super().__init__()
        self.encoder = encoder
        self.decoder = decoder
    def forward(self, enc_X, dec_X, *args):
        enc_outputs = self.encoder(enc_X, *args)
        dec_state = self.decoder.init_state(enc_outputs, *args)
        # Return decoder output only
        return self.decoder(dec_X, dec_state)[0]
```

In the next section, we will see how to apply RNNs to design seq2seq models based on this encoder-decoder architecture.

10.6.4 Summary

Encoder-decoder architectures can handle inputs and outputs that both consist of variablelength sequences and thus are suitable for seq2seq problems such as machine translation. The encoder takes a variable-length sequence as input and transforms it into a state with a fixed shape. The decoder maps the encoded state of a fixed shape to a variable-length sequence.

Exercises

- 1. Suppose that we use neural networks to implement the encoder-decoder architecture. Do the encoder and the decoder have to be the same type of neural network?
- 2. Besides machine translation, can you think of another application where the encoderdecoder architecture can be applied?

Discussions 148



10.7 Encoder-Decoder Seq2Seq for Machine Translation

In so-called seq2seq problems like machine translation (as discussed in Section 10.5), where inputs and outputs both consist of variable-length unaligned sequences, we generally rely on encoder-decoder architectures (Section 10.6). In this section, we will demonstrate the application of an encoder-decoder architecture, where both the encoder and decoder are implemented as RNNs, to the task of machine translation (Cho *et al.*, 2014, Sutskever *et al.*, 2014).

Here, the encoder RNN will take a variable-length sequence as input and transform it into a fixed-shape hidden state. Later, in Chapter 11, we will introduce attention mechanisms, which allow us to access encoded inputs without having to compress the entire input into a single fixed-length representation.

Then to generate the output sequence, one token at a time, the decoder model, consisting of a separate RNN, will predict each successive target token given both the input sequence and the preceding tokens in the output. During training, the decoder will typically be conditioned upon the preceding tokens in the official "ground-truth" label. However, at test time, we will want to condition each output of the decoder on the tokens already predicted. Note that if we ignore the encoder, the decoder in a seq2seq architecture behaves just like a normal language model. Fig.10.7.1 illustrates how to use two RNNs for sequence to sequence learning in machine translation.



Figure 10.7.1 Sequence to sequence learning with an RNN encoder and an RNN decoder.

In Fig.10.7.1, the special "<eos>" token marks the end of the sequence. Our model can stop making predictions once this token is generated. At the initial time step of the RNN decoder, there are two special design decisions to be aware of: First, we begin every input with a special beginning-of-sequence "<bos>" token. Second, we may feed the final hidden state of the encoder into the decoder at every single decoding time step (Cho *et al.*, 2014). In some other designs, such as Sutskever *et al.* (2014), the final hidden state of the RNN encoder is used to initiate the hidden state of the decoder only at the first decoding step.

10.7.1 Teacher Forcing

While running the encoder on the input sequence is relatively straightforward, how to handle the input and output of the decoder requires more care. The most common approach is sometimes called *teacher forcing*. Here, the original target sequence (token labels) is fed into the decoder as input. More concretely, the special beginning-of-sequence token and the original target sequence, excluding the final token, are concatenated as input to the decoder, while the decoder output (labels for training) is the original target sequence, shifted by one token: "<bos>", "Ils", "regardent", "." \rightarrow "Ils", "regardent", ".", "<eos>" (Fig.10.7.1).

Our implementation in Section 10.5.3 prepared training data for teacher forcing, where shifting tokens for self-supervised learning is similar to the training of language models in Section 9.3. An alternative approach is to feed the *predicted* token from the previous time step as the current input to the decoder.

In the following, we explain the design depicted in Fig.10.7.1 in greater detail. We will train this model for machine translation on the English-French dataset as introduced in Section 10.5.

```
import collections
import math
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn, rnn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

10.7.2 Encoder

Recall that the encoder transforms an input sequence of variable length into a fixed-shape *context variable c* (see Fig. 10.7.1).

Consider a single sequence example (batch size 1). Suppose that the input sequence is x_1, \ldots, x_T , such that x_t is the t^{th} token. At time step t, the RNN transforms the input feature vector x_t for x_t and the hidden state h_{t-1} from the previous time step into the current hidden state h_t . We can use a function f to express the transformation of the RNN's recurrent layer:

$$h_t = f(x_t, h_{t-1}).$$
 (10.7.1)

In general, the encoder transforms the hidden states at all time steps into a context variable through a customized function q:

$$\boldsymbol{c} = q(\boldsymbol{h}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{h}_T). \tag{10.7.2}$$

For example, in Fig.10.7.1, the context variable is just the hidden state h_T corresponding to the encoder RNN's representation after processing the final token of the input sequence.

In this example, we have used a unidirectional RNN to design the encoder, where the hidden state only depends on the input subsequence at and before the time step of the hidden state. We can also construct encoders using bidirectional RNNs. In this case, a hidden state depends on the subsequence before and after the time step (including the input at the current time step), which encodes the information of the entire sequence.

Now let's implement the RNN encoder. Note that we use an *embedding layer* to obtain the feature vector for each token in the input sequence. The weight of an embedding layer is a matrix, where the number of rows corresponds to the size of the input vocabulary (vocab_size) and number of columns corresponds to the feature vector's dimension (embed_size). For any input token index *i*, the embedding layer fetches the i^{th} row (starting from 0) of the weight matrix to return its feature vector. Here we implement the encoder with a multilayer GRU.

(continued from previous page)

```
super().__init__()
self.embedding = nn.Embedding(vocab_size, embed_size)
self.rnn = d2l.GRU(num_hiddens, num_layers, dropout)
self.initialize(init.Xavier())

def forward(self, X, *args):
    # X shape: (batch_size, num_steps)
    embs = self.embedding(d2l.transpose(X))
    # embs shape: (num_steps, batch_size, embed_size)
    output, state = self.rnn(embs)
    # output shape: (num_steps, batch_size, num_hiddens)
    # state shape: (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens)
    return output, state
```

Let's use a concrete example to illustrate the above encoder implementation. Below, we instantiate a two-layer GRU encoder whose number of hidden units is 16. Given a minibatch of sequence inputs X (batch size: 4, number of time steps: 9), the hidden states of the last layer at all the time steps (outputs returned by the encoder's recurrent layers) are a tensor of shape (number of time steps, batch size, number of hidden units).

```
vocab_size, embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers = 10, 8, 16, 2
batch_size, num_steps = 4, 9
encoder = Seq2SeqEncoder(vocab_size, embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers)
X = np.zeros((batch_size, num_steps))
outputs, state = encoder(X)
d21.check_shape(outputs, (num_steps, batch_size, num_hiddens))
```

Since we are using a GRU here, the shape of the multilayer hidden states at the final time step is (number of hidden layers, batch size, number of hidden units).

d21.check_shape(state, (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens))

10.7.3 Decoder

Given a target output sequence $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{T'}$ for each time step t' (we use t' to differentiate from the input sequence time steps), the decoder assigns a predicted probability to each possible token occurring at step $y_{t'+1}$ conditioned upon the previous tokens in the target $y_1, \ldots, y_{t'}$ and the context variable c, i.e., $P(y_{t'+1} | y_1, \ldots, y_{t'}, c)$.

To predict the subsequent token t' + 1 in the target sequence, the RNN decoder takes the previous step's target token $y_{t'}$, the hidden RNN state from the previous time step $s_{t'-1}$, and the context variable c as its input, and transforms them into the hidden state $s_{t'}$ at the current time step. We can use a function g to express the transformation of the decoder's hidden layer:

$$s_{t'} = g(y_{t'-1}, c, s_{t'-1}).$$
(10.7.3)

After obtaining the hidden state of the decoder, we can use an output layer and the softmax operation to compute the predictive distribution $p(y_{t'+1} | y_1, \ldots, y_{t'}, c)$ over the subsequent output token t' + 1.

Following Fig.10.7.1, when implementing the decoder as follows, we directly use the hidden state at the final time step of the encoder to initialize the hidden state of the decoder. This requires that the RNN encoder and the RNN decoder have the same number of layers and hidden units. To further incorporate the encoded input sequence information, the context variable is concatenated with the decoder input at all the time steps. To predict the probability

distribution of the output token, we use a fully connected layer to transform the hidden state at the final layer of the RNN decoder.

```
class Seq2SeqDecoder(d21.Decoder):
    """The RNN decoder for sequence to sequence learning."""
    def __init__(self, vocab_size, embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers,
                 dropout=⊘):
        super().__init__()
        self.embedding = nn.Embedding(vocab_size, embed_size)
        self.rnn = d2l.GRU(num_hiddens, num_layers, dropout)
        self.dense = nn.Dense(vocab_size, flatten=False)
        self.initialize(init.Xavier())
    def init_state(self, enc_outputs, *args):
        return enc_outputs[1]
    def forward(self, X, enc_state):
        # X shape: (batch_size, num_steps)
        # embs shape: (num_steps, batch_size, embed_size)
        embs = self.embedding(d2l.transpose(X))
        # context shape: (batch_size, num_hiddens)
        context = enc_state[-1]
        # Broadcast context to (num_steps, batch_size, num_hiddens)
        context = np.tile(context, (embs.shape[0], 1, 1))
        # Concat at the feature dimension
        embs_and_context = np.concatenate((embs, context), -1)
        outputs, state = self.rnn(embs_and_context, enc_state)
        outputs = self.dense(outputs).swapaxes(0, 1)
        # outputs shape: (batch_size, num_steps, vocab_size)
        # state shape: (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens)
        return outputs, state
```

To illustrate the implemented decoder, below we instantiate it with the same hyperparameters from the aforementioned encoder. As we can see, the output shape of the decoder becomes (batch size, number of time steps, vocabulary size), where the last dimension of the tensor stores the predicted token distribution.

```
decoder = Seq2SeqDecoder(vocab_size, embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers)
state = decoder.init_state(encoder(X))
outputs, state = decoder(X, state)
d2l.check_shape(outputs, (batch_size, num_steps, vocab_size))
d2l.check_shape(state, (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens))
```

To summarize, the layers in the above RNN encoder-decoder model are illustrated in Fig.10.7.2.





10.7.4 Encoder-Decoder for Sequence to Sequence Learning

Putting it all together in code yields the following:

10.7.5 Loss Function with Masking

At each time step, the decoder predicts a probability distribution for the output tokens. As with language modeling, we can apply softmax to obtain the distribution and calculate the cross-entropy loss for optimization. Recall Section 10.5 that the special padding tokens are appended to the end of sequences so sequences of varying lengths can be efficiently loaded in minibatches of the same shape. However, prediction of padding tokens should be excluded from loss calculations. To this end, we can mask irrelevant entries with zero values so that multiplication of any irrelevant prediction with zero equals to zero.

```
@d21.add_to_class(Seq2Seq)
def loss(self, Y_hat, Y):
    l = super(Seq2Seq, self).loss(Y_hat, Y, averaged=False)
    mask = (Y.reshape(-1) != self.tgt_pad).astype(np.float32)
    return (l * mask).sum() / mask.sum()
```

10.7.6 Training

Now we can create and train an RNN encoder-decoder model for sequence to sequence learning on the machine translation dataset.



10.7.7 Prediction

To predict the output sequence at each step, the predicted token from the previous time step is fed into the decoder as an input. One simple strategy is to sample whichever token the decoder has assigned the highest probability when predicting at each step. As in training, at the initial time step the beginning-of-sequence ("<bos>") token is fed into the decoder. This prediction process is illustrated in Fig.10.7.3. When the end-of-sequence ("<eos>") token is predicted, the prediction of the output sequence is complete.



Figure 10.7.3 Predicting the output sequence token by token using an RNN encoder-decoder.

In the next section, we will introduce more sophisticated strategies based on beam search (Section 10.8).

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.EncoderDecoder)
                                       #@save
def predict_step(self, batch, device, num_steps,
                 save_attention_weights=False):
    batch = [a.as_in_context(device) for a in batch]
    src, tgt, src_valid_len, _ = batch
    enc_outputs = self.encoder(src, src_valid_len)
    dec_state = self.decoder.init_state(enc_outputs, src_valid_len)
    outputs, attention_weights = [np.expand_dims(tgt[:,0], 1), ], []
    for _ in range(num_steps):
       Y, dec_state = self.decoder(outputs[-1], dec_state)
       outputs.append(Y.argmax(2))
        # Save attention weights (to be covered later)
       if save_attention_weights:
            attention_weights.append(self.decoder.attention_weights)
    return np.concatenate(outputs[1:], 1), attention_weights
```

10.7.8 Evaluation of Predicted Sequences

We can evaluate a predicted sequence by comparing it with the target sequence (the ground-truth). But what precisely is the appropriate measure for comparing similarity between two sequences?

BLEU (Bilingual Evaluation Understudy), though originally proposed for evaluating machine translation results (Papineni *et al.*, 2002), has been extensively used in measuring the quality of output sequences for different applications. In principle, for any n-grams in the predicted sequence, BLEU evaluates whether this n-grams appears in the target sequence.

Denote by p_n the precision of *n*-grams, which is the ratio of the number of matched *n*-grams in the predicted and target sequences to the number of *n*-grams in the predicted sequence. To explain, given a target sequence A, B, C, D, E, F, and a predicted sequence A, B, B, C, D, we have $p_1 = 4/5$, $p_2 = 3/4$, $p_3 = 1/3$, and $p_4 = 0$. Besides, let len_{label} and len_{pred} be the numbers of tokens in the target sequence and the predicted sequence, respectively. Then, BLEU is defined as

$$\exp\left(\min\left(0,1-\frac{\mathrm{len}_{\mathrm{label}}}{\mathrm{len}_{\mathrm{pred}}}\right)\right)\prod_{n=1}^{k}p_{n}^{1/2^{n}},$$
(10.7.4)

where k is the longest n-grams for matching.

Based on the definition of BLEU in (10.7.4), whenever the predicted sequence is the same as the target sequence, BLEU is 1. Moreover, since matching longer *n*-grams is more difficult, BLEU assigns a greater weight to a longer *n*-gram precision. Specifically, when p_n is fixed, $p_n^{1/2^n}$ increases as *n* grows (the original paper uses $p_n^{1/n}$). Furthermore, since predicting shorter sequences tends to obtain a higher p_n value, the coefficient before the multiplication term in (10.7.4) penalizes shorter predicted sequences. For example, when k = 2, given the target sequence *A*, *B*, *C*, *D*, *E*, *F* and the predicted sequence *A*, *B*, although $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, the penalty factor $\exp(1 - 6/2) \approx 0.14$ lowers the BLEU.

We implement the BLEU measure as follows.

In the end, we use the trained RNN encoder-decoder to translate a few English sentences into French and compute the BLEU of the results.

```
engs = ['go .', 'i lost .', 'he\'s calm .', 'i\'m home .']
fras = ['va !', 'j\'ai perdu .', 'il est calme .', 'je suis chez moi .']
preds, _ = model.predict_step(
    data.build(engs, fras), d2l.try_gpu(), data.num_steps)
for en, fr, p in zip(engs, fras, preds):
    translation = []
    for token in data.tgt_vocab.to_tokens(p):
        if token == '<eos>':
            break
        translation.append(token)
print(f'{en} => {translation}, bleu,'
        f'{bleu(" ".join(translation), fr, k=2):.3f}')
```

```
go . => ['<unk>', '.'], bleu,0.000
i lost . => ['je', 'suis', 'certain', '.'], bleu,0.000
he's calm . => ['il', 'court', '.'], bleu,0.000
i'm home . => ['je', 'suis', 'paresseuse', '.'], bleu,0.512
```

10.7.9 Summary

Following the design of the encoder-decoder architecture, we can use two RNNs to design a model for sequence to sequence learning. In encoder-decoder training, the teacher forcing approach feeds original output sequences (in contrast to predictions) into the decoder. When implementing the encoder and the decoder, we can use multilayer RNNs. We can use masks to filter out irrelevant computations, such as when calculating the loss. As for evaluating output sequences, BLEU is a popular measure by matching n-grams between the predicted sequence and the target sequence.

Exercises

- 1. Can you adjust the hyperparameters to improve the translation results?
- 2. Rerun the experiment without using masks in the loss calculation. What results do you observe? Why?
- 3. If the encoder and the decoder differ in the number of layers or the number of hidden units, how can we initialize the hidden state of the decoder?
- 4. In training, replace teacher forcing with feeding the prediction at the previous time step into the decoder. How does this influence the performance?
- 5. Rerun the experiment by replacing GRU with LSTM.
- 6. Are there any other ways to design the output layer of the decoder?

Discussions¹⁴⁹



10.8 Beam Search

In Section 10.7, we introduced the encoder-decoder architecture, and the standard techniques for training them end-to-end. However, when it came to test-time prediction, we mentioned only the *greedy* strategy, where we select at each time step the token given the highest predicted probability of coming next, until, at some time step, we find that we have predicted the special end-of-sequence "<eos>" token. In this section, we will begin by formalizing this *greedy search* strategy and identifying some problems that practitioners tend to run into. Subsequently, we compare this strategy with two alternatives: *exhaustive search* (illustrative but not practical) and *beam search* (the standard method in practice).

Let's begin by setting up our mathematical notation, borrowing conventions from Section 10.7. At any time step t', the decoder outputs predictions representing the probability of each token in the vocabulary coming next in the sequence (the likely value of $y_{t'+1}$, conditioned on the previous tokens $y_1, \ldots, y_{t'}$ and the context variable c, produced by the encoder to represent the input sequence. To quantify computational cost, denote by \mathcal{Y} the output vocabulary (including the special end-of-sequence token "<eos>"). Let's also specify the maximum number of tokens of an output sequences. Note that this slightly overestimates the number of distinct outputs because there are no subsequent tokens after the "<eos>" token occurs. However, for our purposes, this number roughly captures the size of the search space.

10.8.1 Greedy Search

Consider the simple *greedy search* strategy from Section 10.7. Here, at any time step t', we simply select the token with the highest conditional probability from \mathcal{Y} , i.e.,

$$y_{t'} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P(y \mid y_1, \dots, y_{t'-1}, \boldsymbol{c}).$$

$$(10.8.1)$$

Once our model outputs "<eos>" (or we reach the maximum length T') the output sequence is completed.

This strategy might look reasonable, and in fact it's not so bad! Considering how computationally undemanding it is, you'd be hard pressed to get more bang for your buck. However, if we put aside efficiency for a minute, it might seem more reasonable to search for the *most likely sequence*, not the sequence of (greedily selected) *most likely tokens*. It turns out that these two objects can be quite different. The most likely sequence is the one that maximizes the expression $\prod_{t'=1}^{T'} P(y_{t'} \mid y_1, \ldots, y_{t'-1}, c)$. In our machine translation example, if the decoder truly recovered the probabilities of the underlying generative process, then this would give us the most likely translation. Unfortunately, there is no guarantee that greedy search will give us this sequence.

Let's illustrate it with an example. Suppose that there are four tokens "A", "B", "C", and "<eos>" in the output dictionary. In Fig.10.8.1, the four numbers under each time step represent the conditional probabilities of generating "A", "B", "C", and "<eos>" at that time step, respectively.

Time step	1	2	3	4	
А	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.0	
В	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	
С	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	
<eos></eos>	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6	

Figure 10.8.1 At each time step, greedy search selects the token with the highest conditional probability.

At each time step, greedy search selects the token with the highest conditional probability. Therefore, the output sequence "A", "B", "C", and "<eos>" will be predicted (Fig.10.8.1). The conditional probability of this output sequence is $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 = 0.048$.

Next, let's look at another example in Fig.10.8.2. Unlike in Fig.10.8.1, at time step 2 we select the token "C" in Fig.10.8.2, which has the *second* highest conditional probability.

Гime step	1	2	3	4
А	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
В	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.2
С	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
<eos></eos>	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.6

Figure 10.8.2 The four numbers under each time step represent the conditional probabilities of generating A, B, C, and <eos> at that time step. At time step 2, the token C, which has the second highest conditional probability, is selected.

Since the output subsequences at time steps 1 and 2, on which time step 3 is based, have changed from "A" and "B" in Fig.10.8.1 to "A" and "C" in Fig.10.8.2, the conditional probability of each token at time step 3 has also changed in Fig.10.8.2. Suppose that we choose the token "B" at time step 3. Now time step 4 is conditional on the output subsequence at the first three time steps "A", "C", and "B", which is different from "A", "B", and "C" in Fig.10.8.1. Therefore, the conditional probability of generating each token at time step 4 in Fig.10.8.2 is also different from that in Fig.10.8.1. As a result, the conditional probability of the output sequence "A", "C", "B", and "<eos>" in Fig.10.8.2 is $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 = 0.054$, which is greater than that of greedy search in Fig.10.8.1. In this example, the output sequence "A", "C", and "<eos>" obtained by the greedy search is not the optimal sequence."

10.8.2 Exhaustive Search

If the goal is to obtain the most likely sequence, we may consider using *exhaustive search*: exhaustively enumerate all the possible output sequences with their conditional probabilities, and then output the one that scores the highest predicted probability.

While this would certainly give us what we desire, it would come at a prohibitive computational cost of $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{Y}|^{T'})$, exponential in the sequence length and with an enormous base given by the vocabulary size. For example, when $|\mathcal{Y}| = 10000$ and T' = 10, we will need to evaluate $10000^{10} = 10^{40}$ sequences. These are small numbers compared to real applications but already beyond the capabilities any foreseeable computers. On the other hand, the computational cost of greedy search is $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{Y}| T')$: miraculously cheap but far from optimal. For example, when $|\mathcal{Y}| = 10000$ and T' = 10, we only need to evaluate $10000 \times 10 = 10^5$ sequences.

10.8.3 Beam Search

You could view sequence decoding strategies as lying on a spectrum, with *beam search* striking a compromise between the efficiency of greedy search and the optimality of exhaustive search. The most straightforward version of beam search is characterized by a single hyperparameter, the *beam size*, k. At time step 1, we select the k tokens with the highest predicted probabilities. Each of them will be the first token of k candidate output sequences, respectively. At each subsequent time step, based on the k candidate output sequences at the previous time step, we continue to select k candidate output sequences with the highest predicted probabilities from $k |\mathcal{Y}|$ possible choices.



Figure 10.8.3 The process of beam search (beam size: 2, maximum length of an output sequence: 3). The candidate output sequences are A, C, AB, CE, ABD, and CED.

Fig.10.8.3 demonstrates the process of beam search with an example. Suppose that the output vocabulary contains only five elements: $\mathcal{Y} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$, where one of them is "<eos>". Let the beam size be 2 and the maximum length of an output sequence be 3. At time step 1, suppose that the tokens with the highest conditional probabilities $P(y_1 \mid c)$ are A and C. At time step 2, for all $y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, we compute

$$P(A, y_2 \mid \boldsymbol{c}) = P(A \mid \boldsymbol{c})P(y_2 \mid A, \boldsymbol{c}),$$

$$P(C, y_2 \mid \boldsymbol{c}) = P(C \mid \boldsymbol{c})P(y_2 \mid C, \boldsymbol{c}),$$
(10.8.2)

and pick the largest two among these ten values, say $P(A, B \mid c)$ and $P(C, E \mid c)$. Then at time step 3, for all $y_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$, we compute

$$P(A, B, y_3 | \mathbf{c}) = P(A, B | \mathbf{c})P(y_3 | A, B, \mathbf{c}),$$

$$P(C, E, y_3 | \mathbf{c}) = P(C, E | \mathbf{c})P(y_3 | C, E, \mathbf{c}),$$
(10.8.3)

and pick the largest two among these ten values, say P(A, B, D | c) and P(C, E, D | c). As a result, we get six candidates output sequences: (i) A; (ii) C; (iii) A, B; (iv) C, E; (v) A, B, D; and (vi) C, E, D.

In the end, we obtain the set of final candidate output sequences based on these six sequences (e.g., discard portions including and after "<eos>"). Then we choose the sequence with the highest of the following score as the output sequence:

$$\frac{1}{L^{\alpha}}\log P(y_1,\ldots,y_L \mid \boldsymbol{c}) = \frac{1}{L^{\alpha}} \sum_{t'=1}^{L} \log P(y_{t'} \mid y_1,\ldots,y_{t'-1},\boldsymbol{c}), \quad (10.8.4)$$

where L is the length of the final candidate sequence and α is usually set to 0.75. Since a longer sequence has more logarithmic terms in the summation of (10.8.4), the term L^{α} in the denominator penalizes long sequences.

The computational cost of beam search is $\mathcal{O}(k |\mathcal{Y}| T')$. This result is in between that of greedy search and that of exhaustive search. Greedy search can be treated as a special case of beam search arising when the beam size is set to 1.

10.8.4 Summary

Sequence searching strategies include greedy search, exhaustive search, and beam search. Beam search provides a tradeoff between accuracy versus computational cost via its flexible choice of the beam size.

Exercises

- 1. Can we treat exhaustive search as a special type of beam search? Why or why not?
- 2. Apply beam search in the machine translation problem in Section 10.7. How does the beam size affect the translation results and the prediction speed?
- 3. We used language modeling for generating text following user-provided prefixes in Section 9.5. Which kind of search strategy does it use? Can you improve it?

Discussions¹⁵⁰



The optic nerve of a primate's visual system receives massive sensory input, far exceeding what the brain can fully process. Fortunately, not all stimuli are created equal. Focalization and concentration of consciousness have enabled primates to direct attention to objects of interest, such as preys and predators, in the complex visual environment. The ability of paying attention to only a small fraction of the information has evolutionary significance, allowing human beings to live and succeed.

Scientists have been studying attention in the cognitive neuroscience field since the 19th century. In this chapter, we will begin by reviewing a popular framework explaining how attention is deployed in a visual scene. Inspired by the attention cues in this framework, we will design models that leverage such attention cues. Notably, the Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression in 1964 is a simple demonstration of machine learning with *attention mechanisms*. Next, we will introduce attention functions that have been extensively used in the design of attention models in deep learning. Specifically, we will show how to use these functions to design the *Bahdanau attention*, a groundbreaking attention model in deep learning that can align bidirectionally and is differentiable.

Equipped with the more recent *multi-head attention* and *self-attention* designs, the *transformer* architecture is solely based on attention mechanisms. We will go on to describe its original encoder-decoder design for machine translation. Then we will show how its encoder can represent images, leading to the development of vision transformers. When training very large models on very large datasets (e.g., 300 million images), vision transformers outperform ResNets significantly in image classification, demonstrating superior scalability of transformers. Thus, transformers have been extensively used in large-scale *pretraining*, which can be adapted to perform different tasks with model update (e.g., *fine tuning*) or not (e.g., *few shot*). In the end, we will review how to pretrain transformers as encoder-only (e.g., BERT), encoder-decoder (e.g., T5), and decoder-only (e.g., GPT series). Compelling success of large-scale pretraining with transformers in areas as diverse as language, vision, speech, and reinforcement learning suggests that better performance benefits from larger models, more training data, and more training compute.

11.1 Attention Cues

Thank you for your attention to this book. Attention is a scarce resource: at the moment you are reading this book and ignoring the rest. Thus, similar to money, your attention is being paid with an opportunity cost. To ensure that your investment of attention right now is worthwhile, we have been highly motivated to pay our attention carefully to produce a nice book. Attention is the keystone in the arch of life and holds the key to any work's exceptionalism.

Since economics studies the allocation of scarce resources, we are in the era of the attention economy, where human attention is treated as a limited, valuable, and scarce commodity that can be exchanged. Numerous business models have been developed to capitalize on it. On music or video streaming services, we either pay attention to their ads or pay money to

hide them. For growth in the world of online games, we either pay attention to participate in battles, which attract new gamers, or pay money to instantly become powerful. Nothing comes for free.

All in all, information in our environment is not scarce, attention is. When inspecting a visual scene, our optic nerve receives information at the order of 10^8 bits per second, far exceeding what our brain can fully process. Fortunately, our ancestors had learned from experience (also known as data) that *not all sensory inputs are created equal*. Throughout human history, the capability of directing attention to only a fraction of information of interest has enabled our brain to allocate resources more smartly to survive, to grow, and to socialize, such as detecting predators, preys, and mates.

11.1.1 Attention Cues in Biology

To explain how our attention is deployed in the visual world, a two-component framework has emerged and been pervasive. This idea dates back to William James in the 1890s, who is considered the "father of American psychology" (James, 2007). In this framework, subjects selectively direct the spotlight of attention using both the *nonvolitional cue* and *volitional cue*.

The nonvolitional cue is based on the saliency and conspicuity of objects in the environment. Imagine there are five objects in front of you: a newspaper, a research paper, a cup of coffee, a notebook, and a book such as in Fig.11.1.1. While all the paper products are printed in black and white, the coffee cup is red. In other words, this coffee is intrinsically salient and conspicuous in this visual environment, automatically and involuntarily drawing attention. So you bring the fovea (the center of the macula where visual acuity is highest) onto the coffee as shown in Fig.11.1.1.



Figure 11.1.1

Using the nonvolitional cue based on saliency (red cup, non-paper), attention is involuntarily directed to the coffee.

After drinking coffee, you become caffeinated and want to read a book. So you turn your head, refocus your eyes, and look at the book as depicted in Fig.11.1.2. Different from the case in Fig.11.1.1 where the coffee biases you towards selecting based on saliency, in this task-dependent case you select the book under cognitive and volitional control. Using the volitional cue based on variable selection criteria, this form of attention is more deliberate. It is also more powerful with the subject's voluntary effort.



Figure 11.1.2 Using the volitional cue (want to read a book) that is task-dependent, attention is directed to the book under volitional control.

11.1.2 Queries, Keys, and Values

Inspired by the nonvolitional and volitional attention cues that explain the attentional deployment, in the following we will describe a framework for designing attention mechanisms by incorporating these two attention cues.

To begin with, consider the simpler case where only nonvolitional cues are available. To bias selection over sensory inputs, we can simply use a parameterized fully connected layer or even non-parameterized max or average pooling.

Therefore, what sets attention mechanisms apart from those fully connected layers or pooling layers is the inclusion of the volitional cues. In the context of attention mechanisms, we refer to volitional cues as queries. Given any query, attention mechanisms bias selection over sensory inputs (e.g., intermediate feature representations) via attention pooling. These sensory inputs are called *values* in the context of attention mechanisms. More generally, every value is paired with a key, which can be thought of the nonvolitional cue of that sensory input. As shown in Fig.11.1.3, we can design attention pooling so that the given query (volitional cue) can interact with keys (nonvolitional cues), which guides bias selection over values (sensory inputs).



Figure 11.1.3

Attention mechanisms bias selection over values (sensory inputs) via attention pooling, which incorporates queries (volitional cues) and keys (nonvolitional cues).

Note that there are many alternatives for the design of attention mechanisms. For instance,

we can design a non-differentiable attention model that can be trained using reinforcement learning methods (Mnih *et al.*, 2014). Given the dominance of the framework in Fig.11.1.3, models under this framework will be the center of our attention in this chapter.

11.1.3 Visualization of Attention

Average pooling can be treated as a weighted average of inputs, where weights are uniform. In practice, attention pooling aggregates values using weighted average, where weights are computed between the given query and different keys.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

To visualize attention weights, we define the show_heatmaps function. Its input matrices has the shape (number of rows for display, number of columns for display, number of queries, number of keys).

```
#@save
def show_heatmaps(matrices, xlabel, ylabel, titles=None, figsize=(2.5, 2.5),
                 cmap='Reds'):
    """Show heatmaps of matrices."""
    d21.use_svg_display()
    num_rows, num_cols = len(matrices), len(matrices[0])
    fig, axes = d2l.plt.subplots(num_rows, num_cols, figsize=figsize,
                                 sharex=True, sharey=True, squeeze=False)
    for i, (row_axes, row_matrices) in enumerate(zip(axes, matrices)):
        for j, (ax, matrix) in enumerate(zip(row_axes, row_matrices)):
            pcm = ax.imshow(matrix.asnumpy(), cmap=cmap)
            if i == num_rows - 1:
                ax.set_xlabel(xlabel)
               j == 0:
                ax.set_ylabel(ylabel)
            if titles:
                ax.set_title(titles[j])
    fig.colorbar(pcm, ax=axes, shrink=0.6);
```

For demonstration, we consider a simple case where the attention weight is one only when the query and the key are the same; otherwise it is zero.

```
attention_weights = np.eye(10).reshape((1, 1, 10, 10))
show_heatmaps(attention_weights, xlabel='Keys', ylabel='Queries')
```



In the subsequent sections, we will often invoke this function to visualize attention weights.

- Human attention is a limited, valuable, and scarce resource.
- Subjects selectively direct attention using both the nonvolitional and volitional cues. The former is based on saliency and the latter is task-dependent.
- Attention mechanisms are different from fully connected layers or pooling layers due to inclusion of the volitional cues.
- Attention mechanisms bias selection over values (sensory inputs) via attention pooling, which incorporates queries (volitional cues) and keys (nonvolitional cues). Keys and values are paired.
- We can visualize attention weights between queries and keys.

Exercises

- 1. What can be the volitional cue when decoding a sequence token by token in machine translation? What are the nonvolitional cues and the sensory inputs?
- 2. Randomly generate a 10×10 matrix and use the softmax operation to ensure each row is a valid probability distribution. Visualize the output attention weights.

Discussions¹⁵¹



11.2 Attention Pooling

Now you know the major components of attention mechanisms under the framework in Fig.11.1.3. To recapitulate, the interactions between queries (volitional cues) and keys (non-volitional cues) result in *attention pooling*. The attention pooling selectively aggregates values (sensory inputs) to produce the output. In this section, we will describe attention pooling in greater detail to give you a high-level view of how attention mechanisms work in practice. Specifically, the Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression model proposed in 1964 is a simple yet complete example for demonstrating machine learning with attention mechanisms.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

11.2.1 Generating the Dataset

To keep things simple, let's consider the following regression problem: given a dataset of input-output pairs $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$, how to learn f to predict the output $\hat{y} = f(x)$ for any new input x?

Here we generate an artificial dataset according to the following nonlinear function with the noise term ϵ :

$$y_i = 2\sin(x_i) + x_i^{0.8} + \epsilon, \qquad (11.2.1)$$

where ϵ obeys a normal distribution with zero mean and standard deviation 0.5. Both 50 training examples and 50 validation examples are generated. To better visualize the pattern of attention later, the training inputs are sorted.

The following function plots all the training examples (represented by circles), the ground-truth data generation function f without the noise term (labeled by "Truth"), and the learned prediction function (labeled by "Pred").

11.2.2 Average Pooling

We begin with perhaps the world's "dumbest" estimator for this regression problem: using average pooling to average over all the training outputs:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i, \qquad (11.2.2)$$

which is plotted below. As we can see, this estimator is indeed not so smart.

y_hat = data.y_train.mean().repeat(n)
plot_kernel_reg(y_hat)



11.2.3 Nonparametric Attention Pooling

Obviously, average pooling omits the inputs x_i . A better idea was proposed by Nadaraya (Nadaraya, 1964) and Watson (Watson, 1964) to weigh the outputs y_i according to their

input locations:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{K(x - x_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K(x - x_j)} y_i,$$
(11.2.3)

where K is a *kernel*. The estimator in (11.2.3) is called *Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression*. Here we will not dive into details of kernels. Recall the framework of attention mechanisms in Fig.11.1.3. From the perspective of attention, we can rewrite (11.2.3) in a more generalized form of *attention pooling*:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(x, x_i) y_i,$$
(11.2.4)

where x is the query and (x_i, y_i) is the key-value pair. Comparing (11.2.4) and (11.2.2), the attention pooling here is a weighted average of values y_i . The attention weight $\alpha(x, x_i)$ in (11.2.4) is assigned to the corresponding value y_i based on the interaction between the query x and the key x_i modeled by α . For any query, its attention weights over all the key-value pairs are a valid probability distribution: they are non-negative and sum up to one.

To gain intuitions of attention pooling, just consider a Gaussian kernel defined as

$$K(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{u^2}{2}).$$
(11.2.5)

Plugging the Gaussian kernel into (11.2.4) and (11.2.3) gives

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(x, x_i) y_i$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_i)^2\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_j)^2\right)} y_i$ (11.2.6)
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{softmax}\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_i)^2\right) y_i.$

In (11.2.6), a key x_i that is closer to the given query x will get *more attention* via a *larger attention weight* assigned to the key's corresponding value y_i .

Notably, Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression is a nonparametric model; thus (11.2.6) is an example of *nonparametric attention pooling*. In the following, we plot the prediction based on this nonparametric attention model. The predicted line is smooth and closer to the ground-truth than that produced by average pooling.

```
def diff(queries, keys):
    return queries.reshape((-1, 1)) - keys.reshape((1, -1))

def attention_pool(query_key_diffs, values):
    attention_weights = npx.softmax(- query_key_diffs**2 / 2, axis=1)
    return np.dot(attention_weights, values), attention_weights

y_hat, attention_weights = attention_pool(
    diff(data.x_val, data.x_train), data.y_train)
plot_kernel_reg(y_hat)
```

Now let's take a look at the attention weights. Here validation inputs are queries while training inputs are keys. Since both inputs are sorted, we can see that the closer the query-key pair is, the higher attention weight is in the attention pooling.

```
d2l.show_heatmaps([[attention_weights]],
xlabel='Sorted training inputs',
ylabel='Sorted validation inputs')
```



11.2.4 Parametric Attention Pooling

Nonparametric Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression enjoys the *consistency* benefit: given enough data this model converges to the optimal solution. Nonetheless, we can easily integrate learn-able parameters into attention pooling.

As an example, slightly different from (11.2.6), in the following the distance between the query x and the key x_i is multiplied by a learnable parameter w:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(x, x_i) y_i$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}((x - x_i)w)^2\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}((x - x_j)w)^2\right)} y_i$ (11.2.7)
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{softmax}\left(-\frac{1}{2}((x - x_i)w)^2\right) y_i.$

In the rest of the section, we will train this model by learning the parameter of the attention pooling in (11.2.7).

Batch Matrix Multiplication

To more efficiently compute attention for minibatches, we can leverage batch matrix multiplication utilities provided by deep learning frameworks.

Suppose that the first minibatch contains n matrices X_1, \ldots, X_n of shape $a \times b$, and the second minibatch contains n matrices Y_1, \ldots, Y_n of shape $b \times c$. Their batch matrix multiplication results in n matrices X_1Y_1, \ldots, X_nY_n of shape $a \times c$. Therefore, given two tensors of shape (n, a, b) and (n, b, c), the shape of their batch matrix multiplication output is (n, a, c).

```
X = np.ones((2, 1, 4))
Y = np.ones((2, 4, 6))
d21.check_shape(npx.batch_dot(X, Y), (2, 1, 6))
```

In the context of attention mechanisms, we can use minibatch matrix multiplication to compute weighted averages of values in a minibatch.

```
weights = np.ones((2, 10)) * 0.1
values = np.arange(20).reshape((2, 10))
npx.batch_dot(np.expand_dims(weights, 1), np.expand_dims(values, -1)).shape
```

(2, 1, 1)

Defining the Model

Using minibatch matrix multiplication, below we define the parametric version of Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression based on the parametric attention pooling in (11.2.7).

```
class NWKernelRegression(d21.Module):
    def __init__(self, keys, values, lr):
        super().__init__()
        self.save_hyperparameters()
        self.w = np.ones(1)
        self.w.attach_grad()
    def forward(self, queries):
        y_hat, self.attention_weights = attention_pool(
            diff(queries, self.keys) * self.w, self.values)
        return y_hat
    def loss(self, y_hat, y):
        l = (y_hat.reshape(-1) - y.reshape(-1)) ** 2 / 2
        return 1.mean()
    def configure_optimizers(self):
        return d21.SGD([self.w], self.lr)
```

Training

In the following, we transform the training dataset to keys and values to train the attention model. In the parametric attention pooling, for simplicity any training input just takes key-value pairs from all the training examples to predict its output.

```
model = NWKernelRegression(data.x_train, data.y_train, lr=1)
model.board.display = False
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=5)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```

[22:44:53] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.

Trying to fit the training dataset with noise, the predicted line is less smooth than its nonparametric counterpart that was plotted earlier.

```
plot_kernel_reg(model.forward(data.x_val))
```



Comparing with nonparametric attention pooling, the region with large attention weights becomes sharper in the parametric setting.

Sorted training inputs

11.2.5 Summary

- Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression is an example of machine learning with attention mechanisms.
- The attention pooling of Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression is a weighted average of the training outputs. From the attention perspective, the attention weight is assigned to a value based on a function of a query and the key that is paired with the value.
- Attention pooling can be either nonparametric or parametric.

Exercises

- 1. Increase the number of training examples. Can you learn nonparametric Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression better?
- 2. What is the value of our learned w in the parametric attention pooling experiment? Why does it make the weighted region sharper when visualizing the attention weights?
- 3. How can we add hyperparameters to nonparametric Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression to predict better?
- 4. Design another parametric attention pooling for the kernel regression of this section. Train this new model and visualize its attention weights.



Discussions¹⁵²

11.3 Attention Scoring Functions

In Section 11.2, we used a Gaussian kernel to model interactions between queries and keys. Treating the exponent of the Gaussian kernel in (11.2.6) as an *attention scoring function* (or *scoring function* for short), the results of this function were essentially fed into a softmax operation. As a result, we obtained a probability distribution (attention weights) over values that are paired with keys. In the end, the output of the attention pooling is simply a weighted sum of the values based on these attention weights.

At a high level, we can use the above algorithm to instantiate the framework of attention mechanisms in Fig.11.1.3. Denoting an attention scoring function by a, Fig.11.3.1 illustrates how the output of attention pooling can be computed as a weighted sum of values. Since attention weights are a probability distribution, the weighted sum is essentially a weighted average.





Mathematically, suppose that we have a query $q \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and m key-value pairs $(k_1, v_1), \ldots, (k_m, v_m)$, where any $k_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and any $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^v$. The attention pooling f is instantiated as a weighted sum of the values:

$$f(\boldsymbol{q}, (\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_1), \dots, (\boldsymbol{k}_m, \boldsymbol{v}_m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i) \boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^v, \quad (11.3.1)$$

where the attention weight (scalar) for the query q and key k_i is computed by the softmax operation of an attention scoring function a that maps two vectors to a scalar:

$$\alpha(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i) = \operatorname{softmax}(a(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i)) = \frac{\exp(a(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_i))}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \exp(a(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}_j))} \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(11.3.2)

As we can see, different choices of the attention scoring function *a* lead to different behaviors of attention pooling. In this section, we introduce two popular scoring functions that we will use to develop more sophisticated attention mechanisms later.

```
import math
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

11.3.1 Masked Softmax Operation

As we just mentioned, a softmax operation is used to output a probability distribution as attention weights. In some cases, not all the values should be fed into attention pooling. For instance, for efficient minibatch processing in Section 10.5, some text sequences are padded with special tokens that do not carry meaning. To get an attention pooling over only meaningful tokens as values, we can specify a valid sequence length (in number of tokens) to filter out those beyond this specified range when computing softmax. In this way, we can implement such a *masked softmax operation* in the following masked_softmax function, where any value beyond the valid length is masked as zero.

```
#@save
def masked_softmax(X, valid_lens):
    """Perform softmax operation by masking elements on the last axis."""
   # X: 3D tensor, valid_lens: 1D or 2D tensor
   if valid_lens is None:
       return npx.softmax(X)
    else:
        shape = X. shape
        if valid_lens.ndim == 1:
           valid_lens = valid_lens.repeat(shape[1])
        else:
           valid_lens = valid_lens.reshape(-1)
        # On the last axis, replace masked elements with a very large negative
        # value, whose exponentiation outputs 0
       X = npx.sequence_mask(X.reshape(-1, shape[-1]), valid_lens, True,
                              value=-1e6, axis=1)
        return npx.softmax(X).reshape(shape)
```

To demonstrate how this function works, consider a minibatch of two 2×4 matrix examples, where the valid lengths for these two examples are two and three, respectively. As a result of the masked softmax operation, values beyond the valid lengths are all masked as zero.

masked_softmax(np.random.uniform(size=(2, 2, 4)), np.array([2, 3]))

```
array([[[0.488994 , 0.511006 , 0. , 0. ],
[0.4365484 , 0.56345165 , 0. , 0. ]],
[[0.288171 , 0.3519408 , 0.3598882 , 0. ],
[0.29034296, 0.25239873 , 0.45725837 , 0. ]]])
```

Similarly, we can also use a two-dimensional tensor to specify valid lengths for every row in each matrix example.

```
array([[[1. , 0. , 0. , 0. ],
[0.35848376, 0.3658879, 0.27562833, 0. ]],
[[0.54370314, 0.45629686, 0. , 0. ],
[0.19598778, 0.25580427, 0.19916739, 0.3490406 ]]])
```

11.3.2 Additive Attention

In general, when queries and keys are vectors of different lengths, we can use additive attention as the scoring function. Given a query $q \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and a key $k \in \mathbb{R}^k$, the *additive attention* scoring function

$$a(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}) = \boldsymbol{w}_v^{\top} \tanh(\boldsymbol{W}_q \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{W}_k \boldsymbol{k}) \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad (11.3.3)$$

where learnable parameters $W_q \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times q}$, $W_k \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times k}$, and $w_v \in \mathbb{R}^h$. Equivalent to (11.3.3), the query and the key are concatenated and fed into an MLP with a single hidden layer whose number of hidden units is h, a hyperparameter. By using tanh as the activation function and disabling bias terms, we implement additive attention in the following.

```
#@save
class AdditiveAttention(nn.Block):
    """Additive attention."
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, dropout, **kwargs):
       super(AdditiveAttention, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        # Use flatten=False to only transform the last axis so that the
       # shapes for the other axes are kept the same
        self.W_k = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=False, flatten=False)
       self.W_q = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=False, flatten=False)
        self.w_v = nn.Dense(1, use_bias=False, flatten=False)
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
    def forward(self, queries, keys, values, valid_lens):
       queries, keys = self.W_q(queries), self.W_k(keys)
        # After dimension expansion, shape of queries: (batch_size, no. of
       # queries, 1, num_hiddens) and shape of keys: (batch_size, 1,
        # no. of key-value pairs, num_hiddens). Sum them up with
        # broadcasting
        features = np.expand_dims(queries, axis=2) + np.expand_dims(
            keys, axis=1)
        features = np.tanh(features)
        # There is only one output of self.w_v, so we remove the last
       # one-dimensional entry from the shape. Shape of scores:
       # (batch_size, no. of queries, no. of key-value pairs)
       scores = np.squeeze(self.w_v(features), axis=-1)
        self.attention_weights = masked_softmax(scores, valid_lens)
        # Shape of values: (batch_size, no. of key-value pairs, value
        # dimension)
        return npx.batch_dot(self.dropout(self.attention_weights), values)
```

Let's demonstrate the above AdditiveAttention class with a toy example, where shapes (batch size, number of steps or sequence length in tokens, feature size) of queries, keys, and values are (2, 1, 20), (2, 10, 2), and (2, 10, 4), respectively. The attention pooling output has a shape of (batch size, number of steps for queries, feature size for values).

Although additive attention contains learnable parameters, since every key is the same in this example, the attention weights are uniform, determined by the specified valid lengths.

11.3.3 Scaled Dot-Product Attention



A more computationally efficient design for the scoring function can be simply dot product. However, the dot product operation requires that both the query and the key have the same vector length, say d. Assume that all the elements of the query and the key are independent random variables with zero mean and unit variance. The dot product of both vectors has zero mean and a variance of d. To ensure that the variance of the dot product still remains one regardless of vector length, the *scaled dot-product attention* scoring function

$$a(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{k}) = \boldsymbol{q}^{\top} \boldsymbol{k} / \sqrt{d}$$
(11.3.4)

divides the dot product by \sqrt{d} . In practice, we often think in minibatches for efficiency, such as computing attention for n queries and m key-value pairs, where queries and keys are of length d and values are of length v. The scaled dot-product attention of queries $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, keys $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, and values $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times v}$ is

softmax
$$\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{K}^{\top}}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\boldsymbol{V}\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times v}.$$
 (11.3.5)

In the following implementation of the scaled dot product attention, we use dropout for model regularization.

```
#@save
class DotProductAttention(nn.Block):
    ""Scaled dot product attention."""
   def __init__(self, dropout, num_heads=None):
        super().__init__()
       self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
       self.num_heads = num_heads # To be covered later
    # Shape of queries: (batch_size, no. of queries, d)
   # Shape of keys: (batch_size, no. of key-value pairs, d)
    # Shape of values: (batch_size, no. of key-value pairs, value dimension)
   # Shape of valid_lens: (batch_size,) or (batch_size, no. of queries)
   def forward(self, queries, keys, values, valid_lens=None,
                window_mask=None):
       d = queries.shape[-1]
        # Set transpose_b=True to swap the last two dimensions of keys
        scores = npx.batch_dot(queries, keys, transpose_b=True) / math.sqrt(d)
        if window_mask is not None: # To be covered later
           num_windows = window_mask.shape[0]
            n, num_queries, num_kv_pairs = scores.shape
            # Shape of window_mask: (num_windows, no. of queries,
            # no. of key-value pairs)
            scores = scores.reshape((n // (num_windows * self.num_heads), num_
→windows, self.
   num_heads, num_queries, num_kv_pairs)) + np.expand_dims(
               np.expand_dims(window_mask, 1), 0)
            scores = scores.reshape((n, num_queries, num_kv_pairs))
        self.attention_weights = masked_softmax(scores, valid_lens)
        return npx.batch_dot(self.dropout(self.attention_weights), values)
```

To demonstrate the above DotProductAttention class, we use the same keys, values, and valid lengths from the earlier toy example for additive attention. For the dot product operation, we make the feature size of queries the same as that of keys.

```
queries = np.random.normal(0, 1, (2, 1, 2))
attention = DotProductAttention(dropout=0.5)
attention.initialize()
attention(queries, keys, values, valid_lens)
array([[[ 2. , 3. , 4. , 5. ]],
        [[10. , 11. , 12.000001, 13. ]]])
```

Same as in the additive attention demonstration, since keys contains the same element that cannot be differentiated by any query, uniform attention weights are obtained.





- We can compute the output of attention pooling as a weighted average of values, where different choices of the attention scoring function lead to different behaviors of attention pooling.
- When queries and keys are vectors of different lengths, we can use the additive attention scoring function. When they are the same, the scaled dot-product attention scoring function is more computationally efficient.

Exercises

- 1. Modify keys in the toy example and visualize attention weights. Do additive attention and scaled dot-product attention still output the same attention weights? Why or why not?
- 2. Using matrix multiplications only, can you design a new scoring function for queries and keys with different vector lengths?
- 3. When queries and keys have the same vector length, is vector summation a better design than dot product for the scoring function? Why or why not?

Discussions¹⁵³



11.4 Bahdanau Attention

We studied the machine translation problem in Section 10.7, where we designed an encoderdecoder architecture based on two RNNs for sequence to sequence learning. Specifically, the RNN encoder transforms a variable-length sequence into a fixed-shape context variable, then the RNN decoder generates the output (target) sequence token by token based on the generated tokens and the context variable. However, even though not all the input (source) tokens are useful for decoding a certain token, the *same* context variable that encodes the entire input sequence is still used at each decoding step.

In a separate but related challenge of handwriting generation for a given text sequence, Graves designed a differentiable attention model to align text characters with the much longer pen trace, where the alignment moves only in one direction (Graves, 2013). Inspired by the idea of learning to align, Bahdanau et al. proposed a differentiable attention model without the severe unidirectional alignment limitation (Bahdanau *et al.*, 2014). When predicting a token, if not all the input tokens are relevant, the model aligns (or attends) only to parts of the input sequence that are relevant to the current prediction. This is achieved by treating the context variable as an output of attention pooling.

11.4.1 Model

When describing Bahdanau attention for the RNN encoder-decoder below, we will follow the same notation in Section 10.7. The new attention-based model is the same as that in Section 10.7 except that the context variable c in (10.7.3) is replaced by $c_{t'}$ at any decoding time step t'. Suppose that there are T tokens in the input sequence, the context variable at the decoding time step t' is the output of attention pooling:

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{t'} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha(\boldsymbol{s}_{t'-1}, \boldsymbol{h}_t) \boldsymbol{h}_t, \qquad (11.4.1)$$

where the decoder hidden state $s_{t'-1}$ at time step t'-1 is the query, and the encoder hidden states h_t are both the keys and values, and the attention weight α is computed as in (11.3.2) using the additive attention scoring function defined by (11.3.3).

Slightly different from the vanilla RNN encoder-decoder architecture in Fig. 10.7.2, the same architecture with Bahdanau attention is depicted in Fig. 11.4.1.





```
from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn, rnn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

11.4.2 Defining the Decoder with Attention

To implement the RNN encoder-decoder with Bahdanau attention, we only need to redefine the decoder. To visualize the learned attention weights more conveniently, the following AttentionDecoder class defines the base interface for decoders with attention mechanisms.
```
#@save
class AttentionDecoder(d21.Decoder):
    """The base attention-based decoder interface."""
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__()
    @property
    def attention_weights(self):
        raise NotImplementedError
```

Now let's implement the RNN decoder with Bahdanau attention in the following Seq2SeqAttentionDecoder class. The state of the decoder is initialized with (i) the encoder final-layer hidden states at all the time steps (as keys and values of the attention); (ii) the encoder all-layer hidden state at the final time step (to initialize the hidden state of the decoder); and (iii) the encoder valid length (to exclude the padding tokens in attention pooling). At each decoding time step, the decoder final-layer hidden state at the previous time step is used as the query of the attention. As a result, both the attention output and the input embedding are concatenated as input of the RNN decoder.

```
class Seq2SeqAttentionDecoder(AttentionDecoder):
    def __init__(self, vocab_size, embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers,
                dropout=⊘):
       super().__init__()
        self.attention = d2l.AdditiveAttention(num_hiddens, dropout)
        self.embedding = nn.Embedding(vocab_size, embed_size)
        self.rnn = rnn.GRU(num_hiddens, num_layers, dropout=dropout)
        self.dense = nn.Dense(vocab_size, flatten=False)
        self.initialize(init.Xavier())
    def init_state(self, enc_outputs, enc_valid_lens):
        # Shape of outputs: (num_steps, batch_size, num_hiddens).
       # Shape of hidden_state: (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens)
       outputs, hidden_state = enc_outputs
        return (outputs.swapaxes(0, 1), hidden_state, enc_valid_lens)
    def forward(self, X, state):
        # Shape of enc_outputs: (batch_size, num_steps, num_hiddens).
        # Shape of hidden_state: (num_layers, batch_size, num_hiddens)
       enc_outputs, hidden_state, enc_valid_lens = state
        # Shape of the output X: (num_steps, batch_size, embed_size)
       X = self.embedding(X).swapaxes(0, 1)
       outputs, self._attention_weights = [], []
       for x in X:
            # Shape of query: (batch_size, 1, num_hiddens)
            query = np.expand_dims(hidden_state[-1], axis=1)
            # Shape of context: (batch_size, 1, num_hiddens)
            context = self.attention(
               query, enc_outputs, enc_outputs, enc_valid_lens)
            # Concatenate on the feature dimension
            x = np.concatenate((context, np.expand_dims(x, axis=1)), axis=-1)
            # Reshape x as (1, batch_size, embed_size + num_hiddens)
            out, hidden_state = self.rnn(x.swapaxes(0, 1), hidden_state)
            hidden_state = hidden_state[0]
            outputs.append(out)
            self._attention_weights.append(self.attention.attention_weights)
       # After fully connected layer transformation, shape of outputs:
        # (num_steps, batch_size, vocab_size)
       outputs = self.dense(np.concatenate(outputs, axis=0))
        return outputs.swapaxes(0, 1), [enc_outputs, hidden_state,
                                        enc_valid_lens]
    @property
    def attention_weights(self):
        return self._attention_weights
```

In the following, we test the implemented decoder with Bahdanau attention using a minibatch of 4 sequence inputs of 7 time steps.

11.4.3 Training

Similar to Section 10.7.6, here we specify hyperparameters, instantiate an encoder and a decoder with Bahdanau attention, and train this model for machine translation.



After the model is trained, we use it to translate a few English sentences into French and compute their BLEU scores.

```
engs = ['go .', 'i lost .', 'he\'s calm .', 'i\'m home .']
fras = ['va !', 'j\'ai perdu .', 'il est calme .', 'je suis chez moi .']
preds, _ = model.predict_step(
    data.build(engs, fras), d2l.try_gpu(), data.num_steps)
for en, fr, p in zip(engs, fras, preds):
    translation = []
    for token in data.tgt_vocab.to_tokens(p):
        if token == '<eos>':
            break
        translation.append(token)
print(f'{en} => {translation}, bleu,'
        f'{d2l.bleu(" ".join(translation), fr, k=2):.3f}')
```

```
go . => ['va', '!'], bleu,1.000
i lost . => ["j'ai", 'perdu', '.'], bleu,1.000
he's calm . => ['il', 'est', 'mouillé', '.'], bleu,0.658
i'm home . => ['je', 'suis', 'chez', 'moi', '.'], bleu,1.000
```

By visualizing the attention weights when translating the last English sentence, we can see that each query assigns non-uniform weights over key-value pairs. It shows that at each decoding step, different parts of the input sequences are selectively aggregated in the attention pooling.

```
# Plus one to include the end-of-sequence token
d2l.show_heatmaps(
    attention_weights[:, :, :, :len(engs[-1].split()) + 1],
    xlabel='Key positions', ylabel='Query positions')
```



11.4.4 Summary

- When predicting a token, if not all the input tokens are relevant, the RNN encoder-decoder with Bahdanau attention selectively aggregates different parts of the input sequence. This is achieved by treating the context variable as an output of additive attention pooling.
- In the RNN encoder-decoder, Bahdanau attention treats the decoder hidden state at the previous time step as the query, and the encoder hidden states at all the time steps as both the keys and values.

Exercises



154

- 1. Replace GRU with LSTM in the experiment.
- 2. Modify the experiment to replace the additive attention scoring function with the scaled dot-product. How does it influence the training efficiency?

Discussions¹⁵⁴

In practice, given the same set of queries, keys, and values we may want our model to combine knowledge from different behaviors of the same attention mechanism, such as capturing dependencies of various ranges (e.g., shorter-range vs. longer-range) within a sequence. Thus, it may be beneficial to allow our attention mechanism to jointly use different representation subspaces of queries, keys, and values.

To this end, instead of performing a single attention pooling, queries, keys, and values can be transformed with h independently learned linear projections. Then these h projected queries, keys, and values are fed into attention pooling in parallel. In the end, h attention pooling outputs are concatenated and transformed with another learned linear projection to produce the final output. This design is called *multi-head attention*, where each of the h attention pooling outputs is a *head* (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017). Using fully connected layers to perform learnable linear transformations, Fig.11.5.1 describes multi-head attention.



Figure 11.5.1 Multi-head attention, where multiple heads are concatenated then linearly transformed.

11.5.1 Model

Before providing the implementation of multi-head attention, let's formalize this model mathematically. Given a query $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_q}$, a key $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k}$, and a value $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v}$, each attention head \boldsymbol{h}_i (i = 1, ..., h) is computed as

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{i} = f(\boldsymbol{W}_{i}^{(q)}\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{W}_{i}^{(k)}\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{W}_{i}^{(v)}\boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{v}}, \qquad (11.5.1)$$

where learnable parameters $W_i^{(q)} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_q \times d_q}$, $W_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_k \times d_k}$ and $W_i^{(v)} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_v \times d_v}$, and f is attention pooling, such as additive attention and scaled dot-product attention in Section 11.3. The multi-head attention output is another linear transformation via learnable parameters $W_o \in \mathbb{R}^{p_o \times hp_v}$ of the concatenation of h heads:

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{o} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{h}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{h}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{o}}.$$
(11.5.2)

Based on this design, each head may attend to different parts of the input. More sophisticated functions than the simple weighted average can be expressed.

```
import math
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

11.5.2 Implementation

In our implementation, we choose the scaled dot-product attention for each head of the multi-head attention. To avoid significant growth of computational cost and parameterization cost, we set $p_q = p_k = p_v = p_o/h$. Note that h heads can be computed in parallel if we set the number of outputs of linear transformations for the query, key, and value to $p_q h = p_k h = p_v h = p_o$. In the following implementation, p_o is specified via the argument num_hiddens.

```
#@save
class MultiHeadAttention(d21.Module):
    """Multi-head attention."
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, num_heads, dropout, use_bias=False,
                 **kwargs):
       super().__init__()
        self.num_heads = num_heads
        self.attention = d2l.DotProductAttention(dropout, num_heads)
        self.W_q = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=use_bias, flatten=False)
        self.W_k = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=use_bias, flatten=False)
        self.W_v = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=use_bias, flatten=False)
        self.W_o = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, use_bias=use_bias, flatten=False)
    def forward(self, queries, keys, values, valid_lens, window_mask=None):
        # Shape of queries, keys, or values:
       # (batch_size, no. of queries or key-value pairs, num_hiddens)
       # Shape of valid_lens: (batch_size,) or (batch_size, no. of queries)
       # After transposing, shape of output queries, keys, or values:
       # (batch_size * num_heads, no. of queries or key-value pairs,
        # num_hiddens / num_heads)
       queries = self.transpose_qkv(self.W_q(queries))
        keys = self.transpose_qkv(self.W_k(keys))
       values = self.transpose_qkv(self.W_v(values))
        if valid_lens is not None:
            # On axis 0, copy the first item (scalar or vector) for num_heads
            # times, then copy the next item, and so on
            valid_lens = valid_lens.repeat(self.num_heads, axis=0)
       # Shape of output: (batch_size * num_heads, no. of queries,
        # num_hiddens / num_heads)
       output = self.attention(queries, keys, values, valid_lens,
                                window_mask)
        # Shape of output_concat: (batch_size, no. of gueries, num_hiddens)
        output_concat = self.transpose_output(output)
        return self.W_o(output_concat)
```

To allow for parallel computation of multiple heads, the above MultiHeadAttention class uses two transposition methods as defined below. Specifically, the transpose_output method reverses the operation of the transpose_gkv method.

```
@d21.add_to_class(MultiHeadAttention) #@save
def transpose_qkv(self, X):
    """Transposition for parallel computation of multiple attention heads."""
    # Shape of input X: (batch_size, no. of queries or key-value pairs,
    # num_hiddens). Shape of output X: (batch_size, no. of queries or
    # key-value pairs, num_heads, num_hiddens / num_heads)
    X = X.reshape(X.shape[0], X.shape[1], self.num_heads, -1)
    # Shape of output X: (batch_size, num_heads, no. of queries or key-value
    # pairs, num_hiddens / num_heads)
    X = X.transpose(0, 2, 1, 3)
    # Shape of output: (batch_size * num_heads, no. of queries or key-value
    # pairs, num_hiddens / num_heads)
    x = X.transpose(0, 2, 1, 3)
    # Shape of output: (batch_size * num_heads, no. of queries or key-value
    # pairs, num_hiddens / num_heads)
    return X.reshape(-1, X.shape[2], X.shape[3])
```

(continues on next page)

```
@d2l.add_to_class(MultiHeadAttention) #@save
def transpose_output(self, X):
    """Reverse the operation of transpose_qkv."""
    X = X.reshape(-1, self.num_heads, X.shape[1], X.shape[2])
    X = X.transpose(0, 2, 1, 3)
    return X.reshape(X.shape[0], X.shape[1], -1)
```

Let's test our implemented MultiHeadAttention class using a toy example where keys and values are the same. As a result, the shape of the multi-head attention output is (batch_size, num_queries, num_hiddens).

```
num_hiddens, num_heads = 100, 5
attention = MultiHeadAttention(num_hiddens, num_heads, 0.5)
attention.initialize()
```

11.5.3 Summary

- Multi-head attention combines knowledge of the same attention pooling via different representation subspaces of queries, keys, and values.
- To compute multiple heads of multi-head attention in parallel, proper tensor manipulation is needed.

Exercises

- 1. Visualize attention weights of multiple heads in this experiment.
- 2. Suppose that we have a trained model based on multi-head attention and we want to prune least important attention heads to increase the prediction speed. How can we design experiments to measure the importance of an attention head?

Discussions¹⁵⁵



11.6 Self-Attention and Positional Encoding

In deep learning, we often use CNNs or RNNs to encode a sequence. Now with attention mechanisms, imagine that we feed a sequence of tokens into attention pooling so that the same set of tokens act as queries, keys, and values. Specifically, each query attends to all the key-value pairs and generates one attention output. Since the queries, keys, and values come from the same place, this performs *self-attention* (Lin *et al.*, 2017, Vaswani *et al.*, 2017), which is also called *intra-attention* (Cheng *et al.*, 2016, Parikh *et al.*, 2016, Paulus *et al.*, 2017). In this section, we will discuss sequence encoding using self-attention, including using additional information for the sequence order.

```
import math
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

11.6.1 Self-Attention

Given a sequence of input tokens x_1, \ldots, x_n where any $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, its selfattention outputs a sequence of the same length y_1, \ldots, y_n , where

$$y_i = f(x_i, (x_1, x_1), \dots, (x_n, x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
 (11.6.1)

according to the definition of attention pooling f in (11.3.1). Using multi-head attention, the following code snippet computes the self-attention of a tensor with shape (batch size, number of time steps or sequence length in tokens, d). The output tensor has the same shape.

```
num_hiddens, num_heads = 100, 5
attention = d2l.MultiHeadAttention(num_hiddens, num_heads, 0.5)
attention.initialize()
```

11.6.2 Comparing CNNs, RNNs, and Self-Attention

Let's compare architectures for mapping a sequence of n tokens to another sequence of equal length, where each input or output token is represented by a d-dimensional vector. Specifically, we will consider CNNs, RNNs, and self-attention. We will compare their computational complexity, sequential operations, and maximum path lengths. Note that sequential operations prevent parallel computation, while a shorter path between any combination of sequence positions makes it easier to learn long-range dependencies within the sequence (Hochreiter *et al.*, 2001).





Consider a convolutional layer whose kernel size is k. We will provide more details about sequence processing using CNNs in later chapters. For now, we only need to know that since the sequence length is n, the numbers of input and output channels are both d, the computational complexity of the convolutional layer is $\mathcal{O}(knd^2)$. As Fig.11.6.1 shows, CNNs are hierarchical so there are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ sequential operations and the maximum path length is $\mathcal{O}(n/k)$. For example, x_1 and x_5 are within the receptive field of a two-layer CNN with kernel size 3 in Fig.11.6.1.

When updating the hidden state of RNNs, multiplication of the $d \times d$ weight matrix and the d-dimensional hidden state has a computational complexity of $\mathcal{O}(d^2)$. Since the sequence length is n, the computational complexity of the recurrent layer is $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$. According to Fig.11.6.1, there are $\mathcal{O}(n)$ sequential operations that cannot be parallelized and the maximum path length is also $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

In self-attention, the queries, keys, and values are all $n \times d$ matrices. Consider the scaled dot-product attention in (11.3.5), where a $n \times d$ matrix is multiplied by a $d \times n$ matrix, then the output $n \times n$ matrix is multiplied by a $n \times d$ matrix. As a result, the self-attention has a $\mathcal{O}(n^2d)$ computational complexity. As we can see in Fig.11.6.1, each token is directly connected to any other token via self-attention. Therefore, computation can be parallel with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ sequential operations and the maximum path length is also $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

All in all, both CNNs and self-attention enjoy parallel computation and self-attention has the shortest maximum path length. However, the quadratic computational complexity with respect to the sequence length makes self-attention prohibitively slow for very long sequences.

11.6.3 Positional Encoding

Unlike RNNs that recurrently process tokens of a sequence one by one, self-attention ditches sequential operations in favor of parallel computation. To use the sequence order information, we can inject absolute or relative positional information by adding *positional encoding* to the input representations. Positional encodings can be either learned or fixed. In the following, we describe a fixed positional encoding based on sine and cosine functions (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017).

Suppose that the input representation $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ contains the *d*-dimensional embeddings for *n* tokens of a sequence. The positional encoding outputs X + P using a positional embedding matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ of the same shape, whose element on the i^{th} row and the $(2j)^{\text{th}}$ or the $(2j+1)^{\text{th}}$ column is

$$p_{i,2j} = \sin\left(\frac{i}{10000^{2j/d}}\right),$$

$$p_{i,2j+1} = \cos\left(\frac{i}{10000^{2j/d}}\right).$$
(11.6.2)

At first glance, this trigonometric-function design looks weird. Before explanations of this design, let's first implement it in the following PositionalEncoding class.

```
#@save
class PositionalEncoding(nn.Block):
    """Positional encoding."""
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, dropout, max_len=1000):
        super().__init__()
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
        # Create a long enough P
        self.P = np.zeros((1, max_len, num_hiddens))
        X = np.arange(max_len).reshape(-1, 1) / np.power(
            10000, np.arange(0, num_hiddens, 2) / num_hiddens)
        self.P[:, :, 0::2] = np.sin(X)
        self.P[:, :, 1::2] = np.cos(X)
```

```
def forward(self, X):
    X = X + self.P[:, :X.shape[1], :].as_in_ctx(X.ctx)
    return self.dropout(X)
```

In the positional embedding matrix P, rows correspond to positions within a sequence and columns represent different positional encoding dimensions. In the example below, we can see that the 6th and the 7th columns of the positional embedding matrix have a higher frequency than the 8th and the 9th columns. The offset between the 6th and the 7th (same for the 8th and the 9th) columns is due to the alternation of sine and cosine functions.



Absolute Positional Information

To see how the monotonically decreased frequency along the encoding dimension relates to absolute positional information, let's print out the binary representations of 0, 1, ..., 7. As we can see, the lowest bit, the second-lowest bit, and the third-lowest bit alternate on every number, every two numbers, and every four numbers, respectively.

```
for i in range(8):
    print(f'{i} in binary is {i:>03b}')
```

```
0 in binary is 000
1 in binary is 001
2 in binary is 010
3 in binary is 011
4 in binary is 100
5 in binary is 101
6 in binary is 110
7 in binary is 111
```

In binary representations, a higher bit has a lower frequency than a lower bit. Similarly, as demonstrated in the heat map below, the positional encoding decreases frequencies along the encoding dimension by using trigonometric functions. Since the outputs are float numbers, such continuous representations are more space-efficient than binary representations.



Relative Positional Information

Besides capturing absolute positional information, the above positional encoding also allows a model to easily learn to attend by relative positions. This is because for any fixed position offset δ , the positional encoding at position $i + \delta$ can be represented by a linear projection of that at position i.

This projection can be explained mathematically. Denoting $\omega_j = 1/10000^{2j/d}$, any pair of $(p_{i,2j}, p_{i,2j+1})$ in (11.6.2) can be linearly projected to $(p_{i+\delta,2j}, p_{i+\delta,2j+1})$ for any fixed offset δ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\delta\omega_j) & \sin(\delta\omega_j) \\ -\sin(\delta\omega_j) & \cos(\delta\omega_j) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{i,2j} \\ p_{i,2j+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\delta\omega_j) \sin(i\omega_j) + \sin(\delta\omega_j) \cos(i\omega_j) \\ -\sin(\delta\omega_j) \sin(i\omega_j) + \cos(\delta\omega_j) \cos(i\omega_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sin((i+\delta)\omega_j) \\ \cos((i+\delta)\omega_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_{i+\delta,2j} \\ p_{i+\delta,2j+1} \end{bmatrix},$$
(11.6.3)

where the 2×2 projection matrix does not depend on any position index *i*.

11.6.4 Summary

- In self-attention, the queries, keys, and values all come from the same place.
- Both CNNs and self-attention enjoy parallel computation and self-attention has the shortest maximum path length. However, the quadratic computational complexity with respect to the sequence length makes self-attention prohibitively slow for very long sequences.
- To use the sequence order information, we can inject absolute or relative positional information by adding positional encoding to the input representations.

Exercises

- 1. Suppose that we design a deep architecture to represent a sequence by stacking selfattention layers with positional encoding. What could be issues?
- 2. Can you design a learnable positional encoding method?
- 3. Can we assign different learned embeddings according to different offsets between queries and keys that are compared in self-attention? Hint: you may refer to relative position embeddings (Huang *et al.*, 2018, Shaw *et al.*, 2018).

Discussions¹⁵⁶



11.7 The Transformer Architecture

We have compared CNNs, RNNs, and self-attention in Section 11.6.2. Notably, self-attention enjoys both parallel computation and the shortest maximum path length. Therefore naturally, it is appealing to design deep architectures by using self-attention. Unlike earlier self-attention models that still rely on RNNs for input representations (Cheng *et al.*, 2016, Lin *et al.*, 2017, Paulus *et al.*, 2017), the transformer model is solely based on attention mechanisms without any convolutional or recurrent layer (Vaswani *et al.*, 2017). Though originally proposed for sequence to sequence learning on text data, transformers have been pervasive in a wide range of modern deep learning applications, such as in areas of language, vision, speech, and reinforcement learning.

11.7.1 Model

As an instance of the encoder-decoder architecture, the overall architecture of the transformer is presented in Fig.11.7.1. As we can see, the transformer is composed of an encoder and a decoder. Different from Bahdanau attention for sequence to sequence learning in Fig.11.4.1, the input (source) and output (target) sequence embeddings are added with positional encoding before being fed into the encoder and the decoder that stack modules based on self-attention.

Now we provide an overview of the transformer architecture in Fig.11.7.1. On a high level, the transformer encoder is a stack of multiple identical layers, where each layer has two sublayers (either is denoted as sublayer). The first is a multi-head self-attention pooling and the second is a positionwise feed-forward network. Specifically, in the encoder self-attention, queries, keys, and values are all from the outputs of the previous encoder layer. Inspired by the ResNet design in Section 8.6, a residual connection is employed around both sublayers. In the transformer, for any input $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at any position of the sequence, we require that sublayer(x) $\in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that the residual connection $x + \text{sublayer}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is feasible. This addition from the residual connection is immediately followed by layer normalization (Ba *et al.*, 2016). As a result, the transformer encoder outputs a *d*-dimensional vector representation for each position of the input sequence.

The transformer decoder is also a stack of multiple identical layers with residual connections and layer normalizations. Besides the two sublayers described in the encoder, the decoder inserts a third sublayer, known as the encoder-decoder attention, between these two. In the encoder-decoder attention, queries are from the outputs of the previous decoder layer, and the keys and values are from the transformer encoder outputs. In the decoder self-attention, queries, keys, and values are all from the outputs of the previous decoder layer. However,



Decoder

```
Figure 11.7.1 The transformer architecture.
```

each position in the decoder is allowed to only attend to all positions in the decoder up to that position. This *masked* attention preserves the auto-regressive property, ensuring that the prediction only depends on those output tokens that have been generated.

We have already described and implemented multi-head attention based on scaled dot-products in Section 11.5 and positional encoding in Section 11.6.3. In the following, we will implement the rest of the transformer model.

```
import math
import pandas as pd
from mxnet import autograd, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

11.7.2 Positionwise Feed-Forward Networks

The positionwise feed-forward network transforms the representation at all the sequence positions using the same MLP. This is why we call it *positionwise*. In the implementation below, the input X with shape (batch size, number of time steps or sequence length in tokens, number of hidden units or feature dimension) will be transformed by a two-layer MLP into an output tensor of shape (batch size, number of time steps, ffn_num_outputs).

The following example shows that the innermost dimension of a tensor changes to the number of outputs in the positionwise feed-forward network. Since the same MLP transforms at all the positions, when the inputs at all these positions are the same, their outputs are also identical.

```
ffn = PositionWiseFFN(4, 8)
ffn.initialize()
ffn(np.ones((2, 3, 4)))[0]
```

11.7.3 Residual Connection and Layer Normalization

Now let's focus on the "add & norm" component in Fig.11.7.1. As we described at the beginning of this section, this is a residual connection immediately followed by layer normalization. Both are key to effective deep architectures.

In Section 8.5, we explained how batch normalization recenters and rescales across the examples within a minibatch. As discussed in Section 8.5.2, layer normalization is the same as batch normalization except that the former normalizes across the feature dimension, thus enjoying benefits of scale independence and batch size independence. Despite its pervasive applications in computer vision, batch normalization is usually empirically less effective than layer normalization in natural language processing tasks, whose inputs are often variablelength sequences.

The following code snippet compares the normalization across different dimensions by layer normalization and batch normalization.

```
ln = nn.LayerNorm()
ln.initialize()
bn = nn.BatchNorm()
bn.initialize()
X = np.array([[1, 2], [2, 3]])
# Compute mean and variance from X in the training mode
with autograd.record():
    print('layer norm:', ln(X), '\nbatch norm:', bn(X))
```

```
layer norm: [[-0.99998 0.99998]
[-0.99998 0.99998]]
batch norm: [[-0.99998 -0.99998]
[ 0.99998 0.99998]]
```

Now we can implement the AddNorm class using a residual connection followed by layer normalization. Dropout is also applied for regularization.

```
#@save
class AddNorm(nn.Block):
    """Residual connection followed by layer normalization."""
    def __init__(self, dropout):
        super().__init__()
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
        self.ln = nn.LayerNorm()
    def forward(self, X, Y):
        return self.ln(self.dropout(Y) + X)
```

The residual connection requires that the two inputs are of the same shape so that the output tensor also has the same shape after the addition operation.

```
add_norm = AddNorm(0.5)
add_norm.initialize()
d21.check_shape(add_norm(np.ones((2, 3, 4)), np.ones((2, 3, 4))), (2, 3, 4))
```

11.7.4 Encoder

With all the essential components to assemble the transformer encoder, let's start by implementing a single layer within the encoder. The following TransformerEncoderBlock class contains two sublayers: multi-head self-attention and positionwise feed-forward networks, where a residual connection followed by layer normalization is employed around both sublayers.

As we can see, any layer in the transformer encoder does not change the shape of its input.

```
X = np.ones((2, 100, 24))
valid_lens = np.array([3, 2])
encoder_blk = TransformerEncoderBlock(24, 48, 8, 0.5)
encoder_blk.initialize()
d21.check_shape(encoder_blk(X, valid_lens), X.shape)
```

In the following transformer encoder implementation, we stack num_blks instances of the above TransformerEncoderBlock classes. Since we use the fixed positional encoding whose values are always between -1 and 1, we multiply values of the learnable input embeddings by the square root of the embedding dimension to rescale before summing up the input embedding and the positional encoding.

```
#@save
class TransformerEncoder(d21.Encoder):
    """Transformer encoder.
    def __init__(self, vocab_size, num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens,
                num_heads, num_blks, dropout, use_bias=False):
        super().__init__()
        self.num_hiddens = num_hiddens
        self.embedding = nn.Embedding(vocab_size, num_hiddens)
        self.pos_encoding = d2l.PositionalEncoding(num_hiddens, dropout)
        self.blks = nn.Sequential()
        for _ in range(num_blks):
            self.blks.add(TransformerEncoderBlock(
                num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens, num_heads, dropout, use_bias))
        self.initialize()
    def forward(self, X, valid_lens):
        # Since positional encoding values are between -1 and 1, the embedding
       # values are multiplied by the square root of the embedding dimension
       # to rescale before they are summed up
       X = self.pos_encoding(self.embedding(X) * math.sqrt(self.num_hiddens))
        self.attention_weights = [None] * len(self.blks)
        for i, blk in enumerate(self.blks):
            X = blk(X, valid_lens)
            self.attention_weights[
               i] = blk.attention.attention.attention_weights
        return X
```

Below we specify hyperparameters to create a two-layer transformer encoder. The shape of the transformer encoder output is (batch size, number of time steps, num_hiddens).

```
encoder = TransformerEncoder(200, 24, 48, 8, 2, 0.5)
d2l.check_shape(encoder(np.ones((2, 100)), valid_lens), (2, 100, 24))
```

11.7.5 Decoder

As shown in Fig.11.7.1, the transformer decoder is composed of multiple identical layers. Each layer is implemented in the following TransformerDecoderBlock class, which contains three sublayers: decoder self-attention, encoder-decoder attention, and positionwise feed-forward networks. These sublayers employ a residual connection around them followed by layer normalization.

As we described earlier in this section, in the masked multi-head decoder self-attention (the first sublayer), queries, keys, and values all come from the outputs of the previous decoder layer. When training sequence-to-sequence models, tokens at all the positions (time steps) of the output sequence are known. However, during prediction the output sequence is generated token by token; thus, at any decoder time step only the generated tokens can be used in the decoder self-attention. To preserve auto-regression in the decoder, its masked self-attention specifies dec_valid_lens so that any query only attends to all positions in the decoder up to the query position.

(continues on next page)

```
self.ffn = PositionWiseFFN(ffn_num_hiddens, num_hiddens)
    self.addnorm3 = AddNorm(dropout)
def forward(self, X, state):
    enc_outputs, enc_valid_lens = state[0], state[1]
    # During training, all the tokens of any output sequence are processed
    # at the same time, so state[2][self.i] is None as initialized. When
   # decoding any output sequence token by token during prediction,
   # state[2][self.i] contains representations of the decoded output at
    # the i-th block up to the current time step
    if state[2][self.i] is None:
       key_values = X
    else:
       key_values = np.concatenate((state[2][self.i], X), axis=1)
    state[2][self.i] = key_values
    if autograd.is_training():
       batch_size, num_steps, _ = X.shape
        # Shape of dec_valid_lens: (batch_size, num_steps), where every
        # row is [1, 2, ..., num_steps]
       dec_valid_lens = np.tile(np.arange(1, num_steps + 1, ctx=X.ctx),
                                 (batch_size, 1))
    else:
       dec_valid_lens = None
    # Self-attention
   X2 = self.attention1(X, key_values, key_values, dec_valid_lens)
   Y = self.addnorm1(X, X2)
    # Encoder-decoder attention. Shape of enc_outputs:
    # (batch_size, num_steps, num_hiddens)
   Y2 = self.attention2(Y, enc_outputs, enc_outputs, enc_valid_lens)
   Z = self.addnorm2(Y, Y2)
    return self.addnorm3(Z, self.ffn(Z)), state
```

To facilitate scaled dot-product operations in the encoder-decoder attention and addition operations in the residual connections, the feature dimension (num_hiddens) of the decoder is the same as that of the encoder.

```
decoder_blk = TransformerDecoderBlock(24, 48, 8, 0.5, 0)
decoder_blk.initialize()
X = np.ones((2, 100, 24))
state = [encoder_blk(X, valid_lens), valid_lens, [None]]
d2l.check_shape(decoder_blk(X, state)[0], X.shape)
```

Now we construct the entire transformer decoder composed of num_blks instances of TransformerDecoderBlock. In the end, a fully connected layer computes the prediction for all the vocab_size possible output tokens. Both of the decoder self-attention weights and the encoder-decoder attention weights are stored for later visualization.

(continues on next page)

```
return [enc_outputs, enc_valid_lens, [None] * self.num_blks]
def forward(self, X, state):
   X = self.pos_encoding(self.embedding(X) * math.sqrt(self.num_hiddens))
    self._attention_weights = [[None] * len(self.blks) for _ in range (2)]
    for i, blk in enumerate(self.blks):
       X, state = blk(X, state)
       # Decoder self-attention weights
       self._attention_weights[0][
            i] = blk.attention1.attention.attention_weights
        # Encoder-decoder attention weights
        self._attention_weights[1][
           i] = blk.attention2.attention.attention_weights
    return self.dense(X), state
@propertv
def attention_weights(self):
   return self._attention_weights
```

11.7.6 Training

Let's instantiate an encoder-decoder model by following the transformer architecture. Here we specify that both the transformer encoder and the transformer decoder have 2 layers using 4-head attention. Similar to Section 10.7.6, we train the transformer model for sequence to sequence learning on the English-French machine translation dataset.

```
data = d21.MTFraEng(batch_size=128)
num_hiddens, num_blks, dropout = 256, 2, 0.2
ffn_num_hiddens, num_heads = 64, 4
encoder = TransformerEncoder(
    len(data.src_vocab), num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens, num_heads,
    num_blks, dropout)
decoder = TransformerDecoder(
    len(data.tgt_vocab), num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens, num_heads,
    num_blks, dropout)
model = d21.Seq2Seq(encoder, decoder, tgt_pad=data.tgt_vocab['<pad>'],
    lr=0.001)
trainer = d21.Trainer(max_epochs=50, gradient_clip_val=1, num_gpus=1)
trainer.fit(model, data)
```



After training, we use the transformer model to translate a few English sentences into French and compute their BLEU scores.

```
for en, fr, p in zip(engs, fras, preds):
    translation = []
    for token in data.tgt_vocab.to_tokens(p):
        if token == '<eos>':
            break
        translation.append(token)
    print(f'{en} => {translation}, bleu,'
        f'{d2l.bleu(" ".join(translation), fr, k=2):.3f}')
```

Let's visualize the transformer attention weights when translating the last English sentence into French. The shape of the encoder self-attention weights is (number of encoder layers, number of attention heads, num_steps or number of queries, num_steps or number of key-value pairs).

In the encoder self-attention, both queries and keys come from the same input sequence. Since padding tokens do not carry meaning, with specified valid length of the input sequence, no query attends to positions of padding tokens. In the following, two layers of multi-head attention weights are presented row by row. Each head independently attends based on a separate representation subspaces of queries, keys, and values.

```
d2l.show_heatmaps(
    enc_attention_weights, xlabel='Key positions', ylabel='Query positions',
    titles=['Head %d' % i for i in range(1, 5)], figsize=(7, 3.5))
```



To visualize both the decoder self-attention weights and the encoder-decoder attention weights, we need more data manipulations. For example, we fill the masked attention weights with zero. Note that the decoder self-attention weights and the encoder-decoder attention weights

both have the same queries: the beginning-of-sequence token followed by the output tokens and possibly end-of-sequence tokens.

Due to the auto-regressive property of the decoder self-attention, no query attends to keyvalue pairs after the query position.

```
d2l.show_heatmaps(
    dec_self_attention_weights[:, :, :, :],
    xlabel='Key positions', ylabel='Query positions',
    titles=['Head %d' % i for i in range(1, 5)], figsize=(7, 3.5))
```



Similar to the case in the encoder self-attention, via the specified valid length of the input sequence, no query from the output sequence attends to those padding tokens from the input sequence.

```
d2l.show_heatmaps(
    dec_inter_attention_weights, xlabel='Key positions',
    ylabel='Query positions', titles=['Head %d' % i for i in range(1, 5)],
    figsize=(7, 3.5))
```

Although the transformer architecture was originally proposed for sequence-to-sequence learning, as we will discover later in the book, either the transformer encoder or the transformer decoder is often individually used for different deep learning tasks.

11.7.7 Summary



- The transformer is an instance of the encoder-decoder architecture, though either the encoder or the decoder can be used individually in practice.
- In the transformer, multi-head self-attention is used for representing the input sequence and the output sequence, though the decoder has to preserve the auto-regressive property via a masked version.
- Both the residual connections and the layer normalization in the transformer are important for training a very deep model.
- The positionwise feed-forward network in the transformer model transforms the representation at all the sequence positions using the same MLP.

Exercises

- 1. Train a deeper transformer in the experiments. How does it affect the training speed and the translation performance?
- 2. Is it a good idea to replace scaled dot-product attention with additive attention in the transformer? Why?
- 3. For language modeling, should we use the transformer encoder, decoder, or both? How to design this method?
- 4. What can be challenges to transformers if input sequences are very long? Why?
- 5. How to improve computational and memory efficiency of transformers? Hint: you may refer to the survey paper by Tay et al. (Tay *et al.*, 2020).

Discussions¹⁵⁷



11.8 Large-Scale Pretraining with Transformers

So far in our image classification and machine translation experiments, models were trained on datasets with input-output examples *from scratch* to perform specific tasks. For example, a transformer was trained with English-French pairs (Section 11.7) so that this model can translate input English text into French. As a result, each model becomes a *specific expert* that is sensitive to even slight shift in data distribution (Section 4.7). For better generalized models, or even more competent *generalists* that can perform multiple tasks with or without adaptation, *pretraining* models on large data has been increasingly common.

Given larger data for pretraining, the transformer architecture performs better with an increased model size and training compute, demonstrating superior *scaling* behavior. Specifically, performance of transformer-based language models scales as a power-law with the amount of model parameters, training tokens, and training compute (Kaplan *et al.*, 2020). The scalability of transformers is also evidenced by the significantly boosted performance from larger vision transformers trained on larger data (discussed in sec_vision-transformer). More recent success stories include Gato, a *generalist* model that can play Atari, caption images, chat, and act as a robot (Reed *et al.*, 2022). Gato is a single transformer that scales well when pretrained on diverse modalities including text, images, joint torques, and button presses. Notably, all such multi-modal data is serialized into a flat sequence of tokens, which can be processed akin to text tokens (Section 11.7) or image patches (sec_vision-transformer) by transformers.

Before compelling success of pretraining transformers for multi-modal data, transformers were extensively pretrained with a wealth of text. Originally proposed for machine translation, the transformer architecture in Fig.11.7.1 consists of an encoder for representing input sequences and a decoder for generating target sequences. Primarily, transformers can be used in three different modes: *encoder-only, encoder-decoder*, and *decoder-only*. To conclude this chapter, we will review these three modes and explain the scalability in pretraining transformers.

11.8.1 Encoder-Only

When only the transformer encoder is used, a sequence of input tokens is converted into the same number of representations that can be further projected into output (e.g., classification). A transformer encoder consists of self-attention layers, where all input tokens attend to each other. For example, vision transformers depicted in fig_vit are encoder-only, converting a sequence of input image patches into the representation of a special "<cls>" token. Since this representation depends on all input tokens, it is further projected into classification labels. This design was inspired by an earlier encoder-only transformer pretrained on text: BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) (Devlin *et al.*, 2018).



Pretraining BERT

Figure 11.8.1 Left: Pretraining BERT with masked language modeling. Prediction of the masked love token depends on all input tokens before and after love. Right: Attention pattern in the transformer encoder. Each token along the vertical axis attends to all input tokens along the horizontal axis.

BERT is pretrained on text sequences using *masked language modeling*: input text with randomly masked tokens is fed into a transformer encoder to predict the masked tokens. As illustrated in Fig.11.8.1, an original text sequence "I", "love", "this", "red", "car" is prepended with the "<cls>" token, and the "<mask>" token randomly replaces "love"; then the crossentropy loss between the masked token "love" and its prediction is to be minimized during pretraining. Note that there is no constraint in the attention pattern of transformer encoders (right of Fig.11.8.1) so all tokens can attend to each other. Thus, prediction of "love" depends on input tokens before and after it in the sequence. This is why BERT is a "bidirectional encoder". Without need for manual labeling, large-scale text data from books and Wikipedia can be used for pretraining BERT.

Fine-Tuning BERT

The pretrained BERT can be *fine-tuned* to downstream encoding tasks involving single text or text pairs. During fine-tuning, additional layers can be added to BERT with randomized parameters: these parameters and those pretrained BERT parameters will be *updated* to fit training data of downstream tasks.



Figure 11.8.2 Fine-tuning BERT for sentiment analysis.

Fig.11.8.2 illustrates fine-tuning of BERT for sentiment analysis. The transformer encoder is a pretrained BERT, which takes a text sequence as input and feeds the "<cls>" representation (global representation of the input) into an additional fully connected layer to predict the sentiment. During fine-tuning, the cross-entropy loss between the prediction and the label on sentiment analysis data is minimized via gradient-based algorithms, where the additional layer is trained from scratch while pretrained parameters of BERT are updated. BERT does more than sentiment analysis. The general language representations learned by the 350-million-parameter BERT from 250 billion training tokens advanced the state of the art for natural language tasks such as single text classification, text pair classification or regression, text tagging, and question answering.

You may note that these downstream tasks include text pair understanding. BERT pretraining has another loss for predicting whether one sentence immediately follows the other. However, this loss was later found not useful when pretraining RoBERTa, a BERT variant of the same size, on 2000 billion tokens (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Other derivatives of BERT improved model architectures or pretraining objectives, such as ALBERT (enforcing parameter sharing) (Lan *et al.*, 2019), SpanBERT (representing and predicting spans of text) (Joshi *et al.*, 2020), Distil-BERT (lightweight via knowledge distillation) (Sanh *et al.*, 2019), and ELECTRA (replaced token detection) (Clark *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, BERT inspired transformer pretraining in computer vision, such as with vision transformers (Dosovitskiy *et al.*, 2021), Swin transformers (Liu *et al.*, 2021), and MAE (masked autoencoders) (He *et al.*, 2022).

11.8.2 Encoder-Decoder

Since a transformer encoder converts a sequence of input tokens into the same number of output representations, the encoder-only mode cannot generate a sequence of arbitrary length like in machine translation. Originally proposed for machine translation, the transformer architecture also has a decoder that autoregressively predicts the target sequence of arbitrary length, token by token, conditional on both encoder output and decoder output: (i) for conditioning on encoder output, encoder-decoder cross-attention (multi-head attention of decoder in Fig.11.7.1) allows target tokens to attend to *all* input tokens; (ii) conditioning on decoder in Fig.11.7.1), where any target token can only attend to *past* and *present* tokens in the target sequence.

To pretrain encoder-decoder transformers beyond human-labeled machine translation data, BART (Lewis *et al.*, 2019) and T5 (Raffel *et al.*, 2020) are two concurrently proposed encoder-decoder transformers pretrained on large-scale text corpora. Both attempt to reconstruct original text in their pretraining objectives, while the former emphasizes noising input (e.g., masking, deletion, permutation, and rotation) and the latter highlights multitask unification with comprehensive ablation studies.

Pretraining T5

As an example of the pretrained transformer encoder-decoder, T5 (Text-to-Text Transfer Transformer) unifies many tasks as the same text-to-text problem: for any task, the input of the encoder is a task description (e.g., "Summarize", ":") followed by task input (e.g., a sequence of tokens from an article), and the decoder predicts the task output (e.g., a sequence of tokens summarizing the input article). To perform as text-to-text, T5 is trained to generate some target text conditional on input text.





To obtain input and output from any original text, T5 is pretrained to predict consecutive spans. Specifically, tokens from text are randomly replaced by special tokens where each consecutive span is replaced by the same special token. Consider the example in Fig.11.8.3,

where the original text is "I", "love", "this", "red", "car". Tokens "love", "red", "car" are randomly replaced by special tokens. Since "red" and "car" are a consecutive span, they are replaced by the same special token. As a result, the input sequence is "I", "<X>", "this", "<Y>", and the target sequence is "<X>", "love", "<Y>", "red", "car", "<Z>", where "<Z>" is another special token marking the end. As shown in Fig.11.8.3, the decoder has a causal attention pattern to prevent itself from attending to future tokens during sequence prediction.

In T5, predicting consecutive span is also referred to as reconstructing corrupted text. With this objective, T5 is pretrained with 1000 billion tokens from the C4 (Colossal Clean Crawled Corpus) data, which consists of clean English text from the Web (Raffel *et al.*, 2020).

Fine-Tuning T5

Similar to BERT, T5 needs to be fine-tuned (updating T5 parameters) on task-specific training data to perform this task. Major differences from BERT fine-tuning include: (i) T5 input includes task descriptions; (ii) T5 can generate sequences with arbitrary length with its transformer decoder; (iii) No additional layers are required.



Figure 11.8.4 Fine-tuning T5 for text summarization. Both the task description and article tokens are fed into the transformer encoder for predicting the summary.

Fig.11.8.4 explains fine-tuning T5 using text summarization as an example. In this downstream task, the task description tokens "Summarize", ":" followed by the article tokens are input to the encoder.

After fine-tuning, the 11-billion-parameter T5 (T5-11B) achieved state-of-the-art results on multiple encoding (e.g., classification) and generation (e.g., summarization) benchmarks. Since released, T5 has been extensively used in later research. For example, switch transformers are designed based off T5 to activate a subset of the parameters for better computational efficiency (Fedus *et al.*, 2022). In a text-to-image model called Imagen, text is input to a frozen T5 encoder (T5-XXL) with 4.6 billion parameters (Saharia *et al.*, 2022). The photorealistic text-to-image examples in Fig.11.8.5 suggest that the T5 encoder alone may effectively represent text even without fine-tuning.

11.8.3 Decoder-Only

We have reviewed encoder-only and encoder-decoder transformers. Alternatively, decoderonly transformers remove the entire encoder and the decoder sublayer with the encoderdecoder cross-attention from the original encoder-decoder architecture depicted in Fig.11.7.1. Nowadays, decoder-only transformers have been the de facto architecture in large-scale language modeling (Section 9.3), which leverages the world's abundant unlabeled text corpora via self-supervised learning.



Teddy bears swimming at the Olympics 400m Butter- A cute corgi lives in a house made out of sushi. A cut fly event.

A cute sloth holding a small treasure chest. A bright golden glow is coming from the chest.

Figure 11.8.5

Text-to-image examples by the Imagen model, whose text encoder is from T5 (figures taken from Saharia et al. (2022)).

GPT and GPT-2

Using language modeling as the training objective, the GPT (generative pre-training) model chooses a transformer decoder as its backbone (Radford *et al.*, 2018).



Figure 11.8.6 Left: Pretraining GPT with language modeling. The target sequence is the input sequence shifted by one token. Both <bos> and <eos> are special tokens marking the beginning and end of sequences, respectively. Right: Attention pattern in the transformer decoder. Each token along the vertical axis attends to only its past tokens along the horizontal axis (causal).

Following the autoregressive language model training as described in Section 9.3.3, Fig.11.8.6 illustrates GPT pretraining with a transformer encoder, where the target sequence is the input sequence shifted by one token. Note that the *causal* attention pattern in the transformer decoder enforces that each token can only attend to its past tokens (token-by-token prediction cannot attend to future tokens).

GPT has 100 million parameters and needs to be fine-tuned for individual downstream tasks. A much larger transformer-decoder language model, GPT-2, was introduced one year later (Radford *et al.*, 2019). Compared with the original transformer decoder in GPT, pre-normalization (discussed in subsec_vit-encoder) and improved initialization and weight-scaling were adopted in GPT-2. Pretrained on 40 GB of text, the 1.5-billion-parameter GPT-2 obtained the state-of-the-art results on language modeling benchmarks and promising results on multiple other tasks *without updating the parameters or architecture*.

GPT-3

GPT-2 demonstrated potential of using the same language model for multiple tasks without updating the model. This is more computationally efficient than fine-tuning, which requires model updates via gradient computation.



Figure 11.8.7 Zero-shot, one-shot, few-shot learning with language models (transformer decoders). No parameter update is needed.

Before explaining the more computationally efficient use of language models without parameter update, recall Section 9.5 that a language model can be trained to generate a text sequence conditional on some prefix text sequence. Thus, a pretrained language model may generate the task output as a sequence *without parameter update*, conditional on an input sequence with the task description, task-specific input-output examples, and a prompt (task input). This learning paradigm can be further categorized into *zero-shot*, *one-shot*, and *few-shot*, when there is no, one, or a few task-specific input-output examples, respectively (Fig.11.8.7).



Figure 11.8.8 Aggregate performance of GPT-3 for all 42 accuracy-denominated benchmarks (caption adapted and figure taken from Brown et al. (2020)).

These three settings were tested in GPT-3 (Brown *et al.*, 2020), whose largest version uses data and model size about two orders of magnitude larger than those in GPT-2. GPT-3 uses the same transformer decoder architecture in its direct predecessor GPT-2 except that at-

tention patterns (right of Fig.11.8.6) are sparser at alternating layers. Pretrained with 300 billion tokens, GPT-3 performs better with larger model size, where few-shot performance increases most rapidly (Fig.11.8.8). Although enjoying computational efficiency, GPT-3 few-shot learning underperformed the state-of-the-art fine-tuned models that require model updates. Nonetheless, GPT-3 has powered a wide range of downstream applications across the Web¹⁵⁸ : it was generating 4.5 billions words every day around nine months of its API¹⁵⁹ release.



Fig.11.8.8 empirically demonstrates scalability of transformers in the GPT-3 language model. For language modeling, more comprehensive empirical studies on scalability of transformers had suggested training larger transformers with more data and compute (Kaplan *et al.*, 2020).





Transformer language model performance improves smoothly as we increase the model size, dataset size, and amount of compute used for training. For optimal performance all three factors must be scaled up in tandem. Empirical performance has a power-law relationship with each individual factor when not bottlenecked by the other two (caption adapted and figure taken from Kaplan et al. (2020)).

As shown in Fig.11.8.9, precise *power-law scaling* can be observed in the performance with respect to the model size (number of parameters, excluding embedding layers), dataset size (number of training tokens), and amount of training compute (PetaFLOP/s-days, excluding embedding layers). In general, increasing all these three factors in tandem leads to better performance. However, *how* to increase them in tandem still remains a matter of debate (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2022).





Besides increased performance, large models also enjoy better sample efficiency than small models. Fig.11.8.10 shows that large models need fewer training samples (tokens processed) to perform at the same level achieved by small models, and performance is scaled smoothly with compute.



159



Figure 11.8.1 GPT-3 performance (cross-entropy validation loss) follows a power-law trend with the amount of compute used for training. The power-law behavior observed in Kaplan et al. (2020) continues for an additional two orders of magnitude with only small deviations from the predicted curve. Embedding parameters are excluded from compute and parameter counts (caption adapted and figure taken from Brown et al. (2020)).

The empirical scaling behaviors in Kaplan *et al.* (2020) have been tested in subsequent large transformer models. For example, GPT-3 supported this hypothesis with two more orders of magnitude in Fig.11.8.11.

The scalability of transformers in the GPT series has inspired subsequent transformer language models. While the transformer decoder in GPT-3 was largely followed in OPT (Open Pretrained Transformers) (Zhang et al., 2022) using only 1/7th the carbon footprint of the former, the GPT-2 transformer decoder was used in training the 530-billion-parameter Megatron-Turing NLG (Smith et al., 2022) with 270 billion training tokens. Following the GPT-2 design, the 280-billion-parameter Gopher (Rae et al., 2021) pretrained with 300 billion tokens achieved state-of-the-art performance across the majority on about 150 diverse tasks. Inheriting the same architecture and using the same compute budget of Gopher, Chinchilla (Hoffmann et al., 2022) is a substantially smaller (70 billion parameters) model that trains much longer (1.4 trillion training tokens), outperforming Gopher on many tasks. To continue the scaling line of language modeling, PaLM (Pathway Language Model) (Chowdhery et al., 2022), a 540-billion-parameter transformer decoder with modified designs pretrained on 780 billion tokens, outperformed average human performance on the BIG-Bench benchmark (Srivastava et al., 2022). Further training PaLM on 38.5 billion tokens containing scientific and mathematical content results in Minerva (Lewkowycz et al., 2022), a large language model that can answer nearly a third of undergraduate-level problems that require quantitative reasoning, such as in physics, chemistry, biology, and economics.

11.8.5 Summary and Discussion

Transformers have been pretrained as encoder-only (e.g., BERT), encoder-decoder (e.g., T5), and decoder-only (e.g., GPT series). Pretrained models may be adapted to perform different tasks with model update (e.g., fine tuning) or not (e.g., few shot). Scalability of transformers suggests that better performance benefits from larger models, more training data, and more training compute. Since transformers were first designed and pretrained for text data, this section leans slightly towards natural language processing. Nonetheless, those models discussed

above can be often found in more recent models across multiple modalities. For example, (i) Chinchilla (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2022) was further extended to Flamingo (Alayrac *et al.*, 2022), a visual language model for few-shot learning; (ii) GPT-2 (Radford *et al.*, 2019) and the vision transformer encode text and images in CLIP (Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training) (Radford *et al.*, 2021), whose image and text embeddings were later adopted in the DALL-E 2 text-to-image system (Ramesh *et al.*, 2022). Although there has been no systematic studies on transformer scalability in multi-modal pretraining yet, a recent all-transformer text-to-image model, Parti (Yu *et al.*, 2022), shows potential of scalability across modalities: a larger Parti is more capable of high-fidelity image generation and content-rich text understanding (Fig.11.8.12).



A portrait photo of a kangaroo wearing an orange hoodie and blue sunglasses standing on the grass in front of the Sydney Opera House holding a sign on the chest that says Welcome Friends!

Figure 11.8.12 Image examples generated from the same text by the Parti model of increasing sizes (350M, 750M, 3B, 20B) (examples taken from Yu et al. (2022)).

Exercises

- 1. Is it possible to fine tune T5 using a minibatch consisting of different tasks? Why or why not? How about for GPT-2?
- 2. Given a powerful language model, what applications can you think of?
- 3. Say that you are asked to fine tune a language model to perform text classification by adding additional layers. Where will you add them? Why?
- 4. Consider sequence to sequence problems (e.g., machine translation) where the input sequence is always available throughout the target sequence prediction. What could be limitations of modeling with decoder-only transformers? Why?



If you read the book in sequence up to this point you already used a number of optimization algorithms to train deep learning models. They were the tools that allowed us to continue updating model parameters and to minimize the value of the loss function, as evaluated on the training set. Indeed, anyone content with treating optimization as a black box device to minimize objective functions in a simple setting might well content oneself with the knowledge that there exists an array of incantations of such a procedure (with names such as "SGD" and "Adam").

To do well, however, some deeper knowledge is required. Optimization algorithms are important for deep learning. On the one hand, training a complex deep learning model can take hours, days, or even weeks. The performance of the optimization algorithm directly affects the model's training efficiency. On the other hand, understanding the principles of different optimization algorithms and the role of their hyperparameters will enable us to tune the hyperparameters in a targeted manner to improve the performance of deep learning models.

In this chapter, we explore common deep learning optimization algorithms in depth. Almost all optimization problems arising in deep learning are *nonconvex*. Nonetheless, the design and analysis of algorithms in the context of *convex* problems have proven to be very instructive. It is for that reason that this chapter includes a primer on convex optimization and the proof for a very simple stochastic gradient descent algorithm on a convex objective function.

12.1 Optimization and Deep Learning

In this section, we will discuss the relationship between optimization and deep learning as well as the challenges of using optimization in deep learning. For a deep learning problem, we will usually define a *loss function* first. Once we have the loss function, we can use an optimization algorithm in attempt to minimize the loss. In optimization, a loss function is often referred to as the *objective function* of the optimization problem. By tradition and convention most optimization algorithms are concerned with *minimization*. If we ever need to maximize an objective there is a simple solution: just flip the sign on the objective.

12.1.1 Goal of Optimization

Although optimization provides a way to minimize the loss function for deep learning, in essence, the goals of optimization and deep learning are fundamentally different. The former is primarily concerned with minimizing an objective whereas the latter is concerned with finding a suitable model, given a finite amount of data. In Section 3.6, we discussed the difference between these two goals in detail. For instance, training error and generalization error generally differ: since the objective function of the optimization algorithm is usually a loss function based on the training dataset, the goal of optimization is to reduce the training error. However, the goal of deep learning (or more broadly, statistical inference) is to reduce

the generalization error. To accomplish the latter we need to pay attention to overfitting in addition to using the optimization algorithm to reduce the training error.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mpl_toolkits import mplot3d
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

To illustrate the aforementioned different goals, let's consider the empirical risk and the risk. As described in Section 4.7.3, the empirical risk is an average loss on the training dataset while the risk is the expected loss on the entire population of data. Below we define two functions: the risk function f and the empirical risk function g. Suppose that we have only a finite amount of training data. As a result, here g is less smooth than f.

```
def f(x):
    return x * np.cos(np.pi * x)
def g(x):
    return f(x) + 0.2 * np.cos(5 * np.pi * x)
```

The graph below illustrates that the minimum of the empirical risk on a training dataset may be at a different location from the minimum of the risk (generalization error).



12.1.2 Optimization Challenges in Deep Learning

In this chapter, we are going to focus specifically on the performance of optimization algorithms in minimizing the objective function, rather than a model's generalization error. In Section 3.1 we distinguished between analytical solutions and numerical solutions in optimization problems. In deep learning, most objective functions are complicated and do not have analytical solutions. Instead, we must use numerical optimization algorithms. The optimization algorithms in this chapter all fall into this category.

There are many challenges in deep learning optimization. Some of the most vexing ones are local minima, saddle points, and vanishing gradients. Let's have a look at them.

Local Minima

For any objective function f(x), if the value of f(x) at x is smaller than the values of f(x) at any other points in the vicinity of x, then f(x) could be a local minimum. If the value of f(x) at x is the minimum of the objective function over the entire domain, then f(x) is the global minimum.

For example, given the function

$$f(x) = x \cdot \cos(\pi x)$$
 for $-1.0 \le x \le 2.0$, (12.1.1)

we can approximate the local minimum and global minimum of this function.

```
x = np.arange(-1.0, 2.0, 0.01)
d2l.plot(x, [f(x), ], 'x', 'f(x)')
annotate('local minimum', (-0.3, -0.25), (-0.77, -1.0))
annotate('global minimum', (1.1, -0.95), (0.6, 0.8))
```



The objective function of deep learning models usually has many local optima. When the numerical solution of an optimization problem is near the local optimum, the numerical solution obtained by the final iteration may only minimize the objective function *locally*, rather than *globally*, as the gradient of the objective function's solutions approaches or becomes zero. Only some degree of noise might knock the parameter out of the local minimum. In fact, this is one of the beneficial properties of minibatch stochastic gradient descent where the natural variation of gradients over minibatches is able to dislodge the parameters from local minima.

Saddle Points

Besides local minima, saddle points are another reason for gradients to vanish. A *saddle point* is any location where all gradients of a function vanish but which is neither a global nor a local minimum. Consider the function $f(x) = x^3$. Its first and second derivative vanish for x = 0. Optimization might stall at this point, even though it is not a minimum.

```
x = np.arange(-2.0, 2.0, 0.01)
d2l.plot(x, [x**3], 'x', 'f(x)')
annotate('saddle point', (0, -0.2), (-0.52, -5.0))
```

Saddle points in higher dimensions are even more insidious, as the example below shows. Consider the function $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$. It has its saddle point at (0, 0). This is a maximum with respect to y and a minimum with respect to x. Moreover, it *looks* like a saddle, which is where this mathematical property got its name.





We assume that the input of a function is a k-dimensional vector and its output is a scalar, so its Hessian matrix will have k eigenvalues. The solution of the function could be a local minimum, a local maximum, or a saddle point at a position where the function gradient is zero:

- When the eigenvalues of the function's Hessian matrix at the zero-gradient position are all positive, we have a local minimum for the function.
- When the eigenvalues of the function's Hessian matrix at the zero-gradient position are all negative, we have a local maximum for the function.
- When the eigenvalues of the function's Hessian matrix at the zero-gradient position are negative and positive, we have a saddle point for the function.

For high-dimensional problems the likelihood that at least *some* of the eigenvalues are negative is quite high. This makes saddle points more likely than local minima. We will discuss some exceptions to this situation in the next section when introducing convexity. In short, convex functions are those where the eigenvalues of the Hessian are never negative. Sadly, though, most deep learning problems do not fall into this category. Nonetheless it is a great tool to study optimization algorithms.

Vanishing Gradients

Probably the most insidious problem to encounter is the vanishing gradient. Recall our commonlyused activation functions and their derivatives in Section 5.1.2. For instance, assume that we want to minimize the function $f(x) = \tanh(x)$ and we happen to get started at x = 4. As we can see, the gradient of f is close to nil. More specifically, $f'(x) = 1 - \tanh^2(x)$ and thus f'(4) = 0.0013. Consequently, optimization will get stuck for a long time before we make progress. This turns out to be one of the reasons that training deep learning models was quite tricky prior to the introduction of the ReLU activation function.

```
x = np.arange(-2.0, 5.0, 0.01)
d2l.plot(x, [np.tanh(x)], 'x', 'f(x)')
annotate('vanishing gradient', (4, 1), (2, 0.0))
```



As we saw, optimization for deep learning is full of challenges. Fortunately there exists a robust range of algorithms that perform well and that are easy to use even for beginners. Furthermore, it is not really necessary to find *the* best solution. Local optima or even approximate solutions thereof are still very useful.

12.1.3 Summary

- Minimizing the training error does *not* guarantee that we find the best set of parameters to minimize the generalization error.
- The optimization problems may have many local minima.
- The problem may have even more saddle points, as generally the problems are not convex.
- Vanishing gradients can cause optimization to stall. Often a reparameterization of the problem helps. Good initialization of the parameters can be beneficial, too.

Exercises

- 1. Consider a simple MLP with a single hidden layer of, say, *d* dimensions in the hidden layer and a single output. Show that for any local minimum there are at least *d*! equivalent solutions that behave identically.
- 2. Assume that we have a symmetric random matrix M where the entries $M_{ij} = M_{ji}$ are each drawn from some probability distribution p_{ij} . Furthermore assume that $p_{ij}(x) = p_{ij}(-x)$, i.e., that the distribution is symmetric (see e.g., Wigner (1958) for details).
 - 1. Prove that the distribution over eigenvalues is also symmetric. That is, for any eigenvector v the probability that the associated eigenvalue λ satisfies $P(\lambda > 0) = P(\lambda < 0)$.
 - 2. Why does the above *not* imply $P(\lambda > 0) = 0.5$?

- 3. What other challenges involved in deep learning optimization can you think of?
- 4. Assume that you want to balance a (real) ball on a (real) saddle.
 - 1. Why is this hard?
 - 2. Can you exploit this effect also for optimization algorithms?

Discussions¹⁶¹

12.2 Convexity

Convexity plays a vital role in the design of optimization algorithms. This is largely due to the fact that it is much easier to analyze and test algorithms in such a context. In other words, if the algorithm performs poorly even in the convex setting, typically we should not hope to see great results otherwise. Furthermore, even though the optimization problems in deep learning are generally nonconvex, they often exhibit some properties of convex ones near local minima. This can lead to exciting new optimization variants such as (Izmailov *et al.*, 2018).

```
%matplotlib inline
from mpl_toolkits import mplot3d
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

12.2.1 Definitions

Before convex analysis, we need to define *convex sets* and *convex functions*. They lead to mathematical tools that are commonly applied to machine learning.

Convex Sets

Sets are the basis of convexity. Simply put, a set \mathcal{X} in a vector space is *convex* if for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ the line segment connecting a and b is also in \mathcal{X} . In mathematical terms this means that for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \in \mathcal{X}$$
 whenever $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$. (12.2.1)

This sounds a bit abstract. Consider Fig.12.2.1. The first set is not convex since there exist line segments that are not contained in it. The other two sets suffer no such problem.





1 The first set is nonconvex and the other two are convex.

161

Definitions on their own are not particularly useful unless you can do something with them. In this case we can look at intersections as shown in Fig.12.2.2. Assume that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are convex sets. Then $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is also convex. To see this, consider any $a, b \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$. Since \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are convex, the line segments connecting a and b are contained in both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . Given that, they also need to be contained in $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$, thus proving our theorem.





We can strengthen this result with little effort: given convex sets \mathcal{X}_i , their intersection $\cap_i \mathcal{X}_i$ is convex. To see that the converse is not true, consider two disjoint sets $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$. Now pick $a \in \mathcal{X}$ and $b \in \mathcal{Y}$. The line segment in Fig.12.2.3 connecting a and b needs to contain some part that is neither in \mathcal{X} nor in \mathcal{Y} , since we assumed that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \emptyset$. Hence the line segment is not in $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ either, thus proving that in general unions of convex sets need not be convex.





Typically the problems in deep learning are defined on convex sets. For instance, \mathbb{R}^d , the set of *d*-dimensional vectors of real numbers, is a convex set (after all, the line between any two points in \mathbb{R}^d remains in \mathbb{R}^d). In some cases we work with variables of bounded length, such as balls of radius *r* as defined by $\{\boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \| \boldsymbol{x} \| \leq r\}$.

Convex Functions

Now that we have convex sets we can introduce *convex functions* f. Given a convex set \mathcal{X} , a function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is *convex* if for all $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ and for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(x') \ge f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x'). \tag{12.2.2}$$

To illustrate this let's plot a few functions and check which ones satisfy the requirement. Below we define a few functions, both convex and nonconvex.


As expected, the cosine function is *nonconvex*, whereas the parabola and the exponential function are. Note that the requirement that \mathcal{X} is a convex set is necessary for the condition to make sense. Otherwise the outcome of $f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x')$ might not be well defined.

Jensen's Inequality

Given a convex function f, one of the most useful mathematical tools is *Jensen's inequality*. It amounts to a generalization of the definition of convexity:

$$\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f(x_{i}) \ge f\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right) \text{ and } E_{X}[f(X)] \ge f\left(E_{X}[X]\right), \quad (12.2.3)$$

where α_i are nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ and X is a random variable. In other words, the expectation of a convex function is no less than the convex function of an expectation, where the latter is usually a simpler expression. To prove the first inequality we repeatedly apply the definition of convexity to one term in the sum at a time.

One of the common applications of Jensen's inequality is to bound a more complicated expression by a simpler one. For example, its application can be with regard to the log-likelihood of partially observed random variables. That is, we use

$$E_{Y \sim P(Y)}[-\log P(X \mid Y)] \ge -\log P(X), \tag{12.2.4}$$

since $\int P(Y)P(X \mid Y)dY = P(X)$. This can be used in variational methods. Here Y is typically the unobserved random variable, P(Y) is the best guess of how it might be distributed, and P(X) is the distribution with Y integrated out. For instance, in clustering Y might be the cluster labels and $P(X \mid Y)$ is the generative model when applying cluster labels.

12.2.2 Properties

Convex functions have many useful properties. We describe a few commonly-used ones below.

Local Minima Are Global Minima

First and foremost, the local minima of convex functions are also the global minima. We can prove it by contradiction as follows.

Consider a convex function f defined on a convex set \mathcal{X} . Suppose that $x^* \in \mathcal{X}$ is a local minimum: there exists a small positive value p so that for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ that satisfies $0 < |x - x^*| \le p$ we have $f(x^*) < f(x)$.

Assume that the local minimum x^* is not the global minumum of f: there exists $x' \in \mathcal{X}$ for which $f(x') < f(x^*)$. There also exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such as $\lambda = 1 - \frac{p}{|x^* - x'|}$ so that $0 < |\lambda x^* + (1 - \lambda)x' - x^*| \le p$.

However, according to the definition of convex functions, we have

$$f(\lambda x^{*} + (1 - \lambda)x') \leq \lambda f(x^{*}) + (1 - \lambda)f(x') < \lambda f(x^{*}) + (1 - \lambda)f(x^{*}) = f(x^{*}),$$
(12.2.5)

which contradicts with our statement that x^* is a local minimum. Therefore, there does not exist $x' \in \mathcal{X}$ for which $f(x') < f(x^*)$. The local minimum x^* is also the global minimum.

For instance, the convex function $f(x) = (x - 1)^2$ has a local minimum at x = 1, which is also the global minimum.

```
f = lambda x: (x - 1) ** 2
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plot([x, segment], [f(x), f(segment)], 'x', 'f(x)')
```



The fact that the local minima for convex functions are also the global minima is very convenient. It means that if we minimize functions we cannot "get stuck". Note, though, that this does not mean that there cannot be more than one global minimum or that there might even exist one. For instance, the function $f(x) = \max(|x| - 1, 0)$ attains its minimum value over the interval [-1, 1]. Conversely, the function $f(x) = \exp(x)$ does not attain a minimum value on \mathbb{R} : for $x \to -\infty$ it asymptotes to 0, but there is no x for which f(x) = 0.

Below Sets of Convex Functions Are Convex

We can conveniently define convex sets via *below sets* of convex functions. Concretely, given a convex function f defined on a convex set \mathcal{X} , any below set

$$\mathcal{S}_b := \{ x | x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } f(x) \le b \}$$
(12.2.6)

is convex.

Let's prove this quickly. Recall that for any $x, x' \in S_b$ we need to show that $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x' \in S_b$ as long as $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Since $f(x) \leq b$ and $f(x') \leq b$, by the definition of convexity we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x') \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(x') \le b.$$
(12.2.7)

Convexity and Second Derivatives

Whenever the second derivative of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ exists it is very easy to check whether f is convex. All we need to do is check whether the Hessian of f is positive semidefinite: $\nabla^2 f \succeq 0$, i.e., denoting the Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f$ by $H, x^\top H x \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For instance, the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||x||^2$ is convex since $\nabla^2 f = \mathbf{1}$, i.e., its Hessian is an identity matrix.

Formally, a twice-differentiable one-dimensional function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if its second derivative $f'' \ge 0$. For any twice-differentiable multi-dimensional function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, it is convex if and only if its Hessian $\nabla^2 f \succeq 0$.

First, we need to prove the one-dimensional case. To see that convexity of f implies $f'' \ge 0$ we use the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2}f(x+\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2}f(x-\epsilon) \ge f\left(\frac{x+\epsilon}{2} + \frac{x-\epsilon}{2}\right) = f(x).$$
(12.2.8)

Since the second derivative is given by the limit over finite differences it follows that

$$f''(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\epsilon) + f(x-\epsilon) - 2f(x)}{\epsilon^2} \ge 0.$$
 (12.2.9)

To see that $f'' \ge 0$ implies that f is convex we use the fact that $f'' \ge 0$ implies that f' is a monotonically nondecreasing function. Let a < x < b be three points in \mathbb{R} , where $x = (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. According to the mean value theorem, there exist $\alpha \in [a, x]$ and $\beta \in [x, b]$ such that

$$f'(\alpha) = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \text{ and } f'(\beta) = \frac{f(b) - f(x)}{b - x}.$$
 (12.2.10)

By monotonicity $f'(\beta) \ge f'(\alpha)$, hence

$$\frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b) + \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) \ge f(x).$$
(12.2.11)

Since $x = (1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b$, we have

$$\lambda f(b) + (1 - \lambda)f(a) \ge f((1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b), \tag{12.2.12}$$

thus proving convexity.

Second, we need a lemma before proving the multi-dimensional case: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(z\boldsymbol{x} + (1-z)\boldsymbol{y}) \text{ where } z \in [0,1]$$
 (12.2.13)

is convex.

To prove that convexity of f implies that g is convex, we can show that for all $a, b, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ (thus $0 \le \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \le 1$)

$$g(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)$$

$$= f((\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b) \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda a - (1 - \lambda)b) \mathbf{y})$$

$$= f(\lambda (a\mathbf{x} + (1 - a)\mathbf{y}) + (1 - \lambda) (b\mathbf{x} + (1 - b)\mathbf{y}))$$

$$\leq \lambda f(a\mathbf{x} + (1 - a)\mathbf{y}) + (1 - \lambda)f(b\mathbf{x} + (1 - b)\mathbf{y})$$

$$= \lambda g(a) + (1 - \lambda)g(b).$$
(12.2.14)

To prove the converse, we can show that for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$f(\lambda \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \lambda)\boldsymbol{y})$$

= $g(\lambda \cdot 1 + (1 - \lambda) \cdot 0)$
 $\leq \lambda g(1) + (1 - \lambda)g(0)$
= $\lambda f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \lambda)g(\boldsymbol{y}).$ (12.2.15)

Finally, using the lemma above and the result of the one-dimensional case, the multi-dimensional case can be proven as follows. A multi-dimensional function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $g(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(zx + (1 - z)y)$, where $z \in [0, 1]$, is convex. According to the one-dimensional case, this holds if and only if $g'' = (x - y)^\top H(x - y) \ge 0$ $(H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla^2 f)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which is equivalent to $H \succeq 0$ per the definition of positive semidefinite matrices.

12.2.3 Constraints

One of the nice properties of convex optimization is that it allows us to handle constraints efficiently. That is, it allows us to solve *constrained optimization* problems of the form:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{\boldsymbol{x}}{\operatorname{minimize}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \text{subject to } c_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \end{array}$$

$$(12.2.16)$$

where f is the objective and the functions c_i are constraint functions. To see what this does consider the case where $c_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 - 1$. In this case the parameters \boldsymbol{x} are constrained to the unit ball. If a second constraint is $c_2(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{v}^\top \boldsymbol{x} + b$, then this corresponds to all \boldsymbol{x} lying on a half-space. Satisfying both constraints simultaneously amounts to selecting a slice of a ball.

Lagrangian

In general, solving a constrained optimization problem is difficult. One way of addressing it stems from physics with a rather simple intuition. Imagine a ball inside a box. The ball will roll to the place that is lowest and the forces of gravity will be balanced out with the forces that the sides of the box can impose on the ball. In short, the gradient of the objective function (i.e., gravity) will be offset by the gradient of the constraint function (the ball need to remain inside the box by virtue of the walls "pushing back"). Note that some constraints may not be active: the walls that are not touched by the ball will not be able to exert any force on the ball.

Skipping over the derivation of the *Lagrangian L*, the above reasoning can be expressed via the following saddle point optimization problem:

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i c_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ where } \alpha_i \ge 0.$$
 (12.2.17)

Here the variables α_i (i = 1, ..., n) are the so-called *Lagrange multipliers* that ensure that constraints are properly enforced. They are chosen just large enough to ensure that $c_i(x) \leq 0$ for all *i*. For instance, for any *x* where $c_i(x) < 0$ naturally, we'd end up picking $\alpha_i = 0$. Moreover, this is a saddle point optimization problem where one wants to maximize *L* with respect to all α_i and simultaneously minimize it with respect to *x*. There is a rich body of literature explaining how to arrive at the function $L(x, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$. For our purposes it is sufficient to know that the saddle point of *L* is where the original constrained optimization problem is solved optimally.

Penalties

One way of satisfying constrained optimization problems at least *approximately* is to adapt the Lagrangian L. Rather than satisfying $c_i(x) \le 0$ we simply add $\alpha_i c_i(x)$ to the objective function f(x). This ensures that the constraints will not be violated too badly.

In fact, we have been using this trick all along. Consider weight decay in Section 3.7. In it

we add $\frac{\lambda}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|^2$ to the objective function to ensure that \boldsymbol{w} does not grow too large. From the constrained optimization point of view we can see that this will ensure that $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 - r^2 \leq 0$ for some radius r. Adjusting the value of λ allows us to vary the size of w.

In general, adding penalties is a good way of ensuring approximate constraint satisfaction. In practice this turns out to be much more robust than exact satisfaction. Furthermore, for nonconvex problems many of the properties that make the exact approach so appealing in the convex case (e.g., optimality) no longer hold.

Projections

An alternative strategy for satisfying constraints is projections. Again, we encountered them before, e.g., when dealing with gradient clipping in Section 9.5. There we ensured that a gradient has length bounded by θ via

$$\boldsymbol{g} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \min(1, \theta / \|\boldsymbol{g}\|). \tag{12.2.18}$$

This turns out to be a *projection* of g onto the ball of radius θ . More generally, a projection on a convex set \mathcal{X} is defined as

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{X}} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'\|, \qquad (12.2.19)$$

which is the closest point in \mathcal{X} to \boldsymbol{x} .



Figure 12.2.4 Convex Projections.

The mathematical definition of projections may sound a bit abstract. Fig.12.2.4 explains it somewhat more clearly. In it we have two convex sets, a circle and a diamond. Points inside both sets (yellow) remain unchanged during projections. Points outside both sets (black) are projected to the points inside the sets (red) that are closet to the original points (black). While for ℓ_2 balls this leaves the direction unchanged, this need not be the case in general, as can be seen in the case of the diamond.

One of the uses for convex projections is to compute sparse weight vectors. In this case we project weight vectors onto an ℓ_1 ball, which is a generalized version of the diamond case in Fig.12.2.4.

12.2.4 Summary

In the context of deep learning the main purpose of convex functions is to motivate optimization algorithms and help us understand them in detail. In the following we will see how gradient descent and stochastic gradient descent can be derived accordingly.

- Intersections of convex sets are convex. Unions are not.
- The expectation of a convex function is no less than the convex function of an expectation (Jensen's inequality).
- A twice-differentiable function is convex if and only if its Hessian (a matrix of second derivatives) is positive semidefinite.

- Convex constraints can be added via the Lagrangian. In practice we may simply add them with a penalty to the objective function.
- Projections map to points in the convex set closest to the original points.

Exercises

- 1. Assume that we want to verify convexity of a set by drawing all lines between points within the set and checking whether the lines are contained.
 - 1. Prove that it is sufficient to check only the points on the boundary.
 - 2. Prove that it is sufficient to check only the vertices of the set.
- 2. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_p[r] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \| \boldsymbol{x} \|_p \leq r \}$ the ball of radius r using the p-norm. Prove that $\mathcal{B}_p[r]$ is convex for all $p \geq 1$.
- 3. Given convex functions f and g, show that $\max(f, g)$ is convex, too. Prove that $\min(f, g)$ is not convex.
- 4. Prove that the normalization of the softmax function is convex. More specifically prove the convexity of $f(x) = \log \sum_{i} \exp(x_i)$.
- 5. Prove that linear subspaces, i.e., $\mathcal{X} = \{x | Wx = b\}$, are convex sets.
- 6. Prove that in the case of linear subspaces with b = 0 the projection $\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be written as Mx for some matrix M.
- 7. Show that for twice-differentiable convex functions f we can write $f(x + \epsilon) = f(x) + \epsilon f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2 f''(x + \xi)$ for some $\xi \in [0, \epsilon]$.
- 8. Given a vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $||w||_1 > 1$ compute the projection on the ℓ_1 unit ball.
 - 1. As an intermediate step write out the penalized objective $\|\boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{w}'\|^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{w}'\|_1$ and compute the solution for a given $\lambda > 0$.
 - 2. Can you find the "right" value of λ without a lot of trial and error?
- Given a convex set X and two vectors x and y, prove that projections never increase distances, i.e., ||x − y|| ≥ ||Proj_X(x) − Proj_X(y)||.

Discussions¹⁶²

12.3 Gradient Descent

In this section we are going to introduce the basic concepts underlying *gradient descent*. Although it is rarely used directly in deep learning, an understanding of gradient descent is key to understanding stochastic gradient descent algorithms. For instance, the optimization problem might diverge due to an overly large learning rate. This phenomenon can already be seen in gradient descent. Likewise, preconditioning is a common technique in gradient descent and carries over to more advanced algorithms. Let's start with a simple special case.

12.3.1 One-Dimensional Gradient Descent



Gradient descent in one dimension is an excellent example to explain why the gradient descent algorithm may reduce the value of the objective function. Consider some continuously differentiable real-valued function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Using a Taylor expansion we obtain

$$f(x+\epsilon) = f(x) + \epsilon f'(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$
(12.3.1)

That is, in first-order approximation $f(x + \epsilon)$ is given by the function value f(x) and the first derivative f'(x) at x. It is not unreasonable to assume that for small ϵ moving in the direction of the negative gradient will decrease f. To keep things simple we pick a fixed step size $\eta > 0$ and choose $\epsilon = -\eta f'(x)$. Plugging this into the Taylor expansion above we get

$$f(x - \eta f'(x)) = f(x) - \eta f'^{2}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\eta^{2} f'^{2}(x)).$$
(12.3.2)

If the derivative $f'(x) \neq 0$ does not vanish we make progress since $\eta f'^2(x) > 0$. Moreover, we can always choose η small enough for the higher-order terms to become irrelevant. Hence we arrive at

$$f(x - \eta f'(x)) \lessapprox f(x). \tag{12.3.3}$$

This means that, if we use

$$x \leftarrow x - \eta f'(x) \tag{12.3.4}$$

to iterate x, the value of function f(x) might decline. Therefore, in gradient descent we first choose an initial value x and a constant $\eta > 0$ and then use them to continuously iterate x until the stop condition is reached, for example, when the magnitude of the gradient |f'(x)| is small enough or the number of iterations has reached a certain value.

For simplicity we choose the objective function $f(x) = x^2$ to illustrate how to implement gradient descent. Although we know that x = 0 is the solution to minimize f(x), we still use this simple function to observe how x changes.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()

def f(x): # Objective function
   return x ** 2

def f_grad(x): # Gradient (derivative) of the objective function
   return 2 * x
```

Next, we use x = 10 as the initial value and assume $\eta = 0.2$. Using gradient descent to iterate x for 10 times we can see that, eventually, the value of x approaches the optimal solution.

```
def gd(eta, f_grad):
    x = 10.0
    results = [x]
    for i in range(10):
        x -= eta * f_grad(x)
        results.append(float(x))
    print(f'epoch 10, x: {x:f}')
    return results
results = gd(0.2, f_grad)
```

epoch 10, x: 0.060466

The progress of optimizing over x can be plotted as follows.

```
def show_trace(results, f):
    n = max(abs(min(results)), abs(max(results)))
    f_line = np.arange(-n, n, 0.01)
    d21.set_figsize()
    d21.plot([f_line, results], [[f(x) for x in f_line], [
        f(x) for x in results]], 'x', 'f(x)', fmts=['-', '-o'])
```

show_trace(results, f)



Learning Rate

The learning rate η can be set by the algorithm designer. If we use a learning rate that is too small, it will cause x to update very slowly, requiring more iterations to get a better solution. To show what happens in such a case, consider the progress in the same optimization problem for $\eta = 0.05$. As we can see, even after 10 steps we are still very far from the optimal solution.

```
show_trace(gd(0.05, f_grad), f)
```

epoch 10, x: 3.486784



Conversely, if we use an excessively high learning rate, $|\eta f'(x)|$ might be too large for the first-order Taylor expansion formula. That is, the term $\mathcal{O}(\eta^2 f'^2(x))$ in (12.3.2) might become significant. In this case, we cannot guarantee that the iteration of x will be able to lower the value of f(x). For example, when we set the learning rate to $\eta = 1.1$, x overshoots the optimal solution x = 0 and gradually diverges.

```
show_trace(gd(1.1, f_grad), f)
```

epoch 10, x: 61.917364



Local Minima

To illustrate what happens for nonconvex functions consider the case of $f(x) = x \cdot \cos(cx)$ for some constant *c*. This function has infinitely many local minima. Depending on our choice of the learning rate and depending on how well conditioned the problem is, we may end up with one of many solutions. The example below illustrates how an (unrealistically) high learning rate will lead to a poor local minimum.

```
c = np.array(0.15 * np.pi)
def f(x): # Objective function
    return x * np.cos(c * x)
def f_grad(x): # Gradient of the objective function
    return np.cos(c * x) - c * x * np.sin(c * x)
show_trace(gd(2, f_grad), f)
```

epoch 10, x: -1.528165





Now that we have a better intuition of the univariate case, let's consider the situation where $\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]^{\top}$. That is, the objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ maps vectors into

scalars. Correspondingly its gradient is multivariate, too. It is a vector consisting of d partial derivatives:

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[\frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_d}\right]^\top.$$
(12.3.5)

Each partial derivative element $\partial f(x)/\partial x_i$ in the gradient indicates the rate of change of f at x with respect to the input x_i . As before in the univariate case we can use the corresponding Taylor approximation for multivariate functions to get some idea of what we should do. In particular, we have that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^2).$$
(12.3.6)

In other words, up to second-order terms in ϵ the direction of steepest descent is given by the negative gradient $-\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$. Choosing a suitable learning rate $\eta > 0$ yields the prototypical gradient descent algorithm:

$$\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (12.3.7)

To see how the algorithm behaves in practice let's construct an objective function $f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ with a two-dimensional vector $x = [x_1, x_2]^{\top}$ as input and a scalar as output. The gradient is given by $\nabla f(x) = [2x_1, 4x_2]^{\top}$. We will observe the trajectory of x by gradient descent from the initial position [-5, -2].

To begin with, we need two more helper functions. The first uses an update function and applies it 20 times to the initial value. The second helper visualizes the trajectory of x.

```
def train_2d(trainer, steps=20, f_grad=None): #@save
    """Optimize a 2D objective function with a customized trainer."""
   # `s1` and `s2` are internal state variables that will be used in Momentum,
→ adagrad, RMSProp
   x1, x2, s1, s2 = -5, -2, 0, 0
    results = [(x1, x2)]
    for i in range(steps):
        if f_grad:
            x1, x2, s1, s2 = trainer(x1, x2, s1, s2, f_grad)
        else:
            x1, x2, s1, s2 = trainer(x1, x2, s1, s2)
       results.append((x1, x2))
   print(f'epoch {i + 1}, x1: {float(x1):f}, x2: {float(x2):f}')
    return results
def show_trace_2d(f, results): #@save
    ""Show the trace of 2D variables during optimization."""
   d21.set_figsize()
   d2l.plt.plot(*zip(*results), '-o', color='#ff7f0e')
   x1, x2 = np.meshgrid(np.arange(-5.5, 1.0, 0.1),
                         np.arange(-3.0, 1.0, 0.1))
    d2l.plt.contour(x1, x2, f(x1, x2), colors='#1f77b4')
   d2l.plt.xlabel('x1')
    d2l.plt.ylabel('x2')
```

Next, we observe the trajectory of the optimization variable x for learning rate $\eta = 0.1$. We can see that after 20 steps the value of x approaches its minimum at [0, 0]. Progress is fairly well-behaved albeit rather slow.

```
def f_2d(x1, x2): # Objective function
    return x1 ** 2 + 2 * x2 ** 2
def f_2d_grad(x1, x2): # Gradient of the objective function
    return (2 * x1, 4 * x2)
def gd_2d(x1, x2, s1, s2, f_grad):
    g1, g2 = f_grad(x1, x2)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
return (x1 - eta * g1, x2 - eta * g2, 0, 0)
eta = 0.1
show_trace_2d(f_2d, train_2d(gd_2d, f_grad=f_2d_grad))
```

epoch 20, x1: -0.057646, x2: -0.000073



12.3.3 Adaptive Methods

As we could see in Section 12.3.1, getting the learning rate η "just right" is tricky. If we pick it too small, we make little progress. If we pick it too large, the solution oscillates and in the worst case it might even diverge. What if we could determine η automatically or get rid of having to select a learning rate at all? Second-order methods that look not only at the value and gradient of the objective function but also at its *curvature* can help in this case. While these methods cannot be applied to deep learning directly due to the computational cost, they provide useful intuition into how to design advanced optimization algorithms that mimic many of the desirable properties of the algorithms outlined below.

Newton's Method

Reviewing the Taylor expansion of some function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ there is no need to stop after the first term. In fact, we can write it as

$$f(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\top} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\top} \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^3).$$
(12.3.8)

To avoid cumbersome notation we define $\boldsymbol{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x})$ to be the Hessian of f, which is a $d \times d$ matrix. For small d and simple problems \boldsymbol{H} is easy to compute. For deep neural networks, on the other hand, \boldsymbol{H} may be prohibitively large, due to the cost of storing $\mathcal{O}(d^2)$ entries. Furthermore it may be too expensive to compute via backpropagation. For now let's ignore such considerations and look at what algorithm we would get.

After all, the minimum of f satisfies $\nabla f = 0$. Following calculus rules in Section 2.4.3, by taking derivatives of (12.3.8) with regard to ϵ and ignoring higher-order terms we arrive at

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = 0$$
 and hence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = -\mathbf{H}^{-1}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}).$ (12.3.9)

That is, we need to invert the Hessian H as part of the optimization problem.

As a simple example, for $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$ we have $\nabla f(x) = x$ and H = 1. Hence for any x we obtain $\epsilon = -x$. In other words, a *single* step is sufficient to converge perfectly without the

need for any adjustment! Alas, we got a bit lucky here: the Taylor expansion was exact since $f(x + \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \epsilon x + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2$.

Let's see what happens in other problems. Given a convex hyperbolic cosine function $f(x) = \cosh(cx)$ for some constant c, we can see that the global minimum at x = 0 is reached after a few iterations.

```
c = np.array(0.5)
def f(x): # Objective function
    return np.cosh(c * x)
def f_grad(x): # Gradient of the objective function
    return c * np.sinh(c * x)
def f_hess(x): # Hessian of the objective function
    return c**2 * np.cosh(c * x)
def newton(eta=1):
    x = 10.0
    results = [x]
    for i in range(10):
       x = eta * f_grad(x) / f_hess(x)
       results.append(float(x))
    print('epoch 10, x:', x)
    return results
show_trace(newton(), f)
```

```
epoch 10, x: 0.0
```



Now let's consider a *nonconvex* function, such as $f(x) = x \cos(cx)$ for some constant c. After all, note that in Newton's method we end up dividing by the Hessian. This means that if the second derivative is *negative* we may walk into the direction of *increasing* the value of f. That is a fatal flaw of the algorithm. Let's see what happens in practice.

```
c = np.array(0.15 * np.pi)
def f(x): # Objective function
    return x * np.cos(c * x)
def f_grad(x): # Gradient of the objective function
    return np.cos(c * x) - c * x * np.sin(c * x)
def f_hess(x): # Hessian of the objective function
    return - 2 * c * np.sin(c * x) - x * c**2 * np.cos(c * x)
show_trace(newton(), f)
```



This went spectacularly wrong. How can we fix it? One way would be to "fix" the Hessian by taking its absolute value instead. Another strategy is to bring back the learning rate. This seems to defeat the purpose, but not quite. Having second-order information allows us to be cautious whenever the curvature is large and to take longer steps whenever the objective function is flatter. Let's see how this works with a slightly smaller learning rate, say $\eta = 0.5$. As we can see, we have quite an efficient algorithm.

show_trace(newton(0.5), f)

epoch 10, x: 7.26986





We only analyze the convergence rate of Newton's method for some convex and three times differentiable objective function f, where the second derivative is nonzero, i.e., f'' > 0. The multivariate proof is a straightforward extension of the one-dimensional argument below and omitted since it does not help us much in terms of intuition.

Denote by $x^{(k)}$ the value of x at the k^{th} iteration and let $e^{(k)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^{(k)} - x^*$ be the distance from optimality at the k^{th} iteration. By Taylor expansion we have that the condition $f'(x^*) = 0$ can be written as

$$0 = f'(x^{(k)} - e^{(k)}) = f'(x^{(k)}) - e^{(k)}f''(x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(e^{(k)})^2 f'''(\xi^{(k)}), \qquad (12.3.10)$$

which holds for some $\xi^{(k)} \in [x^{(k)} - e^{(k)}, x^{(k)}]$. Dividing the above expansion by $f''(x^{(k)})$

yields

$$e^{(k)} - \frac{f'(x^{(k)})}{f''(x^{(k)})} = \frac{1}{2} (e^{(k)})^2 \frac{f'''(\xi^{(k)})}{f''(x^{(k)})}.$$
 (12.3.11)

Recall that we have the update $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - f'(x^{(k)})/f''(x^{(k)})$. Plugging in this update equation and taking the absolute value of both sides, we have

$$\left| e^{(k+1)} \right| = \frac{1}{2} (e^{(k)})^2 \frac{\left| f^{\prime\prime\prime}(\xi^{(k)}) \right|}{f^{\prime\prime}(x^{(k)})}.$$
(12.3.12)

Consequently, whenever we are in a region of bounded $|f'''(\xi^{(k)})|/(2f''(x^{(k)})) \leq c$, we have a quadratically decreasing error

$$\left|e^{(k+1)}\right| \le c(e^{(k)})^2.$$
 (12.3.13)

As an aside, optimization researchers call this *linear* convergence, whereas a condition such as $|e^{(k+1)}| \leq \alpha |e^{(k)}|$ would be called a *constant* rate of convergence. Note that this analysis comes with a number of caveats. First, we do not really have much of a guarantee when we will reach the region of rapid convergence. Instead, we only know that once we reach it, convergence will be very quick. Second, this analysis requires that f is well-behaved up to higher-order derivatives. It comes down to ensuring that f does not have any "surprising" properties in terms of how it might change its values.

Preconditioning

Quite unsurprisingly computing and storing the full Hessian is very expensive. It is thus desirable to find alternatives. One way to improve matters is *preconditioning*. It avoids computing the Hessian in its entirety but only computes the *diagonal* entries. This leads to update algorithms of the form

$$\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} - \eta \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{H})^{-1} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (12.3.14)

While this is not quite as good as the full Newton's method, it is still much better than not using it. To see why this might be a good idea consider a situation where one variable denotes height in millimeters and the other one denotes height in kilometers. Assuming that for both the natural scale is in meters, we have a terrible mismatch in parameterizations. Fortunately, using preconditioning removes this. Effectively preconditioning with gradient descent amounts to selecting a different learning rate for each variable (coordinate of vector x). As we will see later, preconditioning drives some of the innovation in stochastic gradient descent optimization algorithms.

Gradient Descent with Line Search

One of the key problems in gradient descent is that we might overshoot the goal or make insufficient progress. A simple fix for the problem is to use line search in conjunction with gradient descent. That is, we use the direction given by $\nabla f(x)$ and then perform binary search as to which learning rate η minimizes $f(x - \eta \nabla f(x))$.

This algorithm converges rapidly (for an analysis and proof see e.g., Boyd and Vandenberghe (2004)). However, for the purpose of deep learning this is not quite so feasible, since each step of the line search would require us to evaluate the objective function on the entire dataset. This is way too costly to accomplish.

12.3.4 Summary

- Learning rates matter. Too large and we diverge, too small and we do not make progress.
- Gradient descent can get stuck in local minima.
- In high dimensions adjusting the learning rate is complicated.
- Preconditioning can help with scale adjustment.
- Newton's method is a lot faster once it has started working properly in convex problems.
- · Beware of using Newton's method without any adjustments for nonconvex problems.

Exercises

- 1. Experiment with different learning rates and objective functions for gradient descent.
- 2. Implement line search to minimize a convex function in the interval [a, b].
 - 1. Do you need derivatives for binary search, i.e., to decide whether to pick [a, (a+b)/2] or [(a+b)/2, b].
 - 2. How rapid is the rate of convergence for the algorithm?
 - 3. Implement the algorithm and apply it to minimizing $\log(\exp(x) + \exp(-2x 3))$.
- Design an objective function defined on ℝ² where gradient descent is exceedingly slow. Hint: scale different coordinates differently.
- 4. Implement the lightweight version of Newton's method using preconditioning:
 - 1. Use diagonal Hessian as preconditioner.
 - 2. Use the absolute values of that rather than the actual (possibly signed) values.
 - 3. Apply this to the problem above.
- 5. Apply the algorithm above to a number of objective functions (convex or not). What happens if you rotate coordinates by 45 degrees?

Discussions¹⁶³

163

12.4 Stochastic Gradient Descent

In earlier chapters we kept using stochastic gradient descent in our training procedure, however, without explaining why it works. To shed some light on it, we just described the basic principles of gradient descent in Section 12.3. In this section, we go on to discuss *stochastic gradient descent* in greater detail.

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

12.4.1 Stochastic Gradient Updates

In deep learning, the objective function is usually the average of the loss functions for each example in the training dataset. Given a training dataset of n examples, we assume that $f_i(x)$ is the loss function with respect to the training example of index i, where x is the parameter vector. Then we arrive at the objective function

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (12.4.1)

The gradient of the objective function at x is computed as

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(12.4.2)

If gradient descent is used, the computational cost for each independent variable iteration is O(n), which grows linearly with n. Therefore, when the training dataset is larger, the cost of gradient descent for each iteration will be higher.

Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) reduces computational cost at each iteration. At each iteration of stochastic gradient descent, we uniformly sample an index $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ for data examples at random, and compute the gradient $\nabla f_i(x)$ to update x:

$$\boldsymbol{x} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{x}),$$
 (12.4.3)

where η is the learning rate. We can see that the computational cost for each iteration drops from $\mathcal{O}(n)$ of the gradient descent to the constant $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Moreover, we want to emphasize that the stochastic gradient $\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x})$ is an unbiased estimate of the full gradient $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ because

$$\mathbb{E}_i \nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(12.4.4)

This means that, on average, the stochastic gradient is a good estimate of the gradient.

Now, we will compare it with gradient descent by adding random noise with a mean of 0 and a variance of 1 to the gradient to simulate a stochastic gradient descent.

```
def f(x1, x2): # Objective function
    return x1 ** 2 + 2 * x2 ** 2
def f_grad(x1, x2): # Gradient of the objective function
    return 2 * x1, 4 * x2
```

```
def sgd(x1, x2, s1, s2, f_grad):
    g1, g2 = f_grad(x1, x2)
    # Simulate noisy gradient
    g1 += np.random.normal(0.0, 1, (1,))
    g2 += np.random.normal(0.0, 1, (1,))
    eta_t = eta * lr()
    return (x1 - eta_t * g1, x2 - eta_t * g2, 0, 0)
```

```
def constant_lr():
    return 1
eta = 0.1
lr = constant_lr # Constant learning rate
d2l.show_trace_2d(f, d2l.train_2d(sgd, steps=50, f_grad=f_grad))
```



As we can see, the trajectory of the variables in the stochastic gradient descent is much more noisy than the one we observed in gradient descent in Section 12.3. This is due to the stochastic nature of the gradient. That is, even when we arrive near the minimum, we are still subject to the uncertainty injected by the instantaneous gradient via $\eta \nabla f_i(x)$. Even after 50 steps the quality is still not so good. Even worse, it will not improve after additional steps (we encourage you to experiment with a larger number of steps to confirm this). This leaves us with the only alternative: change the learning rate η . However, if we pick this too small, we will not make any meaningful progress initially. On the other hand, if we pick it too large, we will not get a good solution, as seen above. The only way to resolve these conflicting goals is to reduce the learning rate *dynamically* as optimization progresses.

This is also the reason for adding a learning rate function 1r into the sgd step function. In the example above any functionality for learning rate scheduling lies dormant as we set the associated 1r function to be constant.

12.4.2 Dynamic Learning Rate

Replacing η with a time-dependent learning rate $\eta(t)$ adds to the complexity of controlling convergence of an optimization algorithm. In particular, we need to figure out how rapidly η should decay. If it is too quick, we will stop optimizing prematurely. If we decrease it too slowly, we waste too much time on optimization. The following are a few basic strategies that are used in adjusting η over time (we will discuss more advanced strategies later):

$$\eta(t) = \eta_i \text{ if } t_i \le t \le t_{i+1} \quad \text{piecewise constant} \\ \eta(t) = \eta_0 \cdot e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{exponential decay} \\ \eta(t) = \eta_0 \cdot (\beta t + 1)^{-\alpha} \quad \text{polynomial decay}$$
(12.4.5)

In the first *piecewise constant* scenario we decrease the learning rate, e.g., whenever progress in optimization stalls. This is a common strategy for training deep networks. Alternatively we could decrease it much more aggressively by an *exponential decay*. Unfortunately this often leads to premature stopping before the algorithm has converged. A popular choice is *polynomial decay* with $\alpha = 0.5$. In the case of convex optimization there are a number of proofs that show that this rate is well behaved.

Let's see what the exponential decay looks like in practice.

```
def exponential_lr():
    # Global variable that is defined outside this function and updated inside
    global t
    t += 1
    return math.exp(-0.1 * t)

t = 1
lr = exponential_lr
d2l.show_trace_2d(f, d2l.train_2d(sgd, steps=1000, f_grad=f_grad))
```

epoch 1000, x1: -0.820458, x2: 0.004701



As expected, the variance in the parameters is significantly reduced. However, this comes at the expense of failing to converge to the optimal solution x = (0, 0). Even after 1000 iteration steps are we are still very far away from the optimal solution. Indeed, the algorithm fails to converge at all. On the other hand, if we use a polynomial decay where the learning rate decays with the inverse square root of the number of steps, convergence gets better after only 50 steps.

```
def polynomial_lr():
    # Global variable that is defined outside this function and updated inside
    global t
    t += 1
    return (1 + 0.1 * t) ** (-0.5)

t = 1
lr = polynomial_lr
d2l.show_trace_2d(f, d2l.train_2d(sgd, steps=50, f_grad=f_grad))
```

epoch 50, x1: 0.025029, x2: 0.115820



There exist many more choices for how to set the learning rate. For instance, we could start with a small rate, then rapidly ramp up and then decrease it again, albeit more slowly. We

could even alternate between smaller and larger learning rates. There exists a large variety of such schedules. For now let's focus on learning rate schedules for which a comprehensive theoretical analysis is possible, i.e., on learning rates in a convex setting. For general nonconvex problems it is very difficult to obtain meaningful convergence guarantees, since in general minimizing nonlinear nonconvex problems is NP hard. For a survey see e.g., the excellent lecture notes¹⁶⁴ of Tibshirani 2015.



The following convergence analysis of stochastic gradient descent for convex objective functions is optional and primarily serves to convey more intuition about the problem. We limit ourselves to one of the simplest proofs (Nesterov and Vial, 2000). Significantly more advanced proof techniques exist, e.g., whenever the objective function is particularly well behaved.

Suppose that the objective function $f(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{x})$ is convex in \boldsymbol{x} for all $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. More concretely, we consider the stochastic gradient descent update:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_t - \eta_t \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (12.4.6)$$

where $f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x})$ is the objective function with respect to the training example $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t$ drawn from some distribution at step t and \boldsymbol{x} is the model parameter. Denote by

$$R(\boldsymbol{x}) = E_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}[f(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{x})] \tag{12.4.7}$$

the expected risk and by R^* its minimum with regard to x. Last let x^* be the minimizer (we assume that it exists within the domain where x is defined). In this case we can track the distance between the current parameter x_t at time t and the risk minimizer x^* and see whether it improves over time:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2 = \|\boldsymbol{x}_t - \eta_t \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2$$

$$= \|\boldsymbol{x}_t - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2 + \eta_t^2 \|\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x})\|^2 - 2\eta_t \langle \boldsymbol{x}_t - \boldsymbol{x}^*, \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}) \rangle.$$
(12.4.8)

We assume that the ℓ_2 norm of stochastic gradient $\partial_x f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x})$ is bounded by some constant L, hence we have that

$$\eta_t^2 \|\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x})\|^2 \le \eta_t^2 L^2.$$
(12.4.9)

We are mostly interested in how the distance between x_t and x^* changes *in expectation*. In fact, for any specific sequence of steps the distance might well increase, depending on whichever ξ_t we encounter. Hence we need to bound the dot product. Since for any convex function f it holds that $f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle f'(x), y - x \rangle$ for all x and y, by convexity we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}^*) \ge f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_t) + \langle \boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{x}_t, \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_t) \rangle.$$
(12.4.10)

Plugging both inequalities (12.4.9) and (12.4.10) into (12.4.8) we obtain a bound on the distance between parameters at time t + 1 as follows:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} \ge 2\eta_{t}(f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{t}) - f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}, \boldsymbol{x}^{*})) - \eta_{t}^{2}L^{2}.$$
 (12.4.11)

This means that we make progress as long as the difference between current loss and the optimal loss outweighs $\eta_t L^2/2$. Since this difference is bound to converge to zero it follows that the learning rate η_t also needs to *vanish*.

Next we take expectations over (12.4.11). This yields

$$E\left[\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2}\right] - E\left[\|\boldsymbol{x}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2}\right] \ge 2\eta_{t}[E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_{t})] - R^{*}] - \eta_{t}^{2}L^{2}.$$
 (12.4.12)



The last step involves summing over the inequalities for $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$. Since the sum telescopes and by dropping the lower term we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|^2 \ge 2\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t\right) [E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)] - R^*] - L^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^2.$$
 (12.4.13)

Note that we exploited that x_1 is given and thus the expectation can be dropped. Last define

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t \boldsymbol{x}_t}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t}.$$
(12.4.14)

Since

$$E\left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t}\right) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)]}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t} = E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)], \quad (12.4.15)$$

by Jensen's inequality (setting i = t, $\alpha_i = \eta_t / \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t$ in (12.2.3)) and convexity of R it follows that $E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)] \ge E[R(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})]$, thus

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t E[R(\boldsymbol{x}_t)] \ge \sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_t E[R(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}})].$$
(12.4.16)

Plugging this into the inequality (12.4.13) yields the bound

$$[E[\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}]] - R^* \le \frac{r^2 + L^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t^2}{2 \sum_{t=1}^T \eta_t},$$
(12.4.17)

where $r^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}^*||^2$ is a bound on the distance between the initial choice of parameters and the final outcome. In short, the speed of convergence depends on how the norm of stochastic gradient is bounded (*L*) and how far away from optimality the initial parameter value is (*r*). Note that the bound is in terms of $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$ rather than \boldsymbol{x}_T . This is the case since $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is a smoothed version of the optimization path. Whenever *r*, *L*, and *T* are known we can pick the learning rate $\eta = r/(L\sqrt{T})$. This yields as upper bound rL/\sqrt{T} . That is, we converge with rate $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{T})$ to the optimal solution.

12.4.4 Stochastic Gradients and Finite Samples

So far we have played a bit fast and loose when it comes to talking about stochastic gradient descent. We posited that we draw instances x_i , typically with labels y_i from some distribution p(x, y) and that we use this to update the model parameters in some manner. In particular, for a finite sample size we simply argued that the discrete distribution $p(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_i}(x) \delta_{y_i}(y)$ for some functions δ_{x_i} and δ_{y_i} allows us to perform stochastic gradient descent over it.

However, this is not really what we did. In the toy examples in the current section we simply added noise to an otherwise non-stochastic gradient, i.e., we pretended to have pairs (x_i, y_i) . It turns out that this is justified here (see the exercises for a detailed discussion). More troubling is that in all previous discussions we clearly did not do this. Instead we iterated over all instances *exactly once*. To see why this is preferable consider the converse, namely that we are sampling *n* observations from the discrete distribution with replacement. The probability of choosing an element *i* at random is 1/n. Thus to choose it *at least* once is

$$P(\text{choose } i) = 1 - P(\text{omit } i) = 1 - (1 - 1/n)^n \approx 1 - e^{-1} \approx 0.63.$$
 (12.4.18)

A similar reasoning shows that the probability of picking some sample (i.e., training example) *exactly once* is given by

$$\binom{n}{1}\frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \approx e^{-1} \approx 0.37.$$
 (12.4.19)

Sampling with replacement leads to an increased variance and decreased data efficiency relative to sampling *without replacement*. Hence, in practice we perform the latter (and this is the default choice throughout this book). Last note that repeated passes through the training dataset traverse it in a *different* random order.

12.4.5 Summary

- For convex problems we can prove that for a wide choice of learning rates stochastic gradient descent will converge to the optimal solution.
- For deep learning this is generally not the case. However, the analysis of convex problems gives us useful insight into how to approach optimization, namely to reduce the learning rate progressively, albeit not too quickly.
- Problems occur when the learning rate is too small or too large. In practice a suitable learning rate is often found only after multiple experiments.
- When there are more examples in the training dataset, it costs more to compute each iteration for gradient descent, so stochastic gradient descent is preferred in these cases.
- Optimality guarantees for stochastic gradient descent are in general not available in nonconvex cases since the number of local minima that require checking might well be exponential.

Exercises

- 1. Experiment with different learning rate schedules for stochastic gradient descent and with different numbers of iterations. In particular, plot the distance from the optimal solution (0,0) as a function of the number of iterations.
- 2. Prove that for the function $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ adding normal noise to the gradient is equivalent to minimizing a loss function $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}) = (x_1 w_1)^2 + 2(x_2 w_2)^2$ where \boldsymbol{x} is drawn from a normal distribution.
- 3. Compare convergence of stochastic gradient descent when you sample from $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ with replacement and when you sample without replacement.
- 4. How would you change the stochastic gradient descent solver if some gradient (or rather some coordinate associated with it) was consistently larger than all the other gradients?
- 5. Assume that $f(x) = x^2(1 + \sin x)$. How many local minima does f have? Can you change f in such a way that to minimize it one needs to evaluate all the local minima?

Discussions¹⁶⁵

165

12.5 Minibatch Stochastic Gradient Descent

So far we encountered two extremes in the approach to gradient-based learning: Section 12.3 uses the full dataset to compute gradients and to update parameters, one pass at a time. Conversely Section 12.4 processes one training example at a time to make progress. Either of them has its own drawbacks. Gradient descent is not particularly *data efficient* whenever data is very similar. Stochastic gradient descent is not particularly *computationally efficient* since CPUs and GPUs cannot exploit the full power of vectorization. This suggests that there

might be something in between, and in fact, that is what we have been using so far in the examples we discussed.

12.5.1 Vectorization and Caches

At the heart of the decision to use minibatches is computational efficiency. This is most easily understood when considering parallelization to multiple GPUs and multiple servers. In this case we need to send at least one image to each GPU. With 8 GPUs per server and 16 servers we already arrive at a minibatch size no smaller than 128.

Things are a bit more subtle when it comes to single GPUs or even CPUs. These devices have multiple types of memory, often multiple types of computational units and different bandwidth constraints between them. For instance, a CPU has a small number of registers and then the L1, L2, and in some cases even L3 cache (which is shared among different processor cores). These caches are of increasing size and latency (and at the same time they are of decreasing bandwidth). Suffice to say, the processor is capable of performing many more operations than what the main memory interface is able to provide.

First, a 2GHz CPU with 16 cores and AVX-512 vectorization can process up to $2 \cdot 10^9 \cdot 16 \cdot 32 = 10^{12}$ bytes per second. The capability of GPUs easily exceeds this number by a factor of 100. On the other hand, a midrange server processor might not have much more than 100 GB/s bandwidth, i.e., less than one tenth of what would be required to keep the processor fed. To make matters worse, not all memory access is created equal: memory interfaces are typically 64 bit wide or wider (e.g., on GPUs up to 384 bit), hence reading a single byte incurs the cost of a much wider access.

Second, there is significant overhead for the first access whereas sequential access is relatively cheap (this is often called a burst read). There are many more things to keep in mind, such as caching when we have multiple sockets, chiplets, and other structures. See this Wikipedia article¹⁶⁶ for a more in-depth discussion.



The way to alleviate these constraints is to use a hierarchy of CPU caches that are actually fast is enough to supply the processor with data. This is *the* driving force behind batching in deep learning. To keep matters simple, consider matrix-matrix multiplication, say A = BC. We have a number of options for calculating A. For instance, we could try the following:

- 1. We could compute $A_{ij} = B_{i,:}C_{:,j}$, i.e., we could compute it elementwise by means of dot products.
- We could compute A:,j = BC:,j, i.e., we could compute it one column at a time. Likewise we could compute A one row Ai,: at a time.
- 3. We could simply compute A = BC.
- 4. We could break **B** and **C** into smaller block matrices and compute **A** one block at a time.

If we follow the first option, we will need to copy one row and one column vector into the CPU each time we want to compute an element A_{ij} . Even worse, due to the fact that matrix elements are aligned sequentially we are thus required to access many disjoint locations for one of the two vectors as we read them from memory. The second option is much more favorable. In it, we are able to keep the column vector $C_{:,j}$ in the CPU cache while we keep on traversing through B. This halves the memory bandwidth requirement with correspondingly faster access. Of course, option 3 is most desirable. Unfortunately, most matrices might not entirely fit into cache (this is what we are discussing after all). However, option 4 offers a practically useful alternative: we can move blocks of the matrix into cache and multiply them locally. Optimized libraries take care of this for us. Let's have a look at how efficient these operations are in practice.

Beyond computational efficiency, the overhead introduced by Python and by the deep learning framework itself is considerable. Recall that each time we execute a command the Python interpreter sends a command to the MXNet engine which needs to insert it into the computational graph and deal with it during scheduling. Such overhead can be quite detrimental. In short, it is highly advisable to use vectorization (and matrices) whenever possible.

```
%matplotlib inline
import time
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
A = np.zeros((256, 256))
B = np.random.normal(0, 1, (256, 256))
C = np.random.normal(0, 1, (256, 256))
```

Since we will benchmark the running time frequently in the rest of the book, let's define a timer.

```
class Timer: #@save
    """Record multiple running times."""
   def __init__(self):
       self.times = []
       self.start()
    def start(self):
        """Start the timer."""
        self.tik = time.time()
    def stop(self):
        """Stop the timer and record the time in a list."""
        self.times.append(time.time() - self.tik)
        return self.times[-1]
    def avg(self):
        """Return the average time."""
        return sum(self.times) / len(self.times)
    def sum(self):
        """Return the sum of time."""
        return sum(self.times)
    def cumsum(self):
         ""Return the accumulated time."""
        return np.array(self.times).cumsum().tolist()
timer = Timer()
```

Element-wise assignment simply iterates over all rows and columns of B and C respectively to assign the value to A.

67.52705645561218

A faster strategy is to perform column-wise assignment.

```
# Compute A = BC one column at a time
timer.start()
for j in range(256):
        A[:, j] = np.dot(B, C[:, j])
A.wait_to_read()
timer.stop()
```

0.21108603477478027

Last, the most effective manner is to perform the entire operation in one block. Note that multiplying any two matrices $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ takes approximately 2mnp floating point operations, when scalar multiplication and addition are counted as separate operations (fused in practice). Thus, multiplying two 256×256 matrices takes 0.03 billion floating point operations. Let's see what the respective speed of the operations is.

```
# Compute A = BC in one go
timer.start()
A = np.dot(B, C)
A.wait_to_read()
timer.stop()
gigaflops = [0.03 / i for i in timer.times]
print(f'performance in Gigaflops: element {gigaflops[0]:.3f}, '
f'column {gigaflops[1]:.3f}, full {gigaflops[2]:.3f}')
```

performance in Gigaflops: element 0.000, column 0.142, full 8.912

12.5.2 Minibatches

In the past we took it for granted that we would read *minibatches* of data rather than single observations to update parameters. We now give a brief justification for it. Processing single observations requires us to perform many single matrix-vector (or even vector-vector) multiplications, which is quite expensive and which incurs a significant overhead on behalf of the underlying deep learning framework. This applies both to evaluating a network when applied to data (often referred to as inference) and when computing gradients to update parameters. That is, this applies whenever we perform $w \leftarrow w - \eta_t g_t$ where

$$\boldsymbol{g}_t = \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \tag{12.5.1}$$

We can increase the *computational* efficiency of this operation by applying it to a minibatch of observations at a time. That is, we replace the gradient g_t over a single observation by one over a small batch

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{t} = \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{t}|} \sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{t}} f(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w})$$
(12.5.2)

Let's see what this does to the statistical properties of g_t : since both x_t and also all elements of the minibatch \mathcal{B}_t are drawn uniformly at random from the training set, the expectation of the gradient remains unchanged. The variance, on the other hand, is reduced significantly. Since the minibatch gradient is composed of $b := |\mathcal{B}_t|$ independent gradients which are being averaged, its standard deviation is reduced by a factor of $b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This, by itself, is a good thing, since it means that the updates are more reliably aligned with the full gradient.

Naively this would indicate that choosing a large minibatch \mathcal{B}_t would be universally desirable. Alas, after some point, the additional reduction in standard deviation is minimal when compared to the linear increase in computational cost. In practice we pick a minibatch that is large enough to offer good computational efficiency while still fitting into the memory of

a GPU. To illustrate the savings let's have a look at some code. In it we perform the same matrix-matrix multiplication, but this time broken up into "minibatches" of 64 columns at a time.

performance in Gigaflops: block 7.905

As we can see, the computation on the minibatch is essentially as efficient as on the full matrix. A word of caution is in order. In Section 8.5 we used a type of regularization that was heavily dependent on the amount of variance in a minibatch. As we increase the latter, the variance decreases and with it the benefit of the noise-injection due to batch normalization. See e.g., Ioffe (2017) for details on how to rescale and compute the appropriate terms.

12.5.3 Reading the Dataset

Let's have a look at how minibatches are efficiently generated from data. In the following we use a dataset developed by NASA to test the wing noise from different aircraft¹⁶⁷ to compare these optimization algorithms. For convenience we only use the first 1,500 examples. The data is whitened for preprocessing, i.e., we remove the mean and rescale the variance to 1 per coordinate.

12.5.4 Implementation from Scratch

Recall the minibatch stochastic gradient descent implementation from Section 3.4. In the following we provide a slightly more general implementation. For convenience it has the same call signature as the other optimization algorithms introduced later in this chapter. Specifically, we add the status input states and place the hyperparameter in dictionary hyperparams. In addition, we will average the loss of each minibatch example in the training function, so the gradient in the optimization algorithm does not need to be divided by the batch size.

```
def sgd(params, states, hyperparams):
    for p in params:
        p[:] -= hyperparams['lr'] * p.grad
```

Next, we implement a generic training function to facilitate the use of the other optimization algorithms introduced later in this chapter. It initializes a linear regression model and can be used to train the model with minibatch stochastic gradient descent and other algorithms introduced subsequently.

```
#@save
def train_ch11(trainer_fn, states, hyperparams, data_iter,
               feature_dim, num_epochs=2):
    # Initialization
    w = np.random.normal(scale=0.01, size=(feature_dim, 1))
    b = np.zeros(1)
    w.attach_grad()
    b.attach_grad()
    net, loss = lambda X: d2l.linreg(X, w, b), d2l.squared_loss
    # Train
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss'
                            xlim=[0, num_epochs], ylim=[0.22, 0.35])
    n, timer = 0, d21.Timer()
    for _ in range(num_epochs):
        for X, y in data_iter:
            with autograd.record():
                1 = loss(net(X), y).mean()
            1.backward()
            trainer_fn([w, b], states, hyperparams)
            n += X.shape[0]
            if n % 200 == 0:
                timer.stop()
                animator.add(n/X.shape[0]/len(data_iter),
                              (d2l.evaluate_loss(net, data_iter, loss),))
                timer.start()
    print(f'loss: {animator.Y[0][-1]:.3f}, {timer.avg():.3f} sec/epoch')
    return timer.cumsum(), animator.Y[0]
```

Let's see how optimization proceeds for batch gradient descent. This can be achieved by setting the minibatch size to 1500 (i.e., to the total number of examples). As a result the model parameters are updated only once per epoch. There is little progress. In fact, after 6 steps progress stalls.

```
def train_sgd(lr, batch_size, num_epochs=2):
    data_iter, feature_dim = get_data_ch11(batch_size)
    return train_ch11(
        sgd, None, {'lr': lr}, data_iter, feature_dim, num_epochs)
gd_res = train_sgd(1, 1500, 10)
```

```
loss: 0.254, 0.125 sec/epoch
```



When the batch size equals 1, we use stochastic gradient descent for optimization. For simplicity of implementation we picked a constant (albeit small) learning rate. In stochastic gradient descent, the model parameters are updated whenever an example is processed. In our case this amounts to 1500 updates per epoch. As we can see, the decline in the value of the objective function slows down after one epoch. Although both the procedures processed 1500 examples within one epoch, stochastic gradient descent consumes more time than gradient descent in our experiment. This is because stochastic gradient descent updated the parameters more frequently and since it is less efficient to process single observations one at a time.

```
sgd_res = train_sgd(0.005, 1)
```

```
loss: 0.243, 1.794 sec/epoch
```



Finally, when the batch size equals 100, we use minibatch stochastic gradient descent for optimization. The time required per epoch is shorter than the time needed for stochastic gradient descent and the time for batch gradient descent.

```
mini1_res = train_sgd(.4, 100)
```

```
loss: 0.246, 0.014 sec/epoch
```



Reducing the batch size to 10, the time for each epoch increases because the workload for each batch is less efficient to execute.

mini2_res = train_sgd(.05, 10)

```
loss: 0.243, 0.064 sec/epoch
```

Now we can compare the time vs. loss for the previous four experiments. As can be seen, although stochastic gradient descent converges faster than GD in terms of number of examples processed, it uses more time to reach the same loss than GD because computing the gradient example by example is not as efficient. Minibatch stochastic gradient descent is able to trade-off convergence speed and computation efficiency. A minibatch size of 10 is more



efficient than stochastic gradient descent; a minibatch size of 100 even outperforms GD in terms of runtime.





In Gluon, we can use the Trainer class to call optimization algorithms. This is used to implement a generic training function. We will use this throughout the current chapter.

```
#@save
def train_concise_ch11(tr_name, hyperparams, data_iter, num_epochs=2):
   # Initialization
   net = nn.Sequential()
   net.add(nn.Dense(1))
   net.initialize(init.Normal(sigma=0.01))
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), tr_name, hyperparams)
   loss = gluon.loss.L2Loss()
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss',
                            xlim=[0, num_epochs], ylim=[0.22, 0.35])
   n, timer = 0, d2l.Timer()
    for _ in range(num_epochs):
        for X, y in data_iter:
            with autograd.record():
                l = loss(net(X), y)
           l.backward()
            trainer.step(X.shape[0])
            n += X.shape[0]
            if n % 200 == 0:
                timer.stop()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Using Gluon to repeat the last experiment shows identical behavior.

```
data_iter, _ = get_data_ch11(10)
train_concise_ch11('sgd', {'learning_rate': 0.05}, data_iter)
```







- Vectorization makes code more efficient due to reduced overhead arising from the deep learning framework and due to better memory locality and caching on CPUs and GPUs.
- There is a trade-off between statistical efficiency arising from stochastic gradient descent and computational efficiency arising from processing large batches of data at a time.
- Minibatch stochastic gradient descent offers the best of both worlds: computational and statistical efficiency.
- In minibatch stochastic gradient descent we process batches of data obtained by a random permutation of the training data (i.e., each observation is processed only once per epoch, albeit in random order).
- It is advisable to decay the learning rates during training.
- In general, minibatch stochastic gradient descent is faster than stochastic gradient descent and gradient descent for convergence to a smaller risk, when measured in terms of clock time.

Exercises

- 1. Modify the batch size and learning rate and observe the rate of decline for the value of the objective function and the time consumed in each epoch.
- 2. Read the MXNet documentation and use the Trainer class set_learning_rate function to reduce the learning rate of the minibatch stochastic gradient descent to 1/10 of its previous value after each epoch.

- 3. Compare minibatch stochastic gradient descent with a variant that actually *samples with replacement* from the training set. What happens?
- 4. An evil genie replicates your dataset without telling you (i.e., each observation occurs twice and your dataset grows to twice its original size, but nobody told you). How does the behavior of stochastic gradient descent, minibatch stochastic gradient descent and that of gradient descent change?

Discussions¹⁶⁸



12.6 Momentum

In Section 12.4 we reviewed what happens when performing stochastic gradient descent, i.e., when performing optimization where only a noisy variant of the gradient is available. In particular, we noticed that for noisy gradients we need to be extra cautious when it comes to choosing the learning rate in the face of noise. If we decrease it too rapidly, convergence stalls. If we are too lenient, we fail to converge to a good enough solution since noise keeps on driving us away from optimality.

12.6.1 Basics

In this section, we will explore more effective optimization algorithms, especially for certain types of optimization problems that are common in practice.

Leaky Averages

The previous section saw us discussing minibatch SGD as a means for accelerating computation. It also had the nice side-effect that averaging gradients reduced the amount of variance. The minibatch stochastic gradient descent can be calculated by:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{t,t-1} = \partial_{\boldsymbol{w}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_t|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} f(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_t|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}_t} \boldsymbol{h}_{i,t-1}.$$
 (12.6.1)

To keep the notation simple, here we used $h_{i,t-1} = \partial_w f(x_i, w_{t-1})$ as the stochastic gradient descent for sample *i* using the weights updated at time t - 1. It would be nice if we could benefit from the effect of variance reduction even beyond averaging gradients on a minibatch. One option to accomplish this task is to replace the gradient computation by a "leaky average":

$$v_t = \beta v_{t-1} + g_{t,t-1} \tag{12.6.2}$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$. This effectively replaces the instantaneous gradient by one that's been averaged over multiple *past* gradients. v is called *velocity*. It accumulates past gradients similar to how a heavy ball rolling down the objective function landscape integrates over past forces. To see what is happening in more detail let's expand v_t recursively into

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{t} = \beta^{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{t-2} + \beta \boldsymbol{g}_{t-1,t-2} + \boldsymbol{g}_{t,t-1} = \dots, = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \beta^{\tau} \boldsymbol{g}_{t-\tau,t-\tau-1}.$$
 (12.6.3)

Large β amounts to a long-range average, whereas small β amounts to only a slight correction relative to a gradient method. The new gradient replacement no longer points into the direction of steepest descent on a particular instance any longer but rather in the direction of a weighted average of past gradients. This allows us to realize most of the benefits of averaging

over a batch without the cost of actually computing the gradients on it. We will revisit this averaging procedure in more detail later.

The above reasoning formed the basis for what is now known as *accelerated* gradient methods, such as gradients with momentum. They enjoy the additional benefit of being much more effective in cases where the optimization problem is ill-conditioned (i.e., where there are some directions where progress is much slower than in others, resembling a narrow canyon). Furthermore, they allow us to average over subsequent gradients to obtain more stable directions of descent. Indeed, the aspect of acceleration even for noise-free convex problems is one of the key reasons why momentum works and why it works so well.

As one would expect, due to its efficacy momentum is a well-studied subject in optimization for deep learning and beyond. See e.g., the beautiful expository article¹⁶⁹ by Goh (2017) for an in-depth analysis and interactive animation. It was proposed by Polyak (1964). Nesterov (2018) has a detailed theoretical discussion in the context of convex optimization. Momentum in deep learning has been known to be beneficial for a long time. See e.g., the discussion by Sutskever *et al.* (2013) for details.

An Ill-conditioned Problem

To get a better understanding of the geometric properties of the momentum method we revisit gradient descent, albeit with a significantly less pleasant objective function. Recall that in Section 12.3 we used $f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$, i.e., a moderately distorted ellipsoid objective. We distort this function further by stretching it out in the x_1 direction via

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0.1x_1^2 + 2x_2^2. \tag{12.6.4}$$

As before f has its minimum at (0, 0). This function is *very* flat in the direction of x_1 . Let's see what happens when we perform gradient descent as before on this new function. We pick a learning rate of 0.4.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
eta = 0.4
def f_2d(x1, x2):
    return 0.1 * x1 ** 2 + 2 * x2 ** 2
def gd_2d(x1, x2, s1, s2):
    return (x1 - eta * 0.2 * x1, x2 - eta * 4 * x2, 0, 0)
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(gd_2d))
```

```
epoch 20, x1: -0.943467, x2: -0.000073
```

By construction, the gradient in the x_2 direction is *much* higher and changes much more rapidly than in the horizontal x_1 direction. Thus we are stuck between two undesirable choices: if we pick a small learning rate we ensure that the solution does not diverge in the x_2 direction but we are saddled with slow convergence in the x_1 direction. Conversely, with a large learning rate we progress rapidly in the x_1 direction but diverge in x_2 . The example below illustrates what happens even after a slight increase in learning rate from 0.4 to 0.6. Convergence in the x_1 direction improves but the overall solution quality is much worse.

```
eta = 0.6
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(gd_2d))
```





epoch 20, x1: -0.387814, x2: -1673.365109





The momentum method allows us to solve the gradient descent problem described above. Looking at the optimization trace above we might intuit that averaging gradients over the past would work well. After all, in the x_1 direction this will aggregate well-aligned gradients, thus increasing the distance we cover with every step. Conversely, in the x_2 direction where gradients oscillate, an aggregate gradient will reduce step size due to oscillations that cancel each other out. Using v_t instead of the gradient g_t yields the following update equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{v}_t \leftarrow \beta \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{g}_{t,t-1}, \\ & \boldsymbol{x}_t \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} - \eta_t \boldsymbol{v}_t. \end{aligned}$$
 (12.6.5)

Note that for $\beta = 0$ we recover regular gradient descent. Before delving deeper into the mathematical properties let's have a quick look at how the algorithm behaves in practice.

```
def momentum_2d(x1, x2, v1, v2):
    v1 = beta * v1 + 0.2 * x1
    v2 = beta * v2 + 4 * x2
    return x1 - eta * v1, x2 - eta * v2, v1, v2
eta, beta = 0.6, 0.5
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(momentum_2d))
```

```
epoch 20, x1: 0.007188, x2: 0.002553
```

As we can see, even with the same learning rate that we used before, momentum still converges well. Let's see what happens when we decrease the momentum parameter. Halving it



to $\beta = 0.25$ leads to a trajectory that barely converges at all. Nonetheless, it is a lot better than without momentum (when the solution diverges).

```
eta, beta = 0.6, 0.25
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(momentum_2d))
```

```
epoch 20, x1: -0.126340, x2: -0.186632
```



Note that we can combine momentum with stochastic gradient descent and in particular, minibatch stochastic gradient descent. The only change is that in that case we replace the gradients $g_{t,t-1}$ with g_t . Last, for convenience we initialize $v_0 = 0$ at time t = 0. Let's look at what leaky averaging actually does to the updates.

Effective Sample Weight

Recall that $v_t = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \beta^{\tau} g_{t-\tau,t-\tau-1}$. In the limit the terms add up to $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \beta^{\tau} = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$. In other words, rather than taking a step of size η in gradient descent or stochastic gradient descent we take a step of size $\frac{\eta}{1-\beta}$ while at the same time, dealing with a potentially much better behaved descent direction. These are two benefits in one. To illustrate how weighting behaves for different choices of β consider the diagram below.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
betas = [0.95, 0.9, 0.6, 0]
for beta in betas:
    x = np.arange(40).asnumpy()
    d2l.plt.plot(x, beta ** x, label=f'beta = {beta:.2f}')
d2l.plt.xlabel('time')
d2l.plt.legend();
```

12.6.2 Practical Experiments



Let's see how momentum works in practice, i.e., when used within the context of a proper optimizer. For this we need a somewhat more scalable implementation.

Implementation from Scratch

Compared with (minibatch) stochastic gradient descent the momentum method needs to maintain a set of auxiliary variables, i.e., velocity. It has the same shape as the gradients (and variables of the optimization problem). In the implementation below we call these variables states.

```
def init_momentum_states(feature_dim):
    v_w = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1))
    v_b = np.zeros(1)
    return (v_w, v_b)
def sgd_momentum(params, states, hyperparams):
    for p, v in zip(params, states):
        v[:] = hyperparams['momentum'] * v + p.grad
        p[:] -= hyperparams['lr'] * v
```

Let's see how this works in practice.

```
def train_momentum(lr, momentum, num_epochs=2):
    d2l.train_ch11(sgd_momentum, init_momentum_states(feature_dim),
        {'lr': lr, 'momentum': momentum}, data_iter,
        feature_dim, num_epochs)

data_iter, feature_dim = d2l.get_data_ch11(batch_size=10)
train_momentum(0.02, 0.5)
```

loss: 0.244, 0.124 sec/epoch

When we increase the momentum hyperparameter momentum to 0.9, it amounts to a significantly larger effective sample size of $\frac{1}{1-0.9} = 10$. We reduce the learning rate slightly to 0.01 to keep matters under control.

train_momentum(0.01, 0.9)

loss: 0.247, 0.136 sec/epoch

Reducing the learning rate further addresses any issue of non-smooth optimization problems. Setting it to 0.005 yields good convergence properties.





loss: 0.243, 0.122 sec/epoch



Concise Implementation

There is very little to do in Gluon since the standard sgd solver already had momentum built in. Setting matching parameters yields a very similar trajectory.

loss: 0.245, 0.061 sec/epoch



12.6.3 Theoretical Analysis

So far the 2D example of $f(x) = 0.1x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ seemed rather contrived. We will now see that this is actually quite representative of the types of problem one might encounter, at least in the case of minimizing convex quadratic objective functions.

Quadratic Convex Functions

Consider the function

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \boldsymbol{c} + b.$$
 (12.6.6)

This is a general quadratic function. For positive definite matrices $Q \succ 0$, i.e., for matrices with positive eigenvalues this has a minimizer at $x^* = -Q^{-1}c$ with minimum value $b - \frac{1}{2}c^{\top}Q^{-1}c$. Hence we can rewrite h as

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{c})^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{c}) + b - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{c}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \boldsymbol{c}.$$
 (12.6.7)

The gradient is given by $\partial_x h(x) = Q(x - Q^{-1}c)$. That is, it is given by the distance between x and the minimizer, multiplied by Q. Consequently also the velocity is a linear combination of terms $Q(x_t - Q^{-1}c)$.

Since Q is positive definite it can be decomposed into its eigensystem via $Q = O^{\top} \Lambda O$ for an orthogonal (rotation) matrix O and a diagonal matrix Λ of positive eigenvalues. This allows us to perform a change of variables from x to $z := O(x - Q^{-1}c)$ to obtain a much simplified expression:

$$h(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{b}'.$$
(12.6.8)

Here $b' = b - \frac{1}{2}c^{\top}Q^{-1}c$. Since *O* is only an orthogonal matrix this does not perturb the gradients in a meaningful way. Expressed in terms of *z* gradient descent becomes

$$\boldsymbol{z}_t = \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1}. \tag{12.6.9}$$

The important fact in this expression is that gradient descent *does not mix* between different eigenspaces. That is, when expressed in terms of the eigensystem of Q the optimization problem proceeds in a coordinate-wise manner. This also holds for

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{v}_{t} &= \beta \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{t} &= \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} - \eta \left(\beta \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} \right) \\ &= \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \right) \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} - \eta \beta \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$
(12.6.10)

In doing this we just proved the following theorem: gradient descent with and without momentum for a convex quadratic function decomposes into coordinate-wise optimization in the direction of the eigenvectors of the quadratic matrix.
Scalar Functions

Given the above result let's see what happens when we minimize the function $f(x) = \frac{\lambda}{2}x^2$. For gradient descent we have

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \lambda x_t = (1 - \eta \lambda) x_t.$$
(12.6.11)

Whenever $|1 - \eta \lambda| < 1$ this optimization converges at an exponential rate since after t steps we have $x_t = (1 - \eta \lambda)^t x_0$. This shows how the rate of convergence improves initially as we increase the learning rate η until $\eta \lambda = 1$. Beyond that things diverge and for $\eta \lambda > 2$ the optimization problem diverges.

```
lambdas = [0.1, 1, 10, 19]
eta = 0.1
d2l.set_figsize((6, 4))
for lam in lambdas:
    t = np.arange(20).asnumpy()
    d2l.plt.plot(t, (1 - eta * lam) ** t, label=f'lambda = {lam:.2f}')
d2l.plt.xlabel('time')
d2l.plt.legend();
```



To analyze convergence in the case of momentum we begin by rewriting the update equations in terms of two scalars: one for x and one for velocity v. This yields:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{t+1} \\ x_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \lambda \\ -\eta\beta & (1-\eta\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}(\beta,\eta,\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} v_t \\ x_t \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (12.6.12)

We used \mathbf{R} to denote the 2×2 governing convergence behavior. After t steps the initial choice $[v_0, x_0]$ becomes $\mathbf{R}(\beta, \eta, \lambda)^t [v_0, x_0]$. Hence, it is up to the eigenvalues of \mathbf{R} to determine the speed of convergence. See the Distill post¹⁷⁰ of Goh (2017) for a great animation and Flammarion and Bach (2015) for a detailed analysis. One can show that $0 < \eta \lambda < 2 + 2\beta$ velocity converges. This is a larger range of feasible parameters when compared to $0 < \eta \lambda < 2$ for gradient descent. It also suggests that in general large values of β are desirable. Further details require a fair amount of technical detail and we suggest that the interested reader consult the original publications.

12.6.4 Summary

• Momentum replaces gradients with a leaky average over past gradients. This accelerates convergence significantly.



- It is desirable for both noise-free gradient descent and (noisy) stochastic gradient descent.
- Momentum prevents stalling of the optimization process that is much more likely to occur for stochastic gradient descent.
- The effective number of gradients is given by $\frac{1}{1-\beta}$ due to exponentiated downweighting of past data.
- In the case of convex quadratic problems this can be analyzed explicitly in detail.
- Implementation is quite straightforward but it requires us to store an additional state vector (velocity v).

Exercises

- 1. Use other combinations of momentum hyperparameters and learning rates and observe and analyze the different experimental results.
- 2. Try out gradient descent and momentum for a quadratic problem where you have multiple eigenvalues, i.e., $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \lambda_i x_i^2$, e.g., $\lambda_i = 2^{-i}$. Plot how the values of x decrease for the initialization $x_i = 1$.
- 3. Derive minimum value and minimizer for $h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{c} + b$.
- 4. What changes when we perform stochastic gradient descent with momentum? What happens when we use minibatch stochastic gradient descent with momentum? Experiment with the parameters?

Discussions¹⁷¹

12.7 Adagrad

Let's begin by considering learning problems with features that occur infrequently.

12.7.1 Sparse Features and Learning Rates

Imagine that we are training a language model. To get good accuracy we typically want to decrease the learning rate as we keep on training, usually at a rate of $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ or slower. Now consider a model training on sparse features, i.e., features that occur only infrequently. This is common for natural language, e.g., it is a lot less likely that we will see the word *preconditioning* than *learning*. However, it is also common in other areas such as computational advertising and personalized collaborative filtering. After all, there are many things that are of interest only for a small number of people.

Parameters associated with infrequent features only receive meaningful updates whenever these features occur. Given a decreasing learning rate we might end up in a situation where the parameters for common features converge rather quickly to their optimal values, whereas for infrequent features we are still short of observing them sufficiently frequently before their optimal values can be determined. In other words, the learning rate either decreases too slowly for frequent features or too quickly for infrequent ones.

A possible hack to redress this issue would be to count the number of times we see a particular feature and to use this as a clock for adjusting learning rates. That is, rather than choosing a learning rate of the form $\eta = \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{t+c}}$ we could use $\eta_i = \frac{\eta_0}{\sqrt{s(i,t)+c}}$. Here s(i,t) counts the



number of nonzeros for feature i that we have observed up to time t. This is actually quite easy to implement at no meaningful overhead. However, it fails whenever we do not quite have sparsity but rather just data where the gradients are often very small and only rarely large. After all, it is unclear where one would draw the line between something that qualifies as an observed feature or not.

Adagrad by Duchi *et al.* (2011) addresses this by replacing the rather crude counter s(i, t) by an aggregate of the squares of previously observed gradients. In particular, it uses s(i, t+1) = $s(i, t) + (\partial_i f(x))^2$ as a means to adjust the learning rate. This has two benefits: first, we no longer need to decide just when a gradient is large enough. Second, it scales automatically with the magnitude of the gradients. Coordinates that routinely correspond to large gradients are scaled down significantly, whereas others with small gradients receive a much more gentle treatment. In practice this leads to a very effective optimization procedure for computational advertising and related problems. But this hides some of the additional benefits inherent in Adagrad that are best understood in the context of preconditioning.

12.7.2 Preconditioning

Convex optimization problems are good for analyzing the characteristics of algorithms. After all, for most nonconvex problems it is difficult to derive meaningful theoretical guarantees, but *intuition* and *insight* often carry over. Let's look at the problem of minimizing $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Qx + c^{T}x + b$.

As we saw in Section 12.6, it is possible to rewrite this problem in terms of its eigendecomposition $Q = U^{\top} \Lambda U$ to arrive at a much simplified problem where each coordinate can be solved individually:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\top} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + b.$$
(12.7.1)

Here we used $\bar{x} = Ux$ and consequently $\bar{c} = Uc$. The modified problem has as its minimizer $\bar{x} = -\Lambda^{-1}\bar{c}$ and minimum value $-\frac{1}{2}\bar{c}^{\top}\Lambda^{-1}\bar{c} + b$. This is much easier to compute since Λ is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of Q.

If we perturb c slightly we would hope to find only slight changes in the minimizer of f. Unfortunately this is not the case. While slight changes in c lead to equally slight changes in \bar{c} , this is not the case for the minimizer of f (and of \bar{f} respectively). Whenever the eigenvalues Λ_i are large we will see only small changes in \bar{x}_i and in the minimum of \bar{f} . Conversely, for small Λ_i changes in \bar{x}_i can be dramatic. The ratio between the largest and the smallest eigenvalue is called the condition number of an optimization problem.

$$\kappa = \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_d}.\tag{12.7.2}$$

If the condition number κ is large, it is difficult to solve the optimization problem accurately. We need to ensure that we are careful in getting a large dynamic range of values right. Our analysis leads to an obvious, albeit somewhat naive question: couldn't we simply "fix" the problem by distorting the space such that all eigenvalues are 1. In theory this is quite easy: we only need the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Q to rescale the problem from x to one in $z := \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} U x$. In the new coordinate system $x^{\top} Q x$ could be simplified to $||z||^2$. Alas, this is a rather impractical suggestion. Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors is in general *much more* expensive than solving the actual problem.

While computing eigenvalues exactly might be expensive, guessing them and computing them even somewhat approximately may already be a lot better than not doing anything at all. In particular, we could use the diagonal entries of Q and rescale it accordingly. This is *much* cheaper than computing eigenvalues.

In this case we have $\tilde{Q}_{ij} = Q_{ij}/\sqrt{Q_{ii}Q_{jj}}$ and specifically $\tilde{Q}_{ii} = 1$ for all *i*. In most cases this simplifies the condition number considerably. For instance, the cases we discussed previously, this would entirely eliminate the problem at hand since the problem is axis aligned.

Unfortunately we face yet another problem: in deep learning we typically do not even have access to the second derivative of the objective function: for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the second derivative even on a minibatch may require $\mathcal{O}(d^2)$ space and work to compute, thus making it practically infeasible. The ingenious idea of Adagrad is to use a proxy for that elusive diagonal of the Hessian that is both relatively cheap to compute and effective—the magnitude of the gradient itself.

In order to see why this works, let's look at $f(\bar{x})$. We have that

$$\partial_{\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}}\bar{f}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{c}} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_0\right), \qquad (12.7.4)$$

where \bar{x}_0 is the minimizer of \bar{f} . Hence the magnitude of the gradient depends both on Λ and the distance from optimality. If $\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0$ didn't change, this would be all that's needed. After all, in this case the magnitude of the gradient $\partial_{\bar{x}}\bar{f}(\bar{x})$ suffices. Since AdaGrad is a stochastic gradient descent algorithm, we will see gradients with nonzero variance even at optimality. As a result we can safely use the variance of the gradients as a cheap proxy for the scale of the Hessian. A thorough analysis is beyond the scope of this section (it would be several pages). We refer the reader to (Duchi *et al.*, 2011) for details.

12.7.3 The Algorithm

Let's formalize the discussion from above. We use the variable s_t to accumulate past gradient variance as follows.

$$g_{t} = \partial_{w} l(y_{t}, f(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}, \boldsymbol{w})),$$

$$s_{t} = s_{t-1} + g_{t}^{2},$$

$$w_{t} = w_{t-1} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{s_{t} + \epsilon}} \cdot g_{t}.$$
(12.7.5)

Here the operation are applied coordinate wise. That is, v^2 has entries v_i^2 . Likewise $\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}}$ has entries $\frac{1}{\sqrt{v_i}}$ and $u \cdot v$ has entries $u_i v_i$. As before η is the learning rate and ϵ is an additive constant that ensures that we do not divide by 0. Last, we initialize $s_0 = \mathbf{0}$.

Just like in the case of momentum we need to keep track of an auxiliary variable, in this case to allow for an individual learning rate per coordinate. This does not increase the cost of Adagrad significantly relative to SGD, simply since the main cost is typically to compute $l(y_t, f(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{w}))$ and its derivative.

Note that accumulating squared gradients in s_t means that s_t grows essentially at linear rate (somewhat slower than linearly in practice, since the gradients initially diminish). This leads to an $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ learning rate, albeit adjusted on a per coordinate basis. For convex problems this is perfectly adequate. In deep learning, though, we might want to decrease the learning rate rather more slowly. This led to a number of Adagrad variants that we will discuss in the subsequent chapters. For now let's see how it behaves in a quadratic convex problem. We use the same problem as before:

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0.1x_1^2 + 2x_2^2. \tag{12.7.6}$$

We are going to implement Adagrad using the same learning rate previously, i.e., $\eta = 0.4$. As we can see, the iterative trajectory of the independent variable is smoother. However, due to the cumulative effect of s_t , the learning rate continuously decays, so the independent variable does not move as much during later stages of iteration.

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def adagrad_2d(x1, x2, s1, s2):
    eps = 1e-6
    g1, g2 = 0.2 \times x1, 4 \times x2
    s1 += g1 ** 2
    s2 += g2 ** 2
    x1 -= eta / math.sqrt(s1 + eps) * g1
x2 -= eta / math.sqrt(s2 + eps) * g2
    return x1, x2, s1, s2
def f_2d(x1, x2):
    return 0.1 * x1 ** 2 + 2 * x2 ** 2
eta = 0.4
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(adagrad_2d))
```

epoch 20, x1: -2.382563, x2: -0.158591



As we increase the learning rate to 2 we see much better behavior. This already indicates that the decrease in learning rate might be rather aggressive, even in the noise-free case and we need to ensure that parameters converge appropriately.

```
eta = 2
d21.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d21.train_2d(adagrad_2d))
```

epoch 20, x1: -0.002295, x2: -0.000000



12.7.4 Implementation from Scratch

Just like the momentum method, Adagrad needs to maintain a state variable of the same shape as the parameters.

```
def init_adagrad_states(feature_dim):
    s_w = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1))
    s_b = np.zeros(1)
    return (s_w, s_b)
def adagrad(params, states, hyperparams):
    eps = 1e-6
    for p, s in zip(params, states):
        s[:] += np.square(p.grad)
        p[:] -= hyperparams['1r'] * p.grad / np.sqrt(s + eps)
```

Compared to the experiment in Section 12.5 we use a larger learning rate to train the model.

```
loss: 0.243, 0.091 sec/epoch
```



12.7.5 Concise Implementation

Using the Trainer instance of the algorithm adagrad, we can invoke the Adagrad algorithm in Gluon.

d2l.train_concise_ch11('adagrad', {'learning_rate': 0.1}, data_iter)

loss: 0.244, 0.093 sec/epoch

12.7.6 Summary

- Adagrad decreases the learning rate dynamically on a per-coordinate basis.
- It uses the magnitude of the gradient as a means of adjusting how quickly progress is achieved coordinates with large gradients are compensated with a smaller learning rate.
- Computing the exact second derivative is typically infeasible in deep learning problems due to memory and computational constraints. The gradient can be a useful proxy.



- If the optimization problem has a rather uneven structure Adagrad can help mitigate the distortion.
- Adagrad is particularly effective for sparse features where the learning rate needs to decrease more slowly for infrequently occurring terms.
- On deep learning problems Adagrad can sometimes be too aggressive in reducing learning rates. We will discuss strategies for mitigating this in the context of Section 12.10.

Exercises

- 1. Prove that for an orthogonal matrix U and a vector c the following holds: $||c \delta||_2 = ||Uc U\delta||_2$. Why does this mean that the magnitude of perturbations does not change after an orthogonal change of variables?
- 2. Try out Adagrad for $f(x) = 0.1x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ and also for the objective function was rotated by 45 degrees, i.e., $f(x) = 0.1(x_1 + x_2)^2 + 2(x_1 - x_2)^2$. Does it behave differently?



- 3. Prove Gerschgorin's circle theorem¹⁷² which states that eigenvalues λ_i of a matrix M satisfy $|\lambda_i M_{jj}| \le \sum_{k \ne j} |M_{jk}|$ for at least one choice of j.
- 4. What does Gerschgorin's theorem tell us about the eigenvalues of the diagonally preconditioned matrix $\operatorname{diag}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{M})\boldsymbol{M}\operatorname{diag}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{M})$?
- Try out Adagrad for a proper deep network, such as Section 7.6 when applied to Fashion-MNIST.
- 6. How would you need to modify Adagrad to achieve a less aggressive decay in learning rate?

Discussions¹⁷³



12.8 RMSProp

One of the key issues in Section 12.7 is that the learning rate decreases at a predefined schedule of effectively $\mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. While this is generally appropriate for convex problems, it might not be ideal for nonconvex ones, such as those encountered in deep learning. Yet, the coordinate-wise adaptivity of Adagrad is highly desirable as a preconditioner.

(Tieleman and Hinton, 2012) proposed the RMSProp algorithm as a simple fix to decouple rate scheduling from coordinate-adaptive learning rates. The issue is that Adagrad accumulates the squares of the gradient g_t into a state vector $s_t = s_{t-1} + g_t^2$. As a result s_t keeps on

growing without bound due to the lack of normalization, essentially linearly as the algorithm converges.

One way of fixing this problem would be to use s_t/t . For reasonable distributions of g_t this will converge. Unfortunately it might take a very long time until the limit behavior starts to matter since the procedure remembers the full trajectory of values. An alternative is to use a leaky average in the same way we used in the momentum method, i.e., $s_t \leftarrow \gamma s_{t-1} + (1 - \gamma)g_t^2$ for some parameter $\gamma > 0$. Keeping all other parts unchanged yields RMSProp.

12.8.1 The Algorithm

Let's write out the equations in detail.

$$s_t \leftarrow \gamma s_{t-1} + (1-\gamma) g_t^2,$$

$$x_t \leftarrow x_{t-1} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{s_t + \epsilon}} \odot g_t.$$
(12.8.1)

The constant $\epsilon > 0$ is typically set to 10^{-6} to ensure that we do not suffer from division by zero or overly large step sizes. Given this expansion we are now free to control the learning rate η independently of the scaling that is applied on a per-coordinate basis. In terms of leaky averages we can apply the same reasoning as previously applied in the case of the momentum method. Expanding the definition of s_t yields

$$s_{t} = (1 - \gamma)g_{t}^{2} + \gamma s_{t-1}$$

= $(1 - \gamma)(g_{t}^{2} + \gamma g_{t-1}^{2} + \gamma^{2}g_{t-2} + \dots).$ (12.8.2)

As before in Section 12.6 we use $1 + \gamma + \gamma^2 + \ldots = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}$. Hence the sum of weights is normalized to 1 with a half-life time of an observation of γ^{-1} . Let's visualize the weights for the past 40 time steps for various choices of γ .

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
from mxnet import np, npx
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

```
d2l.set_figsize()
gammas = [0.95, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7]
for gamma in gammas:
    x = np.arange(40).asnumpy()
    d2l.plt.plot(x, (1-gamma) * gamma ** x, label=f'gamma = {gamma:.2f}')
d2l.plt.xlabel('time');
```



12.8.2 Implementation from Scratch

As before we use the quadratic function $f(x) = 0.1x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$ to observe the trajectory of RMSProp. Recall that in Section 12.7, when we used Adagrad with a learning rate of 0.4, the variables moved only very slowly in the later stages of the algorithm since the learning rate decreased too quickly. Since η is controlled separately this does not happen with RM-SProp.

```
def rmsprop_2d(x1, x2, s1, s2):
    g1, g2, eps = 0.2 * x1, 4 * x2, 1e-6
    s1 = gamma * s1 + (1 - gamma) * g1 ** 2
    s2 = gamma * s2 + (1 - gamma) * g2 ** 2
    x1 -= eta / math.sqrt(s1 + eps) * g1
    x2 -= eta / math.sqrt(s2 + eps) * g2
    return x1, x2, s1, s2
def f_2d(x1, x2):
    return 0.1 * x1 ** 2 + 2 * x2 ** 2
eta, gamma = 0.4, 0.9
d2l.show_trace_2d(f_2d, d2l.train_2d(rmsprop_2d))
```

```
epoch 20, x1: -0.010599, x2: 0.000000
```



Next, we implement RMSProp to be used in a deep network. This is equally straightforward.

```
def init_rmsprop_states(feature_dim):
    s_w = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1))
    s_b = np.zeros(1)
    return (s_w, s_b)
```

```
def rmsprop(params, states, hyperparams):
    gamma, eps = hyperparams['gamma'], 1e-6
    for p, s in zip(params, states):
        s[:] = gamma * s + (1 - gamma) * np.square(p.grad)
        p[:] -= hyperparams['lr'] * p.grad / np.sqrt(s + eps)
```

We set the initial learning rate to 0.01 and the weighting term γ to 0.9. That is, s aggregates on average over the past $1/(1 - \gamma) = 10$ observations of the square gradient.

```
loss: 0.249, 0.419 sec/epoch
```



12.8.3 Concise Implementation

Since RMSProp is a rather popular algorithm it is also available in the Trainer instance. All we need to do is instantiate it using an algorithm named rmsprop, assigning γ to the parameter gamma1.

```
d2l.train_concise_ch11('rmsprop', {'learning_rate': 0.01, 'gamma1': 0.9},
data_iter)
loss: 0.244, 0.324 sec/epoch
```



12.8.4 Summary

- RMSProp is very similar to Adagrad insofar as both use the square of the gradient to scale coefficients.
- RMSProp shares with momentum the leaky averaging. However, RMSProp uses the technique to adjust the coefficient-wise preconditioner.
- The learning rate needs to be scheduled by the experimenter in practice.
- The coefficient γ determines how long the history is when adjusting the per-coordinate scale.

Exercises

- 1. What happens experimentally if we set $\gamma = 1$? Why?
- 2. Rotate the optimization problem to minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.1(x_1 + x_2)^2 + 2(x_1 x_2)^2$. What happens to the convergence?
- 3. Try out what happens to RMSProp on a real machine learning problem, such as training on Fashion-MNIST. Experiment with different choices for adjusting the learning rate.
- 4. Would you want to adjust γ as optimization progresses? How sensitive is RMSProp to this?

Discussions¹⁷⁴

12.9 Adadelta

Adadelta is yet another variant of AdaGrad (Section 12.7). The main difference lies in the fact that it decreases the amount by which the learning rate is adaptive to coordinates. Moreover, traditionally it referred to as not having a learning rate since it uses the amount of change itself as calibration for future change. The algorithm was proposed in Zeiler (2012). It is fairly straightforward, given the discussion of previous algorithms so far.

12.9.1 The Algorithm

In a nutshell, Adadelta uses two state variables, s_t to store a leaky average of the second moment of the gradient and Δx_t to store a leaky average of the second moment of the change of parameters in the model itself. Note that we use the original notation and naming of the authors for compatibility with other publications and implementations (there is no other real reason why one should use different Greek variables to indicate a parameter serving the same purpose in momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, and Adadelta).

Here are the technical details of Adadelta. Given the parameter du jour is ρ , we obtain the following leaky updates similarly to Section 12.8:

$$\mathbf{s}_t = \rho \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + (1-\rho) \mathbf{g}_t^2. \tag{12.9.1}$$

The difference to Section 12.8 is that we perform updates with the rescaled gradient g'_t , i.e.,

$$x_t = x_{t-1} - g'_t. \tag{12.9.2}$$

So what is the rescaled gradient g'_t ? We can calculate it as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{t}^{\prime} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \epsilon}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{s}_{t} + \epsilon}} \odot \boldsymbol{g}_{t}, \qquad (12.9.3)$$

where Δx_{t-1} is the leaky average of the squared rescaled gradients g'_t . We initialize Δx_0 to be 0 and update it at each step with g'_t , i.e.,

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{x}_{t} = \rho \Delta \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + (1-\rho) {\boldsymbol{g}'_{t}}^{2}, \qquad (12.9.4)$$

and ϵ (a small value such as 10^{-5}) is added to maintain numerical stability.

12.9.2 Implementation

Adadelta needs to maintain two state variables for each variable, s_t and Δx_t . This yields the following implementation.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import np, npx
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
def init_adadelta_states(feature_dim):
    s_w, s_b = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1)), np.zeros(1)
    delta_w, delta_b = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1)), np.zeros(1)
    return ((s_w, delta_w), (s_b, delta_b))
def adadelta(params, states, hyperparams):
    rho, eps = hyperparams['rho'], 1e-5
    for p, (s, delta) in zip(params, states):
        # In-place updates via [:]
        s[:] = rho * s + (1 - rho) * np.square(p.grad)
        g = (np.sqrt(delta + eps) / np.sqrt(s + eps)) * p.grad
       p[:] -= g
        delta[:] = rho * delta + (1 - rho) * g * g
```

Choosing $\rho = 0.9$ amounts to a half-life time of 10 for each parameter update. This tends to work quite well. We get the following behavior.



For a concise implementation we simply use the adadelta algorithm from the Trainer class. This yields the following one-liner for a much more compact invocation.

d2l.train_concise_ch11('adadelta', {'rho': 0.9}, data_iter)

loss: 0.243, 0.129 sec/epoch

loss: 0.242, 0.134 sec/epoch

12.9.3 Summary

Adadelta has no learning rate parameter. Instead, it uses the rate of change in the parameters itself to adapt the learning rate.



- Adadelta requires two state variables to store the second moments of gradient and the change in parameters.
- Adadelta uses leaky averages to keep a running estimate of the appropriate statistics.

Exercises

- 1. Adjust the value of ρ . What happens?
- 2. Show how to implement the algorithm without the use of g'_t . Why might this be a good idea?
- 3. Is Adadelta really learning rate free? Could you find optimization problems that break Adadelta?
- 4. Compare Adadelta to Adagrad and RMS prop to discuss their convergence behavior.



Discussions¹⁷⁵

12.10 Adam

In the discussions leading up to this section we encountered a number of techniques for efficient optimization. Let's recap them in detail here:

- We saw that Section 12.4 is more effective than Gradient Descent when solving optimization problems, e.g., due to its inherent resilience to redundant data.
- We saw that Section 12.5 affords significant additional efficiency arising from vectorization, using larger sets of observations in one minibatch. This is the key to efficient multi-machine, multi-GPU and overall parallel processing.
- Section 12.6 added a mechanism for aggregating a history of past gradients to accelerate convergence.
- Section 12.7 used per-coordinate scaling to allow for a computationally efficient preconditioner.
- Section 12.8 decoupled per-coordinate scaling from a learning rate adjustment.

Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014) combines all these techniques into one efficient learning algorithm. As expected, this is an algorithm that has become rather popular as one of the more robust and effective optimization algorithms to use in deep learning. It is not without issues, though. In particular, (Reddi *et al.*, 2019) show that there are situations where Adam can

diverge due to poor variance control. In a follow-up work (Zaheer *et al.*, 2018) proposed a hotfix to Adam, called Yogi which addresses these issues. More on this later. For now let's review the Adam algorithm.

12.10.1 The Algorithm

One of the key components of Adam is that it uses exponential weighted moving averages (also known as leaky averaging) to obtain an estimate of both the momentum and also the second moment of the gradient. That is, it uses the state variables

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{v}_t &\leftarrow \beta_1 \boldsymbol{v}_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \boldsymbol{g}_t, \\ \boldsymbol{s}_t &\leftarrow \beta_2 \boldsymbol{s}_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \boldsymbol{g}_t^2. \end{aligned} \tag{12.10.1}$$

Here β_1 and β_2 are nonnegative weighting parameters. Common choices for them are $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$. That is, the variance estimate moves *much more slowly* than the momentum term. Note that if we initialize $v_0 = s_0 = 0$ we have a significant amount of bias initially towards smaller values. This can be addressed by using the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{t} \beta^i = \frac{1-\beta^i}{1-\beta}$ to re-normalize terms. Correspondingly the normalized state variables are given by

$$\hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1 - \beta_1^t} \text{ and } \hat{s}_t = \frac{s_t}{1 - \beta_2^t}.$$
 (12.10.2)

Armed with the proper estimates we can now write out the update equations. First, we rescale the gradient in a manner very much akin to that of RMSProp to obtain

$$g_t' = \frac{\eta \hat{v}_t}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_t} + \epsilon}.$$
(12.10.3)

Unlike RMSProp our update uses the momentum \hat{v}_t rather than the gradient itself. Moreover, there is a slight cosmetic difference as the rescaling happens using $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_t + \epsilon}}$ instead of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{s}_t + \epsilon}}$. The former works arguably slightly better in practice, hence the deviation from RM-SProp. Typically we pick $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ for a good trade-off between numerical stability and fidelity.

Now we have all the pieces in place to compute updates. This is slightly anticlimactic and we have a simple update of the form

$$\boldsymbol{x}_t \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} - \boldsymbol{g}_t'. \tag{12.10.4}$$

Reviewing the design of Adam its inspiration is clear. Momentum and scale are clearly visible in the state variables. Their rather peculiar definition forces us to debias terms (this could be fixed by a slightly different initialization and update condition). Second, the combination of both terms is pretty straightforward, given RMSProp. Last, the explicit learning rate η allows us to control the step length to address issues of convergence.

12.10.2 Implementation

Implementing Adam from scratch is not very daunting. For convenience we store the time step counter t in the hyperparams dictionary. Beyond that all is straightforward.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def init_adam_states(feature_dim):
    v_w, v_b = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1)), np.zeros(1)
    s_w, s_b = np.zeros((feature_dim, 1)), np.zeros(1)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
return ((v_w, s_w), (v_b, s_b))

def adam(params, states, hyperparams):
    beta1, beta2, eps = 0.9, 0.999, 1e-6
    for p, (v, s) in zip(params, states):
        v[:] = beta1 * v + (1 - beta1) * p.grad
        s[:] = beta2 * s + (1 - beta2) * np.square(p.grad)
        v_bias_corr = v / (1 - beta1 ** hyperparams['t'])
        s_bias_corr = s / (1 - beta2 ** hyperparams['t'])
        p[:] -= hyperparams['lr'] * v_bias_corr / (np.sqrt(s_bias_corr) + eps)
        hyperparams['t'] += 1
```

We are ready to use Adam to train the model. We use a learning rate of $\eta = 0.01$.

loss: 0.246, 0.169 sec/epoch



A more concise implementation is straightforward since adam is one of the algorithms provided as part of the Gluon trainer optimization library. Hence we only need to pass configuration parameters for an implementation in Gluon.

```
d2l.train_concise_ch11('adam', {'learning_rate': 0.01}, data_iter)
```

loss: 0.243, 0.103 sec/epoch



One of the problems of Adam is that it can fail to converge even in convex settings when the second moment estimate in s_t blows up. As a fix (Zaheer *et al.*, 2018) proposed a refined update (and initialization) for s_t . To understand what's going on, let's rewrite the Adam update as follows:

$$s_t \leftarrow s_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \left(g_t^2 - s_{t-1} \right).$$
 (12.10.5)

Whenever g_t^2 has high variance or updates are sparse, s_t might forget past values too quickly. A possible fix for this is to replace $g_t^2 - s_{t-1}$ by $g_t^2 \odot \operatorname{sgn}(g_t^2 - s_{t-1})$. Now the magnitude of the update no longer depends on the amount of deviation. This yields the Yogi updates

$$s_t \leftarrow s_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2 \odot \operatorname{sgn}(g_t^2 - s_{t-1}).$$
 (12.10.6)

The authors furthermore advise to initialize the momentum on a larger initial batch rather than just initial pointwise estimate. We omit the details since they are not material to the discussion and since even without this convergence remains pretty good.

loss: 0.242, 0.276 sec/epoch



12.10.4 Summary

- Adam combines features of many optimization algorithms into a fairly robust update rule.
- Created on the basis of RMSProp, Adam also uses EWMA on the minibatch stochastic gradient.
- Adam uses bias correction to adjust for a slow startup when estimating momentum and a second moment.
- · For gradients with significant variance we may encounter issues with convergence. They

can be amended by using larger minibatches or by switching to an improved estimate for s_t . Yogi offers such an alternative.

Exercises

- 1. Adjust the learning rate and observe and analyze the experimental results.
- 2. Can you rewrite momentum and second moment updates such that it does not require bias correction?
- 3. Why do you need to reduce the learning rate η as we converge?
- 4. Try to construct a case for which Adam diverges and Yogi converges?

176

Discussions¹⁷⁶

12.11 Learning Rate Scheduling

So far we primarily focused on optimization *algorithms* for how to update the weight vectors rather than on the *rate* at which they are being updated. Nonetheless, adjusting the learning rate is often just as important as the actual algorithm. There are a number of aspects to consider:

- Most obviously the *magnitude* of the learning rate matters. If it is too large, optimization diverges, if it is too small, it takes too long to train or we end up with a suboptimal result. We saw previously that the condition number of the problem matters (see e.g., Section 12.6 for details). Intuitively it is the ratio of the amount of change in the least sensitive direction vs. the most sensitive one.
- Secondly, the rate of decay is just as important. If the learning rate remains large we may simply end up bouncing around the minimum and thus not reach optimality. Section 12.5 discussed this in some detail and we analyzed performance guarantees in Section 12.4. In short, we want the rate to decay, but probably more slowly than O(t^{-1/2}) which would be a good choice for convex problems.
- Another aspect that is equally important is *initialization*. This pertains both to how the parameters are set initially (review Section 5.4 for details) and also how they evolve initially. This goes under the moniker of *warmup*, i.e., how rapidly we start moving towards the solution initially. Large steps in the beginning might not be beneficial, in particular since the initial set of parameters is random. The initial update directions might be quite meaningless, too.
- Lastly, there are a number of optimization variants that perform cyclical learning rate adjustment. This is beyond the scope of the current chapter. We recommend the reader to review details in Izmailov *et al.* (2018), e.g., how to obtain better solutions by averaging over an entire *path* of parameters.

Given the fact that there is a lot of detail needed to manage learning rates, most deep learning frameworks have tools to deal with this automatically. In the current chapter we will review the effects that different schedules have on accuracy and also show how this can be managed efficiently via a *learning rate scheduler*.

12.11.1 Toy Problem

We begin with a toy problem that is cheap enough to compute easily, yet sufficiently nontrivial to illustrate some of the key aspects. For that we pick a slightly modernized version of LeNet (relu instead of sigmoid activation, MaxPooling rather than AveragePooling), as applied to Fashion-MNIST. Moreover, we hybridize the network for performance. Since most of the code is standard we just introduce the basics without further detailed discussion. See Chapter 7 for a refresher as needed.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, lr_scheduler, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
net = nn.HybridSequential()
net.add(nn.Conv2D(channels=6, kernel_size=5, padding=2, activation='relu'),
        nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2),
        nn.Conv2D(channels=16, kernel_size=5, activation='relu'),
        nn.MaxPool2D(pool_size=2, strides=2),
       nn.Dense(120, activation='relu'),
        nn.Dense(84, activation='relu'),
       nn.Dense(10))
net.hybridize()
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
device = d2l.try_gpu()
batch_size = 256
train_iter, test_iter = d2l.load_data_fashion_mnist(batch_size=batch_size)
# The code is almost identical to `d2l.train_ch6` defined in the
# lenet section of chapter convolutional neural networks
def train(net, train_iter, test_iter, num_epochs, loss, trainer, device):
    net.initialize(force_reinit=True, ctx=device, init=init.Xavier())
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[0, num_epochs],
                            legend=['train loss', 'train acc', 'test acc'])
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        metric = d2l.Accumulator(3) # train_loss, train_acc, num_examples
        for i, (X, y) in enumerate(train_iter):
            X, y = X.as_in_ctx(device), y.as_in_ctx(device)
            with autograd.record():
                y_hat = net(X)
                l = loss(y_hat, y)
            1.backward()
            trainer.step(X.shape[0])
            metric.add(l.sum(), d2l.accuracy(y_hat, y), X.shape[0])
            train_loss = metric[0] / metric[2]
            train_acc = metric[1] / metric[2]
            if (i + 1) % 50 == 0:
                animator.add(epoch + i / len(train_iter),
                             (train_loss, train_acc, None))
        test_acc = d2l.evaluate_accuracy_gpu(net, test_iter)
       animator.add(epoch + 1, (None, None, test_acc))
    print(f'train loss {train_loss:.3f}, train acc {train_acc:.3f}, '
          f'test acc {test_acc:.3f}')
```

Let's have a look at what happens if we invoke this algorithm with default settings, such as a learning rate of 0.3 and train for 30 iterations. Note how the training accuracy keeps on increasing while progress in terms of test accuracy stalls beyond a point. The gap between both curves indicates overfitting.

lr, num_epochs = 0.3, 30
net.initialize(force_reinit=True, ctx=device, init=init.Xavier())

(continued from previous page)

```
trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'sgd', {'learning_rate': lr})
train(net, train_iter, test_iter, num_epochs, loss, trainer, device)
```

```
train loss 0.171, train acc 0.935, test acc 0.893
```





One way of adjusting the learning rate is to set it explicitly at each step. This is conveniently achieved by the set_learning_rate method. We could adjust it downward after every epoch (or even after every minibatch), e.g., in a dynamic manner in response to how optimization is progressing.

```
trainer.set_learning_rate(0.1)
print(f'learning_rate is now {trainer.learning_rate:.2f}')
```

learning rate is now 0.10

More generally we want to define a scheduler. When invoked with the number of updates it returns the appropriate value of the learning rate. Let's define a simple one that sets the learning rate to $\eta = \eta_0 (t+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

```
class SquareRootScheduler:
    def __init__(self, lr=0.1):
        self.lr = lr
    def __call__(self, num_update):
        return self.lr * pow(num_update + 1.0, -0.5)
```

Let's plot its behavior over a range of values.

```
scheduler = SquareRootScheduler(lr=0.1)
d2l.plot(np.arange(num_epochs), [scheduler(t) for t in range(num_epochs)])
```

Now let's see how this plays out for training on Fashion-MNIST. We simply provide the scheduler as an additional argument to the training algorithm.







This worked quite a bit better than previously. Two things stand out: the curve was rather more smooth than previously. Secondly, there was less overfitting. Unfortunately it is not a well-resolved question as to why certain strategies lead to less overfitting in *theory*. There is some argument that a smaller stepsize will lead to parameters that are closer to zero and thus simpler. However, this does not explain the phenomenon entirely since we do not really stop early but simply reduce the learning rate gently.

12.11.3 Policies

While we cannot possibly cover the entire variety of learning rate schedulers, we attempt to give a brief overview of popular policies below. Common choices are polynomial decay and piecewise constant schedules. Beyond that, cosine learning rate schedules have been found to work well empirically on some problems. Lastly, on some problems it is beneficial to warm up the optimizer prior to using large learning rates.

Factor Scheduler

One alternative to a polynomial decay would be a multiplicative one, that is $\eta_{t+1} \leftarrow \eta_t \cdot \alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. To prevent the learning rate from decaying beyond a reasonable lower bound the update equation is often modified to $\eta_{t+1} \leftarrow \max(\eta_{\min}, \eta_t \cdot \alpha)$.

```
class FactorScheduler:
    def __init__(self, factor=1, stop_factor_lr=1e-7, base_lr=0.1):
        self.factor = factor
        self.stop_factor_lr = stop_factor_lr
        self.base_lr = base_lr
```

(continued from previous page)

```
def __call__(self, num_update):
    self.base_lr = max(self.stop_factor_lr, self.base_lr * self.factor)
    return self.base_lr
scheduler = FactorScheduler(factor=0.9, stop_factor_lr=1e-2, base_lr=2.0)
d2l.plot(np.arange(50), [scheduler(t) for t in range(50)])
```



This can also be accomplished by a built-in scheduler in MXNet via the lr_scheduler. FactorScheduler object. It takes a few more parameters, such as warmup period, warmup mode (linear or constant), the maximum number of desired updates, etc.; Going forward we will use the built-in schedulers as appropriate and only explain their functionality here. As illustrated, it is fairly straightforward to build your own scheduler if needed.

Multi Factor Scheduler

A common strategy for training deep networks is to keep the learning rate piecewise constant and to decrease it by a given amount every so often. That is, given a set of times when to decrease the rate, such as $s = \{5, 10, 20\}$ decrease $\eta_{t+1} \leftarrow \eta_t \cdot \alpha$ whenever $t \in s$. Assuming that the values are halved at each step we can implement this as follows.



The intuition behind this piecewise constant learning rate schedule is that one lets optimization proceed until a stationary point has been reached in terms of the distribution of weight vectors. Then (and only then) do we decrease the rate such as to obtain a higher quality proxy to a good local minimum. The example below shows how this can produce ever slightly better solutions.

```
train loss 0.203, train acc 0.925, test acc 0.887
```



Cosine Scheduler

A rather perplexing heuristic was proposed by Loshchilov and Hutter (2016). It relies on the observation that we might not want to decrease the learning rate too drastically in the beginning and moreover, that we might want to "refine" the solution in the end using a very small learning rate. This results in a cosine-like schedule with the following functional form for learning rates in the range $t \in [0, T]$.

$$\eta_t = \eta_T + \frac{\eta_0 - \eta_T}{2} \left(1 + \cos(\pi t/T) \right)$$
(12.11.1)

Here η_0 is the initial learning rate, η_T is the target rate at time T. Furthermore, for t > T we simply pin the value to η_T without increasing it again. In the following example, we set the max update step T = 20.



In the context of computer vision this schedule *can* lead to improved results. Note, though, that such improvements are not guaranteed (as can be seen below).





Warmup

In some cases initializing the parameters is not sufficient to guarantee a good solution. This is particularly a problem for some advanced network designs that may lead to unstable optimization problems. We could address this by choosing a sufficiently small learning rate to prevent divergence in the beginning. Unfortunately this means that progress is slow. Conversely, a large learning rate initially leads to divergence.

A rather simple fix for this dilemma is to use a warmup period during which the learning rate *increases* to its initial maximum and to cool down the rate until the end of the optimization process. For simplicity one typically uses a linear increase for this purpose. This leads to a schedule of the form indicated below.



Note that the network converges better initially (in particular observe the performance during the first 5 epochs).

Warmup can be applied to any scheduler (not just cosine). For a more detailed discussion of learning rate schedules and many more experiments see also (Gotmare *et al.*, 2018). In



particular they find that a warmup phase limits the amount of divergence of parameters in very deep networks. This makes intuitively sense since we would expect significant divergence due to random initialization in those parts of the network that take the most time to make progress in the beginning.

12.11.4 Summary

- Decreasing the learning rate during training can lead to improved accuracy and (most perplexingly) reduced overfitting of the model.
- A piecewise decrease of the learning rate whenever progress has plateaued is effective in practice. Essentially this ensures that we converge efficiently to a suitable solution and only then reduce the inherent variance of the parameters by reducing the learning rate.
- Cosine schedulers are popular for some computer vision problems. See e.g., GluonCV¹⁷⁷ for details of such a scheduler.



- A warmup period before optimization can prevent divergence.
- Optimization serves multiple purposes in deep learning. Besides minimizing the training objective, different choices of optimization algorithms and learning rate scheduling can lead to rather different amounts of generalization and overfitting on the test set (for the same amount of training error).

Exercises

- 1. Experiment with the optimization behavior for a given fixed learning rate. What is the best model you can obtain this way?
- 2. How does convergence change if you change the exponent of the decrease in the learning rate? Use PolyScheduler for your convenience in the experiments.
- 3. Apply the cosine scheduler to large computer vision problems, e.g., training ImageNet. How does it affect performance relative to other schedulers?
- 4. How long should warmup last?
- Can you connect optimization and sampling? Start by using results from Welling and Teh (2011) on Stochastic Gradient Langevin Dynamics.

Discussions¹⁷⁸



In deep learning, datasets and models are usually large, which involves heavy computation. Therefore, computational performance matters a lot. This chapter will focus on the major factors that affect computational performance: imperative programming, symbolic programming, asynchronous computing, automatic parallelism, and multi-GPU computation. By studying this chapter, you may further improve computational performance of those models implemented in the previous chapters, for example, by reducing training time without affecting accuracy.

13.1 Compilers and Interpreters

So far, this book has focused on imperative programming, which makes use of statements such as print, +, and if to change a program's state. Consider the following example of a simple imperative program.

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b
def fancy_func(a, b, c, d):
    e = add(a, b)
    f = add(c, d)
    g = add(e, f)
    return g
print(fancy_func(1, 2, 3, 4))
```



Python is an *interpreted language*. When evaluating the above fancy_func function it performs the operations making up the function's body *in sequence*. That is, it will evaluate e = add(a, b) and store the results as variable e, thereby changing the program's state. The next two statements f = add(c, d) and g = add(e, f) will be executed similarly, performing additions and storing the results as variables. Fig.13.1.1 illustrates the flow of data.



Figure 13.1.1 Data flow in an imperative program.

Although imperative programming is convenient, it may be inefficient. On the one hand, even if the add function is repeatedly called throughout fancy_func, Python will execute the three function calls individually. If these are executed, say, on a GPU (or even on multiple GPUs), the overhead arising from the Python interpreter can become overwhelming. Moreover, it

will need to save the variable values of e and f until all the statements in fancy_func have been executed. This is because we do not know whether the variables e and f will be used by other parts of the program after the statements e = add(a, b) and f = add(c, d) are executed.

13.1.1 Symbolic Programming

Consider the alternative, *symbolic programming*, where computation is usually performed only once the process has been fully defined. This strategy is used by multiple deep learning frameworks, including Theano and TensorFlow (the latter has acquired imperative extensions). It usually involves the following steps:

- 1. Define the operations to be executed.
- 2. Compile the operations into an executable program.
- 3. Provide the required inputs and call the compiled program for execution.

This allows for a significant amount of optimization. First, we can skip the Python interpreter in many cases, thus removing a performance bottleneck that can become significant on multiple fast GPUs paired with a single Python thread on a CPU. Second, a compiler might optimize and rewrite the above code into print((1 + 2) + (3 + 4)) or even print(10). This is possible since a compiler gets to see the full code before turning it into machine instructions. For instance, it can release memory (or never allocate it) whenever a variable is no longer needed. Or it can transform the code entirely into an equivalent piece. To get a better idea, consider the following simulation of imperative programming (it is Python after all) below.

```
def add_():
    return '''
def add(a, b):
   return a + b
. . .
def fancy_func_():
    return ''
def fancy_func(a, b, c, d):
   e = add(a, b)
    f = add(c, d)
    g = add(e, f)
    return g
. . .
def evoke_():
    return add_() + fancy_func_() + 'print(fancy_func(1, 2, 3, 4))'
prog = evoke_()
print(prog)
y = compile(prog, '', 'exec')
exec(y)
```

```
def add(a, b):
    return a + b
def fancy_func(a, b, c, d):
    e = add(a, b)
    f = add(c, d)
    g = add(e, f)
    return g
print(fancy_func(1, 2, 3, 4))
10
```

The differences between imperative (interpreted) programming and symbolic programming are as follows:

- Imperative programming is easier. When imperative programming is used in Python, the
 majority of the code is straightforward and easy to write. It is also easier to debug imperative programming code. This is because it is easier to obtain and print all relevant
 intermediate variable values, or use Python's built-in debugging tools.
- Symbolic programming is more efficient and easier to port. Symbolic programming makes it easier to optimize the code during compilation, while also having the ability to port the program into a format independent of Python. This allows the program to be run in a non-Python environment, thus avoiding any potential performance issues related to the Python interpreter.

13.1.2 Hybrid Programming

Historically most deep learning frameworks choose between an imperative or a symbolic approach. For example, Theano, TensorFlow (inspired by the former), Keras, and CNTK formulate models symbolically. Conversely, Chainer and PyTorch take an imperative approach. An imperative mode was added to TensorFlow 2.0 and Keras in later revisions.

When designing Gluon, developers considered whether it would be possible to combine the benefits of both programming paradigms. This led to a hybrid model that lets users develop and debug with pure imperative programming, while having the ability to convert most programs into symbolic programs to be run when product-level computing performance and deployment are required.

In practice this means that we build models using the HybridBlock or HybridSequential class. By default, either of them is executed in the same way the Block or Sequential class is executed in imperative programming. The HybridSequential class is a subclass of HybridBlock (just like Sequential subclasses Block). When the hybridize function is called, Gluon compiles the model into the form used in symbolic programming. This allows one to optimize the computation-intensive components without sacrifices in the way a model is implemented. We will illustrate the benefits below, focusing on sequential models and blocks.

13.1.3 Hybridizing the Sequential Class

The easiest way to get a feel for how hybridization works is to consider deep networks with multiple layers. Conventionally the Python interpreter will need to execute the code for all layers to generate an instruction that can then be forwarded to a CPU or a GPU. For a single (fast) computing device this does not cause any major issues. On the other hand, if we use an advanced 8-GPU server such as an AWS P3dn.24xlarge instance Python will struggle to keep all GPUs busy. The single-threaded Python interpreter becomes the bottleneck here. Let's see how we can address this for significant parts of the code by replacing Sequential with HybridSequential. We begin by defining a simple MLP.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
# Factory for networks
def get_net():
    net = nn.HybridSequential()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

array([[0.16526186, -0.14005628]])

By calling the hybridize function, we are able to compile and optimize the computation in the MLP. The model's computation result remains unchanged.

net.hybridize()
net(x)

array([[0.16526186, -0.14005628]])

This seems almost too good to be true: simply designate a block to be HybridSequential, write the same code as before and invoke hybridize. Once this happens the network is optimized (we will benchmark the performance below). Unfortunately this does not work magically for every layer. That said, a layer will not be optimized if it inherits from the Block class instead of the HybridBlock class.

Acceleration by Hybridization

To demonstrate the performance improvement gained by compilation we compare the time needed to evaluate net(x) before and after hybridization. Let's define a class to measure this time first. It will come handy throughout the chapter as we set out to measure (and improve) performance.

```
#@save
class Benchmark:
    """For measuring running time."""
    def __init__(self, description='Done'):
        self.description = description
    def __enter__(self):
        self.timer = d2l.Timer()
        return self
    def __exit__(self, *args):
        print(f'{self.description}: {self.timer.stop():.4f} sec')
```

Now we can invoke the network twice, once with and once without hybridization.

```
net = get_net()
with Benchmark('Without hybridization'):
    for i in range(1000): net(x)
    npx.waitall()
net.hybridize()
with Benchmark('With hybridization'):
    for i in range(1000): net(x)
    npx.waitall()
```

```
Without hybridization: 0.6690 sec
With hybridization: 1.0871 sec
```

As is observed in the above results, after a HybridSequential instance calls the hybridize function, computing performance is improved through the use of symbolic programming.

Serialization

One of the benefits of compiling the models is that we can serialize (save) the model and its parameters to disk. This allows us to store a model in a manner that is independent of the front-end language of choice. This allows us to deploy trained models to other devices and easily use other front-end programming languages. At the same time the code is often faster than what can be achieved in imperative programming. Let's see the export function in action.

```
net.export('my_mlp')
!ls -lh my_mlp*
```

```
-rw-rw-r- 1 d2l-worker d2l-worker 643K Sep 7 22:54 my_mlp-0000.params
-rw-rw-r- 1 d2l-worker d2l-worker 3.0K Sep 7 22:54 my_mlp-symbol.json
```

The model is decomposed into a (large binary) parameter file and a JSON description of the program required to execute the model computation. The files can be read by other front-end languages supported by Python or MXNet, such as C++, R, Scala, and Perl. Let's have a look at the first few lines in the model description.

!head my_mlp-symbol.json

```
{
    "nodes": [
    {
        "op": "null",
        "name": "data",
        "inputs": []
    },
    {
        "op": "null",
        "name": "dense3_weight",
    }
}
```

Earlier, we demonstrated that, after calling the hybridize function, the model is able to achieve superior computing performance and portability. Note, though that hybridization can affect model flexibility, in particular in terms of control flow.

Besides, contrary to the Block instance, which needs to use the forward function, for a HybridBlock instance we need to use the hybrid_forward function.

```
class HybridNet(nn.HybridBlock):
    def __init__(self, **kwargs):
        super(HybridNet, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.hidden = nn.Dense(4)
        self.output = nn.Dense(2)
    def hybrid_forward(self, F, x):
        print('module F: ', F)
        print('value x: ', x)
        x = F.npx.relu(self.hidden(x))
```

(continued from previous page)

print('result : ', x)
return self.output(x)

The code above implements a simple network with 4 hidden units and 2 outputs. The hybrid_forward function takes an additional argument F. This is needed since, depending on whether the code has been hybridized or not, it will use a slightly different library (ndarray or symbol) for processing. Both classes perform very similar functions and MXNet automatically determines the argument. To understand what is going on we print the arguments as part of the function invocation.

```
net = HybridNet()
net.initialize()
x = np.random.normal(size=(1, 3))
net(x)
```

array([[0.00097611, 0.00019453]])

Repeating the forward computation will lead to the same output (we omit details). Now let's see what happens if we invoke the hybridize function.

```
net.hybridize()
net(x)
```

array([[0.00097611, 0.00019453]])

Instead of using ndarray we now use the symbol module for F. Moreover, even though the input is of ndarray type, the data flowing through the network is now converted to symbol type as part of the compilation process. Repeating the function call leads to a surprising outcome:

net(x)

array([[0.00097611, 0.00019453]])

This is quite different from what we saw previously. All print statements, as defined in hybrid_forward, are omitted. Indeed, after hybridization the execution of net(x) does not involve the Python interpreter any longer. This means that any spurious Python code is omitted (such as print statements) in favor of a much more streamlined execution and better performance. Instead, MXNet directly calls the C++ backend. Also note that some functions are not supported in the symbol module (e.g., asnumpy) and operations in-place such as a += b and a[:] = a + b must be rewritten as a = a + b. Nonetheless, compilation of models is worth the effort whenever speed matters. The benefit can range from small percentage points

to more than twice the speed, depending on the complexity of the model, the speed of the CPU, and the speed and number of GPUs.

- Imperative programming makes it easy to design new models since it is possible to write code with control flow and the ability to use a large amount of the Python software ecosystem.
- Symbolic programming requires that we specify the program and compile it before executing it. The benefit is improved performance.
- MXNet is able to combine the advantages of both approaches as needed.
- Models constructed by the HybridSequential and HybridBlock classes are able to convert imperative programs into symbolic programs by calling the hybridize function.

Exercises

- 1. Add x.asnumpy() to the first line of the hybrid_forward function of the HybridNet class in this section. Execute the code and observe the errors you encounter. Why do they happen?
- 2. What happens if we add control flow, i.e., the Python statements if and for in the hybrid_forward function?
- 3. Review the models that interest you in the previous chapters. Can you improve their computational performance by reimplementing them?

Discussions¹⁷⁹

13.2 Asynchronous Computation

Today's computers are highly parallel systems, consisting of multiple CPU cores (often multiple threads per core), multiple processing elements per GPU, and often multiple GPUs per device. In short, we can process many different things at the same time, often on different devices. Unfortunately Python is not a great way of writing parallel and asynchronous code, at least not without some extra help. After all, Python is single-threaded and this is unlikely to change in the future. Deep learning frameworks such as MXNet and TensorFlow adopt an *asynchronous programming* model to improve performance, while PyTorch uses Python's own scheduler leading to a different performance trade-off. For PyTorch, by default, GPU operations are asynchronous. When you call a function that uses the GPU, the operations are enqueued to the particular device, but not necessarily executed until later. This allows us to execute more computations in parallel, including operations on the CPU or other GPUs.

Hence, understanding how asynchronous programming works helps us to develop more efficient programs, by proactively reducing computational requirements and mutual dependencies. This allows us to reduce memory overhead and increase processor utilization.



```
import os
import subprocess
import numpy
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

13.2.1 Asynchrony via Backend

For a warmup consider the following toy problem: we want to generate a random matrix and multiply it. Let's do that both in NumPy and in mxnet.np to see the difference.

```
with d21.Benchmark('numpy'):
    for _ in range(10):
        a = numpy.random.normal(size=(1000, 1000))
        b = numpy.dot(a, a)
with d21.Benchmark('mxnet.np'):
    for _ in range(10):
        a = np.random.normal(size=(1000, 1000))
        b = np.dot(a, a)
```

numpy: 1.3209 sec mxnet.np: 0.0261 sec

The benchmark output via MXNet is orders of magnitude faster. Since both are executed on the same processor something else must be going on. Forcing MXNet to finish all the backend computation prior to returning shows what happened previously: computation is executed by the backend while the frontend returns control to Python.

```
with d2l.Benchmark():
    for _ in range(10):
        a = np.random.normal(size=(1000, 1000))
        b = np.dot(a, a)
        npx.waitall()
```

```
Done: 0.7954 sec
```

Broadly speaking, MXNet has a frontend for direct interactions with users, e.g., via Python, as well as a backend used by the system to perform the computation. As shown in Fig.13.2.1, users can write MXNet programs in various frontend languages, such as Python, R, Scala, and C++. Regardless of the frontend programming language used, the execution of MXNet programs occurs primarily in the backend of C++ implementations. Operations issued by the frontend language are passed on to the backend for execution. The backend manages its own threads that continuously collect and execute queued tasks. Note that for this to work the backend must be able to keep track of the dependencies between various steps in the computational graph. Hence, it is not possible to parallelize operations that depend on each other.

Let's look at another toy example to understand the dependency graph a bit better.

```
x = np.ones((1, 2))
y = np.ones((1, 2))
z = x * y + 2
z
```





.2.1 Programming language frontends and deep learning framework backends.

array([[3., 3.]])



Figure 13.2.2

2.2 The backend tracks dependencies between various steps in the computational graph.

The code snippet above is also illustrated in Fig.13.2.2. Whenever the Python frontend thread executes one of the first three statements, it simply returns the task to the backend queue. When the last statement's results need to be *printed*, the Python frontend thread will wait for the C++ backend thread to finish computing the result of the variable z. One benefit of this design is that the Python frontend thread does not need to perform actual computations. Thus, there is little impact on the program's overall performance, regardless of Python's performance. Fig.13.2.3 illustrates how frontend and backend interact.





13.2.2 Barriers and Blockers

There are a number of operations that will force Python to wait for completion:

- Most obviously npx.waitall() waits until all computation has completed, regardless of when the compute instructions were issued. In practice it is a bad idea to use this operator unless absolutely necessary since it can lead to poor performance.
- If we just want to wait until a specific variable is available we can call z.wait_to_read(). In this case MXNet blocks return to Python until the variable z has been computed. Other computation may well continue afterwards.

Let's see how this works in practice.

```
with d2l.Benchmark('waitall'):
    b = np.dot(a, a)
    npx.waitall()
with d2l.Benchmark('wait_to_read'):
    b = np.dot(a, a)
    b.wait_to_read()
```

waitall: 0.0220 sec wait_to_read: 0.0215 sec

Both operations take approximately the same time to complete. Besides the obvious blocking operations we recommend that you are aware of *implicit* blockers. Printing a variable clearly requires the variable to be available and is thus a blocker. Last, conversions to NumPy via z.asnumpy() and conversions to scalars via z.item() are blocking, since NumPy has no notion of asynchrony. It needs access to the values just like the print function.

Copying small amounts of data frequently from MXNet's scope to NumPy and back can destroy performance of an otherwise efficient code, since each such operation requires the computational graph to evaluate all intermediate results needed to get the relevant term *before* anything else can be done.

```
with d21.Benchmark('numpy conversion'):
    b = np.dot(a, a)
    b.asnumpy()
with d21.Benchmark('scalar conversion'):
    b = np.dot(a, a)
    b.sum().item()
```

numpy conversion: 0.0254 sec scalar conversion: 0.0226 sec

13.2.3 Improving Computation

On a heavily multithreaded system (even regular laptops have 4 threads or more and on multisocket servers this number can exceed 256) the overhead of scheduling operations can become significant. This is why it is highly desirable to have computation and scheduling occur asynchronously and in parallel. To illustrate the benefit of doing so let's see what happens if we increment a variable by 1 multiple times, both in sequence or asynchronously. We simulate synchronous execution by inserting a wait_to_read barrier in between each addition.

```
with d21.Benchmark('synchronous'):
    for _ in range(10000):
        y = x + 1
        y.wait_to_read()
with d21.Benchmark('asynchronous'):
    for _ in range(10000):
        y = x + 1
        npx.waitall()
```

synchronous: 0.9085 sec asynchronous: 0.8215 sec A slightly simplified interaction between the Python frontend thread and the C++ backend thread can be summarized as follows: 1. The frontend orders the backend to insert the computation task y = x + 1 into the queue. 1. The backend then receives the computation tasks from the queue and performs the actual computations. 1. The backend then returns the computation results to the frontend. Assume that the durations of these three stages are t_1, t_2 and t_3 , respectively. If we do not use asynchronous programming, the total time taken to perform 10000 computations is approximately $10000(t_1 + t_2 + t_3)$. If asynchronous programming is used, the total time taken to perform 10000 computations can be reduced to $t_1 + 10000t_2 + t_3$ (assuming $10000t_2 > 9999t_1$), since the frontend does not have to wait for the backend to return computation results for each loop.

13.2.4 Summary

- Deep learning frameworks may decouple the Python frontend from an execution backend. This allows for fast asynchronous insertion of commands into the backend and associated parallelism.
- Asynchrony leads to a rather responsive frontend. However, use caution not to overfill the task queue since it may lead to excessive memory consumption. It is recommended to synchronize for each minibatch to keep frontend and backend approximately synchronized.
- Chip vendors offer sophisticated performance analysis tools to obtain a much more finegrained insight into the efficiency of deep learning.
- Be aware of the fact that conversions from MXNet's memory management to Python will force the backend to wait until the specific variable is ready. Functions such as print, asnumpy and item all have this effect. This can be desirable but a careless use of synchronization can ruin performance.

Exercises

- 1. We mentioned above that using asynchronous computation can reduce the total amount of time needed to perform 10000 computations to $t_1 + 10000t_2 + t_3$. Why do we have to assume $10000t_2 > 9999t_1$ here?
- 2. Measure the difference between waitall and wait_to_read. Hint: perform a number of instructions and synchronize for an intermediate result.

Discussions¹⁸⁰

13.3 Automatic Parallelism

Deep learning frameworks (e.g., MXNet and PyTorch) automatically construct computational graphs at the backend. Using a computational graph, the system is aware of all the dependencies, and can selectively execute multiple non-interdependent tasks in parallel to improve speed. For instance, Fig.13.2.2 in Section 13.2 initializes two variables independently. Consequently the system can choose to execute them in parallel.

Typically, a single operator will use all the computational resources on all CPUs or on a single GPU. For example, the dot operator will use all cores (and threads) on all CPUs, even if there are multiple CPU processors on a single machine. The same applies to a single



GPU. Hence parallelization is not quite so useful for single-device computers. With multiple devices things matter more. While parallelization is typically most relevant between multiple GPUs, adding the local CPU will increase performance slightly. For example, see Hadjis *et al.* (2016) that focuses on training computer vision models combining a GPU and a CPU. With the convenience of an automatically parallelizing framework we can accomplish the same goal in a few lines of Python code. More broadly, our discussion of automatic parallel computation focuses on parallel computation using both CPUs and GPUs, as well as the parallelization of computation and communication.

Note that we need at least two GPUs to run the experiments in this section.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

13.3.1 Parallel Computation on GPUs

Let's start by defining a reference workload to test: the run function below performs 10 matrix-matrix multiplications on the device of our choice using data allocated into two variables: x_gpu1 and x_gpu2.

```
devices = d21.try_all_gpus()
def run(x):
    return [x.dot(x) for _ in range(50)]
x_gpu1 = np.random.uniform(size=(4000, 4000), ctx=devices[0])
x_gpu2 = np.random.uniform(size=(4000, 4000), ctx=devices[1])
```

Now we apply the function to the data. To ensure that caching does not play a role in the results we warm up the devices by performing a single pass on either of them prior to measuring.

```
run(x_gpu1) # Warm-up both devices
run(x_gpu2)
npx.waitall()
with d2l.Benchmark('GPU1 time'):
    run(x_gpu1)
    npx.waitall()
with d2l.Benchmark('GPU2 time'):
    run(x_gpu2)
    npx.waitall()
```

GPU1 time: 0.5095 sec GPU2 time: 0.5061 sec

If we remove the waitall statement between both tasks the system is free to parallelize computation on both devices automatically.

```
with d2l.Benchmark('GPU1 & GPU2'):
    run(x_gpu1)
    run(x_gpu2)
    npx.waitall()
```

```
GPU1 & GPU2: 0.5131 sec
```
In the above case the total execution time is less than the sum of its parts, since the deep learning framework automatically schedules computation on both GPU devices without the need for sophisticated code on behalf of the user.

13.3.2 Parallel Computation and Communication

In many cases we need to move data between different devices, say between the CPU and GPU, or between different GPUs. For instance, this occurs when we want to perform distributed optimization where we need to aggregate the gradients over multiple accelerator cards. Let's simulate this by computing on the GPU and then copying the results back to the CPU.

```
def copy_to_cpu(x):
    return [y.copyto(npx.cpu()) for y in x]
with d2l.Benchmark('Run on GPU1'):
    y = run(x_gpu1)
    npx.waitall()
with d2l.Benchmark('Copy to CPU'):
    y_cpu = copy_to_cpu(y)
    npx.waitall()
```

Run on GPU1: 0.5355 sec Copy to CPU: 2.4212 sec

This is somewhat inefficient. Note that we could already start copying parts of y to the CPU while the remainder of the list is still being computed. This situation occurs, e.g., when we compute the gradient on a minibatch. The gradients of some of the parameters will be available earlier than that of others. Hence it works to our advantage to start using PCI-Express bus bandwidth while the GPU is still running. Removing waitall between both parts allows us to simulate this scenario.

```
with d2l.Benchmark('Run on GPU1 and copy to CPU'):
    y = run(x_gpu1)
    y_cpu = copy_to_cpu(y)
    npx.waitall()
```

Run on GPU1 and copy to CPU: 2.4604 sec

The total time required for both operations is (as expected) less than the sum of their parts. Note that this task is different from parallel computation as it uses a different resource: the bus between the CPU and GPUs. In fact, we could compute on both devices and communicate, all at the same time. As noted above, there is a dependency between computation and communication: y[i] must be computed before it can be copied to the CPU. Fortunately, the system can copy y[i-1] while computing y[i] to reduce the total running time.

We conclude with an illustration of the computational graph and its dependencies for a simple two-layer MLP when training on a CPU and two GPUs, as depicted in Fig.13.3.1. It would be quite painful to schedule the parallel program resulting from this manually. This is where it is advantageous to have a graph-based computing backend for optimization.

13.3.3 Summary

• Modern systems have a variety of devices, such as multiple GPUs and CPUs. They can be used in parallel, asynchronously.



Figure 13.3.1 The computational graph and its dependencies of a two-layer MLP on a CPU and two GPUs.

- Modern systems also have a variety of resources for communication, such as PCI Express, storage (typically solid-state drives or via networks), and network bandwidth. They can be used in parallel for peak efficiency.
- The backend can improve performance through automatic parallel computation and communication.

Exercises

- 1. Eight operations were performed in the run function defined in this section. There are no dependencies between them. Design an experiment to see if the deep learning framework will automatically execute them in parallel.
- 2. When the workload of an individual operator is sufficiently small, parallelization can help even on a single CPU or GPU. Design an experiment to verify this.
- 3. Design an experiment that uses parallel computation on CPUs, GPUs, and communication between both devices.



181

182

- 4. Use a debugger such as NVIDIA's Nsight¹⁸¹ to verify that your code is efficient.
- 5. Designing computation tasks that include more complex data dependencies, and run experiments to see if you can obtain the correct results while improving performance.

Discussions¹⁸²

13.4 Hardware

Building systems with great performance requires a good understanding of the algorithms and models to capture the statistical aspects of the problem. At the same time it is also indispensable to have at least a modicum of knowledge of the underlying hardware. The current section is no substitute for a proper course on hardware and system design. Instead, it might serve as a starting point for understanding why some algorithms are more efficient than others and how to achieve good throughput. A good design can easily make a difference of an order of magnitude and, in turn, this can make the difference between being able to train a network (e.g., in a week) and not at all (in 3 months, thus missing the deadline). We will start by looking at computers. Then we will zoom in to look more carefully at CPUs and GPUs. Lastly we zoom out to review how multiple computers are connected in a server center or in the cloud.





Latency Numbers that every programmer should know.





Impatient readers may be able to get by with Fig.13.4.1. It is taken from Colin Scott's interactive post¹⁸³ that gives a good overview of the progress over the past decade. The original numbers are due to Jeff Dean's Stanford talk from 2010^{184} . The discussion below explains some of the rationale for these numbers and how they can guide us in designing algorithms. The discussion below is very high level and cursory. It is clearly *no substitute* for a proper course but rather just meant to provide enough information for a statistical modeler to make suitable design decisions. For an in-depth overview of computer architecture we refer the reader to (Hennessy and Patterson, 2011) or a recent course on the subject, such as the one by Arste Asanovic¹⁸⁵.



13.4.1 Computers

Most deep learning researchers and practitioners have access to a computer with a fair amount of memory, computation, some form of an accelerator such as a GPU, or multiples thereof. A computer consists of the following key components:

- A processor (also referred to as a CPU) that is able to execute the programs we give it (in addition to running an operating system and many other things), typically consisting of 8 or more cores.
- Memory (RAM) to store and retrieve the results from computation, such as weight vectors and activations, and training data.
- An Ethernet network connection (sometimes multiple) with speeds ranging from 1 GB/s to 100 GB/s. On high end servers more advanced interconnects can be found.
- A high speed expansion bus (PCIe) to connect the system to one or more GPUs. Servers

have up to 8 accelerators, often connected in an advanced topology, while desktop systems have 1 or 2, depending on the budget of the user and the size of the power supply.

• Durable storage, such as a magnetic hard disk drive, a solid state drive, in many cases connected using the PCIe bus. It provides efficient transfer of training data to the system and storage of intermediate checkpoints as needed.



Figure 13.4.2 Connectivity of components of a computer.

As Fig.13.4.2 indicates, most components (network, GPU, and storage) are connected to the CPU across the PCIe bus. It consists of multiple lanes that are directly attached to the CPU. For instance AMD's Threadripper 3 has 64 PCIe 4.0 lanes, each of which is capable 16 Gbit/s data transfer in both directions. The memory is directly attached to the CPU with a total bandwidth of up to 100 GB/s.

When we run code on a computer we need to shuffle data to the processors (CPUs or GPUs), perform computation, and then move the results off the processor back to RAM and durable storage. Hence, in order to get good performance we need to make sure that this works seam-lessly without any one of the systems becoming a major bottleneck. For instance, if we cannot load images quickly enough the processor will not have any work to do. Likewise, if we cannot move matrices quickly enough to the CPU (or GPU), its processing elements will starve. Finally, if we want to synchronize multiple computers across the network, the latter should not slow down computation. One option is to interleave communication and computation. Let's have a look at the various components in more detail.

13.4.2 Memory

At its most basic memory is used to store data that needs to be readily accessible. At present CPU RAM is typically of the DDR4¹⁸⁶ variety, offering 20–25 GB/s bandwidth per module. Each module has a 64-bit-wide bus. Typically pairs of memory modules are used to allow for multiple channels. CPUs have between 2 and 4 memory channels, i.e., they have between 4 0GB/s and 100 GB/s peak memory bandwidth. Often there are two banks per channel. For instance AMD's Zen 3 Threadripper has 8 slots.



While these numbers are impressive, indeed, they only tell part of the story. When we want to read a portion from memory we first need to tell the memory module where the information can be found. That is, we first need to send the *address* to RAM. Once this is accomplished we can choose to read just a single 64 bit record or a long sequence of records. The latter is called *burst read*. In a nutshell, sending an address to memory and setting up the transfer takes approximately 100 ns (details depend on the specific timing coefficients of the memory chips used), every subsequent transfer takes only 0.2 ns. In short, the first read is 500 times as expensive as subsequent ones! Note that we could perform up to 10,000,000 random reads per second. This suggests that we avoid random memory access as far as possible and use burst reads (and writes) instead.

Matters are a bit more complex when we take into account that we have multiple *banks*. Each bank can read memory largely independently. This means two things. On the one hand, the effective number of random reads is up to 4 times higher, provided that they are spread evenly across memory. It also means that it is still a bad idea to perform random reads since burst reads are 4 times faster, too. On the other hand, due to memory alignment to 64 bit boundaries it is a good idea to align any data structures with the same boundaries. Compilers



189 • Actor • Constant do this pretty much automatically¹⁸⁷ when the appropriate flags are set. Curious readers are encouraged to review a lecture on DRAMs such as the one by Zeshan Chishti¹⁸⁸.

GPU memory is subject to even higher bandwidth requirements since they have many more processing elements than CPUs. By and large there are two options to address them. The first is to make the memory bus significantly wider. For instance, NVIDIA's RTX 2080 Ti has a 352-bit-wide bus. This allows for much more information to be transferred at the same time. Second, GPUs use specific high-performance memory. Consumer-grade devices, such as NVIDIA's RTX and Titan series typically use GDDR6¹⁸⁹ chips with over 500 GB/s aggregate bandwidth. An alternative is to use HBM (high bandwidth memory) modules. They use a very different interface and connect directly with GPUs on a dedicated silicon wafer. This makes them very expensive and their use is typically limited to high-end server chips, such as the NVIDIA Volta V100 series of accelerators. Quite unsurprisingly, GPU memory is generally *much* smaller than CPU memory due to the higher cost of the former. For our purposes, by and large their performance characteristics are similar, just a lot faster. We can safely ignore the details for the purpose of this book. They only matter when tuning GPU kernels for high throughput.

13.4.3 Storage

We saw that some of the key characteristics of RAM are *bandwidth* and *latency*. The same is true for storage devices, just that the differences can be even more extreme.

Hard Disk Drives

Hard disk drives (HDDs) have been in use for over half a century. In a nutshell they contain a number of spinning platters with heads that can be positioned to read or write at any given track. High-end disks hold up to 16 TB on 9 platters. One of the key benefits of HDDs is that they are relatively inexpensive. One of their many downsides are their typically catastrophic failure modes and their relatively high read latency.

To understand the latter, consider the fact that HDDs spin at around 7,200 RPM (revolutions per minute). If they were much faster they would shatter due to the centrifugal force exerted on the platters. This has a major downside when it comes to accessing a specific sector on the disk: we need to wait until the platter has rotated in position (we can move the heads but not accelerate the actual disks). Hence it can take over 8 ms until the requested data is available. A common way this is expressed is to say that HDDs can operate at approximately 100 IOPs (input/output operations per second). This number has essentially remained unchanged for the past two decades. Worse still, it is equally difficult to increase bandwidth (it is in the order of 100–200 MB/s). After all, each head reads a track of bits, hence the bit rate only scales with the square root of the information density. As a result, HDDs are quickly becoming relegated to archival storage and low-grade storage for very large datasets.

Solid State Drives

Solid state drives (SSDs) use flash memory to store information persistently. This allows for *much faster* access to stored records. Modern SSDs can operate at 100,000 to 500,000 IOPs, i.e., up to 3 orders of magnitude faster than HDDs. Furthermore, their bandwidth can reach 1–3GB/s, i.e., one order of magnitude faster than HDDs. These improvements sound almost too good to be true. Indeed, they come with the following caveats, due to the way SSDs are designed.

• SSDs store information in blocks (256 KB or larger). They can only be written as a whole,

which takes significant time. Consequently bit-wise random writes on SSD have very poor performance. Likewise, writing data in general takes significant time since the block has to be read, erased and then rewritten with new information. By now SSD controllers and firmware have developed algorithms to mitigate this. Nonetheless, writes can be much slower, in particular for QLC (quad level cell) SSDs. The key for improved performance is to maintain a *queue* of operations, to prefer reads and to write in large blocks if possible.

- The memory cells in SSDs wear out relatively quickly (often already after a few thousand writes). Wear-level protection algorithms are able to spread the degradation over many cells. That said, it is not recommended to use SSDs for swapping files or for large aggregations of log-files.
- Lastly, the massive increase in bandwidth has forced computer designers to attach SSDs directly to the PCIe bus. The drives capable of handling this, referred to as NVMe (Non Volatile Memory enhanced), can use up to 4 PCIe lanes. This amounts to up to 8GB/s on PCIe 4.0.

Cloud Storage

Cloud storage provides a configurable range of performance. That is, the assignment of storage to virtual machines is dynamic, both in terms of quantity and in terms of speed, as chosen by users. We recommend that users increase the provisioned number of IOPs whenever latency is too high, e.g., during training with many small records.

13.4.4 CPUs

Central processing units (CPUs) are the centerpiece of any computer. They consist of a number of key components: *processor cores* that are able to execute machine code, a *bus* connecting them (the specific topology differs significantly between processor models, generations, and vendors), and *caches* to allow for higher bandwidth and lower latency memory access than what is possible by reads from main memory. Lastly, almost all modern CPUs contain *vector processing units* to aid with high performance linear algebra and convolutions, as they are common in media processing and machine learning.





Fig.13.4.3 depicts an Intel Skylake consumer-grade quad-core CPU. It has an integrated GPU, caches, and a ringbus connecting the four cores. Peripherals, such as Ethernet, WiFi,

Bluetooth, SSD controller, and USB, are either part of the chipset or directly attached (PCIe) to the CPU.

Microarchitecture

Each of the processor cores consists of a rather sophisticated set of components. While details differ between generations and vendors, the basic functionality is pretty much standard. The front-end loads instructions and tries to predict which path will be taken (e.g., for control flow). Instructions are then decoded from assembly code to microinstructions. Assembly code is often not the lowest level code that a processor executes. Instead, complex instructions may be decoded into a set of more lower level operations. These are then processed by the actual execution core. Often the latter is capable of performing many operations simultaneously. For instance, the ARM Cortex A77 core of Fig.13.4.4 is able to perform up to 8 operations simultaneously.



Figure 13.4.4 ARM Cortex A77 Microarchitecture.

This means that efficient programs might be able to perform more than one instruction per clock cycle, provided that they can be carried out independently. Not all units are created equal. Some specialize in integer instructions whereas others are optimized for floating point performance. To increase throughput, the processor might also follow multiple code paths simultaneously in a branching instruction and then discard the results of the branches not taken. This is why branch prediction units matter (on the front-end) such that only the most promising paths are pursued.

Vectorization

Deep learning is extremely compute-hungry. Hence, to make CPUs suitable for machine learning, one needs to perform many operations in one clock cycle. This is achieved via vector units. They have different names: on ARM they are called NEON, on x86 they (a recent generation) are referred to as AVX2¹⁹⁰ units. A common aspect is that they are able to perform SIMD (single instruction multiple data) operations. Fig.13.4.5 shows how 8 short integers can be added in one clock cycle on ARM.

Depending on architecture choices, such registers are up to 512 bits long, allowing for the combination of up to 64 pairs of numbers. For instance, we might be multiplying two numbers and adding them to a third, which is also known as a fused multiply-add. Intel's OpenVino ¹⁹¹ uses these to achieve respectable throughput for deep learning on server-grade CPUs.



190

Note, though, that this number is entirely dwarfed by what GPUs are capable of achieving. For instance, NVIDIA's RTX 2080 Ti has 4,352 CUDA cores, each of which is capable of processing such an operation at any time.



Figure 13.4.5 128 bit NEON vectorization.

Cache

Consider the following situation: we have a modest CPU core with 4 cores as depicted in Fig.13.4.3 above, running at 2 GHz frequency. Moreover, let's assume that we have an IPC (instructions per clock) count of 1 and that the units have AVX2 with 256-bit width enabled. Let's furthermore assume that at least one of the registers used for AVX2 operations needs to be retrieved from memory. This means that the CPU consumes 4×256 bit = 128 bytes of data per clock cycle. Unless we are able to transfer $2 \times 10^9 \times 128 = 256 \times 10^9$ bytes to the processor per second the processing elements are going to starve. Unfortunately the memory interface of such a chip only supports 20–40 GB/s data transfer, i.e., one order of magnitude less. The fix is to avoid loading *new* data from memory as far as possible and rather to cache it locally on the CPU. This is where caches come in handy. Commonly the following names or concepts are used:

- **Registers** are strictly speaking not part of the cache. They help stage instructions. That said, CPU registers are memory locations that a CPU can access at clock speed without any delay penalty. CPUs have tens of registers. It is up to the compiler (or programmer) to use registers efficiently. For instance the C programming language has a register keyword.
- L1 caches are the first line of defense against high memory bandwidth requirements. L1 caches are tiny (typical sizes might be 32–64 KB) and often split into data and instructions caches. When data is found in the L1 cache, access is very fast. If they cannot be found there, the search progresses down the cache hierarchy.
- L2 caches are the next stop. Depending on architecture design and processor size they might be exclusive. They might be accessible only by a given core or shared among multiple cores. L2 caches are larger (typically 256–512 KB per core) and slower than L1. Furthermore, to access something in L2 we first need to check to realize that the data is not in L1, which adds a small amount of extra latency.
- L3 caches are shared among multiple cores and can be quite large. AMD's Epyc 3 server CPUs have a whopping 256 MB of cache spread across multiple chiplets. More typical numbers are in the 4–8 MB range.

Predicting which memory elements will be needed next is one of the key optimization parameters in chip design. For instance, it is advisable to traverse memory in a *forward* direction since most caching algorithms will try to *read ahead* rather than backwards. Likewise, keeping memory access patterns local is a good way of improving performance.

Adding caches is a double-edge sword. On the one hand they ensure that the processor cores do not starve of data. At the same time they increase chip size, using up area that otherwise could have been spent on increasing processing power. Moreover, *cache misses* can be expensive. Consider the worst case scenario, *false sharing*, as depicted in Fig.13.4.6. A memory location is cached on processor 0 when a thread on processor 1 requests the data. To obtain it, processor 0 needs to stop what it is doing, write the information back to main memory and

then let processor 1 read it from memory. During this operation both processors wait. Quite potentially such code runs *more slowly* on multiple processors when compared with an efficient single-processor implementation. This is one more reason for why there is a practical limit to cache sizes (besides their physical size).



Figure 13.4.6 False sharing (image courtesy of Intel).

13.4.5 GPUs and other Accelerators

It is not an exaggeration to claim that deep learning would not have been successful without GPUs. By the same token, it is quite reasonable to argue that GPU manufacturers' fortunes have increased significantly due to deep learning. This co-evolution of hardware and algorithms has led to a situation where for better or worse deep learning is the preferable statistical modeling paradigm. Hence it pays to understand the specific benefits that GPUs and related accelerators such as the TPU (Jouppi *et al.*, 2017).

Of note is a distinction that is often made in practice: accelerators are optimized either for training or inference. For the latter we only need to compute the forward propagation in a network. No storage of intermediate data is needed for backpropagation. Moreover, we may not need very precise computation (FP16 or INT8 typically suffice). On the other hand, during training all intermediate results need storage to compute gradients. Moreover, accumulating gradients requires higher precision to avoid numerical underflow (or overflow). This means that FP16 (or mixed precision with FP32) is the minimum requirement. All of this necessitates faster and larger memory (HBM2 vs. GDDR6) and more processing power. For instance, NVIDIA's Turing¹⁹² T4 GPUs are optimized for inference whereas the V100 GPUs are preferable for training.



Recall vectorization as illustrated in Fig.13.4.5. Adding vector units to a processor core allowed us to increase throughput significantly. For example, in the example in Fig.13.4.5 we were able to perform 16 operations simultaneously. First, what if we added operations that optimized not just operations between vectors but also between matrices? This strategy led to tensor cores (to be covered shortly). Second, what if we added many more cores? In a nutshell, these two strategies summarize the design decisions in GPUs. Fig.13.4.7 gives an overview of a basic processing block. It contains 16 integer and 16 floating point units. In addition to that, two tensor cores accelerate a narrow subset of additional operations relevant for deep learning. Each streaming multiprocessor consists of four such blocks.

Next, 12 streaming multiprocessors are grouped into graphics processing clusters which make up the high-end TU102 processors. Ample memory channels and an L2 cache complement the setup. Fig.13.4.8 has the relevant details. One of the reasons for designing such a device is that individual blocks can be added or removed as needed to allow for more compact chips and to deal with yield issues (faulty modules might not be activated). Fortunately programming such devices is well hidden from the casual deep learning researcher beneath layers of CUDA and framework code. In particular, more than one of the programs might well be executed simultaneously on the GPU, provided that there are available resources. Nonetheless it pays



Figure 13.4.7 NVIDIA Turing processing block (image courtesy of NVIDIA).

to be aware of the limitations of the devices to avoid picking models that do not fit into device memory.



Figure 13.4.8 NVIDIA Turing architecture (image courtesy of NVIDIA)

A last aspect that is worth mentioning in more detail are *tensor cores*. They are an example of a recent trend of adding more optimized circuits that are specifically effective for deep learning. For instance, the TPU added a systolic array (Kung, 1988) for fast matrix multiplication. There the design was to support a very small number (one for the first generation of TPUs) of large operations. Tensor cores are at the other end. They are optimized for small operations involving between 4×4 and 16×16 matrices, depending on their numerical precision. Fig.13.4.9 gives an overview of the optimizations.

Obviously when optimizing for computation we end up making certain compromises. One of them is that GPUs are not very good at handling interrupts and sparse data. While there are notable exceptions, such as Gunrock¹⁹³ (Wang *et al.*, 2016), the access pattern of sparse matrices and vectors do not go well with the high bandwidth burst read operations where GPUs excel. Matching both goals is an area of active research. See e.g., DGL¹⁹⁴, a library tuned for deep learning on graphs.



194 **1**54

13.4.6 Networks and Buses

Whenever a single device is insufficient for optimization we need to transfer data to and from it to synchronize processing. This is where networks and buses come in handy. We have a





number of design parameters: bandwidth, cost, distance, and flexibility. On one end we have WiFi that has a pretty good range, is very easy to use (no wires, after all), cheap but it offers comparatively mediocre bandwidth and latency. No machine learning researcher within their right mind would use it to build a cluster of servers. In what follows we focus on interconnects that are suitable for deep learning.

- PCIe is a dedicated bus for very high bandwidth point-to-point connections (up to 32 GB/s on PCIe 4.0 in a 16-lane slot) per lane. Latency is in the order of single-digit microseconds (5 μs). PCIe links are precious. Processors only have a limited number of them: AMD's EPYC 3 has 128 lanes, Intel's Xeon has up to 48 lanes per chip; on desktop-grade CPUs the numbers are 20 (Ryzen 9) and 16 (Core i9) respectively. Since GPUs have typically 16 lanes, this limits the number of GPUs that can connect to the CPU at full bandwidth. After all, they need to share the links with other high bandwidth peripherals such as storage and Ethernet. Just like with RAM access, large bulk transfers are preferable due to reduced packet overhead.
- Ethernet is the most commonly used way of connecting computers. While it is significantly slower than PCIe, it is very cheap and resilient to install and covers much longer distances. Typical bandwidth for low-grade servers is 1 GBit/s. Higher-end devices (e.g., C5 instances¹⁹⁵ in the cloud) offer between 10 and 100 GBit/s bandwidth. As in all previous cases data transmission has significant overheads. Note that we almost never use raw Ethernet directly but rather a protocol that is executed on top of the physical interconnect (such as UDP or TCP/IP). This adds further overhead. Like PCIe, Ethernet is designed to connect two devices, e.g., a computer and a switch.
- Switches allow us to connect multiple devices in a manner where any pair of them can carry out a (typically full bandwidth) point-to-point connection simultaneously. For instance, Ethernet switches might connect 40 servers at high cross-sectional bandwidth. Note that switches are not unique to traditional computer networks. Even PCIe lanes can be switched ¹⁹⁶. This occurs, e.g., to connect a large number of GPUs to a host processor, as is the case for the P2 instances¹⁹⁷.
- NVLink is an alternative to PCIe when it comes to very high bandwidth interconnects. It
 offers up to 300 Gbit/s data transfer rate per link. Server GPUs (Volta V100) have six
 links whereas consumer-grade GPUs (RTX 2080 Ti) have only one link, operating at a
 reduced 100 Gbit/s rate. We recommend to use NCCL¹⁹⁸ to achieve high data transfer
 between GPUs.



195

196

197

13.4.7 More Latency Numbers

The summary in Section 13.4.7 and Section	13.4.7 are	from Eliot Eshelman ¹⁹⁹ who main-	
ains an updated version of the numbers as a GitHub gist ²⁰⁰ .			
Action	Time	Notes	
L1 cache reference/hit	1.5 ns	4 cycles 200	
Floating-point add/mult/FMA	1.5 ns	4 cycles	
L2 cache reference/hit	5 ns	12 ~ 17 cycles	
Branch mispredict	6 ns	15 ~ 20 cycles	
L3 cache hit (unshared cache)	16 ns	42 cycles	
L3 cache hit (shared in another core)	25 ns	65 cycles	
Mutex lock/unlock	25 ns		
L3 cache hit (modified in another core)	29 ns	75 cycles	
L3 cache hit (on a remote CPU socket)	40 ns	100 ~ 300 cycles (40 ~ 116 ns)	
QPI hop to a another CPU (per hop)	40 ns		
64MB memory ref. (local CPU)	46 ns	TinyMemBench on Broadwell E5-2690v4	
64MB memory ref. (remote CPU)	70 ns	TinyMemBench on Broadwell E5-2690v4	
256MB memory ref. (local CPU)	75 ns	TinyMemBench on Broadwell E5-2690v4	
Intel Optane random write	94 ns	UCSD Non-Volatile Systems Lab	
256MB memory ref. (remote CPU)	120 ns	TinyMemBench on Broadwell E5-2690v4	
Intel Optane random read	305 ns	UCSD Non-Volatile Systems Lab	
Send 4KB over 100 Gbps HPC fabric	1 μs	MVAPICH2 over Intel Omni-Path	
Compress 1KB with Google Snappy	3 µs		
Send 4KB over 10 Gbps ethernet	10 µs		
Write 4KB randomly to NVMe SSD	30 µs	DC P3608 NVMe SSD (QOS 99% is 500µs)	
Transfer 1MB to/from NVLink GPU	30 µs	~33GB/s on NVIDIA 40GB NVLink	
Transfer 1MB to/from PCI-E GPU	80 µs	~12GB/s on PCIe 3.0 x16 link	
Read 4KB randomly from NVMe SSD	120 µs	DC P3608 NVMe SSD (QOS 99%)	
Read 1MB sequentially from NVMe SSD	208 µs	~4.8GB/s DC P3608 NVMe SSD	
Write 4KB randomly to SATA SSD	500 µs	DC \$3510 SATA SSD (QOS 99.9%)	
Read 4KB randomly from SATA SSD	500 μs	DC \$3510 SATA SSD (QOS 99.9%)	
Round trip within same data center	500 μs	One-way ping is ~250µs	
Read 1MB sequentially from SATA SSD	2 ms	~550MB/s DC S3510 SATA SSD	

Table: Common Latency Numbers.

Read 1MB sequentially from disk

Random Disk Access (seek+rotation)

Send packet CA->Netherlands->CA

Action	Time	Notes
GPU Shared Memory access	30 ns	30~90 cycles (bank conflicts add latency)
GPU Global Memory access	200 ns	200~800 cycles
Launch CUDA kernel on GPU	10 µs	Host CPU instructs GPU to start kernel
Transfer 1MB to/from NVLink GPU	30 µs	~33GB/s on NVIDIA 40GB NVLink
Transfer 1MB to/from PCI-E GPU	80 µs	~12GB/s on PCI-Express x16 link

5 ms

10 ms

150 ms

~200MB/s server HDD

Table: Latency Numbers for NVIDIA Tesla GPUs.

13.4.8 Summary

- Devices have overheads for operations. Hence it is important to aim for a small number of large transfers rather than many small ones. This applies to RAM, SSDs, networks and GPUs.
- Vectorization is key for performance. Make sure you are aware of the specific abilities of your accelerator. E.g., some Intel Xeon CPUs are particularly good for INT8 operations, NVIDIA Volta GPUs excel at FP16 matrix-matrix operations and NVIDIA Turing shines at FP16, INT8, and INT4 operations.
- Numerical overflow due to small data types can be a problem during training (and to a lesser extent during inference).
- Aliasing can significantly degrade performance. For instance, memory alignment on 64 bit CPUs should be done with respect to 64 bit boundaries. On GPUs it is a good idea to keep convolution sizes aligned, e.g., to tensor cores.
- Match your algorithms to the hardware (e.g., memory footprint, and bandwidth). Great speedup (orders of magnitude) can be achieved when fitting the parameters into caches.
- We recommend that you sketch out the performance of a novel algorithm on paper before verifying the experimental results. Discrepancies of an order-of-magnitude or more are reasons for concern.
- Use profilers to debug performance bottlenecks.
- Training and inference hardware have different sweet spots in terms of price and performance.

Exercises

- 1. Write C code to test whether there is any difference in speed between accessing memory aligned or misaligned relative to the external memory interface. Hint: be careful of caching effects.
- 2. Test the difference in speed between accessing memory in sequence or with a given stride.
- 3. How could you measure the cache sizes on a CPU?
- 4. How would you lay out data across multiple memory channels for maximum bandwidth? How would you lay it out if you had many small threads?
- 5. An enterprise-class HDD is spinning at 10,000 rpm. What is the absolutely minimum time an HDD needs to spend worst case before it can read data (you can assume that heads move almost instantaneously)? Why are 2.5" HDDs becoming popular for commercial servers (relative to 3.5" and 5.25" drives)?
- 6. Assume that an HDD manufacturer increases the storage density from 1 Tbit per square inch to 5 Tbit per square inch. How much information can you store on a ring on a 2.5" HDD? Is there a difference between the inner and outer tracks?
- 7. Going from 8 bit to 16 bit data types increases the amount of silicon approximately by four times. Why? Why might NVIDIA have added INT4 operations to their Turing GPUs?
- 8. How much faster is it to read forward through memory vs. reading backwards? Does this number differ between different computers and CPU vendors? Why? Write C code and experiment with it.
- 9. Can you measure the cache size of your disk? What is it for a typical HDD? Do SSDs need a cache?

- Measure the packet overhead when sending messages across the Ethernet. Look up the difference between UDP and TCP/IP connections.
- 11. Direct memory access allows devices other than the CPU to write (and read) directly to (from) memory. Why is this a good idea?
- 12. Look at the performance numbers for the Turing T4 GPU. Why does the performance "only" double as you go from FP16 to INT8 and INT4?
- 13. What is the shortest time it should take for a packet on a round trip between San Francisco and Amsterdam? Hint: you can assume that the distance is 10,000 km.

Discussions²⁰¹



13.5 Training on Multiple GPUs

So far we discussed how to train models efficiently on CPUs and GPUs. We even showed how deep learning frameworks allow one to parallelize computation and communication automatically between them in Section 13.3. We also showed in Section 6.7 how to list all the available GPUs on a computer using the nvidia-smi command. What we did *not* discuss is how to actually parallelize deep learning training. Instead, we implied in passing that one would somehow split the data across multiple devices and make it work. The present section fills in the details and shows how to train a network in parallel when starting from scratch. Details on how to take advantage of functionality in high-level APIs is relegated to Section 13.6. We assume that you are familiar with minibatch stochastic gradient descent algorithms such as the ones described in Section 12.5.

13.5.1 Splitting the Problem

Let's start with a simple computer vision problem and a slightly archaic network, e.g., with multiple layers of convolutions, pooling, and possibly a few fully connected layers in the end. That is, let's start with a network that looks quite similar to LeNet (LeCun *et al.*, 1998) or AlexNet (Krizhevsky *et al.*, 2012). Given multiple GPUs (2 if it is a desktop server, 4 on an AWS g4dn.12xlarge instance, 8 on a p3.16xlarge, or 16 on a p2.16xlarge), we want to partition training in a manner as to achieve good speedup while simultaneously benefitting from simple and reproducible design choices. Multiple GPUs, after all, increase both *memory* and *computation* ability. In a nutshell, we have the following choices, given a minibatch of training data that we want to classify.

First, we could partition the network across multiple GPUs. That is, each GPU takes as input the data flowing into a particular layer, processes data across a number of subsequent layers and then sends the data to the next GPU. This allows us to process data with larger networks when compared with what a single GPU could handle. Besides, memory footprint per GPU can be well controlled (it is a fraction of the total network footprint).

However, the interface between layers (and thus GPUs) requires tight synchronization. This can be tricky, in particular if the computational workloads are not properly matched between layers. The problem is exacerbated for large numbers of GPUs. The interface between layers also requires large amounts of data transfer, such as activations and gradients. This may overwhelm the bandwidth of the GPU buses. Moreover, compute-intensive, yet sequential operations are nontrivial to partition. See e.g., Mirhoseini *et al.* (2017) for a best effort in this regard. It remains a difficult problem and it is unclear whether it is possible to achieve

good (linear) scaling on nontrivial problems. We do not recommend it unless there is excellent framework or operating system support for chaining together multiple GPUs.

Second, we could split the work layerwise. For instance, rather than computing 64 channels on a single GPU we could split up the problem across 4 GPUs, each of which generates data for 16 channels. Likewise, for a fully connected layer we could split the number of output units. Fig.13.5.1 (taken from Krizhevsky *et al.* (2012)) illustrates this design, where this strategy was used to deal with GPUs that had a very small memory footprint (2 GB at the time). This allows for good scaling in terms of computation, provided that the number of channels (or units) is not too small. Besides, multiple GPUs can process increasingly larger networks since the available memory scales linearly.





Model parallelism in the original AlexNet design due to limited GPU memory.

However, we need a *very large* number of synchronization or barrier operations since each layer depends on the results from all the other layers. Moreover, the amount of data that needs to be transferred is potentially even larger than when distributing layers across GPUs. Thus, we do not recommend this approach due to its bandwidth cost and complexity.

Last, we could partition data across multiple GPUs. This way all GPUs perform the same type of work, albeit on different observations. Gradients are aggregated across GPUs after each minibatch of training data. This is the simplest approach and it can be applied in any situation. We only need to synchronize after each minibatch. That said, it is highly desirable to start exchanging gradients parameters already while others are still being computed. Moreover, larger numbers of GPUs lead to larger minibatch sizes, thus increasing training efficiency. However, adding more GPUs does not allow us to train larger models.





Parallelization on multiple GPUs. From left to right: original problem, network partitioning, layerwise partitioning, data parallelism.

A comparison of different ways of parallelization on multiple GPUs is depicted in Fig.13.5.2. By and large, data parallelism is the most convenient way to proceed, provided that we have access to GPUs with sufficiently large memory. See also (Li *et al.*, 2014) for a detailed description of partitioning for distributed training. GPU memory used to be a problem in the early days of deep learning. By now this issue has been resolved for all but the most unusual cases. We focus on data parallelism in what follows.

13.5.2 Data Parallelism

Assume that there are k GPUs on a machine. Given the model to be trained, each GPU will maintain a complete set of model parameters independently though parameter values across the GPUs are identical and synchronized. As an example, Fig.13.5.3 illustrates training with data parallelism when k = 2.



Figure 13.5.3 Calculation of minibatch stochastic gradient descent using data parallelism on two GPUs.

In general, the training proceeds as follows:

- In any iteration of training, given a random minibatch, we split the examples in the batch into *k* portions and distribute them evenly across the GPUs.
- Each GPU calculates loss and gradient of the model parameters based on the minibatch subset it was assigned.
- The local gradients of each of the k GPUs are aggregated to obtain the current minibatch stochastic gradient.
- The aggregate gradient is re-distributed to each GPU.
- Each GPU uses this minibatch stochastic gradient to update the complete set of model parameters that it maintains.

Note that in practice we *increase* the minibatch size k-fold when training on k GPUs such that each GPU has the same amount of work to do as if we were training on a single GPU only. On a 16-GPU server this can increase the minibatch size considerably and we may have to increase the learning rate accordingly. Also note that batch normalization in Section 8.5 needs to be adjusted, e.g., by keeping a separate batch normalization coefficient per GPU. In what follows we will use a toy network to illustrate multi-GPU training.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

13.5.3 A Toy Network

We use LeNet as introduced in Section 7.6 (with slight modifications). We define it from scratch to illustrate parameter exchange and synchronization in detail.

```
# Initialize model parameters
scale = 0.01
W1 = np.random.normal(scale=scale, size=(20, 1, 3, 3))
b1 = np.zeros(20)
W2 = np.random.normal(scale=scale, size=(50, 20, 5, 5))
b2 = np.zeros(50)
W3 = np.random.normal(scale=scale, size=(800, 128))
b3 = np.zeros(128)
W4 = np.random.normal(scale=scale, size=(128, 10))
b4 = np.zeros(10)
params = [W1, b1, W2, b2, W3, b3, W4, b4]
# Define the model
def lenet(X, params):
    h1_conv = npx.convolution(data=X, weight=params[0], bias=params[1],
                              kernel=(3, 3), num_filter=20)
    h1_activation = npx.relu(h1_conv)
    h1 = npx.pooling(data=h1_activation, pool_type='avg', kernel=(2, 2),
                     stride=(2, 2))
    h2_conv = npx.convolution(data=h1, weight=params[2], bias=params[3],
                              kernel=(5, 5), num_filter=50)
    h2_activation = npx.relu(h2_conv)
    h2 = npx.pooling(data=h2_activation, pool_type='avg', kernel=(2, 2),
                     stride=(2, 2))
    h2 = h2.reshape(h2.shape[0], -1)
    h3_linear = np.dot(h2, params[4]) + params[5]
    h3 = npx.relu(h3_linear)
    y_hat = np.dot(h3, params[6]) + params[7]
    return y_hat
# Cross-entropy loss function
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
```

13.5.4 Data Synchronization

For efficient multi-GPU training we need two basic operations. First we need to have the ability to distribute a list of parameters to multiple devices and to attach gradients (get_params). Without parameters it is impossible to evaluate the network on a GPU. Second, we need the ability to sum parameters across multiple devices, i.e., we need an allreduce function.

```
def get_params(params, device):
    new_params = [p.copyto(device) for p in params]
    for p in new_params:
        p.attach_grad()
    return new_params
```

Let's try it out by copying the model parameters to one GPU.

```
new_params = get_params(params, d2l.try_gpu(0))
print('b1 weight:', new_params[1])
print('b1 grad:', new_params[1].grad)
```

Since we did not perform any computation yet, the gradient with regard to the bias parameter is still zero. Now let's assume that we have a vector distributed across multiple GPUs. The following allreduce function adds up all vectors and broadcasts the result back to all GPUs. Note that for this to work we need to copy the data to the device accumulating the results.

```
def allreduce(data):
    for i in range(1, len(data)):
        data[0][:] += data[i].copyto(data[0].ctx)
    for i in range(1, len(data)):
        data[0].copyto(data[i])
```

Let's test this by creating vectors with different values on different devices and aggregate them.

```
data = [np.ones((1, 2), ctx=d2l.try_gpu(i)) * (i + 1) for i in range(2)]
print('before allreduce:\n', data[0], '\n', data[1])
allreduce(data)
print('after allreduce:\n', data[0], '\n', data[1])
```

```
before allreduce:
  [[1. 1.]] @gpu(0)
  [[2. 2.]] @gpu(1)
after allreduce:
  [[3. 3.]] @gpu(0)
  [[3. 3.]] @gpu(1)
```

13.5.5 Distributing Data

We need a simple utility function to distribute a minibatch evenly across multiple GPUs. For instance, on two GPUs we would like to have half of the data to be copied to either of the GPUs. Since it is more convenient and more concise, we use the built-in function from the deep learning framework to try it out on a 4×5 matrix.

```
data = np.arange(20).reshape(4, 5)
devices = [npx.gpu(0), npx.gpu(1)]
split = gluon.utils.split_and_load(data, devices)
print('input :', data)
print('load into', devices)
print('output:', split)
```

For later reuse we define a split_batch function that splits both data and labels.

```
#@save
def split_batch(X, y, devices):
    """Split `X` and `y` into multiple devices."""
    assert X.shape[0] == y.shape[0]
    return (gluon.utils.split_and_load(X, devices),
        gluon.utils.split_and_load(y, devices))
```

Now we can implement multi-GPU training on a single minibatch. Its implementation is primarily based on the data parallelism approach described in this section. We will use the auxiliary functions we just discussed, allreduce and split_and_load, to synchronize the data among multiple GPUs. Note that we do not need to write any specific code to achieve parallelism. Since the computational graph does not have any dependencies across devices within a minibatch, it is executed in parallel *automatically*.

```
def train_batch(X, y, device_params, devices, lr):
    X_shards, y_shards = split_batch(X, y, devices)
    with autograd.record(): # Loss is calculated separately on each GPU
        ls = [loss(lenet(X_shard, device_W), y_shard)
                  for X_shard, y_shard, device_W in zip(
                        X_shards, y_shards, device_params)]
    for l in ls: # Backpropagation is performed separately on each GPU
        l.backward()
    # Sum all gradients from each GPU and broadcast them to all GPUs
    for i in range(len(device_params[0])):
        allreduce([device_params[c][i].grad for c in range(len(devices))])
    # The model parameters are updated separately on each GPU
    for param in device_params:
        d2l.sgd(param, lr, X.shape[0]) # Here, we use a full-size batch
    }
}
```

Now, we can define the training function. It is slightly different from the ones used in the previous chapters: we need to allocate the GPUs and copy all the model parameters to all the devices. Obviously each batch is processed using the train_batch function to deal with multiple GPUs. For convenience (and conciseness of code) we compute the accuracy on a single GPU, though this is *inefficient* since the other GPUs are idle.

```
def train(num_gpus, batch_size, lr):
    train_iter, test_iter = d2l.load_data_fashion_mnist(batch_size)
    devices = [d2l.try_gpu(i) for i in range(num_gpus)]
    # Copy model parameters to `num_gpus` GPUs
    device_params = [get_params(params, d) for d in devices]
    num_epochs = 10
    animator = d2l.Animator('epoch', 'test acc', xlim=[1, num_epochs])
    timer = d21.Timer()
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        timer.start()
        for X, y in train_iter:
            # Perform multi-GPU training for a single minibatch
            train_batch(X, y, device_params, devices, lr)
            npx.waitall()
        timer.stop()
        # Evaluate the model on GPU 0
       animator.add(epoch + 1, (d2l.evaluate_accuracy_gpu(
            lambda x: lenet(x, device_params[0]), test_iter, devices[0]),))
    print(f'test acc: {animator.Y[0][-1]:.2f}, {timer.avg():.1f} sec/epoch
          f'on {str(devices)}')
```

Let's see how well this works on a single GPU. We first use a batch size of 256 and a learning rate of 0.2.

```
train(num_gpus=1, batch_size=256, lr=0.2)
test acc: 0.84, 2.3 sec/epoch on [gpu(0)]
```

By keeping the batch size and learning rate unchanged and increasing the number of GPUs to 2, we can see that the test accuracy roughly stays the same compared with the previous experiment. In terms of the optimization algorithms, they are identical. Unfortunately there is no meaningful speedup to be gained here: the model is simply too small; moreover we only have a small dataset, where our slightly unsophisticated approach to implementing multi-



GPU training suffered from significant Python overhead. We will encounter more complex models and more sophisticated ways of parallelization going forward. Let's see what happens nonetheless for Fashion-MNIST.

```
train(num_gpus=2, batch_size=256, lr=0.2)
```

```
test acc: 0.85, 4.7 sec/epoch on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```





- There are multiple ways to split deep network training over multiple GPUs. We could split them between layers, across layers, or across data. The former two require tightly choreographed data transfers. Data parallelism is the simplest strategy.
- Data parallel training is straightforward. However, it increases the effective minibatch size to be efficient.
- In data parallelism, data is split across multiple GPUs, where each GPU executes its own forward and backward operation and subsequently gradients are aggregated and results are broadcast back to the GPUs.
- We may use slightly increased learning rates for larger minibatches.

Exercises

- 1. When training on k GPUs, change the minibatch size from b to $k \cdot b$, i.e., scale it up by the number of GPUs.
- 2. Compare accuracy for different learning rates. How does it scale with the number of GPUs?

- 3. Implement a more efficient all reduce function that aggregates different parameters on different GPUs? Why is it more efficient?
- 4. Implement multi-GPU test accuracy computation.

Discussions²⁰²



13.6 Concise Implementation for Multiple GPUs

Implementing parallelism from scratch for every new model is no fun. Moreover, there is significant benefit in optimizing synchronization tools for high performance. In the following we will show how to do this using high-level APIs of deep learning frameworks. The mathematics and the algorithms are the same as in Section 13.5. Quite unsurprisingly you will need at least two GPUs to run code of this section.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

13.6.1 A Toy Network

Let's use a slightly more meaningful network than LeNet from Section 13.5 that is still sufficiently easy and quick to train. We pick a ResNet-18 variant (He *et al.*, 2016). Since the input images are tiny we modify it slightly. In particular, the difference from Section 8.6 is that we use a smaller convolution kernel, stride, and padding at the beginning. Moreover, we remove the max-pooling layer.

```
#@save
def resnet18(num_classes):
     ""A slightly modified ResNet-18 model."""
    def resnet_block(num_channels, num_residuals, first_block=False):
        blk = nn.Sequential()
        for i in range(num_residuals):
            if i == 0 and not first_block:
                blk.add(d21.Residual(
                    num_channels, use_1x1conv=True, strides=2))
            else:
                blk.add(d2l.Residual(num_channels))
        return blk
   net = nn.Sequential()
    # This model uses a smaller convolution kernel, stride, and padding and
    # removes the max-pooling layer
    net.add(nn.Conv2D(64, kernel_size=3, strides=1, padding=1),
            nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('relu'))
    net.add(resnet_block(64, 2, first_block=True),
            resnet_block(128, 2),
            resnet_block(256, 2),
            resnet_block(512, 2))
    net.add(nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(), nn.Dense(num_classes))
    return net
```

The initialize function allows us to initialize parameters on a device of our choice. For a refresher on initialization methods see Section 5.4. What is particularly convenient is that it also allows us to initialize the network on *multiple* devices simultaneously. Let's try how this works in practice.

```
net = resnet18(10)
# Get a list of GPUs
devices = d2l.try_all_gpus()
# Initialize all the parameters of the network
net.initialize(init=init.Normal(sigma=0.01), ctx=devices)
```

Using the split_and_load function introduced in Section 13.5 we can divide a minibatch of data and copy portions to the list of devices provided by the devices variable. The network instance *automatically* uses the appropriate GPU to compute the value of the forward propagation. Here we generate 4 observations and split them over the GPUs.

```
x = np.random.uniform(size=(4, 1, 28, 28))
x_shards = gluon.utils.split_and_load(x, devices)
net(x_shards[0]), net(x_shards[1])
```

[23:01:13] src/operator/nn/./cudnn/./cudnn_algoreg-inl.h:97: Running_ →performance tests to find the best convolution algorithm, this can take a_ →while... (set the environment variable MXNET_CUDNN_AUTOTUNE_DEFAULT to 0 to_ →disable)

```
(array([[ 2.2610209e-06, 2.2046002e-06, -5.4046795e-06, 1.2869943e-06,
        5.1373145e-06, -3.8297976e-06, 1.4338764e-07, 5.4683437e-06,
        -2.8279201e-06, -3.9651104e-06],
        [ 2.0698662e-06, 2.0084678e-06, -5.6382491e-06, 1.0498463e-06,
        5.5506434e-06, -4.1065459e-06, 6.0830268e-07, 5.4521765e-06,
        -3.7365021e-06, -4.1891644e-06]], ctx=gpu(0)),
    array([[ 2.4629803e-06, 2.6015516e-06, -5.4362627e-06, 1.2938222e-06,
        5.6387917e-06, -4.1360108e-06, 3.5759149e-07, 5.5125265e-06,
        -3.1957311e-06, -4.2976321e-06],
        [ 1.9431664e-06, 2.2600414e-06, -5.2698206e-06, 1.4807424e-06,
        5.4830957e-06, -3.9678876e-06, 7.5752268e-08, 5.6764356e-06,
        -3.2530236e-06, -4.0943919e-06]], ctx=gpu(1)))
```

Once data passes through the network, the corresponding parameters are initialized *on the device the data passed through*. This means that initialization happens on a per-device basis. Since we picked GPU 0 and GPU 1 for initialization, the network is initialized only there, and not on the CPU. In fact, the parameters do not even exist on the CPU. We can verify this by printing out the parameters and observing any errors that might arise.

```
weight = net[0].params.get('weight')
try:
    weight.data()
except RuntimeError:
    print('not initialized on cpu')
weight.data(devices[0])[0], weight.data(devices[1])[0]
```

not initialized on cpu

(continued from previous page)

```
array([[[ 0.01382882, -0.01183044, 0.01417865],
[-0.00319718, 0.00439528, 0.02562625],
[-0.00835081, 0.01387452, -0.01035946]]], ctx=gpu(1)))
```

Next, let's replace the code to evaluate the accuracy by one that works in parallel across multiple devices. This serves as a replacement of the evaluate_accuracy_gpu function from Section 7.6. The main difference is that we split a minibatch before invoking the network. All else is essentially identical.

13.6.3 Training

As before, the training code needs to perform several basic functions for efficient parallelism:

- Network parameters need to be initialized across all devices.
- While iterating over the dataset minibatches are to be divided across all devices.
- We compute the loss and its gradient in parallel across devices.
- Gradients are aggregated and parameters are updated accordingly.

In the end we compute the accuracy (again in parallel) to report the final performance of the network. The training routine is quite similar to implementations in previous chapters, except that we need to split and aggregate data.

```
def train(num_gpus, batch_size, lr):
    train_iter, test_iter = d2l.load_data_fashion_mnist(batch_size)
    ctx = [d2l.try_gpu(i) for i in range(num_gpus)]
    net.initialize(init=init.Normal(sigma=0.01), ctx=ctx, force_reinit=True)
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'sgd',
                            {'learning_rate': lr})
   loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
    timer, num_epochs = d2l.Timer(), 10
    animator = d2l.Animator('epoch', 'test acc', xlim=[1, num_epochs])
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        timer.start()
        for features, labels in train_iter:
            X_shards, y_shards = d2l.split_batch(features, labels, ctx)
            with autograd.record():
                ls = [loss(net(X_shard), y_shard) for X_shard, y_shard
                      in zip(X_shards, y_shards)]
            for 1 in 1s:
                1.backward()
            trainer.step(batch_size)
        npx.waitall()
```

(continued from previous page)

Let's see how this works in practice. As a warm-up we train the network on a single GPU.

```
train(num_gpus=1, batch_size=256, lr=0.1)
```

test acc: 0.93, 13.1 sec/epoch on [gpu(0)]



Next we use 2 GPUs for training. Compared with LeNet evaluated in Section 13.5, the model for ResNet-18 is considerably more complex. This is where parallelization shows its advantage. The time for computation is meaningfully larger than the time for synchronizing parameters. This improves scalability since the overhead for parallelization is less relevant.

```
train(num_gpus=2, batch_size=512, lr=0.2)
```

```
test acc: 0.91, 6.8 sec/epoch on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



13.6.4 Summary

- Gluon provides primitives for model initialization across multiple devices by providing a context list.
- Data is automatically evaluated on the devices where the data can be found.
- Take care to initialize the networks on each device before trying to access the parameters on that device. Otherwise you will encounter an error.

• The optimization algorithms automatically aggregate over multiple GPUs.

Exercises

- 1. This section uses ResNet-18. Try different epochs, batch sizes, and learning rates. Use more GPUs for computation. What happens if you try this with 16 GPUs (e.g., on an AWS p2.16xlarge instance)?
- 2. Sometimes, different devices provide different computing power. We could use the GPUs and the CPU at the same time. How should we divide the work? Is it worth the effort? Why? Why not?
- 3. What happens if we drop npx.waitall()? How would you modify training such that you have an overlap of up to two steps for parallelism?

Discussions²⁰³



13.7 Parameter Servers

As we move from a single GPU to multiple GPUs and then to multiple servers containing multiple GPUs, possibly all spread out across multiple racks and network switches, our algorithms for distributed and parallel training need to become much more sophisticated. Details matter since different interconnects have very different bandwidth (e.g., NVLink can offer up to 100 GB/s across 6 links in an appropriate setting, PCIe 4.0 (16-lane) offers 32 GB/s, while even high speed 100GbE Ethernet only amounts to 10 GB/s). At the same time it is unreasonable to expect that a statistical modeler be an expert in networking and systems.

The core idea of the parameter server was introduced in Smola and Narayanamurthy (2010) in the context of distributed latent variable models. A description of the push and pull semantics then followed in Ahmed *et al.* (2012) and a description of the system and an open source library followed in Li *et al.* (2014). In the following we will motivate the components needed for efficiency.

13.7.1 Data-Parallel Training

Let's review the data parallel training approach to distributed training. We will use this to the exclusion of all others in this section since it is significantly simpler to implement in practice. There are virtually no use cases (besides deep learning on graphs) where any other strategy for parallelism is preferred since GPUs have plenty of memory nowadays. Fig.13.7.1 describes the variant of data parallelism that we implemented in Section 13.5. The key aspect in it is that the aggregation of gradients occurs on GPU 0 before the updated parameters are rebroadcast to all GPUs.

In retrospect, the decision to aggregate on GPU 0 seems rather ad-hoc. After all, we might just as well aggregate on the CPU. In fact, we could even decide to aggregate some of the parameters on one GPU and some others on another. Provided that the optimization algorithm supports this, there is no real reason for why we could not. For instance, if we have four parameter vectors with associated gradients g_1, \ldots, g_4 we could aggregate the gradients on one GPU for each g_i ($i = 1, \ldots, 4$).

This reasoning seems arbitrary and frivolous. After all, the mathematics is the same throughout. However, we are dealing with real physical hardware where different buses have different bandwidth as discussed in Section 13.4. Consider a real 4-way GPU server as described



Figure 13.7.1 Left: single GPU training. Right: a variant of multi-GPU training: (1) we compute loss and gradient, (2) all gradients are aggregated on one GPU, (3) parameter update happens and the parameters are re-distributed to all GPUs.

in Fig.13.7.2. If it is particularly well connected, it might have a 100 GbE network card. More typical numbers are in the 1–10 GbE range with an effective bandwidth of 100 MB/s to 1 GB/s. Since the CPUs have too few PCIe lanes to connect to all GPUs directly (e.g., consumer-grade Intel CPUs have 24 lanes) we need a multiplexer²⁰⁴. The bandwidth from the CPU on a 16x Gen3 link is 16 GB/s. This is also the speed at which *each* of the GPUs is connected to the switch. This means that it is more effective to communicate between the devices.







For the sake of the argument let's assume that the gradients are of 160 MB. In this case it takes 30 ms to send the gradients from all 3 remaining GPUs to the fourth one (each transfer takes 10 ms = 160 MB / 16 GB/s). Adding another 30 ms to transmit the weight vectors back we arrive at a total of 60 ms. If we send all data to the CPU we incur a penalty of 40 ms since *each* of the four GPUs needs to send the data to the CPU, yielding a total of 80 ms. Lastly assume that we are able to split the gradients into 4 parts of 40 MB each. Now we can aggregate each of the parts on a different GPU *simultaneously* since the PCIe switch offers

a full-bandwidth operation between all links. Instead of 30 ms this takes 7.5 ms, yielding a total of 15 ms for a synchronization operation. In short, depending on how we synchronize parameters the same operation can take anywhere from 15 ms to 80 ms. Fig.13.7.3 depicts the different strategies for exchanging parameters.



Figure 13.7.3 Parameter synchronization strategies.

Note that we have yet another tool at our disposal when it comes to improving performance: in a deep network it takes some time to compute all gradients from the top to the bottom. We can begin synchronizing gradients for some parameter groups even while we are still busy computing them for others. See e.g., Sergeev and Del Balso (2018) for details on how to do this in Horovod²⁰⁵.



13.7.2 Ring Synchronization

When it comes to synchronization on modern deep learning hardware we often encounter significantly bespoke network connectivity. For instance, the AWS p3.16xlarge and NVIDIA DGX-2 instances share the connectivity structure of Fig.13.7.4. Each GPU connects to a host CPU via a PCIe link which operates at best at 16 GB/s. Additionally each GPU also has 6 NVLink connections, each of which is capable of transferring 300 Gbit/s bidirectionally. This amounts to around 18 GB/s per link per direction. In short, the aggregate NVLink bandwidth is significantly higher than the PCIe bandwidth. The question is how to use it most efficiently.





.7.4 NVLink connectivity on 8 V100 GPU servers (image courtesy of NVIDIA).

It turns out that the optimal synchronization strategy is to decompose the network into two

rings and to use them to synchronize data directly (Wang *et al.*, 2018). Fig.13.7.5 illustrates that the network can be decomposed into one ring (1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-1) with double NVLink bandwidth and into one (1-4-6-3-5-8-2-7-1) with regular bandwidth. Designing an efficient synchronization protocol in this case is nontrivial.



Figure 13.7.5 Decomposition of the NVLink network into two rings.

Consider the following thought experiment: given a ring of n computing nodes (or GPUs) we can send gradients from the first to the second node. There it is added to the local gradient and sent on to the third node, and so on. After n - 1 steps the aggregate gradient can be found in the last-visited node. That is, the time to aggregate gradients grows linearly with the number of nodes. But if we do this the algorithm is quite inefficient. After all, at any time there is only one of the nodes communicating. What if we broke the gradients into n chunks and started synchronizing chunk i starting at node i? Since each chunk is of size 1/n the total time is now $(n - 1)/n \approx 1$. In other words, the time spent to aggregate gradients *does not grow* as we increase the size of the ring. This is quite an astonishing result. Fig.13.7.6 illustrates the sequence of steps on n = 4 nodes.

If we use the same example of synchronizing 160 MB across 8 V100 GPUs we arrive at approximately $2 \cdot 160 MB/(3 \cdot 18 GB/s) \approx 6 ms$. This is better than using the PCIe bus, even though we are now using 8 GPUs. Note that in practice these numbers are a bit worse, since deep learning frameworks often fail to assemble communication into large burst transfers.

Note that there is a common misconception that ring synchronization is fundamentally different from other synchronization algorithms. The only difference is that the synchronization path is somewhat more elaborate when compared with a simple tree.

13.7.3 Multi-Machine Training

Distributed training on multiple machines adds a further challenge: we need to communicate with servers that are only connected across a comparatively lower bandwidth fabric that can be over an order of magnitude slower in some cases. Synchronization across devices is tricky. After all, different machines running training code will have subtly different speed. Hence we



Figure 13.7.6 Ring synchronization across 4 nodes. Each node starts transmitting parts of gradients to its left neighbor until the assembled gradient can be found in its right neighbor.

need to *synchronize* them if we want to use synchronous distributed optimization. Fig.13.7.7 illustrates how distributed parallel training occurs.

- 1. A (different) batch of data is read on each machine, split across multiple GPUs and transferred to GPU memory. There predictions and gradients are computed on each GPU batch separately.
- The gradients from all local GPUs are aggregated on one GPU (or parts of it are aggregated over different GPUs).
- 3. The gradients are sent to the CPUs.
- The CPUs send the gradients to a central parameter server which aggregates all the gradients.
- 5. The aggregate gradients are then used to update the parameters and the updated parameters are broadcast back to the individual CPUs.
- 6. The information is sent to one (or multiple) GPUs.
- 7. The updated parameters are spread across all GPUs.

Each of these operations seems rather straightforward. And, indeed, they can be carried out efficiently *within* a single machine. Once we look at multiple machines, though, we can see that the central parameter server becomes the bottleneck. After all, the bandwidth per server is limited, hence for m workers the time it takes to send all gradients to the server is $\mathcal{O}(m)$. We can break through this barrier by increasing the number of servers to n. At this point each server only needs to store $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ of the parameters, hence the total time for updates and optimization becomes $\mathcal{O}(m/n)$. Matching both numbers yields constant scaling regardless of how many workers we are dealing with. In practice we use the *same* machines both as workers and as servers. Fig.13.7.8 illustrates the design (see also (Li *et al.*, 2014) for details). In particular, ensuring that multiple machines work without unreasonable delays is nontrivial. We omit details on barriers and will only briefly touch on synchronous and asynchronous updates below.



Figure 13.7.7 Multi-machine multi-GPU distributed parallel training.





Implementing the steps required for distributed multi-GPU training in practice is nontrivial. This is why it pays to use a common abstraction, namely that of a *key–value store* with redefined update semantics.

Across many workers and many GPUs the computation for gradient i can be defined as

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{i} = \sum_{k \in \text{workers}} \sum_{j \in \text{GPUs}} \boldsymbol{g}_{ijk}, \qquad (13.7.1)$$

where g_{ijk} is part of gradient *i* split on GPU *j* of worker *k*. The key aspect in this operation is that it is a *commutative reduction*, that is, it turns many vectors into one and the order in

which the operation is applied does not matter. This is great for our purposes since we do not (need to) have fine grained control over when which gradient is received. Besides, note that this operation is independent among different i.

This allows us to define the following two operations: *push*, which accumulates gradients, and *pull*, which retrieves aggregate gradients. Since we have many different sets of gradients (after all, we have many layers), we need to index the gradients with a key *i*. This similarity to key–value stores, such as the one introduced in Dynamo (DeCandia *et al.*, 2007) is not by coincidence. They, too, satisfy many similar characteristics, in particular when it comes to distributing the parameters across multiple servers.

The push and pull operations for key-value stores are described as follows:

- **push(key, value)** sends a particular gradient (the value) from a worker to a common storage. There the value is aggregated, e.g., by summing it up.
- **pull(key, value)** retrieves an aggregate value from common storage, e.g., after combining the gradients from all workers.

By hiding all the complexity about synchronization behind a simple push and pull operation we can decouple the concerns of statistical modelers who want to be able to express optimization in simple terms and the system engineers who need to deal with the complexity inherent in distributed synchronization.

13.7.5 Summary

- Synchronization needs to be highly adaptive to specific network infrastructure and connectivity within a server. This can make a significant difference to the time it takes to synchronize.
- Ring-synchronization can be optimal for p3 and DGX-2 servers. For others possibly not so much.
- A hierarchical synchronization strategy works well when adding multiple parameter servers for increased bandwidth.

Exercises

- 1. Can you increase the ring synchronization even further? Hint: you can send messages in both directions.
- 2. Is it possible to allow asynchronous communication (while computation is still ongoing)? How does it affect performance?
- 3. What if we lost a server during a long-running computation? How can we design a *fault tolerance* mechanism to avoid restarting the computation fully?

Discussions²⁰⁶



Computer Vision

Whether it is medical diagnosis, self-driving vehicles, camera monitoring, or smart filters, many applications in the field of computer vision are closely related to our current and future lives. In recent years, deep learning has been the transformative power for advancing the performance of computer vision systems. It can be said that the most advanced computer vision applications are almost inseparable from deep learning. In view of this, this chapter will focus on the field of computer vision, and investigate methods and applications that have recently been influential in academia and industry.

In Chapter 7 and Chapter 8, we studied various convolutional neural networks that are commonly used in computer vision, and applied them to simple image classification tasks. At the beginning of this chapter, we will describe two methods that may improve model generalization, namely *image augmentation* and *fine-tuning*, and apply them to image classification. Since deep neural networks can effectively represent images in multiple levels, such layerwise representations have been successfully used in various computer vision tasks such as *object detection, semantic segmentation*, and *style transfer*. Following the key idea of leveraging layerwise representations in computer vision, we will begin with major components and techniques for object detection. Next, we will show how to use *fully convolutional networks* for semantic segmentation of images. Then we will explain how to use style transfer techniques to generate images like the cover of this book. In the end, we conclude this chapter by applying the materials of this chapter and several previous chapters on two popular computer vision benchmark datasets.

14.1 Image Augmentation

In Section 8.1, we mentioned that large datasets are a prerequisite for the success of deep neural networks in various applications. *Image augmentation* generates similar but distinct training examples after a series of random changes to the training images, thereby expanding the size of the training set. Alternatively, image augmentation can be motivated by the fact that random tweaks of training examples allow models to less rely on certain attributes, thereby improving their generalization ability. For example, we can crop an image in different ways to make the object of interest appear in different positions, thereby reducing the dependence of a model on the position of the object. We can also adjust factors such as brightness and color to reduce a model's sensitivity to color. It is probably true that image augmentation was indispensable for the success of AlexNet at that time. In this section we will discuss this widely used technique in computer vision.

%matplotlib inline from mxnet import autograd, gluon, image, init, np, npx from mxnet.gluon import nn from d21 import mxnet as d21

npx.set_np()

14.1.1 Common Image Augmentation Methods

In our investigation of common image augmentation methods, we will use the following 400×500 image an example.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
img = image.imread('../img/cat1.jpg')
d2l.plt.imshow(img.asnumpy());
```



Most image augmentation methods have a certain degree of randomness. To make it easier for us to observe the effect of image augmentation, next we define an auxiliary function apply. This function runs the image augmentation method aug multiple times on the input image img and shows all the results.

```
def apply(img, aug, num_rows=2, num_cols=4, scale=1.5):
    Y = [aug(img) for _ in range(num_rows * num_cols)]
    d2l.show_images(Y, num_rows, num_cols, scale=scale)
```

Flipping and Cropping

Flipping the image left and right usually does not change the category of the object. This is one of the earliest and most widely used methods of image augmentation. Next, we use the transforms module to create the RandomFlipLeftRight instance, which flips an image left and right with a 50% chance.

apply(img, gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipLeftRight())



Flipping up and down is not as common as flipping left and right. But at least for this example image, flipping up and down does not hinder recognition. Next, we create a RandomFlip-TopBottom instance to flip an image up and down with a 50% chance.

apply(img, gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipTopBottom())



In the example image we used, the cat is in the middle of the image, but this may not be the case in general. In Section 7.5, we explained that the pooling layer can reduce the sensitivity of a convolutional layer to the target position. In addition, we can also randomly crop the image to make objects appear in different positions in the image at different scales, which can also reduce the sensitivity of a model to the target position.

In the code below, we randomly crop an area with an area of $10\% \sim 100\%$ of the original area each time, and the ratio of width to height of this area is randomly selected from $0.5 \sim 2$. Then, the width and height of the region are both scaled to 200 pixels. Unless otherwise specified, the random number between a and b in this section refers to a continuous value obtained by random and uniform sampling from the interval [a, b].

```
shape_aug = gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomResizedCrop(
   (200, 200), scale=(0.1, 1), ratio=(0.5, 2))
apply(img, shape_aug)
```



Changing Colors

Another augmentation method is changing colors. We can change four aspects of the image color: brightness, contrast, saturation, and hue. In the example below, we randomly change the brightness of the image to a value between 50% (1 - 0.5) and 150% (1 + 0.5) of the original image.

apply(img, gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomBrightness(0.5))

Similarly, we can randomly change the hue of the image.



apply(img, gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomHue(0.5))



We can also create a RandomColorJitter instance and set how to randomly change the brightness, contrast, saturation, and hue of the image at the same time.

```
color_aug = gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomColorJitter(
    brightness=0.5, contrast=0.5, saturation=0.5, hue=0.5)
apply(img, color_aug)
```



Combining Multiple Image Augmentation Methods

In practice, we will combine multiple image augmentation methods. For example, we can combine the different image augmentation methods defined above and apply them to each image via a Compose instance.

```
augs = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipLeftRight(), color_aug, shape_aug])
apply(img, augs)
```



14.1.2 Training with Image Augmentation

Let's train a model with image augmentation. Here we use the CIFAR-10 dataset instead of the Fashion-MNIST dataset that we used before. This is because the position and size of the objects in the Fashion-MNIST dataset have been normalized, while the color and size of the objects in the CIFAR-10 dataset have more significant differences. The first 32 training images in the CIFAR-10 dataset are shown below.

d2l.show_images(gluon.data.vision.CIFAR10(
 train=True)[:32][0], 4, 8, scale=0.8);



In order to obtain definitive results during prediction, we usually only apply image augmentation to training examples, and do not use image augmentation with random operations during prediction. Here we only use the simplest random left-right flipping method. In addition, we use a ToTensor instance to convert a minibatch of images into the format required by the deep learning framework, i.e., 32-bit floating point numbers between 0 and 1 with the shape of (batch size, number of channels, height, width).

```
train_augs = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipLeftRight(),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor()])
test_augs = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor()])
```

Next, we define an auxiliary function to facilitate reading the image and applying image augmentation. The transform_first function provided by Gluon's datasets applies image augmentation to the first element of each training example (image and label), i.e., the image. For a detailed introduction to DataLoader, please refer to Section 4.2.
```
def load_cifar10(is_train, augs, batch_size):
    return gluon.data.DataLoader(
        gluon.data.vision.CIFAR10(train=is_train).transform_first(augs),
        batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=is_train,
        num_workers=d21.get_dataloader_workers())
```

Multi-GPU Training

We train the ResNet-18 model from Section 8.6 on the CIFAR-10 dataset. Recall the introduction to multi-GPU training in Section 13.6. In the following, we define a function to train and evaluate the model using multiple GPUs.

```
#@save
def train_batch_ch13(net, features, labels, loss, trainer, devices,
                     split_f=d21.split_batch):
    """Train for a minibatch with multiple GPUs (defined in Chapter 13)."""
    X_shards, y_shards = split_f(features, labels, devices)
    with autograd.record():
       pred_shards = [net(X_shard) for X_shard in X_shards]
        ls = [loss(pred_shard, y_shard) for pred_shard, y_shard
              in zip(pred_shards, y_shards)]
    for 1 in 1s:
       1.backward()
    # The `True` flag allows parameters with stale gradients, which is useful
    # later (e.g., in fine-tuning BERT)
    trainer.step(labels.shape[0], ignore_stale_grad=True)
    train_loss_sum = sum([float(l.sum()) for l in ls])
    train_acc_sum = sum(d2l.accuracy(pred_shard, y_shard)
                        for pred_shard, y_shard in zip(pred_shards, y_shards))
    return train_loss_sum, train_acc_sum
```

```
#@save
def train_ch13(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, num_epochs,
              devices=d2l.try_all_gpus(), split_f=d2l.split_batch):
    """Train a model with multiple GPUs (defined in Chapter 13)."
    timer, num_batches = d2l.Timer(), len(train_iter)
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, num_epochs], ylim=[0, 1],
                            legend=['train loss', 'train acc', 'test acc'])
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        # Sum of training loss, sum of training accuracy, no. of examples,
       # no. of predictions
       metric = d2l.Accumulator(4)
        for i, (features, labels) in enumerate(train_iter):
            timer.start()
            l, acc = train_batch_ch13(
               net, features, labels, loss, trainer, devices, split_f)
            metric.add(1, acc, labels.shape[0], labels.size)
            timer.stop()
            if (i + 1) % (num_batches // 5) == 0 or i == num_batches - 1:
                animator.add(epoch + (i + 1) / num_batches,
                             (metric[0] / metric[2], metric[1] / metric[3],
                              None))
        test_acc = d2l.evaluate_accuracy_gpus(net, test_iter, split_f)
        animator.add(epoch + 1, (None, None, test_acc))
    print(f'loss {metric[0] / metric[2]:.3f}, train acc
          f'{metric[1] / metric[3]:.3f}, test acc {test_acc:.3f}')
    print(f'{metric[2] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f} examples/sec on '
          f'{str(devices)}')
```

Now we can define the train_with_data_aug function to train the model with image augmentation. This function gets all available GPUs, uses Adam as the optimization algorithm, applies image augmentation to the training dataset, and finally calls the train_ch13 function just defined to train and evaluate the model.

Let's train the model using image augmentation based on random left-right flipping.

train_with_data_aug(train_augs, test_augs, net)

```
loss 0.171, train acc 0.942, test acc 0.847
4050.4 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



14.1.3 Summary

- Image augmentation generates random images based on existing training data to improve the generalization ability of models.
- In order to obtain definitive results during prediction, we usually only apply image augmentation to training examples, and do not use image augmentation with random operations during prediction.
- Deep learning frameworks provide many different image augmentation methods, which can be applied simultaneously.

Exercises

- Train the model without using image augmentation: train_with_data_aug(test_augs, test_augs). Compare training and testing accuracy when using and not using image augmentation. Can this comparative experiment support the argument that image augmentation can mitigate overfitting? Why?
- 2. Combine multiple different image augmentation methods in model training on the CIFAR-10 dataset. Does it improve test accuracy?
- 3. Refer to the online documentation of the deep learning framework. What other image augmentation methods does it also provide?



Discussions²⁰⁷

14.2 Fine-Tuning

In earlier chapters, we discussed how to train models on the Fashion-MNIST training dataset with only 60000 images. We also described ImageNet, the most widely used large-scale image dataset in academia, which has more than 10 million images and 1000 objects. However, the size of the dataset that we usually encounter is between those of the two datasets.

Suppose that we want to recognize different types of chairs from images, and then recommend purchase links to users. One possible method is to first identify 100 common chairs, take 1000 images of different angles for each chair, and then train a classification model on the collected image dataset. Although this chair dataset may be larger than the Fashion-MNIST dataset, the number of examples is still less than one-tenth of that in ImageNet. This may lead to overfitting of complicated models that are suitable for ImageNet on this chair dataset. Besides, due to the limited amount of training examples, the accuracy of the trained model may not meet practical requirements.

In order to address the above problems, an obvious solution is to collect more data. However, collecting and labeling data can take a lot of time and money. For example, in order to collect the ImageNet dataset, researchers have spent millions of dollars from research funding. Although the current data collection cost has been significantly reduced, this cost still cannot be ignored.

Another solution is to apply *transfer learning* to transfer the knowledge learned from the *source dataset* to the *target dataset*. For example, although most of the images in the ImageNet dataset have nothing to do with chairs, the model trained on this dataset may extract more general image features, which can help identify edges, textures, shapes, and object composition. These similar features may also be effective for recognizing chairs.

14.2.1 Steps

In this section, we will introduce a common technique in transfer learning: *fine-tuning*. As shown in Fig.14.2.1, fine-tuning consists of the following four steps:

- 1. Pretrain a neural network model, i.e., the *source model*, on a source dataset (e.g., the ImageNet dataset).
- 2. Create a new neural network model, i.e., the *target model*. This copies all model designs and their parameters on the source model except the output layer. We assume that these model parameters contain the knowledge learned from the source dataset and this knowledge will also be applicable to the target dataset. We also assume that the output layer of the source model is closely related to the labels of the source dataset; thus it is not used in the target model.
- 3. Add an output layer to the target model, whose number of outputs is the number of categories in the target dataset. Then randomly initialize the model parameters of this layer.
- 4. Train the target model on the target dataset, such as a chair dataset. The output layer will be trained from scratch, while the parameters of all the other layers are fine-tuned based on the parameters of the source model.

When target datasets are much smaller than source datasets, fine-tuning helps to improve models' generalization ability.

14.2.2 Hot Dog Recognition





Let's demonstrate fine-tuning via a concrete case: hot dog recognition. We will fine-tune a ResNet model on a small dataset, which was pretrained on the ImageNet dataset. This small dataset consists of thousands of images with and without hot dogs. We will use the fine-tuned model to recognize hot dogs from images.

```
%matplotlib inline
import os
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

Reading the Dataset

The hot dog dataset we use was taken from online images. This dataset consists of 1400 positive-class images containing hot dogs, and as many negative-class images containing other foods. 1000 images of both classes are used for training and the rest are for testing.

After unzipping the downloaded dataset, we obtain two folders hotdog/train and hotdog/ test. Both folders have hotdog and not-hotdog subfolders, either of which contains images of the corresponding class.

Downloading ../data/hotdog.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.amazonaws. \rightarrow com/hotdog.zip...

We create two instances to read all the image files in the training and testing datasets, respectively.

```
train_imgs = gluon.data.vision.ImageFolderDataset(
    os.path.join(data_dir, 'train'))
test_imgs = gluon.data.vision.ImageFolderDataset(
    os.path.join(data_dir, 'test'))
```

The first 8 positive examples and the last 8 negative images are shown below. As you can see, the images vary in size and aspect ratio.

```
hotdogs = [train_imgs[i][0] for i in range(8)]
not_hotdogs = [train_imgs[-i - 1][0] for i in range(8)]
d2l.show_images(hotdogs + not_hotdogs, 2, 8, scale=1.4);
```



During training, we first crop a random area of random size and random aspect ratio from the image, and then scale this area to a 224×224 input image. During testing, we scale both the height and width of an image to 256 pixels, and then crop a central 224×224 area as input. In addition, for the three RGB (red, green, and blue) color channels we *standardize* their values channel by channel. Concretely, the mean value of a channel is subtracted from each value of that channel and then the result is divided by the standard deviation of that channel.

```
# Specify the means and standard deviations of the three RGB channels to
# standardize each channel
normalize = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Normalize(
    [0.485, 0.456, 0.406], [0.229, 0.224, 0.225])
train_augs = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomResizedCrop(224),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipLeftRight(),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    normalize])
test_augs = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.Resize(256),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ConterCrop(224),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    normalize])
```

Defining and Initializing the Model

We use ResNet-18, which was pretrained on the ImageNet dataset, as the source model. Here, we specify pretrained=True to automatically download the pretrained model parameters. If this model is used for the first time, Internet connection is required for download.

pretrained_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.resnet18_v2(pretrained=True)

The pretrained source model instance contains two member variables: features and output. The former contains all layers of the model except the output layer, and the latter is the output layer of the model. The main purpose of this division is to facilitate the fine-tuning of model parameters of all layers but the output layer. The member variable output of source model is shown below.

pretrained_net.output

```
Dense(512 -> 1000, linear)
```

As a fully connected layer, it transforms ResNet's final global average pooling outputs into 1000 class outputs of the ImageNet dataset. We then construct a new neural network as the target model. It is defined in the same way as the pretrained source model except that its number of outputs in the final layer is set to the number of classes in the target dataset (rather than 1000).

In the code below, the model parameters before the output layer of the target model instance finetune_net are initialized to model parameters of the corresponding layers from the source model. Since these model parameters were obtained via pretraining on ImageNet, they are effective. Therefore, we can only use a small learning rate to *fine-tune* such pretrained parameters. In contrast, model parameters in the output layer are randomly initialized and generally require a larger learning rate to be learned from scratch. Letting the base learning rate be η , a learning rate of 10η will be used to iterate the model parameters in the output layer.

```
finetune_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.resnet18_v2(classes=2)
finetune_net.features = pretrained_net.features
finetune_net.output.initialize(init.Xavier())
# The model parameters in the output layer will be iterated using a learning
# rate ten times greater
finetune_net.output.collect_params().setattr('lr_mult', 10)
```

Fine-Tuning the Model

First, we define a training function train_fine_tuning that uses fine-tuning so it can be called multiple times.

We set the base learning rate to a small value in order to *fine-tune* the model parameters obtained via pretraining. Based on the previous settings, we will train the output layer parameters of the target model from scratch using a learning rate ten times greater.

```
train_fine_tuning(finetune_net, 0.01)
```

```
loss 0.200, train acc 0.934, test acc 0.894
196.9 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```

For comparison, we define an identical model, but initialize all of its model parameters to random values. Since the entire model needs to be trained from scratch, we can use a larger learning rate.



scratch_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.resnet18_v2(classes=2)
scratch_net.initialize(init=init.Xavier())
train_fine_tuning(scratch_net, 0.1)

loss 0.353, train acc 0.851, test acc 0.853 177.6 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]



As we can see, the fine-tuned model tends to perform better for the same epoch because its initial parameter values are more effective.

14.2.3 Summary

- Transfer learning transfers knowledge learned from the source dataset to the target dataset. Fine-tuning is a common technique for transfer learning.
- The target model copies all model designs with their parameters from the source model except the output layer, and fine-tunes these parameters based on the target dataset. In contrast, the output layer of the target model needs to be trained from scratch.
- Generally, fine-tuning parameters uses a smaller learning rate, while training the output layer from scratch can use a larger learning rate.

Exercises

- 1. Keep increasing the learning rate of finetune_net. How does the accuracy of the model change?
- 2. Further adjust hyperparameters of finetune_net and scratch_net in the comparative experiment. Do they still differ in accuracy?

3. Set the parameters before the output layer of finetune_net to those of the source model and do *not* update them during training. How does the accuracy of the model change? You can use the following code.

finetune_net.features.collect_params().setattr('grad_req', 'null')

4. In fact, there is a "hotdog" class in the ImageNet dataset. Its corresponding weight parameter in the output layer can be obtained via the following code. How can we leverage this weight parameter?

```
weight = pretrained_net.output.weight
hotdog_w = np.split(weight.data(), 1000, axis=0)[713]
hotdog_w.shape
```

(1, 512)

Discussions²⁰⁸



14.3 Object Detection and Bounding Boxes

In earlier sections (e.g., Section 8.1–Section 8.4), we introduced various models for image classification. In image classification tasks, we assume that there is only *one* major object in the image and we only focus on how to recognize its category. However, there are often *multiple* objects in the image of interest. We not only want to know their categories, but also their specific positions in the image. In computer vision, we refer to such tasks as *object detection* (or *object recognition*).

Object detection has been widely applied in many fields. For example, self-driving needs to plan traveling routes by detecting the positions of vehicles, pedestrians, roads, and obstacles in the captured video images. Besides, robots may use this technique to detect and localize objects of interest throughout its navigation of an environment. Moreover, security systems may need to detect abnormal objects, such as intruders or bombs.

In the next few sections, we will introduce several deep learning methods for object detection. We will begin with an introduction to *positions* (or *locations*) of objects.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import image, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

We will load the sample image to be used in this section. We can see that there is a dog on the left side of the image and a cat on the right. They are the two major objects in this image.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
img = image.imread('../img/catdog.jpg').asnumpy()
d2l.plt.imshow(img);
```

14.3.1 Bounding Boxes



In object detection, we usually use a *bounding box* to describe the spatial location of an object. The bounding box is rectangular, which is determined by the x and y coordinates of the upper-left corner of the rectangle and the such coordinates of the lower-right corner. Another commonly used bounding box representation is the (x, y)-axis coordinates of the bounding box center, and the width and height of the box.

Here we define functions to convert between these two representations: $box_corner_to_center$ converts from the two-corner representation to the center-width-height presentation, and $box_center_to_corner$ vice versa. The input argument boxes should be a two-dimensional tensor of shape (n, 4), where n is the number of bounding boxes.

```
#@save
def box_corner_to_center(boxes):
    """Convert from (upper-left, lower-right) to (center, width, height)."""
   x1, y1, x2, y2 = boxes[:, 0], boxes[:, 1], boxes[:, 2], boxes[:, 3]
   cx = (x1 + x2) / 2
   cy = (y1 + y2) / 2
    w = x2 - x1
   h = y2 - y1
    boxes = np.stack((cx, cy, w, h), axis=-1)
    return boxes
#@save
def box_center_to_corner(boxes):
    """Convert from (center, width, height) to (upper-left, lower-right)."""
   cx, cy, w, h = boxes[:, 0], boxes[:, 1], boxes[:, 2], boxes[:, 3]
   x1 = cx - 0.5 * w
   y1 = cy - 0.5 * h
    x2 = cx + 0.5 * w
    y2 = cy + 0.5 * h
    boxes = np.stack((x1, y1, x2, y2), axis=-1)
    return boxes
```

We will define the bounding boxes of the dog and the cat in the image based on the coordinate information. The origin of the coordinates in the image is the upper-left corner of the image, and to the right and down are the positive directions of the x and y axes, respectively.

```
# Here `bbox` is the abbreviation for bounding box
dog_bbox, cat_bbox = [60.0, 45.0, 378.0, 516.0], [400.0, 112.0, 655.0, 493.0]
```

We can verify the correctness of the two bounding box conversion functions by converting twice.

```
boxes = np.array((dog_bbox, cat_bbox))
box_center_to_corner(box_corner_to_center(boxes)) == boxes
```

```
array([[ True, True, True, True],
[ True, True, True, True]])
```

Let's draw the bounding boxes in the image to check if they are accurate. Before drawing, we will define a helper function bbox_to_rect. It represents the bounding box in the bounding box format of the matplotlib package.

```
#@save
def bbox_to_rect(bbox, color):
    """Convert bounding box to matplotlib format."""
    # Convert the bounding box (upper-left x, upper-left y, lower-right x,
    # lower-right y) format to the matplotlib format: ((upper-left x,
    # upper-left y), width, height)
    return d21.plt.Rectangle(
        xy=(bbox[0], bbox[1]), width=bbox[2]-bbox[0], height=bbox[3]-bbox[1],
        fill=False, edgecolor=color, linewidth=2)
```

After adding the bounding boxes on the image, we can see that the main outline of the two objects are basically inside the two boxes.

```
fig = d2l.plt.imshow(img)
fig.axes.add_patch(bbox_to_rect(dog_bbox, 'blue'))
fig.axes.add_patch(bbox_to_rect(cat_bbox, 'red'));
```



14.3.2 Summary

- Object detection not only recognizes all the objects of interest in the image, but also their positions. The position is generally represented by a rectangular bounding box.
- We can convert between two commonly used bounding box representations.

Exercises

- 1. Find another image and try to label a bounding box that contains the object. Compare labeling bounding boxes and categories: which usually takes longer?
- 2. Why is the innermost dimension of the input argument boxes of box_corner_to_center and box_center_to_corner always 4?



14.4 Anchor Boxes

Object detection algorithms usually sample a large number of regions in the input image, determine whether these regions contain objects of interest, and adjust the boundaries of the regions so as to predict the *ground-truth bounding boxes* of the objects more accurately. Different models may adopt different region sampling schemes. Here we introduce one of such methods: it generates multiple bounding boxes with varying scales and aspect ratios centered on each pixel. These bounding boxes in Section 14.7.

First, let's modify the printing accuracy just for more concise outputs.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import gluon, image, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
np.set_printoptions(2)  # Simplify printing accuracy
npx.set_np()
```

14.4.1 Generating Multiple Anchor Boxes

Suppose that the input image has a height of h and width of w. We generate anchor boxes with different shapes centered on each pixel of the image. Let the *scale* be $s \in (0, 1]$ and the *aspect ratio* (ratio of width to height) is r > 0. Then the width and height of the anchor box are $ws\sqrt{r}$ and hs/\sqrt{r} , respectively. Note that when the center position is given, an anchor box with known width and height is determined.

To generate multiple anchor boxes with different shapes, let's set a series of scales s_1, \ldots, s_n and a series of aspect ratios r_1, \ldots, r_m . When using all the combinations of these scales and aspect ratios with each pixel as the center, the input image will have a total of *whnm* anchor boxes. Although these anchor boxes may cover all the ground-truth bounding boxes, the computational complexity is easily too high. In practice, we can only consider those combinations containing s_1 or r_1 :

$$(s_1, r_1), (s_1, r_2), \dots, (s_1, r_m), (s_2, r_1), (s_3, r_1), \dots, (s_n, r_1).$$
 (14.4.1)

That is to say, the number of anchor boxes centered on the same pixel is n + m - 1. For the entire input image, we will generate a total of wh(n + m - 1) anchor boxes.

The above method of generating anchor boxes is implemented in the following multibox_prior function. We specify the input image, a list of scales, and a list of aspect ratios, then this function will return all the anchor boxes.

```
#@save
def multibox_prior(data, sizes, ratios):
    """Generate anchor boxes with different shapes centered on each pixel."""
    in_height, in_width = data.shape[-2:]
    device, num_sizes, num_ratios = data.ctx, len(sizes), len(ratios)
    boxes_per_pixel = (num_sizes + num_ratios - 1)
    size_tensor = np.array(sizes, ctx=device)
    ratio_tensor = np.array(ratios, ctx=device)
    # Offsets are required to move the anchor to the center of a pixel. Since
    # a pixel has height=1 and width=1, we choose to offset our centers by 0.5
    offset_h, offset_w = 0.5, 0.5
    steps_h = 1.0 / in_height    # Scaled steps in y-axis
    steps_w = 1.0 / in_width    # Scaled steps in x-axis
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Generate all center points for the anchor boxes
center_h = (np.arange(in_height, ctx=device) + offset_h) * steps_h
center_w = (np.arange(in_width, ctx=device) + offset_w) * steps_w
shift_x, shift_y = np.meshgrid(center_w, center_h)
shift_x, shift_y = shift_x.reshape(-1), shift_y.reshape(-1)
# Generate `boxes_per_pixel` number of heights and widths that are later
# used to create anchor box corner coordinates (xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
w = np.concatenate((size_tensor * np.sqrt(ratio_tensor[0]),
                    sizes[0] * np.sqrt(ratio_tensor[1:]))) \
                    * in_height / in_width # Handle rectangular inputs
h = np.concatenate((size_tensor / np.sqrt(ratio_tensor[0]),
                    sizes[0] / np.sqrt(ratio_tensor[1:])))
# Divide by 2 to get half height and half width
anchor_manipulations = np.tile(np.stack((-w, -h, w, h)).T,
                               (in\_height * in\_width, 1)) / 2
# Each center point will have `boxes_per_pixel` number of anchor boxes, so
# generate a grid of all anchor box centers with `boxes_per_pixel` repeats
out_grid = np.stack([shift_x, shift_y, shift_x, shift_y],
                     axis=1).repeat(boxes_per_pixel, axis=0)
output = out_grid + anchor_manipulations
return np.expand_dims(output, axis=0)
```

We can see that the shape of the returned anchor box variable Y is (batch size, number of anchor boxes, 4).

```
img = image.imread('../img/catdog.jpg').asnumpy()
h, w = img.shape[:2]
print(h, w)
X = np.random.uniform(size=(1, 3, h, w)) # Construct input data
Y = multibox_prior(X, sizes=[0.75, 0.5, 0.25], ratios=[1, 2, 0.5])
Y.shape
```

561 728

(1, 2042040, 4)

After changing the shape of the anchor box variable Y to (image height, image width, number of anchor boxes centered on the same pixel, 4), we can obtain all the anchor boxes centered on a specified pixel position. In the following, we access the first anchor box centered on (250, 250). It has four elements: the (x, y)-axis coordinates at the upper-left corner and the (x, y)-axis coordinates at the lower-right corner of the anchor box. The coordinate values of both axes are divided by the width and height of the image, respectively; thus, the range is between 0 and 1.

```
boxes = Y.reshape(h, w, 5, 4)
boxes[250, 250, 0, :]
```

array([0.06, 0.07, 0.63, 0.82])

In order to show all the anchor boxes centered on one pixel in the image, we define the following show_bboxes function to draw multiple bounding boxes on the image.

#@save
def show_bboxes(axes, bboxes, labels=None, colors=None):

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
"""Show bounding boxes."""
def make_list(obj, default_values=None):
   if obj is None:
       obj = default_values
    elif not isinstance(obj, (list, tuple)):
       obj = [obj]
    return obj
labels = make_list(labels)
colors = make_list(colors, ['b', 'g', 'r', 'm', 'c'])
for i, bbox in enumerate(bboxes):
   color = colors[i % len(colors)]
   rect = d2l.bbox_to_rect(bbox.asnumpy(), color)
   axes.add_patch(rect)
    if labels and len(labels) > i:
        text_color = 'k' if color == 'w' else 'w'
        axes.text(rect.xy[0], rect.xy[1], labels[i],
                  va='center', ha='center', fontsize=9, color=text_color,
                  bbox=dict(facecolor=color, lw=0))
```

As we just saw, the coordinate values of the x and y axes in the variable boxes have been divided by the width and height of the image, respectively. When drawing anchor boxes, we need to restore their original coordinate values; thus, we define variable bbox_scale below. Now, we can draw all the anchor boxes centered on (250, 250) in the image. As you can see, the blue anchor box with a scale of 0.75 and an aspect ratio of 1 well surrounds the dog in the image.



14.4.2 Intersection over Union (IoU)

We just mentioned that an anchor box "well" surrounds the dog in the image. If the groundtruth bounding box of the object is known, how can "well" here be quantified? Intuitively, we can measure the similarity between the anchor box and the ground-truth bounding box. We know that the *Jaccard index* can measure the similarity between two sets. Given sets A and B, their Jaccard index is the size of their intersection divided by the size of their union:

$$J(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) = \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}|}{|\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}|}.$$
(14.4.2)

In fact, we can consider the pixel area of any bounding box as a set of pixels. In this way, we can measure the similarity of the two bounding boxes by the Jaccard index of their pixel sets. For two bounding boxes, we usually refer their Jaccard index as *intersection over union* (*IoU*), which is the ratio of their intersection area to their union area, as shown in Fig.14.4.1. The range of an IoU is between 0 and 1: 0 means that two bounding boxes do not overlap at all, while 1 indicates that the two bounding boxes are equal.





For the remainder of this section, we will use IoU to measure the similarity between anchor boxes and ground-truth bounding boxes, and between different anchor boxes. Given two lists of anchor or bounding boxes, the following box_iou computes their pairwise IoU across these two lists.

```
#@save
def box_iou(boxes1, boxes2):
    """Compute pairwise IoU across two lists of anchor or bounding boxes."""
    box_area = lambda boxes: ((boxes[:, 2] - boxes[:, 0]) *
    (boxes[:, 3] - boxes[:, 1]))
# Shape of `boxes1`, `boxes2`, `areas1`, `areas2`: (no. of boxes1, 4),
# (no. of boxes2, 4), (no. of boxes1,), (no. of boxes2,)
    areas1 = box_area(boxes1)
    areas2 = box_area(boxes2)
    # Shape of `inter_upperlefts`, `inter_lowerrights`, `inters`: (no. of
    # boxes1, no. of boxes2, 2)
    inter_upperlefts = np.maximum(boxes1[:, None, :2], boxes2[:, :2])
    inter_lowerrights = np.minimum(boxes1[:, None, 2:], boxes2[:, 2:])
    inters = (inter_lowerrights - inter_upperlefts).clip(min=0)
    # Shape of `inter_areas` and `union_areas`: (no. of boxes1, no. of boxes2)
    inter_areas = inters[:, :, 0] * inters[:, :, 1]
    union_areas = areas1[:, None] + areas2 - inter_areas
    return inter_areas / union_areas
```

14.4.3 Labeling Anchor Boxes in Training Data

In a training dataset, we consider each anchor box as a training example. In order to train an object detection model, we need *class* and *offset* labels for each anchor box, where the former is the class of the object relevant to the anchor box and the latter is the offset of the ground-truth bounding box relative to the anchor box. During the prediction, for each image we generate multiple anchor boxes, predict classes and offsets for all the anchor boxes, adjust their positions according to the predicted offsets to obtain the predicted bounding boxes, and finally only output those predicted bounding boxes that satisfy certain criteria.

As we know, an object detection training set comes with labels for locations of *ground-truth bounding boxes* and classes of their surrounded objects. To label any generated *anchor box*, we refer to the labeled location and class of its *assigned* ground-truth bounding box that is closest to the anchor box. In the following, we describe an algorithm for assigning closest ground-truth bounding boxes to anchor boxes.

Assigning Ground-Truth Bounding Boxes to Anchor Boxes

Given an image, suppose that the anchor boxes are $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n_a}$ and the ground-truth bounding boxes are $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{n_b}$, where $n_a \ge n_b$. Let's define a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a \times n_b}$, whose element x_{ij} in the *i*th row and *j*th column is the IoU of the anchor box A_i and the ground-truth bounding box B_j . The algorithm consists of the following steps:

- 1. Find the largest element in matrix X and denote its row and column indices as i_1 and j_1 , respectively. Then the ground-truth bounding box B_{j_1} is assigned to the anchor box A_{i_1} . This is quite intuitive because A_{i_1} and B_{j_1} are the closest among all the pairs of anchor boxes and ground-truth bounding boxes. After the first assignment, discard all the elements in the i_1 th row and the j_1 th column in matrix X.
- 2. Find the largest of the remaining elements in matrix X and denote its row and column indices as i_2 and j_2 , respectively. We assign ground-truth bounding box B_{j_2} to anchor box A_{i_2} and discard all the elements in the i_2 th row and the j_2 th column in matrix X.
- 3. At this point, elements in two rows and two columns in matrix X have been discarded. We proceed until all elements in n_b columns in matrix X are discarded. At this time, we have assigned a ground-truth bounding box to each of n_b anchor boxes.
- 4. Only traverse through the remaining $n_a n_b$ anchor boxes. For example, given any anchor box A_i , find the ground-truth bounding box B_j with the largest IoU with A_i throughout the *i*th row of matrix X, and assign B_j to A_i only if this IoU is greater than a predefined threshold.

Let's illustrate the above algorithm using a concrete example. As shown in Fig.14.4.2 (left), assuming that the maximum value in matrix X is x_{23} , we assign the ground-truth bounding box B_3 to the anchor box A_2 . Then, we discard all the elements in row 2 and column 3 of the matrix, find the largest x_{71} in the remaining elements (shaded area), and assign the ground-truth bounding box B_1 to the anchor box A_7 . Next, as shown in Fig.14.4.2 (middle), discard all the elements in row 7 and column 1 of the matrix, find the largest x_{54} in the remaining elements (shaded area), and assign the ground-truth bounding box B_4 to the anchor box A_5 . Finally, as shown in Fig.14.4.2 (right), discard all the elements in row 5 and column 4 of the matrix, find the largest x_{92} in the remaining elements (shaded area), and assign the ground-truth bounding box B_2 to the anchor box A_9 . After that, we only need to traverse through the remaining anchor boxes A_1, A_3, A_4, A_6, A_8 and determine whether to assign the ground-truth bounding boxes according to the threshold.





This algorithm is implemented in the following assign_anchor_to_bbox function.

```
#@save
def assign_anchor_to_bbox(ground_truth, anchors, device, iou_threshold=0.5):
    """Assign closest ground-truth bounding boxes to anchor boxes."
    num_anchors, num_gt_boxes = anchors.shape[0], ground_truth.shape[0]
    # Element x_ij in the i-th row and j-th column is the IoU of the anchor
   # box i and the ground-truth bounding box j
    jaccard = box_iou(anchors, ground_truth)
    # Initialize the tensor to hold the assigned ground-truth bounding box for
   # each anchor
   anchors_bbox_map = np.full((num_anchors,), -1, dtype=np.int32, ctx=device)
   # Assign ground-truth bounding boxes according to the threshold
   max_ious, indices = np.max(jaccard, axis=1), np.argmax(jaccard, axis=1)
   anc_i = np.nonzero(max_ious >= iou_threshold)[0]
   box_j = indices[max_ious >= iou_threshold]
    anchors_bbox_map[anc_i] = box_j
    col_discard = np.full((num_anchors,), -1)
    row_discard = np.full((num_gt_boxes,), -1)
    for _ in range(num_gt_boxes):
       max_idx = np.argmax(jaccard) # Find the largest IoU
       box_idx = (max_idx % num_gt_boxes).astype('int32')
        anc_idx = (max_idx / num_gt_boxes).astype('int32')
       anchors_bbox_map[anc_idx] = box_idx
        jaccard[:, box_idx] = col_discard
        jaccard[anc_idx, :] = row_discard
    return anchors_bbox_map
```

Labeling Classes and Offsets

Now we can label the class and offset for each anchor box. Suppose that an anchor box A is assigned a ground-truth bounding box B. On the one hand, the class of the anchor box A will be labeled as that of B. On the other hand, the offset of the anchor box A will be labeled according to the relative position between the central coordinates of B and A together with the relative size between these two boxes. Given varying positions and sizes of different boxes in the dataset, we can apply transformations to those relative positions and sizes that may lead to more uniformly distributed offsets that are easier to fit. Here we describe a common transformation. Given the central coordinates of A and B as (x_a, y_a) and (x_b, y_b) , their widths as w_a and w_b , and their heights as h_a and h_b , respectively. We may label the offset of A as

$$\left(\frac{\frac{x_b-x_a}{w_a}-\mu_x}{\sigma_x},\frac{\frac{y_b-y_a}{h_a}-\mu_y}{\sigma_y},\frac{\log\frac{w_b}{w_a}-\mu_w}{\sigma_w},\frac{\log\frac{h_b}{h_a}-\mu_h}{\sigma_h}\right),\tag{14.4.3}$$

where default values of the constants are $\mu_x = \mu_y = \mu_w = \mu_h = 0, \sigma_x = \sigma_y = 0.1$, and $\sigma_w = \sigma_h = 0.2$. This transformation is implemented below in the offset_boxes function.

```
#@save
def offset_boxes(anchors, assigned_bb, eps=1e-6):
    """Transform for anchor box offsets."""
    c_anc = d2l.box_corner_to_center(anchors)
    c_assigned_bb = d2l.box_corner_to_center(assigned_bb)
    offset_xy = 10 * (c_assigned_bb[:, :2] - c_anc[:, :2]) / c_anc[:, 2:]
    offset_wh = 5 * np.log(eps + c_assigned_bb[:, 2:] / c_anc[:, 2:])
    offset = np.concatenate([offset_xy, offset_wh], axis=1)
    return offset
```

If an anchor box is not assigned a ground-truth bounding box, we just label the class of the anchor box as "background". Anchor boxes whose classes are background are often referred to as *negative* anchor boxes, and the rest are called *positive* anchor boxes. We implement the following multibox_target function to label classes and offsets for anchor boxes (the

anchors argument) using ground-truth bounding boxes (the labels argument). This function sets the background class to zero and increments the integer index of a new class by one.

```
#@save
def multibox_target(anchors, labels):
    ""Label anchor boxes using ground-truth bounding boxes."""
    batch_size, anchors = labels.shape[0], anchors.squeeze(0)
    batch_offset, batch_mask, batch_class_labels = [], [], []
    device, num_anchors = anchors.ctx, anchors.shape[0]
    for i in range(batch_size):
       label = labels[i, :, :]
       anchors_bbox_map = assign_anchor_to_bbox(
            label[:, 1:], anchors, device)
       bbox_mask = np.tile((np.expand_dims((anchors_bbox_map >= 0),
                                            axis=-1)), (1, 4)).astype('int32')
       # Initialize class labels and assigned bounding box coordinates with
        # zeros
       class_labels = np.zeros(num_anchors, dtype=np.int32, ctx=device)
       assigned_bb = np.zeros((num_anchors, 4), dtype=np.float32,
                                ctx=device)
       # Label classes of anchor boxes using their assigned ground-truth
        # bounding boxes. If an anchor box is not assigned any, we label its
       # class as background (the value remains zero)
       indices_true = np.nonzero(anchors_bbox_map >= 0)[0]
       bb_idx = anchors_bbox_map[indices_true]
       class_labels[indices_true] = label[bb_idx, 0].astype('int32') + 1
        assigned_bb[indices_true] = label[bb_idx, 1:]
        # Offset transformation
       offset = offset_boxes(anchors, assigned_bb) * bbox_mask
       batch_offset.append(offset.reshape(-1))
       batch_mask.append(bbox_mask.reshape(-1))
       batch_class_labels.append(class_labels)
    bbox_offset = np.stack(batch_offset)
    bbox_mask = np.stack(batch_mask)
    class_labels = np.stack(batch_class_labels)
    return (bbox_offset, bbox_mask, class_labels)
```

An Example

Let's illustrate anchor box labeling via a concrete example. We define ground-truth bounding boxes for the dog and cat in the loaded image, where the first element is the class (0 for dog and 1 for cat) and the remaining four elements are the (x, y)-axis coordinates at the upper-left corner and the lower-right corner (range is between 0 and 1). We also construct five anchor boxes to be labeled using the coordinates of the upper-left corner and the lower-right corner: A_0, \ldots, A_4 (the index starts from 0). Then we plot these ground-truth bounding boxes and anchor boxes in the image.

Using the multibox_target function defined above, we can label classes and offsets of these anchor boxes based on the ground-truth bounding boxes for the dog and cat. In this example, indices of the background, dog, and cat classes are 0, 1, and 2, respectively. Below we add an dimension for examples of anchor boxes and ground-truth bounding boxes.



There are three items in the returned result, all of which are in the tensor format. The third item contains the labeled classes of the input anchor boxes.

Let's analyze the returned class labels below based on anchor box and ground-truth bounding box positions in the image. First, among all the pairs of anchor boxes and ground-truth bounding boxes, the IoU of the anchor box A_4 and the ground-truth bounding box of the cat is the largest. Thus, the class of A_4 is labeled as the cat. Taking out pairs containing A_4 or the ground-truth bounding box of the cat, among the rest the pair of the anchor box A_1 and the ground-truth bounding box of the dog has the largest IoU. So the class of A_1 is labeled as the dog. Next, we need to traverse through the remaining three unlabeled anchor boxes: A_0 , A_2 , and A_3 . For A_0 , the class of the ground-truth bounding box with the largest IoU is the dog, but the IoU is below the predefined threshold (0.5), so the class is labeled as background; for A_2 , the class of the ground-truth bounding box with the largest IoU is the cat and the IoU exceeds the threshold, so the class is labeled as the cat; for A_3 , the class of the ground-truth bounding box with the largest IoU is the class is labeled as the cats is labeled as background.

labels[2]

array([[0, 1, 2, 0, 2]], dtype=int32)

The second returned item is a mask variable of the shape (batch size, four times the number of anchor boxes). Every four elements in the mask variable correspond to the four offset values of each anchor box. Since we do not care about background detection, offsets of this negative class should not affect the objective function. Through elementwise multiplications, zeros in the mask variable will filter out negative class offsets before calculating the objective function.

labels[1]

```
array([[0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1]],
dtype=int32)
```

The first returned item contains the four offset values labeled for each anchor box. Note that the offsets of negative-class anchor boxes are labeled as zeros.

labels[0]

```
array([[-0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, 1.40e+00, 1.00e+01,
2.59e+00, 7.18e+00, -1.20e+00, 2.69e-01, 1.68e+00, -1.57e+00,
-0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, -0.00e+00, -5.71e-01, -1.00e+00,
4.17e-06, 6.26e-01]])
```

14.4.4 Predicting Bounding Boxes with Non-Maximum Suppression

During prediction, we generate multiple anchor boxes for the image and predict classes and offsets for each of them. A *predicted bounding box* is thus obtained according to an anchor box with its predicted offset. Below we implement the offset_inverse function that takes in anchors and offset predictions as inputs and applies inverse offset transformations to return the predicted bounding box coordinates.

```
#@save
def offset_inverse(anchors, offset_preds):
    """Predict bounding boxes based on anchor boxes with predicted offsets."""
    anc = d21.box_corner_to_center(anchors)
    pred_bbox_xy = (offset_preds[:, :2] * anc[:, 2:] / 10) + anc[:, :2]
    pred_bbox_wh = np.exp(offset_preds[:, 2:] / 5) * anc[:, 2:]
    pred_bbox = np.concatenate((pred_bbox_xy, pred_bbox_wh), axis=1)
    predicted_bbox = d21.box_center_to_corner(pred_bbox)
    return predicted_bbox
```

When there are many anchor boxes, many similar (with significant overlap) predicted bounding boxes can be potentially output for surrounding the same object. To simplify the output, we can merge similar predicted bounding boxes that belong to the same object by using *non-maximum suppression* (NMS).

Here is how non-maximum suppression works. For a predicted bounding box B, the object detection model calculates the predicted likelihood for each class. Denoting by p the largest predicted likelihood, the class corresponding to this probability is the predicted class for B. Specifically, we refer to p as the *confidence* (score) of the predicted bounding box B. On the same image, all the predicted non-background bounding boxes are sorted by confidence in descending order to generate a list L. Then we manipulate the sorted list L in the following steps:

- 1. Select the predicted bounding box B_1 with the highest confidence from L as a basis and remove all non-basis predicted bounding boxes whose IoU with B_1 exceeds a predefined threshold ϵ from L. At this point, L keeps the predicted bounding box with the highest confidence but drops others that are too similar to it. In a nutshell, those with *non-maximum* confidence scores are *suppressed*.
- 2. Select the predicted bounding box B_2 with the second highest confidence from L as another basis and remove all non-basis predicted bounding boxes whose IoU with B_2 exceeds ϵ from L.
- 3. Repeat the above process until all the predicted bounding boxes in L have been used as a basis. At this time, the IoU of any pair of predicted bounding boxes in L is below the threshold ϵ ; thus, no pair is too similar with each other.
- 4. Output all the predicted bounding boxes in the list L.

The following nms function sorts confidence scores in descending order and returns their indices.

```
#@save
def nms(boxes, scores, iou_threshold):
    """Sort confidence scores of predicted bounding boxes."""
```

```
(continued from previous page)
```

We define the following multibox_detection to apply non-maximum suppression to predicting bounding boxes. Do not worry if you find the implementation a bit complicated: we will show how it works with a concrete example right after the implementation.

```
#@save
def multibox_detection(cls_probs, offset_preds, anchors, nms_threshold=0.5,
                      pos_threshold=0.009999999):
    """Predict bounding boxes using non-maximum suppression."""
    device, batch_size = cls_probs.ctx, cls_probs.shape[0]
    anchors = np.squeeze(anchors, axis=0)
   num_classes, num_anchors = cls_probs.shape[1], cls_probs.shape[2]
   out = []
    for i in range(batch_size):
        cls_prob, offset_pred = cls_probs[i], offset_preds[i].reshape(-1, 4)
        conf, class_id = np.max(cls_prob[1:], 0), np.argmax(cls_prob[1:], 0)
        predicted_bb = offset_inverse(anchors, offset_pred)
        keep = nms(predicted_bb, conf, nms_threshold)
        # Find all non-`keep` indices and set the class to background
       all_idx = np.arange(num_anchors, dtype=np.int32, ctx=device)
       combined = np.concatenate((keep, all_idx))
       unique, counts = np.unique(combined, return_counts=True)
        non_keep = unique[counts == 1]
        all_id_sorted = np.concatenate((keep, non_keep))
       class_id[non_keep] = -1
        class_id = class_id[all_id_sorted].astype('float32')
        conf, predicted_bb = conf[all_id_sorted], predicted_bb[all_id_sorted]
        # Here `pos_threshold` is a threshold for positive (non-background)
        # predictions
       below_min_idx = (conf < pos_threshold)</pre>
        class_id[below_min_idx] = -1
        conf[below_min_idx] = 1 - conf[below_min_idx]
        pred_info = np.concatenate((np.expand_dims(class_id, axis=1),
                                np.expand_dims(conf, axis=1),
                                predicted_bb), axis=1)
       out.append(pred info)
    return np.stack(out)
```

Now let's apply the above implementations to a concrete example with four anchor boxes. For simplicity, we assume that the predicted offsets are all zeros. This means that the predicted bounding boxes are anchor boxes. For each class among the background, dog, and cat, we also define its predicted likelihood.

We can plot these predicted bounding boxes with their confidence on the image.



Now we can invoke the multibox_detection function to perform non-maximum suppression, where the threshold is set to 0.5. Note that we add a dimension for examples in the tensor input.

We can see that the shape of the returned result is (batch size, number of anchor boxes, 6). The six elements in the innermost dimension gives the output information for the same predicted bounding box. The first element is the predicted class index, which starts from 0 (0 is dog and 1 is cat). The value -1 indicates background or removal in non-maximum suppression. The second element is the confidence of the predicted bounding box. The remaining four elements are the (x, y)-axis coordinates of the upper-left corner and the lower-right corner of the predicted bounding box, respectively (range is between 0 and 1).

```
output = multibox_detection(np.expand_dims(cls_probs, axis=0)
                            np.expand_dims(offset_preds, axis=0),
                            np.expand_dims(anchors, axis=0),
                            nms_threshold=0.5)
output
```

0.9, 0.2 , array([[[1. 0.55, 0.9 0.88], , [0. 0.92], , 0.9 , 0.1 , 0.08, 0.52, [-1. , 0.8 , 0.08, 0.2 , 0.56, 0.95], [-1. , 0.7 , 0.15, 0.3 , 0.62, 0.91]])

After removing those predicted bounding boxes of class -1, we can output the final predicted bounding box kept by non-maximum suppression.

```
fig = d2l.plt.imshow(img)
for i in output[0].asnumpy():
    if i[0] == -1:
        continue
    label = ('dog=', 'cat=')[int(i[0])] + str(i[1])
    show_bboxes(fig.axes, [np.array(i[2:]) * bbox_scale], label)
```

In practice, we can remove predicted bounding boxes with lower confidence even before performing non-maximum suppression, thereby reducing computation in this algorithm. We may also post-process the output of non-maximum suppression, for example, by only keeping results with higher confidence in the final output.

14.4.5 Summary

• We generate anchor boxes with different shapes centered on each pixel of the image.



- Intersection over union (IoU), also known as Jaccard index, measures the similarity of two bounding boxes. It is the ratio of their intersection area to their union area.
- In a training set, we need two types of labels for each anchor box. One is the class of the object relevant to the anchor box and the other is the offset of the ground-truth bounding box relative to the anchor box.
- During prediction, we can use non-maximum suppression (NMS) to remove similar predicted bounding boxes, thereby simplifying the output.

Exercises

- 1. Change values of sizes and ratios in the multibox_prior function. What are the changes to the generated anchor boxes?
- 2. Construct and visualize two bounding boxes with an IoU of 0.5. How do they overlap with each other?
- 3. Modify the variable anchors in Section 14.4.3 and Section 14.4.4. How do the results change?
- 4. Non-maximum suppression is a greedy algorithm that suppresses predicted bounding boxes by *removing* them. Is it possible that some of these removed ones are actually useful? How can this algorithm be modified to suppress *softly*? You may refer to Soft-NMS (Bodla *et al.*, 2017).
- 5. Rather than being hand-crafted, can non-maximum suppression be learned?

Discussions²¹⁰



14.5 Multiscale Object Detection

In Section 14.4, we generated multiple anchor boxes centered on each pixel of an input image. Essentially these anchor boxes represent samples of different regions of the image. However, we may end up with too many anchor boxes to compute if they are generated for *every* pixel. Think of a 561×728 input image. If five anchor boxes with varying shapes are generated for each pixel as their center, over two million anchor boxes ($561 \times 728 \times 5$) need to be labeled and predicted on the image.

14.5.1 Multiscale Anchor Boxes

You may realize that it is not difficult to reduce anchor boxes on an image. For instance, we can just uniformly sample a small portion of pixels from the input image to generate anchor boxes centered on them. In addition, at different scales we can generate different numbers of anchor boxes of different sizes. Intuitively, smaller objects are more likely to appear on an image than larger ones. As an example, 1×1 , 1×2 , and 2×2 objects can appear on a 2×2 image in 4, 2, and 1 possible ways, respectively. Therefore, when using smaller anchor boxes to detect smaller objects, we can sample more regions, while for larger objects we can sample fewer regions.

To demonstrate how to generate anchor boxes at multiple scales, let's read an image. Its height and width are 561 and 728 pixels, respectively.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import image, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
img = image.imread('../img/catdog.jpg')
h, w = img.shape[:2]
h, w
```

(561, 728)

Recall that in Section 7.2 we call a two-dimensional array output of a convolutional layer a feature map. By defining the feature map shape, we can determine centers of uniformly sampled anchor boxes on any image.

The display_anchors function is defined below. We generate anchor boxes (anchors) on the feature map (fmap) with each unit (pixel) as the anchor box center. Since the (x, y)-axis coordinate values in the anchor boxes (anchors) have been divided by the width and height of the feature map (fmap), these values are between 0 and 1, which indicate the relative positions of anchor boxes in the feature map.

Since centers of the anchor boxes (anchors) are spread over all units on the feature map (fmap), these centers must be *uniformly* distributed on any input image in terms of their relative spatial positions. More concretely, given the width and height of the feature map fmap_w and fmap_h, respectively, the following function will *uniformly* sample pixels in fmap_h rows and fmap_w columns on any input image. Centered on these uniformly sampled pixels, anchor boxes of scale s (assuming the length of the list s is 1) and different aspect ratios (ratios) will be generated.

First, let's consider detection of small objects. In order to make it easier to distinguish when displayed, the anchor boxes with different centers here do not overlap: the anchor box scale is set to 0.15 and the height and width of the feature map are set to 4. We can see that the centers of the anchor boxes in 4 rows and 4 columns on the image are uniformly distributed.



We move on to reduce the height and width of the feature map by half and use larger anchor boxes to detect larger objects. When the scale is set to 0.4, some anchor boxes will overlap with each other.

display_anchors(fmap_w=2, fmap_h=2, s=[0.4])



Finally, we further reduce the height and width of the feature map by half and increase the anchor box scale to 0.8. Now the center of the anchor box is the center of the image.

display_anchors(fmap_w=1, fmap_h=1, s=[0.8])





Since we have generated multiscale anchor boxes, we will use them to detect objects of various sizes at different scales. In the following we introduce a CNN-based multiscale object detection method that we will implement in Section 14.7.

At some scale, say that we have c feature maps of shape $h \times w$. Using the method in Section 14.5.1, we generate hw sets of anchor boxes, where each set has a anchor boxes with the

same center. For example, at the first scale in the experiments in Section 14.5.1, given ten (number of channels) 4×4 feature maps, we generated 16 sets of anchor boxes, where each set contains 3 anchor boxes with the same center. Next, each anchor box is labeled with the class and offset based on ground-truth bounding boxes. At the current scale, the object detection model needs to predict the classes and offsets of *hw* sets of anchor boxes on the input image, where different sets have different centers.

Assume that the c feature maps here are the intermediate outputs obtained by the CNN forward propagation based on the input image. Since there are hw different spatial positions on each feature map, the same spatial position can be thought of as having c units. According to the definition of receptive field in Section 7.2, these c units at the same spatial position of the feature maps have the same receptive field on the input image: they represent the input image information in the same receptive field. Therefore, we can transform the c units of the feature maps at the same spatial position into the classes and offsets of the a anchor boxes generated using this spatial position. In essence, we use the information of the input image in a certain receptive field to predict the classes and offsets of the anchor boxes that are close to that receptive field on the input image.

When the feature maps at different layers have varying-size receptive fields on the input image, they can be used to detect objects of different sizes. For example, we can design a neural network where units of feature maps that are closer to the output layer have wider receptive fields, so they can detect larger objects from the input image.

In a nutshell, we can leverage layerwise representations of images at multiple levels by deep neural networks for multiscale object detection. We will show how this works through a concrete example in Section 14.7.

14.5.3 Summary

- At multiple scales, we can generate anchor boxes with different sizes to detect objects with different sizes.
- By defining the shape of feature maps, we can determine centers of uniformly sampled anchor boxes on any image.
- We use the information of the input image in a certain receptive field to predict the classes and offsets of the anchor boxes that are close to that receptive field on the input image.
- Through deep learning, we can leverage its layerwise representations of images at multiple levels for multiscale object detection.

Exercises

- 1. According to our discussions in Section 8.1, deep neural networks learn hierarchical features with increasing levels of abstraction for images. In multiscale object detection, do feature maps at different scales correspond to different levels of abstraction? Why or why not?
- 2. At the first scale (fmap_w=4, fmap_h=4) in the experiments in Section 14.5.1, generate uniformly distributed anchor boxes that may overlap.
- 3. Given a feature map variable with shape $1 \times c \times h \times w$, where *c*, *h*, and *w* are the number of channels, height, and width of the feature maps, respectively. How can you transform this variable into the classes and offsets of anchor boxes? What is the shape of the output?



14.6 The Object Detection Dataset

There is no small dataset such as MNIST and Fashion-MNIST in the field of object detection. In order to quickly demonstrate object detection models, we collected and labeled a small dataset. First, we took photos of free bananas from our office and generated 1000 banana images with different rotations and sizes. Then we placed each banana image at a random position on some background image. In the end, we labeled bounding boxes for those bananas on the images.

14.6.1 Downloading the Dataset

The banana detection dataset with all the image and csv label files can be downloaded directly from the Internet.

%matplotlib inline import os import pandas as pd from mxnet import gluon, image, np, npx from d21 import mxnet as d21

npx.set_np()

```
#@save
d21.DATA_HUB['banana-detection'] = (
    d21.DATA_URL + 'banana-detection.zip',
    '5de26c8fce5ccdea9f91267273464dc968d20d72')
```

14.6.2 Reading the Dataset

We are going to read the banana detection dataset in the read_data_bananas function below. The dataset includes a csv file for object class labels and ground-truth bounding box coordinates at the upper-left and lower-right corners.

```
#@save
def read_data_bananas(is_train=True):
    """Read the banana detection dataset images and labels."""
   data_dir = d2l.download_extract('banana-detection')
   csv_fname = os.path.join(data_dir, 'bananas_train' if is_train
                             else 'bananas_val', 'label.csv')
    csv_data = pd.read_csv(csv_fname)
    csv_data = csv_data.set_index('img_name')
    images, targets = [], []
    for img_name, target in csv_data.iterrows():
        images.append(image.imread(
           os.path.join(data_dir, 'bananas_train' if is_train else
                          'bananas_val', 'images', f'{img_name}')))
       # Here `target` contains (class, upper-left x, upper-left y,
       # lower-right x, lower-right y), where all the images have the same
       # banana class (index 0)
        targets.append(list(target))
    return images, np.expand_dims(np.array(targets), 1) / 256
```

By using the read_data_bananas function to read images and labels, the following BananasDataset class will allow us to create a customized Dataset instance for loading the banana detection dataset.

```
#@save
class BananasDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    """A customized dataset to load the banana detection dataset."""
    def __init__(self, is_train):
        self.features, self.labels = read_data_bananas(is_train)
        print('read ' + str(len(self.features)) + (f' training examples' if
            is_train else f' validation examples'))
    def __getitem__(self, idx):
        return (self.features[idx].astype('float32').transpose(2, 0, 1),
            self.labels[idx])
    def __len__(self):
        return len(self.features)
```

Finally, we define the load_data_bananas function to return two data iterator instances for both the training and test sets. For the test dataset, there is no need to read it in random order.

Let's read a minibatch and print the shapes of both images and labels in this minibatch. The shape of the image minibatch, (batch size, number of channels, height, width), looks familiar: it is the same as in our earlier image classification tasks. The shape of the label minibatch is (batch size, m, 5), where m is the largest possible number of bounding boxes that any image has in the dataset.

Although computation in minibatches is more efficient, it requires that all the image examples contain the same number of bounding boxes to form a minibatch via concatenation. In general, images may have a varying number of bounding boxes; thus, images with fewer than m bounding boxes will be padded with illegal bounding boxes until m is reached. Then the label of each bounding box is represented by an array of length 5. The first element in the array is the class of the object in the bounding box, where -1 indicates an illegal bounding box for padding. The remaining four elements of the array are the (x, y)-coordinate values of the upper-left corner and the lower-right corner of the bounding box (the range is between 0 and 1). For the banana dataset, since there is only one bounding box on each image, we have m = 1.

```
batch_size, edge_size = 32, 256
train_iter, _ = load_data_bananas(batch_size)
batch = next(iter(train_iter))
batch[0].shape, batch[1].shape
```

```
Downloading ../data/banana-detection.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.

→ amazonaws.com/banana-detection.zip...

read 1000 training examples

read 100 validation examples
```

```
((32, 3, 256, 256), (32, 1, 5))
```

Let's demonstrate ten images with their labeled ground-truth bounding boxes. We can see that the rotations, sizes, and positions of bananas vary across all these images. Of course, this is just a simple artificial dataset. In practice, real-world datasets are usually much more complicated.

```
imgs = (batch[0][:10].transpose(0, 2, 3, 1)) / 255
axes = d2l.show_images(imgs, 2, 5, scale=2)
for ax, label in zip(axes, batch[1][:10]):
        d2l.show_bboxes(ax, [label[0][1:5] * edge_size], colors=['w'])
```



14.6.4 Summary

- The banana detection dataset we collected can be used to demonstrate object detection models.
- The data loading for object detection is similar to that for image classification. However, in object detection the labels also contain information of ground-truth bounding boxes, which is missing in image classification.

Exercises

- 1. Demonstrate other images with ground-truth bounding boxes in the banana detection dataset. How do they differ with respect to bounding boxes and objects?
- 2. Say that we want to apply data augmentation, such as random cropping, to object detection. How can it be different from that in image classification? Hint: what if a cropped image only contains a small portion of an object?

Discussions²¹²



14.7 Single Shot Multibox Detection

In Section 14.3–Section 14.6, we introduced bounding boxes, anchor boxes, multiscale object detection, and the dataset for object detection. Now we are ready to use such background knowledge to design an object detection model: single shot multibox detection (SSD) (Liu *et al.*, 2016). This model is simple, fast, and widely used. Although this is just one of vast amounts of object detection models, some of the design principles and implementation details in this section are also applicable to other models.

14.7.1 Model

Fig.14.7.1 provides an overview of the design of single-shot multibox detection. This model mainly consists of a base network followed by several multiscale feature map blocks. The base network is for extracting features from the input image, so it can use a deep CNN. For example, the original single-shot multibox detection paper adopts a VGG network truncated before the classification layer (Liu *et al.*, 2016), while ResNet has also been commonly used. Through our design we can make the base network output larger feature maps so as to generate more anchor boxes for detecting smaller objects. Subsequently, each multiscale feature map block reduces (e.g., by half) the height and width of the feature maps from the previous block, and enables each unit of the feature maps to increase its receptive field on the input image.

Recall the design of multiscale object detection through layerwise representations of images by deep neural networks in Section 14.5. Since multiscale feature maps closer to the top of Fig.14.7.1 are smaller but have larger receptive fields, they are suitable for detecting fewer but larger objects.

In a nutshell, via its base network and several multiscale feature map blocks, single-shot multibox detection generates a varying number of anchor boxes with different sizes, and detects varying-size objects by predicting classes and offsets of these anchor boxes (thus the bounding boxes); thus, this is a multiscale object detection model.



Figure 14.7.1

As a multiscale object detection model, single-shot multibox detection mainly consists of a base network followed by several multiscale feature map blocks.

In the following, we will describe the implementation details of different blocks in Fig.14.7.1. To begin with, we discuss how to implement the class and bounding box prediction.

Class Prediction Layer

Let the number of object classes be q. Then anchor boxes have q + 1 classes, where class 0 is background. At some scale, suppose that the height and width of feature maps are h and w, respectively. When a anchor boxes are generated with each spatial position of these feature maps as their center, a total of hwa anchor boxes need to be classified. This often makes classification with fully connected layers infeasible due to likely heavy parameterization costs. Recall how we used channels of convolutional layers to predict classes in Section 8.3. Singleshot multibox detection uses the same technique to reduce model complexity.

Specifically, the class prediction layer uses a convolutional layer without altering width or

height of feature maps. In this way, there can be a one-to-one correspondence between outputs and inputs at the same spatial dimensions (width and height) of feature maps. More concretely, channels of the output feature maps at any spatial position (x, y) represent class predictions for all the anchor boxes centered on (x, y) of the input feature maps. To produce valid predictions, there must be a(q+1) output channels, where for the same spatial position the output channel with index i(q+1)+j represents the prediction of the class j ($0 \le j \le q$) for the anchor box i ($0 \le i < a$).

Below we define such a class prediction layer, specifying a and q via arguments num_anchors and num_classes, respectively. This layer uses a 3×3 convolutional layer with a padding of 1. The width and height of the input and output of this convolutional layer remain unchanged.

Bounding Box Prediction Layer

The design of the bounding box prediction layer is similar to that of the class prediction layer. The only difference lies in the number of outputs for each anchor box: here we need to predict four offsets rather than q + 1 classes.

```
def bbox_predictor(num_anchors):
    return nn.Conv2D(num_anchors * 4, kernel_size=3, padding=1)
```

Concatenating Predictions for Multiple Scales

As we mentioned, single-shot multibox detection uses multiscale feature maps to generate anchor boxes and predict their classes and offsets. At different scales, the shapes of feature maps or the numbers of anchor boxes centered on the same unit may vary. Therefore, shapes of the prediction outputs at different scales may vary.

In the following example, we construct feature maps at two different scales, Y1 and Y2, for the same minibatch, where the height and width of Y2 are half of those of Y1. Let's take class prediction as an example. Suppose that 5 and 3 anchor boxes are generated for every unit in Y1 and Y2, respectively. Suppose further that the number of object classes is 10. For feature maps Y1 and Y2 the numbers of channels in the class prediction outputs are $5 \times (10+1) = 55$ and $3 \times (10+1) = 33$, respectively, where either output shape is (batch size, number of channels, height, width).

```
def forward(x, block):
    block.initialize()
    return block(x)

Y1 = forward(np.zeros((2, 8, 20, 20)), cls_predictor(5, 10))
Y2 = forward(np.zeros((2, 16, 10, 10)), cls_predictor(3, 10))
Y1.shape, Y2.shape
```

((2, 55, 20, 20), (2, 33, 10, 10))

As we can see, except for the batch size dimension, the other three dimensions all have different sizes. To concatenate these two prediction outputs for more efficient computation, we will transform these tensors into a more consistent format.

Note that the channel dimension holds the predictions for anchor boxes with the same center. We first move this dimension to the innermost. Since the batch size remains the same for different scales, we can transform the prediction output into a two-dimensional tensor with shape (batch size, height \times width \times number of channels). Then we can concatenate such outputs at different scales along dimension 1.

```
def flatten_pred(pred):
    return npx.batch_flatten(pred.transpose(0, 2, 3, 1))
def concat_preds(preds):
    return np.concatenate([flatten_pred(p) for p in preds], axis=1)
```

In this way, even though Y1 and Y2 have different sizes in channels, heights, and widths, we can still concatenate these two prediction outputs at two different scales for the same minibatch.

concat_preds([Y1, Y2]).shape

(2, 25300)

Downsampling Block

In order to detect objects at multiple scales, we define the following downsampling block down_sample_blk that halves the height and width of input feature maps. In fact, this block applies the design of VGG blocks in Section 8.2.1. More concretely, each downsampling block consists of two 3×3 convolutional layers with padding of 1 followed by a 2×2 maxpooling layer with stride of 2. As we know, 3×3 convolutional layers with padding of 1 do not change the shape of feature maps. However, the subsequent 2×2 maxpooling reduces the height and width of input feature maps by half. For both input and output feature maps of this downsampling block, because $1 \times 2 + (3-1) + (3-1) = 6$, each unit in the output has a 6×6 receptive field on the input. Therefore, the downsampling block enlarges the receptive field of each unit in its output feature maps.

In the following example, our constructed downsampling block changes the number of input channels and halves the height and width of the input feature maps.

forward(np.zeros((2, 3, 20, 20)), down_sample_blk(10)).shape

(2, 10, 10, 10)

Base Network Block

The base network block is used to extract features from input images. For simplicity, we construct a small base network consisting of three downsampling blocks that double the number of channels at each block. Given a 256×256 input image, this base network block outputs 32×32 feature maps $(256/2^3 = 32)$.

```
def base_net():
    blk = nn.Sequential()
    for num_filters in [16, 32, 64]:
        blk.add(down_sample_blk(num_filters))
    return blk
forward(np.zeros((2, 3, 256, 256)), base_net()).shape
```

(2, 64, 32, 32)

The Complete Model

The complete single shot multibox detection model consists of five blocks. The feature maps produced by each block are used for both (i) generating anchor boxes and (ii) predicting classes and offsets of these anchor boxes. Among these five blocks, the first one is the base network block, the second to the fourth are downsampling blocks, and the last block uses global max-pooling to reduce both the height and width to 1. Technically, the second to the fifth blocks are all those multiscale feature map blocks in Fig.14.7.1.

```
def get_blk(i):
    if i == 0:
        blk = base_net()
    elif i == 4:
        blk = nn.GlobalMaxPool2D()
    else:
        blk = down_sample_blk(128)
    return blk
```

Now we define the forward propagation for each block. Different from in image classification tasks, outputs here include (i) CNN feature maps Y, (ii) anchor boxes generated using Y at the current scale, and (iii) classes and offsets predicted (based on Y) for these anchor boxes.

```
def blk_forward(X, blk, size, ratio, cls_predictor, bbox_predictor):
    Y = blk(X)
    anchors = d2l.multibox_prior(Y, sizes=size, ratios=ratio)
    cls_preds = cls_predictor(Y)
    bbox_preds = bbox_predictor(Y)
    return (Y, anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds)
```

Recall that in Fig.14.7.1 a multiscale feature map block that is closer to the top is for detecting larger objects; thus, it needs to generate larger anchor boxes. In the above forward propagation, at each multiscale feature map block we pass in a list of two scale values via the sizes argument of the invoked multibox_prior function (described in Section 14.4). In the following, the interval between 0.2 and 1.05 is split evenly into five sections to determine the smaller scale values at the five blocks: 0.2, 0.37, 0.54, 0.71, and 0.88. Then their larger scale values are given by $\sqrt{0.2 \times 0.37} = 0.272$, $\sqrt{0.37 \times 0.54} = 0.447$, and so on.

Now we can define the complete model TinySSD as follows.

```
class TinySSD(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_classes, **kwargs):
        super(TinySSD, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.num_classes = num_classes
        for i in range(5):
            # Equivalent to the assignment statement `self.blk_i = get_blk(i)`
            setattr(self, f'blk_{i}', get_blk(i))
            setattr(self, f'cls_{i}', cls_predictor(num_anchors, num_classes))
setattr(self, f'bbox_{i}', bbox_predictor(num_anchors))
    def forward(self, X):
        anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds = [None] * 5, [None] * 5, [None] * 5
        for i in range(5):
            # Here `getattr(self, 'blk_%d' % i)` accesses `self.blk_i`
            X, anchors[i], cls_preds[i], bbox_preds[i] = blk_forward(
                X, getattr(self, f'blk_{i}'), sizes[i], ratios[i],
                getattr(self, f'cls_{i}'), getattr(self, f'bbox_{i}'))
        anchors = np.concatenate(anchors, axis=1)
        cls_preds = concat_preds(cls_preds)
        cls_preds = cls_preds.reshape(
            cls_preds.shape[0], -1, self.num_classes + 1)
        bbox_preds = concat_preds(bbox_preds)
        return anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds
```

We create a model instance and use it to perform forward propagation on a minibatch of 256×256 images X.

As shown earlier in this section, the first block outputs 32×32 feature maps. Recall that the second to fourth downsampling blocks halve the height and width and the fifth block uses global pooling. Since 4 anchor boxes are generated for each unit along spatial dimensions of feature maps, at all the five scales a total of $(32^2 + 16^2 + 8^2 + 4^2 + 1) \times 4 = 5444$ anchor boxes are generated for each image.

```
net = TinySSD(num_classes=1)
net.initialize()
X = np.zeros((32, 3, 256, 256))
anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds = net(X)
print('output anchors:', anchors.shape)
print('output class preds:', cls_preds.shape)
print('output bbox preds:', bbox_preds.shape)
```

```
output anchors: (1, 5444, 4)
output class preds: (32, 5444, 2)
output bbox preds: (32, 21776)
```

14.7.2 Training

Now we will explain how to train the single shot multibox detection model for object detection.

Reading the Dataset and Initializing the Model

To begin with, let's read the banana detection dataset described in Section 14.6.

```
batch_size = 32
train_iter, _ = d2l.load_data_bananas(batch_size)
```

```
read 1000 training examples
read 100 validation examples
```

There is only one class in the banana detection dataset. After defining the model, we need to initialize its parameters and define the optimization algorithm.

Defining Loss and Evaluation Functions

Object detection has two types of losses. The first loss concerns classes of anchor boxes: its computation can simply reuse the cross-entropy loss function that we used for image classification. The second loss concerns offsets of positive (non-background) anchor boxes: this is a regression problem. For this regression problem, however, here we do not use the squared loss described in Section 3.1.3. Instead, we use the ℓ_1 norm loss, the absolute value of the difference between the prediction and the ground-truth. The mask variable bbox_masks filters out negative anchor boxes and illegal (padded) anchor boxes in the loss calculation. In the end, we sum up the anchor box class loss and the anchor box offset loss to obtain the loss function for the model.

```
cls_loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
bbox_loss = gluon.loss.L1Loss()

def calc_loss(cls_preds, cls_labels, bbox_preds, bbox_labels, bbox_masks):
    cls = cls_loss(cls_preds, cls_labels)
    bbox = bbox_loss(bbox_preds * bbox_masks, bbox_labels * bbox_masks)
    return cls + bbox
```

We can use accuracy to evaluate the classification results. Due to the used ℓ_1 norm loss for the offsets, we use the *mean absolute error* to evaluate the predicted bounding boxes. These prediction results are obtained from the generated anchor boxes and the predicted offsets for them.

Training the Model

When training the model, we need to generate multiscale anchor boxes (anchors) and predict their classes (cls_preds) and offsets (bbox_preds) in the forward propagation. Then we

label the classes (cls_labels) and offsets (bbox_labels) of such generated anchor boxes based on the label information Y. Finally, we calculate the loss function using the predicted and labeled values of the classes and offsets. For concise implementations, evaluation of the test dataset is omitted here.

```
num_epochs, timer = 20, d21.Timer()
animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, num_epochs],
                         legend=['class error', 'bbox mae'])
for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    # Sum of training accuracy, no. of examples in sum of training accuracy,
    # Sum of absolute error, no. of examples in sum of absolute error
   metric = d2l.Accumulator(4)
    for features, target in train_iter:
        timer.start()
        X = features.as_in_ctx(device)
        Y = target.as_in_ctx(device)
        with autograd.record():
            # Generate multiscale anchor boxes and predict their classes and
            # offsets
            anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds = net(X)
            # Label the classes and offsets of these anchor boxes
            bbox_labels, bbox_masks, cls_labels = d21.multibox_target(anchors,
                                                                         Y)
            # Calculate the loss function using the predicted and labeled
            # values of the classes and offsets
            1 = calc_loss(cls_preds, cls_labels, bbox_preds, bbox_labels,
                           bbox_masks)
        1.backward()
        trainer.step(batch_size)
        metric.add(cls_eval(cls_preds, cls_labels), cls_labels.size,
                   bbox_eval(bbox_preds, bbox_labels, bbox_masks),
                   bbox_labels.size)
    cls_err, bbox_mae = 1 - metric[0] / metric[1], metric[2] / metric[3]
animator.add(epoch + 1, (cls_err, bbox_mae))
print(f'class err {cls_err:.2e}, bbox mae {bbox_mae:.2e}')
print(f'{len(train_iter._dataset) / timer.stop():.1f} examples/sec on '
      f'{str(device)}')
```

```
class err 3.47e-03, bbox mae 3.70e-03
2718.6 examples/sec on gpu(0)
```



14.7.3 Prediction

During prediction, the goal is to detect all the objects of interest on the image. Below we read and resize a test image, converting it to a four-dimensional tensor that is required by convolutional layers.

```
img = image.imread('../img/banana.jpg')
feature = image.imresize(img, 256, 256).astype('float32')
X = np.expand_dims(feature.transpose(2, 0, 1), axis=0)
```

Using the multibox_detection function below, the predicted bounding boxes are obtained from the anchor boxes and their predicted offsets. Then non-maximum suppression is used to remove similar predicted bounding boxes.

```
def predict(X):
    anchors, cls_preds, bbox_preds = net(X.as_in_ctx(device))
    cls_probs = npx.softmax(cls_preds).transpose(0, 2, 1)
    output = d21.multibox_detection(cls_probs, bbox_preds, anchors)
    idx = [i for i, row in enumerate(output[0]) if row[0] != -1]
    return output[0, idx]
```

```
output = predict(X)
```

Finally, we display all the predicted bounding boxes with confidence 0.9 or above as output.

```
def display(img, output, threshold):
    d2l.set_figsize((5, 5))
    fig = d2l.plt.imshow(img.asnumpy())
    for row in output:
        score = float(row[1])
        if score < threshold:
            continue
        h, w = img.shape[:2]
        bbox = [row[2:6] * np.array((w, h, w, h), ctx=row.ctx)]
        d2l.show_bboxes(fig.axes, bbox, '%.2f' % score, 'w')
```

```
display(img, output, threshold=0.9)
```


14.7.4 Summary

- Single shot multibox detection is a multiscale object detection model. Via its base network and several multiscale feature map blocks, single-shot multibox detection generates a varying number of anchor boxes with different sizes, and detects varying-size objects by predicting classes and offsets of these anchor boxes (thus the bounding boxes).
- When training the single-shot multibox detection model, the loss function is calculated based on the predicted and labeled values of the anchor box classes and offsets.

Exercises

1. Can you improve the single-shot multibox detection by improving the loss function? For example, replace ℓ_1 norm loss with smooth ℓ_1 norm loss for the predicted offsets. This loss function uses a square function around zero for smoothness, which is controlled by the hyperparameter σ :

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (\sigma x)^2/2, & \text{if } |x| < 1/\sigma^2 \\ |x| - 0.5/\sigma^2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(14.7.1)

When σ is very large, this loss is similar to the ℓ_1 norm loss. When its value is smaller, the loss function is smoother.

```
sigmas = [10, 1, 0.5]
lines = ['-', '--', '-.']
x = np.arange(-2, 2, 0.1)
d2l.set_figsize()
for l, s in zip(lines, sigmas):
    y = npx.smooth_l1(x, scalar=s)
    d2l.plt.plot(x.asnumpy(), y.asnumpy(), l, label='sigma=%.1f' % s)
d2l.plt.legend();
```



Besides, in the experiment we used cross-entropy loss for class prediction: denoting by p_j the predicted probability for the ground-truth class j, the cross-entropy loss is $-\log p_j$. We can also use the focal loss (Lin *et al.*, 2017): given hyperparameters $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, this loss is defined as:

$$-\alpha (1-p_j)^{\gamma} \log p_j.$$
 (14.7.2)

As we can see, increasing γ can effectively reduce the relative loss for well-classified examples (e.g., $p_j > 0.5$) so the training can focus more on those difficult examples that are misclassified.



- Due to space limitations, we have omitted some implementation details of the single shot multibox detection model in this section. Can you further improve the model in the following aspects:
 - 1. When an object is much smaller compared with the image, the model could resize the input image bigger.
 - 2. There are typically a vast number of negative anchor boxes. To make the class distribution more balanced, we could downsample negative anchor boxes.
 - In the loss function, assign different weight hyperparameters to the class loss and the offset loss.
 - 4. Use other methods to evaluate the object detection model, such as those in the single shot multibox detection paper (Liu *et al.*, 2016).

Discussions²¹³

14.8 Region-based CNNs (R-CNNs)

Besides single shot multibox detection described in Section 14.7, region-based CNNs or regions with CNN features (R-CNNs) are also among many pioneering approaches of applying deep learning to object detection (Girshick *et al.*, 2014). In this section, we will introduce the R-CNN and its series of improvements: the fast R-CNN (Girshick, 2015), the faster R-CNN (Ren *et al.*, 2015), and the mask R-CNN (He *et al.*, 2017). Due to limited space, we will only focus on the design of these models.

14.8.1 R-CNNs

The *R*-*CNN* first extracts many (e.g., 2000) *region proposals* from the input image (e.g., anchor boxes can also be considered as region proposals), labeling their classes and bounding boxes (e.g., offsets).



Then a CNN is used to perform forward propagation on each region proposal to extract its features. Next, features of each region proposal are used for predicting the class and bounding box of this region proposal.



Figure 14.8.1 The R-CNN model.

Fig.14.8.1 shows the R-CNN model. More concretely, the R-CNN consists of the following four steps:

- 1. Perform *selective search* to extract multiple high-quality region proposals on the input image (Uijlings *et al.*, 2013). These proposed regions are usually selected at multiple scales with different shapes and sizes. Each region proposal will be labeled with a class and a ground-truth bounding box.
- 2. Choose a pretrained CNN and truncate it before the output layer. Resize each region proposal to the input size required by the network, and output the extracted features for the region proposal through forward propagation.
- 3. Take the extracted features and labeled class of each region proposal as an example. Train multiple support vector machines to classify objects, where each support vector machine individually determines whether the example contains a specific class.
- Take the extracted features and labeled bounding box of each region proposal as an example. Train a linear regression model to predict the ground-truth bounding box.

Although the R-CNN model uses pretrained CNNs to effectively extract image features, it is slow. Imagine that we select thousands of region proposals from a single input image: this requires thousands of CNN forward propagations to perform object detection. This massive computing load makes it infeasible to widely use R-CNNs in real-world applications.

14.8.2 Fast R-CNN

The main performance bottleneck of an R-CNN lies in the independent CNN forward propagation for each region proposal, without sharing computation. Since these regions usually have overlaps, independent feature extractions lead to much repeated computation. One of the major improvements of the *fast R-CNN* from the R-CNN is that the CNN forward propagation is only performed on the entire image (Girshick, 2015).

Fig.14.8.2 describes the fast R-CNN model. Its major computations are as follows:

- 1. Compared with the R-CNN, in the fast R-CNN the input of the CNN for feature extraction is the entire image, rather than individual region proposals. Moreover, this CNN is trainable. Given an input image, let the shape of the CNN output be $1 \times c \times h_1 \times w_1$.
- 2. Suppose that selective search generates n region proposals. These region proposals (of different shapes) mark regions of interest (of different shapes) on the CNN output. Then these regions of interest further extract features of the same shape (say height h_2 and width w_2 are specified) in order to be easily concatenated. To achieve this, the fast R-CNN introduces the *region of interest (RoI) pooling* layer: the CNN output and region proposals are input into this layer, outputting concatenated features of shape $n \times c \times h_2 \times w_2$ that are further extracted for all the region proposals.



Figure 14.8.2 The fast R-CNN model.

- 3. Using a fully connected layer, transform the concatenated features into an output of shape $n \times d$, where d depends on the model design.
- 4. Predict the class and bounding box for each of the *n* region proposals. More concretely, in class and bounding box prediction, transform the fully connected layer output into an output of shape $n \times q$ (*q* is the number of classes) and an output of shape $n \times 4$, respectively. The class prediction uses softmax regression.

The region of interest pooling layer proposed in the fast R-CNN is different from the pooling layer introduced in Section 7.5. In the pooling layer, we indirectly control the output shape by specifying sizes of the pooling window, padding, and stride. In contrast, we can directly specify the output shape in the region of interest pooling layer.

For example, let's specify the output height and width for each region as h_2 and w_2 , respectively. For any region of interest window of shape $h \times w$, this window is divided into a $h_2 \times w_2$ grid of subwindows, where the shape of each subwindow is approximately $(h/h_2) \times (w/w_2)$. In practice, the height and width of any subwindow shall be rounded up, and the largest element shall be used as output of the subwindow. Therefore, the region of interest pooling layer can extract features of the same shape even when regions of interest have different shapes.

As an illustrative example, in Fig.14.8.3, the upper-left 3×3 region of interest is selected on a 4×4 input. For this region of interest, we use a 2×2 region of interest pooling layer to obtain a 2×2 output. Note that each of the four divided subwindows contains elements 0, 1, 4, and 5 (5 is the maximum); 2 and 6 (6 is the maximum); 8 and 9 (9 is the maximum); and 10.



Figure 14.8.3 A 2×2 region of interest pooling layer.

Below we demonstrate the computation of the region of interest pooling layer. Suppose that the height and width of the CNN-extracted features X are both 4, and there is only a single channel.

```
from mxnet import np, npx
npx.set_np()
```

580

(continued from previous page)

[12., 13., 14., 15.]]])

Let's further suppose that the height and width of the input image are both 40 pixels and that selective search generates two region proposals on this image. Each region proposal is expressed as five elements: its object class followed by the (x, y)-coordinates of its upper-left and lower-right corners.

rois = np.array([[0, 0, 0, 20, 20], [0, 0, 10, 30, 30]])

Because the height and width of X are 1/10 of the height and width of the input image, the coordinates of the two region proposals are multiplied by 0.1 according to the specified spatial_scale argument. Then the two regions of interest are marked on X as X[:, :, 0:3, 0:3] and X[:, :, 1:4, 0:4], respectively. Finally in the 2×2 region of interest pooling, each region of interest is divided into a grid of sub-windows to further extract features of the same shape 2×2 .

```
npx.roi_pooling(X, rois, pooled_size=(2, 2), spatial_scale=0.1)
```

```
array([[[[ 5., 6.],
[ 9., 10.]]],
[[[ 9., 11.],
[13., 15.]]]])
```

14.8.3 Faster R-CNN

To be more accurate in object detection, the fast R-CNN model usually has to generate a lot of region proposals in selective search. To reduce region proposals without loss of accuracy, the *faster R-CNN* proposes to replace selective search with a *region proposal network* (Ren *et al.*, 2015).



Fig.14.8.4 shows the faster R-CNN model. Compared with the fast R-CNN, the faster R-CNN only changes the region proposal method from selective search to a region proposal network. The rest of the model remain unchanged. The region proposal network works in the following steps:

- 1. Use a 3×3 convolutional layer with padding of 1 to transform the CNN output to a new output with *c* channels. In this way, each unit along the spatial dimensions of the CNN-extracted feature maps gets a new feature vector of length *c*.
- 2. Centered on each pixel of the feature maps, generate multiple anchor boxes of different scales and aspect ratios and label them.
- 3. Using the length-c feature vector at the center of each anchor box, predict the binary class (background or objects) and bounding box for this anchor box.
- 4. Consider those predicted bounding boxes whose predicted classes are objects. Remove overlapped results using non-maximum suppression. The remaining predicted bounding boxes for objects are the region proposals required by the region of interest pooling layer.

It is worth noting that, as part of the faster R-CNN model, the region proposal network is jointly trained with the rest of the model. In other words, the objective function of the faster R-CNN includes not only the class and bounding box prediction in object detection, but also the binary class and bounding box prediction of anchor boxes in the region proposal network. As a result of the end-to-end training, the region proposal network learns how to generate high-quality region proposals, so as to stay accurate in object detection with a reduced number of region proposals that are learned from data.

14.8.4 Mask R-CNN

In the training dataset, if pixel-level positions of object are also labeled on images, the *mask R*-*CNN* can effectively leverage such detailed labels to further improve the accuracy of object detection (He *et al.*, 2017).



Figure 14.8.5 The mask R-CNN model.

As shown in Fig.14.8.5, the mask R-CNN is modified based on the faster R-CNN. Specifically, the mask R-CNN replaces the region of interest pooling layer with the *region of interest (RoI) alignment* layer. This region of interest alignment layer uses bilinear interpolation to preserve the spatial information on the feature maps, which is more suitable for pixel-level prediction. The output of this layer contains feature maps of the same shape for all the region of interest, but also the pixel-level position of the object through an additional fully convolutional network. More details on using a fully convolutional network to predict pixel-level semantics of an image will be provided in subsequent sections of this chapter.

14.8.5 Summary

- The R-CNN extracts many region proposals from the input image, uses a CNN to perform forward propagation on each region proposal to extract its features, then uses these features to predict the class and bounding box of this region proposal.
- One of the major improvements of the fast R-CNN from the R-CNN is that the CNN forward propagation is only performed on the entire image. It also introduces the region of interest pooling layer, so that features of the same shape can be further extracted for regions of interest that have different shapes.
- The faster R-CNN replaces the selective search used in the fast R-CNN with a jointly trained region proposal network, so that the former can stay accurate in object detection with a reduced number of region proposals.
- Based on the faster R-CNN, the mask R-CNN additionally introduces a fully convolutional network, so as to leverage pixel-level labels to further improve the accuracy of object detection.

Exercises

- 1. Can we frame object detection as a single regression problem, such as predicting bounding boxes and class probabilities? You may refer to the design of the YOLO model (Redmon *et al.*, 2016).
- 2. Compare single shot multibox detection with the methods introduced in this section. What are their major differences? You may refer to Figure 2 of Zhao *et al.* (2019).



Discussions²¹⁴

14.9 Semantic Segmentation and the Dataset

When discussing object detection tasks in Section 14.3–Section 14.8, rectangular bounding boxes are used to label and predict objects in images. This section will discuss the problem of *semantic segmentation*, which focuses on how to divide an image into regions belonging to different semantic classes. Different from object detection, semantic segmentation recognizes and understands what are in images in pixel level: its labeling and prediction of semantic regions are in pixel level. Fig.14.9.1 shows the labels of the dog, cat, and background of the image in semantic segmentation. Compared with in object detection, the pixel-level borders labeled in semantic segmentation are obviously more fine-grained.





14.9.1 Image Segmentation and Instance Segmentation

There are also two important tasks in the field of computer vision that are similar to semantic segmentation, namely image segmentation and instance segmentation. We will briefly distinguish them from semantic segmentation as follows.

- *Image segmentation* divides an image into several constituent regions. The methods for this type of problem usually make use of the correlation between pixels in the image. It does not need label information about image pixels during training, and it cannot guarantee that the segmented regions will have the semantics that we hope to obtain during prediction. Taking the image in Fig.14.9.1 as input, image segmentation may divide the dog into two regions: one covers the mouth and eyes which are mainly black, and the other covers the rest of the body which is mainly yellow.
- Instance segmentation is also called simultaneous detection and segmentation. It studies how
 to recognize the pixel-level regions of each object instance in an image. Different from
 semantic segmentation, instance segmentation needs to distinguish not only semantics,
 but also different object instances. For example, if there are two dogs in the image,
 instance segmentation needs to distinguish which of the two dogs a pixel belongs to.

14.9.2 The Pascal VOC2012 Semantic Segmentation Dataset

On of the most important semantic segmentation dataset is Pascal VOC2012²¹⁵. In the following, we will take a look at this dataset.

```
%matplotlib inline
import os
from mxnet import gluon, image, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

The tar file of the dataset is about 2 GB, so it may take a while to download the file. The extracted dataset is located at .../data/VOCdevkit/VOC2012.

```
Downloading ../data/VOCtrainval_11-May-2012.tar from http://d2l-data.s3-

→accelerate.amazonaws.com/VOCtrainval_11-May-2012.tar...
```

After entering the path .../data/VOCdevkit/VOC2012, we can see the different components of the dataset. The ImageSets/Segmentation path contains text files that specify training and test samples, while the JPEGImages and SegmentationClass paths store the input image and label for each example, respectively. The label here is also in the image format, with the same size as its labeled input image. Besides, pixels with the same color in any label image belong to the same semantic class. The following defines the read_voc_images function to read all the input images and labels into the memory.

215

(continued from previous page)

```
with open(txt_fname, 'r') as f:
    images = f.read().split()
features, labels = [], []
for i, fname in enumerate(images):
    features.append(image.imread(os.path.join(
        voc_dir, 'JPEGImages', f'{fname}.jpg')))
    labels.append(image.imread(os.path.join(
        voc_dir, 'SegmentationClass', f'{fname}.png')))
    return features, labels
train_features, train_labels = read_voc_images(voc_dir, True)
```

We draw the first five input images and their labels. In the label images, white and black represent borders and background, respectively, while the other colors correspond to different classes.

```
n = 5
imgs = train_features[:n] + train_labels[:n]
d2l.show_images(imgs, 2, n);
```



Next, we enumerate the RGB color values and class names for all the labels in this dataset.

#@save
VOC_COLORMAP = [[0, 0, 0], [128, 0, 0], [0, 128, 0], [128, 128, 0],
[0, 0, 128], [128, 0, 128], [0, 128, 128], [128, 128, 128],
[64, 0, 0], [192, 0, 0], [64, 128, 0], [192, 128, 0],
[64, 0, 128], [192, 0, 128], [64, 128, 128], [192, 128, 128],
[0, 64, 0], [128, 64, 0], [0, 192, 0], [128, 192, 0],
F0. 64. 128]]
#@save
<pre>VOC_CLASSES = ['background', 'aeroplane', 'bicycle', 'bird', 'boat',</pre>
'bottle', 'bus', 'car', 'cat', 'chair', 'cow',
'diningtable'. 'dog'. 'horse'. 'motorbike'. 'person'.
'potted plant', 'sheep', 'sofa', 'train', 'tv/monitor']

With the two constants defined above, we can conveniently find the class index for each pixel in a label. We define the voc_colormap2label function to build the mapping from the above RGB color values to class indices, and the voc_label_indices function to map any RGB values to their class indices in this Pascal VOC2012 dataset.

```
#@save
def voc_colormap2label():
    """Build the mapping from RGB to class indices for VOC labels."""
    colormap2label = np.zeros(256 ** 3)
    for i, colormap in enumerate(VOC_COLORMAP):
        colormap2label[
               (colormap[0] * 256 + colormap[1]) * 256 + colormap[2]] = i
    return colormap2label
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
#@save
def voc_label_indices(colormap, colormap2label):
    """Map any RGB values in VOC labels to their class indices."""
    colormap = colormap.astype(np.int32)
    idx = ((colormap[:, :, 0] * 256 + colormap[:, :, 1]) * 256
        + colormap[:, :, 2])
    return colormap2label[idx]
```

For example, in the first example image, the class index for the front part of the airplane is 1, while the background index is 0.

```
y = voc_label_indices(train_labels[0], voc_colormap2label())
y[105:115, 130:140], VOC_CLASSES[1]
```

Data Preprocessing

In previous experiments such as in Section 8.1–Section 8.4, images are rescaled to fit the model's required input shape. However, in semantic segmentation, doing so requires rescaling the predicted pixel classes back to the original shape of the input image. Such rescaling may be inaccurate, especially for segmented regions with different classes. To avoid this issue, we crop the image to a *fixed* shape instead of rescaling. Specifically, using random cropping from image augmentation, we crop the same area of the input image and the label.

```
#@save
def voc_rand_crop(feature, label, height, width):
    """Randomly crop both feature and label images."""
    feature, rect = image.random_crop(feature, (width, height))
    label = image.fixed_crop(label, *rect)
    return feature, label
```

```
imgs = []
for _ in range(n):
    imgs += voc_rand_crop(train_features[0], train_labels[0], 200, 300)
d2l.show_images(imgs[::2] + imgs[1::2], 2, n);
```

Custom Semantic Segmentation Dataset Class

We define a custom semantic segmentation dataset class VOCSegDataset by inheriting the Dataset class provided by high-level APIs. By implementing the __getitem__ function, we can arbitrarily access the input image indexed as idx in the dataset and the class index of each pixel in this image. Since some images in the dataset have a smaller size than the output size of random cropping, these examples are filtered out by a custom filter function. In addition,



we also define the normalize_image function to standardize the values of the three RGB channels of input images.

```
#@save
class VOCSegDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    """A customized dataset to load the VOC dataset."""
   def __init__(self, is_train, crop_size, voc_dir):
       self.rgb_mean = np.array([0.485, 0.456, 0.406])
       self.rgb_std = np.array([0.229, 0.224, 0.225])
       self.crop_size = crop_size
       features, labels = read_voc_images(voc_dir, is_train=is_train)
       self.features = [self.normalize_image(feature)
                        for feature in self.filter(features)]
       self.labels = self.filter(labels)
       self.colormap2label = voc_colormap2label()
       print('read ' + str(len(self.features)) + ' examples')
    def normalize_image(self, img):
        return (img.astype('float32') / 255 - self.rgb_mean) / self.rgb_std
    def filter(self, imgs):
        return [img for img in imgs if (
            img.shape[0] >= self.crop_size[0] and
            img.shape[1] >= self.crop_size[1])]
    def __getitem__(self, idx):
       feature, label = voc_rand_crop(self.features[idx], self.labels[idx],
                                       *self.crop_size)
        return (feature.transpose(2, 0, 1),
               voc_label_indices(label, self.colormap2label))
    def __len__(self):
        return len(self.features)
```

Reading the Dataset

We use the custom VOCSegDataset class to create instances of the training set and test set, respectively. Suppose that we specify that the output shape of randomly cropped images is 320×480 . Below we can view the number of examples that are retained in the training set and test set.

```
crop_size = (320, 480)
voc_train = VOCSegDataset(True, crop_size, voc_dir)
voc_test = VOCSegDataset(False, crop_size, voc_dir)
```

```
read 1114 examples
read 1078 examples
```

Setting the batch size to 64, we define the data iterator for the training set. Let's print the

shape of the first minibatch. Different from in image classification or object detection, labels here are three-dimensional tensors.

(64, 3, 320, 480) (64, 320, 480)

Putting It All Together

Finally, we define the following load_data_voc function to download and read the Pascal VOC2012 semantic segmentation dataset. It returns data iterators for both the training and test datasets.

```
#@save
def load_data_voc(batch_size, crop_size):
    """Load the VOC semantic segmentation dataset."""
    voc_dir = d2l.download_extract('voc2012', os.path.join(
        'VOCdevkit', 'VOC2012'))
    num_workers = d2l.get_dataloader_workers()
    train_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
        VOCSegDataset(True, crop_size, voc_dir), batch_size,
        shuffle=True, last_batch='discard', num_workers=num_workers)
    test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
        VOCSegDataset(False, crop_size, voc_dir), batch_size,
        last_batch='discard', num_workers=num_workers)
    test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
        VOCSegDataset(False, crop_size, voc_dir), batch_size,
        last_batch='discard', num_workers=num_workers)
    return train_iter, test_iter
```

14.9.3 Summary

- Semantic segmentation recognizes and understands what are in an image in pixel level by dividing the image into regions belonging to different semantic classes.
- One of the most important semantic segmentation dataset is Pascal VOC2012.
- In semantic segmentation, since the input image and label correspond one-to-one on the pixel, the input image is randomly cropped to a fixed shape rather than rescaled.

Exercises

- 1. How can semantic segmentation be applied in autonomous vehicles and medical image diagnostics? Can you think of other applications?
- 2. Recall the descriptions of data augmentation in Section 14.1. Which of the image augmentation methods used in image classification would be infeasible to be applied in semantic segmentation?



Discussions²¹⁶

14.10 Transposed Convolution

The CNN layers we have seen so far, such as convolutional layers (Section 7.2) and pooling layers (Section 7.5), typically reduce (downsample) the spatial dimensions (height and width) of the input, or keep them unchanged. In semantic segmentation that classifies at pixel-level, it will be convenient if the spatial dimensions of the input and output are the same. For example, the channel dimension at one output pixel can hold the classification results for the input pixel at the same spatial position.

To achieve this, especially after the spatial dimensions are reduced by CNN layers, we can use another type of CNN layers that can increase (upsample) the spatial dimensions of intermediate feature maps. In this section, we will introduce *transposed convolution*, which is also called *fractionally-strided convolution* (Dumoulin and Visin, 2016), for reversing down-sampling operations by the convolution.

from mxnet import init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l

npx.set_np()

14.10.1 Basic Operation

Ignoring channels for now, let's begin with the basic transposed convolution operation with stride of 1 and no padding. Suppose that we are given a $n_h \times n_w$ input tensor and a $k_h \times k_w$ kernel. Sliding the kernel window with stride of 1 for n_w times in each row and n_h times in each column yields a total of $n_h n_w$ intermediate results. Each intermediate result is a $(n_h + k_h - 1) \times (n_w + k_w - 1)$ tensor that are initialized as zeros. To compute each intermediate tensor, each element in the input tensor is multiplied by the kernel so that the resulting $k_h \times k_w$ tensor replaces a portion in each intermediate tensor. Note that the position of the replaced portion in each intermediate tensor corresponds to the position of the element in the input tensor to produce the output.

As an example, Fig.14.10.1 illustrates how transposed convolution with a 2×2 kernel is computed for a 2×2 input tensor.



Figure 14.10.1

Transposed convolution with a 2×2 kernel. The shaded portions are a portion of an intermediate tensor as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the computation.

We can implement this basic transposed convolution operation trans_conv for a input matrix X and a kernel matrix K.

```
def trans_conv(X, K):
    h, w = K.shape
    Y = np.zeros((X.shape[0] + h - 1, X.shape[1] + w - 1))
    for i in range(X.shape[0]):
        for j in range(X.shape[1]):
            Y[i: i + h, j: j + w] += X[i, j] * K
    return Y
```

In contrast to the regular convolution (in Section 7.2) that *reduces* input elements via the kernel, the transposed convolution *broadcasts* input elements via the kernel, thereby producing an output that is larger than the input. We can construct the input tensor X and the kernel tensor K from Fig.14.10.1 to validate the output of the above implementation of the basic two-dimensional transposed convolution operation.

```
X = np.array([[0.0, 1.0], [2.0, 3.0]])
K = np.array([[0.0, 1.0], [2.0, 3.0]])
trans_conv(X, K)
```

array([[0., 0., 1.], [0., 4., 6.], [4., 12., 9.]])

Alternatively, when the input X and kernel K are both four-dimensional tensors, we can use high-level APIs to obtain the same results.

```
X, K = X.reshape(1, 1, 2, 2), K.reshape(1, 1, 2, 2)
tconv = nn.Conv2DTranspose(1, kernel_size=2)
tconv.initialize(init.Constant(K))
tconv(X)
```

```
array([[[[ 0., 0., 1.],
[ 0., 4., 6.],
[ 4., 12., 9.]]]])
```

14.10.2 Padding, Strides, and Multiple Channels

Different from in the regular convolution where padding is applied to input, it is applied to output in the transposed convolution. For example, when specifying the padding number on either side of the height and width as 1, the first and last rows and columns will be removed from the transposed convolution output.

```
tconv = nn.Conv2DTranspose(1, kernel_size=2, padding=1)
tconv.initialize(init.Constant(K))
tconv(X)
```

array([[[[4.]]])

In the transposed convolution, strides are specified for intermediate results (thus output), not for input. Using the same input and kernel tensors from Fig.14.10.1, changing the stride from 1 to 2 increases both the height and weight of intermediate tensors, hence the output tensor in Fig.14.10.2.

The following code snippet can validate the transposed convolution output for stride of 2 in Fig.14.10.2.



Figure 14.10.2 Transposed convolution with a 2×2 kernel with stride of 2. The shaded portions are a portion of an intermediate tensor as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the computation.

```
tconv = nn.Conv2DTranspose(1, kernel_size=2, strides=2)
tconv.initialize(init.Constant(K))
tconv(X)
```

```
array([[[[0., 0., 0., 1.],
           [0., 0., 2., 3.],
[0., 2., 0., 3.],
           [4., 6., 6., 9.]]])
```

For multiple input and output channels, the transposed convolution works in the same way as the regular convolution. Suppose that the input has c_i channels, and that the transposed convolution assigns a $k_h \times k_w$ kernel tensor to each input channel. When multiple output channels are specified, we will have a $c_i \times k_h \times k_w$ kernel for each output channel.

As in all, if we feed X into a convolutional layer f to output Y = f(X) and create a transposed convolutional layer q with the same hyperparameters as f except for the number of output channels being the number of channels in X, then q(Y) will have the same shape as X. This can be illustrated in the following example.

```
X = np.random.uniform(size=(1, 10, 16, 16))
conv = nn.Conv2D(20, kernel_size=5, padding=2, strides=3)
tconv = nn.Conv2DTranspose(10, kernel_size=5, padding=2, strides=3)
conv.initialize()
tconv.initialize()
tconv(conv(X)).shape == X.shape
```

True

14.10.3 Connection to Matrix Transposition

The transposed convolution is named after the matrix transposition. To explain, let's first see how to implement convolutions using matrix multiplications. In the example below, we define a 3×3 input X and a 2×2 convolution kernel K, and then use the corr2d function to compute the convolution output Y.

```
X = np.arange(9.0).reshape(3, 3)
K = np.array([[1.0, 2.0], [3.0, 4.0]])
Y = d2l.corr2d(X, K)
Y
array([[27., 37.],
```

[57., 67.]])

Next, we rewrite the convolution kernel K as a sparse weight matrix W containing a lot of zeros. The shape of the weight matrix is (4, 9), where the non-zero elements come from the convolution kernel K.

```
def kernel2matrix(K):
    k, W = np.zeros(5), np.zeros((4, 9))
    k[:2], k[3:5] = K[0, :], K[1, :]
    W[0, :5], W[1, 1:6], W[2, 3:8], W[3, 4:] = k, k, k, k
    return W
W = kernel2matrix(K)
W
```

array([[1., 2., 0., 3., 4., 0., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 1., 2., 0., 3., 4., 0., 0., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 1., 2., 0., 3., 4., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 2., 0., 3., 4., 0.], [0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 2., 0., 3., 4.]])

Concatenate the input X row by row to get a vector of length 9. Then the matrix multiplication of W and the vectorized X gives a vector of length 4. After reshaping it, we can obtain the same result Y from the original convolution operation above: we just implemented convolutions using matrix multiplications.

```
Y == np.dot(W, X.reshape(-1)).reshape(2, 2)
```

```
array([[ True, True],
[ True, True]])
```

Likewise, we can implement transposed convolutions using matrix multiplications. In the following example, we take the 2×2 output Y from the above regular convolution as input to the transposed convolution. To implement this operation by multiplying matrices, we only need to transpose the weight matrix W with the new shape (9, 4).

```
Z = trans_conv(Y, K)
Z == np.dot(W.T, Y.reshape(-1)).reshape(3, 3)
```

```
array([[ True, True, True],
[ True, True, True],
[ True, True, True]])
```

Consider implementing the convolution by multiplying matrices. Given an input vector x and a weight matrix W, the forward propagation function of the convolution can be implemented by multiplying its input with the weight matrix and outputting a vector y = Wx. Since backpropagation follows the chain rule and $\nabla_x y = W^{\top}$, the backpropagation function of the convolution can be implemented by multiplying its input with the transposed weight matrix W^{\top} . Therefore, the transposed convolutional layer can just exchange the forward propagation function and the backpropagation function of the convolutional layer: its forward

propagation and backpropagation functions multiply their input vector with W^{\top} and W, respectively.

14.10.4 Summary

- In contrast to the regular convolution that reduces input elements via the kernel, the transposed convolution broadcasts input elements via the kernel, thereby producing an output that is larger than the input.
- If we feed X into a convolutional layer f to output Y = f(X) and create a transposed convolutional layer g with the same hyperparameters as f except for the number of output channels being the number of channels in X, then g(Y) will have the same shape as X.
- We can implement convolutions using matrix multiplications. The transposed convolutional layer can just exchange the forward propagation function and the backpropagation function of the convolutional layer.

Exercises

- 1. In Section 14.10.3, the convolution input X and the transposed convolution output Z have the same shape. Do they have the same value? Why?
- 2. Is it efficient to use matrix multiplications to implement convolutions? Why?

Discussions²¹⁷

217

14.11 Fully Convolutional Networks

As discussed in Section 14.9, semantic segmentation classifies images in pixel level. A fully convolutional network (FCN) uses a convolutional neural network to transform image pixels to pixel classes (Long *et al.*, 2015). Unlike the CNNs that we encountered earlier for image classification or object detection, a fully convolutional network transforms the height and width of intermediate feature maps back to those of the input image: this is achieved by the transposed convolutional layer introduced in Section 14.10. As a result, the classification output and the input image have a one-to-one correspondence in pixel level: the channel dimension at any output pixel holds the classification results for the input pixel at the same spatial position.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import gluon, image, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

14.11.1 The Model

Here we describe the basic design of the fully convolutional network model. As shown in Fig.14.11.1, this model first uses a CNN to extract image features, then transforms the number of channels into the number of classes via a 1×1 convolutional layer, and finally transforms

the height and width of the feature maps to those of the input image via the transposed convolution introduced in Section 14.10. As a result, the model output has the same height and width as the input image, where the output channel contains the predicted classes for the input pixel at the same spatial position.



Figure 14.11.1 Fully convolutional network.

Below, we use a ResNet-18 model pretrained on the ImageNet dataset to extract image features and denote the model instance as pretrained_net. The last few layers of this model include a global average pooling layer and a fully connected layer: they are not needed in the fully convolutional network.

```
pretrained_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.resnet18_v2(pretrained=True)
pretrained_net.features[-3:], pretrained_net.output
```

```
(HybridSequential(
   (0): Activation(relu)
   (1): GlobalAvgPool2D(size=(1, 1), stride=(1, 1), padding=(0, 0), ceil_
   →mode=True, global_pool=True, pool_type=avg, layout=NCHW)
   (2): Flatten
),
Dense(512 -> 1000, linear))
```

Next, we create the fully convolutional network instance net. It copies all the pretrained layers in the ResNet-18 except for the final global average pooling layer and the fully connected layer that are closest to the output.

```
net = nn.HybridSequential()
for layer in pretrained_net.features[:-2]:
    net.add(layer)
```

Given an input with height and width of 320 and 480 respectively, the forward propagation of net reduces the input height and width to 1/32 of the original, namely 10 and 15.

```
X = np.random.uniform(size=(1, 3, 320, 480))
net(X).shape
```

```
(1, 512, 10, 15)
```

Next, we use a 1×1 convolutional layer to transform the number of output channels into the number of classes (21) of the Pascal VOC2012 dataset. Finally, we need to increase the height

and width of the feature maps by 32 times to change them back to the height and width of the input image. Recall how to calculate the output shape of a convolutional layer in Section 7.3. Since $(320-64+16\times2+32)/32 = 10$ and $(480-64+16\times2+32)/32 = 15$, we construct a transposed convolutional layer with stride of 32, setting the height and width of the kernel to 64, the padding to 16. In general, we can see that for stride *s*, padding *s*/2 (assuming *s*/2 is an integer), and the height and width of the kernel 2*s*, the transposed convolution will increase the height and width of the input by *s* times.

14.11.2 Initializing Transposed Convolutional Layers

We already know that transposed convolutional layers can increase the height and width of feature maps. In image processing, we may need to scale up an image, i.e., *upsampling. Bilinear interpolation* is one of the commonly used upsampling techniques. It is also often used for initializing transposed convolutional layers.

To explain bilinear interpolation, say that given an input image we want to calculate each pixel of the upsampled output image. In order to calculate the pixel of the output image at coordinate (x, y), first map (x, y) to coordinate (x', y') on the input image, for example, according to the ratio of the input size to the output size. Note that the mapped x' and y' are real numbers. Then, find the four pixels closest to coordinate (x', y') on the input image. Finally, the pixel of the output image at coordinate (x, y) is calculated based on these four closest pixels on the input image and their relative distance from (x', y').

Upsampling of bilinear interpolation can be implemented by the transposed convolutional layer with the kernel constructed by the following bilinear_kernel function. Due to space limitations, we only provide the implementation of the bilinear_kernel function below without discussions on its algorithm design.

```
def bilinear_kernel(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size):
    factor = (kernel_size + 1) // 2
    if kernel_size % 2 == 1:
        center = factor - 1
    else:
        center = factor - 0.5
    og = (np.arange(kernel_size).reshape(-1, 1),
            np.arange(kernel_size).reshape(1, -1))
    filt = (1 - np.abs(og[0] - center) / factor) * \
            (1 - np.abs(og[1] - center) / factor)
    weight = np.zeros((in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size, kernel_size))
    weight[range(in_channels), range(out_channels), :, :] = filt
    return np.array(weight)
```

Let's experiment with upsampling of bilinear interpolation that is implemented by a transposed convolutional layer. We construct a transposed convolutional layer that doubles the height and weight, and initialize its kernel with the bilinear_kernel function.

```
conv_trans = nn.Conv2DTranspose(3, kernel_size=4, padding=1, strides=2)
conv_trans.initialize(init.Constant(bilinear_kernel(3, 3, 4)))
```

Read the image X and assign the upsampling output to Y. In order to print the image, we need to adjust the position of the channel dimension.

```
img = image.imread('../img/catdog.jpg')
X = np.expand_dims(img.astype('float32').transpose(2, 0, 1), axis=0) / 255
Y = conv_trans(X)
out_img = Y[0].transpose(1, 2, 0)
```

As we can see, the transposed convolutional layer increases both the height and width of the image by a factor of two. Except for the different scales in coordinates, the image scaled up by bilinear interpolation and the original image printed in Section 14.3 look the same.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
print('input image shape:', img.shape)
d2l.plt.imshow(img.asnumpy());
print('output image shape:', out_img.shape)
d2l.plt.imshow(out_img.asnumpy());
```

```
input image shape: (561, 728, 3)
output image shape: (1122, 1456, 3)
```



In a fully convolutional network, we initialize the transposed convolutional layer with upsampling of bilinear interpolation. For the 1×1 convolutional layer, we use Xavier initialization.

```
W = bilinear_kernel(num_classes, num_classes, 64)
net[-1].initialize(init.Constant(W))
net[-2].initialize(init=init.Xavier())
```

14.11.3 Reading the Dataset

We read the semantic segmentation dataset as introduced in Section 14.9. The output image shape of random cropping is specified as 320×480 : both the height and width are divisible by 32.

```
batch_size, crop_size = 32, (320, 480)
train_iter, test_iter = d2l.load_data_voc(batch_size, crop_size)
```

```
read 1114 examples
read 1078 examples
```

14.11.4 Training

Now we can train our constructed fully convolutional network. The loss function and accuracy calculation here are not essentially different from those in image classification of earlier

chapters. Because we use the output channel of the transposed convolutional layer to predict the class for each pixel, the channel dimension is specified in the loss calculation. In addition, the accuracy is calculated based on correctness of the predicted class for all the pixels.

```
loss 0.330, train acc 0.891, test acc 0.849
195.2 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



14.11.5 Prediction

When predicting, we need to standardize the input image in each channel and transform the image into the four-dimensional input format required by the CNN.

```
def predict(img):
    X = test_iter._dataset.normalize_image(img)
    X = np.expand_dims(X.transpose(2, 0, 1), axis=0)
    pred = net(X.as_in_ctx(devices[0])).argmax(axis=1)
    return pred.reshape(pred.shape[1], pred.shape[2])
```

To visualize the predicted class of each pixel, we map the predicted class back to its label color in the dataset.

```
def label2image(pred):
    colormap = np.array(d21.VOC_COLORMAP, ctx=devices[0], dtype='uint8')
    X = pred.astype('int32')
    return colormap[X, :]
```

Images in the test dataset vary in size and shape. Since the model uses a transposed convolutional layer with stride of 32, when the height or width of an input image is indivisible by 32, the output height or width of the transposed convolutional layer will deviate from the shape of the input image. In order to address this issue, we can crop multiple rectangular areas with height and width that are integer multiples of 32 in the image, and perform forward propagation on the pixels in these areas separately. Note that the union of these rectangular areas needs to completely cover the input image. When a pixel is covered by multiple rectangular areas, the average of the transposed convolution outputs in separate areas for this same pixel can be input to the softmax operation to predict the class.

For simplicity, we only read a few larger test images, and crop a 320×480 area for prediction

starting from the upper-left corner of an image. For these test images, we print their cropped areas, prediction results, and ground-truth row by row.

```
voc_dir = d2l.download_extract('voc2012', 'VOCdevkit/VOC2012')
test_images, test_labels = d2l.read_voc_images(voc_dir, False)
n, imgs = 4, []
for i in range(n):
    crop_rect = (0, 0, 480, 320)
    X = image.fixed_crop(test_images[i], *crop_rect)
    pred = label2image(predict(X))
    imgs += [X, pred, image.fixed_crop(test_labels[i], *crop_rect)]
d2l.show_images(imgs[::3] + imgs[1::3] + imgs[2::3], 3, n, scale=2);
```



14.11.6 Summary

- The fully convolutional network first uses a CNN to extract image features, then transforms the number of channels into the number of classes via a 1 × 1 convolutional layer, and finally transforms the height and width of the feature maps to those of the input image via the transposed convolution.
- In a fully convolutional network, we can use upsampling of bilinear interpolation to initialize the transposed convolutional layer.

Exercises

- 1. If we use Xavier initialization for the transposed convolutional layer in the experiment, how does the result change?
- 2. Can you further improve the accuracy of the model by tuning the hyperparameters?
- 3. Predict the classes of all pixels in test images.
- 4. The original fully convolutional network paper also uses outputs of some intermediate CNN layers (Long *et al.*, 2015). Try to implement this idea.

14.12 Neural Style Transfer

If you are a photography enthusiast, you may be familiar with the filter. It can change the color style of photos so that landscape photos become sharper or portrait photos have whitened skins. However, one filter usually only changes one aspect of the photo. To apply an ideal style to a photo, you probably need to try many different filter combinations. This process is as complex as tuning the hyperparameters of a model.

In this section, we will leverage layerwise representations of a CNN to automatically apply the style of one image to another image, i.e., *style transfer* (Gatys *et al.*, 2016). This task needs two input images: one is the *content image* and the other is the *style image*. We will use neural networks to modify the content image to make it close to the style image in style. For example, the content image in Fig.14.12.1 is a landscape photo taken by us in Mount Rainier National Park in the suburbs of Seattle, while the style image is an oil painting with the theme of autumn oak trees. In the output synthesized image, the oil brush strokes of the style image are applied, leading to more vivid colors, while preserving the main shape of the objects in the content image.





Given content and style images, style transfer outputs a synthesized image.

14.12.1 Method

Fig.14.12.2 illustrates the CNN-based style transfer method with a simplified example. First, we initialize the synthesized image, for example, into the content image. This synthesized image is the only variable that needs to be updated during the style transfer process, i.e., the model parameters to be updated during training. Then we choose a pretrained CNN to extract image features and freeze its model parameters during training. This deep CNN uses multiple layers to extract hierarchical features for images. We can choose the output of some of these layers as content features or style features. Take Fig.14.12.2 as an example. The pretrained neural network here has 3 convolutional layers, where the second layer outputs the content features, and the first and third layers output the style features.

Next, we calculate the loss function of style transfer through forward propagation (direction of solid arrows), and update the model parameters (the synthesized image for output) through backpropagation (direction of dashed arrows). The loss function commonly used in style transfer consists of three parts: (i) *content loss* makes the synthesized image and the content image close in content features; (ii) *style loss* makes the synthesized image and style image close in style features; and (iii) *total variation loss* helps to reduce the noise in the synthesized



Figure 14.12.2 CNN-based style transfer process. Solid lines show the direction of forward propagation and dotted lines show backward propagation.

image. Finally, when the model training is over, we output the model parameters of the style transfer to generate the final synthesized image.

In the following, we will explain the technical details of style transfer via a concrete experiment.

14.12.2 Reading the Content and Style Images

First, we read the content and style images. From their printed coordinate axes, we can tell that these images have different sizes.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, image, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
d2l.set_figsize()
content_img = image.imread('../img/rainier.jpg')
d2l.plt.imshow(content_img.asnumpy());
```



style_img = image.imread('../img/autumn-oak.jpg')
d2l.plt.imshow(style_img.asnumpy());

14.12.3 Preprocessing and Postprocessing



Below, we define two functions for preprocessing and postprocessing images. The preprocess function standardizes each of the three RGB channels of the input image and transforms the results into the CNN input format. The postprocess function restores the pixel values in the output image to their original values before standardization. Since the image printing function requires that each pixel has a floating point value from 0 to 1, we replace any value smaller than 0 or greater than 1 with 0 or 1, respectively.

```
rgb_mean = np.array([0.485, 0.456, 0.406])
rgb_std = np.array([0.229, 0.224, 0.225])

def preprocess(img, image_shape):
    img = image.imresize(img, *image_shape)
    img = (img.astype('float32') / 255 - rgb_mean) / rgb_std
    return np.expand_dims(img.transpose(2, 0, 1), axis=0)

def postprocess(img):
    img = img[0].as_in_ctx(rgb_std.ctx)
    return (img.transpose(1, 2, 0) * rgb_std + rgb_mean).clip(0, 1)
```

14.12.4 Extracting Features

We use the VGG-19 model pretrained on the ImageNet dataset to extract image features (Gatys *et al.*, 2016).

pretrained_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.vgg19(pretrained=True)

In order to extract the content features and style features of the image, we can select the output of certain layers in the VGG network. Generally speaking, the closer to the input layer, the easier to extract details of the image, and vice versa, the easier to extract the global information of the image. In order to avoid excessively retaining the details of the content image in the synthesized image, we choose a VGG layer that is closer to the output as the *content layer* to output the content features of the image. We also select the output of different VGG layers for extracting local and global style features. These layers are also called *style layers*. As mentioned in Section 8.2, the VGG network uses 5 convolutional blocks. In the experiment, we choose the last convolutional layer of the fourth convolutional block as the style layer. The indices of these layers can be obtained by printing the pretrained_net instance.

style_layers, content_layers = [0, 5, 10, 19, 28], [25]

When extracting features using VGG layers, we only need to use all those from the input layer to the content layer or style layer that is closest to the output layer. Let's construct a new network instance net, which only retains all the VGG layers to be used for feature extraction.

```
net = nn.Sequential()
for i in range(max(content_layers + style_layers) + 1):
    net.add(pretrained_net.features[i])
```

Given the input X, if we simply invoke the forward propagation net(X), we can only get the output of the last layer. Since we also need the outputs of intermediate layers, we need to perform layer-by-layer computation and keep the content and style layer outputs.

```
def extract_features(X, content_layers, style_layers):
    contents = []
    styles = []
    for i in range(len(net)):
        X = net[i](X)
        if i in style_layers:
            styles.append(X)
        if i in content_layers:
                contents.append(X)
    return contents, styles
```

Two functions are defined below: the get_contents function extracts content features from the content image, and the get_styles function extracts style features from the style image. Since there is no need to update the model parameters of the pretrained VGG during training, we can extract the content and the style features even before the training starts. Since the synthesized image is a set of model parameters to be updated for style transfer, we can only extract the content and style features of the synthesized image by calling the extract_features function during training.

```
def get_contents(image_shape, device):
    content_X = preprocess(content_img, image_shape).copyto(device)
    contents_Y, _ = extract_features(content_X, content_layers, style_layers)
    return content_X, contents_Y
def get_styles(image_shape, device):
    style_X = preprocess(style_img, image_shape).copyto(device)
    _, styles_Y = extract_features(style_X, content_layers, style_layers)
    return style_X, styles_Y
```

14.12.5 Defining the Loss Function

Now we will describe the loss function for style transfer. The loss function consists of the content loss, style loss, and total variation loss.

Content Loss

Similar to the loss function in linear regression, the content loss measures the difference in content features between the synthesized image and the content image via the squared loss function. The two inputs of the squared loss function are both outputs of the content layer computed by the extract_features function.

```
def content_loss(Y_hat, Y):
    return np.square(Y_hat - Y).mean()
```

Style Loss

Style loss, similar to content loss, also uses the squared loss function to measure the difference in style between the synthesized image and the style image. To express the style output of any style layer, we first use the extract_features function to compute the style layer output. Suppose that the output has 1 example, c channels, height h, and width w, we can transform this output into matrix X with c rows and hw columns. This matrix can be thought of as the concatenation of c vectors x_1, \ldots, x_c , each of which has a length of hw. Here, vector x_i represents the style feature of channel i.

In the *Gram matrix* of these vectors $XX^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times c}$, element x_{ij} in row *i* and column *j* is the dot product of vectors x_i and x_j . It represents the correlation of the style features of channels *i* and *j*. We use this Gram matrix to represent the style output of any style layer. Note that when the value of hw is larger, it likely leads to larger values in the Gram matrix. Note also that the height and width of the Gram matrix are both the number of channels *c*. To allow style loss not to be affected by these values, the gram function below divides the Gram matrix by the number of its elements, i.e., chw.

```
def gram(X):
    num_channels, n = X.shape[1], d21.size(X) // X.shape[1]
    X = X.reshape((num_channels, n))
    return np.dot(X, X.T) / (num_channels * n)
```

Obviously, the two Gram matrix inputs of the squared loss function for style loss are based on the style layer outputs for the synthesized image and the style image. It is assumed here that the Gram matrix gram_Y based on the style image has been precomputed.

```
def style_loss(Y_hat, gram_Y):
    return np.square(gram(Y_hat) - gram_Y).mean()
```

Total Variation Loss

Sometimes, the learned synthesized image has a lot of high-frequency noise, i.e., particularly bright or dark pixels. One common noise reduction method is *total variation denoising*. Denote by $x_{i,j}$ the pixel value at coordinate (i, j). Reducing total variation loss

$$\sum_{i,j} |x_{i,j} - x_{i+1,j}| + |x_{i,j} - x_{i,j+1}|$$
(14.12.1)

makes values of neighboring pixels on the synthesized image closer.

Loss Function

The loss function of style transfer is the weighted sum of content loss, style loss, and total variation loss. By adjusting these weight hyperparameters, we can balance among content retention, style transfer, and noise reduction on the synthesized image.

```
content_weight, style_weight, tv_weight = 1, 1e4, 10
def compute_loss(X, contents_Y_hat, styles_Y_hat, contents_Y, styles_Y_gram):
    # Calculate the content, style, and total variance losses respectively
```

(continued from previous page)

```
contents_l = [content_loss(Y_hat, Y) * content_weight for Y_hat, Y in zip(
    contents_Y_hat, contents_Y)]
styles_l = [style_loss(Y_hat, Y) * style_weight for Y_hat, Y in zip(
    styles_Y_hat, styles_Y_gram)]
tv_l = tv_loss(X) * tv_weight
# Add up all the losses
l = sum(styles_l + contents_l + [tv_l])
return contents_l, styles_l, tv_l, l
```

14.12.6 Initializing the Synthesized Image

In style transfer, the synthesized image is the only variable that needs to be updated during training. Thus, we can define a simple model, SynthesizedImage, and treat the synthesized image as the model parameters. In this model, forward propagation just returns the model parameters.

```
class SynthesizedImage(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, img_shape, **kwargs):
        super(SynthesizedImage, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.weight = self.params.get('weight', shape=img_shape)
    def forward(self):
        return self.weight.data()
```

Next, we define the get_inits function. This function creates a synthesized image model instance and initializes it to the image X. Gram matrices for the style image at various style layers, styles_Y_gram, are computed prior to training.

14.12.7 Training

When training the model for style transfer, we continuously extract content features and style features of the synthesized image, and calculate the loss function. Below defines the training loop.

```
def train(X, contents_Y, styles_Y, device, lr, num_epochs, lr_decay_epoch):
   X, styles_Y_gram, trainer = get_inits(X, device, lr, styles_Y)
   animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss'
                            xlim=[10, num_epochs], ylim=[0, 20],
                            legend=['content', 'style', 'TV'],
                            ncols=2, figsize=(7, 2.5))
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
       with autograd.record():
            contents_Y_hat, styles_Y_hat = extract_features(
               X, content_layers, style_layers)
            contents_l, styles_l, tv_l, l = compute_loss(
               X, contents_Y_hat, styles_Y_hat, contents_Y, styles_Y_gram)
       l.backward()
       trainer.step(1)
       if (epoch + 1) % lr_decay_epoch == 0:
            trainer.set_learning_rate(trainer.learning_rate * 0.8)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Now we start to train the model. We rescale the height and width of the content and style images to 300 by 450 pixels. We use the content image to initialize the synthesized image.

```
device, image_shape = d2l.try_gpu(), (450, 300)
net.collect_params().reset_ctx(device)
content_X, contents_Y = get_contents(image_shape, device)
_, styles_Y = get_styles(image_shape, device)
output = train(content_X, contents_Y, styles_Y, device, 0.9, 500, 50)
```



We can see that the synthesized image retains the scenery and objects of the content image, and transfers the color of the style image at the same time. For example, the synthesized image has blocks of color like those in the style image. Some of these blocks even have the subtle texture of brush strokes.

14.12.8 Summary

- The loss function commonly used in style transfer consists of three parts: (i) content loss
 makes the synthesized image and the content image close in content features; (ii) style
 loss makes the synthesized image and style image close in style features; and (iii) total
 variation loss helps to reduce the noise in the synthesized image.
- We can use a pretrained CNN to extract image features and minimize the loss function to continuously update the synthesized image as model parameters during training.
- We use Gram matrices to represent the style outputs from the style layers.

Exercises

- 1. How does the output change when you select different content and style layers?
- 2. Adjust the weight hyperparameters in the loss function. Does the output retain more content or have less noise?
- 3. Use different content and style images. Can you create more interesting synthesized images?

4. Can we apply style transfer for text? Hint: you may refer to the survey paper by Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2020).

Discussions²¹⁹



14.13 Image Classification (CIFAR-10) on Kaggle

So far, we have been using high-level APIs of deep learning frameworks to directly obtain image datasets in tensor format. However, custom image datasets often come in the form of image files. In this section, we will start from raw image files, and organize, read, then transform them into tensor format step by step.

We experimented with the CIFAR-10 dataset in Section 14.1, which is an important dataset in computer vision. In this section, we will apply the knowledge we learned in previous sections to practice the Kaggle competition of CIFAR-10 image classification. The web address of the competition is https://www.kaggle.com/c/cifar-10

Fig.14.13.1 shows the information on the competition's webpage. In order to submit the results, you need to register a Kaggle account.

	CIFAR-10 - Object Recognition in Images Identify the subject of 60,000 labeled images 231 teams · 4 years ago 231 teams · 4 years ago
Overview Data Discussion Leaderboard Rules	
Overview	
Description	CIFAR-10 is an established computer-vision dataset used for object recognition. It is a subset of the 80
Evaluation	million tiny images dataset and consists of 60,000 32x32 color images containing one of 10 object classes, with 6000 images per class. It was collected by Alex Krizhevsky, Vinod Nair, and Geoffrey Hinton.

Figure 14.13. CIFAR-10 image classification competition webpage information. The competition dataset can be obtained by clicking the Data tab.

```
import collections
import math
import os
import shutil
import pandas as pd
from mxnet import gluon, init, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

14.13.1 Obtaining and Organizing the Dataset

The competition dataset is divided into a training set and a test set, which contain 50000 and 300000 images, respectively. In the test set, 10000 images will be used for evaluation, while the remaining 290000 images will not be evaluated: they are included just to make it hard to cheat with manually labeled results of the test set. The images in this dataset are all png color (RGB channels) image files, whose height and width are both 32 pixels. The images cover a

total of 10 categories, namely airplanes, cars, birds, cats, deer, dogs, frogs, horses, boats, and trucks. The upper-left corner of Fig.14.13.1 shows some images of airplanes, cars, and birds in the dataset.

Downloading the Dataset

After logging in to Kaggle, we can click the "Data" tab on the CIFAR-10 image classification competition webpage shown in Fig.14.13.1 and download the dataset by clicking the "Download All" button. After unzipping the downloaded file in .../data, and unzipping train.7z and test.7z inside it, you will find the entire dataset in the following paths:

- ../data/cifar-10/train/[1-50000].png
- ../data/cifar-10/test/[1-300000].png
- ../data/cifar-10/trainLabels.csv
- ../data/cifar-10/sampleSubmission.csv

where the train and test directories contain the training and testing images, respectively, trainLabels.csv provides labels for the training images, and sample_submission.csv is a sample submission file.

To make it easier to get started, we provide a small-scale sample of the dataset that contains the first 1000 training images and 5 random testing images. To use the full dataset of the Kaggle competition, you need to set the following demo variable to False.

Downloading ../data/kaggle_cifar10_tiny.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate. →amazonaws.com/kaggle_cifar10_tiny.zip...

Organizing the Dataset

We need to organize datasets to facilitate model training and testing. Let's first read the labels from the csv file. The following function returns a dictionary that maps the non-extension part of the filename to its label.

```
#@save
def read_csv_labels(fname):
    """Read `fname` to return a filename to label dictionary."""
    with open(fname, 'r') as f:
        # Skip the file header line (column name)
        lines = f.readlines()[1:]
    tokens = [l.rstrip().split(',') for l in lines]
    return dict(((name, label) for name, label in tokens))
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
labels = read_csv_labels(os.path.join(data_dir, 'trainLabels.csv'))
print('# training examples:', len(labels))
print('# classes:', len(set(labels.values())))
```

```
# training examples: 1000
# classes: 10
```

Next, we define the reorg_train_valid function to split the validation set out of the original training set. The argument valid_ratio in this function is the ratio of the number of examples in the validation set to the number of examples in the original training set. More concretely, let n be the number of images of the class with the least examples, and r be the ratio. The validation set will split out $\max(\lfloor nr \rfloor, 1)$ images for each class. Let's use valid_ratio=0.1 as an example. Since the original training set has 50000 images, there will be 45000 images used for training in the path train_valid_test/train, while the other 5000 images will be split out as validation set in the path train_valid_test/valid. After organizing the dataset, images of the same class will be placed under the same folder.

```
#@save
def copyfile(filename, target_dir):
    """Copy a file into a target directory."""
    os.makedirs(target_dir, exist_ok=True)
    shutil.copy(filename, target_dir)
#@save
def reorg_train_valid(data_dir, labels, valid_ratio):
    """Split the validation set out of the original training set."""
    # The number of examples of the class that has the fewest examples in the
    # training dataset
    n = collections.Counter(labels.values()).most_common()[-1][1]
    # The number of examples per class for the validation set
    n_valid_per_label = max(1, math.floor(n * valid_ratio))
    label_count = {}
    for train_file in os.listdir(os.path.join(data_dir, 'train')):
        label = labels[train_file.split('.')[0]]
        fname = os.path.join(data_dir, 'train', train_file)
copyfile(fname, os.path.join(data_dir, 'train_valid_test',
                                        'train_valid', label))
        if label not in label_count or label_count[label] < n_valid_per_label:</pre>
             copyfile(fname, os.path.join(data_dir, 'train_valid_test',
                                            'valid', label))
             label_count[label] = label_count.get(label, 0) + 1
        else:
            copyfile(fname, os.path.join(data_dir, 'train_valid_test',
                                            'train', label))
    return n_valid_per_label
```

The reorg_test function below organizes the testing set for data loading during prediction.

Finally, we use a function to invoke the read_csv_labels, reorg_train_valid, and reorg_test functions defined above.

```
def reorg_cifar10_data(data_dir, valid_ratio):
    labels = read_csv_labels(os.path.join(data_dir, 'trainLabels.csv'))
    reorg_train_valid(data_dir, labels, valid_ratio)
    reorg_test(data_dir)
```

Here we only set the batch size to 32 for the small-scale sample of the dataset. When training and testing the complete dataset of the Kaggle competition, batch_size should be set to a larger integer, such as 128. We split out 10% of the training examples as the validation set for tuning hyperparameters.

```
batch_size = 32 if demo else 128
valid_ratio = 0.1
reorg_cifar10_data(data_dir, valid_ratio)
```

14.13.2 Image Augmentation

We use image augmentation to address overfitting. For example, images can be flipped horizontally at random during training. We can also perform standardization for the three RGB channels of color images. Below lists some of these operations that you can tweak.

During testing, we only perform standardization on images so as to remove randomness in the evaluation results.

14.13.3 Reading the Dataset

Next, we read the organized dataset consisting of raw image files. Each example includes an image and a label.

```
train_ds, valid_ds, train_valid_ds, test_ds = [
   gluon.data.vision.ImageFolderDataset(
        os.path.join(data_dir, 'train_valid_test', folder))
   for folder in ['train', 'valid', 'train_valid', 'test']]
```

During training, we need to specify all the image augmentation operations defined above. When the validation set is used for model evaluation during hyperparameter tuning, no randomness from image augmentation should be introduced. Before final prediction, we train the model on the combined training set and validation set to make full use of all the labeled data.

```
train_iter, train_valid_iter = [gluon.data.DataLoader(
    dataset.transform_first(transform_train), batch_size, shuffle=True,
    last_batch='discard') for dataset in (train_ds, train_valid_ds)]
valid_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    valid_ds.transform_first(transform_test), batch_size, shuffle=False,
    last_batch='discard')
test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    test_ds.transform_first(transform_test), batch_size, shuffle=False,
    last_batch='keep')
```

14.13.4 Defining the Model

Here, we build the residual blocks based on the HybridBlock class, which is slightly different from the implementation described in Section 8.6. This is for improving computational efficiency.

```
class Residual(nn.HybridBlock):
    def
        __init__(self, num_channels, use_1x1conv=False, strides=1, **kwargs):
        super(Residual, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.conv1 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=3, padding=1,
                               strides=strides)
        self.conv2 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=3, padding=1)
        if use_1x1conv:
            self.conv3 = nn.Conv2D(num_channels, kernel_size=1,
                                   strides=strides)
        else:
            self.conv3 = None
        self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm()
        self.bn2 = nn.BatchNorm()
    def hybrid_forward(self, F, X):
        Y = F.npx.relu(self.bn1(self.conv1(X)))
        Y = self.bn2(self.conv2(Y))
        if self.conv3:
           X = self.conv3(X)
        return F.npx.relu(Y + X)
```

Next, we define the ResNet-18 model.

```
def resnet18(num_classes):
    net = nn.HybridSequential()
    net.add(nn.Conv2D(64, kernel_size=3, strides=1, padding=1),
            nn.BatchNorm(), nn.Activation('relu'))
    def resnet_block(num_channels, num_residuals, first_block=False):
       blk = nn.HybridSequential()
        for i in range(num_residuals):
            if i == 0 and not first_block:
                blk.add(Residual(num_channels, use_1x1conv=True, strides=2))
            else:
                blk.add(Residual(num_channels))
        return blk
   net.add(resnet_block(64, 2, first_block=True),
            resnet_block(128, 2),
            resnet_block(256, 2),
            resnet_block(512, 2))
    net.add(nn.GlobalAvgPool2D(), nn.Dense(num_classes))
    return net
```

We use Xavier initialization described in Section 5.4.2 before training begins.

```
def get_net(devices):
    num_classes = 10
    net = resnet18(num_classes)
    net.initialize(ctx=devices, init=init.Xavier())
    return net
```

loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()

14.13.5 Defining the Training Function

We will select models and tune hyperparameters according to the model's performance on the validation set. In the following, we define the model training function train.

```
def train(net, train_iter, valid_iter, num_epochs, lr, wd, devices, lr_period,
          lr_decay):
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'sgd',
                             {'learning_rate': lr, 'momentum': 0.9, 'wd': wd})
    num_batches, timer = len(train_iter), d2l.Timer()
    legend = ['train loss', 'train acc']
    if valid_iter is not None:
        legend.append('valid acc')
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, num_epochs],
                            legend=legend)
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        metric = d2l.Accumulator(3)
        if epoch > 0 and epoch % lr_period == 0:
            trainer.set_learning_rate(trainer.learning_rate * lr_decay)
        for i, (features, labels) in enumerate(train_iter):
            timer.start()
            1, acc = d2l.train_batch_ch13(
                net, features, labels.astype('float32'), loss, trainer,
                devices, d21.split_batch)
            metric.add(1, acc, labels.shape[0])
            timer.stop()
            if (i + 1) % (num_batches // 5) == 0 or i == num_batches - 1:
                animator.add(epoch + (i + 1) / num_batches,
                              (metric[0] / metric[2], metric[1] / metric[2],
                               None))
        if valid_iter is not None:
            valid_acc = d2l.evaluate_accuracy_gpus(net, valid_iter,
                                                     d21.split_batch)
            animator.add(epoch + 1, (None, None, valid_acc))
   measures = (f'train loss {metric[0] / metric[2]:.3f},
                f'train acc {metric[1] / metric[2]:.3f}')
    if valid_iter is not None:
    measures += f', valid acc {valid_acc:.3f}'
print(measures + f'\n{metric[2] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f}'
          f' examples/sec on {str(devices)}')
```

14.13.6 Training and Validating the Model

Now, we can train and validate the model. All the following hyperparameters can be tuned. For example, we can increase the number of epochs. When lr_period and lr_decay are set to 4 and 0.9, respectively, the learning rate of the optimization algorithm will be multiplied by 0.9 after every 4 epochs. Just for ease of demonstration, we only train 20 epochs here.

```
devices, num_epochs, lr, wd = d2l.try_all_gpus(), 20, 0.02, 5e-4
lr_period, lr_decay, net = 4, 0.9, get_net(devices)
net.hybridize()
```

(continued from previous page)

```
train loss 0.819, train acc 0.714, valid acc 0.531
1054.9 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



14.13.7 Classifying the Testing Set and Submitting Results on Kaggle

After obtaining a promising model with hyperparameters, we use all the labeled data (including the validation set) to retrain the model and classify the testing set.

```
train loss 0.944, train acc 0.667
1006.7 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



The above code will generate a submission.csv file, whose format meets the requirement of the Kaggle competition. The method for submitting results to Kaggle is similar to that in Section 5.7.
14.13.8 Summary

- We can read datasets containing raw image files after organizing them into the required format.
- We can use convolutional neural networks, image augmentation, and hybrid programing in an image classification competition.

Exercises

- Use the complete CIFAR-10 dataset for this Kaggle competition. Set hyperparameters as batch_size = 128, num_epochs = 100, lr = 0.1, lr_period = 50, and lr_decay = 0.1. See what accuracy and ranking you can achieve in this competition. Can you further improve them?
- 2. What accuracy can you get when not using image augmentation?

Discussions²²⁰



14.14 Dog Breed Identification (ImageNet Dogs) on Kaggle

In this section, we will practice the dog breed identification problem on Kaggle. The web address of this competition is https://www.kaggle.com/c/dog-breed-identification

In this competition, 120 different breeds of dogs will be recognized. In fact, the dataset for this competition is a subset of the ImageNet dataset. Unlike the images in the CIFAR-10 dataset in Section 14.13, the images in the ImageNet dataset are both higher and wider in varying dimensions. Fig.14.14.1 shows the information on the competition's webpage. You need a Kaggle account to submit your results.

```
import os
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

14.14.1 Obtaining and Organizing the Dataset

The competition dataset is divided into a training set and a test set, which contain 10222 and 10357 JPEG images of three RGB (color) channels, respectively. Among the training dataset, there are 120 breeds of dogs such as Labradors, Poodles, Dachshunds, Samoyeds, Huskies, Chihuahuas, and Yorkshire Terriers.

Downloading the Dataset

After logging into Kaggle, you can click on the "Data" tab on the competition webpage shown in Fig.14.14.1 and download the dataset by clicking the "Download All" button. After unzipping the downloaded file in ../data, you will find the entire dataset in the following paths:



Figure 14.14.1 The dog breed identification competition website. The competition dataset can be obtained by clicking the Data tab.

- ../data/dog-breed-identification/labels.csv
- ../data/dog-breed-identification/sample_submission.csv
- ../data/dog-breed-identification/train
- ../data/dog-breed-identification/test

You may have noticed that the above structure is similar to that of the CIFAR-10 competition in Section 14.13, where folders train/ and test/ contain training and testing dog images, respectively, and labels.csv contains the labels for the training images. Similarly, to make it easier to get started, we provide a small sample of the dataset mentioned above: train_valid_test_tiny.zip. If you are going to use the full dataset for the Kaggle competition, you need to change the demo variable below to False.

Downloading .../data/kaggle_dog_tiny.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate. → amazonaws.com/kaggle_dog_tiny.zip...

Organizing the Dataset

We can organize the dataset similarly to what we did in Section 14.13, namely splitting out a validation set from the original training set, and moving images into subfolders grouped by labels.

The reorg_dog_data function below reads the training data labels, splits out the validation set, and organizes the training set.

```
def reorg_dog_data(data_dir, valid_ratio):
    labels = d2l.read_csv_labels(os.path.join(data_dir, 'labels.csv'))
    d2l.reorg_train_valid(data_dir, labels, valid_ratio)
    d2l.reorg_test(data_dir)

batch_size = 32 if demo else 128
valid_ratio = 0.1
reorg_dog_data(data_dir, valid_ratio)
```

14.14.2 Image Augmentation

Recall that this dog breed dataset is a subset of the ImageNet dataset, whose images are larger than those of the CIFAR-10 dataset in Section 14.13. The following lists a few image augmentation operations that might be useful for relatively larger images.

```
transform_train = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    # Randomly crop the image to obtain an image with an area of 0.08 to 1 of
    # the original area and height-to-width ratio between 3/4 and 4/3. Then,
    # scale the image to create a new 224 x 224 image
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomResizedCrop(224, scale=(0.08, 1.0),
                                                   ratio=(3.0/4.0, 4.0/3.0)),
   gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomFlipLeftRight(),
    # Randomly change the brightness, contrast, and saturation
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomColorJitter(brightness=0.4,
                                                   contrast=0.4,
                                                   saturation=0.4),
    # Add random noise
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.RandomLighting(0.1),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    # Standardize each channel of the image
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.Normalize([0.485, 0.456, 0.406],
                                           [0.229, 0.224, 0.225])])
```

During prediction, we only use image preprocessing operations without randomness.

14.14.3 Reading the Dataset

As in Section 14.13, we can read the organized dataset consisting of raw image files.

```
train_ds, valid_ds, train_valid_ds, test_ds = [
   gluon.data.vision.ImageFolderDataset(
```

(continued from previous page)

```
os.path.join(data_dir, 'train_valid_test', folder))
for folder in ('train', 'valid', 'train_valid', 'test')]
```

Below we create data iterator instances the same way as in Section 14.13.

```
train_iter, train_valid_iter = [gluon.data.DataLoader(
    dataset.transform_first(transform_train), batch_size, shuffle=True,
    last_batch='discard') for dataset in (train_ds, train_valid_ds)]
valid_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    valid_ds.transform_first(transform_test), batch_size, shuffle=False,
    last_batch='discard')
test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    test_ds.transform_first(transform_test), batch_size, shuffle=False,
    last_batch='keep')
```

14.14.4 Fine-Tuning a Pretrained Model

Again, the dataset for this competition is a subset of the ImageNet dataset. Therefore, we can use the approach discussed in Section 14.2 to select a model pretrained on the full ImageNet dataset and use it to extract image features to be fed into a custom small-scale output network. High-level APIs of deep learning frameworks provide a wide range of models pretrained on the ImageNet dataset. Here, we choose a pretrained ResNet-34 model, where we simply reuse the input of this model's output layer (i.e., the extracted features). Then we can replace the original output layer with a small custom output network that can be trained, such as stacking two fully connected layers. Different from the experiment in Section 14.2, the following does not retrain the pretrained model used for feature extraction. This reduces training time and memory for storing gradients.

Recall that we standardized images using the means and standard deviations of the three RGB channels for the full ImageNet dataset. In fact, this is also consistent with the standardization operation by the pretrained model on ImageNet.

```
def get_net(devices):
    finetune_net = gluon.model_zoo.vision.resnet34_v2(pretrained=True)
    # Define a new output network
    finetune_net.output_new = nn.HybridSequential(prefix='')
    finetune_net.output_new.add(nn.Dense(256, activation='relu'))
    # There are 120 output categories
    finetune_net.output_new.add(nn.Dense(120))
    # Initialize the output network
    finetune_net.output_new.initialize(init.Xavier(), ctx=devices)
    # Distribute the model parameters to the CPUs or GPUs used for computation
    finetune_net.collect_params().reset_ctx(devices)
    return finetune_net
```

Before calculating the loss, we first obtain the input of the pretrained model's output layer, i.e., the extracted feature. Then we use this feature as input for our small custom output network to calculate the loss.

```
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()

def evaluate_loss(data_iter, net, devices):
    l_sum, n = 0.0, 0
    for features, labels in data_iter:
        X_shards, y_shards = d2l.split_batch(features, labels, devices)
        output_features = [net.features(X_shard) for X_shard in X_shards]
```

(continued from previous page)

14.14.5 Defining the Training Function

We will select the model and tune hyperparameters according to the model's performance on the validation set. The model training function train only iterates parameters of the small custom output network.

```
def train(net, train_iter, valid_iter, num_epochs, lr, wd, devices, lr_period,
          lr_decay):
    # Only train the small custom output network
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.output_new.collect_params(), 'sgd',
                            {'learning_rate': lr, 'momentum': 0.9, 'wd': wd})
    num_batches, timer = len(train_iter), d2l.Timer()
    legend = ['train loss']
    if valid_iter is not None:
        legend.append('valid loss')
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, num_epochs],
                            legend=legend)
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        metric = d2l.Accumulator(2)
        if epoch > 0 and epoch % lr_period == 0:
            trainer.set_learning_rate(trainer.learning_rate * lr_decay)
        for i, (features, labels) in enumerate(train_iter):
            timer.start()
            X_shards, y_shards = d2l.split_batch(features, labels, devices)
            output_features = [net.features(X_shard) for X_shard in X_shards]
            with autograd.record():
                outputs = [net.output_new(feature)
                           for feature in output_features]
                ls = [loss(output, y_shard).sum() for output, y_shard
                      in zip(outputs, y_shards)]
            for 1 in 1s:
                l.backward()
            trainer.step(batch_size)
            metric.add(sum([float(l.sum()) for l in ls]), labels.shape[0])
            timer.stop()
            if (i + 1) % (num_batches // 5) == 0 or i == num_batches - 1:
                animator.add(epoch + (i + 1) / num_batches,
                             (metric[0] / metric[1], None))
        if valid_iter is not None:
            valid_loss = evaluate_loss(valid_iter, net, devices)
            animator.add(epoch + 1, (None, valid_loss))
   measures = f'train loss {metric[0] / metric[1]:.3f}'
    if valid_iter is not None:
       measures += f', valid loss {valid_loss:.3f}'
    print(measures + f'\n{metric[1] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f}'
          f' examples/sec on {str(devices)}')
```

14.14.6 Training and Validating the Model

Now we can train and validate the model. The following hyperparameters are all tunable. For example, the number of epochs can be increased. Because lr_period and lr_decay are set to 2 and 0.9, respectively, the learning rate of the optimization algorithm will be multiplied by 0.9 after every 2 epochs.

```
train loss 0.928, valid loss 0.942
205.5 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



14.14.7 Classifying the Testing Set and Submitting Results on Kaggle

Similar to the final step in Section 14.13, in the end all the labeled data (including the validation set) are used for training the model and classifying the testing set. We will use the trained custom output network for classification.

```
train loss 0.860
180.4 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```

The above code will generate a submission.csv file to be submitted to Kaggle in the same way described in Section 5.7.

14.14.8 Summary

• Images in the ImageNet dataset are larger (with varying dimensions) than CIFAR-10 images. We may modify image augmentation operations for tasks on a different dataset.



• To classify a subset of the ImageNet dataset, we can leverage pre-trained models on the full ImageNet dataset to extract features and only train a custom small-scale output network. This will lead to less computational time and memory cost.

Exercises

- When using the full Kaggle competition dataset, what results can you achieve when you increase batch_size (batch size) and num_epochs (number of epochs) while setting some other hyperparameters as lr = 0.01, lr_period = 10, and lr_decay = 0.1?
- 2. Do you get better results if you use a deeper pretrained model? How do you tune hyperparameters? Can you further improve the results?

Discussions²²¹



Humans need to communicate. Out of this basic need of the human condition, a vast amount of written text has been generated on an everyday basis. Given rich text in social media, chat apps, emails, product reviews, news articles, research papers, and books, it becomes vital to enable computers to understand them to offer assistance or make decisions based on human languages.

Natural language processing studies interactions between computers and humans using natural languages. In practice, it is very common to use natural language processing techniques to process and analyze text (human natural language) data, such as language models in Section 9.3 and machine translation models in Section 10.5.

To understand text, we can begin by learning its representations. Leveraging the existing text sequences from large corpora, *self-supervised learning* has been extensively used to pretrain text representations, such as by predicting some hidden part of the text using some other part of their surrounding text. In this way, models learn through supervision from *massive* text data without *expensive* labeling efforts!

As we will see in this chapter, when treating each word or subword as an individual token, the representation of each token can be pretrained using word2vec, GloVe, or subword embedding models on large corpora. After pretraining, representation of each token can be a vector, however, it remains the same no matter what the context is. For instance, the vector representation of "bank" is the same in both "go to the bank to deposit some money" and "go to the bank to sit down". Thus, many more recent pretraining models adapt representation of the same token to different contexts. Among them is BERT, a much deeper self-supervised model based on the transformer encoder. In this chapter, we will focus on how to pretrain such representations for text, as highlighted in Fig.15.1.



Figure 15.1 Pretrained text representations can be fed to various deep learning architectures for different downstream natural language processing applications. This chapter focuses on the upstream text representation pretraining.

For sight of the big picture, Fig.15.1 shows that the pretrained text representations can be fed to a variety of deep learning architectures for different downstream natural language processing applications. We will cover them in Chapter 16.

15.1 Word Embedding (word2vec)

Natural language is a complex system used to express meanings. In this system, words are the basic unit of the meaning. As the name implies, *word vectors* are vectors used to represent words, and can also be considered as feature vectors or representations of words. The technique of mapping words to real vectors is called *word embedding*. In recent years, word embedding has gradually become the basic knowledge of natural language processing.

15.1.1 One-Hot Vectors Are a Bad Choice

We used one-hot vectors to represent words (characters are words) in Section 9.5. Suppose that the number of different words in the dictionary (the dictionary size) is N, and each word corresponds to a different integer (index) from 0 to N - 1. To obtain the one-hot vector representation for any word with index i, we create a length-N vector with all 0s and set the element at position i to 1. In this way, each word is represented as a vector of length N, and it can be used directly by neural networks.

Although one-hot word vectors are easy to construct, they are usually not a good choice. A main reason is that one-hot word vectors cannot accurately express the similarity between different words, such as the *cosine similarity* that we often use. For vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, their cosine similarity is the cosine of the angle between them:

$$\frac{\bm{x}^{\top}\bm{y}}{\|\bm{x}\|\|\bm{y}\|} \in [-1,1]. \tag{15.1.1}$$

Since the cosine similarity between one-hot vectors of any two different words is 0, one-hot vectors cannot encode similarities among words.

15.1.2 Self-Supervised word2vec



The word2vec²²² tool was proposed to address the above issue. It maps each word to a fixedlength vector, and these vectors can better express the similarity and analogy relationship among different words. The word2vec tool contains two models, namely *skip-gram* (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013) and *continuous bag of words* (CBOW) (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013). For semantically meaningful representations, their training relies on conditional probabilities that can be viewed as predicting some words using some of their surrounding words in corpora. Since supervision comes from the data without labels, both skip-gram and continuous bag of words are self-supervised models.

In the following, we will introduce these two models and their training methods.

15.1.3 The Skip-Gram Model

The *skip-gram* model assumes that a word can be used to generate its surrounding words in a text sequence. Take the text sequence "the", "man", "loves", "his", "son" as an example. Let's choose "loves" as the *center word* and set the context window size to 2. As shown in Fig.15.1.1, given the center word "loves", the skip-gram model considers the conditional probability for generating the *context words*: "the", "man", "his", and "son", which are no more than 2 words away from the center word:

$$P(\text{"the"}, \text{"man"}, \text{"his"}, \text{"son"} \mid \text{"loves"}).$$
 (15.1.2)

Assume that the context words are independently generated given the center word (i.e., conditional independence). In this case, the above conditional probability can be rewritten as

 $P(\text{"the"} \mid \text{"loves"}) \cdot P(\text{"man"} \mid \text{"loves"}) \cdot P(\text{"his"} \mid \text{"loves"}) \cdot P(\text{"son"} \mid \text{"loves"}).$ (15.1.3)



Figure 15.1.1

The skip-gram model considers the conditional probability of generating the surrounding context words given a center word.

In the skip-gram model, each word has two *d*-dimensional-vector representations for calculating conditional probabilities. More concretely, for any word with index *i* in the dictionary, denote by $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ its two vectors when used as a *center* word and a *context* word, respectively. The conditional probability of generating any context word w_o (with index *o* in the dictionary) given the center word w_c (with index *c* in the dictionary) can be modeled by a softmax operation on vector dot products:

$$P(w_o \mid w_c) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_o^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c)},$$
(15.1.4)

where the vocabulary index set $\mathcal{V} = \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{V}| - 1\}$. Given a text sequence of length T, where the word at time step t is denoted as $w^{(t)}$. Assume that context words are independently generated given any center word. For context window size m, the likelihood function of the skip-gram model is the probability of generating all context words given any center word:

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{-m \le j \le m, \ j \ne 0} P(w^{(t+j)} \mid w^{(t)}),$$
(15.1.5)

where any time step that is less than 1 or greater than T can be omitted.

Training

The skip-gram model parameters are the center word vector and context word vector for each word in the vocabulary. In training, we learn the model parameters by maximizing the likelihood function (i.e., maximum likelihood estimation). This is equivalent to minimizing the following loss function:

$$-\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{-m \le j \le m, \ j \ne 0} \log P(w^{(t+j)} \mid w^{(t)}).$$
(15.1.6)

When using stochastic gradient descent to minimize the loss, in each iteration we can randomly sample a shorter subsequence to calculate the (stochastic) gradient for this subsequence to update the model parameters. To calculate this (stochastic) gradient, we need to obtain the gradients of the log conditional probability with respect to the center word vector and the context word vector. In general, according to (15.1.4) the log conditional probability involving any pair of the center word w_c and the context word w_o is

$$\log P(w_o \mid w_c) = \boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c - \log \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) \right).$$
(15.1.7)

Through differentiation, we can obtain its gradient with respect to the center word vector v_c as

$$\frac{\partial \log P(w_o \mid w_c)}{\partial v_c} = u_o - \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(u_j^\top v_c) u_j}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(u_i^\top v_c)} \\
= u_o - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{\exp(u_j^\top v_c)}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(u_i^\top v_c)} \right) u_j \quad (15.1.8) \\
= u_o - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} P(w_j \mid w_c) u_j.$$

Note that the calculation in (15.1.8) requires the conditional probabilities of all words in the dictionary with w_c as the center word. The gradients for the other word vectors can be obtained in the same way.

After training, for any word with index i in the dictionary, we obtain both word vectors v_i (as the center word) and u_i (as the context word). In natural language processing applications, the center word vectors of the skip-gram model are typically used as the word representations.

15.1.4 The Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW) Model

The *continuous bag of words* (CBOW) model is similar to the skip-gram model. The major difference from the skip-gram model is that the continuous bag of words model assumes that a center word is generated based on its surrounding context words in the text sequence. For example, in the same text sequence "the", "man", "loves", "his", and "son", with "loves" as the center word and the context window size being 2, the continuous bag of words model considers the conditional probability of generating the center word "loves" based on the context words "the", "man", "his" and "son" (as shown in Fig.15.1.2), which is

$$P("loves" | "the", "man", "his", "son").$$
 (15.1.9)



Figure 15.1.2

The continuous bag of words model considers the conditional probability of generating the center word given its surrounding context words.

Since there are multiple context words in the continuous bag of words model, these context word vectors are averaged in the calculation of the conditional probability. Specifically, for any word with index *i* in the dictionary, denote by $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ its two vectors when used as a *context* word and a *center* word (meanings are switched in the skip-gram model), respectively. The conditional probability of generating any center word w_c (with index *c* in the dictionary) given its surrounding context words $w_{o_1}, \ldots, w_{o_{2m}}$ (with index o_1, \ldots, o_{2m}

in the dictionary) can be modeled by

$$P(w_c \mid w_{o_1}, \dots, w_{o_{2m}}) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{2m} u_c^\top (v_{o_1} + \dots + v_{o_{2m}})\right)}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2m} u_i^\top (v_{o_1} + \dots + v_{o_{2m}})\right)}.$$
(15.1.10)

For brevity, let $W_o = \{w_{o_1}, \dots, w_{o_{2m}}\}$ and $\bar{v}_o = (v_{o_1} + \dots + v_{o_{2m}})/(2m)$. Then (15.1.10) can be simplified as

$$P(w_c \mid \mathcal{W}_o) = \frac{\exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_c^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o\right)}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_i^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o\right)}.$$
(15.1.11)

Given a text sequence of length T, where the word at time step t is denoted as $w^{(t)}$. For context window size m, the likelihood function of the continuous bag of words model is the probability of generating all center words given their context words:

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} P(w^{(t)} \mid w^{(t-m)}, \dots, w^{(t-1)}, w^{(t+1)}, \dots, w^{(t+m)}).$$
(15.1.12)

Training

Training continuous bag of words models is almost the same as training skip-gram models. The maximum likelihood estimation of the continuous bag of words model is equivalent to minimizing the following loss function:

$$-\sum_{t=1}^{T} \log P(w^{(t)} \mid w^{(t-m)}, \dots, w^{(t-1)}, w^{(t+1)}, \dots, w^{(t+m)}).$$
(15.1.13)

Notice that

$$\log P(w_c \mid \mathcal{W}_o) = \boldsymbol{u}_c^{\top} \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o - \log \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{u}_i^{\top} \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o \right) \right).$$
(15.1.14)

Through differentiation, we can obtain its gradient with respect to any context word vector $v_{o_i}(i = 1, ..., 2m)$ as

$$\frac{\partial \log P(w_c \mid \mathcal{W}_o)}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{o_i}} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\boldsymbol{u}_c - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o) \boldsymbol{u}_j}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}_o)} \right) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\boldsymbol{u}_c - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} P(w_j \mid \mathcal{W}_o) \boldsymbol{u}_j \right)$$
(15.1.15)

The gradients for the other word vectors can be obtained in the same way. Unlike the skipgram model, the continuous bag of words model typically uses context word vectors as the word representations.

15.1.5 Summary

- Word vectors are vectors used to represent words, and can also be considered as feature vectors or representations of words. The technique of mapping words to real vectors is called word embedding.
- The word2vec tool contains both the skip-gram and continuous bag of words models.
- The skip-gram model assumes that a word can be used to generate its surrounding words in a text sequence; while the continuous bag of words model assumes that a center word is generated based on its surrounding context words.

Exercises

- 1. What is the computational complexity for calculating each gradient? What could be the issue if the dictionary size is huge?
- 2. Some fixed phrases in English consist of multiple words, such as "new york". How to train their word vectors? Hint: see Section 4 in the word2vec paper (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013).
- 3. Let's reflect on the word2vec design by taking the skip-gram model as an example. What is the relationship between the dot product of two word vectors in the skip-gram model and the cosine similarity? For a pair of words with similar semantics, why may the cosine similarity of their word vectors (trained by the skip-gram model) be high?

Discussions²²³

223

15.2 Approximate Training

Recall our discussions in Section 15.1. The main idea of the skip-gram model is using softmax operations to calculate the conditional probability of generating a context word w_o based on the given center word w_c in (15.1.4), whose corresponding logarithmic loss is given by the opposite of (15.1.7).

Due to the nature of the softmax operation, since a context word may be anyone in the dictionary \mathcal{V} , the opposite of (15.1.7) contains the summation of items as many as the entire size of the vocabulary. Consequently, the gradient calculation for the skip-gram model in (15.1.8) and that for the continuous bag-of-words model in (15.1.15) both contain the summation. Unfortunately, the computational cost for such gradients that sum over a large dictionary (often with hundreds of thousands or millions of words) is huge!

In order to reduce the aforementioned computational complexity, this section will introduce two approximate training methods: *negative sampling* and *hierarchical softmax*. Due to the similarity between the skip-gram model and the continuous bag of words model, we will just take the skip-gram model as an example to describe these two approximate training methods.

15.2.1 Negative Sampling

Negative sampling modifies the original objective function. Given the context window of a center word w_c , the fact that any (context) word w_o comes from this context window is considered as an event with the probability modeled by

$$P(D=1 \mid w_c, w_o) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c), \qquad (15.2.1)$$

where σ uses the definition of the sigmoid activation function:

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}.$$
(15.2.2)

Let's begin by maximizing the joint probability of all such events in text sequences to train word embeddings. Specifically, given a text sequence of length T, denote by $w^{(t)}$ the word at time step t and let the context window size be m, consider maximizing the joint probability

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{-m \le j \le m, \ j \ne 0} P(D=1 \mid w^{(t)}, w^{(t+j)}).$$
(15.2.3)

However, (15.2.3) only considers those events that involve positive examples. As a result, the joint probability in (15.2.3) is maximized to 1 only if all the word vectors are equal to infinity. Of course, such results are meaningless. To make the objective function more meaningful, *negative sampling* adds negative examples sampled from a predefined distribution.

Denote by S the event that a context word w_o comes from the context window of a center word w_c . For this event involving w_o , from a predefined distribution P(w) sample K noise words that are not from this context window. Denote by N_k the event that a noise word w_k ($k = 1, \ldots, K$) does not come from the context window of w_c . Assume that these events involving both the positive example and negative examples S, N_1, \ldots, N_K are mutually independent. Negative sampling rewrites the joint probability (involving only positive examples) in (15.2.3) as

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{-m \le j \le m, \ j \ne 0} P(w^{(t+j)} \mid w^{(t)}),$$
(15.2.4)

where the conditional probability is approximated through events S, N_1, \ldots, N_K :

$$P(w^{(t+j)} \mid w^{(t)}) = P(D = 1 \mid w^{(t)}, w^{(t+j)}) \prod_{k=1, w_k \sim P(w)}^{K} P(D = 0 \mid w^{(t)}, w_k).$$
(15.2.5)

Denote by i_t and h_k the indices of a word $w^{(t)}$ at time step t of a text sequence and a noise word w_k , respectively. The logarithmic loss with respect to the conditional probabilities in (15.2.5) is

$$-\log P(w^{(t+j)} \mid w^{(t)}) = -\log P(D = 1 \mid w^{(t)}, w^{(t+j)}) - \sum_{k=1, w_k \sim P(w)}^{K} \log P(D = 0 \mid w^{(t)}, w_k)$$
$$= -\log \sigma \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i_{t+j}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t} \right) - \sum_{k=1, w_k \sim P(w)}^{K} \log \left(1 - \sigma \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{h_k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t} \right) \right)$$
$$= -\log \sigma \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i_{t+j}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t} \right) - \sum_{k=1, w_k \sim P(w)}^{K} \log \sigma \left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{h_k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t} \right).$$
(15.2.6)

We can see that now the computational cost for gradients at each training step has nothing to do with the dictionary size, but linearly depends on K. When setting the hyperparameter K to a smaller value, the computational cost for gradients at each training step with negative sampling is smaller.

15.2.2 Hierarchical Softmax

As an alternative approximate training method, *hierarchical softmax* uses the binary tree, a data structure illustrated in Fig.15.2.1, where each leaf node of the tree represents a word in dictionary \mathcal{V} .

Denote by L(w) the number of nodes (including both ends) on the path from the root node to the leaf node representing word w in the binary tree. Let n(w, j) be the j^{th} node on this path, with its context word vector being $u_{n(w,j)}$. For example, $L(w_3) = 4$ in Fig.15.2.1. Hierarchical softmax approximates the conditional probability in (15.1.4) as

$$P(w_o \mid w_c) = \prod_{j=1}^{L(w_o)-1} \sigma\left(\left[n(w_o, j+1) = \operatorname{leftChild}(n(w_o, j)) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{n(w_o, j)}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c \right),$$
(15.2.7)

where function σ is defined in (15.2.2), and leftChild(n) is the left child node of node n: if x is true, [x] = 1; otherwise [x] = -1.



Figure 15.2.1 Hierarchical softmax for approximate training, where each leaf node of the tree represents a word in the dictionary.

To illustrate, let's calculate the conditional probability of generating word w_3 given word w_c in Fig.15.2.1. This requires dot products between the word vector v_c of w_c and non-leaf node vectors on the path (the path in bold in Fig.15.2.1) from the root to w_3 , which is traversed left, right, then left:

$$P(w_3 \mid w_c) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_{n(w_3,1)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c) \cdot \sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_{n(w_3,2)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c) \cdot \sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_{n(w_3,3)}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c).$$
(15.2.8)

Since $\sigma(x) + \sigma(-x) = 1$, it holds that the conditional probabilities of generating all the words in dictionary \mathcal{V} based on any word w_c sum up to one:

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{V}} P(w \mid w_c) = 1. \tag{15.2.9}$$

Fortunately, since $L(w_o) - 1$ is on the order of $\mathcal{O}(\log_2|\mathcal{V}|)$ due to the binary tree structure, when the dictionary size \mathcal{V} is huge, the computational cost for each training step using hierarchical softmax is significantly reduced compared with that without approximate training.

15.2.3 Summary

- Negative sampling constructs the loss function by considering mutually independent events that involve both positive and negative examples. The computational cost for training is linearly dependent on the number of noise words at each step.
- Hierarchical softmax constructs the loss function using the path from the root node to the leaf node in the binary tree. The computational cost for training is dependent on the logarithm of the dictionary size at each step.

Exercises

- 1. How can we sample noise words in negative sampling?
- 2. Verify that (15.2.9) holds.



3. How to train the continuous bag of words model using negative sampling and hierarchical softmax, respectively?

Discussions²²⁴

15.3 The Dataset for Pretraining Word Embeddings

Now that we know the technical details of the word2vec models and approximate training methods, let's walk through their implementations. Specifically, we will take the skip-gram model in Section 15.1 and negative sampling in Section 15.2 as an example. In this section, we begin with the dataset for pretraining the word embedding model: the original format of the data will be transformed into minibatches that can be iterated over during training.

import collections
import math
import os
import random
from mxnet import gluon, np
from d21 import mxnet as d21

15.3.1 Reading the Dataset

The dataset that we use here is Penn Tree Bank $(PTB)^{225}$. This corpus is sampled from Wall Street Journal articles, split into training, validation, and test sets. In the original format, each line of the text file represents a sentence of words that are separated by spaces. Here we treat each word as a token.

225

Downloading .../data/ptb.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.amazonaws.com/

'# sentences: 42069'

After reading the training set, we build a vocabulary for the corpus, where any word that appears less than 10 times is replaced by the "<unk>" token. Note that the original dataset also contains "<unk>" tokens that represent rare (unknown) words.

```
vocab = d21.Vocab(sentences, min_freq=10)
f'vocab size: {len(vocab)}'
```

'vocab size: 6719'

Text data typically have high-frequency words such as "the", "a", and "in": they may even occur billions of times in very large corpora. However, these words often co-occur with many different words in context windows, providing little useful signals. For instance, consider the word "chip" in a context window: intuitively its co-occurrence with a low-frequency word "intel" is more useful in training than the co-occurrence with a high-frequency word "a". Moreover, training with vast amounts of (high-frequency) words is slow. Thus, when training word embedding models, high-frequency words can be *subsampled* (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013). Specifically, each indexed word w_i in the dataset will be discarded with probability

$$P(w_i) = \max\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{t}{f(w_i)}}, 0\right),$$
(15.3.1)

where $f(w_i)$ is the ratio of the number of words w_i to the total number of words in the dataset, and the constant t is a hyperparameter $(10^{-4}$ in the experiment). We can see that only when the relative frequency $f(w_i) > t$ can the (high-frequency) word w_i be discarded, and the higher the relative frequency of the word, the greater the probability of being discarded.

```
#@save
def subsample(sentences, vocab):
     ""Subsample high-frequency words."""
    # Exclude unknown tokens ('<unk>')
    sentences = [[token for token in line if vocab[token] != vocab.unk]
                 for line in sentences]
    counter = collections.Counter([
        token for line in sentences for token in line])
    num_tokens = sum(counter.values())
    # Return True if `token` is kept during subsampling
    def keep(token):
        return(random.uniform(0, 1) <</pre>
               math.sqrt(le-4 / counter[token] * num_tokens))
    return ([[token for token in line if keep(token)] for line in sentences],
            counter)
subsampled, counter = subsample(sentences, vocab)
```

The following code snippet plots the histogram of the number of tokens per sentence before and after subsampling. As expected, subsampling significantly shortens sentences by dropping high-frequency words, which will lead to training speedup.

d2l.show_list_len_pair_hist(['origin', 'subsampled'], '# tokens per sentence',



For individual tokens, the sampling rate of the high-frequency word "the" is less than 1/20.

```
def compare_counts(token):
    return (f'# of "{token}": '
        f'before={sum([l.count(token) for l in sentences])}, '
        f'after={sum([l.count(token) for l in subsampled])}')
compare_counts('the')
```

'# of "the": before=50770, after=2095'

In contrast, low-frequency words "join" are completely kept.

compare_counts('join')

'# of "join": before=45, after=45'

After subsampling, we map tokens to their indices for the corpus.

```
corpus = [vocab[line] for line in subsampled]
corpus[:3]
```

[[], [27, 4127, 6612, 3228, 710, 1773], [3922, 1922, 4743]]

15.3.3 Extracting Center Words and Context Words

The following get_centers_and_contexts function extracts all the center words and their context words from corpus. It uniformly samples an integer between 1 and max_window_size at random as the context window size. For any center word, those words whose distance from it does not exceed the sampled context window size are its context words.

```
#@save
def get_centers_and_contexts(corpus, max_window_size):
    """Return center words and context words in skip-gram."""
   centers, contexts = [], []
    for line in corpus:
       # To form a "center word--context word" pair, each sentence needs to
       # have at least 2 words
       if len(line) < 2:
           continue
       centers += line
        for i in range(len(line)): # Context window centered at `i`
            window_size = random.randint(1, max_window_size)
            indices = list(range(max(0, i - window_size),
                                min(len(line), i + 1 + window_size)))
            # Exclude the center word from the context words
            indices.remove(i)
            contexts.append([line[idx] for idx in indices])
    return centers, contexts
```

Next, we create an artificial dataset containing two sentences of 7 and 3 words, respectively. Let the maximum context window size be 2 and print all the center words and their context words.

```
tiny_dataset = [list(range(7)), list(range(7, 10))]
print('dataset', tiny_dataset)
for center, context in zip(*get_centers_and_contexts(tiny_dataset, 2)):
    print('center', center, 'has contexts', context)
```

```
dataset [[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], [7, 8, 9]]
center 0 has contexts [1]
center 1 has contexts [0, 2]
center 2 has contexts [1, 3]
center 3 has contexts [1, 2, 4, 5]
center 4 has contexts [2, 3, 5, 6]
center 5 has contexts [3, 4, 6]
center 6 has contexts [5]
center 7 has contexts [8]
center 8 has contexts [7, 9]
center 9 has contexts [7, 8]
```

When training on the PTB dataset, we set the maximum context window size to 5. The following extracts all the center words and their context words in the dataset.

```
all_centers, all_contexts = get_centers_and_contexts(corpus, 5)
f'# center-context pairs: {sum([len(contexts) for contexts in all_contexts])}'
```

```
'# center-context pairs: 1505018'
```

15.3.4 Negative Sampling

We use negative sampling for approximate training. To sample noise words according to a predefined distribution, we define the following RandomGenerator class, where the (possibly unnormalized) sampling distribution is passed via the argument sampling_weights.

```
#@save
class RandomGenerator:
    """Randomly draw among {1, ..., n} according to n sampling weights."""
    def __init__(self, sampling_weights):
        # Exclude
        self.population = list(range(1, len(sampling_weights) + 1))
        self.sampling_weights = sampling_weights
        self.candidates = []
        self.i = 0
    def draw(self):
        if self.i == len(self.candidates):
            # Cache `k` random sampling results
            self.candidates = random.choices(
                self.population, self.sampling_weights, k=10000)
            self.i = 0
        self.i += 1
        return self.candidates[self.i - 1]
```

For example, we can draw 10 random variables X among indices 1, 2, and 3 with sampling probabilities P(X = 1) = 2/9, P(X = 2) = 3/9, and P(X = 3) = 4/9 as follows.

```
generator = RandomGenerator([2, 3, 4])
[generator.draw() for _ in range(10)]
```

```
[3, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3]
```

For a pair of center word and context word, we randomly sample K (5 in the experiment) noise words. According to the suggestions in the word2vec paper, the sampling probability P(w) of a noise word w is set to its relative frequency in the dictionary raised to the power of 0.75 (Mikolov *et al.*, 2013).

```
#@save
def get_negatives(all_contexts, vocab, counter, K):
     ""Return noise words in negative sampling.
   # Sampling weights for words with indices 1, 2, ... (index 0 is the
    # excluded unknown token) in the vocabulary
   sampling_weights = [counter[vocab.to_tokens(i)]**0.75
                       for i in range(1, len(vocab))]
    all_negatives, generator = [], RandomGenerator(sampling_weights)
    for contexts in all_contexts:
        negatives = []
       while len(negatives) < len(contexts) * K:</pre>
            neg = generator.draw()
            # Noise words cannot be context words
            if neg not in contexts:
               negatives.append(neg)
        all_negatives.append(negatives)
    return all_negatives
```

all_negatives = get_negatives(all_contexts, vocab, counter, 5)

15.3.5 Loading Training Examples in Minibatches

After all the center words together with their context words and sampled noise words are extracted, they will be transformed into minibatches of examples that can be iteratively loaded during training.

In a minibatch, the i^{th} example includes a center word and its n_i context words and m_i noise words. Due to varying context window sizes, $n_i + m_i$ varies for different i. Thus, for each example we concatenate its context words and noise words in the contexts_negatives variable, and pad zeros until the concatenation length reaches $\max_i n_i + m_i \pmod{x_len}$. To exclude paddings in the calculation of the loss, we define a mask variable masks. There is a one-to-one correspondence between elements in masks and elements in contexts_negatives, where zeros (otherwise ones) in masks correspond to paddings in contexts_negatives.

To distinguish between positive and negative examples, we separate context words from noise words in contexts_negatives via a labels variable. Similar to masks, there is also a one-to-one correspondence between elements in labels and elements in contexts_negatives, where ones (otherwise zeros) in labels correspond to context words (positive examples) in contexts_negatives.

The above idea is implemented in the following batchify function. Its input data is a list with length equal to the batch size, where each element is an example consisting of the center word center, its context words context, and its noise words negative. This function returns a minibatch that can be loaded for calculations during training, such as including the mask variable.

```
#@save
def batchify(data):
    """Return a minibatch of examples for skip-gram with negative sampling."""
    max_len = max(len(c) + len(n) for _, c, n in data)
    centers, contexts_negatives, masks, labels = [], [], [], []
    for center, context, negative in data:
        cur_len = len(context) + len(negative)
        centers += [center]
        contexts_negatives += [context + negative + [0] * (max_len - cur_len)]
        masks += [[1] * cur_len + [0] * (max_len - cur_len)]
        labels += [[1] * len(context) + [0] * (max_len - len(context))]
    return (np.array(centers).reshape((-1, 1)), np.array(
        contexts_negatives), np.array(masks), np.array(labels))
```

Let's test this function using a minibatch of two examples.

```
x_1 = (1, [2, 2], [3, 3, 3, 3])
x_2 = (1, [2, 2, 2], [3, 3])
batch = batchify((x_1, x_2))
names = ['centers', 'contexts_negatives', 'masks', 'labels']
for name, data in zip(names, batch):
    print(name, '=', data)
```

```
centers = [[1.]
[1.]]
contexts_negatives = [[2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3.]
[2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 0.]]
masks = [[1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.]
[1. 1. 1. 1. 0.]]
labels = [[1. 1. 0. 0. 0. 0.]
[1. 1. 1. 0. 0. 0.]]
```

15.3.6 Putting It All Together

Last, we define the load_data_ptb function that reads the PTB dataset and returns the data iterator and the vocabulary.

```
#@save
def load_data_ptb(batch_size, max_window_size, num_noise_words):
    """Download the PTB dataset and then load it into memory."
   sentences = read_ptb()
   vocab = d21.Vocab(sentences, min_freq=10)
    subsampled, counter = subsample(sentences, vocab)
    corpus = [vocab[line] for line in subsampled]
    all_centers, all_contexts = get_centers_and_contexts(
       corpus, max_window_size)
    all_negatives = get_negatives(
       all_contexts, vocab, counter, num_noise_words)
    dataset = gluon.data.ArrayDataset(
       all_centers, all_contexts, all_negatives)
    data_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
       dataset, batch_size, shuffle=True,batchify_fn=batchify,
       num_workers=d2l.get_dataloader_workers())
    return data_iter, vocab
```

Let's print the first minibatch of the data iterator.

```
data_iter, vocab = load_data_ptb(512, 5, 5)
for batch in data_iter:
    for name, data in zip(names, batch):
        print(name, 'shape:', data.shape)
    break
```

```
centers shape: (512, 1)
contexts_negatives shape: (512, 60)
masks shape: (512, 60)
labels shape: (512, 60)
```

15.3.7 Summary

• High-frequency words may not be so useful in training. We can subsample them for speedup in training.

For computational efficiency, we load examples in minibatches. We can define other variables to distinguish paddings from non-paddings, and positive examples from negative ones.

Exercises

- 1. How does the running time of code in this section changes if not using subsampling?
- 2. The RandomGenerator class caches k random sampling results. Set k to other values and see how it affects the data loading speed.
- 3. What other hyperparameters in the code of this section may affect the data loading speed?

Discussions²²⁶



15.4 Pretraining word2vec

We go on to implement the skip-gram model defined in Section 15.1. Then we will pretrain word2vec using negative sampling on the PTB dataset. First of all, let's obtain the data iterator and the vocabulary for this dataset by calling the d21.load_data_ptb function, which was described in Section 15.3

15.4.1 The Skip-Gram Model

We implement the skip-gram model by using embedding layers and batch matrix multiplications. First, let's review how embedding layers work.

Embedding Layer

As described in Section 10.7, an embedding layer maps a token's index to its feature vector. The weight of this layer is a matrix whose number of rows equals to the dictionary size (input_dim) and number of columns equals to the vector dimension for each token (output_dim). After a word embedding model is trained, this weight is what we need.

```
embed = nn.Embedding(input_dim=20, output_dim=4)
embed.initialize()
embed.weight
```

Parameter embedding0_weight (shape=(20, 4), dtype=float32)

The input of an embedding layer is the index of a token (word). For any token index i, its vector representation can be obtained from the i^{th} row of the weight matrix in the embedding layer. Since the vector dimension (output_dim) was set to 4, the embedding layer returns vectors with shape (2, 3, 4) for a minibatch of token indices with shape (2, 3).

```
x = np.array([[1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6]])
embed(x)
```

```
array([[[ 0.01438687, 0.05011239, 0.00628365, 0.04861524],
        [-0.01068833, 0.01729892, 0.02042518, -0.01618656],
        [-0.00873779, -0.02834515, 0.05484822, -0.06206018]],
        [[ 0.06491279, -0.03182812, -0.01631819, -0.00312688],
        [ 0.0408415, 0.04370362, 0.00404529, -0.0028032 ],
        [ 0.00952624, -0.01501013, 0.05958354, 0.04705103]]])
```

Defining the Forward Propagation

In the forward propagation, the input of the skip-gram model includes the center word indices center of shape (batch size, 1) and the concatenated context and noise word indices contexts_and_negatives of shape (batch size, max_len), where max_len is defined in Section 15.3.5. These two variables are first transformed from the token indices into vectors via the embedding layer, then their batch matrix multiplication (described in Section 11.2.4) returns an output of shape (batch size, 1, max_len). Each element in the output is the dot product of a center word vector and a context or noise word vector.

```
def skip_gram(center, contexts_and_negatives, embed_v, embed_u):
    v = embed_v(center)
    u = embed_u(contexts_and_negatives)
    pred = npx.batch_dot(v, u.swapaxes(1, 2))
    return pred
```

Let's print the output shape of this skip_gram function for some example inputs.

skip_gram(np.ones((2, 1)), np.ones((2, 4)), embed, embed).shape

(2, 1, 4)

15.4.2 Training

Before training the skip-gram model with negative sampling, let's first define its loss function.

Binary Cross-Entropy Loss

According to the definition of the loss function for negative sampling in Section 15.2.1, we will use the binary cross-entropy loss.

```
loss = gluon.loss.SigmoidBCELoss()
```

Recall our descriptions of the mask variable and the label variable in Section 15.3.5. The following calculates the binary cross-entropy loss for the given variables.

```
pred = np.array([[1.1, -2.2, 3.3, -4.4]] * 2)
label = np.array([[1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0], [0.0, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0]])
mask = np.array([[1, 1, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0, 0]])
loss(pred, label, mask) * mask.shape[1] / mask.sum(axis=1)
```

```
array([0.93521017, 1.8462094 ])
```

Below shows how the above results are calculated (in a less efficient way) using the sigmoid activation function in the binary cross-entropy loss. We can consider the two outputs as two normalized losses that are averaged over non-masked predictions.

```
def sigmd(x):
    return -math.log(1 / (1 + math.exp(-x)))
print(f'{(sigmd(1.1) + sigmd(2.2) + sigmd(-3.3) + sigmd(4.4)) / 4:.4f}')
print(f'{(sigmd(-1.1) + sigmd(-2.2)) / 2:.4f}')
```

0.9352

Initializing Model Parameters

We define two embedding layers for all the words in the vocabulary when they are used as center words and context words, respectively. The word vector dimension embed_size is set to 100.

Defining the Training Loop

The training loop is defined below. Because of the existence of padding, the calculation of the loss function is slightly different compared to the previous training functions.

```
def train(net, data_iter, lr, num_epochs, device=d2l.try_gpu()):
   net.initialize(ctx=device, force_reinit=True)
                                                  'adam',
   trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(),
                            {'learning_rate': lr})
   animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss',
                            xlim=[1, num_epochs])
   # Sum of normalized losses, no. of normalized losses
   metric = d2l.Accumulator(2)
   for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        timer, num_batches = d2l.Timer(), len(data_iter)
        for i, batch in enumerate(data_iter):
           center, context_negative, mask, label = [
                data.as_in_ctx(device) for data in batch]
            with autograd.record():
                pred = skip_gram(center, context_negative, net[0], net[1])
                1 = (loss(pred.reshape(label.shape), label, mask) >
                     mask.shape[1] / mask.sum(axis=1))
            l.backward()
            trainer.step(batch_size)
           metric.add(l.sum(), l.size)
```

(continued from previous page)

Now we can train a skip-gram model using negative sampling.

```
lr, num_epochs = 0.002, 5
train(net, data_iter, lr, num_epochs)
```

```
loss 0.408, 103058.8 tokens/sec on gpu(0)
```





After training the word2vec model, we can use the cosine similarity of word vectors from the trained model to find words from the dictionary that are most semantically similar to an input word.

```
def get_similar_tokens(query_token, k, embed):
    W = embed.weight.data()
    x = W[vocab[query_token]]
    # Compute the cosine similarity. Add 1e-9 for numerical stability
    cos = np.dot(W, x) / np.sqrt(np.sum(W * W, axis=1) * np.sum(x * x) + 1e-9)
    topk = npx.topk(cos, k=k+1, ret_typ='indices').asnumpy().astype('int32')
    for i in topk[1:]: # Remove the input words
        print(f'cosine sim={float(cos[i]):.3f}: {vocab.to_tokens(i)}')
    get_similar_tokens('chip', 3, net[0])
```

cosine sim=0.665: intel cosine sim=0.623: graphics cosine sim=0.615: microprocessor

15.4.4 Summary

- We can train a skip-gram model with negative sampling using embedding layers and the binary cross-entropy loss.
- Applications of word embeddings include finding semantically similar words for a given word based on the cosine similarity of word vectors.

Exercises

- 1. Using the trained model, find semantically similar words for other input words. Can you improve the results by tuning hyperparameters?
- 2. When a training corpus is huge, we often sample context words and noise words for the center words in the current minibatch *when updating model parameters*. In other words, the same center word may have different context words or noise words in different training epochs. What are the benefits of this method? Try to implement this training method.

Discussions²²⁷



15.5 Word Embedding with Global Vectors (GloVe)

Word-word co-occurrences within context windows may carry rich semantic information. For example, in a large corpus word "solid" is more likely to co-occur with "ice" than "steam", but word "gas" probably co-occurs with "steam" more frequently than "ice". Besides, global corpus statistics of such co-occurrences can be precomputed: this can lead to more efficient training. To leverage statistical information in the entire corpus for word embedding, let's first revisit the skip-gram model in Section 15.1.3, but interpreting it using global corpus statistics such as co-occurrence counts.

15.5.1 Skip-Gram with Global Corpus Statistics

Denoting by q_{ij} the conditional probability $P(w_j | w_i)$ of word w_j given word w_i in the skip-gram model, we have

$$q_{ij} = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \boldsymbol{v}_i)}{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_i)},$$
(15.5.1)

where for any index *i* vectors v_i and u_i represent word w_i as the center word and context word, respectively, and $\mathcal{V} = \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{V}| - 1\}$ is the index set of the vocabulary.

Consider word w_i that may occur multiple times in the corpus. In the entire corpus, all the context words wherever w_i is taken as their center word form a *multiset* C_i of word indices that *allows for multiple instances of the same element*. For any element, its number of instances is called its *multiplicity*. To illustrate with an example, suppose that word w_i occurs twice in the corpus and indices of the context words that take w_i as their center word in the two context windows are k, j, m, k and k, l, k, j. Thus, multiset $C_i = \{j, j, k, k, k, k, l, m\}$, where multiplicities of elements j, k, l, m are 2, 4, 1, 1, respectively.

Now let's denote the multiplicity of element j in multiset C_i as x_{ij} . This is the global cooccurrence count of word w_j (as the context word) and word w_i (as the center word) in the same context window in the entire corpus. Using such global corpus statistics, the loss function of the skip-gram model is equivalent to

$$-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{V}}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{V}}x_{ij}\log q_{ij}.$$
(15.5.2)

We further denote by x_i the number of all the context words in the context windows where w_i occurs as their center word, which is equivalent to $|C_i|$. Letting p_{ij} be the conditional probability x_{ij}/x_i for generating context word w_j given center word w_i , (15.5.2) can be

rewritten as

$$-\sum_{i\in\mathcal{V}} x_i \sum_{j\in\mathcal{V}} p_{ij} \log q_{ij}.$$
(15.5.3)

In (15.5.3), $-\sum_{j\in\mathcal{V}} p_{ij} \log q_{ij}$ calculates the cross-entropy of the conditional distribution p_{ij} of global corpus statistics and the conditional distribution q_{ij} of model predictions. This loss is also weighted by x_i as explained above. Minimizing the loss function in (15.5.3) will allow the predicted conditional distribution to get close to the conditional distribution from the global corpus statistics.

Though being commonly used for measuring the distance between probability distributions, the cross-entropy loss function may not be a good choice here. On the one hand, as we mentioned in Section 15.2, the cost of properly normalizing q_{ij} results in the sum over the entire vocabulary, which can be computationally expensive. On the other hand, a large number of rare events from a large corpus are often modeled by the cross-entropy loss to be assigned with too much weight.

15.5.2 The GloVe Model

In view of this, the *GloVe* model makes three changes to the skip-gram model based on squared loss (Pennington *et al.*, 2014):

- 1. Use variables $p'_{ij} = x_{ij}$ and $q'_{ij} = \exp(\mathbf{u}_j^{\top} \mathbf{v}_i)$ that are not probability distributions and take the logarithm of both, so the squared loss term is $\left(\log p'_{ij} \log q'_{ij}\right)^2 = \left(\mathbf{u}_j^{\top} \mathbf{v}_i \log x_{ij}\right)^2$.
- 2. Add two scalar model parameters for each word w_i : the center word bias b_i and the context word bias c_i .
- 3. Replace the weight of each loss term with the weight function $h(x_{ij})$, where h(x) is increasing in the interval of [0, 1].

Putting all things together, training GloVe is to minimize the following loss function:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} h(x_{ij}) \left(\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \boldsymbol{v}_i + b_i + c_j - \log x_{ij} \right)^2.$$
(15.5.4)

For the weight function, a suggested choice is: $h(x) = (x/c)^{\alpha}$ (e.g $\alpha = 0.75$) if x < c (e.g., c = 100); otherwise h(x) = 1. In this case, because h(0) = 0, the squared loss term for any $x_{ij} = 0$ can be omitted for computational efficiency. For example, when using minibatch stochastic gradient descent for training, at each iteration we randomly sample a minibatch of *non-zero* x_{ij} to calculate gradients and update the model parameters. Note that these non-zero x_{ij} are precomputed global corpus statistics; thus, the model is called GloVe for *Global Vectors*.

It should be emphasized that if word w_i appears in the context window of word w_j , then *vice versa*. Therefore, $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$. Unlike word2vec that fits the asymmetric conditional probability p_{ij} , GloVe fits the symmetric log x_{ij} . Therefore, the center word vector and the context word vector of any word are mathematically equivalent in the GloVe model. However in practice, owing to different initialization values, the same word may still get different values in these two vectors after training: GloVe sums them up as the output vector.

15.5.3 Interpreting GloVe from the Ratio of Co-occurrence Probabilities

We can also interpret the GloVe model from another perspective. Using the same notation in Section 15.5.1, let $p_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(w_j \mid w_i)$ be the conditional probability of generating the context word w_j given w_i as the center word in the corpus. Section 15.5.3 lists several co-occurrence

$w_k =$	solid	gas	water	fashion
$p_1 = P(w_k \mid \text{ice})$	0.00019	0.000066	0.003	0.000017
$p_2 = P(w_k \mid \text{steam})$	0.000022	0.00078	0.0022	0.000018
p_1/p_2	8.9	0.085	1.36	0.96

probabilities given words "ice" and "steam" and their ratios based on statistics from a large corpus.

Table: Word-word co-occurrence probabilities and their ratios from a large corpus (adapted from Table 1 in Pennington *et al.* (2014))

We can observe the following from Section 15.5.3:

- For a word w_k that is related to "ice" but unrelated to "steam", such as w_k = solid, we expect a larger ratio of co-occurence probabilities, such as 8.9.
- For a word w_k that is related to "steam" but unrelated to "ice", such as $w_k = \text{gas}$, we expect a smaller ratio of co-occurence probabilities, such as 0.085.
- For a word w_k that is related to both "ice" and "steam", such as w_k = water, we expect a ratio of co-occurence probabilities that is close to 1, such as 1.36.
- For a word w_k that is unrelated to both "ice" and "steam", such as w_k = fashion, we expect a ratio of co-occurence probabilities that is close to 1, such as 0.96.

It can be seen that the ratio of co-occurrence probabilities can intuitively express the relationship between words. Thus, we can design a function of three word vectors to fit this ratio. For the ratio of co-occurrence probabilities p_{ij}/p_{ik} with w_i being the center word and w_j and w_k being the context words, we want to fit this ratio using some function f:

$$f(\boldsymbol{u}_j, \boldsymbol{u}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_i) \approx \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{ik}}.$$
(15.5.5)

Among many possible designs for f, we only pick a reasonable choice in the following. Since the ratio of co-occurrence probabilities is a scalar, we require that f be a scalar function, such as $f(u_j, u_k, v_i) = f((u_j - u_k)^\top v_i)$. Switching word indices j and k in (15.5.5), it must hold that f(x)f(-x) = 1, so one possibility is $f(x) = \exp(x)$, i.e.,

$$f(\boldsymbol{u}_j, \boldsymbol{u}_k, \boldsymbol{v}_i) = \frac{\exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \boldsymbol{v}_i\right)}{\exp\left(\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_i\right)} \approx \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{ik}}.$$
(15.5.6)

Now let's pick $\exp(\mathbf{u}_j^{\top} \mathbf{v}_i) \approx \alpha p_{ij}$, where α is a constant. Since $p_{ij} = x_{ij}/x_i$, after taking the logarithm on both sides we get $\mathbf{u}_j^{\top} \mathbf{v}_i \approx \log \alpha + \log x_{ij} - \log x_i$. We may use additional bias terms to fit $-\log \alpha + \log x_i$, such as the center word bias b_i and the context word bias c_j :

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_{i} + b_{i} + c_{j} \approx \log x_{ij}. \tag{15.5.7}$$

Measuring the squared error of (15.5.7) with weights, the GloVe loss function in (15.5.4) is obtained.

15.5.4 Summary

- The skip-gram model can be interpreted using global corpus statistics such as word-word co-occurrence counts.
- The cross-entropy loss may not be a good choice for measuring the difference of two
 probability distributions, especially for a large corpus. GloVe uses squared loss to fit
 precomputed global corpus statistics.

- The center word vector and the context word vector are mathematically equivalent for any word in GloVe.
- GloVe can be interpreted from the ratio of word-word co-occurrence probabilities.

Exercises

- 1. If words w_i and w_j co-occur in the same context window, how can we use their distance in the text sequence to redesign the method for calculating the conditional probability p_{ij} ? Hint: see Section 4.2 of the GloVe paper (Pennington *et al.*, 2014).
- 2. For any word, are its center word bias and context word bias mathematically equivalent in GloVe? Why?

Discussions²²⁸



15.6 Subword Embedding

In English, words such as "helps", "helped", and "helping" are inflected forms of the same word "help". The relationship between "dog" and "dogs" is the same as that between "cat" and "cats", and the relationship between "boy" and "boyfriend" is the same as that between "girl" and "girlfriend". In other languages such as French and Spanish, many verbs have over 40 inflected forms, while in Finnish, a noun may have up to 15 cases. In linguistics, morphology studies word formation and word relationships. However, the internal structure of words was neither explored in word2vec nor in GloVe.

15.6.1 The fastText Model

Recall how words are represented in word2vec. In both the skip-gram model and the continuous bag-of-words model, different inflected forms of the same word are directly represented by different vectors without shared parameters. To use morphological information, the *fast-Text* model proposed a *subword embedding* approach, where a subword is a character *n*-gram (Bojanowski *et al.*, 2017). Instead of learning word-level vector representations, fastText can be considered as the subword-level skip-gram, where each *center word* is represented by the sum of its subword vectors.

Let's illustrate how to obtain subwords for each center word in fastText using the word "where". First, add special characters "<" and ">" at the beginning and end of the word to distinguish prefixes and suffixes from other subwords. Then, extract character *n*-grams from the word. For example, when n = 3, we obtain all subwords of length 3: "<wh", "whe", "her", "ere", "re>", and the special subword "<where>".

In fastText, for any word w, denote by \mathcal{G}_w the union of all its subwords of length between 3 and 6 and its special subword. The vocabulary is the union of the subwords of all words. Letting z_g be the vector of subword g in the dictionary, the vector v_w for word w as a center word in the skip-gram model is the sum of its subword vectors:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_w = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_w} \boldsymbol{z}_g. \tag{15.6.1}$$

The rest of fastText is the same as the skip-gram model. Compared with the skip-gram model, the vocabulary in fastText is larger, resulting in more model parameters. Besides, to calculate the representation of a word, all its subword vectors have to be summed, leading

to higher computational complexity. However, thanks to shared parameters from subwords among words with similar structures, rare words and even out-of-vocabulary words may obtain better vector representations in fastText.

15.6.2 Byte Pair Encoding

In fastText, all the extracted subwords have to be of the specified lengths, such as 3 to 6, thus the vocabulary size cannot be predefined. To allow for variable-length subwords in a fixed-size vocabulary, we can apply a compression algorithm called *byte pair encoding* (BPE) to extract subwords (Sennrich *et al.*, 2015).

Byte pair encoding performs a statistical analysis of the training dataset to discover common symbols within a word, such as consecutive characters of arbitrary length. Starting from symbols of length 1, byte pair encoding iteratively merges the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols to produce new longer symbols. Note that for efficiency, pairs crossing word boundaries are not considered. In the end, we can use such symbols as subwords to segment words. Byte pair encoding and its variants has been used for input representations in popular natural language processing pretraining models such as GPT-2 (Radford *et al.*, 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu *et al.*, 2019). In the following, we will illustrate how byte pair encoding works.

First, we initialize the vocabulary of symbols as all the English lowercase characters, a special end-of-word symbol '_', and a special unknown symbol '[UNK]'.

Since we do not consider symbol pairs that cross boundaries of words, we only need a dictionary raw_token_freqs that maps words to their frequencies (number of occurrences) in a dataset. Note that the special symbol '_' is appended to each word so that we can easily recover a word sequence (e.g., "a taller man") from a sequence of output symbols (e.g., "a_tall er_ man"). Since we start the merging process from a vocabulary of only single characters and special symbols, space is inserted between every pair of consecutive characters within each word (keys of the dictionary token_freqs). In other words, space is the delimiter between symbols within a word.

```
raw_token_freqs = {'fast_': 4, 'faster_': 3, 'tall_': 5, 'taller_': 4}
token_freqs = {}
for token, freq in raw_token_freqs.items():
        token_freqs[' '.join(list(token))] = raw_token_freqs[token]
token_freqs
```

{'fast_': 4, 'faster_': 3, 'tall_': 5, 'taller_': 4}

We define the following get_max_freq_pair function that returns the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols within a word, where words come from keys of the input dictionary token_freqs.

As a greedy approach based on frequency of consecutive symbols, byte pair encoding will use the following merge_symbols function to merge the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols to produce new symbols.

Now we iteratively perform the byte pair encoding algorithm over the keys of the dictionary token_freqs. In the first iteration, the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols are 't' and 'a', thus byte pair encoding merges them to produce a new symbol 'ta'. In the second iteration, byte pair encoding continues to merge 'ta' and 'l' to result in another new symbol 'tal'.

```
num_merges = 10
for i in range(num_merges):
    max_freq_pair = get_max_freq_pair(token_freqs)
    token_freqs = merge_symbols(max_freq_pair, token_freqs, symbols)
    print(f'merge #{i + 1}:', max_freq_pair)
```

```
merge #1: ('t', 'a')
merge #2: ('ta', 'l')
merge #3: ('tal', 'l')
merge #4: ('f', 'a')
merge #5: ('fa', 's')
merge #6: ('fas', 't')
merge #7: ('e', 'r')
merge #8: ('er', '_')
merge #9: ('tall', '_')
merge #10: ('fast', '_')
```

After 10 iterations of byte pair encoding, we can see that list symbols now contains 10 more symbols that are iteratively merged from other symbols.

print(symbols)

```
['a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', 'g', 'h', 'i', 'j', 'k', 'l', 'm', 'n', 'o', 'p

↔', 'q', 'r', 's', 't', 'u', 'v', 'w', 'x', 'y', 'z', '_', '[UNK]', 'ta', 'tal

↔', 'tall', 'fa', 'fas', 'fast', 'er', 'er_', 'tall_', 'fast_']
```

For the same dataset specified in the keys of the dictionary raw_token_freqs, each word in the dataset is now segmented by subwords "fast_", "fast", "er_", "tall_", and "tall" as a result of the byte pair encoding algorithm. For instance, words "faster_" and "taller_" are segmented as "fast er_" and "tall er_", respectively.

```
print(list(token_freqs.keys()))
```

```
['fast_', 'fast er_', 'tall_', 'tall er_']
```

Note that the result of byte pair encoding depends on the dataset being used. We can also use the subwords learned from one dataset to segment words of another dataset. As a greedy approach, the following segment_BPE function tries to break words into the longest possible subwords from the input argument symbols.

```
def segment_BPE(tokens, symbols):
   outputs = []
    for token in tokens:
        start, end = 0, len(token)
        cur_output = []
        # Segment token with the longest possible subwords from symbols
        while start < len(token) and start < end:</pre>
            if token[start: end] in symbols:
                cur_output.append(token[start: end])
                start = end
                end = len(token)
            else:
                end -= 1
        if start < len(token):</pre>
            cur_output.append('[UNK]')
       outputs.append(' '.join(cur_output))
    return outputs
```

In the following, we use the subwords in list symbols, which is learned from the aforementioned dataset, to segment tokens that represent another dataset.

```
tokens = ['tallest_', 'fatter_']
print(segment_BPE(tokens, symbols))
```

['tall e s t _', 'fa t t er_']

15.6.3 Summary

- The fastText model proposes a subword embedding approach. Based on the skip-gram model in word2vec, it represents a center word as the sum of its subword vectors.
- Byte pair encoding performs a statistical analysis of the training dataset to discover common symbols within a word. As a greedy approach, byte pair encoding iteratively merges the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols.
- Subword embedding may improve the quality of representations of rare words and out-ofdictionary words.

Exercises

- 1. As an example, there are about 3×10^8 possible 6-grams in English. What is the issue when there are too many subwords? How to address the issue? Hint: refer to the end of Section 3.2 of the fastText paper (Bojanowski *et al.*, 2017).
- 2. How to design a subword embedding model based on the continuous bag-of-words model?
- 3. To get a vocabulary of size *m*, how many merging operations are needed when the initial symbol vocabulary size is *n*?



4. How to extend the idea of byte pair encoding to extract phrases?

Discussions²²⁹

15.7 Word Similarity and Analogy

In Section 15.4, we trained a word2vec model on a small dataset, and applied it to find semantically similar words for an input word. In practice, word vectors that are pretrained on large corpora can be applied to downstream natural language processing tasks, which will be covered later in Chapter 16. To demonstrate semantics of pretrained word vectors from large corpora in a straightforward way, let's apply them in the word similarity and analogy tasks.

import os
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l

npx.set_np()

15.7.1 Loading Pretrained Word Vectors

Below lists pretrained GloVe embeddings of dimension 50, 100, and 300, which can be downloaded from the GloVe website²³⁰. The pretrained fastText embeddings are available in multiple languages. Here we consider one English version (300-dimensional "wiki.en") that can be downloaded from the fastText website²³¹.



230

To load these pretrained GloVe and fastText embeddings, we define the following TokenEmbedding class.

```
#@save
class TokenEmbedding:
    """Token Embedding."""
    def __init__(self, embedding_name):
        self.idx_to_token, self.idx_to_vec = self._load_embedding(
           embedding_name)
        self.unknown_idx = 0
        self.token_to_idx = {token: idx for idx, token in
                             enumerate(self.idx_to_token)}
    def _load_embedding(self, embedding_name):
        idx_to_token, idx_to_vec = ['<unk>'], []
        data_dir = d2l.download_extract(embedding_name)
        # GloVe website: https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
        # fastText website: https://fasttext.cc/
        with open(os.path.join(data_dir, 'vec.txt'), 'r') as f:
            for line in f:
```

(continued from previous page)

Below we load the 50-dimensional GloVe embeddings (pretrained on a Wikipedia subset). When creating the TokenEmbedding instance, the specified embedding file has to be down-loaded if it was not yet.

glove_6b50d = TokenEmbedding('glove.6b.50d')

```
Downloading ../data/glove.6B.50d.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.

→amazonaws.com/glove.6B.50d.zip...
```

Output the vocabulary size. The vocabulary contains 400000 words (tokens) and a special unknown token.

len(glove_6b50d)

400001

We can get the index of a word in the vocabulary, and vice versa.

glove_6b50d.token_to_idx['beautiful'], glove_6b50d.idx_to_token[3367]

(3367, 'beautiful')

15.7.2 Applying Pretrained Word Vectors

Using the loaded GloVe vectors, we will demonstrate their semantics by applying them in the following word similarity and analogy tasks.

Word Similarity

Similar to Section 15.4.3, in order to find semantically similar words for an input word based on cosine similarities between word vectors, we implement the following knn (k-nearest neighbors) function.

```
def knn(W, x, k):
    # Add 1e-9 for numerical stability
    cos = np.dot(W, x.reshape(-1,)) / (
        np.sqrt(np.sum(W * W, axis=1) + 1e-9) * np.sqrt((x * x).sum()))
    topk = npx.topk(cos, k=k, ret_typ='indices')
    return topk, [cos[int(i)] for i in topk]
```

Then, we search for similar words using the pretrained word vectors from the TokenEmbedding instance embed.

```
def get_similar_tokens(query_token, k, embed):
    topk, cos = knn(embed.idx_to_vec, embed[[query_token]], k + 1)
    for i, c in zip(topk[1:], cos[1:]): # Exclude the input word
        print(f'cosine sim={float(c):.3f}: {embed.idx_to_token[int(i)]}')
```

The vocabulary of the pretrained word vectors in glove_6b50d contains 400000 words and a special unknown token. Excluding the input word and unknown token, among this vocabulary let's find three most semantically similar words to word "chip".

```
get_similar_tokens('chip', 3, glove_6b50d)
```

cosine sim=0.856: chips cosine sim=0.749: intel cosine sim=0.749: electronics

Below outputs similar words to "baby" and "beautiful".

get_similar_tokens('baby', 3, glove_6b50d)

```
cosine sim=0.839: babies
cosine sim=0.800: boy
cosine sim=0.792: girl
```

get_similar_tokens('beautiful', 3, glove_6b50d)

cosine sim=0.921: lovely cosine sim=0.893: gorgeous cosine sim=0.830: wonderful

Word Analogy

Besides finding similar words, we can also apply word vectors to word analogy tasks. For example, "man": "woman": "son": "daughter" is the form of a word analogy: "man" is to "woman" as "son" is to "daughter". Specifically, the word analogy completion task can be defined as: for a word analogy a : b :: c : d, given the first three words a, b and c, find d. Denote the vector of word w by vec(w). To complete the analogy, we will find the word whose vector is most similar to the result of vec(c) + vec(b) - vec(a).

```
def get_analogy(token_a, token_b, token_c, embed):
    vecs = embed[[token_a, token_b, token_c]]
    x = vecs[1] - vecs[0] + vecs[2]
    topk, cos = knn(embed.idx_to_vec, x, 1)
    return embed.idx_to_token[int(topk[0])] # Remove unknown words
```

Let's verify the "male-female" analogy using the loaded word vectors.

get_analogy('man', 'woman', 'son', glove_6b50d)

'daughter'

Below completes a "capital-country" analogy: "beijing": "china":: "tokyo": "japan". This demonstrates semantics in the pretrained word vectors.

get_analogy('beijing', 'china', 'tokyo', glove_6b50d)

'japan'

For the "adjective-superlative adjective" analogy such as "bad":"worst"::"big":"biggest", we can see that the pretrained word vectors may capture the syntactic information.

get_analogy('bad', 'worst', 'big', glove_6b50d)

'biggest'

To show the captured notion of past tense in the pretrained word vectors, we can test the syntax using the "present tense-past tense" analogy: "do": "did":: "go": "went".

get_analogy('do', 'did', 'go', glove_6b50d)

'went'

15.7.3 Summary

- In practice, word vectors that are pretrained on large corpora can be applied to downstream natural language processing tasks.
- Pretrained word vectors can be applied to the word similarity and analogy tasks.

Exercises

- 1. Test the fastText results using TokenEmbedding('wiki.en').
- 2. When the vocabulary is extremely large, how can we find similar words or complete a word analogy faster?

Discussions²³²



15.8 Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT)

We have introduced several word embedding models for natural language understanding. After pretraining, the output can be thought of as a matrix where each row is a vector that represents a word of a predefined vocabulary. In fact, these word embedding models are all *context-independent*. Let's begin by illustrating this property.
15.8.1 From Context-Independent to Context-Sensitive

Recall the experiments in Section 15.4 and Section 15.7. For instance, word2vec and GloVe both assign the same pretrained vector to the same word regardless of the context of the word (if any). Formally, a context-independent representation of any token x is a function f(x) that only takes x as its input. Given the abundance of polysemy and complex semantics in natural languages, context-independent representations have obvious limitations. For instance, the word "crane" in contexts "a crane is flying" and "a crane driver came" has completely different meanings; thus, the same word may be assigned different representations depending on contexts.

This motivates the development of *context-sensitive* word representations, where representations of words depend on their contexts. Hence, a context-sensitive representation of token x is a function f(x, c(x)) depending on both x and its context c(x). Popular context-sensitive representations include TagLM (language-model-augmented sequence tagger) (Peters *et al.*, 2017), CoVe (Context Vectors) (McCann *et al.*, 2017), and ELMo (Embeddings from Language Models) (Peters *et al.*, 2018).

For example, by taking the entire sequence as input, ELMo is a function that assigns a representation to each word from the input sequence. Specifically, ELMo combines all the intermediate layer representations from pretrained bidirectional LSTM as the output representation. Then the ELMo representation will be added to a downstream task's existing supervised model as additional features, such as by concatenating ELMo representation and the original representation (e.g., GloVe) of tokens in the existing model. On the one hand, all the weights in the pretrained bidirectional LSTM model are frozen after ELMo representations are added. On the other hand, the existing supervised model is specifically customized for a given task. Leveraging different best models for different tasks at that time, adding ELMo improved the state of the art across six natural language processing tasks: sentiment analysis, natural language inference, semantic role labeling, coreference resolution, named entity recognition, and question answering.

15.8.2 From Task-Specific to Task-Agnostic

Although ELMo has significantly improved solutions to a diverse set of natural language processing tasks, each solution still hinges on a *task-specific* architecture. However, it is practically non-trivial to craft a specific architecture for every natural language processing task. The GPT (Generative Pre-Training) model represents an effort in designing a general *task-agnostic* model for context-sensitive representations (Radford *et al.*, 2018). Built on a transformer decoder, GPT pretrains a language model that will be used to represent text sequences. When applying GPT to a downstream task, the output of the language model will be fed into an added linear output layer to predict the label of the task. In sharp contrast to ELMo that freezes parameters of the pretrained model, GPT fine-tunes *all* the parameters in the pretrained transformer decoder during supervised learning of the downstream task. GPT was evaluated on twelve tasks of natural language inference, question answering, sentence similarity, and classification, and improved the state of the art in nine of them with minimal changes to the model architecture.

However, due to the autoregressive nature of language models, GPT only looks forward (left-to-right). In contexts "i went to the bank to deposit cash" and "i went to the bank to sit down", as "bank" is sensitive to the context to its left, GPT will return the same representation for "bank", though it has different meanings.

15.8.3 BERT: Combining the Best of Both Worlds

As we have seen, ELMo encodes context bidirectionally but uses task-specific architectures; while GPT is task-agnostic but encodes context left-to-right. Combining the best of both worlds, BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) encodes context bidirectionally and requires minimal architecture changes for a wide range of natural language processing tasks (Devlin *et al.*, 2018). Using a pretrained transformer encoder, BERT is able to represent any token based on its bidirectional context. During supervised learning of downstream tasks, BERT is similar to GPT in two aspects. First, BERT representations will be fed into an added output layer, with minimal changes to the model architecture depending on nature of tasks, such as predicting for every token vs. predicting for the entire sequence. Second, all the parameters of the pretrained transformer encoder are fine-tuned, while the additional output layer will be trained from scratch. Fig.15.8.1 depicts the differences among ELMo, GPT, and BERT.



Figure 15.8.1 A comparison of ELMo, GPT, and BERT.

BERT further improved the state of the art on eleven natural language processing tasks under broad categories of (i) single text classification (e.g., sentiment analysis), (ii) text pair classification (e.g., natural language inference), (iii) question answering, (iv) text tagging (e.g., named entity recognition). All proposed in 2018, from context-sensitive ELMo to taskagnostic GPT and BERT, conceptually simple yet empirically powerful pretraining of deep representations for natural languages have revolutionized solutions to various natural language processing tasks.

In the rest of this chapter, we will dive into the pretraining of BERT. When natural language processing applications are explained in Chapter 16, we will illustrate fine-tuning of BERT for downstream applications.

```
from mxnet import gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

15.8.4 Input Representation

In natural language processing, some tasks (e.g., sentiment analysis) take single text as input, while in some other tasks (e.g., natural language inference), the input is a pair of text sequences. The BERT input sequence unambiguously represents both single text and text pairs. In the former, the BERT input sequence is the concatenation of the special classification token "<cls>", tokens of a text sequence, and the special separation token "<sep>". In the latter,

the BERT input sequence is the concatenation of "<cls>", tokens of the first text sequence, "<sep>", tokens of the second text sequence, and "<sep>". We will consistently distinguish the terminology "BERT input sequence" from other types of "sequences". For instance, one *BERT input sequence* may include either one *text sequence* or two *text sequences*.

To distinguish text pairs, the learned segment embeddings e_A and e_B are added to the token embeddings of the first sequence and the second sequence, respectively. For single text inputs, only e_A is used.

The following get_tokens_and_segments takes either one sentence or two sentences as input, then returns tokens of the BERT input sequence and their corresponding segment IDs.

```
#@save
def get_tokens_and_segments(tokens_a, tokens_b=None):
    """Get tokens of the BERT input sequence and their segment IDs."""
    tokens = ['<cls>'] + tokens_a + ['<sep>']
    # 0 and 1 are marking segment A and B, respectively
    segments = [0] * (len(tokens_a) + 2)
    if tokens_b is not None:
        tokens += tokens_b + ['<sep>']
        segments += [1] * (len(tokens_b) + 1)
    return tokens, segments
```

BERT chooses the transformer encoder as its bidirectional architecture. Common in the transformer encoder, positional embeddings are added at every position of the BERT input sequence. However, different from the original transformer encoder, BERT uses *learnable* positional embeddings. To sum up, Fig.15.8.2 shows that the embeddings of the BERT input sequence are the sum of the token embeddings, segment embeddings, and positional embeddings.



Figure 15.8.2

The embeddings of the BERT input sequence are the sum of the token embeddings, segment embeddings, and positional embeddings.

The following BERTEncoder class is similar to the TransformerEncoder class as implemented in Section 11.7. Different from TransformerEncoder, BERTEncoder uses segment embeddings and learnable positional embeddings.

Suppose that the vocabulary size is 10000. To demonstrate forward inference of BERTEncoder, let's create an instance of it and initialize its parameters.

We define tokens to be 2 BERT input sequences of length 8, where each token is an index of the vocabulary. The forward inference of BERTEncoder with the input tokens returns the encoded result where each token is represented by a vector whose length is predefined by the hyperparameter num_hiddens. This hyperparameter is usually referred to as the *hidden size* (number of hidden units) of the transformer encoder.

```
tokens = np.random.randint(0, vocab_size, (2, 8))
segments = np.array([[0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1], [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1]])
encoded_X = encoder(tokens, segments, None)
encoded_X.shape
```

(2, 8, 768)

15.8.5 Pretraining Tasks

The forward inference of BERTEncoder gives the BERT representation of each token of the input text and the inserted special tokens "<cls>" and "<seq>". Next, we will use these representations to compute the loss function for pretraining BERT. The pretraining is composed of the following two tasks: masked language modeling and next sentence prediction.

Masked Language Modeling

As illustrated in Section 9.3, a language model predicts a token using the context on its left. To encode context bidirectionally for representing each token, BERT randomly masks tokens and uses tokens from the bidirectional context to predict the masked tokens in a self-supervised fashion. This task is referred to as a *masked language model*.

In this pretraining task, 15% of tokens will be selected at random as the masked tokens for prediction. To predict a masked token without cheating by using the label, one straightforward approach is to always replace it with a special "<mask>" token in the BERT input sequence. However, the artificial special token "<mask>" will never appear in fine-tuning. To avoid such a mismatch between pretraining and fine-tuning, if a token is masked for prediction

(e.g., "great" is selected to be masked and predicted in "this movie is great"), in the input it will be replaced with:

- a special "<mask>" token for 80% of the time (e.g., "this movie is great" becomes "this movie is <mask>");
- a random token for 10% of the time (e.g., "this movie is great" becomes "this movie is drink");
- the unchanged label token for 10% of the time (e.g., "this movie is great" becomes "this movie is great").

Note that for 10% of 15% time a random token is inserted. This occasional noise encourages BERT to be less biased towards the masked token (especially when the label token remains unchanged) in its bidirectional context encoding.

We implement the following MaskLM class to predict masked tokens in the masked language model task of BERT pretraining. The prediction uses a one-hidden-layer MLP (self.mlp). In forward inference, it takes two inputs: the encoded result of BERTEncoder and the token positions for prediction. The output is the prediction results at these positions.

```
#@save
class MaskLM(nn.Block):
     ""The masked language model task of BERT."""
    def __init__(self, vocab_size, num_hiddens, **kwargs):
       super(MaskLM, self).__init__(**kwargs)
       self.mlp = nn.Sequential()
        self.mlp.add(
            nn.Dense(num_hiddens, flatten=False, activation='relu'))
        self.mlp.add(nn.LayerNorm())
       self.mlp.add(nn.Dense(vocab_size, flatten=False))
    def forward(self, X, pred_positions):
       num_pred_positions = pred_positions.shape[1]
       pred_positions = pred_positions.reshape(-1)
       batch_size = X.shape[0]
       batch_idx = np.arange(0, batch_size)
       # Suppose that `batch_size` = 2, `num_pred_positions` = 3, then
       # `batch_idx` is `np.array([0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1])`
       batch_idx = np.repeat(batch_idx, num_pred_positions)
       masked_X = X[batch_idx, pred_positions]
       masked_X = masked_X.reshape((batch_size, num_pred_positions, -1))
       mlm_Y_hat = self.mlp(masked_X)
        return mlm_Y_hat
```

To demonstrate the forward inference of MaskLM, we create its instance mlm and initialize it. Recall that encoded_X from the forward inference of BERTEncoder represents 2 BERT input sequences. We define mlm_positions as the 3 indices to predict in either BERT input sequence of encoded_X. The forward inference of mlm returns prediction results mlm_Y_hat at all the masked positions mlm_positions of encoded_X. For each prediction, the size of the result is equal to the vocabulary size.

```
mlm = MaskLM(vocab_size, num_hiddens)
mlm.initialize()
mlm_positions = np.array([[1, 5, 2], [6, 1, 5]])
mlm_Y_hat = mlm(encoded_X, mlm_positions)
mlm_Y_hat.shape
```

```
(2, 3, 10000)
```

With the ground truth labels mlm_Y of the predicted tokens mlm_Y _hat under masks, we can calculate the cross-entropy loss of the masked language model task in BERT pretraining.

```
mlm_Y = np.array([[7, 8, 9], [10, 20, 30]])
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
mlm_1 = loss(mlm_Y_hat.reshape((-1, vocab_size)), mlm_Y.reshape(-1))
mlm_1.shape
```

(6,)

Next Sentence Prediction

Although masked language modeling is able to encode bidirectional context for representing words, it does not explicitly model the logical relationship between text pairs. To help understand the relationship between two text sequences, BERT considers a binary classification task, *next sentence prediction*, in its pretraining. When generating sentence pairs for pretraining, for half of the time they are indeed consecutive sentences with the label "True"; while for the other half of the time the second sentence is randomly sampled from the corpus with the label "False".

The following NextSentencePred class uses a one-hidden-layer MLP to predict whether the second sentence is the next sentence of the first in the BERT input sequence. Due to self-attention in the transformer encoder, the BERT representation of the special token "<cls>" encodes both the two sentences from the input. Hence, the output layer (self.output) of the MLP classifier takes X as input, where X is the output of the MLP hidden layer whose input is the encoded "<cls>" token.

```
#@save
class NextSentencePred(nn.Block):
    """The next sentence prediction task of BERT."""
    def __init__(self, **kwargs):
        super(NextSentencePred, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.output = nn.Dense(2)
    def forward(self, X):
        # `X` shape: (batch size, `num_hiddens`)
        return self.output(X)
```

We can see that the forward inference of an NextSentencePred instance returns binary predictions for each BERT input sequence.

```
nsp = NextSentencePred()
nsp.initialize()
nsp_Y_hat = nsp(encoded_X)
nsp_Y_hat.shape
```

(2, 2)

The cross-entropy loss of the 2 binary classifications can also be computed.

```
nsp_y = np.array([0, 1])
nsp_1 = loss(nsp_Y_hat, nsp_y)
nsp_1.shape
```

(2,)

It is noteworthy that all the labels in both the aforementioned pretraining tasks can be trivially obtained from the pretraining corpus without manual labeling effort. The original BERT has been pretrained on the concatenation of BookCorpus (Zhu *et al.*, 2015) and English Wikipedia. These two text corpora are huge: they have 800 million words and 2.5 billion words, respectively.

15.8.6 Putting It All Together

When pretraining BERT, the final loss function is a linear combination of both the loss functions for masked language modeling and next sentence prediction. Now we can define the BERTModel class by instantiating the three classes BERTEncoder, MaskLM, and NextSentencePred. The forward inference returns the encoded BERT representations encoded_X, predictions of masked language modeling mlm_Y_hat, and next sentence predictions nsp_Y_hat.

```
#@save
class BERTModel(nn.Block):
    """The BERT model.
   def __init__(self, vocab_size, num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens, num_heads,
                 num_blks, dropout, max_len=1000):
        super(BERTModel, self).__init__()
        self.encoder = BERTEncoder(vocab_size, num_hiddens, ffn_num_hiddens,
                                   num_heads, num_blks, dropout, max_len)
        self.hidden = nn.Dense(num_hiddens, activation='tanh')
        self.mlm = MaskLM(vocab_size, num_hiddens)
        self.nsp = NextSentencePred()
    def forward(self, tokens, segments, valid_lens=None, pred_positions=None):
        encoded_X = self.encoder(tokens, segments, valid_lens)
        if pred_positions is not None:
            mlm_Y_hat = self.mlm(encoded_X, pred_positions)
        else:
            mlm_Y_hat = None
        # The hidden layer of the MLP classifier for next sentence prediction.
        # 0 is the index of the '<cls>' token
        nsp_Y_hat = self.nsp(self.hidden(encoded_X[:, 0, :]))
        return encoded_X, mlm_Y_hat, nsp_Y_hat
```

15.8.7 Summary

- Word embedding models such as word2vec and GloVe are context-independent. They assign the same pretrained vector to the same word regardless of the context of the word (if any). It is hard for them to handle well polysemy or complex semantics in natural languages.
- For context-sensitive word representations such as ELMo and GPT, representations of words depend on their contexts.
- ELMo encodes context bidirectionally but uses task-specific architectures (however, it is practically non-trivial to craft a specific architecture for every natural language processing task); while GPT is task-agnostic but encodes context left-to-right.
- BERT combines the best of both worlds: it encodes context bidirectionally and requires minimal architecture changes for a wide range of natural language processing tasks.
- The embeddings of the BERT input sequence are the sum of the token embeddings, segment embeddings, and positional embeddings.
- Pretraining BERT is composed of two tasks: masked language modeling and next sentence prediction. The former is able to encode bidirectional context for representing words, while the latter explicitly models the logical relationship between text pairs.

Exercises

- 1. All other things being equal, will a masked language model require more or fewer pretraining steps to converge than a left-to-right language model? Why?
- 2. In the original implementation of BERT, the positionwise feed-forward network in BERTEncoder (via d21.TransformerEncoderBlock) and the fully connected layer in MaskLM both use the Gaussian error linear unit (GELU) (Hendrycks and Gimpel, 2016) as the activation function. Research into the difference between GELU and ReLU.

Discussions²³³



15.9 The Dataset for Pretraining BERT

To pretrain the BERT model as implemented in Section 15.8, we need to generate the dataset in the ideal format to facilitate the two pretraining tasks: masked language modeling and next sentence prediction. On the one hand, the original BERT model is pretrained on the concatenation of two huge corpora BookCorpus and English Wikipedia (see Section 15.8.5), making it hard to run for most readers of this book. On the other hand, the off-the-shelf pretrained BERT model may not fit for applications from specific domains like medicine. Thus, it is getting popular to pretrain BERT on a customized dataset. To facilitate the demonstration of BERT pretraining, we use a smaller corpus WikiText-2 (Merity *et al.*, 2016).

Comparing with the PTB dataset used for pretraining word2vec in Section 15.3, WikiText-2 (i) retains the original punctuation, making it suitable for next sentence prediction; (ii) retains the original case and numbers; (iii) is over twice larger.

```
import os
import random
from mxnet import gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

In the WikiText-2 dataset, each line represents a paragraph where space is inserted between any punctuation and its preceding token. Paragraphs with at least two sentences are retained. To split sentences, we only use the period as the delimiter for simplicity. We leave discussions of more complex sentence splitting techniques in the exercises at the end of this section.

15.9.1 Defining Helper Functions for Pretraining Tasks

In the following, we begin by implementing helper functions for the two BERT pretraining tasks: next sentence prediction and masked language modeling. These helper functions will be invoked later when transforming the raw text corpus into the dataset of the ideal format to pretrain BERT.

Generating the Next Sentence Prediction Task

According to descriptions of Section 15.8.5, the _get_next_sentence function generates a training example for the binary classification task.

The following function generates training examples for next sentence prediction from the input paragraph by invoking the _get_next_sentence function. Here paragraph is a list of sentences, where each sentence is a list of tokens. The argument max_len specifies the maximum length of a BERT input sequence during pretraining.

```
#@save
def _get_nsp_data_from_paragraph(paragraph, paragraphs, vocab, max_len):
    nsp_data_from_paragraph = []
    for i in range(len(paragraph) - 1):
        tokens_a, tokens_b, is_next = _get_next_sentence(
            paragraph[i], paragraph[i + 1], paragraphs)
        # Consider 1 '<cls>' token and 2 '<sep>' tokens
        if len(tokens_a) + len(tokens_b) + 3 > max_len:
            continue
        tokens, segments = d2l.get_tokens_and_segments(tokens_a, tokens_b)
        nsp_data_from_paragraph.append((tokens, segments, is_next))
    return nsp_data_from_paragraph
```

Generating the Masked Language Modeling Task

In order to generate training examples for the masked language modeling task from a BERT input sequence, we define the following _replace_mlm_tokens function. In its inputs, to-kens is a list of tokens representing a BERT input sequence, candidate_pred_positions is a list of token indices of the BERT input sequence excluding those of special tokens (special tokens are not predicted in the masked language modeling task), and num_mlm_preds indicates the number of predictions (recall 15% random tokens to predict). Following the definition of the masked language modeling task in Section 15.8.5, at each prediction position, the input may be replaced by a special "<mask>" token or a random token, or remain unchanged. In the end, the function returns the input tokens after possible replacement, the token indices where predictions take place and labels for these predictions.

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
# For the input of a masked language model, make a new copy of tokens and
# replace some of them by '<mask>' or random tokens
mlm_input_tokens = [token for token in tokens]
pred_positions_and_labels = []
# Shuffle for getting 15% random tokens for prediction in the masked
# language modeling task
random.shuffle(candidate_pred_positions)
for mlm_pred_position in candidate_pred_positions:
    if len(pred_positions_and_labels) >= num_mlm_preds:
        break
   masked_token = None
    # 80% of the time: replace the word with the '<mask>' token
    if random.random() < 0.8:</pre>
        masked_token = '<mask>'
    else:
        # 10% of the time: keep the word unchanged
        if random.random() < 0.5:
            masked_token = tokens[mlm_pred_position]
        # 10% of the time: replace the word with a random word
        else:
            masked_token = random.choice(vocab.idx_to_token)
    mlm_input_tokens[mlm_pred_position] = masked_token
    pred_positions_and_labels.append(
        (mlm_pred_position, tokens[mlm_pred_position]))
return mlm_input_tokens, pred_positions_and_labels
```

By invoking the aforementioned _replace_mlm_tokens function, the following function takes a BERT input sequence (tokens) as an input and returns indices of the input tokens (after possible token replacement as described in Section 15.8.5), the token indices where predictions take place, and label indices for these predictions.

```
#@save
def _get_mlm_data_from_tokens(tokens, vocab):
   candidate_pred_positions = []
    # `tokens` is a list of strings
    for i, token in enumerate(tokens):
       # Special tokens are not predicted in the masked language modeling
       # task
       if token in ['<cls>', '<sep>']:
           continue
       candidate_pred_positions.append(i)
   # 15% of random tokens are predicted in the masked language modeling task
   num_mlm_preds = max(1, round(len(tokens) * 0.15))
   mlm_input_tokens, pred_positions_and_labels = _replace_mlm_tokens(
        tokens, candidate_pred_positions, num_mlm_preds, vocab)
   pred_positions_and_labels = sorted(pred_positions_and_labels,
                                       key=lambda x: x[0])
   pred_positions = [v[0] for v in pred_positions_and_labels]
   mlm_pred_labels = [v[1] for v in pred_positions_and_labels]
   return vocab[mlm_input_tokens], pred_positions, vocab[mlm_pred_labels]
```

15.9.2 Transforming Text into the Pretraining Dataset

Now we are almost ready to customize a Dataset class for pretraining BERT. Before that, we still need to define a helper function _pad_bert_inputs to append the special "<pad>" tokens to the inputs. Its argument examples contain the outputs from the helper functions _get_nsp_data_from_paragraph and _get_mlm_data_from_tokens for the two pretraining tasks.

#@save
def _pad_bert_inputs(examples, max_len, vocab):

```
max_num_mlm_preds = round(max_len * 0.15)
all_token_ids, all_segments, valid_lens, = [], [], []
all_pred_positions, all_mlm_weights, all_mlm_labels = [], [], []
nsp_labels = []
for (token_ids, pred_positions, mlm_pred_label_ids, segments,
     is_next) in examples:
    all_token_ids.append(np.array(token_ids + [vocab['<pad>']] * (
       max_len - len(token_ids)), dtype='int32'))
   all_segments.append(np.array(segments + [0] * (
       max_len - len(segments)), dtype='int32'))
    # `valid_lens` excludes count of '<pad>' tokens
    valid_lens.append(np.array(len(token_ids), dtype='float32'))
    all_pred_positions.append(np.array(pred_positions + [0] * (
       max_num_mlm_preds - len(pred_positions)), dtype='int32'))
    # Predictions of padded tokens will be filtered out in the loss via
    # multiplication of 0 weights
    all_mlm_weights.append(
        np.array([1.0] * len(mlm_pred_label_ids) + [0.0] * (
            max_num_mlm_preds - len(pred_positions)), dtype='float32'))
    all_mlm_labels.append(np.array(mlm_pred_label_ids + [0] * (
       max_num_mlm_preds - len(mlm_pred_label_ids)), dtype='int32'))
   nsp_labels.append(np.array(is_next))
return (all_token_ids, all_segments, valid_lens, all_pred_positions,
        all_mlm_weights, all_mlm_labels, nsp_labels)
```

Putting the helper functions for generating training examples of the two pretraining tasks, and the helper function for padding inputs together, we customize the following _Wiki-TextDataset class as the WikiText-2 dataset for pretraining BERT. By implementing the __getitem__function, we can arbitrarily access the pretraining (masked language modeling and next sentence prediction) examples generated from a pair of sentences from the WikiText-2 corpus.

The original BERT model uses WordPiece embeddings whose vocabulary size is 30000 (Wu *et al.*, 2016). The tokenization method of WordPiece is a slight modification of the original byte pair encoding algorithm in Section 15.6.2. For simplicity, we use the d21. tokenize function for tokenization. Infrequent tokens that appear less than five times are filtered out.

```
#@save
class _WikiTextDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    def __init__(self, paragraphs, max_len):
        # Input `paragraphs[i]` is a list of sentence strings representing a
        # paragraph; while output `paragraphs[i]` is a list of sentences
        # representing a paragraph, where each sentence is a list of tokens
        paragraphs = [d21.tokenize(
            paragraph, token='word') for paragraph in paragraphs]
        sentences = [sentence for paragraph in paragraphs
                     for sentence in paragraph]
        self.vocab = d2l.Vocab(sentences, min_freq=5, reserved_tokens=[
    '<pad>', '<mask>', '<cls>', '<sep>'])
        # Get data for the next sentence prediction task
        examples = []
        for paragraph in paragraphs:
            examples.extend(_get_nsp_data_from_paragraph(
                paragraph, paragraphs, self.vocab, max_len))
        # Get data for the masked language model task
        examples = [(_get_mlm_data_from_tokens(tokens, self.vocab)
                       + (segments, is_next))
                      for tokens, segments, is_next in examples]
        # Pad inputs
        (self.all_token_ids, self.all_segments, self.valid_lens,
         self.all_pred_positions, self.all_mlm_weights,
         self.all_mlm_labels, self.nsp_labels) = _pad_bert_inputs(
            examples, max_len, self.vocab)
```

(continues on next page)

```
def __getitem__(self, idx):
    return (self.all_token_ids[idx], self.all_segments[idx],
        self.valid_lens[idx], self.all_pred_positions[idx],
        self.all_mlm_weights[idx], self.all_mlm_labels[idx],
        self.nsp_labels[idx])
def __len__(self):
    return len(self.all_token_ids)
```

By using the _read_wiki function and the _WikiTextDataset class, we define the following load_data_wiki to download and WikiText-2 dataset and generate pretraining examples from it.

Setting the batch size to 512 and the maximum length of a BERT input sequence to be 64, we print out the shapes of a minibatch of BERT pretraining examples. Note that in each BERT input sequence, 10 (64×0.15) positions are predicted for the masked language modeling task.

```
Downloading ../data/wikitext-2-v1.zip from https://s3.amazonaws.com/research.

→metamind.io/wikitext/wikitext-2-v1.zip...

(512, 64) (512, 64) (512, 10) (512, 10) (512, 10) (512, 1)
```

In the end, let's take a look at the vocabulary size. Even after filtering out infrequent tokens, it is still over twice larger than that of the PTB dataset.

len(vocab)

20256

15.9.3 Summary

- Comparing with the PTB dataset, the WikiText-2 dateset retains the original punctuation, case and numbers, and is over twice larger.
- We can arbitrarily access the pretraining (masked language modeling and next sentence prediction) examples generated from a pair of sentences from the WikiText-2 corpus.

Exercises

- For simplicity, the period is used as the only delimiter for splitting sentences. Try other sentence splitting techniques, such as the spaCy and NLTK. Take NLTK as an example. You need to install NLTK first: pip install nltk. In the code, first import nltk. Then, download the Punkt sentence tokenizer: nltk.download('punkt'). To split sentences such as sentences = 'This is great ! Why not ?', invoking nltk.tokenize. sent_tokenize(sentences) will return a list of two sentence strings: ['This is great !', 'Why not ?'].
- 2. What is the vocabulary size if we do not filter out any infrequent token?

Discussions²³⁴



15.10 Pretraining BERT

With the BERT model implemented in Section 15.8 and the pretraining examples generated from the WikiText-2 dataset in Section 15.9, we will pretrain BERT on the WikiText-2 dataset in this section.

```
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

To start, we load the WikiText-2 dataset as minibatches of pretraining examples for masked language modeling and next sentence prediction. The batch size is 512 and the maximum length of a BERT input sequence is 64. Note that in the original BERT model, the maximum length is 512.

```
batch_size, max_len = 512, 64
train_iter, vocab = d21.load_data_wiki(batch_size, max_len)
```

15.10.1 Pretraining BERT

The original BERT has two versions of different model sizes (Devlin *et al.*, 2018). The base model (BERT_{BASE}) uses 12 layers (transformer encoder blocks) with 768 hidden units (hidden size) and 12 self-attention heads. The large model (BERT_{LARGE}) uses 24 layers with 1024 hidden units and 16 self-attention heads. Notably, the former has 110 million parameters while the latter has 340 million parameters. For demonstration with ease, we define a small BERT, using 2 layers, 128 hidden units, and 2 self-attention heads.

Before defining the training loop, we define a helper function _get_batch_loss_bert. Given the shard of training examples, this function computes the loss for both the masked language modeling and next sentence prediction tasks. Note that the final loss of BERT pretraining is just the sum of both the masked language modeling loss and the next sentence prediction loss.

```
#@save
def _get_batch_loss_bert(net, loss, vocab_size, tokens_X_shards,
                         segments_X_shards, valid_lens_x_shards,
                         pred_positions_X_shards, mlm_weights_X_shards,
                         mlm_Y_shards, nsp_y_shards):
   mlm_ls, nsp_ls, ls = [], [], []
    for (tokens_X_shard, segments_X_shard, valid_lens_x_shard,
         pred_positions_X_shard, mlm_weights_X_shard, mlm_Y_shard,
         nsp_y_shard) in zip(
        tokens_X_shards, segments_X_shards, valid_lens_x_shards,
        pred_positions_X_shards, mlm_weights_X_shards, mlm_Y_shards,
        nsp_y_shards):
        # Forward pass
        _, mlm_Y_hat, nsp_Y_hat = net(
            tokens_X_shard, segments_X_shard, valid_lens_x_shard.reshape(-1),
            pred_positions_X_shard)
        # Compute masked language model loss
       mlm_1 = loss(
           mlm_Y_hat.reshape((-1, vocab_size)), mlm_Y_shard.reshape(-1),
            mlm_weights_X_shard.reshape((-1, 1)))
       mlm_l = mlm_l.sum() / (mlm_weights_X_shard.sum() + 1e-8)
        # Compute next sentence prediction loss
       nsp_1 = loss(nsp_Y_hat, nsp_y_shard)
       nsp_l = nsp_l.mean()
       mlm_ls.append(mlm_l)
        nsp_ls.append(nsp_l)
       ls.append(mlm_l + nsp_l)
       npx.waitall()
    return mlm_ls, nsp_ls, ls
```

Invoking the two aforementioned helper functions, the following train_bert function defines the procedure to pretrain BERT (net) on the WikiText-2 (train_iter) dataset. Training BERT can take very long. Instead of specifying the number of epochs for training as in the train_ch13 function (see Section 14.1), the input num_steps of the following function specifies the number of iteration steps for training.

```
def train_bert(train_iter, net, loss, vocab_size, devices, num_steps):
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'adam',
                            {'learning_rate': 0.01})
   step, timer = 0, d21.Timer()
   animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='step', ylabel='loss'
                            xlim=[1, num_steps], legend=['mlm', 'nsp'])
    # Sum of masked language modeling losses, sum of next sentence prediction
   # losses, no. of sentence pairs, count
   metric = d2l.Accumulator(4)
   num_steps_reached = False
   while step < num_steps and not num_steps_reached:</pre>
        for batch in train_iter:
            (tokens_X_shards, segments_X_shards, valid_lens_x_shards,
             pred_positions_X_shards, mlm_weights_X_shards,
             mlm_Y_shards, nsp_y_shards) = [gluon.utils.split_and_load(
                elem, devices, even_split=False) for elem in batch]
            timer.start()
            with autograd.record():
                mlm_ls, nsp_ls, ls = _get_batch_loss_bert(
                    net, loss, vocab_size, tokens_X_shards, segments_X_shards,
                    valid_lens_x_shards, pred_positions_X_shards,
                    mlm_weights_X_shards, mlm_Y_shards, nsp_y_shards)
            for 1 in 1s:
                1.backward()
            trainer.step(1)
            mlm_l_mean = sum([float(1) for 1 in mlm_ls]) / len(mlm_ls)
            nsp_l_mean = sum([float(l) for l in nsp_ls]) / len(nsp_ls)
            metric.add(mlm_l_mean, nsp_l_mean, batch[0].shape[0], 1)
            timer.stop()
            animator.add(step + 1,
```

(continues on next page)

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
(metric[0] / metric[3], metric[1] / metric[3]))
step += 1
if step == num_steps:
    num_steps_reached = True
    break
print(f'MLM loss {metric[0] / metric[3]:.3f}, '
    f'NSP loss {metric[1] / metric[3]:.3f}')
print(f'{metric[2] / timer.sum():.1f} sentence pairs/sec on '
    f'{str(devices)}')
```

We can plot both the masked language modeling loss and the next sentence prediction loss during BERT pretraining.

```
train_bert(train_iter, net, loss, len(vocab), devices, 50)
```

```
MLM loss 7.334, NSP loss 0.831
7321.7 sentence pairs/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```





After pretraining BERT, we can use it to represent single text, text pairs, or any token in them. The following function returns the BERT (net) representations for all tokens in tokens_a and tokens_b.

Consider the sentence "a crane is flying". Recall the input representation of BERT as discussed in Section 15.8.4. After inserting special tokens "<cls>" (used for classification) and "<sep>" (used for separation), the BERT input sequence has a length of six. Since zero is the index of the "<cls>" token, encoded_text[:, 0, :] is the BERT representation of the entire input sentence. To evaluate the polysemy token "crane", we also print out the first three elements of the BERT representation of the token.

```
tokens_a = ['a', 'crane', 'is', 'flying']
encoded_text = get_bert_encoding(net, tokens_a)
```

```
# Tokens: '<cls>', 'a', 'crane', 'is', 'flying', '<sep>'
encoded_text_cls = encoded_text[:, 0, :]
encoded_text_crane = encoded_text[:, 2, :]
encoded_text.shape, encoded_text_cls.shape, encoded_text_crane[0][:3]
```

```
((1, 6, 128),
 (1, 128),
 array([ 1.0648314, 1.4775515, -1.3542705], ctx=gpu(0)))
```

Now consider a sentence pair "a crane driver came" and "he just left". Similarly, encoded_pair[:, 0, :] is the encoded result of the entire sentence pair from the pretrained BERT. Note that the first three elements of the polysemy token "crane" are different from those when the context is different. This supports that BERT representations are context-sensitive.

```
tokens_a, tokens_b = ['a', 'crane', 'driver', 'came'], ['he', 'just', 'left']
encoded_pair = get_bert_encoding(net, tokens_a, tokens_b)
# Tokens: '<cls>', 'a', 'crane', 'driver', 'came', '<sep>', 'he', 'just',
# 'left', '<sep>'
encoded_pair_cls = encoded_pair[:, 0, :]
encoded_pair_crane = encoded_pair[:, 2, :]
encoded_pair_shape, encoded_pair_cls.shape, encoded_pair_crane[0][:3]
```

```
((1, 10, 128),
 (1, 128),
array([ 1.0649579, 1.4775581, -1.3542051], ctx=gpu(0)))
```

In Chapter 16, we will fine-tune a pretrained BERT model for downstream natural language processing applications.

15.10.3 Summary

- The original BERT has two versions, where the base model has 110 million parameters and the large model has 340 million parameters.
- After pretraining BERT, we can use it to represent single text, text pairs, or any token in them.
- In the experiment, the same token has different BERT representation when their contexts are different. This supports that BERT representations are context-sensitive.

Exercises

- 1. In the experiment, we can see that the masked language modeling loss is significantly higher than the next sentence prediction loss. Why?
- 2. Set the maximum length of a BERT input sequence to be 512 (same as the original BERT model). Use the configurations of the original BERT model such as BERT_{LARGE}. Do you encounter any error when running this section? Why?

Discussions²³⁵



Natural Language Processing: Applications

We have seen how to represent tokens in text sequences and train their representations in Chapter 15. Such pretrained text representations can be fed to various models for different downstream natural language processing tasks.

In fact, earlier chapters have already discussed some natural language processing applications *without pretraining*, just for explaining deep learning architectures. For instance, in Chapter 9, we have relied on RNNs to design language models to generate novella-like text. In Chapter 10 and Chapter 11, we have also designed models based on RNNs and attention mechanisms for machine translation.

However, this book does not intend to cover all such applications in a comprehensive manner. Instead, our focus is on *how to apply (deep) representation learning of languages to addressing natural language processing problems*. Given pretrained text representations, this chapter will explore two popular and representative downstream natural language processing tasks: sentiment analysis and natural language inference, which analyze single text and relationships of text pairs, respectively.



Figure 16.1

Pretrained text representations can be fed to various deep learning architectures for different downstream natural language processing applications. This chapter focuses on how to design models for different downstream natural language processing applications.

As depicted in Fig.16.1, this chapter focuses on describing the basic ideas of designing natural language processing models using different types of deep learning architectures, such as MLPs, CNNs, RNNs, and attention. Though it is possible to combine any pretrained text representations with any architecture for either application in Fig.16.1, we select a few representative combinations. Specifically, we will explore popular architectures based on RNNs and CNNs for sentiment analysis. For natural language inference, we choose attention and MLPs to demonstrate how to analyze text pairs. In the end, we introduce how to fine-tune a pretrained BERT model for a wide range of natural language processing applications, such as on a sequence level (single text classification and text pair classification) and a token level (text tagging and question answering). As a concrete empirical case, we will fine-tune BERT for natural language inference.

As we have introduced in Section 15.8, BERT requires minimal architecture changes for a wide range of natural language processing applications. However, this benefit comes at the cost of fine-tuning a huge number of BERT parameters for the downstream applications. When space or time is limited, those crafted models based on MLPs, CNNs, RNNs, and

attention are more feasible. In the following, we start by the sentiment analysis application and illustrate the model design based on RNNs and CNNs, respectively.

16.1 Sentiment Analysis and the Dataset

With the proliferation of online social media and review platforms, a plethora of opinionated data has been logged, bearing great potential for supporting decision making processes. *Sentiment analysis* studies people's sentiments in their produced text, such as product reviews, blog comments, and forum discussions. It enjoys wide applications to fields as diverse as politics (e.g., analysis of public sentiments towards policies), finance (e.g., analysis of sentiments of the market), and marketing (e.g., product research and brand management).

Since sentiments can be categorized as discrete polarities or scales (e.g., positive and negative), we can consider sentiment analysis as a text classification task, which transforms a varying-length text sequence into a fixed-length text category. In this chapter, we will use Stanford's large movie review dataset²³⁶ for sentiment analysis. It consists of a training set and a testing set, either containing 25000 movie reviews downloaded from IMDb. In both datasets, there are equal number of "positive" and "negative" labels, indicating different sentiment polarities.

236 • • • • • • • • • • •

import os
from mxnet import np, npx
from d21 import mxnet as d21

npx.set_np()

16.1.1 Reading the Dataset

First, download and extract this IMDb review dataset in the path .../data/aclImdb.

Downloading ../data/aclImdb_v1.tar.gz from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate. →amazonaws.com/aclImdb_v1.tar.gz...

Next, read the training and test datasets. Each example is a review and its label: 1 for "positive" and 0 for "negative".

666

(continues on next page)

```
labels.append(1 if label == 'pos' else 0)
return data, labels
train_data = read_imdb(data_dir, is_train=True)
print('# trainings:', len(train_data[0]))
for x, y in zip(train_data[0][:3], train_data[1][:3]):
    print('label:', y, 'review:', x[:60])
```

trainings: 25000 label: 1 review: Henry Hathaway was daring, as well as enthusiastic, for his label: 1 review: An unassuming, subtle and lean film, "The Man in the White S label: 1 review: Eddie Murphy really made me laugh my ass off on this HBO sta

16.1.2 Preprocessing the Dataset

Treating each word as a token and filtering out words that appear less than 5 times, we create a vocabulary out of the training dataset.

```
train_tokens = d2l.tokenize(train_data[0], token='word')
vocab = d2l.Vocab(train_tokens, min_freq=5, reserved_tokens=['<pad>'])
```

After tokenization, let's plot the histogram of review lengths in tokens.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.xlabel('# tokens per review')
d2l.plt.ylabel('count')
d2l.plt.hist([len(line) for line in train_tokens], bins=range(0, 1000, 50));
```



As we expected, the reviews have varying lengths. To process a minibatch of such reviews at each time, we set the length of each review to 500 with truncation and padding, which is similar to the preprocessing step for the machine translation dataset in Section 10.5.

```
num_steps = 500 # sequence length
train_features = np.array([d2l.truncate_pad(
    vocab[line], num_steps, vocab['<pad>']) for line in train_tokens])
print(train_features.shape)
```

```
(25000, 500)
```

16.1.3 Creating Data Iterators

Now we can create data iterators. At each iteration, a minibatch of examples are returned.

```
train_iter = d2l.load_array((train_features, train_data[1]), 64)
for X, y in train_iter:
    print('X:', X.shape, ', y:', y.shape)
    break
print('# batches:', len(train_iter))
```

X: (64, 500) , y: (64,) # batches: 391

16.1.4 Putting It All Together

Last, we wrap up the above steps into the load_data_imdb function. It returns training and test data iterators and the vocabulary of the IMDb review dataset.

```
#@save
def load_data_imdb(batch_size, num_steps=500):
    """Return data iterators and the vocabulary of the IMDb review dataset."""
   data_dir = d2l.download_extract('aclImdb', 'aclImdb')
    train_data = read_imdb(data_dir, True)
    test_data = read_imdb(data_dir, False)
    train_tokens = d2l.tokenize(train_data[0], token='word')
    test_tokens = d2l.tokenize(test_data[0], token='word')
   vocab = d2l.Vocab(train_tokens, min_freq=5)
    train_features = np.array([d21.truncate_pad(
       vocab[line], num_steps, vocab['<pad>']) for line in train_tokens])
    test_features = np.array([d21.truncate_pad(
        vocab[line], num_steps, vocab['<pad>']) for line in test_tokens])
    train_iter = d2l.load_array((train_features, train_data[1]), batch_size)
    test_iter = d2l.load_array((test_features, test_data[1]), batch_size,
                               is_train=False)
    return train_iter, test_iter, vocab
```

16.1.5 Summary

- Sentiment analysis studies people's sentiments in their produced text, which is considered as a text classification problem that transforms a varying-length text sequence into a fixed-length text category.
- After preprocessing, we can load Stanford's large movie review dataset (IMDb review dataset) into data iterators with a vocabulary.

Exercises

237

238

- 1. What hyperparameters in this section can we modify to accelerate training sentiment analysis models?
- 2. Can you implement a function to load the dataset of Amazon reviews²³⁷ into data iterators and labels for sentiment analysis?

Discussions²³⁸

16.2 Sentiment Analysis: Using Recurrent Neural Networks

Like word similarity and analogy tasks, we can also apply pretrained word vectors to sentiment analysis. Since the IMDb review dataset in Section 16.1 is not very big, using text representations that were pretrained on large-scale corpora may reduce overfitting of the model. As a specific example illustrated in Fig.16.2.1, we will represent each token using the pretrained GloVe model, and feed these token representations into a multilayer bidirectional RNN to obtain the text sequence representation, which will be transformed into sentiment analysis outputs (Maas *et al.*, 2011). For the same downstream application, we will consider a different architectural choice later.





```
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn, rnn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
batch_size = 64
train_iter, test_iter, vocab = d2l.load_data_imdb(batch_size)
```

16.2.1 Representing Single Text with RNNs

In text classifications tasks, such as sentiment analysis, a varying-length text sequence will be transformed into fixed-length categories. In the following BiRNN class, while each token of a text sequence gets its individual pretrained GloVe representation via the embedding layer (self.embedding), the entire sequence is encoded by a bidirectional RNN (self.encoder). More concretely, the hidden states (at the last layer) of the bidirectional LSTM at both the initial and final time steps are concatenated as the representation of the text sequence. This single text representation is then transformed into output categories by a fully connected layer (self.decoder) with two outputs ("positive" and "negative").

```
bidirectional=True, input_size=embed_size)
    self.decoder = nn.Dense(2)
def forward(self, inputs):
    # The shape of `inputs` is (batch size, no. of time steps). Because
   # LSTM requires its input's first dimension to be the temporal
   # dimension, the input is transposed before obtaining token
   # representations. The output shape is (no. of time steps, batch size,
   # word vector dimension)
   embeddings = self.embedding(inputs.T)
   # Returns hidden states of the last hidden layer at different time
   # steps. The shape of `outputs` is (no. of time steps, batch size,
   # 2 * no. of hidden units)
   outputs = self.encoder(embeddings)
    # Concatenate the hidden states at the initial and final time steps as
   # the input of the fully connected layer. Its shape is (batch size,
   # 4 * no. of hidden units)
   encoding = np.concatenate((outputs[0], outputs[-1]), axis=1)
   outs = self.decoder(encoding)
    return outs
```

Let's construct a bidirectional RNN with two hidden layers to represent single text for sentiment analysis.

```
embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers, devices = 100, 100, 2, d2l.try_all_gpus()
net = BiRNN(len(vocab), embed_size, num_hiddens, num_layers)
```

```
net.initialize(init.Xavier(), ctx=devices)
```

16.2.2 Loading Pretrained Word Vectors

Below we load the pretrained 100-dimensional (needs to be consistent with embed_size) GloVe embeddings for tokens in the vocabulary.

```
glove_embedding = d21.TokenEmbedding('glove.6b.100d')
```

Print the shape of the vectors for all the tokens in the vocabulary.

```
embeds = glove_embedding[vocab.idx_to_token]
embeds.shape
```

(49346, 100)

We use these pretrained word vectors to represent tokens in the reviews and will not update these vectors during training.

```
net.embedding.weight.set_data(embeds)
net.embedding.collect_params().setattr('grad_req', 'null')
```

16.2.3 Training and Evaluating the Model

Now we can train the bidirectional RNN for sentiment analysis.

```
lr, num_epochs = 0.01, 5
trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'adam', {'learning_rate': lr})
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
d2l.train_ch13(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, num_epochs, devices)
```

```
loss 0.302, train acc 0.875, test acc 0.857
782.0 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



We define the following function to predict the sentiment of a text sequence using the trained model net.

```
#@save
def predict_sentiment(net, vocab, sequence):
    """Predict the sentiment of a text sequence."""
    sequence = np.array(vocab[sequence.split()], ctx=d2l.try_gpu())
    label = np.argmax(net(sequence.reshape(1, -1)), axis=1)
    return 'positive' if label == 1 else 'negative'
```

Finally, let's use the trained model to predict the sentiment for two simple sentences.

predict_sentiment(net, vocab, 'this movie is so great')

'positive'

predict_sentiment(net, vocab, 'this movie is so bad')

'negative'

16.2.4 Summary

- Pretrained word vectors can represent individual tokens in a text sequence.
- Bidirectional RNNs can represent a text sequence, such as via the concatenation of its hidden states at the initial and final time steps. This single text representation can be transformed into categories using a fully connected layer.

Exercises

1. Increase the number of epochs. Can you improve the training and testing accuracies? How about tuning other hyperparameters?

2. Use larger pretrained word vectors, such as 300-dimensional GloVe embeddings. Does it improve classification accuracy?

3. Can we improve the classification accuracy by using the spaCy tokenization? You need to install spaCy (pip install spacy) and install the English package (python -m spacy download en). In the code, first, import spaCy (import spacy). Then, load the spaCy English package (spacy_en = spacy.load('en')). Finally, define the function def tokenizer(text): return [tok.text for tok in spacy_en.tokenizer(text)] and replace the original tokenizer function. Note the different forms of phrase tokens in GloVe and spaCy. For example, the phrase token "new york" takes the form of "new-york" in GloVe and the form of "new york" after the spaCy tokenization.

Discussions²³⁹



16.3 Sentiment Analysis: Using Convolutional Neural Networks

In Chapter 7, we investigated mechanisms for processing two-dimensional image data with two-dimensional CNNs, which were applied to local features such as adjacent pixels. Though originally designed for computer vision, CNNs are also widely used for natural language processing. Simply put, just think of any text sequence as a one-dimensional image. In this way, one-dimensional CNNs can process local features such as n-grams in text.

In this section, we will use the *textCNN* model to demonstrate how to design a CNN architecture for representing single text (Kim, 2014). Compared with Fig.16.2.1 that uses an RNN architecture with GloVe pretraining for sentiment analysis, the only difference in Fig.16.3.1 lies in the choice of the architecture.



Figure 16.3.1 This section feeds pretrained GloVe to a CNN-based architecture for sentiment analysis.

```
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
batch_size = 64
train_iter, test_iter, vocab = d21.load_data_imdb(batch_size)
```

16.3.1 One-Dimensional Convolutions

Before introducing the model, let's see how a one-dimensional convolution works. Bear in mind that it is just a special case of a two-dimensional convolution based on the cross-correlation operation.



Figure 16.3.2 One-dimensional cross-correlation operation. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $0 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 2$.

As shown in Fig.16.3.2, in the one-dimensional case, the convolution window slides from left to right across the input tensor. During sliding, the input subtensor (e.g., 0 and 1 in Fig.16.3.2) contained in the convolution window at a certain position and the kernel tensor (e.g., 1 and 2 in Fig.16.3.2) are multiplied elementwise. The sum of these multiplications gives the single scalar value (e.g., $0 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 2$ in Fig.16.3.2) at the corresponding position of the output tensor.

We implement one-dimensional cross-correlation in the following corr1d function. Given an input tensor X and a kernel tensor K, it returns the output tensor Y.

```
def corr1d(X, K):
    w = K.shape[0]
    Y = np.zeros((X.shape[0] - w + 1))
    for i in range(Y.shape[0]):
        Y[i] = (X[i: i + w] * K).sum()
    return Y
```

We can construct the input tensor X and the kernel tensor K from Fig.16.3.2 to validate the output of the above one-dimensional cross-correlation implementation.

```
X, K = np.array([0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]), np.array([1, 2]) corr1d(X, K)
```

array([2., 5., 8., 11., 14., 17.])

For any one-dimensional input with multiple channels, the convolution kernel needs to have the same number of input channels. Then for each channel, perform a cross-correlation operation on the one-dimensional tensor of the input and the one-dimensional tensor of the convolution kernel, summing the results over all the channels to produce the one-dimensional output tensor. Fig.16.3.3 shows a one-dimensional cross-correlation operation with 3 input channels.



Figure 16.3.3

One-dimensional cross-correlation operation with 3 input channels. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $0 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 1 \times 3 + 2 \times 4 + 2 \times (-1) + 3 \times (-3) = 2$.

We can implement the one-dimensional cross-correlation operation for multiple input channels and validate the results in Fig.16.3.3.

array([2., 8., 14., 20., 26., 32.])

Note that multi-input-channel one-dimensional cross-correlations are equivalent to singleinput-channel two-dimensional cross-correlations. To illustrate, an equivalent form of the multi-input-channel one-dimensional cross-correlation in Fig.16.3.3 is the single-input-channel two-dimensional cross-correlation in Fig.16.3.4, where the height of the convolution kernel has to be the same as that of the input tensor.



Figure 16.3.4 Two-dimensional cross-correlation operation with a single input channel. The shaded portions are the first output element as well as the input and kernel tensor elements used for the output computation: $2 \times (-1) + 3 \times (-3) + 1 \times 3 + 2 \times 4 + 0 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 2$.

Both the outputs in Fig.16.3.2 and Fig.16.3.3 have only one channel. Same as two-dimensional convolutions with multiple output channels described in Section 7.4.2, we can also specify multiple output channels for one-dimensional convolutions.

16.3.2 Max-Over-Time Pooling

Similarly, we can use pooling to extract the highest value from sequence representations as the most important feature across time steps. The *max-over-time pooling* used in textCNN works like the one-dimensional global max-pooling (Collobert *et al.*, 2011). For a multi-channel input where each channel stores values at different time steps, the output at each channel is the maximum value for that channel. Note that the max-over-time pooling allows different numbers of time steps at different channels.

16.3.3 The textCNN Model

Using the one-dimensional convolution and max-over-time pooling, the textCNN model takes individual pretrained token representations as input, then obtains and transforms sequence representations for the downstream application.

For a single text sequence with n tokens represented by d-dimensional vectors, the width, height, and number of channels of the input tensor are n, 1, and d, respectively. The textCNN model transforms the input into the output as follows:

 Define multiple one-dimensional convolution kernels and perform convolution operations separately on the inputs. Convolution kernels with different widths may capture local features among different numbers of adjacent tokens.

- 2. Perform max-over-time pooling on all the output channels, and then concatenate all the scalar pooling outputs as a vector.
- 3. Transform the concatenated vector into the output categories using the fully connected layer. Dropout can be used for reducing overfitting.



Figure 16.3.5 The model architecture of textCNN.

Fig.16.3.5 illustrates the model architecture of textCNN with a concrete example. The input is a sentence with 11 tokens, where each token is represented by a 6-dimensional vectors. So we have a 6-channel input with width 11. Define two one-dimensional convolution kernels of widths 2 and 4, with 4 and 5 output channels, respectively. They produce 4 output channels with width 11-2+1 = 10 and 5 output channels with width 11-4+1 = 8. Despite different widths of these 9 channels, the max-over-time pooling gives a concatenated 9-dimensional vector, which is finally transformed into a 2-dimensional output vector for binary sentiment predictions.

Defining the Model

We implement the textCNN model in the following class. Compared with the bidirectional RNN model in Section 16.2, besides replacing recurrent layers with convolutional layers, we also use two embedding layers: one with trainable weights and the other with fixed weights.

```
self.convs.add(nn.Conv1D(c, k, activation='relu'))
def forward(self, inputs):
   # Concatenate two embedding layer outputs with shape (batch size, no.
   # of tokens, token vector dimension) along vectors
   embeddings = np.concatenate((
       self.embedding(inputs), self.constant_embedding(inputs)), axis=2)
   # Per the input format of one-dimensional convolutional layers,
   # rearrange the tensor so that the second dimension stores channels
   embeddings = embeddings.transpose(0, 2, 1)
   # For each one-dimensional convolutional layer, after max-over-time
   # pooling, a tensor of shape (batch size, no. of channels, 1) is
   # obtained. Remove the last dimension and concatenate along channels
   encoding = np.concatenate([
       np.squeeze(self.pool(conv(embeddings)), axis=-1)
       for conv in self.convs], axis=1)
   outputs = self.decoder(self.dropout(encoding))
   return outputs
```

Let's create a textCNN instance. It has 3 convolutional layers with kernel widths of 3, 4, and 5, all with 100 output channels.

```
embed_size, kernel_sizes, nums_channels = 100, [3, 4, 5], [100, 100, 100]
devices = d2l.try_all_gpus()
net = TextCNN(len(vocab), embed_size, kernel_sizes, nums_channels)
net.initialize(init.Xavier(), ctx=devices)
```

Loading Pretrained Word Vectors

Same as Section 16.2, we load pretrained 100-dimensional GloVe embeddings as the initialized token representations. These token representations (embedding weights) will be trained in embedding and fixed in constant_embedding.

```
glove_embedding = d21.TokenEmbedding('glove.6b.100d')
embeds = glove_embedding[vocab.idx_to_token]
net.embedding.weight.set_data(embeds)
net.constant_embedding.weight.set_data(embeds)
net.constant_embedding.collect_params().setattr('grad_req', 'null')
```

Training and Evaluating the Model

Now we can train the textCNN model for sentiment analysis.

```
lr, num_epochs = 0.001, 5
trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'adam', {'learning_rate': lr})
loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
d2l.train_ch13(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, num_epochs, devices)
```

```
loss 0.089, train acc 0.970, test acc 0.864
3701.4 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```

Below we use the trained model to predict the sentiment for two simple sentences.

d2l.predict_sentiment(net, vocab, 'this movie is so great')



'positive'

d2l.predict_sentiment(net, vocab, 'this movie is so bad')

'negative'

16.3.4 Summary

- One-dimensional CNNs can process local features such as *n*-grams in text.
- Multi-input-channel one-dimensional cross-correlations are equivalent to single-input-channel two-dimensional cross-correlations.
- The max-over-time pooling allows different numbers of time steps at different channels.
- The textCNN model transforms individual token representations into downstream application outputs using one-dimensional convolutional layers and max-over-time pooling layers.

Exercises

- 1. Tune hyperparameters and compare the two architectures for sentiment analysis in Section 16.2 and in this section, such as in classification accuracy and computational efficiency.
- 2. Can you further improve the classification accuracy of the model by using the methods introduced in the exercises of Section 16.2?
- 3. Add positional encoding in the input representations. Does it improve the classification accuracy?

Discussions²⁴⁰

240

16.4 Natural Language Inference and the Dataset

In Section 16.1, we discussed the problem of sentiment analysis. This task aims to classify a single text sequence into predefined categories, such as a set of sentiment polarities. However, when there is a need to decide whether one sentence can be inferred form another, or eliminate redundancy by identifying sentences that are semantically equivalent, knowing how to classify one text sequence is insufficient. Instead, we need to be able to reason over pairs of text sequences.

16.4.1 Natural Language Inference

Natural language inference studies whether a *hypothesis* can be inferred from a *premise*, where both are a text sequence. In other words, natural language inference determines the logical relationship between a pair of text sequences. Such relationships usually fall into three types:

- Entailment: the hypothesis can be inferred from the premise.
- Contradiction: the negation of the hypothesis can be inferred from the premise.
- Neutral: all the other cases.

Natural language inference is also known as the recognizing textual entailment task. For example, the following pair will be labeled as *entailment* because "showing affection" in the hypothesis can be inferred from "hugging one another" in the premise.

Premise: Two women are hugging each other.

Hypothesis: Two women are showing affection.

The following is an example of *contradiction* as "running the coding example" indicates "not sleeping" rather than "sleeping".

Premise: A man is running the coding example from Dive into Deep Learning.

Hypothesis: The man is sleeping.

The third example shows a *neutrality* relationship because neither "famous" nor "not famous" can be inferred from the fact that "are performing for us".

Premise: The musicians are performing for us.

Hypothesis: The musicians are famous.

Natural language inference has been a central topic for understanding natural language. It enjoys wide applications ranging from information retrieval to open-domain question answering. To study this problem, we will begin by investigating a popular natural language inference benchmark dataset.

16.4.2 The Stanford Natural Language Inference (SNLI) Dataset

Stanford Natural Language Inference (SNLI) Corpus is a collection of over 500000 labeled English sentence pairs (Bowman *et al.*, 2015). We download and store the extracted SNLI dataset in the path .../data/snli_1.0.

```
data_dir = d2l.download_extract('SNLI')
```

Reading the Dataset

The original SNLI dataset contains much richer information than what we really need in our experiments. Thus, we define a function read_snli to only extract part of the dataset, then return lists of premises, hypotheses, and their labels.

```
#@save
def read_snli(data_dir, is_train):
    """Read the SNLI dataset into premises, hypotheses, and labels."""
    def extract_text(s):
        # Remove information that will not be used by us
        s = re.sub('\\(', '', s)
s = re.sub('\\)', '', s)
        # Substitute two or more consecutive whitespace with space
        s = re.sub('\\s{2,}', ' ', s)
        return s.strip()
    label_set = {'entailment': 0, 'contradiction': 1, 'neutral': 2}
    file_name = os.path.join(data_dir, 'snli_1.0_train.txt'
                              if is_train else 'snli_1.0_test.txt')
    with open(file_name, 'r') as f:
        rows = [row.split('\t') for row in f.readlines()[1:]]
    premises = [extract_text(row[1]) for row in rows if row[0] in label_set]
    hypotheses = [extract_text(row[2]) for row in rows if row[0] in label_set]
    labels = [label_set[row[0]] for row in rows if row[0] in label_set]
    return premises, hypotheses, labels
```

Now let's print the first 3 pairs of premise and hypothesis, as well as their labels ("0", "1", and "2" correspond to "entailment", "contradiction", and "neutral", respectively).

```
train_data = read_snli(data_dir, is_train=True)
for x0, x1, y in zip(train_data[0][:3], train_data[1][:3], train_data[2][:3]):
    print('premise:', x0)
    print('hypothesis:', x1)
    print('label:', y)
```

```
premise: A person on a horse jumps over a broken down airplane .
hypothesis: A person is training his horse for a competition .
label: 2
premise: A person on a horse jumps over a broken down airplane .
hypothesis: A person is at a diner , ordering an omelette .
label: 1
premise: A person on a horse jumps over a broken down airplane .
hypothesis: A person on a horse jumps over a broken down airplane .
label: 1
premise: A person on a horse jumps over a broken down airplane .
hypothesis: A person is outdoors , on a horse .
label: 0
```

The training set has about 550000 pairs, and the testing set has about 10000 pairs. The following shows that the three labels "entailment", "contradiction", and "neutral" are balanced in both the training set and the testing set.

```
test_data = read_snli(data_dir, is_train=False)
for data in [train_data, test_data]:
    print([[row for row in data[2]].count(i) for i in range(3)])
```

```
[183416, 183187, 182764]
[3368, 3237, 3219]
```

Defining a Class for Loading the Dataset

Below we define a class for loading the SNLI dataset by inheriting from the Dataset class in Gluon. The argument num_steps in the class constructor specifies the length of a text

sequence so that each minibatch of sequences will have the same shape. In other words, tokens after the first num_steps ones in longer sequence are trimmed, while special tokens "<pad>" will be appended to shorter sequences until their length becomes num_steps. By implementing the __getitem__ function, we can arbitrarily access the premise, hypothesis, and label with the index idx.

```
#@save
class SNLIDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    """A customized dataset to load the SNLI dataset."""
   def __init__(self, dataset, num_steps, vocab=None):
        self.num_steps = num_steps
       all_premise_tokens = d2l.tokenize(dataset[0])
        all_hypothesis_tokens = d2l.tokenize(dataset[1])
        if vocab is None:
            self.vocab = d2l.Vocab(all_premise_tokens + all_hypothesis_tokens,
                                   min_freq=5, reserved_tokens=['<pad>'])
        else:
            self.vocab = vocab
        self.premises = self._pad(all_premise_tokens)
        self.hypotheses = self._pad(all_hypothesis_tokens)
        self.labels = np.array(dataset[2])
       print('read ' + str(len(self.premises)) + ' examples')
   def _pad(self, lines):
        return np.array([d21.truncate_pad(
            self.vocab[line], self.num_steps, self.vocab['<pad>'])
                         for line in lines])
    def __getitem__(self, idx):
        return (self.premises[idx], self.hypotheses[idx]), self.labels[idx]
    def __len__(self):
        return len(self.premises)
```

Putting It All Together

Now we can invoke the read_snli function and the SNLIDataset class to download the SNLI dataset and return DataLoader instances for both training and testing sets, together with the vocabulary of the training set. It is noteworthy that we must use the vocabulary constructed from the training set as that of the testing set. As a result, any new token from the testing set will be unknown to the model trained on the training set.

Here we set the batch size to 128 and sequence length to 50, and invoke the load_data_snli function to get the data iterators and vocabulary. Then we print the vocabulary size.

```
train_iter, test_iter, vocab = load_data_snli(128, 50)
len(vocab)
```

read 549367 examples read 9824 examples

18678

Now we print the shape of the first minibatch. Contrary to sentiment analysis, we have two inputs X[0] and X[1] representing pairs of premises and hypotheses.

```
for X, Y in train_iter:
    print(X[0].shape)
    print(X[1].shape)
    print(Y.shape)
    break
```

(128, 50) (128, 50) (128,)

16.4.3 Summary

- Natural language inference studies whether a hypothesis can be inferred from a premise, where both are a text sequence.
- In natural language inference, relationships between premises and hypotheses include entailment, contradiction, and neutral.
- Stanford Natural Language Inference (SNLI) Corpus is a popular benchmark dataset of natural language inference.

Exercises

1. Machine translation has long been evaluated based on superficial *n*-gram matching between an output translation and a ground-truth translation. Can you design a measure for evaluating machine translation results by using natural language inference?



2. How can we change hyperparameters to reduce the vocabulary size?

Discussions²⁴¹

16.5 Natural Language Inference: Using Attention

We introduced the natural language inference task and the SNLI dataset in Section 16.4. In view of many models that are based on complex and deep architectures, Parikh et al. proposed to address natural language inference with attention mechanisms and called it a "decomposable attention model" (Parikh *et al.*, 2016). This results in a model without recurrent or convolutional layers, achieving the best result at the time on the SNLI dataset with much fewer parameters. In this section, we will describe and implement this attention-based method (with MLPs) for natural language inference, as depicted in Fig.16.5.1.



Figure 16.5.1 This section feeds pretrained GloVe to an architecture based on attention and MLPs for natural language inference.

16.5.1 The Model

Simpler than preserving the order of tokens in premises and hypotheses, we can just align tokens in one text sequence to every token in the other, and vice versa, then compare and aggregate such information to predict the logical relationships between premises and hypotheses. Similar to alignment of tokens between source and target sentences in machine translation, the alignment of tokens between premises and hypotheses can be neatly accomplished by attention mechanisms.



Figure 16.5.2 Natural language inference using attention mechanisms.

Fig.16.5.2 depicts the natural language inference method using attention mechanisms. At a high level, it consists of three jointly trained steps: attending, comparing, and aggregating. We will illustrate them step by step in the following.

```
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

Attending

The first step is to align tokens in one text sequence to each token in the other sequence. Suppose that the premise is "i do need sleep" and the hypothesis is "i am tired". Due to semantical similarity, we may wish to align "i" in the hypothesis with "i" in the premise, and align "tired" in the hypothesis with "sleep" in the premise. Likewise, we may wish to align "i" in the premise with "i" in the hypothesis, and align "need" and "sleep" in the premise with "tired" in the hypothesis. Note that such alignment is *soft* using weighted average, where ideally large weights are associated with the tokens to be aligned. For ease of demonstration, Fig.16.5.2 shows such alignment in a *hard* way.

Now we describe the soft alignment using attention mechanisms in more detail. Denote by $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ and $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ the premise and hypothesis, whose number of tokens are m and n, respectively, where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n)$ is a d-dimensional word vector. For soft alignment, we compute the attention weights $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$e_{ij} = f(\boldsymbol{a}_i)^\top f(\boldsymbol{b}_j), \tag{16.5.1}$$

where the function f is an MLP defined in the following mlp function. The output dimension of f is specified by the num_hiddens argument of mlp.

```
def mlp(num_hiddens, flatten):
    net = nn.Sequential()
    net.add(nn.Dropout(0.2))
    net.add(nn.Dense(num_hiddens, activation='relu', flatten=flatten))
    net.add(nn.Dropout(0.2))
    net.add(nn.Dense(num_hiddens, activation='relu', flatten=flatten))
    return net
```

It should be highlighted that, in (16.5.1) f takes inputs a_i and b_j separately rather than takes a pair of them together as input. This *decomposition* trick leads to only m + n applications (linear complexity) of f rather than mn applications (quadratic complexity).

Normalizing the attention weights in (16.5.1), we compute the weighted average of all the token vectors in the hypothesis to obtain representation of the hypothesis that is softly aligned with the token indexed by i in the premise:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp(e_{ik})} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}.$$
(16.5.2)

Likewise, we compute soft alignment of premise tokens for each token indexed by j in the hypothesis:

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{k=1}^m \exp(e_{kj})} a_i.$$
 (16.5.3)

Below we define the Attend class to compute the soft alignment of hypotheses (beta) with input premises A and soft alignment of premises (alpha) with input hypotheses B.

```
class Attend(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, **kwargs):
        super(Attend, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.f = mlp(num_hiddens=num_hiddens, flatten=False)
    def forward(self, A, B):
        # Shape of `A'/`B`: (b`atch_size`, no. of tokens in sequence A/B,
        # `embed_size`)
        # Shape of `f_A'/`f_B`: (`batch_size`, no. of tokens in sequence A/B,
        # `num_hiddens`)
        f_A = self.f(A)
        f_B = self.f(B)
        # Shape of `e`: (`batch_size`, no. of tokens in sequence A,
        # no. of tokens in sequence B)
        e = npx.batch_dot(f_A, f_B, transpose_b=True)
        # Shape of `beta`: (`batch_size`, no. of tokens in sequence A,
```

```
# `embed_size`), where sequence B is softly aligned with each token
# (axis 1 of `beta`) in sequence A
beta = npx.batch_dot(npx.softmax(e), B)
# Shape of `alpha`: (`batch_size`, no. of tokens in sequence B,
# `embed_size`), where sequence A is softly aligned with each token
# (axis 1 of `alpha`) in sequence B
alpha = npx.batch_dot(npx.softmax(e.transpose(0, 2, 1)), A)
return beta, alpha
```

Comparing

In the next step, we compare a token in one sequence with the other sequence that is softly aligned with that token. Note that in soft alignment, all the tokens from one sequence, though with probably different attention weights, will be compared with a token in the other sequence. For easy of demonstration, Fig.16.5.2 pairs tokens with aligned tokens in a *hard* way. For example, suppose that the attending step determines that "need" and "sleep" in the premise are both aligned with "tired" in the hypothesis, the pair "tired–need sleep" will be compared.

In the comparing step, we feed the concatenation (operator $[\cdot, \cdot]$) of tokens from one sequence and aligned tokens from the other sequence into a function g (an MLP):

$$\mathbf{v}_{A,i} = g([\mathbf{a}_i, \beta_i]), i = 1, \dots, m
 \mathbf{v}_{B,j} = g([\mathbf{b}_j, \alpha_j]), j = 1, \dots, n.$$
(16.5.4)

In (16.5.4), $v_{A,i}$ is the comparison between token *i* in the premise and all the hypothesis tokens that are softly aligned with token *i*; while $v_{B,j}$ is the comparison between token *j* in the hypothesis and all the premise tokens that are softly aligned with token *j*. The following Compare class defines such as comparing step.

```
class Compare(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, **kwargs):
        super(Compare, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.g = mlp(num_hiddens=num_hiddens, flatten=False)
    def forward(self, A, B, beta, alpha):
        V_A = self.g(np.concatenate([A, beta], axis=2))
        V_B = self.g(np.concatenate([B, alpha], axis=2))
        return V_A, V_B
```

Aggregating

With two sets of comparison vectors $v_{A,i}$ (i = 1, ..., m) and $v_{B,j}$ (j = 1, ..., n) on hand, in the last step we will aggregate such information to infer the logical relationship. We begin by summing up both sets:

$$v_A = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{A,i}, \quad v_B = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{B,j}.$$
 (16.5.5)

Next we feed the concatenation of both summarization results into function h (an MLP) to obtain the classification result of the logical relationship:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = h([\boldsymbol{v}_A, \boldsymbol{v}_B]). \tag{16.5.6}$$

The aggregation step is defined in the following Aggregate class.
```
class Aggregate(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_hiddens, num_outputs, **kwargs):
        super(Aggregate, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.h = mlp(num_hiddens=num_hiddens, flatten=True)
        self.h.add(nn.Dense(num_outputs))
    def forward(self, V_A, V_B):
        # Sum up both sets of comparison vectors
        V_A = V_A.sum(axis=1)
        V_B = V_B.sum(axis=1)
        # Feed the concatenation of both summarization results into an MLP
        Y_hat = self.h(np.concatenate([V_A, V_B], axis=1))
        return Y_hat
```

Putting It All Together

By putting the attending, comparing, and aggregating steps together, we define the decomposable attention model to jointly train these three steps.

```
class DecomposableAttention(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, vocab, embed_size, num_hiddens, **kwargs):
       super(DecomposableAttention, self).__init__(**kwargs)
       self.embedding = nn.Embedding(len(vocab), embed_size)
       self.attend = Attend(num_hiddens)
        self.compare = Compare(num_hiddens)
        # There are 3 possible outputs: entailment, contradiction, and neutral
        self.aggregate = Aggregate(num_hiddens, 3)
    def forward(self, X):
       premises, hypotheses = X
       A = self.embedding(premises)
       B = self.embedding(hypotheses)
       beta, alpha = self.attend(A, B)
       V_A, V_B = self.compare(A, B, beta, alpha)
       Y_hat = self.aggregate(V_A, V_B)
        return Y_hat
```

16.5.2 Training and Evaluating the Model

Now we will train and evaluate the defined decomposable attention model on the SNLI dataset. We begin by reading the dataset.

Reading the dataset

We download and read the SNLI dataset using the function defined in Section 16.4. The batch size and sequence length are set to 256 and 50, respectively.

```
batch_size, num_steps = 256, 50
train_iter, test_iter, vocab = d2l.load_data_snli(batch_size, num_steps)
```

```
Downloading ../data/snli_1.0.zip from https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/snli/

→ snli_1.0.zip...

read 549367 examples

read 9824 examples
```

Creating the Model

We use the pretrained 100-dimensional GloVe embedding to represent the input tokens. Thus, we predefine the dimension of vectors a_i and b_j in (16.5.1) as 100. The output dimension of functions f in (16.5.1) and g in (16.5.4) is set to 200. Then we create a model instance, initialize its parameters, and load the GloVe embedding to initialize vectors of input tokens.

```
embed_size, num_hiddens, devices = 100, 200, d2l.try_all_gpus()
net = DecomposableAttention(vocab, embed_size, num_hiddens)
net.initialize(init.Xavier(), ctx=devices)
glove_embedding = d2l.TokenEmbedding('glove.6b.100d')
embeds = glove_embedding[vocab.idx_to_token]
net.embedding.weight.set_data(embeds)
```

```
Downloading ../data/glove.6B.100d.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate. 

↔ amazonaws.com/glove.6B.100d.zip...
```

Training and Evaluating the Model

In contrast to the split_batch function in Section 13.5 that takes single inputs such as text sequences (or images), we define a split_batch_multi_inputs function to take multiple inputs such as premises and hypotheses in minibatches.

```
#@save
def split_batch_multi_inputs(X, y, devices):
    """Split multi-input `X` and `y` into multiple devices."""
    X = list(zip(*[gluon.utils.split_and_load(
        feature, devices, even_split=False) for feature in X]))
    return (X, gluon.utils.split_and_load(y, devices, even_split=False))
```

Now we can train and evaluate the model on the SNLI dataset.

```
loss 0.526, train acc 0.792, test acc 0.821
9241.2 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



Using the Model

Finally, define the prediction function to output the logical relationship between a pair of premise and hypothesis.

We can use the trained model to obtain the natural language inference result for a sample pair of sentences.

predict_snli(net, vocab, ['he', 'is', 'good', '.'], ['he', 'is', 'bad', '.'])

'contradiction'

16.5.3 Summary

- The decomposable attention model consists of three steps for predicting the logical relationships between premises and hypotheses: attending, comparing, and aggregating.
- With attention mechanisms, we can align tokens in one text sequence to every token in the other, and vice versa. Such alignment is soft using weighted average, where ideally large weights are associated with the tokens to be aligned.
- The decomposition trick leads to a more desirable linear complexity than quadratic complexity when computing attention weights.
- We can use pretrained word vectors as the input representation for downstream natural language processing task such as natural language inference.

Exercises

1. Train the model with other combinations of hyperparameters. Can you get better accuracy on the test set?



- 2. What are major drawbacks of the decomposable attention model for natural language inference?
- 3. Suppose that we want to get the level of semantical similarity (e.g., a continuous value between 0 and 1) for any pair of sentences. How shall we collect and label the dataset? Can you design a model with attention mechanisms?

Discussions²⁴²

16.6 Fine-Tuning BERT for Sequence-Level and Token-Level Applications

In the previous sections of this chapter, we have designed different models for natural language processing applications, such as based on RNNs, CNNs, attention, and MLPs. These models are helpful when there is space or time constraint, however, crafting a specific model for every natural language processing task is practically infeasible. In Section 15.8, we introduced a pretraining model, BERT, that requires minimal architecture changes for a wide range of natural language processing tasks. On the one hand, at the time of its proposal, BERT improved the state of the art on various natural language processing tasks. On the other hand, as noted in Section 15.10, the two versions of the original BERT model come with 110 million and 340 million parameters. Thus, when there are sufficient computational resources, we may consider fine-tuning BERT for downstream natural language processing applications.

In the following, we generalize a subset of natural language processing applications as sequencelevel and token-level. On the sequence level, we introduce how to transform the BERT representation of the text input to the output label in single text classification and text pair classification or regression. On the token level, we will briefly introduce new applications such as text tagging and question answering and shed light on how BERT can represent their inputs and get transformed into output labels. During fine-tuning, the "minimal architecture changes" required by BERT across different applications are the extra fully connected layers. During supervised learning of a downstream application, parameters of the extra layers are learned from scratch while all the parameters in the pretrained BERT model are fine-tuned.

16.6.1 Single Text Classification

Single text classification takes a single text sequence as input and outputs its classification result. Besides sentiment analysis that we have studied in this chapter, the Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability (CoLA) is also a dataset for single text classification, judging whether a given sentence is grammatically acceptable or not (Warstadt *et al.*, 2019). For instance, "I should study." is acceptable but "I should studying." is not.





Section 15.8 describes the input representation of BERT. The BERT input sequence unambiguously represents both single text and text pairs, where the special classification token "<cls>" is used for sequence classification and the special classification token "<sep>" marks the end of single text or separates a pair of text. As shown in Fig.16.6.1, in single text classification applications, the BERT representation of the special classification token "<cls>" encodes the information of the entire input text sequence. As the representation of the input single text, it will be fed into a small MLP consisting of fully connected (dense) layers to output the distribution of all the discrete label values.

16.6.2 Text Pair Classification or Regression

We have also examined natural language inference in this chapter. It belongs to *text pair classification*, a type of application classifying a pair of text.

Taking a pair of text as input but outputting a continuous value, *semantic textual similarity* is a popular *text pair regression* task. This task measures semantic similarity of sentences. For instance, in the Semantic Textual Similarity Benchmark dataset, the similarity score of a pair of sentences is an ordinal scale ranging from 0 (no meaning overlap) to 5 (meaning equivalence) (Cer *et al.*, 2017). The goal is to predict these scores. Examples from the Semantic Textual Similarity Benchmark dataset include (sentence 1, sentence 2, similarity score):

- "A plane is taking off.", "An air plane is taking off.", 5.000;
- "A woman is eating something.", "A woman is eating meat.", 3.000;
- "A woman is dancing.", "A man is talking.", 0.000.



Figure 16.6.2 Fine-tuning BERT for text pair classification or regression applications, such as natural language inference and semantic textual similarity. Suppose that the input text pair has two and three tokens.

Comparing with single text classification in Fig.16.6.1, fine-tuning BERT for text pair classification in Fig.16.6.2 is different in the input representation. For text pair regression tasks such as semantic textual similarity, trivial changes can be applied such as outputting a continuous label value and using the mean squared loss: they are common for regression.

16.6.3 Text Tagging

Now let's consider token-level tasks, such as *text tagging*, where each token is assigned a label. Among text tagging tasks, *part-of-speech tagging* assigns each word a part-of-speech tag (e.g., adjective and determiner) according to the role of the word in the sentence. For example, according to the Penn Treebank II tag set, the sentence "John Smith 's car is new" should be tagged as "NNP (noun, proper singular) NNP POS (possessive ending) NN (noun, singular or mass) VB (verb, base form) JJ (adjective)".

Fine-tuning BERT for text tagging applications is illustrated in Fig.16.6.3. Comparing with Fig.16.6.1, the only distinction lies in that in text tagging, the BERT representation of *every*



Figure 16.6.3 Fine-tuning BERT for text tagging applications, such as part-of-speech tagging. Suppose that the input single text has six tokens.

token of the input text is fed into the same extra fully connected layers to output the label of the token, such as a part-of-speech tag.

16.6.4 Question Answering

As another token-level application, *question answering* reflects capabilities of reading comprehension. For example, the Stanford Question Answering Dataset (SQuAD v1.1) consists of reading passages and questions, where the answer to every question is just a segment of text (text span) from the passage that the question is about (Rajpurkar *et al.*, 2016). To explain, consider a passage "Some experts report that a mask's efficacy is inconclusive. However, mask makers insist that their products, such as N95 respirator masks, can guard against the virus." and a question "Who say that N95 respirator masks can guard against the virus?". The answer should be the text span "mask makers" in the passage. Thus, the goal in SQuAD v1.1 is to predict the start and end of the text span in the passage given a pair of question and passage.



Figure 16.6.4 Fine-tuning BERT for question answering. Suppose that the input text pair has two and three tokens.

To fine-tune BERT for question answering, the question and passage are packed as the first and second text sequence, respectively, in the input of BERT. To predict the position of the start of the text span, the same additional fully connected layer will transform the BERT representation of any token from the passage of position i into a scalar score s_i . Such scores of all the passage tokens are further transformed by the softmax operation into a probability distribution, so that each token position i in the passage is assigned a probability p_i of being the start of the text span. Predicting the end of the text span is the same as above, except that parameters in its additional fully connected layer are independent from those for predicting the start. When predicting the end, any passage token of position i is transformed by the same fully connected layer into a scalar score e_i . Fig. 16.6.4 depicts fine-tuning BERT for question answering.

For question answering, the supervised learning's training objective is as straightforward as maximizing the log-likelihoods of the ground-truth start and end positions. When predicting the span, we can compute the score $s_i + e_j$ for a valid span from position *i* to position *j* $(i \leq j)$, and output the span with the highest score.

16.6.5 Summary

- BERT requires minimal architecture changes (extra fully connected layers) for sequencelevel and token-level natural language processing applications, such as single text classification (e.g., sentiment analysis and testing linguistic acceptability), text pair classification or regression (e.g., natural language inference and semantic textual similarity), text tagging (e.g., part-of-speech tagging), and question answering.
- During supervised learning of a downstream application, parameters of the extra layers are learned from scratch while all the parameters in the pretrained BERT model are fine-tuned.

Exercises

- 1. Let's design a search engine algorithm for news articles. When the system receives an query (e.g., "oil industry during the coronavirus outbreak"), it should return a ranked list of news articles that are most relevant to the query. Suppose that we have a huge pool of news articles and a large number of queries. To simplify the problem, suppose that the most relevant article has been labeled for each query. How can we apply negative sampling (see Section 15.2.1) and BERT in the algorithm design?
- 2. How can we leverage BERT in training language models?
- 3. Can we leverage BERT in machine translation?

Discussions²⁴³



16.7 Natural Language Inference: Fine-Tuning BERT

In earlier sections of this chapter, we have designed an attention-based architecture (in Section 16.5) for the natural language inference task on the SNLI dataset (as described in Section 16.4). Now we revisit this task by fine-tuning BERT. As discussed in Section 16.6, natural language inference is a sequence-level text pair classification problem, and fine-tuning BERT only requires an additional MLP-based architecture, as illustrated in Fig.16.7.1.

In this section, we will download a pretrained small version of BERT, then fine-tune it for natural language inference on the SNLI dataset.

import json import multiprocessing import os from mxnet import gluon, np, npx



Figure 16.7.1 This section feeds pretrained BERT to an MLP-based architecture for natural language inference.

from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()

16.7.1 Loading Pretrained BERT

(continued from previous page)

We have explained how to pretrain BERT on the WikiText-2 dataset in Section 15.9 and Section 15.10 (note that the original BERT model is pretrained on much bigger corpora). As discussed in Section 15.10, the original BERT model has hundreds of millions of parameters. In the following, we provide two versions of pretrained BERT: "bert.base" is about as big as the original BERT base model that requires a lot of computational resources to fine-tune, while "bert.small" is a small version to facilitate demonstration.

Either pretrained BERT model contains a "vocab.json" file that defines the vocabulary set and a "pretrained.params" file of the pretrained parameters. We implement the following load_pretrained_model function to load pretrained BERT parameters.

To facilitate demonstration on most of machines, we will load and fine-tune the small version ("bert.small") of the pretrained BERT in this section. In the exercise, we will show how to fine-tune the much larger "bert.base" to significantly improve the testing accuracy.

```
devices = d21.try_all_gpus()
bert, vocab = load_pretrained_model(
    'bert.small', num_hiddens=256, ffn_num_hiddens=512, num_heads=4,
    num_blks=2, dropout=0.1, max_len=512, devices=devices)
```

```
Downloading ../data/bert.small.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.

→amazonaws.com/bert.small.zip...
```

16.7.2 The Dataset for Fine-Tuning BERT

For the downstream task natural language inference on the SNLI dataset, we define a customized dataset class SNLIBERTDataset. In each example, the premise and hypothesis form a pair of text sequence and is packed into one BERT input sequence as depicted in Fig.16.6.2. Recall Section 15.8.4 that segment IDs are used to distinguish the premise and the hypothesis in a BERT input sequence. With the predefined maximum length of a BERT input sequence (max_len), the last token of the longer of the input text pair keeps getting removed until max_len is met. To accelerate generation of the SNLI dataset for fine-tuning BERT, we use 4 worker processes to generate training or testing examples in parallel.

```
class SNLIBERTDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    def __init__(self, dataset, max_len, vocab=None):
       all_premise_hypothesis_tokens = [[
            p_tokens, h_tokens] for p_tokens, h_tokens in zip(
            *[d2l.tokenize([s.lower() for s in sentences])
              for sentences in dataset[:2]])]
       self.labels = np.array(dataset[2])
        self.vocab = vocab
        self.max_len = max_len
        (self.all_token_ids, self.all_segments,
        self.valid_lens) = self._preprocess(all_premise_hypothesis_tokens)
       print('read ' + str(len(self.all_token_ids)) + ' examples')
    def _preprocess(self, all_premise_hypothesis_tokens):
        pool = multiprocessing.Pool(4) # Use 4 worker processes
       out = pool.map(self._mp_worker, all_premise_hypothesis_tokens)
       all_token_ids = [
            token_ids for token_ids, segments, valid_len in out]
       all_segments = [segments for token_ids, segments, valid_len in out]
       valid_lens = [valid_len for token_ids, segments, valid_len in out]
        return (np.array(all_token_ids, dtype='int32'),
               np.array(all_segments, dtype='int32'),
                np.array(valid_lens))
    def _mp_worker(self, premise_hypothesis_tokens):
        p_tokens, h_tokens = premise_hypothesis_tokens
        self._truncate_pair_of_tokens(p_tokens, h_tokens)
        tokens, segments = d2l.get_tokens_and_segments(p_tokens, h_tokens)
        token_ids = self.vocab[tokens] + [self.vocab['<pad>']] \
                             * (self.max_len - len(tokens))
        segments = segments + [0] * (self.max_len - len(segments))
        valid_len = len(tokens)
        return token_ids, segments, valid_len
    def _truncate_pair_of_tokens(self, p_tokens, h_tokens):
        # Reserve slots for '<CLS>', '<SEP>', and '<SEP>' tokens for the BERT
        # input
       while len(p_tokens) + len(h_tokens) > self.max_len - 3:
            if len(p_tokens) > len(h_tokens):
               p_tokens.pop()
            else:
                h_tokens.pop()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

After downloading the SNLI dataset, we generate training and testing examples by instantiating the SNLIBERTDataset class. Such examples will be read in minibatches during training and testing of natural language inference.

read 549367 examples
read 9824 examples

16.7.3 Fine-Tuning BERT

As Fig.16.6.2 indicates, fine-tuning BERT for natural language inference requires only an extra MLP consisting of two fully connected layers (see self.hidden and self.output in the following BERTClassifier class). This MLP transforms the BERT representation of the special "<cls>" token, which encodes the information of both the premise and the hypothesis, into three outputs of natural language inference: entailment, contradiction, and neutral.

```
class BERTClassifier(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, bert):
        super(BERTClassifier, self).__init__()
        self.encoder = bert.encoder
        self.hidden = bert.hidden
        self.output = nn.Dense(3)
    def forward(self, inputs):
        tokens_X, segments_X, valid_lens_x = inputs
        encoded_X = self.encoder(tokens_X, segments_X, valid_lens_x)
        return self.output(self.hidden(encoded_X[:, 0, :]))
```

In the following, the pretrained BERT model bert is fed into the BERTClassifier instance net for the downstream application. In common implementations of BERT fine-tuning, only the parameters of the output layer of the additional MLP (net.output) will be learned from scratch. All the parameters of the pretrained BERT encoder (net.encoder) and the hidden layer of the additional MLP (net.hidden) will be fine-tuned.

```
net = BERTClassifier(bert)
net.output.initialize(ctx=devices)
```

Recall that in Section 15.8 both the MaskLM class and the NextSentencePred class have parameters in their employed MLPs. These parameters are part of those in the pretrained

BERT model bert, and thus part of parameters in net. However, such parameters are only for computing the masked language modeling loss and the next sentence prediction loss during pretraining. These two loss functions are irrelevant to fine-tuning downstream applications, thus the parameters of the employed MLPs in MaskLM and NextSentencePred are not updated (staled) when BERT is fine-tuned.

To allow parameters with stale gradients, the flag ignore_stale_grad=True is set in the step function of d2l.train_batch_ch13. We use this function to train and evaluate the model net using the training set (train_iter) and the testing set (test_iter) of SNLI. Due to the limited computational resources, the training and testing accuracy can be further improved: we leave its discussions in the exercises.







- We can fine-tune the pretrained BERT model for downstream applications, such as natural language inference on the SNLI dataset.
- During fine-tuning, the BERT model becomes part of the model for the downstream application. Parameters that are only related to pretraining loss will not be updated during fine-tuning.

Exercises

- Fine-tune a much larger pretrained BERT model that is about as big as the original BERT base model if your computational resource allows. Set arguments in the load_pretrained_model function as: replacing 'bert.small' with 'bert.base', increasing values of num_hiddens=256, ffn_num_hiddens=512, num_heads=4, and num_blks=2 to 768, 3072, 12, and 12, respectively. By increasing fine-tuning epochs (and possibly tuning other hyperparameters), can you get a testing accuracy higher than 0.86?
- 2. How to truncate a pair of sequences according to their ratio of length? Compare this pair truncation method and the one used in the SNLIBERTDataset class. What are their pros and cons?

Discussions²⁴⁴

Shuai Zhang (Amazon), Aston Zhang (Amazon), and Yi Tay (Google)

Recommender systems are widely employed in industry and are ubiquitous in our daily lives. These systems are utilized in a number of areas such as online shopping sites (e.g., amazon.com), music/movie services site (e.g., Netflix and Spotify), mobile application stores (e.g., IOS app store and google play), online advertising, just to name a few.

The major goal of recommender systems is to help users discover relevant items such as movies to watch, text to read or products to buy, so as to create a delightful user experience. Moreover, recommender systems are among the most powerful machine learning systems that online retailers implement in order to drive incremental revenue. Recommender systems are replacements of search engines by reducing the efforts in proactive searches and surprising users with offers they never searched for. Many companies managed to position themselves ahead of their competitors with the help of more effective recommender systems. As such, recommender systems are central to not only our everyday lives but also highly indispensable in some industries.

In this chapter, we will cover the fundamentals and advancements of recommender systems, along with exploring some common fundamental techniques for building recommender systems with different data sources available and their implementations. Specifically, you will learn how to predict the rating a user might give to a prospective item, how to generate a recommendation list of items and how to predict the click-through rate from abundant features. These tasks are commonplace in real-world applications. By studying this chapter, you will get hands-on experience pertaining to solving real world recommendation problems with not only classical methods but the more advanced deep learning based models as well.

17.1 Overview of Recommender Systems

In the last decade, the Internet has evolved into a platform for large-scale online services, which profoundly changed the way we communicate, read news, buy products, and watch movies. In the meanwhile, the unprecedented number of items (we use the term *item* to refer to movies, news, books, and products.) offered online requires a system that can help us discover items that we preferred. Recommender systems are therefore powerful information filtering tools that can facilitate personalized services and provide tailored experience to individual users. In short, recommender systems play a pivotal role in utilizing the wealth of data available to make choices manageable. Nowadays, recommender systems are at the core of a number of online services providers such as Amazon, Netflix, and YouTube. Recall the example of Deep learning books recommended by Amazon in Fig.1.3.3. The benefits of employing recommender systems are two-folds: On the one hand, it can largely reduce users' effort in finding items and alleviate the issue of information overload. On the other hand, it can add business value to online service providers and is an important source of revenue. This chapter will introduce the fundamental concepts, classic models and recent advances with deep learning in the field of recommender systems, together with implemented examples.





17.1.1 Collaborative Filtering

We start the journey with the important concept in recommender systems—collaborative filtering (CF), which was first coined by the Tapestry system (Goldberg *et al.*, 1992), referring to "people collaborate to help one another perform the filtering process in order to handle the large amounts of email and messages posted to newsgroups". This term has been enriched with more senses. In a broad sense, it is the process of filtering for information or patterns using techniques involving collaboration among multiple users, agents, and data sources. CF has many forms and numerous CF methods proposed since its advent.

Overall, CF techniques can be categorized into: memory-based CF, model-based CF, and their hybrid (Su and Khoshgoftaar, 2009). Representative memory-based CF techniques are nearest neighbor-based CF such as user-based CF and item-based CF (Sarwar *et al.*, 2001). Latent factor models such as matrix factorization are examples of model-based CF. Memory-based CF has limitations in dealing with sparse and large-scale data since it computes the similarity values based on common items. Model-based methods become more popular with its better capability in dealing with sparsity and scalability. Many model-based CF approaches can be extended with neural networks, leading to more flexible and scalable models with the computation acceleration in deep learning (Zhang *et al.*, 2019). In general, CF only uses the user-item interaction data to make predictions and recommendations. Besides CF, content-based and context-based recommender systems are also useful in incorporating the content descriptions of items/users and contextual signals such as timestamps and locations. Obviously, we may need to adjust the model types/structures when different input data is available.

17.1.2 Explicit Feedback and Implicit Feedback



To learn the preference of users, the system shall collect feedback from them. The feedback can be either explicit or implicit (Hu *et al.*, 2008). For example, IMDb²⁴⁵ collects star ratings ranging from one to ten stars for movies. YouTube provides the thumbs-up and thumbs-down buttons for users to show their preferences. It is apparent that gathering explicit feedback requires users to indicate their interests proactively. Nonetheless, explicit feedback is not always readily available as many users may be reluctant to rate products. Relatively speaking, implicit feedback is often readily available since it is mainly concerned with modeling implicit behavior such as user clicks. As such, many recommender systems are centered on implicit feedback which indirectly reflects user's opinion through observing user behavior. There are diverse forms of implicit feedback including purchase history, browsing history, watches and even mouse movements. For example, a user that purchased many books by the same author probably likes that author. Note that implicit feedback is inherently noisy. We can only *guess* their preferences and true motives. A user watched a movie does not necessarily indicate a positive view of that movie.

17.1.3 Recommendation Tasks

A number of recommendation tasks have been investigated in the past decades. Based on the domain of applications, there are movies recommendation, news recommendations, point-of-interest recommendation (Ye *et al.*, 2011) and so forth. It is also possible to differentiate the tasks based on the types of feedback and input data, for example, the rating prediction task aims to predict the explicit ratings. Top-*n* recommendation (item ranking) ranks all items for each user personally based on the implicit feedback. If time-stamp information is also included, we can build sequence-aware recommendation (Quadrana *et al.*, 2018). Another popular task is called click-through rate prediction, which is also based on implicit feedback, but various categorical features can be utilized. Recommending for new users and recommending new items to existing users are called cold-start recommendation (Schein *et al.*, 2002).

17.1.4 Summary

- Recommender systems are important for individual users and industries. Collaborative filtering is a key concept in recommendation.
- There are two types of feedbacks: implicit feedback and explicit feedback. A number of recommendation tasks have been explored during the last decade.

Exercises

- 1. Can you explain how recommender systems influence your daily life?
- 2. What interesting recommendation tasks do you think can be investigated?

Discussions²⁴⁶

17.2 The MovieLens Dataset

There are a number of datasets that are available for recommendation research. Amongst them, the MovieLens²⁴⁷ dataset is probably one of the more popular ones. MovieLens is a non-commercial web-based movie recommender system. It is created in 1997 and run by GroupLens, a research lab at the University of Minnesota, in order to gather movie rating data for research purposes. MovieLens data has been critical for several research studies including personalized recommendation and social psychology.

17.2.1 Getting the Data

The MovieLens dataset is hosted by the GroupLens²⁴⁸ website. Several versions are available. We will use the MovieLens 100K dataset (Herlocker *et al.*, 1999). This dataset is comprised of 100,000 ratings, ranging from 1 to 5 stars, from 943 users on 1682 movies. It has been cleaned up so that each user has rated at least 20 movies. Some simple demographic information such as age, gender, genres for the users and items are also available. We can download the ml-100k.zip²⁴⁹ and extract the u.data file, which contains all the 100,000 ratings in the csv format. There are many other files in the folder, a detailed description for each file can be found in the README²⁵⁰ file of the dataset.

To begin with, let's import the packages required to run this section's experiments.









250

```
import os
import pandas as pd
from mxnet import gluon, np
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

Then, we download the MovieLens 100k dataset and load the interactions as DataFrame.

17.2.2 Statistics of the Dataset

Let's load up the data and inspect the first five records manually. It is an effective way to learn the data structure and verify that they have been loaded properly.

```
data, num_users, num_items = read_data_ml100k()
sparsity = 1 - len(data) / (num_users * num_items)
print(f'number of users: {num_users}, number of items: {num_items}')
print(f'matrix sparsity: {sparsity:f}')
print(data.head(5))
/tmp/ipykernel_113683/320238303.py:10: FutureWarning: In a future version_
→of pandas all arguments of read_csv except for the argument 'filepath_
→or_buffer' will be keyword-only.
  data = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(data_dir, 'u.data'), 't', names=names,
number of users: 943, number of items: 1682
matrix sparsity: 0.936953
   user_id item_id rating timestamp
0
       196
                242
                           3 881250949
       186
                302
                           3 891717742
1
2
        22
                377
                           1 878887116
3
       244
                 51
                           2 880606923
4
       166
                346
                           1
                              886397596
```

We can see that each line consists of four columns, including "user id" 1-943, "item id" 1-1682, "rating" 1-5 and "timestamp". We can construct an interaction matrix of size $n \times m$, where n and m are the number of users and the number of items respectively. This dataset only records the existing ratings, so we can also call it rating matrix and we will use interaction matrix and rating matrix interchangeably in case that the values of this matrix represent exact ratings. Most of the values in the rating matrix are unknown as users have not rated the majority of movies. We also show the sparsity of this dataset. The sparsity is defined as 1 – number of nonzero entries / (number of users * number of items). Clearly, the interaction matrix is extremely sparse (i.e., sparsity = 93.695%). Real world datasets may suffer from a greater extent of sparsity and has been a long-standing challenge

in building recommender systems. A viable solution is to use additional side information such as user/item features to alleviate the sparsity.

We then plot the distribution of the count of different ratings. As expected, it appears to be a normal distribution, with most ratings centered at 3-4.

```
d2l.plt.hist(data['rating'], bins=5, ec='black')
d2l.plt.xlabel('Rating')
d2l.plt.ylabel('Count')
d2l.plt.title('Distribution of Ratings in MovieLens 100K')
d2l.plt.show()
```



Distribution of Ratings in MovieLens 100K

17.2.3 Splitting the dataset

We split the dataset into training and test sets. The following function provides two split modes including random and seq-aware. In the random mode, the function splits the 100k interactions randomly without considering timestamp and uses the 90% of the data as training samples and the rest 10% as test samples by default. In the seq-aware mode, we leave out the item that a user rated most recently for test, and users' historical interactions as training set. User historical interactions are sorted from oldest to newest based on timestamp. This mode will be used in the sequence-aware recommendation section.

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
train_data = pd.DataFrame(train_data)
  test_data = pd.DataFrame(test_data)
else:
  mask = [True if x == 1 else False for x in np.random.uniform(
        0, 1, (len(data))) < 1 - test_ratio]
        neg_mask = [not x for x in mask]
        train_data, test_data = data[mask], data[neg_mask]
return train_data, test_data</pre>
```

Note that it is good practice to use a validation set in practice, apart from only a test set. However, we omit that for the sake of brevity. In this case, our test set can be regarded as our held-out validation set.

17.2.4 Loading the data

After dataset splitting, we will convert the training set and test set into lists and dictionaries/matrix for the sake of convenience. The following function reads the dataframe line by line and enumerates the index of users/items start from zero. The function then returns lists of users, items, ratings and a dictionary/matrix that records the interactions. We can specify the type of feedback to either explicit or implicit.

```
#@save
def load_data_ml100k(data, num_users, num_items, feedback='explicit'):
    users, items, scores = [], [], []
    inter = np.zeros((num_items, num_users)) if feedback == 'explicit' else {}
    for line in data.itertuples():
        user_index, item_index = int(line[1] - 1), int(line[2] - 1)
        score = int(line[3]) if feedback == 'explicit' else 1
        users.append(user_index)
        items.append(item_index)
        scores.append(score)
        if feedback == 'implicit':
            inter.setdefault(user_index, []).append(item_index)
        else:
            inter[item_index, user_index] = score
    return users, items, scores, inter
```

Afterwards, we put the above steps together and it will be used in the next section. The results are wrapped with Dataset and DataLoader. Note that the last_batch of DataLoader for training data is set to the rollover mode (The remaining samples are rolled over to the next epoch.) and orders are shuffled.

```
#@save
def split_and_load_ml100k(split_mode='seq-aware', feedback='explicit',
                          test_ratio=0.1, batch_size=256):
    data, num_users, num_items = read_data_ml100k()
    train_data, test_data = split_data_ml100k(
        data, num_users, num_items, split_mode, test_ratio)
    train_u, train_i, train_r, _ = load_data_ml100k(
       train_data, num_users, num_items, feedback)
    test_u, test_i, test_r, _ = load_data_ml100k(
       test_data, num_users, num_items, feedback)
    train_set = gluon.data.ArrayDataset(
       np array(train_u), np array(train_i), np array(train_r))
    test_set = gluon.data.ArrayDataset(
       np array(test_u), np array(test_i), np array(test_r))
    train_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
       train_set, shuffle=True, last_batch='rollover',
       batch_size=batch_size)
    test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
```

(continued from previous page)

```
test_set, batch_size=batch_size)
return num_users, num_items, train_iter, test_iter
```

17.2.5 Summary

- MovieLens datasets are widely used for recommendation research. It is public available and free to use.
- We define functions to download and preprocess the MovieLens 100k dataset for further use in later sections.

Exercises

- What other similar recommendation datasets can you find?
- Go through the https://movielens.org/ site for more information about MovieLens.

Discussions²⁵¹



17.3 Matrix Factorization

Matrix Factorization (Koren *et al.*, 2009) is a well-established algorithm in the recommender systems literature. The first version of matrix factorization model is proposed by Simon Funk in a famous blog post²⁵² in which he described the idea of factorizing the interaction matrix. It then became widely known due to the Netflix contest which was held in 2006. At that time, Netflix, a media-streaming and video-rental company, announced a contest to improve its recommender system performance. The best team that can improve on the Netflix baseline, i.e., Cinematch), by 10 percent would win a one million USD prize. As such, this contest attracted a lot of attention to the field of recommender system research. Subsequently, the grand prize was won by the BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos team, a combined team of BellKor, Pragmatic Theory, and BigChaos (you do not need to worry about these algorithms now). Although the final score was the result of an ensemble solution (i.e., a combination of many algorithms), the matrix factorization algorithm played a critical role in the final blend. The technical report of the Netflix Grand Prize solution (Töscher *et al.*, 2009) provides a detailed introduction to the adopted model. In this section, we will dive into the details of the matrix factorization model and its implementation.

17.3.1 The Matrix Factorization Model

Matrix factorization is a class of collaborative filtering models. Specifically, the model factorizes the user-item interaction matrix (e.g., rating matrix) into the product of two lower-rank matrices, capturing the low-rank structure of the user-item interactions.

Let $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ denote the interaction matrix with m users and n items, and the values of R represent explicit ratings. The user-item interaction will be factorized into a user latent matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and an item latent matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, where $k \ll m, n$, is the latent factor size. Let p_u denote the u^{th} row of P and q_i denote the i^{th} row of Q. For a given item i, the elements of q_i measure the extent to which the item possesses those characteristics such as the genres and languages of a movie. For a given user u, the elements of p_u measure



the extent of interest the user has in items' corresponding characteristics. These latent factors might measure obvious dimensions as mentioned in those examples or are completely uninterpretable. The predicted ratings can be estimated by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{R}} = \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \tag{17.3.1}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the predicted rating matrix which has the same shape as \mathbf{R} . One major problem of this prediction rule is that users/items biases can not be modeled. For example, some users tend to give higher ratings or some items always get lower ratings due to poorer quality. These biases are commonplace in real-world applications. To capture these biases, user specific and item specific bias terms are introduced. Specifically, the predicted rating user u gives to item i is calculated by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{ui} = \boldsymbol{p}_u \boldsymbol{q}_i^{\top} + b_u + b_i \tag{17.3.2}$$

Then, we train the matrix factorization model by minimizing the mean squared error between predicted rating scores and real rating scores. The objective function is defined as follows:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{Q},b}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{(u,i)\in\mathcal{K}} \|\boldsymbol{R}_{ui} - \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}_{ui}\|^2 + \lambda(\|\boldsymbol{P}\|_F^2 + \|\boldsymbol{Q}\|_F^2 + b_u^2 + b_i^2)$$
(17.3.3)

where λ denotes the regularization rate. The regularizing term $\lambda(\|\boldsymbol{P}\|_F^2 + \|\boldsymbol{Q}\|_F^2 + b_u^2 + b_i^2)$ is used to avoid over-fitting by penalizing the magnitude of the parameters. The (u, i) pairs for which \boldsymbol{R}_{ui} is known are stored in the set $\mathcal{K} = \{(u, i) \mid \boldsymbol{R}_{ui} \text{ is known}\}$. The model parameters can be learned with an optimization algorithm, such as Stochastic Gradient Descent and Adam.

An intuitive illustration of the matrix factorization model is shown below:



Figure 17.3.1 Illustration of matrix factorization model

In the rest of this section, we will explain the implementation of matrix factorization and train

```
import mxnet as mx
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
```

the model on the MovieLens dataset.

17.3.2 Model Implementation

First, we implement the matrix factorization model described above. The user and item latent factors can be created with the nn.Embedding. The input_dim is the number of items/users and the (output_dim) is the dimension of the latent factors (k). We can also

use nn.Embedding to create the user/item biases by setting the output_dim to one. In the forward function, user and item ids are used to look up the embeddings.

```
class MF(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_factors, num_users, num_items, **kwargs):
        super(MF, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.P = nn.Embedding(input_dim=num_users, output_dim=num_factors)
        self.Q = nn.Embedding(input_dim=num_items, output_dim=num_factors)
        self.user_bias = nn.Embedding(num_users, 1)
        self.item_bias = nn.Embedding(num_items, 1)
    def forward(self, user_id, item_id):
        P_u = self.P(user_id)
        Q_i = self.Q(item_id)
        b_u = self.user_bias(user_id)
        b_i = self.item_bias(item_id)
        outputs = (P_u * Q_i).sum(axis=1) + np.squeeze(b_u) + np.squeeze(b_i)
        return outputs.flatten()
```

17.3.3 Evaluation Measures

We then implement the RMSE (root-mean-square error) measure, which is commonly used to measure the differences between rating scores predicted by the model and the actually observed ratings (ground truth) (Gunawardana and Shani, 2015). RMSE is defined as:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \sum_{(u,i)\in\mathcal{T}} (\mathbf{R}_{ui} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ui})^2}$$
(17.3.4)

where \mathcal{T} is the set consisting of pairs of users and items that you want to evaluate on. $|\mathcal{T}|$ is the size of this set. We can use the RMSE function provided by mx.metric.

```
def evaluator(net, test_iter, devices):
    rmse = mx.metric.RMSE()  # Get the RMSE
    rmse_list = []
    for idx, (users, items, ratings) in enumerate(test_iter):
        u = gluon.utils.split_and_load(users, devices, even_split=False)
        i = gluon.utils.split_and_load(items, devices, even_split=False)
        r_ui = gluon.utils.split_and_load(ratings, devices, even_split=False)
        r_hat = [net(u, i) for u, i in zip(u, i)]
        rmse_list.append(rmse.get()[1])
    return float(np.mean(np.array(rmse_list)))
```

17.3.4 Training and Evaluating the Model

In the training function, we adopt the ℓ_2 loss with weight decay. The weight decay mechanism has the same effect as the ℓ_2 regularization.

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
values = values if isinstance(values, list) else [values]
        for v in values:
            input_data.append(gluon.utils.split_and_load(v, devices))
        train_feat = input_data[:-1] if len(values) > 1 else input_data
        train_label = input_data[-1]
        with autograd.record():
           preds = [net(*t) for t in zip(*train_feat)]
            ls = [loss(p, s) for p, s in zip(preds, train_label)]
        [l.backward() for l in ls]
        1 += sum([l.asnumpy() for l in ls]).mean() / len(devices)
        trainer.step(values[0].shape[0])
       metric.add(1, values[0].shape[0], values[0].size)
       timer.stop()
    if len(kwargs) > 0: # It will be used in section AutoRec
        test_rmse = evaluator(net, test_iter, kwargs['inter_mat'],
                              devices)
   else:
       test_rmse = evaluator(net, test_iter, devices)
    train_1 = 1 / (i + 1)
   animator.add(epoch + 1, (train_l, test_rmse))
print(f'train loss {metric[0] / metric[1]:.3f},
     f'test RMSE {test_rmse:.3f}')
print(f'{metric[2] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f} examples/sec '
      f'on {str(devices)}')
```

Finally, let's put all things together and train the model. Here, we set the latent factor dimension to 30.

```
train loss 0.064, test RMSE 1.052
43167.3 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



Below, we use the trained model to predict the rating that a user (ID 20) might give to an item (ID 30).

scores = net(np.array([20], dtype='int', ctx=devices[0]),

(continued from previous page)

np.array([30], dtype='int', ctx=devices[0]))

scores

array([2.8453004], ctx=gpu(0))

17.3.5 Summary

- The matrix factorization model is widely used in recommender systems. It can be used to predict ratings that a user might give to an item.
- We can implement and train matrix factorization for recommender systems.

Exercises

- Vary the size of latent factors. How does the size of latent factors influence the model performance?
- Try different optimizers, learning rates, and weight decay rates.
- Check the predicted rating scores of other users for a specific movie.

Discussions²⁵³



17.4 AutoRec: Rating Prediction with Autoencoders

Although the matrix factorization model achieves decent performance on the rating prediction task, it is essentially a linear model. Thus, such models are not capable of capturing complex nonlinear and intricate relationships that may be predictive of users' preferences. In this section, we introduce a nonlinear neural network collaborative filtering model, AutoRec (Sedhain *et al.*, 2015). It identifies collaborative filtering (CF) with an autoencoder architecture and aims to integrate nonlinear transformations into CF on the basis of explicit feedback. Neural networks have been proven to be capable of approximating any continuous function, making it suitable to address the limitation of matrix factorization and enrich the expressiveness of matrix factorization.

On the one hand, AutoRec has the same structure as an autoencoder which consists of an input layer, a hidden layer, and a reconstruction (output) layer. An autoencoder is a neural network that learns to copy its input to its output in order to code the inputs into the hidden (and usually low-dimensional) representations. In AutoRec, instead of explicitly embedding users/items into low-dimensional space, it uses the column/row of the interaction matrix as input, then reconstructs the interaction matrix in the output layer.

On the other hand, AutoRec differs from a traditional autoencoder: rather than learning the hidden representations, AutoRec focuses on learning/reconstructing the output layer. It uses a partially observed interaction matrix as input, aiming to reconstruct a completed rating matrix. In the meantime, the missing entries of the input are filled in the output layer via reconstruction for the purpose of recommendation.

There are two variants of AutoRec: user-based and item-based. For brevity, here we only introduce the item-based AutoRec. User-based AutoRec can be derived accordingly.

17.4.1 Model

Let R_{*i} denote the i^{th} column of the rating matrix, where unknown ratings are set to zeros by default. The neural architecture is defined as:

$$h(\mathbf{R}_{*i}) = f(\mathbf{W} \cdot g(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{R}_{*i} + \mu) + b)$$
(17.4.1)

where $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ represent activation functions, W and V are weight matrices, μ and b are biases. Let $h(\cdot)$ denote the whole network of AutoRec. The output $h(\mathbf{R}_{*i})$ is the reconstruction of the i^{th} column of the rating matrix.

The following objective function aims to minimize the reconstruction error:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{V},\mu,b}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \| \boldsymbol{R}_{*i} - h(\boldsymbol{R}_{*i}) \|_{\mathcal{O}}^{2} + \lambda(\| \boldsymbol{W} \|_{F}^{2} + \| \boldsymbol{V} \|_{F}^{2})$$
(17.4.2)

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{O}}$ means only the contribution of observed ratings are considered, that is, only weights that are associated with observed inputs are updated during back-propagation.

```
import mxnet as mx
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

17.4.2 Implementing the Model

A typical autoencoder consists of an encoder and a decoder. The encoder projects the input to hidden representations and the decoder maps the hidden layer to the reconstruction layer. We follow this practice and create the encoder and decoder with fully connected layers. The activation of encoder is set to sigmoid by default and no activation is applied for decoder. Dropout is included after the encoding transformation to reduce over-fitting. The gradients of unobserved inputs are masked out to ensure that only observed ratings contribute to the model learning process.

17.4.3 Reimplementing the Evaluator

Since the input and output have been changed, we need to reimplement the evaluation function, while we still use RMSE as the accuracy measure.

17.4.4 Training and Evaluating the Model

Now, let's train and evaluate AutoRec on the MovieLens dataset. We can clearly see that the test RMSE is lower than the matrix factorization model, confirming the effectiveness of neural networks in the rating prediction task.

```
devices = d2l.try_all_gpus()
# Load the MovieLens 100K dataset
df, num_users, num_items = d21.read_data_ml100k()
train_data, test_data = d2l.split_data_ml100k(df, num_users, num_items)
_, _, _, train_inter_mat = d2l.load_data_ml100k(train_data, num_users,
                                                num_items)
_, _, _, test_inter_mat = d2l.load_data_ml100k(test_data, num_users,
                                               num_items)
train_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(train_inter_mat, shuffle=True,
                                   last_batch="rollover", batch_size=256,
                                   num_workers=d2l.get_dataloader_workers())
test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(np.array(train_inter_mat), shuffle=False,
                                  last_batch="keep", batch_size=1024
                                  num_workers=d2l.get_dataloader_workers())
# Model initialization, training, and evaluation
net = AutoRec(500, num_users)
net.initialize(ctx=devices, force_reinit=True, init=mx.init.Normal(0.01))
lr, num_epochs, wd, optimizer = 0.002, 25, 1e-5, 'adam'
loss = gluon.loss.L2Loss()
trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), optimizer,
                        {"learning_rate": lr, 'wd': wd})
d21.train_recsys_rating(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, num_epochs,
                        devices, evaluator, inter_mat=test_inter_mat)
```

```
train loss 0.000, test RMSE 0.901
29013525.2 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



17.4.5 Summary

- We can frame the matrix factorization algorithm with autoencoders, while integrating nonlinear layers and dropout regularization.
- Experiments on the MovieLens 100K dataset show that AutoRec achieves superior performance than matrix factorization.

Exercises

- Vary the hidden dimension of AutoRec to see its impact on the model performance.
- Try to add more hidden layers. Is it helpful to improve the model performance?
- Can you find a better combination of decoder and encoder activation functions?

Discussions²⁵⁴

17.5 Personalized Ranking for Recommender Systems

In the former sections, only explicit feedback was considered and models were trained and tested on observed ratings. There are two demerits of such methods: First, most feedback is not explicit but implicit in real-world scenarios, and explicit feedback can be more expensive to collect. Second, non-observed user-item pairs which may be predictive for users' interests are totally ignored, making these methods unsuitable for cases where ratings are not missing at random but because of users' preferences. Non-observed user-item pairs are a mixture of real negative feedback (users are not interested in the items) and missing values (the user might interact with the items in the future). We simply ignore the non-observed pairs in matrix factorization and AutoRec. Clearly, these models are incapable of distinguishing between observed and non-observed pairs and are usually not suitable for personalized ranking tasks.

To this end, a class of recommendation models targeting at generating ranked recommendation lists from implicit feedback have gained popularity. In general, personalized ranking models can be optimized with pointwise, pairwise or listwise approaches. Pointwise approaches considers a single interaction at a time and train a classifier or a regressor to predict individual preferences. Matrix factorization and AutoRec are optimized with pointwise objectives. Pairwise approaches consider a pair of items for each user and aim to approximate the optimal ordering for that pair. Usually, pairwise approaches are more suitable for the ranking task because predicting relative order is reminiscent to the nature of ranking. Listwise approaches approximate the ordering of the entire list of items, for example, direct optimizing the ranking measures such as Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG²⁵⁵). However, listwise approaches are more complex and compute-intensive than pointwise or pairwise approaches. In this section, we will introduce two pairwise objectives/losses, Bayesian Personalized Ranking loss and Hinge loss, and their respective implementations.



17.5.1 Bayesian Personalized Ranking Loss and its Implementation

Bayesian personalized ranking (BPR) (Rendle *et al.*, 2009) is a pairwise personalized ranking loss that is derived from the maximum posterior estimator. It has been widely used in many existing recommendation models. The training data of BPR consists of both positive and

negative pairs (missing values). It assumes that the user prefers the positive item over all other non-observed items.

In formal, the training data is constructed by tuples in the form of (u, i, j), which represents that the user u prefers the item i over the item j. The Bayesian formulation of BPR which aims to maximize the posterior probability is given below:

$$p(\Theta \mid >_u) \propto p(>_u \mid \Theta) p(\Theta) \tag{17.5.1}$$

Where Θ represents the parameters of an arbitrary recommendation model, $>_u$ represents the desired personalized total ranking of all items for user u. We can formulate the maximum posterior estimator to derive the generic optimization criterion for the personalized ranking task.

$$BPR-OPT := \ln p(\Theta \mid >_{u})$$

$$\propto \ln p(>_{u} \mid \Theta)p(\Theta)$$

$$= \ln \prod_{(u,i,j\in D)} \sigma(\hat{y}_{ui} - \hat{y}_{uj})p(\Theta)$$

$$= \sum_{(u,i,j\in D)} \ln \sigma(\hat{y}_{ui} - \hat{y}_{uj}) + \ln p(\Theta)$$

$$= \sum_{(u,i,j\in D)} \ln \sigma(\hat{y}_{ui} - \hat{y}_{uj}) - \lambda_{\Theta} \|\Theta\|^{2}$$
(17.5.2)

where $D := \{(u, i, j) \mid i \in I_u^+ \land j \in I \setminus I_u^+\}$ is the training set, with I_u^+ denoting the items the user u liked, I denoting all items, and $I \setminus I_u^+$ indicating all other items excluding items the user liked. \hat{y}_{ui} and \hat{y}_{uj} are the predicted scores of the user u to item i and j, respectively. The prior $p(\Theta)$ is a normal distribution with zero mean and variance-covariance matrix Σ_{Θ} . Here, we let $\Sigma_{\Theta} = \lambda_{\Theta} I$.



We will implement the base class mxnet.gluon.loss.Loss and override the forward method to construct the Bayesian personalized ranking loss. We begin by importing the Loss class and the np module.

from mxnet import gluon, np, npx

npx.set_np()

The implementation of BPR loss is as follows.

```
#@save
class BPRLoss(gluon.loss.Loss):
    def __init__(self, weight=None, batch_axis=0, **kwargs):
        super(BPRLoss, self).__init__(weight=None, batch_axis=0, **kwargs)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
def forward(self, positive, negative):
    distances = positive - negative
    loss = - np.sum(np.log(npx.sigmoid(distances)), 0, keepdims=True)
    return loss
```

17.5.2 Hinge Loss and its Implementation



The Hinge loss for ranking has different form to the hinge loss ²⁵⁶ provided within the gluon library that is often used in classifiers such as SVMs. The loss used for ranking in recommender systems has the following form.

$$\sum_{(u,i,j\in D)} \max(m - \hat{y}_{ui} + \hat{y}_{uj}, 0)$$
(17.5.3)

where m is the safety margin size. It aims to push negative items away from positive items. Similar to BPR, it aims to optimize for relevant distance between positive and negative samples instead of absolute outputs, making it well suited to recommender systems.

These two losses are interchangeable for personalized ranking in recommendation.

17.5.3 Summary

- There are three types of ranking losses available for the personalized ranking task in recommender systems, namely, pointwise, pairwise and listwise methods.
- The two pairwise loses, Bayesian personalized ranking loss and hinge loss, can be used interchangeably.

Exercises

- Are there any variants of BPR and hinge loss available?
- Can you find any recommendation models that use BPR or hinge loss?

Discussions²⁵⁷



17.6 Neural Collaborative Filtering for Personalized Ranking

This section moves beyond explicit feedback, introducing the neural collaborative filtering (NCF) framework for recommendation with implicit feedback. Implicit feedback is perva-

sive in recommender systems. Actions such as Clicks, buys, and watches are common implicit feedback which are easy to collect and indicative of users' preferences. The model we will introduce, titled NeuMF (He *et al.*, 2017), short for neural matrix factorization, aims to address the personalized ranking task with implicit feedback. This model leverages the flexibility and non-linearity of neural networks to replace dot products of matrix factorization, aiming at enhancing the model expressiveness. In specific, this model is structured with two subnetworks including generalized matrix factorization (GMF) and MLP and models the interactions from two pathways instead of simple dot products. The outputs of these two networks are concatenated for the final prediction scores calculation. Unlike the rating prediction task in AutoRec, this model generates a ranked recommendation list to each user based on the implicit feedback. We will use the personalized ranking loss introduced in the last section to train this model.

17.6.1 The NeuMF model

As aforementioned, NeuMF fuses two subnetworks. The GMF is a generic neural network version of matrix factorization where the input is the elementwise product of user and item latent factors. It consists of two neural layers:

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{p}_u \odot \boldsymbol{q}_i$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{ui} = \alpha(\boldsymbol{h}^\top \boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (17.6.1)$$

where \odot denotes the Hadamard product of vectors. $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ correspond to user and item latent matrix respectively. $p_u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the u^{th} row of P and $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the i^{th} row of Q. α and h denote the activation function and weight of the output layer. \hat{y}_{ui} is the prediction score of the user u might give to the item i.

į

Another component of this model is MLP. To enrich model flexibility, the MLP subnetwork does not share user and item embeddings with GMF. It uses the concatenation of user and item embeddings as input. With the complicated connections and nonlinear transformations, it is capable of estimating the intricate interactions between users and items. More precisely, the MLP subnetwork is defined as:

$$z^{(1)} = \phi_1(U_u, V_i) = [U_u, V_i]$$

$$\phi^{(2)}(z^{(1)}) = \alpha^1(W^{(2)}z^{(1)} + b^{(2)})$$

...

$$\phi^{(L)}(z^{(L-1)}) = \alpha^L(W^{(L)}z^{(L-1)} + b^{(L)}))$$

$$\hat{y}_{ui} = \alpha(h^\top \phi^L(z^{(L-1)}))$$

(17.6.2)

where W^*, b^* and α^* denote the weight matrix, bias vector, and activation function. ϕ^* denotes the function of the corresponding layer. z^* denotes the output of corresponding layer.

To fuse the results of GMF and MLP, instead of simple addition, NeuMF concatenates the second last layers of two subnetworks to create a feature vector which can be passed to the further layers. Afterwards, the outputs are projected with matrix h and a sigmoid activation function. The prediction layer is formulated as:

$$\hat{y}_{ui} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{h}^{\top}[\boldsymbol{x}, \phi^L(z^{(L-1)})]).$$
 (17.6.3)

The following figure illustrates the model architecture of NeuMF.

```
import random
import mxnet as mx
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```



17.6.2 Model Implementation

The following code implements the NeuMF model. It consists of a generalized matrix factorization model and an MLP with different user and item embedding vectors. The structure of the MLP is controlled with the parameter nums_hiddens. ReLU is used as the default activation function.

```
class NeuMF(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_factors, num_users, num_items, nums_hiddens,
                 **kwargs):
        super(NeuMF, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.P = nn.Embedding(num_users, num_factors)
        self.Q = nn.Embedding(num_items, num_factors)
        self.U = nn.Embedding(num_users, num_factors)
        self.V = nn.Embedding(num_items, num_factors)
        self.mlp = nn.Sequential()
        for num_hiddens in nums_hiddens:
            self.mlp.add(nn.Dense(num_hiddens, activation='relu',
                                  use_bias=True))
        self.prediction_layer = nn.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid', use_
→bias=False)
    def forward(self, user_id, item_id):
        p_mf = self.P(user_id)
        q_mf = self.Q(item_id)
        gmf = p_mf * q_mf
        p_mlp = self.U(user_id)
        q_mlp = self.V(item_id)
        mlp = self.mlp(np.concatenate([p_mlp, q_mlp], axis=1))
        con_res = np.concatenate([gmf, mlp], axis=1)
        return self.prediction_layer(con_res)
```

17.6.3 Customized Dataset with Negative Sampling

For pairwise ranking loss, an important step is negative sampling. For each user, the items that a user has not interacted with are candidate items (unobserved entries). The following

function takes users identity and candidate items as input, and samples negative items randomly for each user from the candidate set of that user. During the training stage, the model ensures that the items that a user likes to be ranked higher than items he dislikes or has not interacted with.

```
class PRDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
    def __init__(self, users, items, candidates, num_items):
        self.users = users
        self.items = items
        self.cand = candidates
        self.all = set([i for i in range(num_items)])
    def __len__(self):
        return len(self.users)
    def __getitem__(self, idx):
        neg_items = list(self.all - set(self.cand[int(self.users[idx])]))
        indices = random.randint(0, len(neg_items) - 1)
        return self.users[idx], self.items[idx], neg_items[indices]
```

17.6.4 Evaluator

In this section, we adopt the splitting by time strategy to construct the training and test sets. Two evaluation measures including hit rate at given cutting off ℓ (Hit@ ℓ) and area under the ROC curve (AUC) are used to assess the model effectiveness. Hit rate at given position ℓ for each user indicates that whether the recommended item is included in the top ℓ ranked list. The formal definition is as follows:

$$\operatorname{Hit}@\ell = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbf{1}(\operatorname{rank}_{u,g_u} <= \ell), \qquad (17.6.4)$$

where 1 denotes an indicator function that is equal to one if the ground truth item is ranked in the top ℓ list, otherwise it is equal to zero. $rank_{u,g_u}$ denotes the ranking of the ground truth item g_u of the user u in the recommendation list (The ideal ranking is 1). m is the number of users. \mathcal{U} is the user set.

The definition of AUC is as follows:

$$AUC = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{I} \setminus S_u|} \sum_{j \in I \setminus S_u} \mathbf{1}(rank_{u,g_u} < rank_{u,j}),$$
(17.6.5)

where \mathcal{I} is the item set. S_u is the candidate items of user u. Note that many other evaluation protocols such as precision, recall and normalized discounted cumulative gain (NDCG) can also be used.

The following function calculates the hit counts and AUC for each user.

Then, the overall Hit rate and AUC are calculated as follows.

#@save
def evaluate_ranking(net, test_input, seq, candidates, num_users, num_items,

(continued from previous page)

```
devices):
ranked_list, ranked_items, hit_rate, auc = {}, {}, [], []
all_items = set([i for i in range(num_users)])
for u in range(num_users):
    neg_items = list(all_items - set(candidates[int(u)]))
    user_ids, item_ids, x, scores = [], [], [], []
    [item_ids.append(i) for i in neg_items]
    [user_ids.append(u) for _ in neg_items]
    x.extend([np.array(user_ids)])
    if seq is not None:
       x.append(seq[user_ids, :])
    x.extend([np.array(item_ids)])
    test_data_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
       gluon.data.ArrayDataset(*x), shuffle=False, last_batch="keep",
       batch_size=1024)
    for index, values in enumerate(test_data_iter):
        x = [gluon.utils.split_and_load(v, devices, even_split=False)
            for v in values]
        scores.extend([list(net(*t).asnumpy()) for t in zip(*x)])
    scores = [item for sublist in scores for item in sublist]
    item_scores = list(zip(item_ids, scores))
    ranked_list[u] = sorted(item_scores, key=lambda t: t[1], reverse=True)
    ranked_items[u] = [r[0] for r in ranked_list[u]]
    temp = hit_and_auc(ranked_items[u], test_input[u], 50)
    hit_rate.append(temp[0])
    auc.append(temp[1])
return np.mean(np.array(hit_rate)), np.mean(np.array(auc))
```

17.6.5 Training and Evaluating the Model

The training function is defined below. We train the model in the pairwise manner.

```
#@save
def train_ranking(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, test_seq_iter,
                  num_users, num_items, num_epochs, devices, evaluator,
                  candidates, eval_step=1):
    timer, hit_rate, auc = d2l.Timer(), 0, 0
animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', xlim=[1, num_epochs], ylim=[0, 1],
                             legend=['test hit rate', 'test AUC'])
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        metric, l = d2l.Accumulator(3), 0.
        for i, values in enumerate(train_iter):
            input_data = []
            for v in values:
                input_data.append(gluon.utils.split_and_load(v, devices))
            with autograd.record():
                p_pos = [net(*t) for t in zip(*input_data[:-1])]
                p_neg = [net(*t) for t in zip(*input_data[:-2],
                                                input_data[-1])]
                ls = [loss(p, n) for p, n in zip(p_pos, p_neg)]
            [l.backward(retain_graph=False) for l in ls]
            1 += sum([1.asnumpy() for l in ls]).mean()/len(devices)
            trainer.step(values[0].shape[0])
            metric.add(1, values[0].shape[0], values[0].size)
            timer.stop()
        with autograd.predict_mode():
            if (epoch + 1) % eval_step == 0:
                hit_rate, auc = evaluator(net, test_iter, test_seq_iter,
                                            candidates, num_users, num_items,
                                            devices)
                animator.add(epoch + 1, (hit_rate, auc))
    print(f'train loss {metric[0] / metric[1]:.3f},
          f'test hit rate {float(hit_rate):.3f}, test AUC {float(auc):.3f}')
    print(f'{metric[2] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f} examples/sec
          f'on {str(devices)}')
```

Now, we can load the MovieLens 100k dataset and train the model. Since there are only ratings in the MovieLens dataset, with some losses of accuracy, we binarize these ratings to zeros and ones. If a user rated an item, we consider the implicit feedback as one, otherwise as zero. The action of rating an item can be treated as a form of providing implicit feedback. Here, we split the dataset in the seq-aware mode where users' latest interacted items are left out for test.

```
Downloading ../data/ml-100k.zip from https://files.grouplens.org/datasets/
movielens/ml\unbox\voidb@x\kern\z@\char`\protect\discretionary{\char\hyphenchar\
font}{}100k.zip...
```

/home/d2l-worker/miniconda3/envs/d2l-en-release-0/lib/python3.9/site-packages/ →d2l/mxnet.py:2555: FutureWarning: In a future version of pandas all arguments_ →of read_csv except for the argument 'filepath_or_buffer' will be keyword-only. →

```
data = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(data_dir, 'u.data'), 't', names=names,
```

We then create and initialize the model. we use a three-layer MLP with constant hidden size 10.

```
devices = d2l.try_all_gpus()
net = NeuMF(10, num_users, num_items, nums_hiddens=[10, 10, 10])
net.initialize(ctx=devices, force_reinit=True, init=mx.init.Normal(0.01))
```

The following code trains the model.

```
train loss 16.982, test hit rate 0.075, test AUC 0.531
12.6 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```

17.6.6 Summary

- Adding nonlinearity to matrix factorization model is beneficial for improving the model capability and effectiveness.
- NeuMF is a combination of matrix factorization and an MLP. The MLP takes the concatenation of user and item embeddings as input.



- Vary the size of latent factors. How the size of latent factors impact the model performance?
- Vary the architectures (e.g., number of layers, number of neurons of each layer) of the MLP to check the its impact on the performance.
- Try different optimizers, learning rate and weight decay rate.
- Try to use hinge loss defined in the last section to optimize this model.

Discussions²⁵⁸



17.7 Sequence-Aware Recommender Systems

In previous sections, we abstract the recommendation task as a matrix completion problem without considering users' short-term behaviors. In this section, we will introduce a recommendation model that takes the sequentially-ordered user interaction logs into account. It is a sequence-aware recommender (Quadrana *et al.*, 2018) where the input is an ordered and often timestamped list of past user actions. A number of recent literatures have demonstrated the usefulness of incorporating such information in modeling users' temporal behavioral patterns and discovering their interest drift.

The model we will introduce, Caser (Tang and Wang, 2018), short for convolutional sequence embedding recommendation model, adopts convolutional neural networks capture the dynamic pattern influences of users' recent activities. The main component of Caser consists of a horizontal convolutional network and a vertical convolutional network, aiming to uncover the union-level and point-level sequence patterns, respectively. Point-level pattern indicates the impact of single item in the historical sequence on the target item, while union level pattern implies the influences of several previous actions on the subsequent target. For example, buying both milk and butter together leads to higher probability of buying flour than just buying one of them. Moreover, users' general interests, or long term preferences are also modeled in the last fully connected layers, resulting in a more comprehensive modeling of user interests. Details of the model are described as follows.

17.7.1 Model Architectures

In sequence-aware recommendation system, each user is associated with a sequence of some items from the item set. Let $S^u = (S^u_1, \dots S^u_{|S_u|})$ denotes the ordered sequence. The goal of Caser is to recommend item by considering user general tastes as well as short-term intention.

Suppose we take the previous L items into consideration, an embedding matrix that represents the former interactions for time step t can be constructed:

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(u,t)} = [\boldsymbol{q}_{S_{t-L}^u}, ..., \boldsymbol{q}_{S_{t-2}^u}, \boldsymbol{q}_{S_{t-1}^u}]^\top, \qquad (17.7.1)$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ represents item embeddings and q_i denotes the i^{th} row. $E^{(u,t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times k}$ can be used to infer the transient interest of user u at time-step t. We can view the input matrix $E^{(u,t)}$ as an image which is the input of the subsequent two convolutional components.

The horizontal convolutional layer has d horizontal filters $\mathbf{F}^j \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times k}, 1 \leq j \leq d, h = \{1, ..., L\}$, and the vertical convolutional layer has d' vertical filters $\mathbf{G}^j \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1}, 1 \leq j \leq d'$. After a series of convolutional and pool operations, we get the two outputs:

$$\boldsymbol{o} = \operatorname{HConv}(\boldsymbol{E}^{(u,t)}, \boldsymbol{F})$$

$$\boldsymbol{o}' = \operatorname{VConv}(\boldsymbol{E}^{(u,t)}, \boldsymbol{G}), \qquad (17.7.2)$$

where $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the output of horizontal convolutional network and $o' \in \mathbb{R}^{kd'}$ is the output of vertical convolutional network. For simplicity, we omit the details of convolution and pool operations. They are concatenated and fed into a fully connected neural network layer to get more high-level representations.

$$\boldsymbol{z} = \phi(\boldsymbol{W}[\boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{o}']^\top + \boldsymbol{b}), \qquad (17.7.3)$$

where $W \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (d+kd')}$ is the weight matrix and $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the bias. The learned vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the representation of user's short-term intent.

At last, the prediction function combines users' short-term and general taste together, which is defined as:

$$\hat{y}_{uit} = \boldsymbol{v}_i \cdot [\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{p}_u]^\top + \boldsymbol{b}'_i, \qquad (17.7.4)$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2k}$ is another item embedding matrix. $b' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the item specific bias. $P \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ is the user embedding matrix for users' general tastes. $p_u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the u^{th} row of P and $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ is the i^{th} row of V.

The model can be learned with BPR or Hinge loss. The architecture of Caser is shown below:



Figure 17.7.1 Illustration of the Caser Model

We first import the required libraries.

```
import random
import mxnet as mx
from mxnet import gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

17.7.2 Model Implementation

The following code implements the Caser model. It consists of a vertical convolutional layer, a horizontal convolutional layer, and a full-connected layer.

```
class Caser(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, num_factors, num_users, num_items, L=5, d=16,
                 d_prime=4, drop_ratio=0.05, **kwargs):
        super(Caser, self).__init__(**kwargs)
        self.P = nn.Embedding(num_users, num_factors)
        self.Q = nn.Embedding(num_items, num_factors)
        self.d_prime, self.d = d_prime, d
        # Vertical convolution layer
        self.conv_v = nn.Conv2D(d_prime, (L, 1), in_channels=1)
        # Horizontal convolution layer
        h = [i + 1 \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(L)]
        self.conv_h, self.max_pool = nn.Sequential(), nn.Sequential()
        for i in h:
            self.conv_h.add(nn.Conv2D(d, (i, num_factors), in_channels=1))
            self.max_pool.add(nn.MaxPool1D(L - i + 1))
        # Fully connected layer
        self.fc1_dim_v, self.fc1_dim_h = d_prime * num_factors, d * len(h)
        self.fc = nn.Dense(in_units=d_prime * num_factors + d * L,
                           activation='relu', units=num_factors)
        self.Q_prime = nn.Embedding(num_items, num_factors * 2)
        self.b = nn.Embedding(num_items, 1)
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(drop_ratio)
    def forward(self, user_id, seq, item_id):
        item_embs = np.expand_dims(self.Q(seq), 1)
        user_emb = self.P(user_id)
        out, out_h, out_v, out_hs = None, None, []
        if self.d_prime:
            out_v = self.conv_v(item_embs)
           out_v = out_v.reshape(out_v.shape[0], self.fc1_dim_v)
        if self.d:
            for conv, maxp in zip(self.conv_h, self.max_pool):
                conv_out = np.squeeze(npx.relu(conv(item_embs)), axis=3)
                t = maxp(conv_out)
                pool_out = np.squeeze(t, axis=2)
                out_hs.append(pool_out)
            out_h = np.concatenate(out_hs, axis=1)
        out = np.concatenate([out_v, out_h], axis=1)
        z = self.fc(self.dropout(out))
        x = np.concatenate([z, user_emb], axis=1)
        q_prime_i = np.squeeze(self.Q_prime(item_id))
        b = np.squeeze(self.b(item_id))
        res = (x * q_prime_i).sum(1) + b
        return res
```

17.7.3 Sequential Dataset with Negative Sampling

To process the sequential interaction data, we need to reimplement the Dataset class. The following code creates a new dataset class named SeqDataset. In each sample, it outputs the user identity, his previous L interacted items as a sequence and the next item he interacts as

the target. The following figure demonstrates the data loading process for one user. Suppose that this user liked 9 movies, we organize these nine movies in chronological order. The latest movie is left out as the test item. For the remaining eight movies, we can get three training samples, with each sample containing a sequence of five (L = 5) movies and its subsequent item as the target item. Negative samples are also included in the customized dataset.



Figure 17.7.2 Illustration of the data generation process

```
class SeqDataset(gluon.data.Dataset):
   def __init__(self, user_ids, item_ids, L, num_users, num_items,
                candidates):
        user_ids, item_ids = np.array(user_ids), np.array(item_ids)
       sort_idx = np.array(sorted(range(len(user_ids)),
                                   key=lambda k: user_ids[k]))
       u_ids, i_ids = user_ids[sort_idx], item_ids[sort_idx]
        temp, u_ids, self.cand = {}, u_ids.asnumpy(), candidates
        self.all_items = set([i for i in range(num_items)])
        [temp.setdefault(u_ids[i], []).append(i) for i, _ in enumerate(u_ids)]
        temp = sorted(temp.items(), key=lambda x: x[0])
       u_ids = np.array([i[0] for i in temp])
        idx = np.array([i[1][0] for i in temp])
       self.ns = ns = int(sum([c - L if c \ge L + 1 else 1 for c
                                in np.array([len(i[1]) for i in temp])]))
       self.seq_items = np.zeros((ns, L))
        self.seq_users = np.zeros(ns, dtype='int32')
        self.seq_tgt = np.zeros((ns, 1))
        self.test_seq = np.zeros((num_users, L))
        test_users, _uid = np.empty(num_users), None
        for i, (uid, i_seq) in enumerate(self._seq(u_ids, i_ids, idx, L + 1)):
            if uid != _uid:
                self.test_seq[uid][:] = i_seq[-L:]
                test_users[uid], _uid = uid, uid
            self.seq_tgt[i][:] = i_seq[-1:]
            self.seq_items[i][:], self.seq_users[i] = i_seq[:L], uid
   def _win(self, tensor, window_size, step_size=1):
        if len(tensor) - window_size >= 0:
            for i in range(len(tensor), 0, - step_size):
                if i - window_size >= 0:
                   yield tensor[i - window_size:i]
                else:
                    break
        else:
           yield tensor
   def _seq(self, u_ids, i_ids, idx, max_len):
        for i in range(len(idx)):
            stop_idx = None if i >= len(idx) - 1 else int(idx[i + 1])
            for s in self._win(i_ids[int(idx[i]):stop_idx], max_len):
                yield (int(u_ids[i]), s)
   def __len__(self):
        return self.ns
   def __getitem__(self, idx):
```

(continues on next page)
17.7.4 Load the MovieLens 100K dataset

Afterwards, we read and split the MovieLens 100K dataset in sequence-aware mode and load the training data with sequential dataloader implemented above.

array([241., 170., 110., 255., 4.]),
array([101.]),
1205)

The training data structure is shown above. The first element is the user identity, the next list indicates the last five items this user liked, and the last element is the item this user liked after the five items.

17.7.5 Train the Model

Now, let's train the model. We use the same setting as NeuMF, including learning rate, optimizer, and k, in the last section so that the results are comparable.

```
train loss 0.860, test hit rate 0.387, test AUC 0.753 31.0 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```





- Inferring a user's short-term and long-term interests can make prediction of the next item that he preferred more effectively.
- Convolutional neural networks can be utilized to capture users' short-term interests from sequential interactions.

Exercises

- Conduct an ablation study by removing one of the horizontal and vertical convolutional networks, which component is the more important ?
- Vary the hyperparameter L. Does longer historical interactions bring higher accuracy?
- Apart from the sequence-aware recommendation task we introduced above, there is another type of sequence-aware recommendation task called session-based recommendation (Hidasi *et al.*, 2015). Can you explain the differences between these two tasks?

Discussions²⁵⁹



17.8 Feature-Rich Recommender Systems

Interaction data is the most basic indication of users' preferences and interests. It plays a critical role in former introduced models. Yet, interaction data is usually extremely sparse and can be noisy at times. To address this issue, we can integrate side information such as features of items, profiles of users, and even in which context that the interaction occurred into the recommendation model. Utilizing these features are helpful in making recommendations in that these features can be an effective predictor of users interests especially when interaction data is lacking. As such, it is essential for recommendation models also have the capability to deal with those features and give the model some content/context awareness. To demonstrate this type of recommendation models, we introduce another task on click-through rate (CTR) for online advertisement recommendations (McMahan *et al.*, 2013) and present an anonymous advertising dataset. Targeted advertisement services have attracted

widespread attention and are often framed as recommendation engines. Recommending advertisements that match users' personal taste and interest is important for click-through rate improvement.

Digital marketers use online advertising to display advertisements to customers. Click-through rate is a metric that measures the number of clicks advertisers receive on their ads per number of impressions and it is expressed as a percentage calculated with the formula:

$$CTR = \frac{\#Clicks}{\#Impressions} \times 100\%.$$
 (17.8.1)

Click-through rate is an important signal that indicates the effectiveness of prediction algorithms. Click-through rate prediction is a task of predicting the likelihood that something on a website will be clicked. Models on CTR prediction can not only be employed in targeted advertising systems but also in general item (e.g., movies, news, products) recommender systems, email campaigns, and even search engines. It is also closely related to user satisfaction, conversion rate, and can be helpful in setting campaign goals as it can help advertisers to set realistic expectations.

```
import os
from collections import defaultdict
from mxnet import gluon, np
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

17.8.1 An Online Advertising Dataset

With the considerable advancements of Internet and mobile technology, online advertising has become an important income resource and generates vast majority of revenue in the Internet industry. It is important to display relevant advertisements or advertisements that pique users' interests so that casual visitors can be converted into paying customers. The dataset we introduced is an online advertising dataset. It consists of 34 fields, with the first column representing the target variable that indicates if an ad was clicked (1) or not (0). All the other columns are categorical features. The columns might represent the advertisement id, site or application id, device id, time, user profiles and so on. The real semantics of the features are undisclosed due to anonymization and privacy concern.

The following code downloads the dataset from our server and saves it into the local data folder.

data_dir = d2l.download_extract('ctr')

There are a training set and a test set, consisting of 15000 and 3000 samples/lines, respectively.

17.8.2 Dataset Wrapper

For the convenience of data loading, we implement a CTRDataset which loads the advertising dataset from the CSV file and can be used by DataLoader.

```
self.NUM_FEATS, self.count, self.data = num_feat, 0, {}
       feat_cnts = defaultdict(lambda: defaultdict(int))
       self.feat_mapper, self.defaults = feat_mapper, defaults
       self.field_dims = np.zeros(self.NUM_FEATS, dtype=np.int64)
       with open(data_path) as f:
           for line in f:
               instance = {}
               values = line.rstrip('\n').split('\t')
               if len(values) != self.NUM_FEATS + 1:
                   continue
               label = np.float32([0, 0])
               label[int(values[0])] = 1
               instance['y'] = [np.float32(values[0])]
               for i in range(1, self.NUM_FEATS + 1):
                   feat_cnts[i][values[i]] += 1
                   instance.setdefault('x', []).append(values[i])
               self.data[self.count] = instance
               self.count = self.count + 1
       if self.feat_mapper is None and self.defaults is None:
           feat_mapper = {i: {feat for feat, c in cnt.items() if c >=
                              min_threshold} for i, cnt in feat_cnts.items()}
           self.feat_mapper = {i: {feat_v: idx for idx, feat_v in_

→enumerate(feat_values)
}

                                for i, feat_values in feat_mapper.items()}
           self.defaults = {i: len(feat_values) for i, feat_values in feat_
→mapper.items()}
       for i, fm in self.feat_mapper.items():
           self.field_dims[i - 1] = len(fm) + 1
       self.offsets = np.array((0, *np.cumsum(self.field_dims).asnumpy()
                                 [:-1]))
   def __len__(self):
       return self.count
   def __getitem__(self, idx):
       feat = np.array([self.feat_mapper[i + 1].get(v, self.defaults[i + 1])
                         for i, v in enumerate(self.data[idx]['x'])])
       return feat + self.offsets, self.data[idx]['y']
```

The following example loads the training data and print out the first record.

```
train_data = CTRDataset(os.path.join(data_dir, 'train.csv'))
train_data[0]
```

As can be seen, all the 34 fields are categorical features. Each value represents the one-hot index of the corresponding entry. The label 0 means that it is not clicked. This CTRDataset can also be used to load other datasets such as the Criteo display advertising challenge dataset $^{260}\,$ and the Avazu click-through rate prediction dataset $^{261}\,$.



- **17.8.3 Summary**
- Click-through rate is an important metric that is used to measure the effectiveness of advertising systems and recommender systems.
 - ssification problem. The
- Click-through rate prediction is usually converted to a binary classification problem. The target is to predict whether an ad/item will be clicked or not based on given features.

• Can you load the Criteo and Avazu dataset with the provided CTRDataset. It is worth noting that the Criteo dataset consisting of real-valued features so you may have to revise the code a bit.

Discussions²⁶²



17.9 Factorization Machines

Factorization machines (FM) (Rendle, 2010), proposed by Steffen Rendle in 2010, is a supervised algorithm that can be used for classification, regression, and ranking tasks. It quickly took notice and became a popular and impactful method for making predictions and recommendations. Particularly, it is a generalization of the linear regression model and the matrix factorization model. Moreover, it is reminiscent of support vector machines with a polynomial kernel. The strengths of factorization machines over the linear regression and matrix factorization are: (1) it can model χ -way variable interactions, where χ is the number of polynomial order and is usually set to two. (2) A fast optimization algorithm associated with factorization machines can reduce the polynomial computation time to linear complexity, making it extremely efficient especially for high dimensional sparse inputs. For these reasons, factorization machines are widely employed in modern advertisement and products recommendations. The technical details and implementations are described below.

17.9.1 2-Way Factorization Machines

Formally, let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the feature vectors of one sample, and y denote the corresponding label which can be real-valued label or class label such as binary class "click/non-click". The model for a factorization machine of degree two is defined as:

$$\hat{y}(x) = \boldsymbol{w}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \boldsymbol{w}_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=i+1}^d \langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j \rangle x_i x_j$$
(17.9.1)

where $w_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the global bias; $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the weights of the i-th variable; $V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ represents the feature embeddings; v_i represents the i^{th} row of V; k is the dimensionality of latent factors; $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dot product of two vectors. $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle$ model the interaction between the i^{th} and j^{th} feature. Some feature interactions can be easily understood so they can be designed by experts. However, most other feature interactions are hidden in data and difficult to identify. So modeling feature interactions automatically can greatly reduce the efforts in feature engineering. It is obvious that the first two terms correspond to the linear regression model and the last term is an extension of the matrix factorization model. If the feature *i* represents an item and the feature *j* represents a user, the third term is exactly the dot product between user and item embeddings. It is worth noting that FM can also generalize to higher orders (degree > 2). Nevertheless, the numerical stability might weaken the generalization.

17.9.2 An Efficient Optimization Criterion

Optimizing the factorization machines in a straight forward method leads to a complexity of $\mathcal{O}(kd^2)$ as all pairwise interactions require to be computed. To solve this inefficiency problem, we can reorganize the third term of FM which could greatly reduce the computation cost,

leading to a linear time complexity (O(kd)). The reformulation of the pairwise interaction term is as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=i+1}^{d} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \rangle x_{i} x_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \rangle x_{i} x_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \rangle x_{i} x_{i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l} \boldsymbol{v}_{j,l} x_{i} x_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l} x_{i} x_{i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left((\sum_{i=1}^{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l} x_{i}) (\sum_{j=1}^{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{j,l} x_{j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l}^{2} x_{i}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \left((\sum_{i=1}^{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l} x_{i})^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{i,l}^{2} x_{i}^{2} \right)$$

With this reformulation, the model complexity are decreased greatly. Moreover, for sparse features, only non-zero elements needs to be computed so that the overall complexity is linear to the number of non-zero features.

To learn the FM model, we can use the MSE loss for regression task, the cross-entropy loss for classification tasks, and the BPR loss for ranking task. Standard optimizers such as stochastic gradient descent and Adam are viable for optimization.

```
import os
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d21 import mxnet as d21
```

npx.set_np()

17.9.3 Model Implementation

The following code implement the factorization machines. It is clear to see that FM consists a linear regression block and an efficient feature interaction block. We apply a sigmoid function over the final score since we treat the CTR prediction as a classification task.

```
class FM(nn.Block):
    def __init__(self, field_dims, num_factors):
        super(FM, self).__init__()
        num_inputs = int(sum(field_dims))
        self.embedding = nn.Embedding(num_inputs, num_factors)
        self.fc = nn.Embedding(num_inputs, 1)
        self.linear_layer = nn.Dense(1, use_bias=True)
    def forward(self, x):
        square_of_sum = np.sum(self.embedding(x), axis=1) ** 2
        sum_of_square = np.sum(self.embedding(x) ** 2, axis=1)
        x = self.linear_layer(self.fc(x).sum(1)) \
            + 0.5 * (square_of_sum - sum_of_square).sum(1, keepdims=True)
        x = npx.sigmoid(x)
        return x
```

17.9.4 Load the Advertising Dataset

We use the CTR data wrapper from the last section to load the online advertising dataset.

17.9.5 Train the Model

Afterwards, we train the model. The learning rate is set to 0.02 and the embedding size is set to 20 by default. The Adam optimizer and the SigmoidBinaryCrossEntropyLoss loss are used for model training.

loss 0.505, train acc 0.265, test acc 0.269
169347.9 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]





- FM is a general framework that can be applied on a variety of tasks such as regression, classification, and ranking.
- Feature interaction/crossing is important for prediction tasks and the 2-way interaction can be efficiently modeled with FM.

Exercises

• Can you test FM on other dataset such as Avazu, MovieLens, and Criteo datasets?

• Vary the embedding size to check its impact on performance, can you observe a similar pattern as that of matrix factorization?

Discussions²⁶³



17.10 Deep Factorization Machines

Learning effective feature combinations is critical to the success of click-through rate prediction task. Factorization machines model feature interactions in a linear paradigm (e.g., bilinear interactions). This is often insufficient for real-world data where inherent feature crossing structures are usually very complex and nonlinear. What's worse, second-order feature interactions are generally used in factorization machines in practice. Modeling higher degrees of feature combinations with factorization machines is possible theoretically but it is usually not adopted due to numerical instability and high computational complexity.

One effective solution is using deep neural networks. Deep neural networks are powerful in feature representation learning and have the potential to learn sophisticated feature interactions. As such, it is natural to integrate deep neural networks to factorization machines. Adding nonlinear transformation layers to factorization machines gives it the capability to model both low-order feature combinations and high-order feature combinations. Moreover, non-linear inherent structures from inputs can also be captured with deep neural networks. In this section, we will introduce a representative model named deep factorization machines (DeepFM) (Guo *et al.*, 2017) which combine FM and deep neural networks.

17.10.1 Model Architectures

DeepFM consists of an FM component and a deep component which are integrated in a parallel structure. The FM component is the same as the 2-way factorization machines which is used to model the low-order feature interactions. The deep component is an MLP that is used to capture high-order feature interactions and nonlinearities. These two components share the same inputs/embeddings and their outputs are summed up as the final prediction. It is worth pointing out that the spirit of DeepFM resembles that of the Wide & Deep architecture which can capture both memorization and generalization. The advantages of DeepFM over the Wide & Deep model is that it reduces the effort of hand-crafted feature engineering by identifying feature combinations automatically.

We omit the description of the FM component for brevity and denote the output as $\hat{y}^{(FM)}$. Readers are referred to the last section for more details. Let $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the latent feature vector of the i^{th} field. The input of the deep component is the concatenation of the dense embeddings of all fields that are looked up with the sparse categorical feature input, denoted as:

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{(0)} = [\boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{e}_f],$$
 (17.10.1)

where f is the number of fields. It is then fed into the following neural network:

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{(l)} = \alpha(\boldsymbol{W}^{(l)}\boldsymbol{z}^{(l-1)} + \boldsymbol{b}^{(l)}), \qquad (17.10.2)$$

where α is the activation function. W_l and b_l are the weight and bias at the l^{th} layer. Let y_{DNN} denote the output of the prediction. The ultimate prediction of DeepFM is the summation of the outputs from both FM and DNN. So we have:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\hat{y}^{(FM)} + \hat{y}^{(DNN)}),$$
(17.10.3)



where σ is the sigmoid function. The architecture of DeepFM is illustrated below.

It is worth noting that DeepFM is not the only way to combine deep neural networks with FM. We can also add nonlinear layers over the feature interactions (He and Chua, 2017).

```
import os
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
```

17.10.2 Implementation of DeepFM

The implementation of DeepFM is similar to that of FM. We keep the FM part unchanged and use an MLP block with relu as the activation function. Dropout is also used to regularize the model. The number of neurons of the MLP can be adjusted with the mlp_dims hyperparameter.

```
class DeepFM(nn.Block):
   def __init__(self, field_dims, num_factors, mlp_dims, drop_rate=0.1):
       super(DeepFM, self).__init__()
       num_inputs = int(sum(field_dims))
       self.embedding = nn.Embedding(num_inputs, num_factors)
        self.fc = nn.Embedding(num_inputs, 1)
        self.linear_layer = nn.Dense(1, use_bias=True)
        input_dim = self.embed_output_dim = len(field_dims) * num_factors
        self.mlp = nn.Sequential()
        for dim in mlp_dims:
            self.mlp.add(nn.Dense(dim, 'relu', True, in_units=input_dim))
            self.mlp.add(nn.Dropout(rate=drop_rate))
            input_dim = dim
        self.mlp.add(nn.Dense(in_units=input_dim, units=1))
    def forward(self, x):
        embed_x = self.embedding(x)
        square_of_sum = np.sum(embed_x, axis=1) ** 2
        sum_of_square = np.sum(embed_x ** 2, axis=1)
       inputs = np.reshape(embed_x, (-1, self.embed_output_dim))
        x = self.linear_layer(self.fc(x).sum(1)) \
            + 0.5 * (square_of_sum - sum_of_square).sum(1, keepdims=True) \
```

729

(continues on next page)

```
+ self.mlp(inputs)
x = npx.sigmoid(x)
return x
```

17.10.3 Training and Evaluating the Model

The data loading process is the same as that of FM. We set the MLP component of DeepFM to a three-layered dense network with the a pyramid structure (30-20-10). All other hyper-parameters remain the same as FM.

```
batch_size = 2048
data_dir = d2l.download_extract('ctr')
train_data = d2l.CTRDataset(os.path.join(data_dir, 'train.csv'))
test_data = d2l.CTRDataset(os.path.join(data_dir, 'test.csv'),
                             feat_mapper=train_data.feat_mapper,
                             defaults=train_data.defaults)
field_dims = train_data.field_dims
train_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    train_data, shuffle=True, last_batch='rollover', batch_size=batch_size,
    num_workers=d2l.get_dataloader_workers())
test_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    test_data, shuffle=False, last_batch='rollover', batch_size=batch_size,
    num_workers=d2l.get_dataloader_workers())
devices = d2l.try_all_gpus()
net = DeepFM(field_dims, num_factors=10, mlp_dims=[30, 20, 10])
net.initialize(init.Xavier(), ctx=devices)
lr, num_epochs, optimizer = 0.01, 30, 'adam'
trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), optimizer,
                          {'learning_rate': lr})
loss = gluon.loss.SigmoidBinaryCrossEntropyLoss()
d2l.train_ch13(net, train_iter, test_iter, loss, trainer, num_epochs, devices)
```

```
loss 0.510, train acc 0.411, test acc 0.410
94767.2 examples/sec on [gpu(0), gpu(1)]
```



Compared with FM, DeepFM converges faster and achieves better performance.

17.10.4 Summary

- Integrating neural networks to FM enables it to model complex and high-order interactions.
- DeepFM outperforms the original FM on the advertising dataset.

Exercises

- Vary the structure of the MLP to check its impact on model performance.
- Change the dataset to Criteo and compare it with the original FM model.

Discussions²⁶⁴



18.1 Generative Adversarial Networks

Throughout most of this book, we have talked about how to make predictions. In some form or another, we used deep neural networks learned mappings from data examples to labels. This kind of learning is called discriminative learning, as in, we'd like to be able to discriminate between photos cats and photos of dogs. Classifiers and regressors are both examples of discriminative learning. And neural networks trained by backpropagation have upended everything we thought we knew about discriminative learning on large complicated datasets. Classification accuracies on high-res images has gone from useless to human-level (with some caveats) in just 5-6 years. We will spare you another spiel about all the other discriminative tasks where deep neural networks do astoundingly well.

But there is more to machine learning than just solving discriminative tasks. For example, given a large dataset, without any labels, we might want to learn a model that concisely captures the characteristics of this data. Given such a model, we could sample synthetic data examples that resemble the distribution of the training data. For example, given a large corpus of photographs of faces, we might want to be able to generate a new photorealistic image that looks like it might plausibly have come from the same dataset. This kind of learning is called generative modeling.

Until recently, we had no method that could synthesize novel photorealistic images. But the success of deep neural networks for discriminative learning opened up new possibilities. One big trend over the last three years has been the application of discriminative deep nets to overcome challenges in problems that we do not generally think of as supervised learning problems. The recurrent neural network language models are one example of using a discriminative network (trained to predict the next character) that once trained can act as a generative model.

In 2014, a breakthrough paper introduced Generative adversarial networks (GANs) (Goodfellow *et al.*, 2014), a clever new way to leverage the power of discriminative models to get good generative models. At their heart, GANs rely on the idea that a data generator is good if we cannot tell fake data apart from real data. In statistics, this is called a two-sample test a test to answer the question whether datasets $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $X' = \{x'_1, \ldots, x'_n\}$ were drawn from the same distribution. The main difference between most statistics papers and GANs is that the latter use this idea in a constructive way. In other words, rather than just training a model to say "hey, these two datasets do not look like they came from the same distribution", they use the two-sample test²⁶⁵ to provide training signals to a generative model. ²⁶⁵ This allows us to improve the data generator until it generates something that resembles the real data. At the very least, it needs to fool the classifier. Even if our classifier is a state of the art deep neural network.

The GAN architecture is illustrated in Fig.18.1.1. As you can see, there are two pieces in GAN architecture - first off, we need a device (say, a deep network but it really could be anything, such as a game rendering engine) that might potentially be able to generate data



Figure 18.1.1 Generative Adversarial Networks

that looks just like the real thing. If we are dealing with images, this needs to generate images. If we are dealing with speech, it needs to generate audio sequences, and so on. We call this the generator network. The second component is the discriminator network. It attempts to distinguish fake and real data from each other. Both networks are in competition with each other. The generator network attempts to fool the discriminator network. At that point, the discriminator network adapts to the new fake data. This information, in turn is used to improve the generator network, and so on.

The discriminator is a binary classifier to distinguish if the input x is real (from real data) or fake (from the generator). Typically, the discriminator outputs a scalar prediction $o \in \mathbb{R}$ for input x, such as using a fully connected layer with hidden size 1, and then applies sigmoid function to obtain the predicted probability $D(x) = 1/(1+e^{-o})$. Assume the label y for the true data is 1 and 0 for the fake data. We train the discriminator to minimize the cross-entropy loss, *i.e.*,

$$\min\{-y\log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - (1-y)\log(1-D(\boldsymbol{x}))\},\tag{18.1.1}$$

For the generator, it first draws some parameter $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ from a source of randomness, *e.g.*, a normal distribution $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. We often call z as the latent variable. It then applies a function to generate x' = G(z). The goal of the generator is to fool the discriminator to classify x' = G(z) as true data, *i.e.*, we want $D(G(z)) \approx 1$. In other words, for a given discriminator D, we update the parameters of the generator G to maximize the cross-entropy loss when y = 0, *i.e.*,

$$\max_{G} \{ -(1-y) \log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z}))) \} = \max_{G} \{ -\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z}))) \}.$$
(18.1.2)

If the generator does a perfect job, then $D(\mathbf{x}') \approx 1$ so the above loss near 0, which results the gradients are too small to make a good progress for the discriminator. So commonly we minimize the following loss:

$$\min_{G} \{-y \log(D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))\} = \min_{G} \{-\log(D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))\},$$
(18.1.3)

which is just feed x' = G(z) into the discriminator but giving label y = 1.

To sum up, D and G are playing a "minimax" game with the comprehensive objective function:

$$min_D max_G \{-E_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim \text{Data}} log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - E_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim \text{Noise}} log (1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))\}.$$
(18.1.4)

Many of the GANs applications are in the context of images. As a demonstration purpose, we are going to content ourselves with fitting a much simpler distribution first. We will illustrate what happens if we use GANs to build the world's most inefficient estimator of parameters for a Gaussian. Let's get started.

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, init, np, npx
```

from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l

npx.set_np()

18.1.1 Generate Some "Real" Data

Since this is going to be the world's lamest example, we simply generate data drawn from a Gaussian.

```
X = np.random.normal(0.0, 1, (1000, 2))
A = np.array([[1, 2], [-0.1, 0.5]])
b = np.array([1, 2])
data = np.dot(X, A) + b
```

Let's see what we got. This should be a Gaussian shifted in some rather arbitrary way with mean b and covariance matrix $A^T A$.

```
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.scatter(data[:100, 0].asnumpy(), data[:100, 1].asnumpy());
print(f'The covariance matrix is\n{np.dot(A.T, A)}')
```

```
The covariance matrix is [[1.01 1.95] [1.95 4.25]]
```



batch_size = 8
data_iter = d2l.load_array((data,), batch_size)

18.1.2 Generator

Our generator network will be the simplest network possible - a single layer linear model. This is since we will be driving that linear network with a Gaussian data generator. Hence, it literally only needs to learn the parameters to fake things perfectly.

net_G = nn.Sequential()
net_G.add(nn.Dense(2))

18.1.3 Discriminator

For the discriminator we will be a bit more discriminating: we will use an MLP with 3 layers to make things a bit more interesting.

18.1.4 Training

First we define a function to update the discriminator.

```
#@save
def update_D(X, Z, net_D, net_G, loss, trainer_D):
     ""Update discriminator.
    batch_size = X.shape[0]
   ones = np.ones((batch_size,), ctx=X.ctx)
    zeros = np.zeros((batch_size,), ctx=X.ctx)
    with autograd.record():
        real_Y = net_D(X)
        fake_X = net_G(Z)
        # Do not need to compute gradient for `net_G`, detach it from
        # computing gradients.
        fake_Y = net_D(fake_X.detach())
        loss_D = (loss(real_Y, ones) + loss(fake_Y, zeros)) / 2
    loss_D.backward()
    trainer_D.step(batch_size)
    return float(loss_D.sum())
```

The generator is updated similarly. Here we reuse the cross-entropy loss but change the label of the fake data from 0 to 1.

Both the discriminator and the generator performs a binary logistic regression with the crossentropy loss. We use Adam to smooth the training process. In each iteration, we first update the discriminator and then the generator. We visualize both losses and generated examples.

```
xlim=[1, num_epochs], nrows=2, figsize=(5, 5),
legend=['discriminator', 'generator'])
animator.fig.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.3)
for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    # Train one epoch
    timer = d21.Timer()
    metric = d2l.Accumulator(3) # loss_D, loss_G, num_examples
    for X in data_iter:
        batch_size = X.shape[0]
        Z = np.random.normal(0, 1, size=(batch_size, latent_dim))
        metric.add(update_D(X, Z, net_D, net_G, loss, trainer_D),
                     update_G(Z, net_D, net_G, loss, trainer_G),
                    batch_size)
    # Visualize generated examples
    Z = np.random.normal(0, 1, size=(100, latent_dim))
    fake_X = net_G(Z).asnumpy()
    animator.axes[1].cla()
    animator.axes[1].scatter(data[:, 0], data[:, 1])
    animator.axes[1].scatter(fake_X[:, 0], fake_X[:, 1])
animator.axes[1].legend(['real', 'generated'])
    # Show the losses
    loss_D, loss_G = metric[0]/metric[2], metric[1]/metric[2]
    animator.add(epoch + 1, (loss_D, loss_G))
print(f'loss_D {loss_D:.3f}, loss_G {loss_G:.3f},
      f'{metric[2] / timer.stop():.1f} examples/sec')
```

Now we specify the hyperparameters to fit the Gaussian distribution.

loss_D 0.693, loss_G 0.693, 295.4 examples/sec



18.1.5 Summary

• Generative adversarial networks (GANs) composes of two deep networks, the generator and the discriminator.

- The generator generates the image as much closer to the true image as possible to fool the discriminator, via maximizing the cross-entropy loss, *i.e.*, max log(D(x')).
- The discriminator tries to distinguish the generated images from the true images, via minimizing the cross-entropy loss, *i.e.*, $\min -y \log D(x) - (1 - y) \log(1 - D(x))$.

Exercises

• Does an equilibrium exist where the generator wins, *i.e.* the discriminator ends up unable to distinguish the two distributions on finite samples?

Discussions²⁶⁶

18.2 Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks

In Section 18.1, we introduced the basic ideas behind how GANs work. We showed that they can draw samples from some simple, easy-to-sample distribution, like a uniform or normal distribution, and transform them into samples that appear to match the distribution of some dataset. And while our example of matching a 2D Gaussian distribution got the point across, it is not especially exciting.

In this section, we will demonstrate how you can use GANs to generate photorealistic images. We will be basing our models on the deep convolutional GANs (DCGAN) introduced in Radford *et al.* (2015). We will borrow the convolutional architecture that have proven so successful for discriminative computer vision problems and show how via GANs, they can be leveraged to generate photorealistic images.

```
from mxnet import gluon, init, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

18.2.1 The Pokemon Dataset



The dataset we will use is a collection of Pokemon sprites obtained from pokemondb²⁶⁷ First download, extract and load this dataset.

Downloading .../data/pokemon.zip from http://d2l-data.s3-accelerate.amazonaws. →com/pokemon.zip...

We resize each image into 64×64 . The ToTensor transformation will project the pixel value into [0, 1], while our generator will use the tanh function to obtain outputs in [-1, 1].



Therefore we normalize the data with 0.5 mean and 0.5 standard deviation to match the value range.

```
batch_size = 256
transformer = gluon.data.vision.transforms.Compose([
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.Resize(64),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.ToTensor(),
    gluon.data.vision.transforms.Normalize(0.5, 0.5)
])
data_iter = gluon.data.DataLoader(
    pokemon.transform_first(transformer), batch_size=batch_size,
    shuffle=True, num_workers=d21.get_dataloader_workers())
```

Let's visualize the first 20 images.

```
d2l.set_figsize((4, 4))
for X, y in data_iter:
    imgs = X[:20,:,:,:].transpose(0, 2, 3, 1)/2+0.5
    d2l.show_images(imgs, num_rows=4, num_cols=5)
    break
```



18.2.2 The Generator

The generator needs to map the noise variable $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a length-*d* vector, to a RGB image with width and height to be 64×64 . In Section 14.11 we introduced the fully convolutional network that uses transposed convolution layer (refer to Section 14.10) to enlarge input size. The basic block of the generator contains a transposed convolution layer followed by the batch normalization and ReLU activation.

```
self.batch_norm = nn.BatchNorm()
self.activation = nn.Activation('relu')
def forward(self, X):
    return self.activation(self.batch_norm(self.conv2d_trans(X)))
```

In default, the transposed convolution layer uses a $k_h = k_w = 4$ kernel, a $s_h = s_w = 2$ strides, and a $p_h = p_w = 1$ padding. With a input shape of $n'_h \times n'_w = 16 \times 16$, the generator block will double input's width and height.

$$\begin{split} n_h^{'} \times n_w^{'} &= \left[(n_h k_h - (n_h - 1)(k_h - s_h) - 2p_h \right] \times \left[(n_w k_w - (n_w - 1)(k_w - s_w) - 2p_w \right] \\ &= \left[(k_h + s_h (n_h - 1) - 2p_h \right] \times \left[(k_w + s_w (n_w - 1) - 2p_w \right] \\ &= \left[(4 + 2 \times (16 - 1) - 2 \times 1 \right] \times \left[(4 + 2 \times (16 - 1) - 2 \times 1 \right] \\ &= 32 \times 32. \end{split}$$

$$(18.2.1)$$

```
x = np.zeros((2, 3, 16, 16))
g_blk = G_block(20)
g_blk.initialize()
g_blk(x).shape
```

(2, 20, 32, 32)

If changing the transposed convolution layer to a 4×4 kernel, 1×1 strides and zero padding. With a input size of 1×1 , the output will have its width and height increased by 3 respectively.

```
x = np.zeros((2, 3, 1, 1))
g_blk = G_block(20, strides=1, padding=0)
g_blk.initialize()
g_blk(x).shape
```

(2, 20, 4, 4)

The generator consists of four basic blocks that increase input's both width and height from 1 to 32. At the same time, it first projects the latent variable into 64×8 channels, and then halve the channels each time. At last, a transposed convolution layer is used to generate the output. It further doubles the width and height to match the desired 64×64 shape, and reduces the channel size to 3. The tanh activation function is applied to project output values into the (-1, 1) range.

Generate a 100 dimensional latent variable to verify the generator's output shape.

```
x = np.zeros((1, 100, 1, 1))
net_G.initialize()
net_G(x).shape
```

(1, 3, 64, 64)

18.2.3 Discriminator

The discriminator is a normal convolutional network network except that it uses a leaky ReLU as its activation function. Given $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, its definition is

leaky ReLU(x) =
$$\begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0\\ \alpha x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (18.2.2)

As it can be seen, it is normal ReLU if $\alpha = 0$, and an identity function if $\alpha = 1$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, leaky ReLU is a nonlinear function that give a non-zero output for a negative input. It aims to fix the "dying ReLU" problem that a neuron might always output a negative value and therefore cannot make any progress since the gradient of ReLU is 0.

```
alphas = [0, .2, .4, .6, .8, 1]
x = np.arange(-2, 1, 0.1)
Y = [nn.LeakyReLU(alpha)(x).asnumpy() for alpha in alphas]
d2l.plot(x.asnumpy(), Y, 'x', 'y', alphas)
```



The basic block of the discriminator is a convolution layer followed by a batch normalization layer and a leaky ReLU activation. The hyperparameters of the convolution layer are similar to the transpose convolution layer in the generator block.

A basic block with default settings will halve the width and height of the inputs, as we demonstrated in Section 7.3. For example, given a input shape $n_h = n_w = 16$, with a kernel shape $k_h = k_w = 4$, a stride shape $s_h = s_w = 2$, and a padding shape $p_h = p_w = 1$, the output shape will be:

$$\dot{n_{h}} \times \dot{n_{w}} = \lfloor (n_{h} - k_{h} + 2p_{h} + s_{h})/s_{h} \rfloor \times \lfloor (n_{w} - k_{w} + 2p_{w} + s_{w})/s_{w} \rfloor$$

= $\lfloor (16 - 4 + 2 \times 1 + 2)/2 \rfloor \times \lfloor (16 - 4 + 2 \times 1 + 2)/2 \rfloor$ (18.2.3)
= 8×8 .

```
x = np.zeros((2, 3, 16, 16))
d_blk = D_block(20)
d_blk.initialize()
d_blk(x).shape
```

(2, 20, 8, 8)

The discriminator is a mirror of the generator.

It uses a convolution layer with output channel 1 as the last layer to obtain a single prediction value.

```
x = np.zeros((1, 3, 64, 64))
net_D.initialize()
net_D(x).shape
```

(1, 1, 1, 1)

18.2.4 Training

Compared to the basic GAN in Section 18.1, we use the same learning rate for both generator and discriminator since they are similar to each other. In addition, we change β_1 in Adam (Section 12.10) from 0.9 to 0.5. It decreases the smoothness of the momentum, the exponentially weighted moving average of past gradients, to take care of the rapid changing gradients because the generator and the discriminator fight with each other. Besides, the random generated noise Z, is a 4-D tensor and we are using GPU to accelerate the computation.

```
def train(net_D, net_G, data_iter, num_epochs, lr, latent_dim,
         device=d2l.try_gpu()):
    loss = gluon.loss.SigmoidBCELoss()
    net_D.initialize(init=init.Normal(0.02), force_reinit=True, ctx=device)
    net_G.initialize(init=init.Normal(0.02), force_reinit=True, ctx=device)
    trainer_hp = {'learning_rate': lr, 'beta1': 0.5}
    trainer_D = gluon.Trainer(net_D.collect_params(), 'adam', trainer_hp)
    trainer_G = gluon.Trainer(net_G.collect_params(), 'adam', trainer_hp)
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss',
                            xlim=[1, num_epochs], nrows=2, figsize=(5, 5),
                            legend=['discriminator', 'generator'])
    animator.fig.subplots_adjust(hspace=0.3)
    for epoch in range(1, num_epochs + 1):
        # Train one epoch
       timer = d21.Timer()
       metric = d2l.Accumulator(3) # loss_D, loss_G, num_examples
        for X, _ in data_iter:
            batch_size = X.shape[0]
            Z = np.random.normal(0, 1, size=(batch_size, latent_dim, 1, 1))
            X, Z = X.as_in_ctx(device), Z.as_in_ctx(device),
            metric.add(d2l.update_D(X, Z, net_D, net_G, loss, trainer_D),
                       d2l.update_G(Z, net_D, net_G, loss, trainer_G),
                       batch_size)
        # Show generated examples
```

(continues on next page)

```
Z = np.random.normal(0, 1, size=(21, latent_dim, 1, 1), ctx=device)
# Normalize the synthetic data to N(0, 1)
fake_x = net_G(Z).transpose(0, 2, 3, 1) / 2 + 0.5
imgs = np.concatenate(
       [np.concatenate([fake_x[i * 7 + j] for j in range(7)], axis=1)
       for i in range(len(fake_x)//7)], axis=0)
animator.axes[1].cla()
animator.axes[1].imshow(imgs.asnumpy())
# Show the losses
loss_D, loss_G = metric[0] / metric[2], metric[1] / metric[2]
animator.add(epoch, (loss_D, loss_G))
print(f'loss_D {loss_D:.3f}, loss_G {loss_G:.3f}, '
       f'{metric[2] / timer.stop():.1f} examples/sec on {str(device)}')
```

We train the model with a small number of epochs just for demonstration. For better performance, the variable num_epochs can be set to a larger number.

```
latent_dim, lr, num_epochs = 100, 0.005, 20
train(net_D, net_G, data_iter, num_epochs, lr, latent_dim)
```



loss_D 0.511, loss_G 5.162, 2673.1 examples/sec on gpu(0)

18.2.5 Summary

- DCGAN architecture has four convolutional layers for the Discriminator and four "fractionallystrided" convolutional layers for the Generator.
- The Discriminator is a 4-layer strided convolutions with batch normalization (except its input layer) and leaky ReLU activations.
- Leaky ReLU is a nonlinear function that give a non-zero output for a negative input. It aims to fix the "dying ReLU" problem and helps the gradients flow easier through the architecture.

Exercises

- 1. What will happen if we use standard ReLU activation rather than leaky ReLU?
- 2. Apply DCGAN on Fashion-MNIST and see which category works well and which does not.

Discussions²⁶⁸



Appendix: Mathematics for Deep Learning

Brent Werness (Amazon), Rachel Hu (Amazon), and authors of this book

One of the wonderful parts of modern deep learning is the fact that much of it can be understood and used without a full understanding of the mathematics below it. This is a sign that the field is maturing. Just as most software developers no longer need to worry about the theory of computable functions, neither should deep learning practitioners need to worry about the theoretical foundations of maximum likelihood learning.

But, we are not quite there yet.

19

In practice, you will sometimes need to understand how architectural choices influence gradient flow, or the implicit assumptions you make by training with a certain loss function. You might need to know what in the world entropy measures, and how it can help you understand exactly what bits-per-character means in your model. These all require deeper mathematical understanding.

This appendix aims to provide you the mathematical background you need to understand the core theory of modern deep learning, but it is not exhaustive. We will begin with examining linear algebra in greater depth. We develop a geometric understanding of all the common linear algebraic objects and operations that will enable us to visualize the effects of various transformations on our data. A key element is the development of the basics of eigen-decompositions.

We next develop the theory of differential calculus to the point that we can fully understand why the gradient is the direction of steepest descent, and why back-propagation takes the form it does. Integral calculus is then discussed to the degree needed to support our next topic, probability theory.

Problems encountered in practice frequently are not certain, and thus we need a language to speak about uncertain things. We review the theory of random variables and the most commonly encountered distributions so we may discuss models probabilistically. This provides the foundation for the naive Bayes classifier, a probabilistic classification technique.

Closely related to probability theory is the study of statistics. While statistics is far too large a field to do justice in a short section, we will introduce fundamental concepts that all machine learning practitioners should be aware of, in particular: evaluating and comparing estimators, conducting hypothesis tests, and constructing confidence intervals.

Last, we turn to the topic of information theory, which is the mathematical study of information storage and transmission. This provides the core language by which we may discuss quantitatively how much information a model holds on a domain of discourse.

Taken together, these form the core of the mathematical concepts needed to begin down the path towards a deep understanding of deep learning.

19.1 Geometry and Linear Algebraic Operations

In Section 2.3, we encountered the basics of linear algebra and saw how it could be used to express common operations for transforming our data. Linear algebra is one of the key mathematical pillars underlying much of the work that we do in deep learning and in machine learning more broadly. While Section 2.3 contained enough machinery to communicate the mechanics of modern deep learning models, there is a lot more to the subject. In this section, we will go deeper, highlighting some geometric interpretations of linear algebra operations, and introducing a few fundamental concepts, including of eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

19.1.1 Geometry of Vectors

First, we need to discuss the two common geometric interpretations of vectors, as either points or directions in space. Fundamentally, a vector is a list of numbers such as the Python list below.

v = [1, 7, 0, 1]

Mathematicians most often write this as either a *column* or *row* vector, which is to say either as

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.1.1}$$

or

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.1.2}$$

These often have different interpretations, where data examples are column vectors and weights used to form weighted sums are row vectors. However, it can be beneficial to be flexible. As we have described in Section 2.3, though a single vector's default orientation is a column vector, for any matrix representing a tabular dataset, treating each data example as a row vector in the matrix is more conventional.

Given a vector, the first interpretation that we should give it is as a point in space. In two or three dimensions, we can visualize these points by using the components of the vectors to define the location of the points in space compared to a fixed reference called the *origin*. This can be seen in Fig.19.1.1.

This geometric point of view allows us to consider the problem on a more abstract level. No longer faced with some insurmountable seeming problem like classifying pictures as either cats or dogs, we can start considering tasks abstractly as collections of points in space and picturing the task as discovering how to separate two distinct clusters of points.

In parallel, there is a second point of view that people often take of vectors: as directions in space. Not only can we think of the vector $\boldsymbol{v} = [3, 2]^{\top}$ as the location 3 units to the right and 2 units up from the origin, we can also think of it as the direction itself to take 3 steps to the right and 2 steps up. In this way, we consider all the vectors in figure Fig.19.1.2 the same.

One of the benefits of this shift is that we can make visual sense of the act of vector addition. In particular, we follow the directions given by one vector, and then follow the directions given by the other, as is seen in Fig.19.1.3.



Figure 19.1.1 An illustration of visualizing vectors as points in the plane. The first component of the vector gives the x-coordinate, the second component gives the y-coordinate. Higher dimensions are analogous, although much harder to visualize.



Figure 19.1.2 Any vector can be visualized as an arrow in the plane. In this case, every vector drawn is a representation of the vector $(3,2)^{\top}$.

Vector subtraction has a similar interpretation. By considering the identity that u = v + (u - v), we see that the vector u - v is the direction that takes us from the point v to the point u.

19.1.2 Dot Products and Angles

As we saw in Section 2.3, if we take two column vectors u and v, we can form their dot product by computing:

$$\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{i} u_i \cdot v_i. \tag{19.1.3}$$

Because (19.1.3) is symmetric, we will mirror the notation of classical multiplication and write

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{u}^\top \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^\top \boldsymbol{u}, \tag{19.1.4}$$

to highlight the fact that exchanging the order of the vectors will yield the same answer.





The dot product (19.1.3) also admits a geometric interpretation: it is closely related to the angle between two vectors. Consider the angle shown in Fig.19.1.4.



Figure 19.1.4 Between any two vectors in the plane there is a well defined angle θ . We will see this angle is intimately tied to the dot product.

To start, let's consider two specific vectors:

$$\boldsymbol{v} = (r, 0) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{w} = (s\cos(\theta), s\sin(\theta)).$$
 (19.1.5)

The vector v is length r and runs parallel to the x-axis, and the vector w is of length s and at angle θ with the x-axis. If we compute the dot product of these two vectors, we see that

$$\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} = rs\cos(\theta) = \|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{w}\| \cos(\theta). \tag{19.1.6}$$

With some simple algebraic manipulation, we can rearrange terms to obtain

$$\theta = \arccos\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{w}\|}\right). \tag{19.1.7}$$

In short, for these two specific vectors, the dot product combined with the norms tell us the angle between the two vectors. This same fact is true in general. We will not derive the expression here, however, if we consider writing $||v - w||^2$ in two ways: one with the dot product, and the other geometrically using the law of cosines, we can obtain the full relationship. Indeed, for any two vectors v and w, the angle between the two vectors is

$$\theta = \arccos\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{w}\|}\right). \tag{19.1.8}$$

This is a nice result since nothing in the computation references two-dimensions. Indeed, we can use this in three or three million dimensions without issue.

As a simple example, let's see how to compute the angle between a pair of vectors:

```
%matplotlib inline
from IPython import display
from mxnet import gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
def angle(v, w):
    return np.arccos(v.dot(w) / (np.linalg.norm(v) * np.linalg.norm(w)))
angle(np.array([0, 1, 2]), np.array([2, 3, 4]))
```

array(0.41899002)

We will not use it right now, but it is useful to know that we will refer to vectors for which the angle is $\pi/2$ (or equivalently 90°) as being *orthogonal*. By examining the equation above, we see that this happens when $\theta = \pi/2$, which is the same thing as $\cos(\theta) = 0$. The only way this can happen is if the dot product itself is zero, and two vectors are orthogonal if and only if $v \cdot w = 0$. This will prove to be a helpful formula when understanding objects geometrically.

It is reasonable to ask: why is computing the angle useful? The answer comes in the kind of invariance we expect data to have. Consider an image, and a duplicate image, where every pixel value is the same but 10% the brightness. The values of the individual pixels are in general far from the original values. Thus, if one computed the distance between the original image and the darker one, the distance can be large. However, for most ML applications, the *content* is the same—it is still an image of a cat as far as a cat/dog classifier is concerned. However, if we consider the angle, it is not hard to see that for any vector v, the angle between v and $0.1 \cdot v$ is zero. This corresponds to the fact that scaling vectors keeps the same direction and just changes the length. The angle considers the darker image identical.

Examples like this are everywhere. In text, we might want the topic being discussed to not change if we write twice as long of document that says the same thing. For some encoding (such as counting the number of occurrences of words in some vocabulary), this corresponds to a doubling of the vector encoding the document, so again we can use the angle.

Cosine Similarity

In ML contexts where the angle is employed to measure the closeness of two vectors, practitioners adopt the term *cosine similarity* to refer to the portion

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{w}\|}.$$
(19.1.9)

The cosine takes a maximum value of 1 when the two vectors point in the same direction, a minimum value of -1 when they point in opposite directions, and a value of 0 when the two vectors are orthogonal. Note that if the components of high-dimensional vectors are sampled randomly with mean 0, their cosine will nearly always be close to 0.

19.1.3 Hyperplanes

In addition to working with vectors, another key object that you must understand to go far in linear algebra is the *hyperplane*, a generalization to higher dimensions of a line (two dimensions) or of a plane (three dimensions). In an *d*-dimensional vector space, a hyperplane has d-1 dimensions and divides the space into two half-spaces.

Let's start with an example. Suppose that we have a column vector $\boldsymbol{w} = [2, 1]^{\top}$. We want to know, "what are the points \boldsymbol{v} with $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 1$?" By recalling the connection between dot products and angles above (19.1.8), we can see that this is equivalent to

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|\|\boldsymbol{w}\|\cos(\theta) = 1 \iff \|\boldsymbol{v}\|\cos(\theta) = \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}.$$
 (19.1.10)

If we consider the geometric meaning of this expression, we see that this is equivalent to saying that the length of the projection of v onto the direction of w is exactly 1/||w||, as is shown in Fig.19.1.5. The set of all points where this is true is a line at right angles to the vector w. If we wanted, we could find the equation for this line and see that it is 2x + y = 1 or equivalently y = 1 - 2x.

If we now look at what happens when we ask about the set of points with $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} > 1$ or $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} < 1$, we can see that these are cases where the projections are longer or shorter than $1/\|\boldsymbol{w}\|$, respectively. Thus, those two inequalities define either side of the line. In this way, we have found a way to cut our space into two halves, where all the points on one side have dot product below a threshold, and the other side above as we see in Fig.19.1.6.



Recalling trigonometry, we see the formula $\|v\| \cos(\theta)$ is the length of the projection of Figure 19.1.5 the vector \boldsymbol{v} onto the direction of \boldsymbol{w}



Figure 19.1.6 If we now consider the inequality version of the expression, we see that our hyperplane (in this case: just a line) separates the space into two halves.

> The story in higher dimension is much the same. If we now take $w = [1, 2, 3]^{\top}$ and ask about the points in three dimensions with $w \cdot v = 1$, we obtain a plane at right angles to the given vector w. The two inequalities again define the two sides of the plane as is shown in Fig.19.1.7.



Figure 19.1.7 Hyperplanes in any dimension separate the space into two halves.

While our ability to visualize runs out at this point, nothing stops us from doing this in tens, hundreds, or billions of dimensions. This occurs often when thinking about machine learned models. For instance, we can understand linear classification models like those from Section 4.1, as methods to find hyperplanes that separate the different target classes. In this context, such hyperplanes are often referred to as decision planes. The majority of deep learned classification models end with a linear layer fed into a softmax, so one can interpret the role of the deep neural network to be to find a non-linear embedding such that the target classes can be separated cleanly by hyperplanes.

To give a hand-built example, notice that we can produce a reasonable model to classify tiny images of t-shirts and trousers from the Fashion-MNIST dataset (seen in Section 4.2) by just taking the vector between their means to define the decision plane and eyeball a crude threshold. First we will load the data and compute the averages.

```
# Load in the dataset
train = gluon.data.vision.FashionMNIST(train=True)
```

```
test = gluon.data.vision.FashionMNIST(train=False)
X_train_0 = np.stack([x[0] for x in train if x[1] == 0]).astype(float)
X_train_1 = np.stack([x[0] for x in train if x[1] == 1]).astype(float)
X_test = np.stack(
      [x[0] for x in test if x[1] == 0 or x[1] == 1]).astype(float)
y_test = np.stack(
      [x[1] for x in test if x[1] == 0 or x[1] == 1]).astype(float)
# Compute averages
ave_0 = np.mean(X_train_0, axis=0)
ave_1 = np.mean(X_train_1, axis=0)
```

It can be informative to examine these averages in detail, so let's plot what they look like. In this case, we see that the average indeed resembles a blurry image of a t-shirt.

```
# Plot average t-shirt
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.imshow(ave_0.reshape(28, 28).tolist(), cmap='Greys')
d2l.plt.show()
```



In the second case, we again see that the average resembles a blurry image of trousers.

```
# Plot average trousers
d2l.plt.imshow(ave_1.reshape(28, 28).tolist(), cmap='Greys')
d2l.plt.show()
```



In a fully machine learned solution, we would learn the threshold from the dataset. In this case, I simply eyeballed a threshold that looked good on the training data by hand.

```
# Print test set accuracy with eyeballed threshold
w = (ave_1 - ave_0).T
predictions = X_test.reshape(2000, -1).dot(w.flatten()) > -1500000
```

```
# Accuracy
np.mean(predictions.astype(y_test.dtype) == y_test, dtype=np.float64)
```

array(0.801, dtype=float64)

19.1.4 Geometry of Linear Transformations

Through Section 2.3 and the above discussions, we have a solid understanding of the geometry of vectors, lengths, and angles. However, there is one important object we have omitted discussing, and that is a geometric understanding of linear transformations represented by matrices. Fully internalizing what matrices can do to transform data between two potentially different high dimensional spaces takes significant practice, and is beyond the scope of this appendix. However, we can start building up intuition in two dimensions.

Suppose that we have some matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.1.11)

If we want to apply this to an arbitrary vector $\boldsymbol{v} = [x, y]^{\top}$, we multiply and see that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ax + by \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x \left\{ \boldsymbol{A} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} + y \left\{ \boldsymbol{A} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$
(19.1.12)

This may seem like an odd computation, where something clear became somewhat impenetrable. However, it tells us that we can write the way that a matrix transforms *any* vector in terms of how it transforms *two specific vectors*: $[1, 0]^{\top}$ and $[0, 1]^{\top}$. This is worth considering for a moment. We have essentially reduced an infinite problem (what happens to any pair of real numbers) to a finite one (what happens to these specific vectors). These vectors are an example a *basis*, where we can write any vector in our space as a weighted sum of these *basis vectors*.

Let's draw what happens when we use the specific matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.1.13}$$

If we look at the specific vector $\boldsymbol{v} = [2, -1]^{\top}$, we see this is $2 \cdot [1, 0]^{\top} + -1 \cdot [0, 1]^{\top}$, and thus we know that the matrix A will send this to $2(\boldsymbol{A}[1, 0]^{\top}) + -1(\boldsymbol{A}[0, 1])^{\top} = 2[1, -1]^{\top} - [2, 3]^{\top} = [0, -5]^{\top}$. If we follow this logic through carefully, say by considering the grid of all integer pairs of points, we see that what happens is that the matrix multiplication can skew, rotate, and scale the grid, but the grid structure must remain as you see in Fig.19.1.8.

This is the most important intuitive point to internalize about linear transformations represented by matrices. Matrices are incapable of distorting some parts of space differently than others. All they can do is take the original coordinates on our space and skew, rotate, and scale them.



Figure 19.1.8 The matrix A acting on the given basis vectors. Notice how the entire grid is transported along with it.

Some distortions can be severe. For instance the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1\\ 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix},\tag{19.1.14}$$

compresses the entire two-dimensional plane down to a single line. Identifying and working with such transformations are the topic of a later section, but geometrically we can see that this is fundamentally different from the types of transformations we saw above. For instance, the result from matrix A can be "bent back" to the original grid. The results from matrix B cannot because we will never know where the vector $[1,2]^{\top}$ came from—was it $[1,1]^{\top}$ or $[0,-1]^{\top}$?

While this picture was for a 2×2 matrix, nothing prevents us from taking the lessons learned into higher dimensions. If we take similar basis vectors like [1, 0, ..., 0] and see where our matrix sends them, we can start to get a feeling for how the matrix multiplication distorts the entire space in whatever dimension space we are dealing with.

19.1.5 Linear Dependence

Consider again the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.1.15}$$

This compresses the entire plane down to live on the single line y = 2x. The question now arises: is there some way we can detect this just looking at the matrix itself? The answer is that indeed we can. Let's take $b_1 = [2, 4]^{\top}$ and $b_2 = [-1, -2]^{\top}$ be the two columns of B. Remember that we can write everything transformed by the matrix B as a weighted sum of the columns of the matrix: like $a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$. We call this a *linear combination*. The fact that $b_1 = -2 \cdot b_2$ means that we can write any linear combination of those two columns entirely in terms of say b_2 since

$$a_1 \boldsymbol{b}_1 + a_2 \boldsymbol{b}_2 = -2a_1 \boldsymbol{b}_2 + a_2 \boldsymbol{b}_2 = (a_2 - 2a_1) \boldsymbol{b}_2.$$
 (19.1.16)

This means that one of the columns is, in a sense, redundant because it does not define a unique direction in space. This should not surprise us too much since we already saw that this matrix collapses the entire plane down into a single line. Moreover, we see that the linear dependence $b_1 = -2 \cdot b_2$ captures this. To make this more symmetrical between the two vectors, we will write this as

$$\boldsymbol{b}_1 + 2 \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_2 = 0. \tag{19.1.17}$$

In general, we will say that a collection of vectors v_1, \ldots, v_k are *linearly dependent* if there exist coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_k not all equal to zero so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \boldsymbol{v_i} = 0. \tag{19.1.18}$$

In this case, we can solve for one of the vectors in terms of some combination of the others, and effectively render it redundant. Thus, a linear dependence in the columns of a matrix is a witness to the fact that our matrix is compressing the space down to some lower dimension. If there is no linear dependence we say the vectors are *linearly independent*. If the columns of a matrix are linearly independent, no compression occurs and the operation can be undone.

19.1.6 Rank

If we have a general $n \times m$ matrix, it is reasonable to ask what dimension space the matrix maps into. A concept known as the *rank* will be our answer. In the previous section, we noted that a linear dependence bears witness to compression of space into a lower dimension and so we will be able to use this to define the notion of rank. In particular, the rank of a matrix A is the largest number of linearly independent columns amongst all subsets of columns. For example, the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4\\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.1.19}$$

has rank(B) = 1, since the two columns are linearly dependent, but either column by itself is not linearly dependent. For a more challenging example, we can consider

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
(19.1.20)

and show that C has rank two since, for instance, the first two columns are linearly independent, however any of the four collections of three columns are dependent.

This procedure, as described, is very inefficient. It requires looking at every subset of the columns of our given matrix, and thus is potentially exponential in the number of columns. Later we will see a more computationally efficient way to compute the rank of a matrix, but for now, this is sufficient to see that the concept is well defined and understand the meaning.

19.1.7 Invertibility

We have seen above that multiplication by a matrix with linearly dependent columns cannot be undone, i.e., there is no inverse operation that can always recover the input. However, multiplication by a full-rank matrix (i.e., some A that is $n \times n$ matrix with rank n), we should always be able to undo it. Consider the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.1.21)

which is the matrix with ones along the diagonal, and zeros elsewhere. We call this the *identity* matrix. It is the matrix which leaves our data unchanged when applied. To find a matrix which

undoes what our matrix A has done, we want to find a matrix A^{-1} such that

$$A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I. (19.1.22)$$

If we look at this as a system, we have $n \times n$ unknowns (the entries of A^{-1}) and $n \times n$ equations (the equality that needs to hold between every entry of the product $A^{-1}A$ and every entry of I) so we should generically expect a solution to exist. Indeed, in the next section we will see a quantity called the *determinant*, which has the property that as long as the determinant is not zero, we can find a solution. We call such a matrix A^{-1} the *inverse* matrix. As an example, if A is the general 2×2 matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},\tag{19.1.23}$$

then we can see that the inverse is

$$\frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.1.24)

We can test to see this by seeing that multiplying by the inverse given by the formula above works in practice.

```
M = np.array([[1, 2], [1, 4]])
M_inv = np.array([[2, -1], [-0.5, 0.5]])
M_inv.dot(M)
```

array([[1., 0.], [0., 1.]])

Numerical Issues

While the inverse of a matrix is useful in theory, we must say that most of the time we do not wish to *use* the matrix inverse to solve a problem in practice. In general, there are far more numerically stable algorithms for solving linear equations like

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b},\tag{19.1.25}$$

than computing the inverse and multiplying to get

$$x = A^{-1}b.$$
 (19.1.26)

Just as division by a small number can lead to numerical instability, so can inversion of a matrix which is close to having low rank.

Moreover, it is common that the matrix A is *sparse*, which is to say that it contains only a small number of non-zero values. If we were to explore examples, we would see that this does not mean the inverse is sparse. Even if A was a 1 million by 1 million matrix with only 5 million non-zero entries (and thus we need only store those 5 million), the inverse will typically have almost every entry non-negative, requiring us to store all $1M^2$ entries—that is 1 trillion entries!

While we do not have time to dive all the way into the thorny numerical issues frequently encountered when working with linear algebra, we want to provide you with some intuition about when to proceed with caution, and generally avoiding inversion in practice is a good rule of thumb.

19.1.8 Determinant

The geometric view of linear algebra gives an intuitive way to interpret a fundamental quantity known as the *determinant*. Consider the grid image from before, but now with a highlighted region (Fig.19.1.9).



Figure 19.1.9

The matrix A again distorting the grid. This time, I want to draw particular attention to what happens to the highlighted square.

Look at the highlighted square. This is a square with edges given by (0, 1) and (1, 0) and thus it has area one. After A transforms this square, we see that it becomes a parallelogram. There is no reason this parallelogram should have the same area that we started with, and indeed in the specific case shown here of

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.1.27}$$

it is an exercise in coordinate geometry to compute the area of this parallelogram and obtain that the area is 5.

In general, if we have a matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},\tag{19.1.28}$$

we can see with some computation that the area of the resulting parallelogram is ad - bc. This area is referred to as the *determinant*.

Let's check this quickly with some example code.

import numpy as np

```
np.linalg.det(np.array([[1, -1], [2, 3]]))
```

5.000000000000001

The eagle-eyed amongst us will notice that this expression can be zero or even negative. For the negative term, this is a matter of convention taken generally in mathematics: if the matrix flips the figure, we say the area is negated. Let's see now that when the determinant is zero, we learn more.

Let's consider

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4\\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.1.29}$$

If we compute the determinant of this matrix, we get $2 \cdot (-2) - 4 \cdot (-1) = 0$. Given our understanding above, this makes sense. *B* compresses the square from the original image down to a line segment, which has zero area. And indeed, being compressed into a lower dimensional space is the only way to have zero area after the transformation. Thus we see the

following result is true: a matrix A is invertible if and only if the determinant is not equal to zero.

As a final comment, imagine that we have any figure drawn on the plane. Thinking like computer scientists, we can decompose that figure into a collection of little squares so that the area of the figure is in essence just the number of squares in the decomposition. If we now transform that figure by a matrix, we send each of these squares to parallelograms, each one of which has area given by the determinant. We see that for any figure, the determinant gives the (signed) number that a matrix scales the area of any figure.

Computing determinants for larger matrices can be laborious, but the intuition is the same. The determinant remains the factor that $n \times n$ matrices scale *n*-dimensional volumes.

19.1.9 Tensors and Common Linear Algebra Operations

In Section 2.3 the concept of tensors was introduced. In this section, we will dive more deeply into tensor contractions (the tensor equivalent of matrix multiplication), and see how it can provide a unified view on a number of matrix and vector operations.

With matrices and vectors we knew how to multiply them to transform data. We need to have a similar definition for tensors if they are to be useful to us. Think about matrix multiplication:

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B},\tag{19.1.30}$$

or equivalently

$$c_{i,j} = \sum_{k} a_{i,k} b_{k,j}.$$
 (19.1.31)

This pattern is one we can repeat for tensors. For tensors, there is no one case of what to sum over that can be universally chosen, so we need specify exactly which indices we want to sum over. For instance we could consider

$$y_{il} = \sum_{jk} x_{ijkl} a_{jk}.$$
 (19.1.32)

Such a transformation is called a *tensor contraction*. It can represent a far more flexible family of transformations that matrix multiplication alone.

As a often-used notational simplification, we can notice that the sum is over exactly those indices that occur more than once in the expression, thus people often work with *Einstein notation*, where the summation is implicitly taken over all repeated indices. This gives the compact expression:

$$y_{il} = x_{ijkl} a_{jk}.$$
 (19.1.33)

Common Examples from Linear Algebra

Let's see how many of the linear algebraic definitions we have seen before can be expressed in this compressed tensor notation:

•
$$\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_i v_i w_i$$

•
$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 = \sum_i v_i v_i$$

•
$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v})_i = \sum_j a_{ij} v_j$$

•
$$(AB)_{ik} = \sum_j a_{ij} b_{jk}$$

•
$$\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \sum_{i} a_{ii}$$
In this way, we can replace a myriad of specialized notations with short tensor expressions.

Expressing in Code

Tensors may flexibly be operated on in code as well. As seen in Section 2.3, we can create tensors as is shown below.

```
# Define tensors
B = np.array([[[1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6]], [[7, 8, 9], [10, 11, 12]]])
A = np.array([[1, 2], [3, 4]])
v = np.array([1, 2])
# Print out the shapes
A.shape, B.shape, v.shape
```

((2, 2), (2, 2, 3), (2,))

Einstein summation has been implemented directly. The indices that occurs in the Einstein summation can be passed as a string, followed by the tensors that are being acted upon. For instance, to implement matrix multiplication, we can consider the Einstein summation seen above $(Av = a_{ij}v_j)$ and strip out the indices themselves to get the implementation:

```
# Reimplement matrix multiplication
np.einsum("ij, j -> i", A, v), A.dot(v)
```

```
(array([ 5, 11]), array([ 5, 11]))
```

This is a highly flexible notation. For instance if we want to compute what would be traditionally written as

$$c_{kl} = \sum_{ij} \boldsymbol{b}_{ijk} \boldsymbol{a}_{il} \boldsymbol{v}_j. \tag{19.1.34}$$

it can be implemented via Einstein summation as:

```
np.einsum("ijk, il, j -> kl", B, A, v)
```

```
array([[ 90, 126],
[102, 144],
[114, 162]])
```

This notation is readable and efficient for humans, however bulky if for whatever reason we need to generate a tensor contraction programmatically. For this reason, einsum provides an alternative notation by providing integer indices for each tensor. For example, the same tensor contraction can also be written as:

```
np.einsum(B, [0, 1, 2], A, [0, 3], v, [1], [2, 3])
```

```
array([[ 90, 126],
[102, 144],
[114, 162]])
```

Either notation allows for concise and efficient representation of tensor contractions in code.

19.1.10 Summary

- Vectors can be interpreted geometrically as either points or directions in space.
- Dot products define the notion of angle to arbitrarily high-dimensional spaces.
- Hyperplanes are high-dimensional generalizations of lines and planes. They can be used to define decision planes that are often used as the last step in a classification task.
- Matrix multiplication can be geometrically interpreted as uniform distortions of the underlying coordinates. They represent a very restricted, but mathematically clean, way to transform vectors.
- Linear dependence is a way to tell when a collection of vectors are in a lower dimensional space than we would expect (say you have 3 vectors living in a 2-dimensional space). The rank of a matrix is the size of the largest subset of its columns that are linearly independent.
- When a matrix's inverse is defined, matrix inversion allows us to find another matrix that undoes the action of the first. Matrix inversion is useful in theory, but requires care in practice owing to numerical instability.
- Determinants allow us to measure how much a matrix expands or contracts a space. A nonzero determinant implies an invertible (non-singular) matrix and a zero-valued determinant means that the matrix is non-invertible (singular).
- Tensor contractions and Einstein summation provide for a neat and clean notation for expressing many of the computations that are seen in machine learning.

Exercises

1. What is the angle between

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\-1\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}?$$
 (19.1.35)

- 2. True or false: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are inverses of one another?
- 3. Suppose that we draw a shape in the plane with area 100m². What is the area after transforming the figure by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.1.36}$$

- 4. Which of the following sets of vectors are linearly independent?
- $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

- 5. Suppose that you have a matrix written as $A = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix}$ for some choice of values a, b, c, and d. True or false: the determinant of such a matrix is always 0?
- 6. The vectors $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are orthogonal. What is the condition on a matrix A so that Ae_1 and Ae_2 are orthogonal?
- 7. How can you write $tr(A^4)$ in Einstein notation for an arbitrary matrix A?

Discussions²⁶⁹



19.2 Eigendecompositions

Eigenvalues are often one of the most useful notions we will encounter when studying linear algebra, however, as a beginner, it is easy to overlook their importance. Below, we introduce eigendecomposition and try to convey some sense of just why it is so important.

Suppose that we have a matrix A with the following entries:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.2.1}$$

If we apply A to any vector $v = [x, y]^{\top}$, we obtain a vector $Av = [2x, -y]^{\top}$. This has an intuitive interpretation: stretch the vector to be twice as wide in the x-direction, and then flip it in the y-direction.

However, there are *some* vectors for which something remains unchanged. Namely $[1,0]^{\top}$ gets sent to $[2,0]^{\top}$ and $[0,1]^{\top}$ gets sent to $[0,-1]^{\top}$. These vectors are still in the same line, and the only modification is that the matrix stretches them by a factor of 2 and -1 respectively. We call such vectors *eigenvectors* and the factor they are stretched by *eigenvalues*.

In general, if we can find a number λ and a vector \boldsymbol{v} such that

$$Av = \lambda v. \tag{19.2.2}$$

We say that v is an eigenvector for A and λ is an eigenvalue.

19.2.1 Finding Eigenvalues

Let's figure out how to find them. By subtracting off the λv from both sides, and then factoring out the vector, we see the above is equivalent to:

$$(\boldsymbol{A} - \lambda \boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{v} = 0. \tag{19.2.3}$$

For (19.2.3) to happen, we see that $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$ must compress some direction down to zero, hence it is not invertible, and thus the determinant is zero. Thus, we can find the *eigenvalues* by finding for what λ is det $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$. Once we find the eigenvalues, we can solve $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ to find the associated *eigenvector(s)*.

An Example

Let's see this with a more challenging matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1\\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.2.4)

If we consider $\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0$, we see this is equivalent to the polynomial equation $0 = (2 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 2 = (4 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda)$. Thus, two eigenvalues are 4 and 1. To find the associated vectors, we then need to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4x \\ 4y \end{bmatrix}.$$
(19.2.5)

We can solve this with the vectors $[1, -1]^{\top}$ and $[1, 2]^{\top}$ respectively.

We can check this in code using the built-in numpy.linalg.eig routine.

Note that numpy normalizes the eigenvectors to be of length one, whereas we took ours to be of arbitrary length. Additionally, the choice of sign is arbitrary. However, the vectors computed are parallel to the ones we found by hand with the same eigenvalues.

19.2.2 Decomposing Matrices

Let's continue the previous example one step further. Let

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1\\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.2.6}$$

be the matrix where the columns are the eigenvectors of the matrix A. Let

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.2.7}$$

be the matrix with the associated eigenvalues on the diagonal. Then the definition of eigenvalues and eigenvectors tells us that

$$AW = W\Sigma. \tag{19.2.8}$$

The matrix W is invertible, so we may multiply both sides by W^{-1} on the right, we see that we may write

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{W}^{-1}. \tag{19.2.9}$$

In the next section we will see some nice consequences of this, but for now we need only know that such a decomposition will exist as long as we can find a full collection of linearly independent eigenvectors (so that W is invertible).

19.2.3 Operations on Eigendecompositions

One nice thing about eigendecompositions (19.2.9) is that we can write many operations we usually encounter cleanly in terms of the eigendecomposition. As a first example, consider:

$$\boldsymbol{A}^{n} = \overbrace{\boldsymbol{A}\cdots\boldsymbol{A}}^{n \text{ times}} = \overbrace{(\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{W}^{-1})\cdots(\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{W}^{-1})}^{n \text{ times}} = \boldsymbol{W}\overbrace{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\cdots\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{n \text{ times}} \boldsymbol{W}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{n}\boldsymbol{W}^{-1}.$$
(19.2.10)

This tells us that for any positive power of a matrix, the eigendecomposition is obtained by just raising the eigenvalues to the same power. The same can be shown for negative powers, so if we want to invert a matrix we need only consider

$$A^{-1} = W \Sigma^{-1} W^{-1}, \qquad (19.2.11)$$

or in other words, just invert each eigenvalue. This will work as long as each eigenvalue is non-zero, so we see that invertible is the same as having no zero eigenvalues.

Indeed, additional work can show that if $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of a matrix, then the determinant of that matrix is

$$\det(\boldsymbol{A}) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n, \tag{19.2.12}$$

or the product of all the eigenvalues. This makes sense intuitively because whatever stretching W does, W^{-1} undoes it, so in the end the only stretching that happens is by multiplication by the diagonal matrix Σ , which stretches volumes by the product of the diagonal elements.

Finally, recall that the rank was the maximum number of linearly independent columns of your matrix. By examining the eigendecomposition closely, we can see that the rank is the same as the number of non-zero eigenvalues of A.

The examples could continue, but hopefully the point is clear: eigendecomposition can simplify many linear-algebraic computations and is a fundamental operation underlying many numerical algorithms and much of the analysis that we do in linear algebra.

19.2.4 Eigendecompositions of Symmetric Matrices

It is not always possible to find enough linearly independent eigenvectors for the above process to work. For instance the matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{19.2.13}$$

has only a single eigenvector, namely $(1,0)^{\top}$. To handle such matrices, we require more advanced techniques than we can cover (such as the Jordan Normal Form, or Singular Value Decomposition). We will often need to restrict our attention to those matrices where we can guarantee the existence of a full set of eigenvectors.

The most commonly encountered family are the symmetric matrices, which are those matrices where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{\top}$. In this case, we may take W to be an orthogonal matrix—a matrix whose columns are all length one vectors that are at right angles to one another, where $\mathbf{W}^{\top} = \mathbf{W}^{-1}$ —and all the eigenvalues will be real. Thus, in this special case, we can write (19.2.9) as

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{W}^{\top}. \tag{19.2.14}$$

19.2.5 Gershgorin Circle Theorem

Eigenvalues are often difficult to reason with intuitively. If presented an arbitrary matrix, there is little that can be said about what the eigenvalues are without computing them. There is, however, one theorem that can make it easy to approximate well if the largest values are on the diagonal.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be any square matrix $(n \times n)$. We will define $r_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$. Let \mathcal{D}_i represent the disc in the complex plane with center a_{ii} radius r_i . Then, every eigenvalue of A is contained in one of the \mathcal{D}_i .

This can be a bit to unpack, so let's look at an example. Consider the matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 3.0 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 5.0 & 0.5 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 9.0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.2.15)

We have $r_1 = 0.3$, $r_2 = 0.6$, $r_3 = 0.8$ and $r_4 = 0.9$. The matrix is symmetric, so all eigenvalues are real. This means that all of our eigenvalues will be in one of the ranges of

$$[a_{11} - r_1, a_{11} + r_1] = [0.7, 1.3], (19.2.16)$$

$$[a_{22} - r_2, a_{22} + r_2] = [2.4, 3.6], (19.2.17)$$

$$[a_{33} - r_3, a_{33} + r_3] = [4.2, 5.8],$$
(19.2.18)

$$[a_{44} - r_4, a_{44} + r_4] = [8.1, 9.9].$$
(19.2.19)

Performing the numerical computation shows that the eigenvalues are approximately 0.99, 2.97, 4.95, 9.08, all comfortably inside the ranges provided.

```
array([9.08033648, 0.99228545, 4.95394089, 2.97343718])
```

In this way, eigenvalues can be approximated, and the approximations will be fairly accurate in the case that the diagonal is significantly larger than all the other elements.

It is a small thing, but with a complex and subtle topic like eigendecomposition, it is good to get any intuitive grasp we can.

19.2.6 A Useful Application: The Growth of Iterated Maps

Now that we understand what eigenvectors are in principle, let's see how they can be used to provide a deep understanding of a problem central to neural network behavior: proper weight initialization.

Eigenvectors as Long Term Behavior

The full mathematical investigation of the initialization of deep neural networks is beyond the scope of the text, but we can see a toy version here to understand how eigenvalues can help us see how these models work. As we know, neural networks operate by interspersing layers of linear transformations with non-linear operations. For simplicity here, we will assume that there is no non-linearity, and that the transformation is a single repeated matrix operation A, so that the output of our model is

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{out} = \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdots \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{v}_{in} = \boldsymbol{A}^N \boldsymbol{v}_{in}. \tag{19.2.20}$$

When these models are initialized, A is taken to be a random matrix with Gaussian entries, so let's make one of those. To be concrete, we start with a mean zero, variance one Gaussian distributed 5×5 matrix.

```
np.random.seed(8675309)
k = 5
A = np.random.randn(k, k)
A
```

array([[0.58902366, 0.73311856, -1.1621888 , -0.55681601, -0.77248843], [-0.16822143, -0.41650391, -1.37843129, 0.74925588, 0.17888446], [0.69401121, -1.9780535 , -0.83381434, 0.56437344, 0.31201299], [-0.87334496, 0.15601291, -0.38710108, -0.23920821, 0.88850104], [1.29385371, -0.76774106, 0.20131613, 0.91800842, 0.38974115]])

Behavior on Random Data

For simplicity in our toy model, we will assume that the data vector we feed in v_{in} is a random five dimensional Gaussian vector. Let's think about what we want to have happen. For context, lets think of a generic ML problem, where we are trying to turn input data, like an image, into a prediction, like the probability the image is a picture of a cat. If repeated application of A stretches a random vector out to be very long, then small changes in input will be amplified into large changes in output—tiny modifications of the input image would lead to vastly different predictions. This does not seem right!

On the flip side, if A shrinks random vectors to be shorter, then after running through many layers, the vector will essentially shrink to nothing, and the output will not depend on the input. This is also clearly not right either!

We need to walk the narrow line between growth and decay to make sure that our output changes depending on our input, but not much!

Let's see what happens when we repeatedly multiply our matrix A against a random input vector, and keep track of the norm.

Calculate the sequence of norms after repeatedly applying `A`
v_in = np.random.randn(k, 1)
norm_list = [np.linalg.norm(v_in)]
for i in range(1, 100):
 v_in = A.dot(v_in)
 norm_list.append(np.linalg.norm(v_in))





The norm is growing uncontrollably! Indeed if we take the list of quotients, we will see a pattern.

```
# Compute the scaling factor of the norms
norm_ratio_list = []
for i in range(1, 100):
    norm_ratio_list.append(norm_list[i]/norm_list[i - 1])
d2l.plot(np.arange(1, 100), norm_ratio_list, 'Iteration', 'Ratio')
```



If we look at the last portion of the above computation, we see that the random vector is stretched by a factor of 1.974459321485[...], where the portion at the end shifts a little, but the stretching factor is stable.

Relating Back to Eigenvectors

We have seen that eigenvectors and eigenvalues correspond to the amount something is stretched, but that was for specific vectors, and specific stretches. Let's take a look at what they are for A. A bit of a caveat here: it turns out that to see them all, we will need to go to complex numbers. You can think of these as stretches and rotations. By taking the norm of the complex number (square root of the sums of squares of real and imaginary parts) we can measure that stretching factor. Let's also sort them.

```
# Compute the eigenvalues
eigs = np.linalg.eigvals(A).tolist()
norm_eigs = [np.absolute(x) for x in eigs]
norm_eigs.sort()
print(f'norms of eigenvalues: {norm_eigs}')
```

```
norms of eigenvalues: [0.8786205280381857, 1.2757952665062624, 1.

→4983381517710659, 1.4983381517710659, 1.974459321485074]
```

An Observation

We see something a bit unexpected happening here: that number we identified before for the long term stretching of our matrix A applied to a random vector is *exactly* (accurate to thirteen decimal places!) the largest eigenvalue of A. This is clearly not a coincidence!

But, if we now think about what is happening geometrically, this starts to make sense. Consider a random vector. This random vector points a little in every direction, so in particular, it points at least a little bit in the same direction as the eigenvector of A associated with the largest eigenvalue. This is so important that it is called the *principle eigenvalue* and *principle eigenvector*. After applying A, our random vector gets stretched in every possible direction, as is associated with the very possible eigenvector, but it is stretched most of all in the direction associated with this principle eigenvector. What this means is that after apply in A, our

random vector is longer, and points in a direction closer to being aligned with the principle eigenvector. After applying the matrix many times, the alignment with the principle eigenvector becomes closer and closer until, for all practical purposes, our random vector has been transformed into the principle eigenvector! Indeed this algorithm is the basis for what is known as the *power iteration* for finding the largest eigenvalue and eigenvector of a matrix. For details see, for example, (Van Loan and Golub, 1983).

Fixing the Normalization

Now, from above discussions, we concluded that we do not want a random vector to be stretched or squished at all, we would like random vectors to stay about the same size throughout the entire process. To do so, we now rescale our matrix by this principle eigenvalue so that the largest eigenvalue is instead now just one. Let's see what happens in this case.

```
# Rescale the matrix `A`
A /= norm_eigs[-1]
# Do the same experiment again
v_in = np.random.randn(k, 1)
norm_list = [np.linalg.norm(v_in)]
for i in range(1, 100):
    v_in = A.dot(v_in)
    norm_list.append(np.linalg.norm(v_in))
d2l.plot(np.arange(0, 100), norm_list, 'Iteration', 'Value')
```



We can also plot the ratio between consecutive norms as before and see that indeed it stabilizes.

19.2.7 Discussion

We now see exactly what we hoped for! After normalizing the matrices by the principal eigenvalue, we see that the random data does not explode as before, but rather eventually equilibrates to a specific value. It would be nice to be able to do these things from first principles, and it turns out that if we look deeply at the mathematics of it, we can see that the



largest eigenvalue of a large random matrix with independent mean zero, variance one Gaussian entries is on average about \sqrt{n} , or in our case $\sqrt{5} \approx 2.2$, due to a fascinating fact known as the *circular law* (Ginibre, 1965). The relationship between the eigenvalues (and a related object called singular values) of random matrices has been shown to have deep connections to proper initialization of neural networks as was discussed in Pennington *et al.* (2017) and subsequent works.

19.2.8 Summary

- Eigenvectors are vectors which are stretched by a matrix without changing direction.
- Eigenvalues are the amount that the eigenvectors are stretched by the application of the matrix.
- The eigendecomposition of a matrix can allow for many operations to be reduced to operations on the eigenvalues.
- The Gershgorin Circle Theorem can provide approximate values for the eigenvalues of a matrix.
- The behavior of iterated matrix powers depends primarily on the size of the largest eigenvalue. This understanding has many applications in the theory of neural network initialization.

Exercises

1. What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}? \tag{19.2.21}$$

2. What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrix, and what is strange about this example compared to the previous one?

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19.2.22}$$

3. Without computing the eigenvalues, is it possible that the smallest eigenvalue of the following matrix is less that 0.5? *Note*: this problem can be done in your head.

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.0 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 1.0 \\ 0.1 & 1.0 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 5.0 & 0.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 1.8 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.2.23)

19.3 Single Variable Calculus

In Section 2.4, we saw the basic elements of differential calculus. This section takes a deeper dive into the fundamentals of calculus and how we can understand and apply it in the context of machine learning.

19.3.1 Differential Calculus

Differential calculus is fundamentally the study of how functions behave under small changes. To see why this is so core to deep learning, let's consider an example.

Suppose that we have a deep neural network where the weights are, for convenience, concatenated into a single vector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$. Given a training dataset, we consider the loss of our neural network on this dataset, which we will write as $\mathcal{L}(w)$.

This function is extraordinarily complex, encoding the performance of all possible models of the given architecture on this dataset, so it is nearly impossible to tell what set of weights w will minimize the loss. Thus, in practice, we often start by initializing our weights *randomly*, and then iteratively take small steps in the direction which makes the loss decrease as rapidly as possible.

The question then becomes something that on the surface is no easier: how do we find the direction which makes the weights decrease as quickly as possible? To dig into this, let's first examine the case with only a single weight: L(w) = L(x) for a single real value x.

Let's take x and try to understand what happens when we change it by a small amount to $x + \epsilon$. If you wish to be concrete, think a number like $\epsilon = 0.0000001$. To help us visualize what happens, let's graph an example function, $f(x) = \sin(x^x)$, over the [0, 3].

```
%matplotlib inline
from IPython import display
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
# Plot a function in a normal range
x_big = np.arange(0.01, 3.01, 0.01)
ys = np.sin(x_big**x_big)
d2l.plot(x_big, ys, 'x', 'f(x)')
```



At this large scale, the function's behavior is not simple. However, if we reduce our range to something smaller like [1.75, 2.25], we see that the graph becomes much simpler.

```
# Plot a the same function in a tiny range
x_med = np.arange(1.75, 2.25, 0.001)
ys = np.sin(x_med**x_med)
d2l.plot(x_med, ys, 'x', 'f(x)')
```



Taking this to an extreme, if we zoom into a tiny segment, the behavior becomes far simpler: it is just a straight line.

```
# Plot a the same function in a tiny range
x_small = np.arange(2.0, 2.01, 0.0001)
ys = np.sin(x_small**x_small)
d2l.plot(x_small, ys, 'x', 'f(x)')
```



This is the key observation of single variable calculus: the behavior of familiar functions can be modeled by a line in a small enough range. This means that for most functions, it is reasonable to expect that as we shift the x value of the function by a little bit, the output f(x) will also be shifted by a little bit. The only question we need to answer is, "How large is the change in the output compared to the change in the input? Is it half as large? Twice as large?"

Thus, we can consider the ratio of the change in the output of a function for a small change in the input of the function. We can write this formally as

$$\frac{L(x+\epsilon) - L(x)}{(x+\epsilon) - x} = \frac{L(x+\epsilon) - L(x)}{\epsilon}.$$
(19.3.1)

This is already enough to start to play around with in code. For instance, suppose that we know that $L(x) = x^2 + 1701(x - 4)^3$, then we can see how large this value is at the point x = 4 as follows.

```
# Define our function
def L(x):
    return x**2 + 1701*(x-4)**3
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Print the difference divided by epsilon for several epsilon
for epsilon in [0.1, 0.001, 0.0001, 0.00001]:
    print(f'epsilon = {epsilon:.5f} -> {(L(4+epsilon) - L(4)) / epsilon:.5f}')
```

epsilon = 0.10000 -> 25.11000 epsilon = 0.00100 -> 8.00270 epsilon = 0.00010 -> 8.00012 epsilon = 0.00001 -> 8.00001

Now, if we are observant, we will notice that the output of this number is suspiciously close to 8. Indeed, if we decrease ϵ , we will see value becomes progressively closer to 8. Thus we may conclude, correctly, that the value we seek (the degree a change in the input changes the output) should be 8 at the point x = 4. The way that a mathematician encodes this fact is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{L(4+\epsilon) - L(4)}{\epsilon} = 8.$$
(19.3.2)

As a bit of a historical digression: in the first few decades of neural network research, scientists used this algorithm (the *method of finite differences*) to evaluate how a loss function changed under small perturbation: just change the weights and see how the loss changed. This is computationally inefficient, requiring two evaluations of the loss function to see how a single change of one variable influenced the loss. If we tried to do this with even a paltry few thousand parameters, it would require several thousand evaluations of the network over the entire dataset! It was not solved until 1986 that the *backpropagation algorithm* introduced in Rumelhart *et al.* (1988) provided a way to calculate how *any* change of the weights together would change the loss in the same computation time as a single prediction of the network over the dataset.

Back in our example, this value 8 is different for different values of x, so it makes sense to define it as a function of x. More formally, this value dependent rate of change is referred to as the *derivative* which is written as

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\epsilon) - f(x)}{\epsilon}.$$
(19.3.3)

Different texts will use different notations for the derivative. For instance, all of the below notations indicate the same thing:

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}f = f' = \nabla_x f = D_x f = f_x.$$
(19.3.4)

Most authors will pick a single notation and stick with it, however even that is not guaranteed. It is best to be familiar with all of these. We will use the notation $\frac{df}{dx}$ throughout this text, unless we want to take the derivative of a complex expression, in which case we will use $\frac{d}{dx}f$ to write expressions like

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left[x^4 + \cos\left(\frac{x^2+1}{2x-1}\right)\right].$$
(19.3.5)

Oftentimes, it is intuitively useful to unravel the definition of derivative (19.3.3) again to see how a function changes when we make a small change of x:

$$\frac{df}{dx}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(x+\epsilon) - f(x)}{\epsilon} \implies \frac{df}{dx}(x) \approx \frac{f(x+\epsilon) - f(x)}{\epsilon}$$
$$\implies \epsilon \frac{df}{dx}(x) \approx f(x+\epsilon) - f(x) \qquad (19.3.6)$$
$$\implies f(x+\epsilon) \approx f(x) + \epsilon \frac{df}{dx}(x).$$

The last equation is worth explicitly calling out. It tells us that if you take any function and

change the input by a small amount, the output would change by that small amount scaled by the derivative.

In this way, we can understand the derivative as the scaling factor that tells us how large of change we get in the output from a change in the input.

19.3.2 Rules of Calculus

We now turn to the task of understanding how to compute the derivative of an explicit function. A full formal treatment of calculus would derive everything from first principles. We will not indulge in this temptation here, but rather provide an understanding of the common rules encountered.

Common Derivatives

As was seen in Section 2.4, when computing derivatives one can oftentimes use a series of rules to reduce the computation to a few core functions. We repeat them here for ease of reference.

- Derivative of constants. $\frac{d}{dx}c = 0$.
- Derivative of linear functions. $\frac{d}{dx}(ax) = a$.
- Power rule. $\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1}$.
- Derivative of exponentials. $\frac{d}{dx}e^x = e^x$.
- Derivative of the logarithm. $\frac{d}{dx}\log(x) = \frac{1}{x}$.

Derivative Rules

If every derivative needed to be separately computed and stored in a table, differential calculus would be near impossible. It is a gift of mathematics that we can generalize the above derivatives and compute more complex derivatives like finding the derivative of $f(x) = \log (1 + (x - 1)^{10})$. As was mentioned in Section 2.4, the key to doing so is to codify what happens when we take functions and combine them in various ways, most importantly: sums, products, and compositions.

- Sum rule. $\frac{d}{dx}(g(x) + h(x)) = \frac{dg}{dx}(x) + \frac{dh}{dx}(x)$.
- Product rule. $\frac{d}{dx}(g(x) \cdot h(x)) = g(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) + \frac{dg}{dx}(x)h(x)$.
- Chain rule. $\frac{d}{dx}g(h(x)) = \frac{dg}{dh}(h(x)) \cdot \frac{dh}{dx}(x)$.

Let's see how we may use (19.3.6) to understand these rules. For the sum rule, consider following chain of reasoning:

$$f(x + \epsilon) = g(x + \epsilon) + h(x + \epsilon)$$

$$\approx g(x) + \epsilon \frac{dg}{dx}(x) + h(x) + \epsilon \frac{dh}{dx}(x)$$

$$= g(x) + h(x) + \epsilon \left(\frac{dg}{dx}(x) + \frac{dh}{dx}(x)\right)$$

$$= f(x) + \epsilon \left(\frac{dg}{dx}(x) + \frac{dh}{dx}(x)\right).$$
(19.3.7)

By comparing this result with the fact that $f(x + \epsilon) \approx f(x) + \epsilon \frac{df}{dx}(x)$, we see that $\frac{df}{dx}(x) = \frac{dg}{dx}(x) + \frac{dh}{dx}(x)$ as desired. The intuition here is: when we change the input x, g and h jointly contribute to the change of the output by $\frac{dg}{dx}(x)$ and $\frac{dh}{dx}(x)$.

The product is more subtle, and will require a new observation about how to work with these expressions. We will begin as before using (19.3.6):

$$f(x+\epsilon) = g(x+\epsilon) \cdot h(x+\epsilon)$$

$$\approx \left(g(x) + \epsilon \frac{dg}{dx}(x)\right) \cdot \left(h(x) + \epsilon \frac{dh}{dx}(x)\right)$$

$$= g(x) \cdot h(x) + \epsilon \left(g(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) + \frac{dg}{dx}(x)h(x)\right) + \epsilon^2 \frac{dg}{dx}(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) \qquad (19.3.8)$$

$$= f(x) + \epsilon \left(g(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) + \frac{dg}{dx}(x)h(x)\right) + \epsilon^2 \frac{dg}{dx}(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x).$$

This resembles the computation done above, and indeed we see our answer $(\frac{df}{dx}(x) = g(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) + \frac{dg}{dx}(x)h(x))$ sitting next to ϵ , but there is the issue of that term of size ϵ^2 . We will refer to this as a *higher-order term*, since the power of ϵ^2 is higher than the power of ϵ^1 . We will see in a later section that we will sometimes want to keep track of these, however for now observe that if $\epsilon = 0.0000001$, then $\epsilon^2 = 0.000000000000001$, which is vastly smaller. As we send $\epsilon \to 0$, we may safely ignore the higher order terms. As a general convention in this appendix, we will use " \approx " to denote that the two terms are equal up to higher order terms. However, if we wish to be more formal we may examine the difference quotient

$$\frac{f(x+\epsilon) - f(x)}{\epsilon} = g(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x) + \frac{dg}{dx}(x)h(x) + \epsilon\frac{dg}{dx}(x)\frac{dh}{dx}(x),$$
(19.3.9)

and see that as we send $\epsilon \rightarrow 0,$ the right hand term goes to zero as well.

Finally, with the chain rule, we can again progress as before using (19.3.6) and see that

$$f(x + \epsilon) = g(h(x + \epsilon))$$

$$\approx g\left(h(x) + \epsilon \frac{dh}{dx}(x)\right)$$

$$\approx g(h(x)) + \epsilon \frac{dh}{dx}(x) \frac{dg}{dh}(h(x))$$

$$= f(x) + \epsilon \frac{dg}{dh}(h(x)) \frac{dh}{dx}(x),$$
(19.3.10)

where in the second line we view the function g as having its input (h(x)) shifted by the tiny quantity $\epsilon \frac{dh}{dx}(x)$.

These rule provide us with a flexible set of tools to compute essentially any expression desired. For instance,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\log \left(1 + (x-1)^{10} \right) \right] = \left(1 + (x-1)^{10} \right)^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \left[1 + (x-1)^{10} \right]$$
$$= \left(1 + (x-1)^{10} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dx} [1] + \frac{d}{dx} [(x-1)^{10}] \right)$$
$$= \left(1 + (x-1)^{10} \right)^{-1} \left(0 + 10(x-1)^9 \frac{d}{dx} [x-1] \right)$$
$$= 10 \left(1 + (x-1)^{10} \right)^{-1} (x-1)^9$$
$$= \frac{10(x-1)^9}{1 + (x-1)^{10}}.$$
(19.3.11)

Where each line has used the following rules:

- 1. The chain rule and derivative of logarithm.
- 2. The sum rule.
- 3. The derivative of constants, chain rule, and power rule.
- 4. The sum rule, derivative of linear functions, derivative of constants.

Two things should be clear after doing this example:

- 1. Any function we can write down using sums, products, constants, powers, exponentials, and logarithms can have its derivate computed mechanically by following these rules.
- 2. Having a human follow these rules can be tedious and error prone!

Thankfully, these two facts together hint towards a way forward: this is a perfect candidate for mechanization! Indeed backpropagation, which we will revisit later in this section, is exactly that.

Linear Approximation

When working with derivatives, it is often useful to geometrically interpret the approximation used above. In particular, note that the equation

$$f(x+\epsilon) \approx f(x) + \epsilon \frac{df}{dx}(x),$$
 (19.3.12)

approximates the value of f by a line which passes through the point (x, f(x)) and has slope $\frac{df}{dx}(x)$. In this way we say that the derivative gives a linear approximation to the function f, as illustrated below:

```
# Compute sin
xs = np.arange(-np.pi, np.pi, 0.01)
plots = [np.sin(xs)]
# Compute some linear approximations. Use d(sin(x)) / dx = cos(x)
for x0 in [-1.5, 0, 2]:
    plots.append(np.sin(x0) + (xs - x0) * np.cos(x0))
```

d2l.plot(xs, plots, 'x', 'f(x)', ylim=[-1.5, 1.5])



Higher Order Derivatives

Let's now do something that may on the surface seem strange. Take a function f and compute the derivative $\frac{df}{dx}$. This gives us the rate of change of f at any point.

However, the derivative, $\frac{df}{dx}$, can be viewed as a function itself, so nothing stops us from computing the derivative of $\frac{df}{dx}$ to get $\frac{d^2f}{dx^2} = \frac{df}{dx} \left(\frac{df}{dx}\right)$. We will call this the second derivative of f. This function is the rate of change of the rate of change of f, or in other words, how the rate of change is changing. We may apply the derivative any number of times to obtain what is called the *n*-th derivative. To keep the notation clean, we will denote the *n*-th derivative

as

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \frac{d^n f}{dx^n} = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f.$$
 (19.3.13)

Let's try to understand why this is a useful notion. Below, we visualize $f^{(2)}(x)$, $f^{(1)}(x)$, and f(x).

First, consider the case that the second derivative $f^{(2)}(x)$ is a positive constant. This means that the slope of the first derivative is positive. As a result, the first derivative $f^{(1)}(x)$ may start out negative, becomes zero at a point, and then becomes positive in the end. This tells us the slope of our original function f and therefore, the function f itself decreases, flattens out, then increases. In other words, the function f curves up, and has a single minimum as is shown in Fig.19.3.1.



Figure 19.3.1 If we assume the second derivative is a positive constant, then the fist derivative in increasing, which implies the function itself has a minimum.

Second, if the second derivative is a negative constant, that means that the first derivative is decreasing. This implies the first derivative may start out positive, becomes zero at a point, and then becomes negative. Hence, the function f itself increases, flattens out, then decreases. In other words, the function f curves down, and has a single maximum as is shown in Fig.19.3.2.



Figure 19.3.2

If we assume the second derivative is a negative constant, then the fist derivative in decreasing, which implies the function itself has a maximum.

Third, if the second derivative is a always zero, then the first derivative will never change—it is constant! This means that f increases (or decreases) at a fixed rate, and f is itself a straight line as is shown in Fig.19.3.3.





Figure 19.3.3 If we assume the second derivative is zero, then the fist derivative is constant, which implies the function itself is a straight line.

To summarize, the second derivative can be interpreted as describing the way that the function f curves. A positive second derivative leads to a upwards curve, while a negative second derivative means that f curves downwards, and a zero second derivative means that f does not curve at all.

Let's take this one step further. Consider the function $g(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$. We can then compute that

$$\frac{dg}{dx}(x) = 2ax + b$$

$$\frac{d^2g}{dx^2}(x) = 2a.$$
(19.3.14)

If we have some original function f(x) in mind, we may compute the first two derivatives and find the values for a, b, and c that make them match this computation. Similarly to the previous section where we saw that the first derivative gave the best approximation with a straight line, this construction provides the best approximation by a quadratic. Let's visualize this for $f(x) = \sin(x)$.

```
d2l.plot(xs, plots, 'x', 'f(x)', ylim=[-1.5, 1.5])
```



We will extend this idea to the idea of a Taylor series in the next section.

Taylor Series

The *Taylor series* provides a method to approximate the function f(x) if we are given values for the first *n* derivatives at a point x_0 , i.e., $\{f(x_0), f^{(1)}(x_0), f^{(2)}(x_0), \dots, f^{(n)}(x_0)\}$. The idea will be to find a degree *n* polynomial that matches all the given derivatives at x_0 .

We saw the case of n = 2 in the previous section and a little algebra shows this is

$$f(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} (x_0) (x - x_0)^2 + \frac{df}{dx} (x_0) (x - x_0) + f(x_0).$$
(19.3.15)

As we can see above, the denominator of 2 is there to cancel out the 2 we get when we take two derivatives of x^2 , while the other terms are all zero. Same logic applies for the first derivative and the value itself.

If we push the logic further to n = 3, we will conclude that

$$f(x) \approx \frac{\frac{d^3 f}{dx^3}(x_0)}{6} (x - x_0)^3 + \frac{\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2}(x_0)}{2} (x - x_0)^2 + \frac{df}{dx} (x_0) (x - x_0) + f(x_0).$$
(19.3.16)

where the $6 = 3 \times 2 = 3!$ comes from the constant we get in front if we take three derivatives of x^3 .

Furthermore, we can get a degree n polynomial by

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f^{(i)}(x_0)}{i!} (x - x_0)^i.$$
(19.3.17)

where the notation

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \frac{d^n f}{dx^n} = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n f.$$
 (19.3.18)

Indeed, $P_n(x)$ can be viewed as the best *n*-th degree polynomial approximation to our function f(x).

While we are not going to dive all the way into the error of the above approximations, it is worth mentioning the infinite limit. In this case, for well behaved functions (known as real analytic functions) like $\cos(x)$ or e^x , we can write out the infinite number of terms and approximate the exactly same function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x - x_0)^n.$$
 (19.3.19)

Take $f(x) = e^x$ as an example. Since e^x is its own derivative, we know that $f^{(n)}(x) = e^x$. Therefore, e^x can be reconstructed by taking the Taylor series at $x_0 = 0$, i.e.,

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \cdots$$
 (19.3.20)

Let's see how this works in code and observe how increasing the degree of the Taylor approximation brings us closer to the desired function e^x .

```
# Compute the exponential function
xs = np.arange(0, 3, 0.01)
ys = np.exp(xs)
# Compute a few Taylor series approximations
P1 = 1 + xs
P2 = 1 + xs + xs**2 / 2
P5 = 1 + xs + xs**2 / 2 + xs**3 / 6 + xs**4 / 24 + xs**5 / 120
d2l.plot(xs, [ys, P1, P2, P5], 'x', 'f(x)', legend=[
    "Exponential", "Degree 1 Taylor Series", "Degree 2 Taylor Series",
    "Degree 5 Taylor Series"])
```



Taylor series have two primary applications:

- 1. *Theoretical applications*: Often when we try to understand a too complex function, using Taylor series enables us to turn it into a polynomial that we can work with directly.
- 2. *Numerical applications*: Some functions like e^x or $\cos(x)$ are difficult for machines to compute. They can store tables of values at a fixed precision (and this is often done), but it still leaves open questions like "What is the 1000-th digit of $\cos(1)$?" Taylor series are often helpful to answer such questions.

19.3.3 Summary

- Derivatives can be used to express how functions change when we change the input by a small amount.
- Elementary derivatives can be combined using derivative rules to create arbitrarily complex derivatives.
- Derivatives can be iterated to get second or higher order derivatives. Each increase in order provides more fine grained information on the behavior of the function.
- Using information in the derivatives of a single data example, we can approximate well behaved functions by polynomials obtained from the Taylor series.

Exercises

- 1. What is the derivative of $x^3 4x + 1$?
- 2. What is the derivative of $\log(\frac{1}{r})$?
- 3. True or False: If f'(x) = 0 then f has a maximum or minimum at x?
- 4. Where is the minimum of $f(x) = x \log(x)$ for $x \ge 0$ (where we assume that f takes the limiting value of 0 at f(0))?

Discussions²⁷¹



19.4 Multivariable Calculus

Now that we have a fairly strong understanding of derivatives of a function of a single variable, let's return to our original question where we were considering a loss function of potentially billions of weights.

19.4.1 Higher-Dimensional Differentiation

What Section 19.3 tells us is that if we change a single one of these billions of weights leaving every other one fixed, we know what will happen! This is nothing more than a function of a single variable, so we can write

$$L(w_1 + \epsilon_1, w_2, \dots, w_N) \approx L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N) + \epsilon_1 \frac{d}{dw_1} L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N).$$
(19.4.1)

We will call the derivative in one variable while fixing the other variables the *partial derivative*, and we will use the notation $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1}$ for the derivative in (19.4.1).

Now, let's take this and change w_2 a little bit to $w_2 + \epsilon_2$:

$$L(w_{1} + \epsilon_{1}, w_{2} + \epsilon_{2}, \dots, w_{N}) \approx L(w_{1}, w_{2} + \epsilon_{2}, \dots, w_{N}) + \epsilon_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} L(w_{1}, w_{2} + \epsilon_{2}, \dots, w_{N} + \epsilon_{N})$$

$$\approx L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2}} L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$\approx L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{2}} L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N})$$

$$+ \epsilon_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{1}} L(w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{N}).$$
(19.4.2)

We have again used the idea that $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2$ is a higher order term that we can discard in the same way we could discard ϵ^2 in the previous section, along with what we saw in (19.4.1). By continuing in this manner, we may write that

$$L(w_1 + \epsilon_1, w_2 + \epsilon_2, \dots, w_N + \epsilon_N) \approx L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N) + \sum_i \epsilon_i \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} L(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N).$$
(19.4.3)

This may look like a mess, but we can make this more familiar by noting that the sum on the right looks exactly like a dot product, so if we let

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N]^{\top} \text{ and } \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L = \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_N}\right]^{\top},$$
 (19.4.4)

then

$$L(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \approx L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L(\boldsymbol{w}).$$
(19.4.5)

We will call the vector $\nabla_{w}L$ the gradient of L.

Equation (19.4.5) is worth pondering for a moment. It has exactly the format that we encountered in one dimension, just we have converted everything to vectors and dot products. It allows us to tell approximately how the function L will change given any perturbation to the input. As we will see in the next section, this will provide us with an important tool in understanding geometrically how we can learn using information contained in the gradient.

But first, let's see this approximation at work with an example. Suppose that we are working with the function

$$f(x,y) = \log(e^x + e^y) \text{ with gradient } \nabla f(x,y) = \left[\frac{e^x}{e^x + e^y}, \frac{e^y}{e^x + e^y}\right].$$
(19.4.6)

If we look at a point like $(0, \log(2))$, we see that

$$f(x,y) = \log(3)$$
 with gradient $\nabla f(x,y) = \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$. (19.4.7)

Thus, if we want to approximate f at $(\epsilon_1, \log(2) + \epsilon_2)$, we see that we should have the specific instance of (19.4.5):

$$f(\epsilon_1, \log(2) + \epsilon_2) \approx \log(3) + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_1 + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_2.$$
 (19.4.8)

We can test this in code to see how good the approximation is.

'approximation: 1.0819456577301025, true Value: 1.0821242332458496'

19.4.2 Geometry of Gradients and Gradient Descent

Consider the expression from (19.4.5) again:

$$L(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \approx L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L(\boldsymbol{w}). \tag{19.4.9}$$

Let's suppose that I want to use this to help minimize our loss L. Let's understand geometrically the algorithm of gradient descent first described in Section 2.5. What we will do is the following:

- 1. Start with a random choice for the initial parameters w.
- 2. Find the direction v that makes L decrease the most rapidly at w.
- 3. Take a small step in that direction: $w \rightarrow w + \epsilon v$.
- 4. Repeat.

The only thing we do not know exactly how to do is to compute the vector v in the second step. We will call such a direction the *direction of steepest descent*. Using the geometric understanding of dot products from Section 19.1, we see that we can rewrite (19.4.5) as

$$L(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{v}) \approx L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L(\boldsymbol{w}) = L(\boldsymbol{w}) + \|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L(\boldsymbol{w})\|\cos(\theta).$$
(19.4.10)

Note that we have taken our direction to have length one for convenience, and used θ for the angle between v and $\nabla_{w} L(w)$. If we want to find the direction that decreases L as rapidly as possible, we want to make this expression as negative as possible. The only way the direction we pick enters into this equation is through $\cos(\theta)$, and thus we wish to make this cosine as negative as possible. Now, recalling the shape of cosine, we can make this as negative as possible by making $\cos(\theta) = -1$ or equivalently making the angle between the gradient and our chosen direction to be π radians, or equivalently 180 degrees. The only way to achieve this is to head in the exact opposite direction: pick v to point in the exact opposite direction to $\nabla_{w} L(w)$!

This brings us to one of the most important mathematical concepts in machine learning: the direction of steepest decent points in the direction of $-\nabla_w L(w)$. Thus our informal algorithm can be rewritten as follows.

1. Start with a random choice for the initial parameters w.

2. Compute
$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L(\boldsymbol{w})$$
.

3. Take a small step in the opposite of that direction: $w \to w - \epsilon \nabla_w L(w)$.

4. Repeat.

This basic algorithm has been modified and adapted many ways by many researchers, but the core concept remains the same in all of them. Use the gradient to find the direction that decreases the loss as rapidly as possible, and update the parameters to take a step in that direction.

19.4.3 A Note on Mathematical Optimization

Throughout this book, we focus squarely on numerical optimization techniques for the practical reason that all functions we encounter in the deep learning setting are too complex to minimize explicitly.

However, it is a useful exercise to consider what the geometric understanding we obtained above tells us about optimizing functions directly.

Suppose that we wish to find the value of x_0 which minimizes some function L(x). Let's suppose that moreover someone gives us a value and tells us that it is the value that minimizes L. Is there anything we can check to see if their answer is even plausible?

Again consider (19.4.5):

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \approx L(\boldsymbol{x}_0) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{x}_0).$$
(19.4.11)

If the gradient is not zero, we know that we can take a step in the direction $-\epsilon \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$ to find a value of L that is smaller. Thus, if we truly are at a minimum, this cannot be the case! We can conclude that if \boldsymbol{x}_0 is a minimum, then $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$. We call points with $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$ critical points.

This is nice, because in some rare settings, we *can* explicitly find all the points where the gradient is zero, and find the one with the smallest value.

For a concrete example, consider the function

$$f(x) = 3x^4 - 4x^3 - 12x^2. (19.4.12)$$

This function has derivative

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 12x^3 - 12x^2 - 24x = 12x(x-2)(x+1).$$
(19.4.13)

The only possible location of minima are at x = -1, 0, 2, where the function takes the values -5, 0, -32 respectively, and thus we can conclude that we minimize our function when x = 2. A quick plot confirms this.

```
x = np.arange(-2, 3, 0.01)
f = (3 * x**4) - (4 * x**3) - (12 * x**2)
d2l.plot(x, f, 'x', 'f(x)')
```

This highlights an important fact to know when working either theoretically or numerically: the only possible points where we can minimize (or maximize) a function will have gradient equal to zero, however, not every point with gradient zero is the true *global* minimum (or maximum).

19.4.4 Multivariate Chain Rule



Let's suppose that we have a function of four variables (w, x, y, and z) which we can make by composing many terms:

$$f(u, v) = (u + v)^{2}$$

$$u(a, b) = (a + b)^{2}, v(a, b) = (a - b)^{2}, (19.4.14)$$

$$a(w, x, y, z) = (w + x + y + z)^{2}, b(w, x, y, z) = (w + x - y - z)^{2}.$$

Such chains of equations are common when working with neural networks, so trying to understand how to compute gradients of such functions is key. We can start to see visual hints of this connection in Fig.19.4.1 if we take a look at what variables directly relate to one another.



Figure 19.4.1 The function relations above where nodes represent values and edges show functional dependence.

Nothing stops us from just composing everything from (19.4.14) and writing out that

$$f(w, x, y, z) = \left(\left((w + x + y + z)^2 + (w + x - y - z)^2 \right)^2 + \left((w + x + y + z)^2 - (w + x - y - z)^2 \right)^2 \right)^2.$$
(19.4.15)

We may then take the derivative by just using single variable derivatives, but if we did that we would quickly find ourself swamped with terms, many of which are repeats! Indeed, one can see that, for instance:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = 2\left(2\left(2(w+x+y+z) - 2(w+x-y-z)\right)\left((w+x+y+z)^2 - (w+x-y-z)^2\right) + 2\left(2(w+x-y-z) + 2(w+x+y+z)\right)\left((w+x-y-z)^2 + (w+x+y+z)^2\right)\right) \times \left(\left((w+x+y+z)^2 - (w+x-y-z)^2\right)^2 + \left((w+x-y-z)^2 + (w+x+y+z)^2\right)^2\right) + (19.4.16)$$

If we then also wanted to compute $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, we would end up with a similar equation again with many repeated terms, and many *shared* repeated terms between the two derivatives. This represents a massive quantity of wasted work, and if we needed to compute derivatives this way, the whole deep learning revolution would have stalled out before it began!

Let's break up the problem. We will start by trying to understand how f changes when we change a, essentially assuming that w, x, y, and z all do not exist. We will reason as we did

back when we worked with the gradient for the first time. Let's take a and add a small amount ϵ to it.

$$f(u(a + \epsilon, b), v(a + \epsilon, b))$$

$$\approx f\left(u(a, b) + \epsilon \frac{\partial u}{\partial a}(a, b), v(a, b) + \epsilon \frac{\partial v}{\partial a}(a, b)\right)$$

$$\approx f(u(a, b), v(a, b)) + \epsilon \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u(a, b), v(a, b))\frac{\partial u}{\partial a}(a, b) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(u(a, b), v(a, b))\frac{\partial v}{\partial a}(a, b)\right].$$
(19.4.17)

The first line follows from the definition of partial derivative, and the second follows from the definition of gradient. It is notationally burdensome to track exactly where we evaluate every derivative, as in the expression $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u(a,b),v(a,b))$, so we often abbreviate this to the much more memorable

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial v}{\partial a}.$$
(19.4.18)

It is useful to think about the meaning of the process. We are trying to understand how a function of the form f(u(a, b), v(a, b)) changes its value with a change in a. There are two pathways this can occur: there is the pathway where $a \to u \to f$ and where $a \to v \to f$. We can compute both of these contributions via the chain rule: $\frac{\partial w}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial w}{\partial v} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ respectively, and added up.

Imagine we have a different network of functions where the functions on the right depend on those that are connected to on the left as is shown in Fig.19.4.2.



Figure 19.4.2 Another more subtle example of the chain rule.

To compute something like $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, we need to sum over all (in this case 3) paths from y to f giving

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}.$$
(19.4.19)

Understanding the chain rule in this way will pay great dividends when trying to understand how gradients flow through networks, and why various architectural choices like those in LSTMs (Section 10.1) or residual layers (Section 8.6) can help shape the learning process by controlling gradient flow.

19.4.5 The Backpropagation Algorithm

Let's return to the example of (19.4.14) the previous section where

$$f(u, v) = (u + v)^{2}$$

$$u(a, b) = (a + b)^{2}, \quad v(a, b) = (a - b)^{2},$$

$$a(w, x, y, z) = (w + x + y + z)^{2}, \quad b(w, x, y, z) = (w + x - y - z)^{2}.$$
(19.4.20)

If we want to compute say $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ we may apply the multi-variate chain rule to see:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial w},$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w},$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w}.$$
(19.4.21)

Let's try using this decomposition to compute $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$. Notice that all we need here are the various single step partials:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = 2(u+v), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 2(u+v), \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} = 2(a+b), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} = 2(a+b), \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial a} = 2(a-b), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial b} = -2(a-b), \\
\frac{\partial a}{\partial w} = 2(w+x+y+z), \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial w} = 2(w+x-y-z).$$
(19.4.22)

If we write this out into code this becomes a fairly manageable expression.

```
# Compute the value of the function from inputs to outputs
w, x, y, z = -1, 0, -2, 1
a, b = (w + x + y + z)**2, (w + x - y - z)**2
u, v = (a + b)**2, (a - b)**2
f = (u + v)**2
print(f' f at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {f}')
# Compute the single step partials
df_du, df_dv = 2*(u + v), 2*(u + v)
du_da, du_db, dv_da, dv_db = 2*(a + b), 2*(a + b), 2*(a - b), -2*(a - b)
da_dw, db_dw = 2*(w + x + y + z), 2*(w + x - y - z)
# Compute the final result from inputs to outputs
du_dw, dv_dw = du_da*da_dw + du_db*db_dw, dv_da*da_dw + dv_db*db_dw
df_dw = df_du*du_dw + df_dv*dv_dw
```

```
print(f'df/dw at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {df_dw}')
```

f at -1, 0, -2, 1 is 1024 df/dw at -1, 0, -2, 1 is -4096

However, note that this still does not make it easy to compute something like $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$. The reason for that is the *way* we chose to apply the chain rule. If we look at what we did above, we always kept ∂w in the denominator when we could. In this way, we chose to apply the chain rule seeing how w changed every other variable. If that is what we wanted, this would be a good idea. However, think back to our motivation from deep learning: we want to see how every parameter changes the *loss*. In essence, we want to apply the chain rule keeping ∂f in the numerator whenever we can!

To be more explicit, note that we can write

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial w},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial a},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial b}.$$
(19.4.23)

Note that this application of the chain rule has us explicitly compute $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$. Nothing stops us from also including the equations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}.$$
(19.4.24)

and then keeping track of how f changes when we change *any* node in the entire network. Let's implement it.

```
# Compute the value of the function from inputs to outputs
w, x, y, z = -1, 0, -2, 1
a, b = (w + x + y + z) * 2, (w + x - y - z) * 2
u, v = (a + b)**2, (a - b)**2
f = (u + v) * * 2
print(f'f at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {f}')
# Compute the derivative using the decomposition above
# First compute the single step partials
df_du, df_dv = 2*(u + v), 2*(u + v)
du_da, du_db, dv_da, dv_db = 2*(a + b), 2*(a + b), 2*(a - b), -2*(a - b)
da_dy, db_dy = 2*(w + x + y + z), -2*(w + x - y - z)
da_dz, db_dz = 2*(w + x + y + z), -2*(w + x - y - z)
# Now compute how f changes when we change any value from output to input
df_da, df_db = df_du*du_da + df_dv*dv_da, df_du*du_db + df_dv*dv_db
df_dw, df_dx = df_da*da_dw + df_db*db_dw, df_da*da_dx + df_db*db_dx
df_dy, df_dz = df_da*da_dy + df_db*db_dy, df_da*da_dz + df_db*db_dz
print(f'df/dw at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {df_dw}')
print(f'df/dx at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {df_dx}')
print(f'df/dy at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {df_dy}')
print(f'df/dz at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {df_dz}')
f at -1, 0, -2, 1 is 1024
```

f at -1, 0, -2, 1 1s 1024 df/dw at -1, 0, -2, 1 is -4096 df/dx at -1, 0, -2, 1 is -4096 df/dy at -1, 0, -2, 1 is -4096 df/dz at -1, 0, -2, 1 is -4096

The fact that we compute derivatives from f back towards the inputs rather than from the inputs forward to the outputs (as we did in the first code snippet above) is what gives this algorithm its name: *backpropagation*. Note that there are two steps: 1. Compute the value of the function, and the single step partials from front to back. While not done above, this can be combined into a single *forward pass*. 2. Compute the gradient of f from back to front. We call this the *backwards pass*.

This is precisely what every deep learning algorithm implements to allow the computation of the gradient of the loss with respect to every weight in the network at one pass. It is an astonishing fact that we have such a decomposition.

To see how to encapsulated this, let's take a quick look at this example.

```
# Initialize as ndarrays, then attach gradients
w, x, y, z = np.array(-1), np.array(0), np.array(-2), np.array(1)
w.attach_grad()
x.attach_grad()
z.attach_grad()
# Do the computation like usual, tracking gradients
with autograd.record():
    a, b = (w + x + y + z)**2, (w + x - y - z)**2
    u, v = (a + b)**2, (a - b)**2
    f = (u + v)**2
# Execute backward pass
f.backward()
print(f'df/dw at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {w.grad}')
print(f'df/dx at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {x.grad}')
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(f'df/dy at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {y.grad}')
print(f'df/dz at {w}, {x}, {y}, {z} is {z.grad}')

df/dw at -1.0, 0.0, -2.0, 1.0 is -4096.0
df/dx at -1.0, 0.0, -2.0, 1.0 is -4096.0
df/dy at -1.0, 0.0, -2.0, 1.0 is -4096.0
df/dz at -1.0, 0.0, -2.0, 1.0 is -4096.0
[22:51:31] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.
```

All of what we did above can be done automatically by calling f.backwards().

19.4.6 Hessians

As with single variable calculus, it is useful to consider higher-order derivatives in order to get a handle on how we can obtain a better approximation to a function than using the gradient alone.

There is one immediate problem one encounters when working with higher order derivatives of functions of several variables, and that is there are a large number of them. If we have a function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of n variables, then we can take n^2 many second derivatives, namely for any choice of i and j:

$$\frac{d^2 f}{dx_i dx_j} = \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{d}{dx_j} f \right).$$
(19.4.25)

This is traditionally assembled into a matrix called the Hessian:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^{2}f}{dx_{1}dx_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{d^{2}f}{dx_{1}dx_{n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{d^{2}f}{dx_{n}dx_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{d^{2}f}{dx_{n}dx_{n}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.4.26)

Not every entry of this matrix is independent. Indeed, we can show that as long as both *mixed partials* (partial derivatives with respect to more than one variable) exist and are continuous, we can say that for any i, and j,

$$\frac{d^2f}{dx_i dx_j} = \frac{d^2f}{dx_j dx_i}.$$
(19.4.27)

This follows by considering first perturbing a function in the direction of x_i , and then perturbing it in x_j and then comparing the result of that with what happens if we perturb first x_j and then x_i , with the knowledge that both of these orders lead to the same final change in the output of f.

As with single variables, we can use these derivatives to get a far better idea of how the function behaves near a point. In particular, we can use it to find the best fitting quadratic near a point x_0 , as we saw in a single variable.

Let's see an example. Suppose that $f(x_1, x_2) = a + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + c_{11} x_1^2 + c_{12} x_1 x_2 + c_{22} x_2^2$. This is the general form for a quadratic in two variables. If we look at the value of the function, its gradient, and its Hessian (19.4.26), all at the point zero:

1

$$f(0,0) = a,$$

$$\nabla f(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(19.4.28)

$$Hf(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{12} & 2c_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

we can get our original polynomial back by saying

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(0) + \nabla f(0) \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \boldsymbol{H} f(0) \boldsymbol{x}.$$
 (19.4.29)

In general, if we computed this expansion any point x_0 , we see that

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{H} f(\boldsymbol{x}_0) (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0).$$
(19.4.30)

This works for any dimensional input, and provides the best approximating quadratic to any function at a point. To give an example, let's plot the function

$$f(x,y) = xe^{-x^2 - y^2}.$$
(19.4.31)

One can compute that the gradient and Hessian are

$$\nabla f(x,y) = e^{-x^2 - y^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2x^2 \\ -2xy \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{H} f(x,y) = e^{-x^2 - y^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4x^3 - 6x & 4x^2y - 2y \\ 4x^2y - 2y & 4xy^2 - 2x \end{pmatrix}.$$
(19.4.32)

And thus, with a little algebra, see that the approximating quadratic at $[-1, 0]^{\top}$ is

$$f(x,y) \approx e^{-1} \left(-1 - (x+1) + (x+1)^2 + y^2 \right).$$
(19.4.33)

```
# Construct grid and compute function
x, y = np.meshgrid(np.linspace(-2, 2, 101),
                   np.linspace(-2, 2, 101), indexing='ij')
z = x*np.exp(-x**2 - y**2)
# Compute approximating quadratic with gradient and Hessian at (1, 0)
w = np.exp(-1)*(-1 - (x + 1) + (x + 1)**2 + y**2)
# Plot function
ax = d2l.plt.figure().add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
ax.plot_wireframe(x.asnumpy(), y.asnumpy(), z.asnumpy(),
                  **{'rstride': 10, 'cstride': 10})
ax.plot_wireframe(x.asnumpy(), y.asnumpy(), w.asnumpy(),
                  **{'rstride': 10, 'cstride': 10}, color='purple')
d2l.plt.xlabel('x')
d2l.plt.ylabel('y')
d21.set_figsize()
ax.set_xlim(-2, 2)
ax.set_ylim(-2, 2)
ax.set_zlim(-1, 1)
ax.dist = 12
```



This forms the basis for Newton's Algorithm discussed in Section 12.3, where we perform numerical optimization iteratively finding the best fitting quadratic, and then exactly minimizing that quadratic.

19.4.7 A Little Matrix Calculus

Derivatives of functions involving matrices turn out to be particularly nice. This section can become notationally heavy, so may be skipped in a first reading, but it is useful to know how derivatives of functions involving common matrix operations are often much cleaner than one might initially anticipate, particularly given how central matrix operations are to deep learning applications.

Let's begin with an example. Suppose that we have some fixed column vector β , and we want to take the product function $f(\mathbf{x}) = \beta^{\top} \mathbf{x}$, and understand how the dot product changes when we change \mathbf{x} .

A bit of notation that will be useful when working with matrix derivatives in ML is called the *denominator layout matrix derivative* where we assemble our partial derivatives into the shape of whatever vector, matrix, or tensor is in the denominator of the differential. In this case, we will write

$$\frac{df}{d\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{df}{dx_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{df}{dx_n} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (19.4.34)$$

where we matched the shape of the column vector \boldsymbol{x} .

If we write out our function into components this is

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i x_i = \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n.$$
(19.4.35)

If we now take the partial derivative with respect to say β_1 , note that everything is zero but the first term, which is just x_1 multiplied by β_1 , so we obtain that

$$\frac{df}{dx_1} = \beta_1, \tag{19.4.36}$$

or more generally that

$$\frac{df}{dx_i} = \beta_i. \tag{19.4.37}$$

We can now reassemble this into a matrix to see

$$\frac{df}{d\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dg}{dx_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{df}{dx_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$
(19.4.38)

This illustrates a few factors about matrix calculus that we will often counter throughout this section:

- First, The computations will get rather involved.
- Second, The final results are much cleaner than the intermediate process, and will always look similar to the single variable case. In this case, note that $\frac{d}{dx}(bx) = b$ and $\frac{d}{dx}(\beta^{\top}x) = \beta$ are both similar.
- Third, transposes can often appear seemingly from nowhere. The core reason for this is the convention that we match the shape of the denominator, thus when we multiply matrices, we will need to take transposes to match back to the shape of the original term.

To keep building intuition, let's try a computation that is a little harder. Suppose that we have a column vector x, and a square matrix A and we want to compute

$$\frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{19.4.39}$$

To drive towards easier to manipulate notation, let's consider this problem using Einstein notation. In this case we can write the function as

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{\top} A \boldsymbol{x} = x_i a_{ij} x_j. \tag{19.4.40}$$

To compute our derivative, we need to understand for every k, what is the value of

$$\frac{d}{dx_k}(\boldsymbol{x}^{\top} A \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{d}{dx_k} x_i a_{ij} x_j.$$
(19.4.41)

By the product rule, this is

$$\frac{d}{dx_k}x_ia_{ij}x_j = \frac{dx_i}{dx_k}a_{ij}x_j + x_ia_{ij}\frac{dx_j}{dx_k}.$$
(19.4.42)

For a term like $\frac{dx_i}{dx_k}$, it is not hard to see that this is one when i = k and zero otherwise. This means that every term where i and k are different vanish from this sum, so the only terms that remain in that first sum are the ones where i = k. The same reasoning holds for the second term where we need j = k. This gives

$$\frac{d}{dx_k}x_i a_{ij}x_j = a_{kj}x_j + x_i a_{ik}.$$
(19.4.43)

Now, the names of the indices in Einstein notation are arbitrary—the fact that i and j are different is immaterial to this computation at this point, so we can re-index so that they both use i to see that

$$\frac{d}{dx_k}x_ia_{ij}x_j = a_{ki}x_i + x_ia_{ik} = (a_{ki} + a_{ik})x_i.$$
(19.4.44)

Now, here is where we start to need some practice to go further. Let's try and identify this outcome in terms of matrix operations. $a_{ki} + a_{ik}$ is the k, i-th component of $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\top}$. This gives

$$\frac{d}{dx_k} x_i a_{ij} x_j = [\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top]_{ki} x_i.$$
(19.4.45)

Similarly, this term is now the product of the matrix $A + A^{\top}$ by the vector x, so we see that

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A\boldsymbol{x})\right]_{k} = \frac{d}{dx_{k}}x_{i}a_{ij}x_{j} = [(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{A}^{\top})\boldsymbol{x}]_{k}.$$
 (19.4.46)

Thus, we see that the k-th entry of the desired derivative from (19.4.39) is just the k-th entry of the vector on the right, and thus the two are the same. Thus yields

$$\frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}A\boldsymbol{x}) = (\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{A}^{\top})\boldsymbol{x}.$$
(19.4.47)

This required significantly more work than our last one, but the final result is small. More than that, consider the following computation for traditional single variable derivatives:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(xax) = \frac{dx}{dx}ax + xa\frac{dx}{dx} = (a+a)x.$$
(19.4.48)

Equivalently $\frac{d}{dx}(ax^2) = 2ax = (a + a)x$. Again, we get a result that looks rather like the single variable result but with a transpose tossed in.

At this point, the pattern should be looking rather suspicious, so let's try to figure out why. When we take matrix derivatives like this, let's first assume that the expression we get will be another matrix expression: an expression we can write it in terms of products and sums of matrices and their transposes. If such an expression exists, it will need to be true for all matrices. In particular, it will need to be true of 1×1 matrices, in which case the matrix product is just the product of the numbers, the matrix sum is just the sum, and the transpose does nothing at all! In other words, whatever expression we get *must* match the single variable expression. This means that, with some practice, one can often guess matrix derivatives just by knowing what the associated single variable expression must look like!

Let's try this out. Suppose that X is a $n \times m$ matrix, U is an $n \times r$ and V is an $r \times m$. Let's try to compute

$$\frac{d}{dV} \|X - UV\|_2^2 = ?$$
(19.4.49)

This computation is important in an area called matrix factorization. For us, however, it is just a derivative to compute. Let's try to imagine what this would be for 1×1 matrices. In that case, we get the expression

$$\frac{d}{dv}(x-uv)^2 = -2(x-uv)u,$$
(19.4.50)

where, the derivative is rather standard. If we try to convert this back into a matrix expression we get

$$\frac{d}{dV} \|X - UV\|_2^2 = -2(X - UV)U.$$
(19.4.51)

However, if we look at this it does not quite work. Recall that X is $n \times m$, as is UV, so the matrix 2(X - UV) is $n \times m$. On the other hand U is $n \times r$, and we cannot multiply a $n \times m$ and a $n \times r$ matrix since the dimensions do not match!

We want to get $\frac{d}{dV}$, which is the same shape as V, which is $r \times m$. So somehow we need to take a $n \times m$ matrix and a $n \times r$ matrix, multiply them together (perhaps with some transposes) to get a $r \times m$. We can do this by multiplying U^{\top} by (X - UV). Thus, we can guess the solution to (19.4.49) is

$$\frac{d}{dV} \|X - UV\|_2^2 = -2U^{\top} (X - UV).$$
(19.4.52)

To show that this works, we would be remiss to not provide a detailed computation. If we already believe that this rule-of-thumb works, feel free to skip past this derivation. To compute

$$\frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{V}} \|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{V}\|_2^2, \tag{19.4.53}$$

we must find for every a, and b

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \|_2^2 = \frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \sum_{i,j} \left(x_{ij} - \sum_k u_{ik} v_{kj} \right)^2.$$
(19.4.54)

Recalling that all entries of X and U are constants as far as $\frac{d}{dv_{ab}}$ is concerned, we may push the derivative inside the sum, and apply the chain rule to the square to get

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{V} \|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j} 2 \left(x_{ij} - \sum_k u_{ik} v_{kj} \right) \left(-\sum_k u_{ik} \frac{dv_{kj}}{dv_{ab}} \right).$$
(19.4.55)

As in the previous derivation, we may note that $\frac{dv_{kj}}{dv_{ab}}$ is only non-zero if the k = a and j = b. If either of those conditions do not hold, the term in the sum is zero, and we may freely discard it. We see that

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \|_2^2 = -2\sum_i \left(x_{ib} - \sum_k u_{ik} v_{kb} \right) u_{ia}.$$
(19.4.56)

An important subtlety here is that the requirement that k = a does not occur inside the inner sum since that k is a dummy variable which we are summing over inside the inner term. For a notationally cleaner example, consider why

$$\frac{d}{dx_1}\left(\sum_i x_i\right)^2 = 2\left(\sum_i x_i\right). \tag{19.4.57}$$

From this point, we may start identifying components of the sum. First,

$$\sum_{k} u_{ik} v_{kb} = [UV]_{ib}.$$
(19.4.58)

So the entire expression in the inside of the sum is

$$x_{ib} - \sum_{k} u_{ik} v_{kb} = [\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}]_{ib}.$$
 (19.4.59)

This means we may now write our derivative as

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \|_2^2 = -2\sum_i [\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}]_{ib} u_{ia}.$$
 (19.4.60)

We want this to look like the a, b element of a matrix so we can use the technique as in the previous example to arrive at a matrix expression, which means that we need to exchange the order of the indices on u_{ia} . If we notice that $u_{ia} = [U^{\top}]_{ai}$, we can then write

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \|_{2}^{2} = -2\sum_{i} [\mathbf{U}^{\top}]_{ai} [\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}]_{ib}.$$
 (19.4.61)

This is a matrix product, and thus we can conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dv_{ab}} \| \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V} \|_2^2 = -2[\mathbf{U}^\top (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V})]_{ab}.$$
 (19.4.62)

and thus we may write the solution to (19.4.49)

$$\frac{d}{dV} \|X - UV\|_2^2 = -2U^{\top} (X - UV).$$
(19.4.63)

This matches the solution we guessed above!

It is reasonable to ask at this point, "Why can I not just write down matrix versions of all the calculus rules I have learned? It is clear this is still mechanical. Why do we not just get it over with!" And indeed there are such rules and (Petersen *et al.*, 2008) provides an excellent summary. However, due to the plethora of ways matrix operations can be combined compared to single values, there are many more matrix derivative rules than single variable ones. It is often the case that it is best to work with the indices, or leave it up to automatic differentiation when appropriate.

19.4.8 Summary

- In higher dimensions, we can define gradients which serve the same purpose as derivatives in one dimension. These allow us to see how a multi-variable function changes when we make an arbitrary small change to the inputs.
- The backpropagation algorithm can be seen to be a method of organizing the multi-variable chain rule to allow for the efficient computation of many partial derivatives.
- Matrix calculus allows us to write the derivatives of matrix expressions in concise ways.

Exercises

- 1. Given a column vector β , compute the derivatives of both $f(x) = \beta^{\top} x$ and $g(x) = x^{\top} \beta$. Why do you get the same answer?
- 2. Let v be an n dimension vector. What is $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \|v\|_2$?
- 3. Let $L(x, y) = \log(e^x + e^y)$. Compute the gradient. What is the sum of the components of the gradient?
- 4. Let $f(x,y) = x^2y + xy^2$. Show that the only critical point is (0,0). By considering f(x,x), determine if (0,0) is a maximum, minimum, or neither.
- 5. Suppose that we are minimizing a function f(x) = g(x) + h(x). How can we geometrically interpret the condition of $\nabla f = 0$ in terms of g and h?

Discussions²⁷²

19.5 Integral Calculus

Differentiation only makes up half of the content of a traditional calculus education. The other pillar, integration, starts out seeming a rather disjoint question, "What is the area underneath this curve?" While seemingly unrelated, integration is tightly intertwined with the differentiation via what is known as the *fundamental theorem of calculus*.

At the level of machine learning we discuss in this book, we will not need a deep understanding of integration. However, we will provide a brief introduction to lay the groundwork for any further applications we will encounter later on.

19.5.1 Geometric Interpretation

Suppose that we have a function f(x). For simplicity, let's assume that f(x) is non-negative (never takes a value less than zero). What we want to try and understand is: what is the area contained between f(x) and the x-axis?

```
%matplotlib inline
from IPython import display
from mpl_toolkits import mplot3d
from mxnet import np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
x = np.arange(-2, 2, 0.01)
f = np.exp(-x**2)
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.plot(x, f, color='black')
d2l.plt.fill_between(x.tolist(), f.tolist())
d2l.plt.show()
```



In most cases, this area will be infinite or undefined (consider the area under $f(x) = x^2$), so people will often talk about the area between a pair of ends, say a and b.

```
x = np.arange(-2, 2, 0.01)
f = np.exp(-x**2)
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.plot(x, f, color='black')
d2l.plt.fill_between(x.tolist()[50:250], f.tolist()[50:250])
d2l.plt.show()
```



We will denote this area by the integral symbol below:

Area(
$$\mathcal{A}$$
) = $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx.$ (19.5.1)

The inner variable is a dummy variable, much like the index of a sum in a \sum , and so this can be equivalently written with any inner value we like:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(z) \, dz. \tag{19.5.2}$$

There is a traditional way to try and understand how we might try to approximate such integrals: we can imagine taking the region in-between a and b and chopping it into N vertical slices. If N is large, we can approximate the area of each slice by a rectangle, and then add up the areas to get the total area under the curve. Let's take a look at an example doing this in code. We will see how to get the true value in a later section.

```
epsilon = 0.05
a = 0
b = 2
x = np.arange(a, b, epsilon)
f = x / (1 + x**2)
approx = np.sum(epsilon*f)
true = np.log(2) / 2
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.bar(x.asnumpy(), f.asnumpy(), width=epsilon, align='edge')
d2l.plt.plot(x, f, color='black')
d2l.plt.ylim([0, 1])
d2l.plt.show()
```

```
f'approximation: {approx}, truth: {true}'
```



'approximation: 0.7944855690002441, truth: 0.34657359027997264'

The issue is that while it can be done numerically, we can do this approach analytically for only the simplest functions like

$$\int_{a}^{b} x \, dx. \tag{19.5.3}$$

Anything somewhat more complex like our example from the code above

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{x}{1+x^2} \, dx. \tag{19.5.4}$$

is beyond what we can solve with such a direct method.

We will instead take a different approach. We will work intuitively with the notion of the area, and learn the main computational tool used to find integrals: the *fundamental theorem of calculus*. This will be the basis for our study of integration.

19.5.2 The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

To dive deeper into the theory of integration, let's introduce a function

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy.$$
 (19.5.5)

This function measures the area between 0 and x depending on how we change x. Notice that this is everything we need since

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = F(b) - F(a). \tag{19.5.6}$$

This is a mathematical encoding of the fact that we can measure the area out to the far endpoint and then subtract off the area to the near end point as indicated in Fig.19.5.1.



Figure 19.5.1 Visualizing why we may reduce the problem of computing the area under a curve between two points to computing the area to the left of a point.

Thus, we can figure out what the integral over any interval is by figuring out what F(x) is.

To do so, let's consider an experiment. As we often do in calculus, let's imagine what happens when we shift the value by a tiny bit. From the comment above, we know that

$$F(x+\epsilon) - F(x) = \int_{x}^{x+\epsilon} f(y) \, dy.$$
 (19.5.7)

This tells us that the function changes by the area under a tiny sliver of a function.

This is the point at which we make an approximation. If we look at a tiny sliver of area like this, it looks like this area is close to the rectangular area with height the value of f(x) and the base width ϵ . Indeed, one can show that as $\epsilon \to 0$ this approximation becomes better and better. Thus we can conclude:

$$F(x+\epsilon) - F(x) \approx \epsilon f(x). \tag{19.5.8}$$

However, we can now notice: this is exactly the pattern we expect if we were computing the derivative of F! Thus we see the following rather surprising fact:

$$\frac{dF}{dx}(x) = f(x). \tag{19.5.9}$$
This is the fundamental theorem of calculus. We may write it in expanded form as

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x f(y) \, dy = f(x). \tag{19.5.10}$$

It takes the concept of finding areas (a priori rather hard), and reduces it to a statement derivatives (something much more completely understood). One last comment that we must make is that this does not tell us exactly what F(x) is. Indeed F(x) + C for any C has the same derivative. This is a fact-of-life in the theory of integration. Thankfully, notice that when working with definite integrals, the constants drop out, and thus are irrelevant to the outcome.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = (F(b) + C) - (F(a) + C) = F(b) - F(a).$$
(19.5.11)

This may seem like abstract non-sense, but let's take a moment to appreciate that it has given us a whole new perspective on computing integrals. Our goal is no-longer to do some sort of chop-and-sum process to try and recover the area, rather we need only find a function whose derivative is the function we have! This is incredible since we can now list many rather difficult integrals by just reversing the table from Section 19.3.2. For instance, we know that the derivative of x^n is nx^{n-1} . Thus, we can say using the fundamental theorem (19.5.10) that

$$\int_0^x ny^{n-1} \, dy = x^n - 0^n = x^n. \tag{19.5.12}$$

Similarly, we know that the derivative of e^x is itself, so that means

$$\int_0^x e^x \, dx = e^x - e^0 = e^x - 1. \tag{19.5.13}$$

In this way, we can develop the entire theory of integration leveraging ideas from differential calculus freely. Every integration rule derives from this one fact.

19.5.3 Change of Variables

Just as with differentiation, there are a number of rules which make the computation of integrals more tractable. In fact, every rule of differential calculus (like the product rule, sum rule, and chain rule) has a corresponding rule for integral calculus (integration by parts, linearity of integration, and the change of variables formula respectively). In this section, we will dive into what is arguably the most important from the list: the change of variables formula.

First, suppose that we have a function which is itself an integral:

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(y) \, dy. \tag{19.5.14}$$

Let's suppose that we want to know how this function looks when we compose it with another to obtain F(u(x)). By the chain rule, we know

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(u(x)) = \frac{dF}{du}(u(x)) \cdot \frac{du}{dx}.$$
(19.5.15)

We can turn this into a statement about integration by using the fundamental theorem (19.5.10) as above. This gives

$$F(u(x)) - F(u(0)) = \int_0^x \frac{dF}{du}(u(y)) \cdot \frac{du}{dy} \, dy.$$
(19.5.16)

Recalling that F is itself an integral gives that the left hand side may be rewritten to be

$$\int_{u(0)}^{u(x)} f(y) \, dy = \int_0^x \frac{dF}{du}(u(y)) \cdot \frac{du}{dy} \, dy.$$
(19.5.17)

Similarly, recalling that F is an integral allows us to recognize that $\frac{dF}{dx} = f$ using the fundamental theorem (19.5.10), and thus we may conclude

$$\int_{u(0)}^{u(x)} f(y) \, dy = \int_0^x f(u(y)) \cdot \frac{du}{dy} \, dy.$$
(19.5.18)

This is the *change of variables* formula.

For a more intuitive derivation, consider what happens when we take an integral of f(u(x)) between x and $x + \epsilon$. For a small ϵ , this integral is approximately $\epsilon f(u(x))$, the area of the associated rectangle. Now, let's compare this with the integral of f(y) from u(x) to $u(x+\epsilon)$. We know that $u(x + \epsilon) \approx u(x) + \epsilon \frac{du}{dx}(x)$, so the area of this rectangle is approximately $\epsilon \frac{du}{dx}(x)f(u(x))$. Thus, to make the area of these two rectangles to agree, we need to multiply the first one by $\frac{du}{dx}(x)$ as is illustrated in Fig.19.5.2.



Figure 19.5.2 Visualizing the transformation of a single thin rectangle under the change of variables.

This tells us that

$$\int_{x}^{x+\epsilon} f(u(y)) \frac{du}{dy}(y) \, dy = \int_{u(x)}^{u(x+\epsilon)} f(y) \, dy.$$
(19.5.19)

This is the change of variables formula expressed for a single small rectangle.

If u(x) and f(x) are properly chosen, this can allow for the computation of incredibly complex integrals. For instance, if we even chose f(y) = 1 and $u(x) = e^{-x^2}$ (which means $\frac{du}{dx}(x) = -2xe^{-x^2}$), this can show for instance that

$$e^{-1} - 1 = \int_{e^{-0}}^{e^{-1}} 1 \, dy = -2 \int_{0}^{1} y e^{-y^{2}} \, dy, \qquad (19.5.20)$$

and thus by rearranging that

$$\int_0^1 y e^{-y^2} \, dy = \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{2}.$$
(19.5.21)

19.5.4 A Comment on Sign Conventions

Keen-eyed readers will observe something strange about the computations above. Namely, computations like

$$\int_{e^{-0}}^{e^{-1}} 1 \, dy = e^{-1} - 1 < 0, \tag{19.5.22}$$

can produce negative numbers. When thinking about areas, it can be strange to see a negative value, and so it is worth digging into what the convention is.

Mathematicians take the notion of signed areas. This manifests itself in two ways. First, if we consider a function f(x) which is sometimes less than zero, then the area will also be negative. So for instance

$$\int_0^1 (-1) \, dx = -1. \tag{19.5.23}$$

Similarly, integrals which progress from right to left, rather than left to right are also taken to be negative areas

$$\int_0^{-1} 1 \, dx = -1. \tag{19.5.24}$$

The standard area (from left to right of a positive function) is always positive. Anything obtained by flipping it (say flipping over the x-axis to get the integral of a negative number, or flipping over the y-axis to get an integral in the wrong order) will produce a negative area. And indeed, flipping twice will give a pair of negative signs that cancel out to have positive area

$$\int_{0}^{-1} (-1) \, dx = 1. \tag{19.5.25}$$

If this discussion sounds familiar, it is! In Section 19.1 we discussed how the determinant represented the signed area in much the same way.

19.5.5 Multiple Integrals

In some cases, we will need to work in higher dimensions. For instance, suppose that we have a function of two variables, like f(x, y) and we want to know the volume under f when x ranges over [a, b] and y ranges over [c, d].

```
# Construct grid and compute function
x, y = np.meshgrid(np.linspace(-2, 2, 101), np.linspace(-2, 2, 101),
                   indexing='ij')
z = np.exp(-x**2 - y**2)
# Plot function
ax = d2l.plt.figure().add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
ax.plot_wireframe(x.asnumpy(), y.asnumpy(), z.asnumpy())
d2l.plt.xlabel('x')
d2l.plt.ylabel('y')
d2l.plt.xticks([-2, -1, 0, 1, 2])
d2l.plt.yticks([-2, -1, 0, 1, 2])
d2l.set_figsize()
ax.set_xlim(-2, 2)
ax.set_ylim(-2, 2)
ax.set_zlim(0, 1)
ax.dist = 12
```



We write this as

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19.5.26)

Suppose that we wish to compute this integral. My claim is that we can do this by iteratively computing first the integral in x and then shifting to the integral in y, that is to say

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x,y) \, dx \right) \, dy. \tag{19.5.27}$$

Let's see why this is.

Consider the figure above where we have split the function into $\epsilon \times \epsilon$ squares which we will index with integer coordinates i, j. In this case, our integral is approximately

$$\sum_{i,j} \epsilon^2 f(\epsilon i, \epsilon j). \tag{19.5.28}$$

Once we discretize the problem, we may add up the values on these squares in whatever order we like, and not worry about changing the values. This is illustrated in Fig.19.5.3. In particular, we can say that

$$\sum_{j} \epsilon \left(\sum_{i} \epsilon f(\epsilon i, \epsilon j) \right).$$
(19.5.29)



Figure 19.5.3 Illustrating how to decompose a sum over many squares as a sum over first the columns (1), then adding the column sums together (2).

The sum on the inside is precisely the discretization of the integral

$$G(\epsilon j) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x, \epsilon j) \, dx. \tag{19.5.30}$$

Finally, notice that if we combine these two expressions we get

$$\sum_{j} \epsilon G(\epsilon j) \approx \int_{c}^{d} G(y) \, dy = \int_{[a,b] \times [c,d]} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19.5.31)

Thus putting it all together, we have that

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x,y) \, dx \right) \, dy. \tag{19.5.32}$$

Notice that, once discretized, all we did was rearrange the order in which we added a list of numbers. This may make it seem like it is nothing, however this result (called *Fubini's Theorem*) is not always true! For the type of mathematics encountered when doing machine learning (continuous functions), there is no concern, however it is possible to create examples where it fails (for example the function $f(x, y) = xy(x^2 - y^2)/(x^2 + y^2)^3$ over the rectangle $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$).

Note that the choice to do the integral in x first, and then the integral in y was arbitrary. We could have equally well chosen to do y first and then x to see

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x,y) \, dy \right) \, dx. \tag{19.5.33}$$

Often times, we will condense down to vector notation, and say that for $U = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ this is

$$\int_{U} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, d\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{19.5.34}$$

19.5.6 Change of Variables in Multiple Integrals

As with single variables in (19.5.18), the ability to change variables inside a higher dimensional integral is a key tool. Let's summarize the result without derivation.

We need a function that reparameterizes our domain of integration. We can take this to be $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, that is any function which takes in *n* real variables and returns another *n*. To keep the expressions clean, we will assume that ϕ is *injective* which is to say it never folds over itself ($\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{y}) \implies \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$).

In this case, we can say that

$$\int_{\phi(U)} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{U} f(\phi(\boldsymbol{x})) \left| \det(D\phi(\boldsymbol{x})) \right| \, d\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{19.5.35}$$

where $D\phi$ is the Jacobian of ϕ , which is the matrix of partial derivatives of $\phi = (\phi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$

$$D\boldsymbol{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (19.5.36)

Looking closely, we see that this is similar to the single variable chain rule (19.5.18), except we have replaced the term $\frac{du}{dx}(x)$ with $|\det(D\phi(x))|$. Let's see how we can to interpret this term. Recall that the $\frac{du}{dx}(x)$ term existed to say how much we stretched our *x*-axis by applying *u*. The same process in higher dimensions is to determine how much we stretch the area (or volume, or hyper-volume) of a little square (or little *hyper-cube*) by applying ϕ . If ϕ was the multiplication by a matrix, then we know how the determinant already gives the answer.

With some work, one can show that the *Jacobian* provides the best approximation to a multivariable function ϕ at a point by a matrix in the same way we could approximate by lines or planes with derivatives and gradients. Thus the determinant of the Jacobian exactly mirrors the scaling factor we identified in one dimension.

It takes some work to fill in the details to this, so do not worry if they are not clear now. Let's see at least one example we will make use of later on. Consider the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2 - y^2} \, dx \, dy. \tag{19.5.37}$$

Playing with this integral directly will get us no-where, but if we change variables, we can make significant progress. If we let $\phi(r, \theta) = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$ (which is to say that $x = r \cos(\theta), y = r \sin(\theta)$), then we can apply the change of variable formula to see that this is the same thing as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-r^{2}} \left| \det(D\phi(\boldsymbol{x})) \right| \, d\theta \, dr, \tag{19.5.38}$$

where

$$\left|\det(D\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}))\right| = \left|\det\begin{bmatrix}\cos(\theta) & -r\sin(\theta)\\\sin(\theta) & r\cos(\theta)\end{bmatrix}\right| = r(\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) = r. \quad (19.5.39)$$

Thus, the integral is

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r e^{-r^2} d\theta dr = 2\pi \int_0^\infty r e^{-r^2} dr = \pi,$$
 (19.5.40)

where the final equality follows by the same computation that we used in section Section 19.5.3.

We will meet this integral again when we study continuous random variables in Section 19.6.

19.5.7 Summary

- The theory of integration allows us to answer questions about areas or volumes.
- The fundamental theorem of calculus allows us to leverage knowledge about derivatives to compute areas via the observation that the derivative of the area up to some point is given by the value of the function being integrated.
- Integrals in higher dimensions can be computed by iterating single variable integrals.

Exercises

- 1. What is $\int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{x} dx$?
- 2. Use the change of variables formula to integrate $\int_0^{\sqrt{\pi}} x \sin(x^2) dx$.
- 3. What is $\int_{[0,1]^2} xy \, dx \, dy$?
- 4. Use the change of variables formula to compute $\int_0^2 \int_0^1 xy(x^2 y^2)/(x^2 + y^2)^3 dy dx$ and $\int_0^1 \int_0^2 f(x, y) = xy(x^2 - y^2)/(x^2 + y^2)^3 dx dy$ to see they are different.

Discussions²⁷³



19.6 Random Variables

In Section 2.6 we saw the basics of how to work with discrete random variables, which in our case refer to those random variables which take either a finite set of possible values, or the integers. In this section, we develop the theory of *continuous random variables*, which are random variables which can take on any real value.

19.6.1 Continuous Random Variables

Continuous random variables are a significantly more subtle topic than discrete random variables. A fair analogy to make is that the technical jump is comparable to the jump between adding lists of numbers and integrating functions. As such, we will need to take some time to develop the theory.

From Discrete to Continuous

To understand the additional technical challenges encountered when working with continuous random variables, let's perform a thought experiment. Suppose that we are throwing a dart at the dart board, and we want to know the probability that it hits exactly 2cm from the center of the board.

To start with, we imagine measuring a single digit of accuracy, that is to say with bins for 0cm, 1cm, 2cm, and so on. We throw say 100 darts at the dart board, and if 20 of them fall into the bin for 2cm we conclude that 20% of the darts we throw hit the board 2cm away from the center.

However, when we look closer, this does not match our question! We wanted exact equality, whereas these bins hold all that fell between say 1.5cm and 2.5cm.

Undeterred, we continue further. We measure even more precisely, say 1.9cm, 2.0cm, 2.1cm, and now see that perhaps 3 of the 100 darts hit the board in the 2.0cm bucket. Thus we conclude the probability is 3%.

However, this does not solve anything! We have just pushed the issue down one digit further. Let's abstract a bit. Imagine we know the probability that the first k digits match with 2.00000... and we want to know the probability it matches for the first k + 1 digits. It is fairly reasonable to assume that the k + 1th digit is essentially a random choice from the set $\{0, 1, 2, ..., 9\}$. At least, we cannot conceive of a physically meaningful process which would force the number of micrometers away form the center to prefer to end in a 7 vs a 3.

What this means is that in essence each additional digit of accuracy we require should decrease probability of matching by a factor of 10. Or put another way, we would expect that

$$P(\text{distance is } 2.00..., \text{ to } k \text{ digits}) \approx p \cdot 10^{-k}.$$
(19.6.1)

The value p essentially encodes what happens with the first few digits, and the 10^{-k} handles the rest.

Notice that if we know the position accurate to k = 4 digits after the decimal, that means we know the value falls within the interval say [1.99995, 2.00005] which is an interval of length $2.00005 - 1.99995 = 10^{-4}$. Thus, if we call the length of this interval ϵ , we can say

$$P(\text{distance is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } 2) \approx \epsilon \cdot p.$$
 (19.6.2)

Let's take this one final step further. We have been thinking about the point 2 the entire time, but never thinking about other points. Nothing is different there fundamentally, but it is the case that the value p will likely be different. We would at least hope that a dart thrower was more likely to hit a point near the center, like 2cm rather than 20cm. Thus, the value p is not fixed, but rather should depend on the point x. This tells us that we should expect

$$P(\text{distance is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } x) \approx \epsilon \cdot p(x).$$
 (19.6.3)

Indeed, (19.6.3) precisely defines the *probability density function*. It is a function p(x) which encodes the relative probability of hitting near one point vs. another. Let's visualize what such a function might look like.

The locations where the function value is large indicates regions where we are more likely to find the random value. The low portions are areas where we are unlikely to find the random value.

Probability Density Functions

Let's now investigate this further. We have already seen what a probability density function is intuitively for a random variable X, namely the density function is a function p(x) so



that

$$P(X \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } x) \approx \epsilon \cdot p(x).$$
 (19.6.4)

But what does this imply for the properties of p(x)?

First, probabilities are never negative, thus we should expect that $p(x) \ge 0$ as well.

Second, let's imagine that we slice up the \mathbb{R} into an infinite number of slices which are ϵ wide, say with slices ($\epsilon \cdot i$, $\epsilon \cdot (i + 1)$]. For each of these, we know from (19.6.4) the probability is approximately

$$P(X \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } x) \approx \epsilon \cdot p(\epsilon \cdot i),$$
 (19.6.5)

so summed over all of them it should be

$$P(X \in \mathbb{R}) \approx \sum_{i} \epsilon \cdot p(\epsilon \cdot i).$$
 (19.6.6)

This is nothing more than the approximation of an integral discussed in Section 19.5, thus we can say that

$$P(X \in \mathbb{R}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx. \tag{19.6.7}$$

We know that $P(X \in \mathbb{R}) = 1$, since the random variable must take on *some* number, we can conclude that for any density

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = 1. \tag{19.6.8}$$

Indeed, digging into this further shows that for any a, and b, we see that

$$P(X \in (a, b]) = \int_{a}^{b} p(x) \, dx.$$
(19.6.9)

We may approximate this in code by using the same discrete approximation methods as before. In this case we can approximate the probability of falling in the blue region.



'approximate Probability: 0.7736172080039978'

It turns out that these two properties describe exactly the space of possible probability density functions (or *p.d.f.*'s for the commonly encountered abbreviation). They are non-negative functions $p(x) \ge 0$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \, dx = 1. \tag{19.6.10}$$

We interpret this function by using integration to obtain the probability our random variable is in a specific interval:

$$P(X \in (a, b]) = \int_{a}^{b} p(x) \, dx.$$
(19.6.11)

In Section 19.8 we will see a number of common distributions, but let's continue working in the abstract.

Cumulative Distribution Functions

In the previous section, we saw the notion of the p.d.f. In practice, this is a commonly encountered method to discuss continuous random variables, but it has one significant pitfall: that the values of the p.d.f. are not themselves probabilities, but rather a function that we must integrate to yield probabilities. There is nothing wrong with a density being larger than 10, as long as it is not larger than 10 for more than an interval of length 1/10. This can be counter-intuitive, so people often also think in terms of the *cumulative distribution function*, or c.d.f., which *is* a probability.

In particular, by using (19.6.11), we define the c.d.f. for a random variable X with density p(x) by

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(x) \, dx = P(X \le x). \tag{19.6.12}$$

Let's observe a few properties.

- $F(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$.
- $F(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$.
- F(x) is non-decreasing $(y > x \implies F(y) \ge F(x))$.
- F(x) is continuous (has no jumps) if X is a continuous random variable.

With the fourth bullet point, note that this would not be true if X were discrete, say taking

the values 0 and 1 both with probability 1/2. In that case

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & x < 1, \\ 1 & x \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(19.6.13)

In this example, we see one of the benefits of working with the c.d.f., the ability to deal with continuous or discrete random variables in the same framework, or indeed mixtures of the two (flip a coin: if heads return the roll of a die, if tails return the distance of a dart throw from the center of a dart board).

Means

Suppose that we are dealing with a random variables X. The distribution itself can be hard to interpret. It is often useful to be able to summarize the behavior of a random variable concisely. Numbers that help us capture the behavior of a random variable are called *summary statistics*. The most commonly encountered ones are the *mean*, the *variance*, and the *standard deviation*.

The *mean* encodes the average value of a random variable. If we have a discrete random variable X, which takes the values x_i with probabilities p_i , then the mean is given by the weighted average: sum the values times the probability that the random variable takes on that value:

$$\mu_X = E[X] = \sum_i x_i p_i.$$
(19.6.14)

The way we should interpret the mean (albeit with caution) is that it tells us essentially where the random variable tends to be located.

As a minimalistic example that we will examine throughout this section, let's take X to be the random variable which takes the value a - 2 with probability p, a + 2 with probability pand a with probability 1 - 2p. We can compute using (19.6.14) that, for any possible choice of a and p, the mean is

$$\mu_X = E[X] = \sum_i x_i p_i = (a-2)p + a(1-2p) + (a+2)p = a.$$
(19.6.15)

Thus we see that the mean is a. This matches the intuition since a is the location around which we centered our random variable.

Because they are helpful, let's summarize a few properties.

- For any random variable X and numbers a and b, we have that $\mu_{aX+b} = a\mu_X + b$.
- If we have two random variables X and Y, we have $\mu_{X+Y} = \mu_X + \mu_Y$.

Means are useful for understanding the average behavior of a random variable, however the mean is not sufficient to even have a full intuitive understanding. Making a profit of $\$10 \pm \1 per sale is very different from making $\$10 \pm \15 per sale despite having the same average value. The second one has a much larger degree of fluctuation, and thus represents a much larger risk. Thus, to understand the behavior of a random variable, we will need at minimum one more measure: some measure of how widely a random variable fluctuates.

Variances

This leads us to consider the *variance* of a random variable. This is a quantitative measure of how far a random variable deviates from the mean. Consider the expression $X - \mu_X$. This is the deviation of the random variable from its mean. This value can be positive or negative,

so we need to do something to make it positive so that we are measuring the magnitude of the deviation.

A reasonable thing to try is to look at $|X - \mu_X|$, and indeed this leads to a useful quantity called the *mean absolute deviation*, however due to connections with other areas of mathematics and statistics, people often use a different solution.

In particular, they look at $(X - \mu_X)^2$. If we look at the typical size of this quantity by taking the mean, we arrive at the variance

$$\sigma_X^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X) = E\left[(X - \mu_X)^2\right] = E[X^2] - \mu_X^2.$$
(19.6.16)

The last equality in (19.6.16) holds by expanding out the definition in the middle, and applying the properties of expectation.

Let's look at our example where X is the random variable which takes the value a - 2 with probability p, a + 2 with probability p and a with probability 1 - 2p. In this case $\mu_X = a$, so all we need to compute is $E[X^2]$. This can readily be done:

$$E[X^{2}] = (a-2)^{2}p + a^{2}(1-2p) + (a+2)^{2}p = a^{2} + 8p.$$
(19.6.17)

Thus, we see that by (19.6.16) our variance is

$$\sigma_X^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - \mu_X^2 = a^2 + 8p - a^2 = 8p.$$
(19.6.18)

This result again makes sense. The largest p can be is 1/2 which corresponds to picking a-2 or a + 2 with a coin flip. The variance of this being 4 corresponds to the fact that both a - 2 and a + 2 are 2 units away from the mean, and $2^2 = 4$. On the other end of the spectrum, if p = 0, this random variable always takes the value 0 and so it has no variance at all.

We will list a few properties of variance below:

- For any random variable X, $Var(X) \ge 0$, with Var(X) = 0 if and only if X is a constant.
- For any random variable X and numbers a and b, we have that $Var(aX+b) = a^2 Var(X)$.
- If we have two *independent* random variables X and Y, we have Var(X+Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y).

When interpreting these values, there can be a bit of a hiccup. In particular, let's try imagining what happens if we keep track of units through this computation. Suppose that we are working with the star rating assigned to a product on the web page. Then a, a - 2, and a + 2 are all measured in units of stars. Similarly, the mean μ_X is then also measured in stars (being a weighted average). However, if we get to the variance, we immediately encounter an issue, which is we want to look at $(X - \mu_X)^2$, which is in units of *squared stars*. This means that the variance itself is not comparable to the original measurements. To make it interpretable, we will need to return to our original units.

Standard Deviations

This summary statistics can always be deduced from the variance by taking the square root! Thus we define the *standard deviation* to be

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}.\tag{19.6.19}$$

In our example, this means we now have the standard deviation is $\sigma_X = 2\sqrt{2p}$. If we are dealing with units of stars for our review example, σ_X is again in units of stars.

The properties we had for the variance can be restated for the standard deviation.

• For any random variable $X, \sigma_X \ge 0$.

- For any random variable X and numbers a and b, we have that $\sigma_{aX+b} = |a|\sigma_X$
- If we have two *independent* random variables X and Y, we have $\sigma_{X+Y} = \sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2}$.

It is natural at this moment to ask, "If the standard deviation is in the units of our original random variable, does it represent something we can draw with regards to that random variable?" The answer is a resounding yes! Indeed much like the mean told us the typical location of our random variable, the standard deviation gives the typical range of variation of that random variable. We can make this rigorous with what is known as Chebyshev's inequality:

$$P\left(X \notin \left[\mu_X - \alpha \sigma_X, \mu_X + \alpha \sigma_X\right]\right) \le \frac{1}{\alpha^2}.$$
(19.6.20)

Or to state it verbally in the case of $\alpha = 10,99\%$ of the samples from any random variable fall within 10 standard deviations of the mean. This gives an immediate interpretation to our standard summary statistics.

To see how this statement is rather subtle, let's take a look at our running example again where X is the random variable which takes the value a - 2 with probability p, a + 2 with probability p and a with probability 1 - 2p. We saw that the mean was a and the standard deviation was $2\sqrt{2p}$. This means, if we take Chebyshev's inequality (19.6.20) with $\alpha = 2$, we see that the expression is

$$P\left(X \notin [a - 4\sqrt{2p}, a + 4\sqrt{2p}]\right) \le \frac{1}{4}.$$
 (19.6.21)

This means that 75% of the time, this random variable will fall within this interval for any value of p. Now, notice that as $p \rightarrow 0$, this interval also converges to the single point a. But we know that our random variable takes the values a - 2, a, and a + 2 only so eventually we can be certain a - 2 and a + 2 will fall outside the interval! The question is, at what p does that happen. So we want to solve: for what p does $a + 4\sqrt{2p} = a + 2$, which is solved when p = 1/8, which is *exactly* the first p where it could possibly happen without violating our claim that no more than 1/4 of samples from the distribution would fall outside the interval (1/8 to the right).

Let's visualize this. We will show the probability of getting the three values as three vertical bars with height proportional to the probability. The interval will be drawn as a horizontal line in the middle. The first plot shows what happens for p > 1/8 where the interval safely contains all points.

The second shows that at p = 1/8, the interval exactly touches the two points. This shows that the inequality is *sharp*, since no smaller interval could be taken while keeping the inequality true.



plot_chebyshev(0.0, 0.125)



The third shows that for p < 1/8 the interval only contains the center. This does not invalidate the inequality since we only needed to ensure that no more than 1/4 of the probability falls outside the interval, which means that once p < 1/8, the two points at a - 2 and a + 2 can be discarded.

```
# Plot interval when p < 1/8
plot_chebyshev(0.0, 0.05)
```



Means and Variances in the Continuum

This has all been in terms of discrete random variables, but the case of continuous random variables is similar. To intuitively understand how this works, imagine that we split the real

number line into intervals of length ϵ given by $(\epsilon i, \epsilon(i+1)]$. Once we do this, our continuous random variable has been made discrete and we can use (19.6.14) say that

$$\mu_X \approx \sum_i (\epsilon i) P(X \in (\epsilon i, \epsilon(i+1)])$$

$$\approx \sum_i (\epsilon i) p_X(\epsilon i) \epsilon,$$
(19.6.22)

where p_X is the density of X. This is an approximation to the integral of $xp_X(x)$, so we can conclude that

$$\mu_X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) \, dx. \tag{19.6.23}$$

Similarly, using (19.6.16) the variance can be written as

$$\sigma_X^2 = E[X^2] - \mu_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 p_X(x) \, dx - \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) \, dx\right)^2.$$
(19.6.24)

Everything stated above about the mean, the variance, and the standard deviation still applies in this case. For instance, if we consider the random variable with density

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(19.6.25)

we can compute

$$\mu_X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 x \, dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(19.6.26)

and

$$\sigma_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 p(x) \, dx - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{12}.$$
 (19.6.27)

As a warning, let's examine one more example, known as the *Cauchy distribution*. This is the distribution with p.d.f. given by

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}.$$
(19.6.28)

Plot the Cauchy distribution p.d.f. x = np.arange(-5, 5, 0.01) p = 1 / (1 + x**2) d2l.plot(x, p, 'x', 'p.d.f.')



This function looks innocent, and indeed consulting a table of integrals will show it has area one under it, and thus it defines a continuous random variable.

To see what goes astray, let's try to compute the variance of this. This would involve using (19.6.16) computing

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \, dx. \tag{19.6.29}$$

The function on the inside looks like this:

```
# Plot the integrand needed to compute the variance
x = np.arange(-20, 20, 0.01)
p = x**2 / (1 + x**2)
```

```
d2l.plot(x, p, 'x', 'integrand')
```



This function clearly has infinite area under it since it is essentially the constant one with a small dip near zero, and indeed we could show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \, dx = \infty. \tag{19.6.30}$$

This means it does not have a well-defined finite variance.

However, looking deeper shows an even more disturbing result. Let's try to compute the mean using (19.6.14). Using the change of variables formula, we see

$$\mu_X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{1+x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} \, du. \tag{19.6.31}$$

The integral inside is the definition of the logarithm, so this is in essence $\log(\infty) = \infty$, so there is no well-defined average value either!

Machine learning scientists define their models so that we most often do not need to deal with these issues, and will in the vast majority of cases deal with random variables with well-defined means and variances. However, every so often random variables with *heavy tails* (that is those random variables where the probabilities of getting large values are large enough to make things like the mean or variance undefined) are helpful in modeling physical systems, thus it is worth knowing that they exist.

Joint Density Functions

The above work all assumes we are working with a single real valued random variable. But what if we are dealing with two or more potentially highly correlated random variables? This circumstance is the norm in machine learning: imagine random variables like $R_{i,j}$ which encode the red value of the pixel at the (i, j) coordinate in an image, or P_t which is a random variable given by a stock price at time t. Nearby pixels tend to have similar color, and nearby times tend to have similar prices. We cannot treat them as separate random variables, and expect to create a successful model (we will see in Section 19.9 a model that under-performs

due to such an assumption). We need to develop the mathematical language to handle these correlated continuous random variables.

Thankfully, with the multiple integrals in Section 19.5 we can develop such a language. Suppose that we have, for simplicity, two random variables X, Y which can be correlated. Then, similar to the case of a single variable, we can ask the question:

 $P(X \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } x \text{ and } Y \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } y).$ (19.6.32)

Similar reasoning to the single variable case shows that this should be approximately

 $P(X \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } x \text{ and } Y \text{ is in an } \epsilon \text{-sized interval around } y) \approx \epsilon^2 p(x, y),$ (19.6.33)

for some function p(x, y). This is referred to as the joint density of X and Y. Similar properties are true for this as we saw in the single variable case. Namely:

•
$$p(x,y) \ge 0;$$

•
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(x, y) \, dx \, dy = 1;$$

• $P((X,Y) \in \mathcal{D}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} p(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$

In this way, we can deal with multiple, potentially correlated random variables. If we wish to work with more than two random variables, we can extend the multivariate density to as many coordinates as desired by considering $p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. The same properties of being non-negative, and having total integral of one still hold.

Marginal Distributions

When dealing with multiple variables, we oftentimes want to be able to ignore the relationships and ask, "how is this one variable distributed?" Such a distribution is called a *marginal distribution*.

To be concrete, let's suppose that we have two random variables X, Y with joint density given by $p_{X,Y}(x, y)$. We will be using the subscript to indicate what random variables the density is for. The question of finding the marginal distribution is taking this function, and using it to find $p_X(x)$.

As with most things, it is best to return to the intuitive picture to figure out what should be true. Recall that the density is the function p_X so that

$$P(X \in [x, x + \epsilon]) \approx \epsilon \cdot p_X(x). \tag{19.6.34}$$

There is no mention of Y, but if all we are given is $p_{X,Y}$, we need to include Y somehow. We can first observe that this is the same as

$$P(X \in [x, x + \epsilon], \text{ and } Y \in \mathbb{R}) \approx \epsilon \cdot p_X(x).$$
 (19.6.35)

Our density does not directly tell us about what happens in this case, we need to split into small intervals in y as well, so we can write this as

$$\epsilon \cdot p_X(x) \approx \sum_i P(X \in [x, x + \epsilon], \text{ and } Y \in [\epsilon \cdot i, \epsilon \cdot (i + 1)])$$

$$\approx \sum_i \epsilon^2 p_{X,Y}(x, \epsilon \cdot i).$$
(19.6.36)

This tells us to add up the value of the density along a series of squares in a line as is shown





6.1 By summing along the columns of our array of probabilities, we are able to obtain the marginal distribution for just the random variable represented along the x-axis.

in Fig.19.6.1. Indeed, after canceling one factor of epsilon from both sides, and recognizing the sum on the right is the integral over y, we can conclude that

$$p_X(x) \approx \sum_i \epsilon p_{X,Y}(x, \epsilon \cdot i)$$

$$\approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy.$$
(19.6.37)

Thus we see

$$p_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dy.$$
(19.6.38)

This tells us that to get a marginal distribution, we integrate over the variables we do not care about. This process is often referred to as *integrating out* or *marginalized out* the unneeded variables.

Covariance

When dealing with multiple random variables, there is one additional summary statistic which is helpful to know: the *covariance*. This measures the degree that two random variable fluctuate together.

Suppose that we have two random variables X and Y, to begin with, let's suppose they are discrete, taking on values (x_i, y_j) with probability p_{ij} . In this case, the covariance is defined as

$$\sigma_{XY} = \text{Cov}(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j} (x_i - \mu_X)(y_j - \mu_Y)p_{ij} = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y].$$
(19.6.39)

To think about this intuitively: consider the following pair of random variables. Suppose that X takes the values 1 and 3, and Y takes the values -1 and 3. Suppose that we have the following probabilities

$$P(X = 1 \text{ and } Y = -1) = \frac{p}{2},$$

$$P(X = 1 \text{ and } Y = 3) = \frac{1-p}{2},$$

$$P(X = 3 \text{ and } Y = -1) = \frac{1-p}{2},$$

$$P(X = 3 \text{ and } Y = 3) = \frac{p}{2},$$

(19.6.40)

where p is a parameter in [0, 1] we get to pick. Notice that if p = 1 then they are both always their minimum or maximum values simultaneously, and if p = 0 they are guaranteed to take their flipped values simultaneously (one is large when the other is small and vice versa). If p = 1/2, then the four possibilities are all equally likely, and neither should be related. Let's compute the covariance. First, note $\mu_X = 2$ and $\mu_Y = 1$, so we may compute using (19.6.39):

$$Cov(X,Y) = \sum_{i,j} (x_i - \mu_X)(y_j - \mu_Y)p_{ij}$$

= $(1-2)(-1-1)\frac{p}{2} + (1-2)(3-1)\frac{1-p}{2} + (3-2)(-1-1)\frac{1-p}{2} + (3-2)(3-1)\frac{p}{2}$
= $4p - 2.$ (19.6.41)

When p = 1 (the case where they are both maximally positive or negative at the same time) has a covariance of 2. When p = 0 (the case where they are flipped) the covariance is -2. Finally, when p = 1/2 (the case where they are unrelated), the covariance is 0. Thus we see that the covariance measures how these two random variables are related.

A quick note on the covariance is that it only measures these linear relationships. More complex relationships like $X = Y^2$ where Y is randomly chosen from $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$ with equal probability can be missed. Indeed a quick computation shows that these random variables have covariance zero, despite one being a deterministic function of the other.

For continuous random variables, much the same story holds. At this point, we are pretty comfortable with doing the transition between discrete and continuous, so we will provide the continuous analogue of (19.6.39) without any derivation.

$$\sigma_{XY} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x - \mu_X)(y - \mu_Y)p(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19.6.42)

For visualization, let's take a look at a collection of random variables with tunable covariance.

```
# Plot a few random variables adjustable covariance
covs = [-0.9, 0.0, 1.2]
d2l.plt.figure(figsize=(12, 3))
for i in range(3):
    X = np.random.normal(0, 1, 500)
    Y = covs[i]*X + np.random.normal(0, 1, (500))
    d2l.plt.subplot(1, 4, i+1)
    d2l.plt.scatter(X.asnumpy(), Y.asnumpy())
    d2l.plt.xlabel('X')
    d2l.plt.xlabel('Y')
    d2l.plt.title(f'cov = {covs[i]}')
d2l.plt.show()
```



Let's see some properties of covariances:

• For any random variable X, Cov(X, X) = Var(X).

- For any random variables X, Y and numbers a and b, Cov(aX + b, Y) = Cov(X, aY + b) = aCov(X, Y).
- If X and Y are independent then Cov(X, Y) = 0.

In addition, we can use the covariance to expand a relationship we saw before. Recall that is X and Y are two independent random variables then

$$\operatorname{Var}(X+Y) = \operatorname{Var}(X) + \operatorname{Var}(Y). \tag{19.6.43}$$

With knowledge of covariances, we can expand this relationship. Indeed, some algebra can show that in general,

$$Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y).$$
 (19.6.44)

This allows us to generalize the variance summation rule for correlated random variables.

Correlation

As we did in the case of means and variances, let's now consider units. If X is measured in one unit (say inches), and Y is measured in another (say dollars), the covariance is measured in the product of these two units inches \times dollars. These units can be hard to interpret. What we will often want in this case is a unit-less measurement of relatedness. Indeed, often we do not care about exact quantitative correlation, but rather ask if the correlation is in the same direction, and how strong the relationship is.

To see what makes sense, let's perform a thought experiment. Suppose that we convert our random variables in inches and dollars to be in inches and cents. In this case the random variable Y is multiplied by 100. If we work through the definition, this means that Cov(X, Y) will be multiplied by 100. Thus we see that in this case a change of units change the covariance by a factor of 100. Thus, to find our unit-invariant measure of correlation, we will need to divide by something else that also gets scaled by 100. Indeed we have a clear candidate, the standard deviation! Indeed if we define the *correlation coefficient* to be

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y},$$
(19.6.45)

we see that this is a unit-less value. A little mathematics can show that this number is between -1 and 1 with 1 meaning maximally positively correlated, whereas -1 means maximally negatively correlated.

Returning to our explicit discrete example above, we can see that $\sigma_X = 1$ and $\sigma_Y = 2$, so we can compute the correlation between the two random variables using (19.6.45) to see that

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{4p-2}{1\cdot 2} = 2p-1.$$
(19.6.46)

This now ranges between -1 and 1 with the expected behavior of 1 meaning most correlated, and -1 meaning minimally correlated.

As another example, consider X as any random variable, and Y = aX + b as any linear deterministic function of X. Then, one can compute that

$$\sigma_Y = \sigma_{aX+b} = |a|\sigma_X,\tag{19.6.47}$$

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Cov}(X,aX+b) = a\operatorname{Cov}(X,X) = a\operatorname{Var}(X), \quad (19.6.48)$$

and thus by (19.6.45) that

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{a \operatorname{Var}(X)}{|a|\sigma_X^2} = \frac{a}{|a|} = \operatorname{sign}(a).$$
(19.6.49)

Thus we see that the correlation is +1 for any a > 0, and -1 for any a < 0 illustrating that correlation measures the degree and directionality the two random variables are related, not the scale that the variation takes.

Let's again plot a collection of random variables with tunable correlation.

```
# Plot a few random variables adjustable correlations
cors = [-0.9, 0.0, 1.0]
d2l.plt.figure(figsize=(12, 3))
for i in range(3):
    X = np.random.normal(0, 1, 500)
    Y = cors[i] * X + np.sqrt(1 - cors[i]**2) * np.random.normal(0, 1, 500)
    d2l.plt.subplot(1, 4, i + 1)
    d2l.plt.scatter(X.asnumpy(), Y.asnumpy())
    d2l.plt.xlabel('X')
    d2l.plt.ylabel('Y')
    d2l.plt.title(f'cor = {cors[i]}')
d2l.plt.show()
```



Let's list a few properties of the correlation below.

- For any random variable X, $\rho(X, X) = 1$.
- For any random variables X, Y and numbers a and b, ρ(aX + b, Y) = ρ(X, aY + b) = ρ(X, Y).
- If X and Y are independent with non-zero variance then $\rho(X, Y) = 0$.

As a final note, you may feel like some of these formulae are familiar. Indeed, if we expand everything out assuming that $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$, we see that this is

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{\sum_{i,j} x_i y_i p_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j} x_i^2 p_{ij}} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} y_j^2 p_{ij}}}.$$
(19.6.50)

This looks like a sum of a product of terms divided by the square root of sums of terms. This is exactly the formula for the cosine of the angle between two vectors v, w with the different coordinates weighted by p_{ij} :

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\| \|\boldsymbol{w}\|} = \frac{\sum_{i} v_i w_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} v_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i} w_i^2}}.$$
(19.6.51)

Indeed if we think of norms as being related to standard deviations, and correlations as being cosines of angles, much of the intuition we have from geometry can be applied to thinking about random variables.

19.6.2 Summary

- Continuous random variables are random variables that can take on a continuum of values. They have some technical difficulties that make them more challenging to work with compared to discrete random variables.
- The probability density function allows us to work with continuous random variables by giving a function where the area under the curve on some interval gives the probability of finding a sample point in that interval.
- The cumulative distribution function is the probability of observing the random variable to be less than a given threshold. It can provide a useful alternate viewpoint which unifies discrete and continuous variables.
- The mean is the average value of a random variable.
- The variance is the expected square of the difference between the random variable and its mean.
- The standard deviation is the square root of the variance. It can be thought of as measuring the range of values the random variable may take.
- Chebyshev's inequality allows us to make this intuition rigorous by giving an explicit interval that contains the random variable most of the time.
- Joint densities allow us to work with correlated random variables. We may marginalize joint densities by integrating over unwanted random variables to get the distribution of the desired random variable.
- The covariance and correlation coefficient provide a way to measure any linear relationship between two correlated random variables.

Exercises

- 1. Suppose that we have the random variable with density given by $p(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$ for $x \ge 1$ and p(x) = 0 otherwise. What is P(X > 2)?
- 2. The Laplace distribution is a random variable whose density is given by $p(x = \frac{1}{2}e^{-|x|})$. What is the mean and the standard deviation of this function? As a hint, $\int_0^\infty xe^{-x} dx = 1$ and $\int_0^\infty x^2e^{-x} dx = 2$.
- 3. I walk up to you on the street and say "I have a random variable with mean 1, standard deviation 2, and I observed 25% of my samples taking a value larger than 9." Do you believe me? Why or why not?
- 4. Suppose that you have two random variables X, Y, with joint density given by $p_{XY}(x, y) = 4xy$ for $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and $p_{XY}(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. What is the covariance of X and Y?

Discussions²⁷⁴

19.7 Maximum Likelihood

One of the most commonly encountered way of thinking in machine learning is the maximum likelihood point of view. This is the concept that when working with a probabilistic model with unknown parameters, the parameters which make the data have the highest probability are the most likely ones.



19.7.1 The Maximum Likelihood Principle

This has a Bayesian interpretation which can be helpful to think about. Suppose that we have a model with parameters θ and a collection of data examples X. For concreteness, we can imagine that θ is a single value representing the probability that a coin comes up heads when flipped, and X is a sequence of independent coin flips. We will look at this example in depth later.

If we want to find the most likely value for the parameters of our model, that means we want to find

$$\operatorname{argmax} P(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid X). \tag{19.7.1}$$

By Bayes' rule, this is the same thing as

$$\operatorname{argmax} \frac{P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) P(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{P(X)}.$$
(19.7.2)

The expression P(X), a parameter agnostic probability of generating the data, does not depend on θ at all, and so can be dropped without changing the best choice of θ . Similarly, we may now posit that we have no prior assumption on which set of parameters are better than any others, so we may declare that $P(\theta)$ does not depend on theta either! This, for instance, makes sense in our coin flipping example where the probability it comes up heads could be any value in [0, 1] without any prior belief it is fair or not (often referred to as an *uninformative prior*). Thus we see that our application of Bayes' rule shows that our best choice of θ is the maximum likelihood estimate for θ :

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{19.7.3}$$

As a matter of common terminology, the probability of the data given the parameters ($P(X \mid \theta)$) is referred to as the *likelihood*.

A Concrete Example

Let's see how this works in a concrete example. Suppose that we have a single parameter θ representing the probability that a coin flip is heads. Then the probability of getting a tails is $1 - \theta$, and so if our observed data X is a sequence with n_H heads and n_T tails, we can use the fact that independent probabilities multiply to see that

$$P(X \mid \theta) = \theta^{n_H} (1 - \theta)^{n_T}.$$
(19.7.4)

If we flip 13 coins and get the sequence "HHHTHTTHHHHHT", which has $n_H = 9$ and $n_T = 4$, we see that this is

$$P(X \mid \theta) = \theta^9 (1 - \theta)^4.$$
(19.7.5)

One nice thing about this example will be that we know the answer going in. Indeed, if we said verbally, "I flipped 13 coins, and 9 came up heads, what is our best guess for the probability that the coin comes us heads?, " everyone would correctly guess 9/13. What this maximum likelihood method will give us is a way to get that number from first principals in a way that will generalize to vastly more complex situations.

For our example, the plot of $P(X \mid \theta)$ is as follows:

```
%matplotlib inline
from mxnet import autograd, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

npx.set_np()

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
theta = np.arange(0, 1, 0.001)
p = theta**9 * (1 - theta)**4.
d2l.plot(theta, p, 'theta', 'likelihood')
```



This has its maximum value somewhere near our expected $9/13 \approx 0.7...$ To see if it is exactly there, we can turn to calculus. Notice that at the maximum, the gradient of the function is flat. Thus, we could find the maximum likelihood estimate (19.7.1) by finding the values of θ where the derivative is zero, and finding the one that gives the highest probability. We compute:

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\theta} P(X \mid \theta)$$

= $\frac{d}{d\theta} \theta^9 (1 - \theta)^4$ (19.7.6)
= $9\theta^8 (1 - \theta)^4 - 4\theta^9 (1 - \theta)^3$
= $\theta^8 (1 - \theta)^3 (9 - 13\theta).$

This has three solutions: 0, 1 and 9/13. The first two are clearly minima, not maxima as they assign probability 0 to our sequence. The final value does *not* assign zero probability to our sequence, and thus must be the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\theta} = 9/13$.

19.7.2 Numerical Optimization and the Negative Log-Likelihood

The previous example is nice, but what if we have billions of parameters and data examples?

First, notice that if we make the assumption that all the data examples are independent, we can no longer practically consider the likelihood itself as it is a product of many probabilities. Indeed, each probability is in [0, 1], say typically of value about 1/2, and the product of $(1/2)^{1000000000}$ is far below machine precision. We cannot work with that directly.

However, recall that the logarithm turns products to sums, in which case

$$\log((1/2)^{100000000}) = 100000000 \cdot \log(1/2) \approx -301029995.6...$$
(19.7.7)

This number fits perfectly within even a single precision 32-bit float. Thus, we should consider the *log-likelihood*, which is

$$\log(P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})). \tag{19.7.8}$$

Since the function $x \mapsto \log(x)$ is increasing, maximizing the likelihood is the same thing as maximizing the log-likelihood. Indeed in Section 19.9 we will see this reasoning applied when working with the specific example of the naive Bayes classifier.

We often work with loss functions, where we wish to minimize the loss. We may turn maximum likelihood into the minimization of a loss by taking $-\log(P(X \mid \theta))$, which is the *negative log-likelihood*.

To illustrate this, consider the coin flipping problem from before, and pretend that we do not know the closed form solution. We may compute that

 $-\log(P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) = -\log(\theta^{n_H}(1-\theta)^{n_T}) = -(n_H \log(\theta) + n_T \log(1-\theta)).$ (19.7.9)

This can be written into code, and freely optimized even for billions of coin flips.

```
# Set up our data
n_H = 8675309
n_T = 25624
# Initialize our paramteres
theta = np.array(0.5)
theta.attach_grad()
# Perform gradient descent
lr = 0.0000000001
for iter in range(10):
    with autograd.record():
        loss = -(n_H * np.log(theta) + n_T * np.log(1 - theta))
        loss.backward()
        theta -= lr * theta.grad
# Check output
theta, n_H / (n_H + n_T)
```

[22:48:12] src/base.cc:49: GPU context requested, but no GPUs found.

```
(array(0.50172704), 0.9970550284664874)
```

Numerical convenience is not the only reason why people like to use negative log-likelihoods. There are several other reasons why it is preferable.

The second reason we consider the log-likelihood is the simplified application of calculus rules. As discussed above, due to independence assumptions, most probabilities we encounter in machine learning are products of individual probabilities.

$$P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot p(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdots p(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$
(19.7.10)

This means that if we directly apply the product rule to compute a derivative we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} P(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\right) \cdot P(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdots P(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) + P(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} P(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\right) \cdots P(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \vdots + P(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot P(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} P(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\right).$$
(19.7.11)

This requires n(n-1) multiplications, along with (n-1) additions, so it is proportional to quadratic time in the inputs! Sufficient cleverness in grouping terms will reduce this to linear time, but it requires some thought. For the negative log-likelihood we have instead

$$-\log(P(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) = -\log(P(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) - \log(P(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) \cdots - \log(P(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})),$$
(19.7.12)

which then gives

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left(P(X \mid \theta) \right) = \frac{1}{P(x_1 \mid \theta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(x_1 \mid \theta) \right) + \dots + \frac{1}{P(x_n \mid \theta)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(x_n \mid \theta) \right)$$
(19.7.13)

This requires only n divides and n-1 sums, and thus is linear time in the inputs.

The third and final reason to consider the negative log-likelihood is the relationship to information theory, which we will discuss in detail in Section 19.11. This is a rigorous mathematical theory which gives a way to measure the degree of information or randomness in a random variable. The key object of study in that field is the entropy which is

$$H(p) = -\sum_{i} p_i \log_2(p_i), \qquad (19.7.14)$$

which measures the randomness of a source. Notice that this is nothing more than the average $-\log$ probability, and thus if we take our negative log-likelihood and divide by the number of data examples, we get a relative of entropy known as cross-entropy. This theoretical interpretation alone would be sufficiently compelling to motivate reporting the average negative log-likelihood over the dataset as a way of measuring model performance.

19.7.3 Maximum Likelihood for Continuous Variables

Everything that we have done so far assumes we are working with discrete random variables, but what if we want to work with continuous ones?

The short summary is that nothing at all changes, except we replace all the instances of the probability with the probability density. Recalling that we write densities with lower case p, this means that for example we now say

$$-\log(p(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) = -\log(p(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) - \log(p(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) \cdots - \log(p(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})) = -\sum_{i} \log(p(x_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})).$$
(19.7.15)

The question becomes, "Why is this OK?" After all, the reason we introduced densities was because probabilities of getting specific outcomes themselves was zero, and thus is not the probability of generating our data for any set of parameters zero?

Indeed, this is the case, and understanding why we can shift to densities is an exercise in tracing what happens to the epsilons.

Let's first re-define our goal. Suppose that for continuous random variables we no longer want to compute the probability of getting exactly the right value, but instead matching to within some range ϵ . For simplicity, we assume our data is repeated observations x_1, \ldots, x_N of identically distributed random variables X_1, \ldots, X_N . As we have seen previously, this can be written as

$$P(X_1 \in [x_1, x_1 + \epsilon], X_2 \in [x_2, x_2 + \epsilon], \dots, X_N \in [x_N, x_N + \epsilon] \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\approx \epsilon^N p(x_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot p(x_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdots p(x_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$
(19.7.16)

Thus, if we take negative logarithms of this we obtain

$$-\log(P(X_1 \in [x_1, x_1 + \epsilon], X_2 \in [x_2, x_2 + \epsilon], \dots, X_N \in [x_N, x_N + \epsilon] \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

$$\approx -N\log(\epsilon) - \sum_i \log(p(x_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})).$$

(19.7.17)

If we examine this expression, the only place that the ϵ occurs is in the additive constant $-N \log(\epsilon)$. This does not depend on the parameters θ at all, so the optimal choice of θ does not depend on our choice of ϵ ! If we demand four digits or four-hundred, the best choice of

 θ remains the same, thus we may freely drop the epsilon to see that what we want to optimize is

$$-\sum_{i} \log(p(x_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})). \tag{19.7.18}$$

Thus, we see that the maximum likelihood point of view can operate with continuous random variables as easily as with discrete ones by replacing the probabilities with probability densities.

19.7.4 Summary

- The maximum likelihood principle tells us that the best fit model for a given dataset is the one that generates the data with the highest probability.
- Often people work with the negative log-likelihood instead for a variety of reasons: numerical stability, conversion of products to sums (and the resulting simplification of gradient computations), and theoretical ties to information theory.
- While simplest to motivate in the discrete setting, it may be freely generalized to the continuous setting as well by maximizing the probability density assigned to the datapoints.

Exercises

- 1. Suppose that you know that a non-negative random variable has density $\alpha e^{-\alpha x}$ for some value $\alpha > 0$. You obtain a single observation from the random variable which is the number 3. What is the maximum likelihood estimate for α ?
- 2. Suppose that you have a dataset of samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ drawn from a Gaussian with unknown mean, but variance 1. What is the maximum likelihood estimate for the mean?

Discussions²⁷⁵

19.8 Distributions

Now that we have learned how to work with probability in both the discrete and the continuous setting, let's get to know some of the common distributions encountered. Depending on the area of machine learning, we may need to be familiar with vastly more of these, or for some areas of deep learning potentially none at all. This is, however, a good basic list to be familiar with. Let's first import some common libraries.

%matplotlib inline from math import erf, factorial import numpy as np from IPython import display from d21 import mxnet as d21

19.8.1 Bernoulli

This is the simplest random variable usually encountered. This random variable encodes a coin flip which comes up 1 with probability p and 0 with probability 1 - p. If we have a



random variable X with this distribution, we will write

$$X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p).$$
 (19.8.1)

The cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ 1 - p & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1 & x >= 1. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.2)

The probability mass function is plotted below.

```
p = 0.3
d2l.set_figsize()
d2l.plt.stem([0, 1], [1 - p, p], use_line_collection=True)
d2l.plt.xlabel('x')
d2l.plt.ylabel('p.m.f.')
d2l.plt.show()
```



Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function (19.8.2).

```
x = np.arange(-1, 2, 0.01)
def F(x):
    return 0 if x < 0 else 1 if x > 1 else 1 - p
d2l.plot(x, np.array([F(y) for y in x]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')
```



If $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, then:

- $\mu_X = p$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = p(1-p).$

We can sample an array of arbitrary shape from a Bernoulli random variable as follows.

```
1*(np.random.rand(10, 10) < p)

array([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0],

[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1],

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1],

[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0],

[1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0],

[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1],

[0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],

[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],

[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0],

[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1]])
```

19.8.2 Discrete Uniform

The next commonly encountered random variable is a discrete uniform. For our discussion here, we will assume that it is supported on the integers $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, however any other set of values can be freely chosen. The meaning of the word *uniform* in this context is that every possible value is equally likely. The probability for each value $i \in \{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$ is $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$. We will denote a random variable X with this distribution as

$$X \sim U(n). \tag{19.8.3}$$

The cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1, \\ \frac{k}{n} & k \le x < k+1 \text{ with } 1 \le k < n, \\ 1 & x >= n. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.4)

Let's first plot the probability mass function.

n = 5

```
d2l.plt.stem([i+1 for i in range(n)], n*[1 / n], use_line_collection=True)
d2l.plt.xlabel('x')
d2l.plt.ylabel('p.m.f.')
d2l.plt.show()
```



Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function (19.8.4).

```
x = np.arange(-1, 6, 0.01)
def F(x):
    return 0 if x < 1 else 1 if x > n else np.floor(x) / n
d2l.plot(x, np.array([F(y) for y in x]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')
```



If $X \sim U(n)$, then:

- $\mu_X = \frac{1+n}{2}$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = \frac{n^2 1}{12}$.

We can sample an array of arbitrary shape from a discrete uniform random variable as follows.

np.random.randint(1, n, size=(10, 10))

array([[2,	1,	1,	4,	1,	2,	4,	3,	2,	3],
[3,	3,	1,	3,	4,	3,	3,	3,	1,	2],
[3,	1,	4,	1,	1,	3,	2,	3,	2,	3],
[4,	3,	4,	2,	1,	1,	1,	1,	4,	4],
[3,	4,	1,	3,	1,	4,	1,	3,	1,	2],
[1,	4,	2,	3,	2,	4,	4,	4,	1,	1],
[4,	4,	1,	1,	4,	1,	3,	3,	1,	1],
[2,	4,	3,	4,	4,	4,	2,	4,	2,	4],
[4,	3,	1,	2,	3,	1,	2,	2,	1,	4],
[1,	1,	4,	3,	1,	4,	1,	2,	1,	1]])

19.8.3 Continuous Uniform

Next, let's discuss the continuous uniform distribution. The idea behind this random variable is that if we increase the n in the discrete uniform distribution, and then scale it to fit within the interval [a, b], we will approach a continuous random variable that just picks an arbitrary value in [a, b] all with equal probability. We will denote this distribution as

$$X \sim U(a, b). \tag{19.8.5}$$

The probability density function is

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & x \in [a,b], \\ 0 & x \notin [a,b]. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.6)

The cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a, \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & x \in [a, b], \\ 1 & x >= b. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.7)

Let's first plot the probability density function (19.8.6).

a, b = 1, 3
x = np.arange(0, 4, 0.01)
p = (x > a)*(x < b)/(b - a)
d2l.plot(x, p, 'x', 'p.d.f.')</pre>



Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function (19.8.7).

def F(x):
 return 0 if x < a else 1 if x > b else (x - a) / (b - a)
d2l.plot(x, np.array([F(y) for y in x]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')



If $X \sim U(a, b)$, then:

- $\mu_X = \frac{a+b}{2}$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$.

We can sample an array of arbitrary shape from a uniform random variable as follows. Note that it by default samples from a U(0, 1), so if we want a different range we need to scale it.

```
(b - a) \star np.random.rand(10, 10) + a
```

(continued from previous page)

1.53571043,	2.66705666,	1.26464175,	1.93960894,	1.59396743],	
[1.16370041,	1.72476417,	2.3787568 ,	2.88499468,	1.48045391,	
1.20951598,	1.37771033,	2.44426951,	2.57982283,	1.7728963],	
[1.50032251,	1.71286811,	1.27132812,	1.56776924,	1.24504154,	
1.85629952,	1.62561002,	2.32730492,	1.75748941,	1.01078018],	
[1.89037284,	2.34300935,	1.86619384,	2.47414562,	2.62522251,	
1.97237302,	2.2825634 ,	2.94673661,	2.13399938,	2.8136595],	
[1.60351482,	2.34864109,	2.9202358 ,	2.08131339,	1.60886239,	
2.74099541,	2.64112601,	1.2738741 ,	1.1971393 ,	2.06380149],	
[2.86995351,	2.1116388 ,	1.86443654,	1.80143666,	2.49486874,	
1.28710236,	1.39309288,	2.55629962,	1.53528023,	1.77601855],	
[1.86722569,	2.60057617,	2.39148791,	2.25556989,	2.96567714,	
1.29764681,	2.19966806,	1.85780915,	1.70071634,	1.40128227]])	

19.8.4 Binomial

Let's make things a little more complex and examine the *binomial* random variable. This random variable originates from performing a sequence of n independent experiments, each of which has probability p of succeeding, and asking how many successes we expect to see.

Let's express this mathematically. Each experiment is an independent random variable X_i where we will use 1 to encode success, and 0 to encode failure. Since each is an independent coin flip which is successful with probability p, we can say that $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Then, the binomial random variable is

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i.$$
 (19.8.8)

In this case, we will write

$$X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p).$$
 (19.8.9)

To get the cumulative distribution function, we need to notice that getting exactly k successes can occur in $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ ways each of which has a probability of $p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$ of occurring. Thus the cumulative distribution function is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ \sum_{m \le k} {n \choose m} p^m (1-p)^{n-m} & k \le x < k+1 \text{ with } 0 \le k < n, \\ 1 & x >= n. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.10)

Let's first plot the probability mass function.

```
n, p = 10, 0.2
# Compute binomial coefficient
def binom(n, k):
    comb = 1
    for i in range(min(k, n - k)):
        comb = comb * (n - i) // (i + 1)
    return comb
pmf = np.array([p**i * (1-p)**(n - i) * binom(n, i) for i in range(n + 1)])
d21.plt.stem([i for i in range(n + 1)], pmf, use_line_collection=True)
d21.plt.xlabel('x')
d21.plt.ylabel('p.m.f.')
d21.plt.show()
```

Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function (19.8.10).



x = np.arange(-1, 11, 0.01)
cmf = np.cumsum(pmf)
def F(x):
 return 0 if x < 0 else 1 if x > n else cmf[int(x)]

d2l.plot(x, np.array([F(y) for y in x.tolist()]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')



If $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$, then:

- $\mu_X = np$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = np(1-p).$

This follows from the linearity of expected value over the sum of n Bernoulli random variables, and the fact that the variance of the sum of independent random variables is the sum of the variances. This can be sampled as follows.

np.random.binomial(n, p, size=(10, 10))

array([[2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 4, 1, 2, 2], [2, 0, 2, 4, 1, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1], 1, 3], [2, 1, 2, 2, 4, 3, 1, 4, [5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 0, 1, 1, 4, 0], [2, 3, 0, 0, 2, 2, 1, 0, 4, 2], [2, 1, 5, 2, 4, 3, 3, 1, 1, 3], 5, [1, 1, 3, 2, 3, 3, 1, 4, 2], [3, 1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 4, 2, 2, 2], [3, 1, 1, 2, 4, 2, 3, 0, 2, 2], [4, 2, 2, 2, 4, 2, 0, 3, 2, 3]]) Let's now perform a thought experiment. We are standing at a bus stop and we want to know how many buses will arrive in the next minute. Let's start by considering $X^{(1)} \sim$ Bernoulli(p) which is simply the probability that a bus arrives in the one minute window. For bus stops far from an urban center, this might be a pretty good approximation. We may never see more than one bus in a minute.

However, if we are in a busy area, it is possible or even likely that two buses will arrive. We can model this by splitting our random variable into two parts for the first 30 seconds, or the second 30 seconds. In this case we can write

$$X^{(2)} \sim X_1^{(2)} + X_2^{(2)}, \tag{19.8.11}$$

where $X^{(2)}$ is the total sum, and $X_i^{(2)} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p/2)$. The total distribution is then $X^{(2)} \sim \text{Binomial}(2, p/2)$.

Why stop here? Let's continue to split that minute into n parts. By the same reasoning as above, we see that

$$X^{(n)} \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p/n). \tag{19.8.12}$$

Consider these random variables. By the previous section, we know that (19.8.12) has mean $\mu_{X^{(n)}} = n(p/n) = p$, and variance $\sigma_{X^{(n)}}^2 = n(p/n)(1 - (p/n)) = p(1 - p/n)$. If we take $n \to \infty$, we can see that these numbers stabilize to $\mu_{X^{(\infty)}} = p$, and variance $\sigma_{X^{(\infty)}}^2 = p$. This indicates that there *could be* some random variable we can define in this infinite subdivision limit.

This should not come as too much of a surprise, since in the real world we can just count the number of bus arrivals, however it is nice to see that our mathematical model is well defined. This discussion can be made formal as the *law of rare events*.

Following through this reasoning carefully, we can arrive at the following model. We will say that $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ if it is a random variable which takes the values $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ with probability

$$p_k = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}.\tag{19.8.13}$$

The value $\lambda > 0$ is known as the *rate* (or the *shape* parameter), and denotes the average number of arrivals we expect in one unit of time.

We may sum this probability mass function to get the cumulative distribution function.

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} & k \le x < k+1 \text{ with } 0 \le k. \end{cases}$$
(19.8.14)

Let's first plot the probability mass function (19.8.13).

```
lam = 5.0
xs = [i for i in range(20)]
pmf = np.array([np.exp(-lam) * lam**k / factorial(k) for k in xs])
d2l.plt.stem(xs, pmf, use_line_collection=True)
d2l.plt.xlabel('x')
d2l.plt.ylabel('p.m.f.')
d2l.plt.show()
```

Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function (19.8.14).



x = np.arange(-1, 21, 0.01) cmf = np.cumsum(pmf) def F(x): return 0 if x < 0 else 1 if x > n else cmf[int(x)] d2l.plot(x, np.array([F(y) for y in x.tolist()]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')



As we saw above, the means and variances are particularly concise. If $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, then:

- $\mu_X = \lambda$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = \lambda$.

This can be sampled as follows.

np.random.poisson(lam, size=(10, 10))



Now Let's try a different, but related experiment. Let's say we again are performing n independent Bernoulli(p) measurements X_i . The distribution of the sum of these is $X^{(n)} \sim$ Binomial(n, p). Rather than taking a limit as n increases and p decreases, Let's fix p, and then send $n \to \infty$. In this case $\mu_{X^{(n)}} = np \to \infty$ and $\sigma_{X^{(n)}}^2 = np(1-p) \to \infty$, so there is no reason to think this limit should be well defined.

However, not all hope is lost! Let's just make the mean and variance be well behaved by defining

$$Y^{(n)} = \frac{X^{(n)} - \mu_{X^{(n)}}}{\sigma_{X^{(n)}}}.$$
(19.8.15)

This can be seen to have mean zero and variance one, and so it is plausible to believe that it will converge to some limiting distribution. If we plot what these distributions look like, we will become even more convinced that it will work.



One thing to note: compared to the Poisson case, we are now dividing by the standard deviation which means that we are squeezing the possible outcomes into smaller and smaller areas. This is an indication that our limit will no longer be discrete, but rather continuous.

A derivation of what occurs is beyond the scope of this document, but the *central limit the*orem states that as $n \to \infty$, this will yield the Gaussian Distribution (or sometimes normal distribution). More explicitly, for any a, b:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(Y^{(n)} \in [a, b]) = P(\mathcal{N}(0, 1) \in [a, b]),$$
(19.8.16)

where we say a random variable is normally distributed with given mean μ and variance σ^2 , written $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ if X has density

$$p_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$
(19.8.17)

Let's first plot the probability density function (19.8.17).

- mu, sigma = 0, 1
- x = np.arange(-3, 3, 0.01)

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

p = 1 / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi * sigma**2) * np.exp(-(x - mu)**2 / (2 * sigma**2))
d2l.plot(x, p, 'x', 'p.d.f.')



Now, let's plot the cumulative distribution function. It is beyond the scope of this appendix, but the Gaussian c.d.f. does not have a closed-form formula in terms of more elementary functions. We will use erf which provides a way to compute this integral numerically.

def phi(x):
 return (1.0 + erf((x - mu) / (sigma * np.sqrt(2)))) / 2.0
d2l.plot(x, np.array([phi(y) for y in x.tolist()]), 'x', 'c.d.f.')



Keen-eyed readers will recognize some of these terms. Indeed, we encountered this integral in Section 19.5. Indeed we need exactly that computation to see that this $p_X(x)$ has total area one and is thus a valid density.

Our choice of working with coin flips made computations shorter, but nothing about that choice was fundamental. Indeed, if we take any collection of independent identically distributed random variables X_i , and form

$$X^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i.$$
 (19.8.18)

Then

$$\frac{X^{(N)} - \mu_{X^{(N)}}}{\sigma_{X^{(N)}}} \tag{19.8.19}$$

will be approximately Gaussian. There are additional requirements needed to make it work, most commonly $E[X^4] < \infty$, but the philosophy is clear.

The central limit theorem is the reason why the Gaussian is fundamental to probability, statistics, and machine learning. Whenever we can say that something we measured is a sum of
many small independent contributions, we can assume that the thing being measured will be close to Gaussian.

There are many more fascinating properties of Gaussians, and we would like to discuss one more here. The Gaussian is what is known as a *maximum entropy distribution*. We will get into entropy more deeply in Section 19.11, however all we need to know at this point is that it is a measure of randomness. In a rigorous mathematical sense, we can think of the Gaussian as the *most* random choice of random variable with fixed mean and variance. Thus, if we know that our random variable has some mean and variance, the Gaussian is in a sense the most conservative choice of distribution we can make.

To close the section, let's recall that if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then:

- $\mu_X = \mu$,
- $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma^2$.

We can sample from the Gaussian (or standard normal) distribution as shown below.

```
np.random.normal(mu, sigma, size=(10, 10))
```

array([[-0.17904481,	-0.02232068,	1.56659245,	-1.11819006,	-0.91491874,	
0.33961181,	1.53171318,	-1.69280123,	-0.3340903 ,	-1.10013243],	
[-0.22001373,	0.19594697,	-0.45486987,	-0.24092627,	0.83376515,	
-0.18669933,	-0.64140459,	0.86591462,	-1.20863524,	-0.10892135],	
[0.71662241,	-0.28889767,	0.02468708,	1.59368159,	0.88011571,	
0.12180224,	-0.47414909,	0.3261727 ,	-0.5227772 ,	1.28455589],	
[-0.15801311,	0.11651902,	-0.5296033 ,	1.96000052,	0.79624544,	
1.10518769,	-0.49882961,	0.06662689,	1.24174094,	-0.56670263],	
[-1.00951951,	0.78439491,	0.65471554,	0.11195248,	-0.06137008,	
1.21839107,	-1.21904744,	0.10892313,	0.74205804,	0.58191927],	
[-0.860168 ,	-0.19608716,	-0.9882424 ,	-0.56316841,	-1.45617453,	
-0.86436861,	-0.45742979,	0.65273623,	0.67745578,	-0.66311994],	
[-1.14534592,	-1.53080205,	-0.52979212,	-0.43530838,	-1.77333056,	
0.04407722,	2.13409188,	0.82128514,	-1.90605918,	-1.70091892],	
[-0.29877228,	0.58184129,	1.34646053,	0.04238898,	0.19008813,	
-0.2875664 ,	2.04629448,	1.12192861,	-0.03239518,	0.84702895],	
[0.54880425,	1.42598861,	-0.78007647,	-0.40408914,	0.41573257,	
0.23840883,	-0.10746827,	1.07668131,	0.31158656,	0.26230097],	
[-0.68399045,	0.03637048,	-1.3073989 ,	-0.2834932 ,	0.01532457,	
0.03678922,	1.16437335,	0.1038457 ,	2.49756422,	0.51940941]])	

19.8.7 Exponential Family

One shared property for all the distributions listed above is that they all belong to which is known as the *exponential family*. The exponential family is a set of distributions whose density can be expressed in the following form:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\top} \cdot T(\boldsymbol{x}) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta})\right)$$
(19.8.20)

As this definition can be a little subtle, let's examine it closely.

First, h(x) is known as the *underlying measure* or the *base measure*. This can be viewed as an original choice of measure we are modifying with our exponential weight.

Second, we have the vector $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_l) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ called the *natural parameters* or *canonical parameters*. These define how the base measure will be modified. The natural parameters enter into the new measure by taking the dot product of these parameters against some function $T(\cdot)$ of $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and exponentiated. The vector $T(\boldsymbol{x}) = (T_1(\boldsymbol{x}), T_2(\boldsymbol{x}), ..., T_l(\boldsymbol{x}))$ is called the *sufficient statistics* for $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. This name is used since the information represented by $T(\boldsymbol{x})$ is sufficient to calculate the probability density and no other information from the sample \boldsymbol{x} 's are required.

Third, we have $A(\eta)$, which is referred to as the *cumulant function*, which ensures that the above distribution (19.8.20) integrates to one, i.e.,

$$A(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \log \left[\int h(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \exp \left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\top} \cdot T(\boldsymbol{x}) \right) d\boldsymbol{x} \right].$$
(19.8.21)

To be concrete, let's consider the Gaussian. Assuming that x is an univariate variable, we saw that it had a density of

$$p(x \mid \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu^2 + \log(\sigma)\right)\right\}.$ (19.8.22)

This matches the definition of the exponential family with:

- underlying measure: $h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$,
- *natural parameters*: $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$,
- sufficient statistics: $T(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -x^2 \end{bmatrix}$, and
- cumulant function: $A(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu^2 + \log(\sigma) = \frac{\eta_1^2}{4\eta_2} \frac{1}{2}\log(2\eta_2).$

It is worth noting that the exact choice of each of above terms is somewhat arbitrary. Indeed, the important feature is that the distribution can be expressed in this form, not the exact form itself.

As we allude to in Section 4.1.2, a widely used technique is to assume that the final output y follows an exponential family distribution. The exponential family is a common and powerful family of distributions encountered frequently in machine learning.

19.8.8 Summary

- · Bernoulli random variables can be used to model events with a yes/no outcome.
- Discrete uniform distributions model selects from a finite set of possibilities.
- Continuous uniform distributions select from an interval.
- Binomial distributions model a series of Bernoulli random variables, and count the number of successes.
- Poisson random variables model the arrival of rare events.
- Gaussian random variables model the result of adding a large number of independent random variables together.
- All the above distributions belong to exponential family.

Exercises

- 1. What is the standard deviation of a random variable that is the difference X Y of two independent binomial random variables $X, Y \sim \text{Binomial}(16, 1/2)$.
- If we take a Poisson random variable X ~ Poisson(λ) and consider (X − λ)/√λ as λ → ∞, we can show that this becomes approximately Gaussian. Why does this make sense?

3. What is the probability mass function for a sum of two discrete uniform random variables on *n* elements?

Discussions²⁷⁶

19.9 Naive Bayes

Throughout the previous sections, we learned about the theory of probability and random variables. To put this theory to work, let's introduce the *naive Bayes* classifier. This uses nothing but probabilistic fundamentals to allow us to perform classification of digits.

Learning is all about making assumptions. If we want to classify a new data example that we have never seen before we have to make some assumptions about which data examples are similar to each other. The naive Bayes classifier, a popular and remarkably clear algorithm, assumes all features are independent from each other to simplify the computation. In this section, we will apply this model to recognize characters in images.

```
%matplotlib inline
import math
from mxnet import gluon, np, npx
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
npx.set_np()
d2l.use_svg_display()
```

19.9.1 Optical Character Recognition

MNIST (LeCun *et al.*, 1998) is one of widely used datasets. It contains 60,000 images for training and 10,000 images for validation. Each image contains a handwritten digit from 0 to 9. The task is classifying each image into the corresponding digit.

Gluon provides a MNIST class in the data.vision module to automatically retrieve the dataset from the Internet. Subsequently, Gluon will use the already-downloaded local copy. We specify whether we are requesting the training set or the test set by setting the value of the parameter train to True or False, respectively. Each image is a grayscale image with both width and height of 28 with shape (28,28,1). We use a customized transformation to remove the last channel dimension. In addition, the dataset represents each pixel by an unsigned 8-bit integer. We quantize them into binary features to simplify the problem.

```
def transform(data, label):
    return np.floor(data.astype('float32') / 128).squeeze(axis=-1), label
mnist_train = gluon.data.vision.MNIST(train=True, transform=transform)
mnist_test = gluon.data.vision.MNIST(train=False, transform=transform)
```

We can access a particular example, which contains the image and the corresponding label.

```
image, label = mnist_train[2]
image.shape, label
```

((28, 28), array(4, dtype=int32))

276

Our example, stored here in the variable image, corresponds to an image with a height and width of 28 pixels.

image.shape, image.dtype

((28, 28), dtype('float32'))

Our code stores the label of each image as a scalar. Its type is a 32-bit integer.

label, type(label), label.dtype

(array(4, dtype=int32), mxnet.numpy.ndarray, dtype('int32'))

We can also access multiple examples at the same time.

images, labels = mnist_train[10:38]
images.shape, labels.shape

((28, 28, 28), (28,))

Let's visualize these examples.

d2l.show_images(images, 2, 9);



19.9.2 The Probabilistic Model for Classification

In a classification task, we map an example into a category. Here an example is a grayscale 28×28 image, and a category is a digit. (Refer to Section 4.1 for a more detailed explanation.) One natural way to express the classification task is via the probabilistic question: what is the most likely label given the features (i.e., image pixels)? Denote by $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the features of the example and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ the label. Here features are image pixels, where we can reshape a 2-dimensional image to a vector so that $d = 28^2 = 784$, and labels are digits. The probability of the label given the features is $p(y \mid \boldsymbol{x})$. If we are able to compute these probabilities, which are $p(y \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ for $y = 0, \ldots, 9$ in our example, then the classifier will output the prediction \hat{y} given by the expression:

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax} p(y \mid \boldsymbol{x}). \tag{19.9.1}$$

Unfortunately, this requires that we estimate $p(y \mid x)$ for every value of $x = x_1, ..., x_d$. Imagine that each feature could take one of 2 values. For example, the feature $x_1 = 1$ might signify that the word apple appears in a given document and $x_1 = 0$ would signify that it does not. If we had 30 such binary features, that would mean that we need to be prepared to classify any of 2^{30} (over 1 billion!) possible values of the input vector x.

Moreover, where is the learning? If we need to see every single possible example in order to

predict the corresponding label then we are not really learning a pattern but just memorizing the dataset.

19.9.3 The Naive Bayes Classifier

Fortunately, by making some assumptions about conditional independence, we can introduce some inductive bias and build a model capable of generalizing from a comparatively modest selection of training examples. To begin, let's use Bayes theorem, to express the classifier as

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y} p(y \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{y} \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x} \mid y)p(y)}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}.$$
 (19.9.2)

Note that the denominator is the normalizing term p(x) which does not depend on the value of the label y. As a result, we only need to worry about comparing the numerator across different values of y. Even if calculating the denominator turned out to be intractable, we could get away with ignoring it, so long as we could evaluate the numerator. Fortunately, even if we wanted to recover the normalizing constant, we could. We can always recover the normalization term since $\sum_{y} p(y \mid x) = 1$.

Now, let's focus on $p(x \mid y)$. Using the chain rule of probability, we can express the term $p(x \mid y)$ as

$$p(x_1 \mid y) \cdot p(x_2 \mid x_1, y) \cdot \dots \cdot p(x_d \mid x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, y).$$
(19.9.3)

By itself, this expression does not get us any further. We still must estimate roughly 2^d parameters. However, if we assume that *the features are conditionally independent of each other, given the label*, then suddenly we are in much better shape, as this term simplifies to $\prod_i p(x_i \mid y)$, giving us the predictor

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y} \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid y) p(y).$$
 (19.9.4)

If we can estimate $p(x_i = 1 | y)$ for every *i* and *y*, and save its value in $P_{xy}[i, y]$, here P_{xy} is a $d \times n$ matrix with *n* being the number of classes and $y \in \{1, ..., n\}$, then we can also use this to estimate $p(x_i = 0 | y)$, i.e.,

$$p(x_i = t_i \mid y) = \begin{cases} P_{xy}[i, y] & \text{for } t_i = 1; \\ 1 - P_{xy}[i, y] & \text{for } t_i = 0. \end{cases}$$
(19.9.5)

In addition, we estimate p(y) for every y and save it in $P_y[y]$, with P_y a n-length vector. Then, for any new example $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d)$, we could compute

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y} p(y) \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_{t} = t_{i} \mid y)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{y} P_{y}[y] \prod_{i=1}^{d} P_{xy}[i, y]^{t_{i}} (1 - P_{xy}[i, y])^{1 - t_{i}}$$
(19.9.6)

for any y. So our assumption of conditional independence has taken the complexity of our model from an exponential dependence on the number of features $\mathcal{O}(2^d n)$ to a linear dependence, which is $\mathcal{O}(dn)$.

19.9.4 Training

The problem now is that we do not know P_{xy} and P_y . So we need to estimate their values given some training data first. This is *training* the model. Estimating P_y is not too hard. Since we are only dealing with 10 classes, we may count the number of occurrences n_y for

each of the digits and divide it by the total amount of data n. For instance, if digit 8 occurs $n_8 = 5,800$ times and we have a total of n = 60,000 images, the probability estimate is p(y = 8) = 0.0967.

```
X, Y = mnist_train[:] # All training examples
n_y = np.zeros((10))
for y in range(10):
    n_y[y] = (Y == y).sum()
P_y = n_y / n_y.sum()
P_y
```

```
array([0.09871667, 0.11236667, 0.0993 , 0.10218333, 0.09736667,
0.09035 , 0.09863333, 0.10441667, 0.09751666, 0.09915 ])
```

Now on to slightly more difficult things P_{xy} . Since we picked black and white images, $p(x_i \mid y)$ denotes the probability that pixel *i* is switched on for class *y*. Just like before we can go and count the number of times n_{iy} such that an event occurs and divide it by the total number of occurrences of *y*, i.e., n_y . But there is something slightly troubling: certain pixels may never be black (e.g., for well cropped images the corner pixels might always be white). A convenient way for statisticians to deal with this problem is to add pseudo counts to all occurrences. Hence, rather than n_{iy} we use $n_{iy} + 1$ and instead of n_y we use $n_y + 2$ (since there are two possible values pixel *i* can take - it can either be black or white). This is also called *Laplace Smoothing*. It may seem ad-hoc, however it can be motivated from a Bayesian point-of-view by a Beta-binomial model.

```
n_x = np.zeros((10, 28, 28))
for y in range(10):
    n_x[y] = np.array(X.asnumpy()[Y.asnumpy() == y].sum(axis=0))
P_xy = (n_x + 1) / (n_y + 2).reshape(10, 1, 1)
```

```
d2l.show_images(P_xy, 2, 5);
```



By visualizing these $10 \times 28 \times 28$ probabilities (for each pixel for each class) we could get some mean looking digits.

Now we can use (19.9.6) to predict a new image. Given x, the following functions computes $p(x \mid y)p(y)$ for every y.

```
def bayes_pred(x):
    x = np.expand_dims(x, axis=0) # (28, 28) -> (1, 28, 28)
    p_xy = P_xy * x + (1 - P_xy)*(1 - x)
    p_xy = p_xy.reshape(10, -1).prod(axis=1) # p(x|y)
    return np.array(p_xy) * P_y
image, label = mnist_test[0]
bayes_pred(image)
```

array([0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.])

This went horribly wrong! To find out why, let's look at the per pixel probabilities. They are typically numbers between 0.001 and 1. We are multiplying 784 of them. At this point it is worth mentioning that we are calculating these numbers on a computer, hence with a fixed range for the exponent. What happens is that we experience *numerical underflow*, i.e., multiplying all the small numbers leads to something even smaller until it is rounded down to zero. We discussed this as a theoretical issue in Section 19.7, but we see the phenomena clearly here in practice.

As discussed in that section, we fix this by use the fact that $\log ab = \log a + \log b$, i.e., we switch to summing logarithms. Even if both a and b are small numbers, the logarithm values should be in a proper range.

```
a = 0.1
print('underflow:', a**784)
print('logarithm is normal:', 784*math.log(a))
```

```
underflow: 0.0
logarithm is normal: -1805.2267129073316
```

Since the logarithm is an increasing function, we can rewrite (19.9.6) as

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_{y} \log P_{y}[y] + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left[t_{i} \log P_{xy}[x_{i}, y] + (1 - t_{i}) \log(1 - P_{xy}[x_{i}, y]) \right].$$
(19.9.7)

We can implement the following stable version:

```
log_P_xy = np.log(P_xy)
log_P_xy_neg = np.log(1 - P_xy)
log_P_y = np.log(P_y)
def bayes_pred_stable(x):
    x = np.expand_dims(x, axis=0)  # (28, 28) -> (1, 28, 28)
    p_xy = log_P_xy * x + log_P_xy_neg * (1 - x)
    p_xy = p_xy.reshape(10, -1).sum(axis=1)  # p(x|y)
    return p_xy + log_P_y
py = bayes_pred_stable(image)
py
```

```
array([-268.97253, -301.7044 , -245.19514, -218.87384, -193.45703,
-206.09088, -292.52264, -114.62566, -220.33133, -163.17842])
```

We may now check if the prediction is correct.

```
# Convert label which is a scalar tensor of int32 dtype to a Python scalar
# integer for comparison
py.argmax(axis=0) == int(label)
```

array(True)

If we now predict a few validation examples, we can see the Bayes classifier works pretty well.

Finally, let's compute the overall accuracy of the classifier.

```
X, y = mnist_test[:]
preds = np.array(predict(X), dtype=np.int32)
float((preds == y).sum()) / len(y) # Validation accuracy
```

0.8427

Modern deep networks achieve error rates of less than 0.01. The relatively poor performance is due to the incorrect statistical assumptions that we made in our model: we assumed that each and every pixel are *independently* generated, depending only on the label. This is clearly not how humans write digits, and this wrong assumption led to the downfall of our overly naive (Bayes) classifier.

19.9.5 Summary

- Using Bayes' rule, a classifier can be made by assuming all observed features are independent.
- This classifier can be trained on a dataset by counting the number of occurrences of combinations of labels and pixel values.
- This classifier was the gold standard for decades for tasks such as spam detection.

Exercises

- 1. Consider the dataset [[0,0], [0,1], [1,0], [1,1]] with labels given by the XOR of the two elements [0,1,1,0]. What are the probabilities for a Naive Bayes classifier built on this dataset. Does it successfully classify our points? If not, what assumptions are violated?
- 2. Suppose that we did not use Laplace smoothing when estimating probabilities and a data example arrived at testing time which contained a value never observed in training. What would the model output?
- 3. The naive Bayes classifier is a specific example of a Bayesian network, where the dependence of random variables are encoded with a graph structure. While the full theory is beyond the scope of this section (see Koller and Friedman (2009) for full details), explain why allowing explicit dependence between the two input variables in the XOR model allows for the creation of a successful classifier.

19.10 Statistics

Undoubtedly, to be a top deep learning practitioner, the ability to train the state-of-the-art and high accurate models is crucial. However, it is often unclear when improvements are significant, or only the result of random fluctuations in the training process. To be able to discuss uncertainty in estimated values, we must learn some statistics.

The earliest reference of *statistics* can be traced back to an Arab scholar Al-Kindi in the 9th-century, who gave a detailed description of how to use statistics and frequency analysis to decipher encrypted messages. After 800 years, the modern statistics arose from Germany in 1700s, when the researchers focused on the demographic and economic data collection and analysis. Today, statistics is the science subject that concerns the collection, processing, analysis, interpretation and visualization of data. What is more, the core theory of statistics has been widely used in the research within academia, industry, and government.

More specifically, statistics can be divided to *descriptive statistics* and *statistical inference*. The former focus on summarizing and illustrating the features of a collection of observed data, which is referred to as a *sample*. The sample is drawn from a *population*, denotes the total set of similar individuals, items, or events of our experiment interests. Contrary to descriptive statistics, *statistical inference* further deduces the characteristics of a population from the given *samples*, based on the assumptions that the sample distribution can replicate the population distribution at some degree.

You may wonder: "What is the essential difference between machine learning and statistics?" Fundamentally speaking, statistics focuses on the inference problem. This type of problems includes modeling the relationship between the variables, such as causal inference, and testing the statistically significance of model parameters, such as A/B testing. In contrast, machine learning emphasizes on making accurate predictions, without explicitly programming and understanding each parameter's functionality.

In this section, we will introduce three types of statistics inference methods: evaluating and comparing estimators, conducting hypothesis tests, and constructing confidence intervals. These methods can help us infer the characteristics of a given population, i.e., the true parameter θ . For brevity, we assume that the true parameter θ of a given population is a scalar value. It is straightforward to extend to the case where θ is a vector or a tensor, thus we omit it in our discussion.

19.10.1 Evaluating and Comparing Estimators

In statistics, an *estimator* is a function of given samples used to estimate the true parameter θ . We will write $\hat{\theta}_n = \hat{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for the estimate of θ after observing the samples $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

We have seen simple examples of estimators before in section Section 19.7. If you have a number of samples from a Bernoulli random variable, then the maximum likelihood estimate for the probability the random variable is one can be obtained by counting the number of ones observed and dividing by the total number of samples. Similarly, an exercise asked you to show that the maximum likelihood estimate of the mean of a Gaussian given a number of samples is given by the average value of all the samples. These estimators will almost never give the true value of the parameter, but ideally for a large number of samples the estimate will be close.

As an example, we show below the true density of a Gaussian random variable with mean zero

and variance one, along with a collection samples from that Gaussian. We constructed the y coordinate so every point is visible and the relationship to the original density is clearer.

```
import random
from mxnet import np, npx
from d21 import mxnet as d21
npx.set_np()
# Sample datapoints and create y coordinate
epsilon = 0.1
random.seed(8675309)
xs = np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=1, size=(300,))
ys = [np.sum(np.exp(-(xs[:i] - xs[i])**2 / (2 * epsilon**2))
             / np.sqrt(2*np.pi*epsilon**2)) / len(xs) for i in range(len(xs))]
# Compute true density
xd = np.arange(np.min(xs), np.max(xs), 0.01)
yd = np.exp(-xd**2/2) / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi)
# Plot the results
d2l.plot(xd, yd, 'x', 'density')
d2l.plt.scatter(xs, ys)
d21.plt.axvline(x=0)
d2l.plt.axvline(x=np.mean(xs), linestyle='--', color='purple')
d2l.plt.title(f'sample mean: {float(np.mean(xs)):.2f}')
d21.plt.show()
```



There can be many ways to compute an estimator of a parameter $\hat{\theta}_n$. In this section, we introduce three common methods to evaluate and compare estimators: the mean squared error, the standard deviation, and statistical bias.

Mean Squared Error

Perhaps the simplest metric used to evaluate estimators is the *mean squared error (MSE)* (or l_2 loss) estimator which can be defined as

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_n, \theta) = E[(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2].$$
(19.10.1)

This allows us to quantify the average squared deviation from the true value. MSE is always non-negative. If you have read Section 3.1, you will recognize it as the most commonly used regression loss function. As a measure to evaluate an estimator, the closer its value to zero, the closer the estimator is close to the true parameter θ .

Statistical Bias

The MSE provides a natural metric, but we can easily imagine multiple different phenomena that might make it large. Two fundamentally important are fluctuation in the estimator due to randomness in the dataset, and systematic error in the estimator due to the estimation procedure.

First, let's measure the systematic error. For an estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$, the mathematical illustration of *statistical bias* can be defined as

$$\operatorname{bias}(\hat{\theta}_n) = E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) = E(\hat{\theta}_n) - \theta.$$
(19.10.2)

Note that when $bias(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$, the expectation of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is equal to the true value of parameter. In this case, we say $\hat{\theta}_n$ is an unbiased estimator. In general, an unbiased estimator is better than a biased estimator since its expectation is the same as the true parameter.

It is worth being aware, however, that biased estimators are frequently used in practice. There are cases where unbiased estimators do not exist without further assumptions, or are intractable to compute. This may seem like a significant flaw in an estimator, however the majority of estimators encountered in practice are at least asymptotically unbiased in the sense that the bias tends to zero as the number of available samples tends to infinity: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{bias}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0.$

Variance and Standard Deviation

Second, let's measure the randomness in the estimator. Recall from Section 19.6, the *standard deviation* (or *standard error*) is defined as the squared root of the variance. We may measure the degree of fluctuation of an estimator by measuring the standard deviation or variance of that estimator.

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}_n} = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n)} = \sqrt{E[(\hat{\theta}_n - E(\hat{\theta}_n))^2]}.$$
(19.10.3)

It is important to compare (19.10.3) to (19.10.1). In this equation we do not compare to the true population value θ , but instead to $E(\hat{\theta}_n)$, the expected sample mean. Thus we are not measuring how far the estimator tends to be from the true value, but instead we measuring the fluctuation of the estimator itself.

The Bias-Variance Trade-off

It is intuitively clear that these two main components contribute to the mean squared error. What is somewhat shocking is that we can show that this is actually a *decomposition* of the mean squared error into these two contributions plus a third one. That is to say that we can write the mean squared error as the sum of the square of the bias, the variance and the irreducible error.

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_n, \theta) = E[(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2]$$

$$= E[(\hat{\theta}_n)^2] + E[\theta^2] - 2E[\hat{\theta}_n \theta]$$

$$= Var[\hat{\theta}_n] + E[\hat{\theta}_n]^2 + Var[\theta] + E[\theta]^2 - 2E[\hat{\theta}_n]E[\theta]$$

$$= (E[\hat{\theta}_n] - E[\theta])^2 + Var[\hat{\theta}_n] + Var[\theta]$$

$$= (E[\hat{\theta}_n - \theta])^2 + Var[\hat{\theta}_n] + Var[\theta]$$

$$= (bias[\hat{\theta}_n])^2 + Var(\hat{\theta}_n) + Var[\theta].$$
(19.10.4)

We refer the above formula as *bias-variance trade-off*. The mean squared error can be divided into three sources of error: the error from high bias, the error from high variance and the irreducible error. The bias error is commonly seen in a simple model (such as a linear

regression model), which cannot extract high dimensional relations between the features and the outputs. If a model suffers from high bias error, we often say it is *underfitting* or lack of *flexibilty* as introduced in (Section 3.6). The high variance usually results from a too complex model, which overfits the training data. As a result, an *overfitting* model is sensitive to small fluctuations in the data. If a model suffers from high variance, we often say it is *overfitting* and lack of *generalization* as introduced in (Section 3.6). The irreducible error is the result from noise in the θ itself.

Evaluating Estimators in Code

Since the standard deviation of an estimator has been implementing by simply calling a. std() for a tensor a, we will skip it but implement the statistical bias and the mean squared error.

```
# Statistical bias
def stat_bias(true_theta, est_theta):
    return(np.mean(est_theta) - true_theta)
# Mean squared error
def mse(data, true_theta):
    return(np.mean(np.square(data - true_theta)))
```

To illustrate the equation of the bias-variance trade-off, let's simulate of normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ with 10,000 samples. Here, we use a $\theta = 1$ and $\sigma = 4$. As the estimator is a function of the given samples, here we use the mean of the samples as an estimator for true θ in this normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$.

```
theta_true = 1
sigma = 4
sample_len = 10000
samples = np.random.normal(theta_true, sigma, sample_len)
theta_est = np.mean(samples)
theta est
```

array(0.9503336)

Let's validate the trade-off equation by calculating the summation of the squared bias and the variance of our estimator. First, calculate the MSE of our estimator.

```
mse(samples, theta_true)
```

array(15.781996)

Next, we calculate $Var(\hat{\theta}_n) + [bias(\hat{\theta}_n)]^2$ as below. As you can see, the two values agree to numerical precision.

```
bias = stat_bias(theta_true, theta_est)
np.square(samples.std()) + np.square(bias)
```

array(15.781995)

19.10.2 Conducting Hypothesis Tests

The most commonly encountered topic in statistical inference is hypothesis testing. While hypothesis testing was popularized in the early 20th century, the first use can be traced back to John Arbuthnot in the 1700s. John tracked 80-year birth records in London and concluded that more men were born than women each year. Following that, the modern significance testing is the intelligence heritage by Karl Pearson who invented *p*-value and Pearson's chi-squared test, William Gosset who is the father of Student's t-distribution, and Ronald Fisher who initialed the null hypothesis and the significance test.

A hypothesis test is a way of evaluating some evidence against the default statement about a population. We refer the default statement as the *null hypothesis* H_0 , which we try to reject using the observed data. Here, we use H_0 as a starting point for the statistical significance testing. The *alternative hypothesis* H_A (or H_1) is a statement that is contrary to the null hypothesis. A null hypothesis is often stated in a declarative form which posits a relationship between variables. It should reflect the brief as explicit as possible, and be testable by statistics theory.

Imagine you are a chemist. After spending thousands of hours in the lab, you develop a new medicine which can dramatically improve one's ability to understand math. To show its magic power, you need to test it. Naturally, you may need some volunteers to take the medicine and see whether it can help them learn mathematics better. How do you get started?

First, you will need carefully random selected two groups of volunteers, so that there is no difference between their mathematical understanding ability measured by some metrics. The two groups are commonly referred to as the test group and the control group. The *test group* (or *treatment group*) is a group of individuals who will experience the medicine, while the *control group* represents the group of users who are set aside as a benchmark, i.e., identical environment setups except taking this medicine. In this way, the influence of all the variables are minimized, except the impact of the independent variable in the treatment.

Second, after a period of taking the medicine, you will need to measure the two groups' mathematical understanding by the same metrics, such as letting the volunteers do the same tests after learning a new mathematical formula. Then, you can collect their performance and compare the results. In this case, our null hypothesis will be that there is no difference between the two groups, and our alternate will be that there is.

This is still not fully formal. There are many details you have to think of carefully. For example, what is the suitable metrics to test their mathematical understanding ability? How many volunteers for your test so you can be confident to claim the effectiveness of your medicine? How long should you run the test? How do you decide if there is a difference between the two groups? Do you care about the average performance only, or also the range of variation of the scores? And so on.

In this way, hypothesis testing provides a framework for experimental design and reasoning about certainty in observed results. If we can now show that the null hypothesis is very unlikely to be true, we may reject it with confidence.

To complete the story of how to work with hypothesis testing, we need to now introduce some additional terminology and make some of our concepts above formal.

Statistical Significance

The *statistical significance* measures the probability of erroneously rejecting the null hypothesis, H_0 , when it should not be rejected, i.e.,

statistical significance
$$= 1 - \alpha = 1 - P$$
(reject $H_0 \mid H_0$ is true). (19.10.5)

It is also referred to as the *type I error* or *false positive*. The α , is called as the *significance level* and its commonly used value is 5%, i.e., $1 - \alpha = 95\%$. The significance level can be explained as the level of risk that we are willing to take, when we reject a true null hypothesis.

Fig.19.10.1 shows the observations' values and probability of a given normal distribution in a two-sample hypothesis test. If the observation data example is located outsides the 95% threshold, it will be a very unlikely observation under the null hypothesis assumption. Hence, there might be something wrong with the null hypothesis and we will reject it.



Figure 19.10.1 Statistical significance.

Statistical Power

The *statistical power* (or *sensitivity*) measures the probability of reject the null hypothesis, H_0 , when it should be rejected, i.e.,

statistical power
$$= 1 - \beta = 1 - P($$
 fail to reject $H_0 \mid H_0$ is false). (19.10.6)

Recall that a *type I error* is error caused by rejecting the null hypothesis when it is true, whereas a *type II error* is resulted from failing to reject the null hypothesis when it is false. A type II error is usually denoted as β , and hence the corresponding statistical power is $1 - \beta$.

Intuitively, statistical power can be interpreted as how likely our test will detect a real discrepancy of some minimum magnitude at a desired statistical significance level. 80% is a commonly used statistical power threshold. The higher the statistical power, the more likely we are to detect true differences.

One of the most common uses of statistical power is in determining the number of samples needed. The probability you reject the null hypothesis when it is false depends on the degree to which it is false (known as the *effect size*) and the number of samples you have. As you might expect, small effect sizes will require a very large number of samples to be detectable with high probability. While beyond the scope of this brief appendix to derive in detail, as an example, want to be able to reject a null hypothesis that our sample came from a mean zero variance one Gaussian, and we believe that our sample's mean is actually close to one, we can do so with acceptable error rates with a sample size of only 8. However, if we think our sample population true mean is close to 0.01, then we'd need a sample size of nearly 80000 to detect the difference.

We can imagine the power as a water filter. In this analogy, a high power hypothesis test is like a high quality water filtration system that will reduce harmful substances in the water as much as possible. On the other hand, a smaller discrepancy is like a low quality water filter, where some relative small substances may easily escape from the gaps. Similarly, if the statistical power is not of enough high power, then the test may not catch the smaller discrepancy.

Test Statistic

A *test statistic* T(x) is a scalar which summarizes some characteristic of the sample data. The goal of defining such a statistic is that it should allow us to distinguish between different distributions and conduct our hypothesis test. Thinking back to our chemist example, if we wish to show that one population performs better than the other, it could be reasonable to take the mean as the test statistic. Different choices of test statistic can lead to statistical test with drastically different statistical power.

Often, T(X) (the distribution of the test statistic under our null hypothesis) will follow, at least approximately, a common probability distribution such as a normal distribution when considered under the null hypothesis. If we can derive explicitly such a distribution, and then measure our test statistic on our dataset, we can safely reject the null hypothesis if our statistic is far outside the range that we would expect. Making this quantitative leads us to the notion of *p*-values.

p-value

The *p*-value (or the *probability value*) is the probability that T(X) is at least as extreme as the observed test statistic T(x) assuming that the null hypothesis is *true*, i.e.,

$$p$$
-value = $P_{H_0}(T(X) \ge T(x)).$ (19.10.7)

If the *p*-value is smaller than or equal to a predefined and fixed statistical significance level α , we may reject the null hypothesis. Otherwise, we will conclude that we are lack of evidence to reject the null hypothesis. For a given population distribution, the *region of rejection* will be the interval contained of all the points which has a *p*-value smaller than the statistical significance level α .

One-side Test and Two-sided Test

Normally there are two kinds of significance test: the one-sided test and the two-sided test. The *one-sided test* (or *one-tailed test*) is applicable when the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis only have one direction. For example, the null hypothesis may state that the true parameter θ is less than or equal to a value *c*. The alternative hypothesis would be that θ is greater than *c*. That is, the region of rejection is on only one side of the sampling distribution. Contrary to the one-sided test, the *two-sided test* (or *two-tailed test*) is applicable when the region of rejection is on both sides of the sampling distribution. An example in this case may have a null hypothesis state that the true parameter θ is equal to a value *c*. The alternative hypothesis would be that θ is not equal to *c*.

General Steps of Hypothesis Testing

After getting familiar with the above concepts, let's go through the general steps of hypothesis testing.

- 1. State the question and establish a null hypotheses H_0 .
- 2. Set the statistical significance level α and a statistical power (1β) .
- 3. Obtain samples through experiments. The number of samples needed will depend on the statistical power, and the expected effect size.
- 4. Calculate the test statistic and the *p*-value.

5. Make the decision to keep or reject the null hypothesis based on the *p*-value and the statistical significance level α .

To conduct a hypothesis test, we start by defining a null hypothesis and a level of risk that we are willing to take. Then we calculate the test statistic of the sample, taking an extreme value of the test statistic as evidence against the null hypothesis. If the test statistic falls within the reject region, we may reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative.

Hypothesis testing is applicable in a variety of scenarios such as the clinical trails and A/B testing.

19.10.3 Constructing Confidence Intervals

When estimating the value of a parameter θ , point estimators like $\hat{\theta}$ are of limited utility since they contain no notion of uncertainty. Rather, it would be far better if we could produce an interval that would contain the true parameter θ with high probability. If you were interested in such ideas a century ago, then you would have been excited to read "Outline of a Theory of Statistical Estimation Based on the Classical Theory of Probability" by Jerzy Neyman (Neyman, 1937), who first introduced the concept of confidence interval in 1937.

To be useful, a confidence interval should be as small as possible for a given degree of certainty. Let's see how to derive it.

Definition

Mathematically, a *confidence interval* for the true parameter θ is an interval C_n that computed from the sample data such that

$$P_{\theta}(C_n \ni \theta) \ge 1 - \alpha, \forall \theta. \tag{19.10.8}$$

Here $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $1 - \alpha$ is called the *confidence level* or *coverage* of the interval. This is the same α as the significance level as we discussed about above.

Note that (19.10.8) is about variable C_n , not about the fixed θ . To emphasize this, we write $P_{\theta}(C_n \ni \theta)$ rather than $P_{\theta}(\theta \in C_n)$.

Interpretation

It is very tempting to interpret a 95% confidence interval as an interval where you can be 95% sure the true parameter lies, however this is sadly not true. The true parameter is fixed, and it is the interval that is random. Thus a better interpretation would be to say that if you generated a large number of confidence intervals by this procedure, 95% of the generated intervals would contain the true parameter.

This may seem pedantic, but it can have real implications for the interpretation of the results. In particular, we may satisfy (19.10.8) by constructing intervals that we are *almost certain* do not contain the true value, as long as we only do so rarely enough. We close this section by providing three tempting but false statements. An in-depth discussion of these points can be found in Morey *et al.* (2016).

- Fallacy 1. Narrow confidence intervals mean we can estimate the parameter precisely.
- Fallacy 2. The values inside the confidence interval are more likely to be the true value than those outside the interval.
- Fallacy 3. The probability that a particular observed 95% confidence interval contains the true value is 95%.

Sufficed to say, confidence intervals are subtle objects. However, if you keep the interpretation clear, they can be powerful tools.

A Gaussian Example

Let's discuss the most classical example, the confidence interval for the mean of a Gaussian of unknown mean and variance. Suppose we collect n samples $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from our Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We can compute estimators for the mean and variance by taking

$$\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ and } \hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2.$$
 (19.10.9)

If we now consider the random variable

$$T = \frac{\hat{\mu}_n - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}_n / \sqrt{n}},$$
(19.10.10)

we obtain a random variable following a well-known distribution called the *Student's t-distribution* on n - 1 degrees of freedom.

This distribution is very well studied, and it is known, for instance, that as $n \to \infty$, it is approximately a standard Gaussian, and thus by looking up values of the Gaussian c.d.f. in a table, we may conclude that the value of T is in the interval [-1.96, 1.96] at least 95% of the time. For finite values of n, the interval needs to be somewhat larger, but are well known and precomputed in tables.

Thus, we may conclude that for large n,

$$P\left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_n - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}_n / \sqrt{n}} \in [-1.96, 1.96]\right) \ge 0.95.$$
(19.10.11)

Rearranging this by multiplying both sides by $\hat{\sigma}_n/\sqrt{n}$ and then adding $\hat{\mu}_n$, we obtain

$$P\left(\mu \in \left[\hat{\mu}_n - 1.96\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}}, \hat{\mu}_n + 1.96\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right]\right) \ge 0.95.$$
(19.10.12)

Thus we know that we have found our 95% confidence interval:

$$\left[\hat{\mu}_n - 1.96\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}}, \hat{\mu}_n + 1.96\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right].$$
 (19.10.13)

It is safe to say that (19.10.13) is one of the most used formula in statistics. Let's close our discussion of statistics by implementing it. For simplicity, we assume we are in the asymptotic regime. Small values of N should include the correct value of t_star obtained either programmatically or from a t-table.

```
# Number of samples
N = 1000
# Sample dataset
samples = np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=1, size=(N,))
# Lookup Students's t-distribution c.d.f.
t_star = 1.96
# Construct interval
mu_hat = np.mean(samples)
sigma_hat = samples.std(ddof=1)
(mu_hat - t_star*sigma_hat/np.sqrt(N), mu_hat + t_star*sigma_hat/np.sqrt(N))
```

19.10.4 Summary

- Statistics focuses on inference problems, whereas deep learning emphasizes on making accurate predictions without explicitly programming and understanding.
- There are three common statistics inference methods: evaluating and comparing estimators, conducting hypothesis tests, and constructing confidence intervals.
- There are three most common estimators: statistical bias, standard deviation, and mean square error.
- A confidence interval is an estimated range of a true population parameter that we can construct by given the samples.
- Hypothesis testing is a way of evaluating some evidence against the default statement about a population.

Exercises

1. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$, where "iid" stands for *independent and identically distributed*. Consider the following estimators of θ :

$$\hat{\theta} = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\};$$
 (19.10.14)

$$\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{X_n} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i.$$
 (19.10.15)

- Find the statistical bias, standard deviation, and mean square error of $\hat{\theta}$.
- Find the statistical bias, standard deviation, and mean square error of $\hat{\theta}$.
- Which estimator is better?
- 2. For our chemist example in introduction, can you derive the 5 steps to conduct a two-sided hypothesis testing? Given the statistical significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ and the statistical power $1 \beta = 0.8$.
- 3. Run the confidence interval code with N = 2 and $\alpha = 0.5$ for 100 independently generated dataset, and plot the resulting intervals (in this case t_star = 1.0). You will see several very short intervals which are very far from containing the true mean 0. Does this contradict the interpretation of the confidence interval? Do you feel comfortable using short intervals to indicate high precision estimates?

Discussions²⁷⁸



19.11 Information Theory

The universe is overflowing with information. Information provides a common language across disciplinary rifts: from Shakespeare's Sonnet to researchers' paper on Cornell ArXiv, from Van Gogh's printing Starry Night to Beethoven's music Symphony No. 5, from the first programming language Plankalkül to the state-of-the-art machine learning algorithms. Everything must follow the rules of information theory, no matter the format. With information theory, we can measure and compare how much information is present in different signals. In this section, we will investigate the fundamental concepts of information theory and applications of information theory in machine learning.

Before we get started, let's outline the relationship between machine learning and information theory. Machine learning aims to extract interesting signals from data and make critical predictions. On the other hand, information theory studies encoding, decoding, transmitting, and manipulating information. As a result, information theory provides fundamental language for discussing the information processing in machine learned systems. For example, many machine learning applications use the cross-entropy loss as described in Section 4.1. This loss can be directly derived from information theoretic considerations.

19.11.1 Information

Let's start with the "soul" of information theory: information. *Information* can be encoded in anything with a particular sequence of one or more encoding formats. Suppose that we task ourselves with trying to define a notion of information. What could be our starting point?

Consider the following thought experiment. We have a friend with a deck of cards. They will shuffle the deck, flip over some cards, and tell us statements about the cards. We will try to assess the information content of each statement.

First, they flip over a card and tell us, "I see a card." This provides us with no information at all. We were already certain that this was the case so we hope the information should be zero.

Next, they flip over a card and say, "I see a heart." This provides us some information, but in reality there are only 4 different suits that were possible, each equally likely, so we are not surprised by this outcome. We hope that whatever the measure of information, this event should have low information content.

Next, they flip over a card and say, "This is the 3 of spades." This is more information. Indeed there were 52 equally likely possible outcomes, and our friend told us which one it was. This should be a medium amount of information.

Let's take this to the logical extreme. Suppose that finally they flip over every card from the deck and read off the entire sequence of the shuffled deck. There are 52! different orders to the deck, again all equally likely, so we need a lot of information to know which one it is.

Any notion of information we develop must conform to this intuition. Indeed, in the next sections we will learn how to compute that these events have 0 bits, 2 bits, 5.7 bits, and 225.6 bits of information respectively.

If we read through these thought experiments, we see a natural idea. As a starting point, rather than caring about the knowledge, we may build off the idea that information represents the degree of surprise or the abstract possibility of the event. For example, if we want to describe an unusual event, we need a lot information. For a common event, we may not need much information.

In 1948, Claude E. Shannon published *A Mathematical Theory of Communication* (Shannon, 1948) establishing the theory of information. In his article, Shannon introduced the concept of information entropy for the first time. We will begin our journey here.

Self-information

Since information embodies the abstract possibility of an event, how do we map the possibility to the number of bits? Shannon introduced the terminology *bit* as the unit of information, which was originally created by John Tukey. So what is a "bit" and why do we use it to measure information? Historically, an antique transmitter can only send or receive two types of code:

0 and 1. Indeed, binary encoding is still in common use on all modern digital computers. In this way, any information is encoded by a series of 0 and 1. And hence, a series of binary digits of length n contains n bits of information.

Now, suppose that for any series of codes, each 0 or 1 occurs with a probability of $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, an event X with a series of codes of length n, occurs with a probability of $\frac{1}{2^n}$. At the same time, as we mentioned before, this series contains n bits of information. So, can we generalize to a mathematical function which can transfer the probability p to the number of bits? Shannon gave the answer by defining *self-information*

$$I(X) = -\log_2(p), \tag{19.11.1}$$

as the *bits* of information we have received for this event X. Note that we will always use base-2 logarithms in this section. For the sake of simplicity, the rest of this section will omit the subscript 2 in the logarithm notation, i.e., log(.) always refers to $log_2(.)$. For example, the code "0010" has a self-information

$$I("0010") = -\log(p("0010")) = -\log\left(\frac{1}{2^4}\right) = 4 \text{ bits.}$$
(19.11.2)

We can calculate self information as shown below. Before that, let's first import all the necessary packages in this section.

```
import random
from mxnet import np
from mxnet.metric import NegativeLogLikelihood
from mxnet.ndarray import nansum

def self_information(p):
    return -np.log2(p)
self_information(1 / 64)
```

```
6.0
```

19.11.2 Entropy

As self-information only measures the information of a single discrete event, we need a more generalized measure for any random variable of either discrete or continuous distribution.

Motivating Entropy

Let's try to get specific about what we want. This will be an informal statement of what are known as the *axioms of Shannon entropy*. It will turn out that the following collection of common-sense statements force us to a unique definition of information. A formal version of these axioms, along with several others may be found in Csiszár (2008).

- 1. The information we gain by observing a random variable does not depend on what we call the elements, or the presence of additional elements which have probability zero.
- 2. The information we gain by observing two random variables is no more than the sum of the information we gain by observing them separately. If they are independent, then it is exactly the sum.
- 3. The information gained when observing (nearly) certain events is (nearly) zero.

While proving this fact is beyond the scope of our text, it is important to know that this uniquely determines the form that entropy must take. The only ambiguity that these allow is in the choice of fundamental units, which is most often normalized by making the choice we saw before that the information provided by a single fair coin flip is one bit.

Definition

For any random variable X that follows a probability distribution P with a probability density function (p.d.f.) or a probability mass function (p.m.f.) p(x), we measure the expected amount of information through *entropy* (or *Shannon entropy*)

$$H(X) = -E_{x \sim P}[\log p(x)].$$
(19.11.3)

To be specific, if X is discrete,

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i} p_i \log p_i$$
, where $p_i = P(X_i)$. (19.11.4)

Otherwise, if X is continuous, we also refer entropy as *differential entropy*

$$H(X) = -\int_{x} p(x) \log p(x) \, dx. \tag{19.11.5}$$

We can define entropy as below.

```
def entropy(p):
    entropy = - p * np.log2(p)
    # Operator `nansum` will sum up the non-nan number
    out = nansum(entropy.as_nd_ndarray())
    return out
entropy(np.array([0.1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.3]))
```

[1.6854753] <NDArray 1 @cpu(0)>

Interpretations

You may be curious: in the entropy definition (19.11.3), why do we use an expectation of a negative logarithm? Here are some intuitions.

First, why do we use a *logarithm* function log? Suppose that $p(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x) \dots, f_n(x)$, where each component function $f_i(x)$ is independent from each other. This means that each $f_i(x)$ contributes independently to the total information obtained from p(x). As discussed above, we want the entropy formula to be additive over independent random variables. Luckily, log can naturally turn a product of probability distributions to a summation of the individual terms.

Next, why do we use a *negative* log? Intuitively, more frequent events should contain less information than less common events, since we often gain more information from an unusual case than from an ordinary one. However, log is monotonically increasing with the probabilities, and indeed negative for all values in [0, 1]. We need to construct a monotonically decreasing relationship between the probability of events and their entropy, which will ideally be always positive (for nothing we observe should force us to forget what we have known). Hence, we add a negative sign in front of log function.

Last, where does the *expectation* function come from? Consider a random variable X. We can interpret the self-information $(-\log(p))$ as the amount of *surprise* we have at seeing a

particular outcome. Indeed, as the probability approaches zero, the surprise becomes infinite. Similarly, we can interpret the entropy as the average amount of surprise from observing X. For example, imagine that a slot machine system emits statistical independently symbols s_1, \ldots, s_k with probabilities p_1, \ldots, p_k respectively. Then the entropy of this system equals to the average self-information from observing each output, i.e.,

$$H(S) = \sum_{i} p_i \cdot I(s_i) = -\sum_{i} p_i \cdot \log p_i.$$
 (19.11.6)

Properties of Entropy

By the above examples and interpretations, we can derive the following properties of entropy (19.11.3). Here, we refer to X as an event and P as the probability distribution of X.

- $H(X) \ge 0$ for all discrete X (entropy can be negative for continuous X).
- If $X \sim P$ with a p.d.f. or a p.m.f. p(x), and we try to estimate P by a new probability distribution Q with a p.d.f. or a p.m.f. q(x), then

$$H(X) = -E_{x \sim P}[\log p(x)] \le -E_{x \sim P}[\log q(x)], \text{ with equality if and only if } P = Q.$$
(19.11.7)

Alternatively, H(X) gives a lower bound of the average number of bits needed to encode symbols drawn from P.

 If X ∼ P, then x conveys the maximum amount of information if it spreads evenly among all possible outcomes. Specifically, if the probability distribution P is discrete with kclass {p₁,..., p_k}, then

$$H(X) \le \log(k)$$
, with equality if and only if $p_i = \frac{1}{k}, \forall i$. (19.11.8)

If P is a continuous random variable, then the story becomes much more complicated. However, if we additionally impose that P is supported on a finite interval (with all values between 0 and 1), then P has the highest entropy if it is the uniform distribution on that interval.

19.11.3 Mutual Information

Previously we defined entropy of a single random variable X, how about the entropy of a pair random variables (X, Y)? We can think of these techniques as trying to answer the following type of question, "What information is contained in X and Y together compared to each separately? Is there redundant information, or is it all unique?"

For the following discussion, we always use (X, Y) as a pair of random variables that follows a joint probability distribution P with a p.d.f. or a p.m.f. $p_{X,Y}(x, y)$, while X and Y follow probability distribution $p_X(x)$ and $p_Y(y)$, respectively.

Joint Entropy

Similar to entropy of a single random variable (19.11.3), we define the *joint entropy* H(X, Y) of a pair random variables (X, Y) as

$$H(X,Y) = -E_{(x,y)\sim P}[\log p_{X,Y}(x,y)].$$
(19.11.9)

Precisely, on the one hand, if (X, Y) is a pair of discrete random variables, then

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \log p_{X,Y}(x,y).$$
(19.11.10)

On the other hand, if (X, Y) is a pair of continuous random variables, then we define the *differential joint entropy* as

$$H(X,Y) = -\int_{x,y} p_{X,Y}(x,y) \log p_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19.11.11)

We can think of (19.11.9) as telling us the total randomness in the pair of random variables. As a pair of extremes, if X = Y are two identical random variables, then the information in the pair is exactly the information in one and we have H(X, Y) = H(X) = H(Y). On the other extreme, if X and Y are independent then H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y). Indeed we will always have that the information contained in a pair of random variables is no smaller than the entropy of either random variable and no more than the sum of both.

$$H(X), H(Y) \le H(X, Y) \le H(X) + H(Y).$$
 (19.11.12)

Let's implement joint entropy from scratch.

```
def joint_entropy(p_xy):
    joint_ent = -p_xy * np.log2(p_xy)
    # Operator `nansum` will sum up the non-nan number
    out = nansum(joint_ent.as_nd_ndarray())
    return out
joint_entropy(np.array([[0.1, 0.5], [0.1, 0.3]]))
```

[1.6854753] <NDArray 1 @cpu(0)>

Notice that this is the same *code* as before, but now we interpret it differently as working on the joint distribution of the two random variables.

Conditional Entropy

The joint entropy defined above the amount of information contained in a pair of random variables. This is useful, but oftentimes it is not what we care about. Consider the setting of machine learning. Let's take X to be the random variable (or vector of random variables) that describes the pixel values of an image, and Y to be the random variable which is the class label. X should contain substantial information—a natural image is a complex thing. However, the information contained in Y once the image has been show should be low. Indeed, the image of a digit should already contain the information about what digit it is unless the digit is illegible. Thus, to continue to extend our vocabulary of information theory, we need to be able to reason about the information content in a random variable conditional on another.

In the probability theory, we saw the definition of the *conditional probability* to measure the relationship between variables. We now want to analogously define the *conditional entropy* $H(Y \mid X)$. We can write this as

$$H(Y \mid X) = -E_{(x,y)\sim P}[\log p(y \mid x)],$$
(19.11.13)

where $p(y \mid x) = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)}$ is the conditional probability. Specifically, if (X, Y) is a pair of discrete random variables, then

$$H(Y \mid X) = -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x, y) \log p(y \mid x).$$
(19.11.14)

If (X, Y) is a pair of continuous random variables, then the *differential conditional entropy* is similarly defined as

$$H(Y \mid X) = -\int_{x} \int_{y} p(x, y) \, \log p(y \mid x) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19.11.15)

It is now natural to ask, how does the *conditional entropy* $H(Y \mid X)$ relate to the entropy H(X) and the joint entropy H(X, Y)? Using the definitions above, we can express this cleanly:

$$H(Y \mid X) = H(X, Y) - H(X).$$
(19.11.16)

This has an intuitive interpretation: the information in Y given X (H(Y | X)) is the same as the information in both X and Y together (H(X, Y)) minus the information already contained in X. This gives us the information in Y which is not also represented in X.

Now, let's implement conditional entropy (19.11.13) from scratch.

```
def conditional_entropy(p_xy, p_x):
    p_y_given_x = p_xy/p_x
    cond_ent = -p_xy * np.log2(p_y_given_x)
    # Operator `nansum` will sum up the non-nan number
    out = nansum(cond_ent.as_nd_ndarray())
    return out
conditional_entropy(np.array([[0.1, 0.5], [0.2, 0.3]]), np.array([0.2, 0.8]))
```

```
[0.8635472]
<NDArray 1 @cpu(0)>
```

Mutual Information

Given the previous setting of random variables (X, Y), you may wonder: "Now that we know how much information is contained in Y but not in X, can we similarly ask how much information is shared between X and Y?" The answer will be the *mutual information* of (X, Y), which we will write as I(X, Y).

Rather than diving straight into the formal definition, let's practice our intuition by first trying to derive an expression for the mutual information entirely based on terms we have constructed before. We wish to find the information shared between two random variables. One way we could try to do this is to start with all the information contained in both X and Y together, and then we take off the parts that are not shared. The information contained in both X and Y together is written as H(X, Y). We want to subtract from this the information contained in X but not in Y, and the information contained in Y but not in X. As we saw in the previous section, this is given by H(X | Y) and H(Y | X) respectively. Thus, we have that the mutual information should be

$$I(X,Y) = H(X,Y) - H(Y \mid X) - H(X \mid Y).$$
(19.11.17)

Indeed, this is a valid definition for the mutual information. If we expand out the definitions of these terms and combine them, a little algebra shows that this is the same as

$$I(X,Y) = E_x E_y \left\{ p_{X,Y}(x,y) \log \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)} \right\}.$$
(19.11.18)

We can summarize all of these relationships in image Fig.19.11.1. It is an excellent test of intuition to see why the following statements are all also equivalent to I(X, Y).

- $H(X) H(X \mid Y)$
- $H(Y) H(Y \mid X)$
- H(X) + H(Y) H(X, Y)

In many ways we can think of the mutual information (19.11.18) as principled extension of correlation coefficient we saw in Section 19.6. This allows us to ask not only for linear



Figure 19.11.1

Mutual informations relationship with joint entropy and conditional entropy.

relationships between variables, but for the maximum information shared between the two random variables of any kind.

Now, let's implement mutual information from scratch.

[0.7194603] <NDArray 1 @cpu(0)>

Properties of Mutual Information

Rather than memorizing the definition of mutual information (19.11.18), you only need to keep in mind its notable properties:

- Mutual information is symmetric, i.e., I(X, Y) = I(Y, X).
- Mutual information is non-negative, i.e., $I(X, Y) \ge 0$.
- I(X, Y) = 0 if and only if X and Y are independent. For example, if X and Y are independent, then knowing Y does not give any information about X and vice versa, so their mutual information is zero.
- Alternatively, if X is an invertible function of Y, then Y and X share all information and

$$I(X,Y) = H(Y) = H(X).$$
 (19.11.19)

Pointwise Mutual Information

When we worked with entropy at the beginning of this chapter, we were able to provide an interpretation of $-\log(p_X(x))$ as how *surprised* we were with the particular outcome. We may give a similar interpretation to the logarithmic term in the mutual information, which is often referred to as the *pointwise mutual information*:

$$pmi(x,y) = \log \frac{p_{X,Y}(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)}.$$
(19.11.20)

We can think of (19.11.20) as measuring how much more or less likely the specific combination of outcomes x and y are compared to what we would expect for independent random outcomes. If it is large and positive, then these two specific outcomes occur much more frequently than they would compared to random chance (*note*: the denominator is $p_X(x)p_Y(y)$ which is the probability of the two outcomes were independent), whereas if it is large and negative it represents the two outcomes happening far less than we would expect by random chance.

This allows us to interpret the mutual information (19.11.18) as the average amount that we were surprised to see two outcomes occurring together compared to what we would expect if they were independent.

Applications of Mutual Information

Mutual information may be a little abstract in it pure definition, so how does it related to machine learning? In natural language processing, one of the most difficult problems is the *ambiguity resolution*, or the issue of the meaning of a word being unclear from context. For example, recently a headline in the news reported that "Amazon is on fire". You may wonder whether the company Amazon has a building on fire, or the Amazon rain forest is on fire.

In this case, mutual information can help us resolve this ambiguity. We first find the group of words that each has a relatively large mutual information with the company Amazon, such as e-commerce, technology, and online. Second, we find another group of words that each has a relatively large mutual information with the Amazon rain forest, such as rain, forest, and tropical. When we need to disambiguate "Amazon", we can compare which group has more occurrence in the context of the word Amazon. In this case the article would go on to describe the forest, and make the context clear.

19.11.4 Kullback–Leibler Divergence

As what we have discussed in Section 2.3, we can use norms to measure distance between two points in space of any dimensionality. We would like to be able to do a similar task with probability distributions. There are many ways to go about this, but information theory provides one of the nicest. We now explore the *Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence*, which provides a way to measure if two distributions are close together or not.

Definition

Given a random variable X that follows the probability distribution P with a p.d.f. or a p.m.f. p(x), and we estimate P by another probability distribution Q with a p.d.f. or a p.m.f. q(x). Then the Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence (or relative entropy) between P and Q is

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P||Q) = E_{x \sim P} \left[\log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right].$$
 (19.11.21)

As with the pointwise mutual information (19.11.20), we can again provide an interpretation of the logarithmic term: $-\log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} = -\log(q(x)) - (-\log(p(x)))$ will be large and positive if we see x far more often under P than we would expect for Q, and large and negative if we see the outcome far less than expected. In this way, we can interpret it as our *relative* surprise at observing the outcome compared to how surprised we would be observing it from our reference distribution.

Let's implement the KL divergence from Scratch.

```
def kl_divergence(p, q):
    kl = p * np.log2(p / q)
    out = nansum(kl.as_nd_ndarray())
    return out.abs().asscalar()
```

KL Divergence Properties

Let's take a look at some properties of the KL divergence (19.11.21).

• KL divergence is non-symmetric, i.e., there are P, Q such that

$$D_{\rm KL}(P||Q) \neq D_{\rm KL}(Q||P).$$
 (19.11.22)

• KL divergence is non-negative, i.e.,

$$D_{\rm KL}(P||Q) \ge 0. \tag{19.11.23}$$

Note that the equality holds only when P = Q.

- If there exists an x such that p(x) > 0 and q(x) = 0, then $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P||Q) = \infty$.
- There is a close relationship between KL divergence and mutual information. Besides the relationship shown in Fig.19.11.1, I(X, Y) is also numerically equivalent with the following terms:
 - 1. $D_{\text{KL}}(P(X,Y) \parallel P(X)P(Y));$
 - 2. $E_Y \{ D_{KL}(P(X \mid Y) \parallel P(X)) \};$
 - 3. $E_X \{ D_{KL}(P(Y \mid X) \parallel P(Y)) \}.$

For the first term, we interpret mutual information as the KL divergence between P(X, Y) and the product of P(X) and P(Y), and thus is a measure of how different the joint distribution is from the distribution if they were independent. For the second term, mutual information tells us the average reduction in uncertainty about Y that results from learning the value of the X's distribution. Similarly to the third term.

Example

Let's go through a toy example to see the non-symmetry explicitly.

First, let's generate and sort three tensors of length 10,000: an objective tensor p which follows a normal distribution N(0, 1), and two candidate tensors q_1 and q_2 which follow normal distributions N(-1, 1) and N(1, 1) respectively.

```
random.seed(1)
nd_len = 10000
p = np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=1, size=(nd_len, ))
q1 = np.random.normal(loc=-1, scale=1, size=(nd_len, ))
q2 = np.random.normal(loc=1, scale=1, size=(nd_len, ))
p = np.array(sorted(p.asnumpy()))
q1 = np.array(sorted(q1.asnumpy()))
q2 = np.array(sorted(q2.asnumpy()))
```

Since q_1 and q_2 are symmetric with respect to the y-axis (i.e., x = 0), we expect a similar value of KL divergence between $D_{\text{KL}}(p||q_1)$ and $D_{\text{KL}}(p||q_2)$. As you can see below, there is only a less than 3% off between $D_{\text{KL}}(p||q_1)$ and $D_{\text{KL}}(p||q_2)$.

```
kl_pq1 = kl_divergence(p, q1)
kl_pq2 = kl_divergence(p, q2)
similar_percentage = abs(kl_pq1 - kl_pq2) / ((kl_pq1 + kl_pq2) / 2) * 100
```

```
kl_pq1, kl_pq2, similar_percentage
```

```
(8470.638, 8664.998, 2.268492904612395)
```

In contrast, you may find that $D_{\text{KL}}(q_2 || p)$ and $D_{\text{KL}}(p || q_2)$ are off a lot, with around 40% off as shown below.

```
kl_q2p = kl_divergence(q2, p)
differ_percentage = abs(kl_q2p - kl_pq2) / ((kl_q2p + kl_pq2) / 2) * 100
```

```
kl_q2p, differ_percentage
```

(13536.835, 43.88680093791528)

19.11.5 Cross-Entropy

If you are curious about applications of information theory in deep learning, here is a quick example. We define the true distribution P with probability distribution p(x), and the estimated distribution Q with probability distribution q(x), and we will use them in the rest of this section.

Say we need to solve a binary classification problem based on given n data examples $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Assume that we encode 1 and 0 as the positive and negative class label y_i respectively, and our neural network is parameterized by θ . If we aim to find a best θ so that $\hat{y}_i = p_{\theta}(y_i | x_i)$, it is natural to apply the maximum log-likelihood approach as was seen in Section 19.7. To be specific, for true labels y_i and predictions $\hat{y}_i = p_{\theta}(y_i | x_i)$, the probability to be classified as positive is $\pi_i = p_{\theta}(y_i = 1 | x_i)$. Hence, the log-likelihood function would be

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta)$$

= $\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i^{y_i} (1 - \pi_i)^{1 - y_i}$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \log(\pi_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \pi_i).$ (19.11.24)

Maximizing the log-likelihood function $l(\theta)$ is identical to minimizing $-l(\theta)$, and hence we can find the best θ from here. To generalize the above loss to any distributions, we also called $-l(\theta)$ the *cross-entropy loss* $CE(y, \hat{y})$, where y follows the true distribution P and \hat{y} follows the estimated distribution Q.

This was all derived by working from the maximum likelihood point of view. However, if we look closely we can see that terms like $\log(\pi_i)$ have entered into our computation which is a solid indication that we can understand the expression from an information theoretic point of view.

Formal Definition

Like KL divergence, for a random variable X, we can also measure the divergence between the estimating distribution Q and the true distribution P via *cross-entropy*,

$$CE(P,Q) = -E_{x \sim P}[\log(q(x))].$$
 (19.11.25)

By using properties of entropy discussed above, we can also interpret it as the summation of the entropy H(P) and the KL divergence between P and Q, i.e.,

$$CE(P,Q) = H(P) + D_{KL}(P||Q).$$
 (19.11.26)

We can implement the cross-entropy loss as below.

```
def cross_entropy(y_hat, y):
    ce = -np.log(y_hat[range(len(y_hat)), y])
    return ce.mean()
```

Now define two tensors for the labels and predictions, and calculate the cross-entropy loss of them.

```
labels = np.array([0, 2])
preds = np.array([[0.3, 0.6, 0.1], [0.2, 0.3, 0.5]])
cross_entropy(preds, labels)
```

array(0.94856)

Properties

As alluded in the beginning of this section, cross-entropy (19.11.25) can be used to define a loss function in the optimization problem. It turns out that the following are equivalent:

- 1. Maximizing predictive probability of Q for distribution P, (i.e., $E_{x \sim P}[\log(q(x))])$;
- 2. Minimizing cross-entropy CE(P,Q);
- 3. Minimizing the KL divergence $D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q)$.

The definition of cross-entropy indirectly proves the equivalent relationship between objective 2 and objective 3, as long as the entropy of true data H(P) is constant.

Cross-Entropy as An Objective Function of Multi-class Classification

If we dive deep into the classification objective function with cross-entropy loss CE, we will find minimizing CE is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood function L.

To begin with, suppose that we are given a dataset with n examples, and it can be classified into k-classes. For each data example i, we represent any k-class label $y_i = (y_{i1}, \ldots, y_{ik})$ by *one-hot encoding*. To be specific, if the example i belongs to class j, then we set the j-th entry to 1, and all other components to 0, i.e.,

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & j \in J; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(19.11.27)

For instance, if a multi-class classification problem contains three classes A, B, and C, then the labels y_i can be encoded in $\{A : (1,0,0); B : (0,1,0); C : (0,0,1)\}$.

Assume that our neural network is parameterized by θ . For true label vectors y_i and predictions

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_i = p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij} p_{\theta}(y_{ij} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i).$$
(19.11.28)

Hence, the cross-entropy loss would be

$$CE(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} \log \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{ij} \log p_{\theta}(y_{ij} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{i}).$$
(19.11.29)

On the other side, we can also approach the problem through maximum likelihood estimation. To begin with, let's quickly introduce a k-class multinoulli distribution. It is an extension of the Bernoulli distribution from binary class to multi-class. If a random variable $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ follows a k-class multinoulli distribution with probabilities $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_k)$, i.e.,

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = p(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \text{Multi}(p_1, \dots, p_k), \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1,$$
 (19.11.30)

then the joint probability mass function(p.m.f.) of z is

$$p^{z} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} p_{j}^{z_{j}}.$$
(19.11.31)

It can be seen that the label of each data example, y_i , is following a k-class multinoulli distribution with probabilities $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k)$. Therefore, the joint p.m.f. of each data example y_i is $\pi^{y_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{y_{ij}}$. Hence, the log-likelihood function would be

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{y_i} = \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \pi_j^{y_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{ij} \log \pi_j.$$
 (19.11.32)

Since in maximum likelihood estimation, we maximizing the objective function $l(\theta)$ by having $\pi_j = p_{\theta}(y_{ij} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_i)$. Therefore, for any multi-class classification, maximizing the above log-likelihood function $l(\theta)$ is equivalent to minimizing the CE loss $CE(y, \hat{y})$.

To test the above proof, let's apply the built-in measure NegativeLogLikelihood. Using the same labels and preds as in the earlier example, we will get the same numerical loss as the previous example up to the 5 decimal place.

```
nll_loss = NegativeLogLikelihood()
nll_loss.update(labels.as_nd_ndarray(), preds.as_nd_ndarray())
nll_loss.get()
```

('nll-loss', 0.9485599994659424)

19.11.6 Summary

- Information theory is a field of study about encoding, decoding, transmitting, and manipulating information.
- Entropy is the unit to measure how much information is presented in different signals.
- KL divergence can also measure the divergence between two distributions.
- Cross-entropy can be viewed as an objective function of multi-class classification. Minimizing cross-entropy loss is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood function.

Exercises

- 1. Verify that the card examples from the first section indeed have the claimed entropy.
- 2. Show that the KL divergence D(p||q) is nonnegative for all distributions p and q. Hint: use Jensen's inequality, i.e., use the fact that $-\log x$ is a convex function.

- 3. Let's compute the entropy from a few data sources:
 - Assume that you are watching the output generated by a monkey at a typewriter. The monkey presses any of the 44 keys of the typewriter at random (you can assume that it has not discovered any special keys or the shift key yet). How many bits of randomness per character do you observe?
 - Being unhappy with the monkey, you replaced it by a drunk typesetter. It is able to generate words, albeit not coherently. Instead, it picks a random word out of a vo-cabulary of 2,000 words. Let's assume that the average length of a word is 4.5 letters in English. How many bits of randomness per character do you observe now?
 - Still being unhappy with the result, you replace the typesetter by a high quality language model. The language model can currently obtain a perplexity as low as 15 points per word. The character *perplexity* of a language model is defined as the inverse of the geometric mean of a set of probabilities, each probability is corresponding to a character in the word. To be specific, if the length of a given word is *l*, then PPL(word) = [∏_i p(character_i)]^{-1/t} = exp [-1/t ∑_i log p(character_i)]. Assume that the test word has 4.5 letters, how many bits of randomness per character do you observe now?
- 4. Explain intuitively why I(X, Y) = H(X) H(X | Y). Then, show this is true by expressing both sides as an expectation with respect to the joint distribution.
- 5. What is the KL Divergence between the two Gaussian distributions $\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$?

Discussions²⁷⁹



To get the most out of *Dive into Deep Learning*, we will talk you through different tools in this appendix, such as for running and contributing to this interactive open-source book.

20.1 Using Jupyter Notebooks

This section describes how to edit and run the code in each section of this book using the Jupyter Notebook. Make sure you have installed Jupyter and downloaded the code as described in *Installation* (page 27). If you want to know more about Jupyter see the excellent tutorial in their documentation²⁸⁰.



20.1.1 Editing and Running the Code Locally

Suppose that the local path of the book's code is xx/yy/d21-en/. Use the shell to change the directory to this path (cd xx/yy/d21-en) and run the command jupyter notebook. If your browser does not do this automatically, open http://localhost:8888 and you will see the interface of Jupyter and all the folders containing the code of the book, as shown in Fig.20.1.1.

💭 Jup	oyter				Quit	Logo	ut
Files	Running	Clusters	Nbextensions				
Select iten	ns to perform a	actions on the	m.		Upload	New -	С
0	• b /			Name 🕹	Last Modified	File siz	re
) build				seconds ago		
	chapter_appe	endix-mathem	atics-for-deep-learning		6 days ago		
	chapter_atter	ntion-mechani	sms		an hour ago		

Figure 20.1.1 The folders containing the code of this book.

You can access the notebook files by clicking on the folder displayed on the webpage. They usually have the suffix ".ipynb". For the sake of brevity, we create a temporary "test.ipynb" file. The content displayed after you click it is shown in Fig.20.1.2. This notebook includes a markdown cell and a code cell. The content in the markdown cell includes "This Is a Title" and "This is text.". The code cell contains two lines of Python code.

Double click on the markdown cell to enter edit mode. Add a new text string "Hello world." at the end of the cell, as shown in Fig.20.1.3.

As demonstrated in Fig.20.1.4, click "Cell" \rightarrow "Run Cells" in the menu bar to run the edited cell.

After running, the markdown cell is shown in Fig.20.1.5.

Next, click on the code cell. Multiply the elements by 2 after the last line of code, as shown in Fig.20.1.6.

20

	CJUPYter test (unsaved changes)	4	Logout
	File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted	Python 3 O
	This Is a Title This is text. In []: import numpy as np np.ones((3, 4))		
Figure 20.1.2	Markdown and code cells in the text.ipynb file.		
	Jupyter test (unsaved changes)	6	Logout
	File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted 🥔	Python 3 O
	<pre># This Is a Title This is text. Hello world. In []: import numpy as np np.ones((3, 4))</pre>		
Figure 20.1.3	Edit the markdown cell.		
	Jupyter test (unsaved changes)	ę	Logout
	File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted	Python 3 O
	Image: Height of the sector of the secto		
	# This Is Run Cells and Insert Below \(\nu\) +3 # This is text Run All This is text Run All Above		
	In []: import numpy np.ones((3, , Cell Type		
Figure 20.1.4	Current Outputs		
	Jupyter test (unsaved changes)	ę	Logout
	File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted	Python 3 O
	This Is a Title This is text. Hello world. In []: import numpy as np np.ones((3, 4))		

Figure 20.1.5 The markdown cell after running.

Cjupyter test (unsaved changes)	Logout
File Edit View Insert Cell Kernel Widgets Help	Trusted 🖋 Python 3 O
B + ≫ 2 A	
This Is a Title	
This Is a Title	

Figure 20.1.6 Edit the code cell.

You can also run the cell with a shortcut ("Ctrl + Enter" by default) and obtain the output result from Fig.20.1.7.

💭 Jul	oyter	test (unsaved chan	iges)					ę	Logout
File	Edit	View	Insert	Cell	Kernel	Widgets	Help		Trusted	Python 3 O
-	≈ 4	b 🖪	↑ ↓	► Run	C	▶ Code	~	abc 🖌		
		Th:	- I	TH						
		Ins	s is a	IITIE						
		This is	text. Hello	world.						
I	n [1]:	impor np.on	t numpy es((3, 4	as np 1)) * 2						
0	ut[1]:	array	([[2., 2	2., 2.,	2.],					
			[2., 2	2., 2., 2., 2.,	2.]])					

Figure 20.1.7 Run the code cell to obtain the output.

When a notebook contains more cells, we can click "Kernel" \rightarrow "Restart & Run All" in the menu bar to run all the cells in the entire notebook. By clicking "Help" \rightarrow "Edit Keyboard Shortcuts" in the menu bar, you can edit the shortcuts according to your preferences.

20.1.2 Advanced Options

Beyond local editing two things are quite important: editing the notebooks in the markdown format and running Jupyter remotely. The latter matters when we want to run the code on a faster server. The former matters since Jupyter's native ipynb format stores a lot of auxiliary data that is irrelevant to the content, mostly related to how and where the code is run. This is confusing for Git, making reviewing contributions very difficult. Fortunately there is an alternative—native editing in the markdown format.

Markdown Files in Jupyter

If you wish to contribute to the content of this book, you need to modify the source file (md file, not ipynb file) on GitHub. Using the notedown plugin we can modify notebooks in the md format directly in Jupyter.

First, install the notedown plugin, run the Jupyter Notebook, and load the plugin:

You may also turn on the notedown plugin by default whenever you run the Jupyter Notebook. First, generate a Jupyter Notebook configuration file (if it has already been generated, you can skip this step).

```
jupyter notebook --generate-config
```

Then, add the following line to the end of the Jupyter Notebook configuration file (for Linux/macOS, usually in the path ~/.jupyter/jupyter_notebook_config.py):

c.NotebookApp.contents_manager_class = 'notedown.NotedownContentsManager'

After that, you only need to run the jupyter notebook command to turn on the notedown plugin by default.

Running Jupyter Notebooks on a Remote Server

Sometimes, you may want to run Jupyter notebooks on a remote server and access it through a browser on your local computer. If Linux or MacOS is installed on your local machine (Windows can also support this function through third-party software such as PuTTY), you can use port forwarding:

ssh myserver -L 8888:localhost:8888

The above string myserver is the address of the remote server. Then we can use http://localhost:8888 to access the remote server myserver that runs Jupyter notebooks. We will detail on how to run Jupyter notebooks on AWS instances later in this appendix.

Timing

We can use the ExecuteTime plugin to time the execution of each code cell in Jupyter notebooks. Use the following commands to install the plugin:

```
pip install jupyter_contrib_nbextensions
jupyter contrib nbextension install --user
jupyter nbextension enable execute_time/ExecuteTime
```

20.1.3 Summary

- Using the Jupyter Notebook tool, we can edit, run, and contribute to each section of the book.
- We can run Jupyter notebooks on remote servers using port forwarding.

Exercises

- 1. Edit and run the code in this book with the Jupyter Notebook on your local machine.
- 2. Edit and run the code in this book with the Jupyter Notebook remotely via port forwarding.

3. Measure running time of operations $A^{\top}B$ vs. AB for two square matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{1024 \times 1024}$. Which one is faster?

Discussions²⁸¹

20.2 Using Amazon SageMaker

Deep learning applications may demand so much computational resource that easily goes beyond what your local machine can offer. Cloud computing services allow you to run GPUintensive code of this book more easily using more powerful computers. This section will introduce how to use Amazon SageMaker to run the code of this book.

20.2.1 Signing Up

First, we need to sign up an account at https://aws.amazon.com/. For additional security, using two-factor authentication is encouraged. It is also a good idea to set up detailed billing and spending alerts to avoid any surprise, e.g., when forgetting to stop running instances. After logging into your AWS account, o to your console²⁸² and search for "Amazon SageMaker" (see Fig.20.2.1), then click it to open the SageMaker panel.

20	2	
	26.D	
g		
武		
	<u>STR</u>	

281

AWS services

Find Services You can enter names, keywords or acronyms. Q sage Amazon SageMaker Build, Train, and Deploy Machine Learning Models

Figure 20.2.1 Search for and open the SageMaker panel.

20.2.2 Creating a SageMaker Instance

Next, let's create a notebook instance as described in Fig.20.2.2.

Amazon SageMaker $ imes$	Amazon SageMaker > Notebook instances
Amazon SageMaker Studio	Notebook instances Actions Create notebook instance
Dashboard Search	Q Search notebook instances < 1 > @
Ground Truth	Name ▼ Instance Creation time ▼ Status ▼ Actions
Labeling jobs Labeling datasets Labeling workforces	There are currently no resources.
lotebook Notebook instances	
Lifecycle configurations Git repositories	

Figure 20.2.2 Create a SageMaker instance.

SageMaker provides multiple instance types ²⁸³


prices. When creating a notebook instance, we can specify its name and type. In Fig.20.2.3, we choose ml.p3.2xlarge: with one Tesla V100 GPU and an 8-core CPU, this instance is powerful enough for most of the book.

Notebook inst	ance settings
Notebook instance	name
D2L	
Maximum of 63 alpha	numeric characters. Can include hyphens (-), but not spaces. Must be unique within your accou
Notebook instance	type
ml.p3.2xlarge	▼

Figure 20.2.3 Choose the instance type.

The entire book in the ipynb format for running with SageMaker is available at https://github. com/d2l-ai/d2l-en-sagemaker. We can specify this GitHub repository URL (Fig.20.2.4) to allow SageMaker to clone it when creating the instance.

▼ Git repositories - optional		
▼ Default repository		
Repository Jupyter will start in this repository. Repositories are added to your home directory.		
Clone a public Git repository to this notebook instance only	•	C
Git repository URL Clone a repository to use for this notebook instance only.		



20.2.3 Running and Stopping an Instance

Creating an instance may take a few minutes. When the instance is ready, click on the "Open Jupyter" link next to it (Fig.20.2.5) so you can edit and run all the Jupyter notebooks of this book on this instance (similar to steps in Section 20.1).

	Name 🔻	Instance	Creation time 🔹	Status 🔻	Actions
\bigcirc	D2L	ml.p3.2xlarge	Dec 18, 2019 19:16 UTC	⊘ InService	Open Jupyter Open JupyterLab

Figure 20.2.5

.5 Open Jupyter on the created SageMaker instance.

After finishing your work, don't forget to stop the instance to avoid being charged further (Fig.20.2.6).

20.2.4 Updating Notebooks



Notebooks of this open-source book will be regularly updated in the d2l-ai/d2l-en-sagemaker ²⁸⁴ repository on GitHub. To update to the latest version, you may open a terminal on the SageMaker instance (Fig.20.2.7).

You may wish to commit your local changes before pulling updates from the remote repository. Otherwise, simply discard all your local changes with the following commands in the terminal:

Notebook instances	Actions 🔻	Create notebook
O Search notebook instances	Open Jupyter	< 1
Scaren notesson instances	Open JupyterLab	
	Stop	
Name V Instance	Start	tatus 🔻
	Update settings	
D2L ml.p3.2xlarge	Add/Edit tags	InService
	Doloto	



	Upload New - 2
Other:	
Text File	
Folder	
Terminal	

Figure 20.2.7 Open a terminal on the SageMaker instance.

cd SageMaker/d2l-en-sagemaker/
git reset --hard
git pull

20.2.5 Summary

- We can create a notebook instance using Amazon SageMaker to run GPU-intensive code of this book.
- We can update notebooks via the terminal on the Amazon SageMaker instance.

Exercises

1. Edit and run any section that requires a GPU using Amazon SageMaker.

2. Open a terminal to access the local directory that hosts all the notebooks of this book.

Discussions²⁸⁵



20.3 Using AWS EC2 Instances

In this section, we will show you how to install all libraries on a raw Linux machine. Recall that in Section 20.2 we discussed how to use Amazon SageMaker, while building an instance by yourself costs less on AWS. The walkthrough includes three steps:

- 1. Request for a GPU Linux instance from AWS EC2.
- 2. Install CUDA (or use an Amazon Machine Image with preinstalled CUDA).

3. Install the deep learning framework and other libraries for running the code of the book.

This process applies to other instances (and other clouds), too, albeit with some minor modifications. Before going forward, you need to create an AWS account, see Section 20.2 for more details.

20.3.1 Creating and Running an EC2 Instance

After logging into your AWS account, click "EC2" (marked by the red box in Fig.20.3.1) to go to the EC2 panel.



Figure 20.3.1 Open the EC2 console.

Fig.20.3.2 shows the EC2 panel with sensitive account information greyed out.

C2 Dashboard	Resources		୯	Account Attributes
vents	You are using the following Amazon EC2 resources	in the US East (N. Virginia) region:		Supported Platforms
igs	Running Instances	Elastic IPs		VPC
mite	Dedicated Hosts	Snapshots		Default VPC
into	Volumes	Load Balancers		
STANCES	Key Pairs	Security Groups		Becourse ID length menogene
stances unch Templates	Placement Groups			Console experiments
pot Requests eserved Instances	Learn more about the latest in AWS Compute f	rom AWS re:Invent by viewing the EC2 Videos.	×	Additional Information
edicated Hosts				Getting Started Guide
cheduled Instances	Create Instance			Documentation
apacity Reservations	To start using Amazon EC2 you will want to launch	a virtual server known as an Amazon FC2 instance		All EC2 Resources
AGES				Forums
MIs	Launch Instance 🔻			Pricing
undle Teelre				Contact Us

Figure 20.3.2 EC2 panel.

Presetting Location

Select a nearby data center to reduce latency, e.g., "Oregon" (marked by the red box in the top-right of Fig.20.3.2). If you are located in China, you can select a nearby Asia Pacific region, such as Seoul or Tokyo. Please note that some data centers may not have GPU instances.

Increasing Limits

Before choosing an instance, check if there are quantity restrictions by clicking the "Limits" label in the bar on the left as shown in Fig.20.3.2. Fig.20.3.3 shows an example of such a

limitation. The account currently cannot open "p2.xlarge" instance per region. If you need to open one or more instances, click on the "Request limit increase" link to apply for a higher instance quota. Generally, it takes one business day to process an application.

aws	Services ~	Resource Groups 👻 🔭		
EC2 Dashboard		• •		
Events		Running On-Demand m5d.metal instances	0	Request limit increase
Tags	•	Running On-Demand m5d.xlarge instances	2	Request limit increase
Limits		Running On-Demand p2.16xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
INSTANCES		Running On-Demand p2.8xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
Instances		Running On-Demand p2.xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
Launch Templates Spot Requests		Running On-Demand p3.16xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
Reserved Instance	es	Running On-Demand p3.2xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
Dedicated Hosts		Running On-Demand p3.8xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase
Capacity Reservat	tions	Running On-Demand p3dn.24xlarge instances	0	Request limit increase

Figure 20.3.3 Instance quantity restrictions.

Launching an Instance

Next, click the "Launch Instance" button marked by the red box in Fig.20.3.2 to launch your instance.

We begin by selecting a suitable Amazon Machine Image (AMI). Enter "Ubuntu" in the search box (marked by the red box in Fig.20.3.4).

1. Choose AMI 2. Choose Insta	nce Type 3. Confi	gure Instance 4. Add Storage 5. Add Tags 6. Configure Security Group 7. Review	
Step 1: Choose an An AMI is a template that contain or the AWS Marketplace; or you of	Amazon Ma s the software conf can select one of yo	achine Image (AMI) iguration (operating system, application server, and applications) required to launch your instance. You can select an AMI provided by , ur own AMIs.	Cancel and Exit AWS, our user commun
Q. Ubuntu			×
Quick Start (7)		K < 1	to 7 of 7 AMIs > >
My AMIs (2)	0	Ubuntu Server 18.04 LTS (HVM), SSD Volume Type - ami-07b4f3c02c7f83d59 (64-bit x86) / ami-0c579621aaac8bade (64- bit Arm)	Select
AWS Marketplace (241)	Free tier eligible	Ubuntu Server 18.04 LTS (HVM),EBS General Purpose (SSD) Volume Type. Support available from Canonical (http://www.ubuntu.com/cloud/services).	 64-bit (x86) 64-bit (Arm)
		Root device type: ebs Virtualization type: hvm ENA Enabled: Yes	
Free tier only (i)	0	Ubuntu Server 16.04 LTS (HVM), SSD Volume Type - ami-0b37e9efc396e4c38 (64-bit x86) / ami-0f2b5f21791ad1bcc (64-bit Arm)	Select
	Free tier eligible	Ubuntu Server 16.04 LTS (HVM),EBS General Purpose (SSD) Volume Type. Support available from Canonical (http://www.ubuntu.com/cloud/services).	64-bit (Arm)
		Root device type: ebs Virtualization type: hvm ENA Enabled: Yes	

Figure 20.3.4 Choose an AMI.

EC2 provides many different instance configurations to choose from. This can sometimes feel overwhelming to a beginner. Fig.20.3.4 lists different suitable machines.

Name	GPU	Notes
g2	Grid K520	ancient
p2	Kepler K80	old but often cheap as spot
g3	Maxwell M60	good trade-off
p3	Volta V100	high performance for FP16
g4	Turing T4	inference optimized FP16/INT8

Table: Different EC2 instance types

All these servers come in multiple flavors indicating the number of GPUs used. For example, a p2.xlarge has 1 GPU and a p2.16xlarge has 16 GPUs and more memory. For more details, see the AWS EC2 documentation²⁸⁶ or a summary page²⁸⁷. For the purpose of illustration, a p2.xlarge will suffice (marked in the red box of Fig.20.3.5).

Note that you should use a GPU-enabled instance with suitable drivers and a GPU-enabled deep learning framework. Otherwise you will not see any benefit from using GPUs.



GPU instances	g3.16xlarge	64	488	EBS only	Yes	25 Gigabit	Yes
GPU instances	p2.xlarge	4	61	EBS only	Yes	High	Yes
GPU instances	p2.8xlarge	32	488	EBS only	Yes	10 Gigabit	Yes
			Cancel	Previous	view and Launch	Next: Configure Insta	nce Details

Figure 20.3.5 Choose an instance.

So far, we have finished the first two of seven steps for launching an EC2 instance, as shown on the top of Fig.20.3.6. In this example, we keep the default configurations for the steps "3. Configure Instance", "5. Add Tags", and "6. Configure Security Group". Tap on "4. Add Storage" and increase the default hard disk size to 64 GB (marked in the red box of Fig.20.3.6). Note that CUDA by itself already takes up 4 GB.

1. Choose AM	Al 2. Choo	se Instance Type	3. Configure Instance	4. Add Storage	5. Add Tags	6. Configure Secur	ity Group 7.	Review	
Step 4: Your instance edit the settin storage option	Add Sto e will be laund ngs of the roc ons in Amazon	Drage ched with the follo ot volume. You can n EC2.	owing storage device n also attach additior	settings. You can atta nal EBS volumes after	ch additional EB launching an ins	3S volumes and i stance, but not in	nstance store v stance store vo	olumes to your instan- lumes. Learn more at	nce, or bout
Volume Type i	Device (i)	Snapshot (i)	Size (GiB) (Volume Type (i)	IOPS ()	Throughput (MB/s) (i)	Delete on Termination (j	Encrypted (i)	
Root	/dev/sda1	snap- 0ba4956ec1071	5d33 64	General Purpose S 🖨	192 / 3000	N/A		Not Encrypted	



Finally, go to "7. Review" and click "Launch" to launch the configured instance. The system will now prompt you to select the key pair used to access the instance. If you do not have a key pair, select "Create a new key pair" in the first drop-down menu in Fig.20.3.7 to generate a key pair. Subsequently, you can select "Choose an existing key pair" for this menu and then select the previously generated key pair. Click "Launch Instances" to launch the created instance.

Select an existing key pair or create a new key pair

A key pair consists of a **public key** that AWS stores, and a **private key file** that you store. Together, they allow you to connect to your instance securely. For Windows AMIs, the private key file is required to obtain the password used to log into your instance. For Linux AMIs, the private key file allows you to securely SSH into your instance.

×

Note: The selected key pair will be added to the set of keys authorized for this instance. Learn more about removing existing key pairs from a public AMI.

Create a new key pair	\$
Key pair name	
D2L_key	
	Download Key Pair

Figure 20.3.7 Select a key pair.

Make sure that you download the key pair and store it in a safe location if you generated a new one. This is your only way to SSH into the server. Click the instance ID shown in Fig.20.3.8 to view the status of this instance.

	0	Your instances are now launching The following instance launches have been initiated: i-071ee	View launch log
Figure 20.3.8	Click	the instance ID.	

Connecting to the Instance

As shown in Fig.20.3.9, after the instance state turns green, right-click the instance and select Connect to view the instance access method.

Name	✓ Instance ID ✓	Instance Type 🔹 Availa	bility Zone 👻	Instance State 👻	Status
	i-0b	Connect	b	🥥 running	🥝 2/2
		Get Windows Password			

Figure 20.3.9 View instance access method.

If this is a new key, it must not be publicly viewable for SSH to work. Go to the folder where you store D2L_key.pem and execute the following command to make the key not publicly viewable:

chmod 400 D2L_key.pem



Figure 20.3.1 View instance access and startup method.

Now, copy the ssh command in the lower red box of Fig.20.3.10 and paste onto the command line:

ssh -i "D2L_key.pem" ubuntu@ec2-xx-xxx-xxx.y.compute.amazonaws.com

When the command line prompts "Are you sure you want to continue connecting (yes/no)", enter "yes" and press Enter to log into the instance.

Your server is ready now.

20.3.2 Installing CUDA

Before installing CUDA, be sure to update the instance with the latest drivers.

sudo apt-get update && sudo apt-get install -y build-essential git libgfortran3



Here we download CUDA 10.1. Visit NVIDIA's official repository²⁸⁸ to find the download link as shown in Fig.20.3.11.

elect Target Platform		
lick on the green buttons that	describe your target platform. Only supported platforms will be shown.	
Operating System	Windows Linux Mac OSX	
Architecture	x86_64 ppc64le	
Distribution	Fedora OpenSUSE RHEL CentOS SLES Ubuntu	
Version	18.04 16.04 14.04	
Installer Type	runfile (local) deb (local) deb (network) cluster (local)	

Figure 20.3.11 Find the CUDA 10.1 download address.

Copy the instructions and paste them onto the terminal to install CUDA 10.1.

After installing the program, run the following command to view the GPUs:

nvidia-smi

Finally, add CUDA to the library path to help other libraries find it.

echo "export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=\\${LD_LIBRARY_PATH}:/usr/local/cuda/lib64" >> ~/. →bashrc

20.3.3 Installing Libraries for Running the Code

To run the code of this book, just follow steps in *Installation* (page 27) for Linux users on the EC2 instance and use the following tips for working on a remote Linux server:

- To download the bash script on the Miniconda installation page, right click the download link and select "Copy Link Address", then execute wget [copied link address].
- After running ~/miniconda3/bin/conda init, you may execute source ~/.bashrc instead of closing and reopening your current shell.

20.3.4 Running the Jupyter Notebook remotely

To run the Jupyter Notebook remotely you need to use SSH port forwarding. After all, the server in the cloud does not have a monitor or keyboard. For this, log into your server from your desktop (or laptop) as follows:

```
# This command must be run in the local command line
ssh -i "/path/to/key.pem" ubuntu@ec2-xx-xxx-xxx.y.compute.amazonaws.com -L_
→8889:localhost:8888
```

Next, go to the location of the downloaded code of this book on the EC2 instance, then run:

conda activate d21 jupyter notebook

Fig.20.3.12 shows the possible output after you run the Jupyter Notebook. The last row is the URL for port 8888.



Figure 20.3.12 Output after running the Jupyter Notebook. The last row is the URL for port 8888.

Since you used port forwarding to port 8889, copy the last row in the red box of Fig.20.3.12, replace "8888" with "8889" in the URL, and open it in your local browser.

20.3.5 Closing Unused Instances

As cloud services are billed by the time of use, you should close instances that are not being used. Note that there are alternatives:

- "Stopping" an instance means that you will be able to start it again. This is akin to switching off the power for your regular server. However, stopped instances will still be billed a small amount for the hard disk space retained.
- "Terminating" an instance will delete all data associated with it. This includes the disk, hence you cannot start it again. Only do this if you know that you will not need it in the future.

If you want to use the instance as a template for many more instances, right-click on the example in Fig.20.3.9 and select "Image" \rightarrow "Create" to create an image of the instance. Once this is complete, select "Instance State" \rightarrow "Terminate" to terminate the instance. The next time you want to use this instance, you can follow the steps in this section to create an instance based on the saved image. The only difference is that, in "1. Choose AMI" shown in Fig.20.3.4, you must use the "My AMIs" option on the left to select your saved image. The created instance will retain the information stored on the image hard disk. For example, you will not have to reinstall CUDA and other runtime environments.

20.3.6 Summary

- We can launch and stop instances on demand without having to buy and build our own computer.
- We need to install CUDA before using the GPU-enabled deep learning framework.
- We can use port forwarding to run the Jupyter Notebook on a remote server.

Exercises



- 1. The cloud offers convenience, but it does not come cheap. Find out how to launch spot instances²⁸⁹ to see how to reduce costs.
- 2. Experiment with different GPU servers. How fast are they?
 - 3. Experiment with multi-GPU servers. How well can you scale things up?



Discussions²⁹⁰

20.4 Using Google Colab



We introduced how to run this book on AWS in Section 20.2 and Section 20.3. Another option is running this book on Google Colab²⁹¹ if you have a Google account.

To run the code of a section on Colab, simply click the Colab button as shown in Fig.20.4.1.



Figure 20.4.1 Run the code of a section on Colab

If it is your first time to run a code cell, you will receive a warning message as shown in Fig.20.4.2. Just click "RUN ANYWAY" to ignore it.

Warning: This notebook was not authored ...

This notebook is being loaded from GitHub. It may request access to your data stored with Google, or read data and credentials from other sessions. Please review the source code before executing this notebook.

> **RUN ANYWAY** CANCEL

Figure 20.4.2 Ignore the warning message by clicking RUN ANYWAY.

Next, Colab will connect you to an instance to run the code of this section. Specifically, if a GPU is needed, Colab will be automatically requested for connecting to a GPU instance.

20.4.1 Summary

- You can use Google Colab to run each section's code in this book.
- Colab will be requested to connect to a GPU instance if a GPU is needed in any section of this book.

Exercises

- 1. Open any section of this book using Google Colab.
- 2. Edit and run any section that requires a GPU using Google Colab.

Discussions²⁹²



294

20.5 Selecting Servers and GPUs

Deep learning training generally requires large amounts of computation. At present GPUs are the most cost-effective hardware accelerators for deep learning. In particular, compared with CPUs, GPUs are cheaper and offer higher performance, often by over an order of magnitude. Furthermore, a single server can support multiple GPUs, up to 8 for high end servers. More typical numbers are up to 4 GPUs for an engineering workstation, since heat, cooling, and power requirements escalate quickly beyond what an office building can support. For larger deployments, cloud computing (e.g., Amazon's P3²⁹³ and G4²⁹⁴ instances) is a much more practical solution.

20.5.1 Selecting Servers

There is typically no need to purchase high-end CPUs with many threads since much of the computation occurs on the GPUs. That said, due to the global interpreter lock (GIL) in Python single-thread performance of a CPU can matter in situations where we have 4–8 GPUs. All things equal this suggests that CPUs with a smaller number of cores but a higher clock frequency might be a more economical choice. For example, when choosing between a 6-core 4 GHz and an 8-core 3.5 GHz CPU, the former is much preferable, even though its aggregate speed is less. An important consideration is that GPUs use lots of power and thus dissipate lots of heat. This requires very good cooling and a large enough chassis to use the GPUs. Follow the guidelines below if possible:

- 1. **Power Supply**. GPUs use significant amounts of power. Budget with up to 350W per device (check for the *peak demand* of the graphics card rather than typical demand, since efficient code can use lots of energy). If your power supply is not up to the demand you will find that your system becomes unstable.
- 2. **Chassis Size**. GPUs are large and the auxiliary power connectors often need extra space. Also, large chassis are easier to cool.
- 3. **GPU Cooling**. If you have a large number of GPUs you might want to invest in water cooling. Also, aim for *reference designs* even if they have fewer fans, since they are thin enough to allow for air intake between the devices. If you buy a multi-fan GPU it might be too thick to get enough air when installing multiple GPUs and you will run into thermal throttling.

4. PCIe Slots. Moving data to and from the GPU (and exchanging it between GPUs) requires lots of bandwidth. We recommend PCIe 3.0 slots with 16 lanes. If you mount multiple GPUs, be sure to carefully read the motherboard description to ensure that 16× bandwidth is still available when multiple GPUs are used at the same time and that you are getting PCIe 3.0 as opposed to PCIe 2.0 for the additional slots. Some motherboards downgrade to 8× or even 4× bandwidth with multiple GPUs installed. This is partly due to the number of PCIe lanes that the CPU offers.

In short, here are some recommendations for building a deep learning server:

- **Beginner**. Buy a low end GPU with low power consumption (cheap gaming GPUs suitable for deep learning use 150-200W). If you are lucky your current computer will support it.
- 1 GPU. A low-end CPU with 4 cores will be sufficient and most motherboards suffice. Aim for at least 32 GB DRAM and invest into an SSD for local data access. A power supply with 600W should be sufficient. Buy a GPU with lots of fans.
- 2 GPUs. A low-end CPU with 4-6 cores will suffice. Aim for 64 GB DRAM and invest into an SSD. You will need in the order of 1000W for two high-end GPUs. In terms of mainboards, make sure that they have *two* PCIe 3.0 x16 slots. If you can, get a mainboard that has two free spaces (60mm spacing) between the PCIe 3.0 x16 slots for extra air. In this case, buy two GPUs with lots of fans.
- 4 GPUs. Make sure that you buy a CPU with relatively fast single-thread speed (i.e., high clock frequency). You will probably need a CPU with a larger number of PCIe lanes, such as an AMD Threadripper. You will likely need relatively expensive mainboards to get 4 PCIe 3.0 x16 slots since they probably need a PLX to multiplex the PCIe lanes. Buy GPUs with reference design that are narrow and let air in between the GPUs. You need a 1600–2000W power supply and the outlet in your office might not support that. This server will probably run *loud and hot*. You do not want it under your desk. 128 GB of DRAM is recommended. Get an SSD (1–2 TB NVMe) for local storage and a bunch of hard disks in RAID configuration to store your data.
- 8 GPUs. You need to buy a dedicated multi-GPU server chassis with multiple redundant power supplies (e.g., 2+1 for 1600W per power supply). This will require dual socket server CPUs, 256 GB ECC DRAM, a fast network card (10 GBE recommended), and you will need to check whether the servers support the *physical form factor* of the GPUs. Airflow and wiring placement differ significantly between consumer and server GPUs (e.g., RTX 2080 vs. Tesla V100). This means that you might not be able to install the consumer GPU in a server due to insufficient clearance for the power cable or lack of a suitable wiring harness (as one of the coauthors painfully discovered).

20.5.2 Selecting GPUs

At present, AMD and NVIDIA are the two main manufacturers of dedicated GPUs. NVIDIA was the first to enter the deep learning field and provides better support for deep learning frameworks via CUDA. Therefore, most buyers choose NVIDIA GPUs.

NVIDIA provides two types of GPUs, targeting individual users (e.g., via the GTX and RTX series) and enterprise users (via its Tesla series). The two types of GPUs provide comparable compute power. However, the enterprise user GPUs generally use (passive) forced cooling, more memory, and ECC (error correcting) memory. These GPUs are more suitable for data centers and usually cost ten times more than consumer GPUs.

If you are a large company with 100+ servers you should consider the NVIDIA Tesla series or alternatively use GPU servers in the cloud. For a lab or a small to medium company with

10+ servers the NVIDIA RTX series is likely most cost effective. You can buy preconfigured servers with Supermicro or Asus chassis that hold 4–8 GPUs efficiently.

GPU vendors typically release a new generation every one to two years, such as the GTX 1000 (Pascal) series released in 2017 and the RTX 2000 (Turing) series released in 2019. Each series offers several different models that provide different performance levels. GPU performance is primarily a combination of the following three parameters:

- 1. **Compute Power**. Generally we look for 32-bit floating-point compute power. 16-bit floating point training (FP16) is also entering the mainstream. If you are only interested in prediction, you can also use 8-bit integer. The latest generation of Turing GPUs offers 4-bit acceleration. Unfortunately at present the algorithms to train low-precision networks are not widespread yet.
- Memory Size. As your models become larger or the batches used during training grow bigger, you will need more GPU memory. Check for HBM2 (High Bandwidth Memory) vs. GDDR6 (Graphics DDR) memory. HBM2 is faster but much more expensive.
- 3. **Memory Bandwidth**. You can only get the most out of your compute power when you have sufficient memory bandwidth. Look for wide memory buses if using GDDR6.

For most users, it is enough to look at compute power. Note that many GPUs offer different types of acceleration. For example, NVIDIA's TensorCores accelerate a subset of operators by $5 \times$. Ensure that your libraries support this. The GPU memory should be no less than 4 GB (8 GB is much better). Try to avoid using the GPU also for displaying a GUI (use the built-in graphics instead). If you cannot avoid it, add an extra 2 GB of RAM for safety.

Fig.20.5.1 compares the 32-bit floating-point compute power and price of the various GTX 900, GTX 1000 and RTX 2000 series models. The prices are the suggested prices found on Wikipedia.



Figure 20.5.1 Floating-point compute power and price comparison.

We can see a number of things:

Within each series, price and performance are roughly proportional. Titan models command a significant premium for the benefit of larger amounts of GPU memory. However, the newer models offer better cost effectiveness, as can be seen by comparing the 980 Ti and 1080 Ti. The price does not appear to improve much for the RTX 2000 series. However, this is due to the fact that they offer far superior low precision performance (FP16, INT8, and INT4).

2. The performance-to-cost ratio of the GTX 1000 series is about two times greater than the 900 series.



3. For the RTX 2000 series the performance (in GFLOPs) is an *affine* function of the price.

(Figure 20.5.2) Floating-point compute power and energy consumption.

Fig.20.5.2 shows how energy consumption scales mostly linearly with the amount of computation. Second, later generations are more efficient. This seems to be contradicted by the graph corresponding to the RTX 2000 series. However, this is a consequence of the Tensor-Cores that draw disproportionately much energy.

20.5.3 Summary

- Watch out for power, PCIe bus lanes, CPU single thread speed, and cooling when building a server.
- You should purchase the latest GPU generation if possible.
- Use the cloud for large deployments.
- High density servers may not be compatible with all GPUs. Check the mechanical and cooling specifications before you buy.
- Use FP16 or lower precision for high efficiency.

Discussions²⁹⁵

20.6 Contributing to This Book



295

Contributions by readers²⁹⁶ help us improve this book. If you find a typo, an outdated link, something where you think we missed a citation, where the code does not look elegant or where an explanation is unclear, please contribute back and help us help our readers. While in regular books the delay between print runs (and thus between typo corrections) can be measured in years, it typically takes hours to days to incorporate an improvement in this

book. This is all possible due to version control and continuous integration (CI) testing. To do so you need to submit a pull request²⁹⁷ to the GitHub repository. When your pull request is merged into the code repository by the authors, you will become a contributor.

20.6.1 Submitting Minor Changes

The most common contributions are editing one sentence or fixing typos. We recommend you to find the source file in the GitHub repository²⁹⁸ and edit the file directly. For example, ²⁹⁸ you can search the file through the Find file²⁹⁹ button (Fig.20.6.1) to locate the source file (a markdown file). Then you click the "Edit this file" button on the upper-right corner to make your changes in the markdown file.

j.md Find file Copy path cc78f74 on Jan 14 Edit this file Raw Blame History 🖵 🖉 ปี

Figure 20.6.1 Edit the file on Github.

After you are done, fill in your change descriptions in the "Propose file change" panel on the page bottom and then click the "Propose file change" button. It will redirect you to a new page to review your changes (Fig.20.6.7). If everything is good, you can submit a pull request by clicking the "Create pull request" button.

20.6.2 Proposing Major Changes

If you plan to update a large portion of text or code, then you need to know a little bit more about the format this book is using. The source file is based on the markdown format³⁰⁰ with a set of extensions through the d2lbook³⁰¹ package such as referring to equations, images, chapters, and citations. You can use any markdown editors to open these files and make your changes.

If you would like to change the code, we recommend you to use the Jupyter Notebook to open these markdown files as described in Section 20.1. So that you can run and test your changes. Please remember to clear all outputs before submitting your changes, our CI system will execute the sections you updated to generate outputs.

Some sections may support multiple framework implementations. If you add a new code block not for the default implementation, which is MXNet, please use #@tab to mark this block on the beginning line. For example, #@tab pytorch for a PyTorch code block, #@tab tensorflow for a TensorFlow code block, or #@tab all a shared code block for all implementations. You may refer to the `d2lbook <http://book.d2l.ai/user/code_tabs.html>`__ package for more information.

20.6.3 Submitting Major Changes

We suggest you to use the standard Git process to submit a major change. In a nutshell the process works as described in Fig.20.6.2.





300







Figure 20.6.2 Contributing to the book.

We will walk you through the steps in detail. If you are already familiar with Git you can skip this section. For concreteness we assume that the contributor's user name is "astonzhang".

Installing Git



303 •

304

The Git open source book describes how to install Git $^{302}\,$. This typically works via apt install git on Ubuntu Linux, by installing the Xcode developer tools on macOS, or by using GitHub's desktop client $^{303}\,$. If you do not have a GitHub account, you need to sign up for one.

Logging in to GitHub





Figure 20.6.3 The code repository page.

Now, the code repository of this book will be forked (i.e., copied) to your username, such as astonzhang/d21-en shown at the upper-left of Fig.20.6.4.



```
Figure 20.6.4 The forked code repository.
```

Cloning the Repository

To clone the repository (i.e., to make a local copy) we need to get its repository address. The green button in Fig.20.6.5 displays this. Make sure that your local copy is up to date with the main repository if you decide to keep this fork around for longer. For now simply follow the instructions in *Installation* (page 27) to get started. The main difference is that you are now downloading *your own fork* of the repository.

Replace your_github_username with your GitHub username
git clone https://github.com/your_github_username/d2l-en.git

Branch: master - New pull request	Create	new file	Upload files	Find file	Clone or download -	
This branch is even with d2l-ai:master.		Clone with SSH ⑦ Use HTTP			Use HTTPS	
astonzhang Update README.md			Use a password protected SSH key.			
Expanse appendix Fix broken hyperlink (d2l-ai#359)			git@github.com:astonzhang/d2l-en.git			
upperdix	The broken hypernink (dz1-dim5555)					

Figure 20.6.5 Cloning the repository.

Editing and Pushing

Now it is time to edit the book. It is best to edit it in the Jupyter Notebook following instructions in Section 20.1. Make the changes and check that they are OK. Assume that we have modified a typo in the file ~/d2l-en/chapter_appendix_tools/how-to-contribute. md. You can then check which files you have changed.

At this point Git will prompt that the chapter_appendix_tools/how-to-contribute.md file has been modified.

```
mylaptop:d2l-en me$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
Changes not staged for commit:
  (use "git add <file>..." to update what will be committed)
  (use "git checkout -- <file>..." to discard changes in working directory)
  modified: chapter_appendix_tools/how-to-contribute.md
```

After confirming that this is what you want, execute the following command:

```
git add chapter_appendix_tools/how-to-contribute.md
git commit -m 'fix typo in git documentation'
git push
```

The changed code will then be in your personal fork of the repository. To request the addition of your change, you have to create a pull request for the official repository of the book.

Submitting Pull Requests

As shown in Fig.20.6.6, go to your fork of the repository on GitHub and select "New pull request". This will open up a screen that shows you the changes between your edits and what is current in the main repository of the book.

1,219 commits	₽ [°] 5 branches	🗊 0 packages	©2 rel	eases	1 52 contribu	tors	₫ View license
Branch: master - Ne	w pull request			Create new file	Upload files	Find file	Clone or download -
This branch is 1 commit	ahead of d2l-ai:master.					ឿ Pul	I request 🗄 Compare

Figure 20.6.6 New pull request.

Finally, submit a pull request by clicking the button as shown in Fig.20.6.7. Make sure to describe the changes you have made in the pull request. This will make it easier for the authors to review it and to merge it with the book. Depending on the changes, this might get accepted right away, rejected, or more likely, you will get some feedback on the changes. Once you have incorporated them, you are good to go.

Comparing changes

Choose two branches to see what's changed or to start a new pull request. If you need to, you can also compare across forks

ີ່ , base repository: d2l-ai/d2l-en ◄	base: master - head repository	: astonzhang/d2I-en 🔻 🛛 compare: master 🕶			
Able to merge. These branches can be automatically merged.					
Create pull request	and review the changes in this comparis	son with others.	0		
- > 1 commit	1 file changed	G commit comments	22 1 contributor		

Figure 20.6.7 Create pull request.

20.6.4 Summary

- You can use GitHub to contribute to this book.
- You can edit the file on GitHub directly for minor changes.
- For a major change, please fork the repository, edit things locally, and only contribute back once you are ready.
- Pull requests are how contributions are being bundled up. Try not to submit huge pull requests since this makes them hard to understand and incorporate. Better send several smaller ones.

Exercises

- 1. Star and fork the d2l-ai/d2l-en repository.
- 2. If you spot anything that needs improvement (e.g., missing a reference), submit a pull request.
- 3. It is usually a better practice to create a pull request using a new branch. Learn how to do it with Git branching³⁰⁵.

Discussions³⁰⁶



305

20.7 Utility Functions and Classes

This section contains the implementations of utility functions and classes used in this book.

```
import collections
import inspect
import random
from IPython import display
from mxnet import autograd, gluon, np, npx
from mxnet.gluon import nn
from d2l import mxnet as d2l
```

```
npx.set_np()
```

Hyperparameters.

Progress bar.

```
@d21.add_to_class(d21.ProgressBoard) #@save
def draw(self, x, y, label, every_n=1):
    Point = collections.namedtuple('Point', ['x', 'y'])
    if not hasattr(self, 'raw_points'):
        self.raw_points = collections.OrderedDict()
        self.data = collections.OrderedDict()
    if label not in self.raw_points:
       self.raw_points[label] = []
        self.data[label] = []
    points = self.raw_points[label]
    line = self.data[label]
   points.append(Point(x, y))
    if len(points) != every_n:
       return
   mean = lambda x: sum(x) / len(x)
   line.append(Point(mean([p.x for p in points]),
                      mean([p.y for p in points])))
    points.clear()
    if not self.display:
        return
    d21.use_svg_display()
    if self.fig is None:
        self.fig = d2l.plt.figure(figsize=self.figsize)
    plt_lines, labels = [], []
    for (k, v), ls, color in zip(self.data.items(), self.ls, self.colors):
       plt_lines.append(d21.plt.plot([p.x for p in v], [p.y for p in v],
                                      linestyle=ls, color=color)[0])
       labels.append(k)
    axes = self.axes if self.axes else d2l.plt.gca()
   if self.xlim: axes.set_xlim(self.xlim)
    if self.ylim: axes.set_ylim(self.ylim)
   if not self.xlabel: self.xlabel = self.x
    axes.set_xlabel(self.xlabel)
   axes.set_ylabel(self.ylabel)
   axes.set_xscale(self.xscale)
    axes.set_yscale(self.yscale)
    axes.legend(plt_lines, labels)
    display.display(self.fig)
    display.clear_output(wait=True)
```

Trainer

A bunch of functions that will be deprecated:

```
def load_array(data_arrays, batch_size, is_train=True): #@save
    """Construct a Gluon data iterator."""
    dataset = gluon.data.ArrayDataset(*data_arrays)
    return gluon.data.DataLoader(dataset, batch_size, shuffle=is_train)

def synthetic_data(w, b, num_examples): #@save
    """Generate y = Xw + b + noise."""
    X = np.random.normal(0, 1, (num_examples, len(w)))
    y = np.dot(X, w) + b
    y += np.random.normal(0, 0.01, y.shape)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
return X, y.reshape((-1, 1))
def sgd(params, lr, batch_size): #@save
     "Minibatch stochastic gradient descent."""
   for param in params:
       param[:] = param - lr * param.grad / batch_size
def get_dataloader_workers(): #@save
     ""Use 4 processes to read the data except for Windows."""
   return 0 if sys.platform.startswith('win') else 4
def load_data_fashion_mnist(batch_size, resize=None): #@save
    ""Download the Fashion-MNIST dataset and then load it into memory."""
   dataset = gluon.data.vision
   trans = [dataset.transforms.ToTensor()]
   if resize:
       trans.insert(0, dataset.transforms.Resize(resize))
   trans = dataset.transforms.Compose(trans)
   mnist_train = dataset.FashionMNIST(train=True).transform_first(trans)
   mnist_test = dataset.FashionMNIST(train=False).transform_first(trans)
   return (gluon.data.DataLoader(mnist_train, batch_size, shuffle=True,
                                 num_workers=get_dataloader_workers()),
           gluon.data.DataLoader(mnist_test, batch_size, shuffle=False,
                                 num_workers=get_dataloader_workers()))
def evaluate_accuracy_gpu(net, data_iter, device=None): #@save
    """Compute the accuracy for a model on a dataset using a GPU."""
   if not device: # Query the first device where the first parameter is on
       device = list(net.collect_params().values())[0].list_ctx()[0]
   # No. of correct predictions, no. of predictions
   metric = d2l.Accumulator(2)
   for X, y in data_iter:
       X, y = X.as_in_ctx(device), y.as_in_ctx(device)
       metric add(d2l accuracy(net(X), y), d2l size(y))
   return metric[0] / metric[1]
#@save
def train_ch6(net, train_iter, test_iter, num_epochs, lr, device):
    """Train a model with a GPU (defined in Chapter 6)."""
   net.initialize(force_reinit=True, ctx=device, init=init.Xavier())
   loss = gluon.loss.SoftmaxCrossEntropyLoss()
   trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(),
                            'sgd', {'learning_rate': lr})
   timer, num_batches = d2l.Timer(), len(train_iter)
   for epoch in range(num_epochs):
       # Sum of training loss, sum of training accuracy, no. of examples
       metric = d2l.Accumulator(3)
       for i, (X, y) in enumerate(train_iter):
           timer.start()
           # Here is the major difference from `d21.train_epoch_ch3`
           X, y = X.as_in_ctx(device), y.as_in_ctx(device)
           with autograd.record():
               y_hat = net(X)
               l = loss(y_hat, y)
           l.backward()
           trainer.step(X.shape[0])
           metric.add(l.sum(), d2l.accuracy(y_hat, y), X.shape[0])
           timer.stop()
           train_l = metric[0] / metric[2]
           train_acc = metric[1] / metric[2]
           if (i + 1) % (num_batches // 5) == 0 or i == num_batches - 1:
               animator.add(epoch + (i + 1) / num_batches,
                            (train_l, train_acc, None))
       test_acc = evaluate_accuracy_gpu(net, test_iter)
       animator.add(epoch + 1, (None, None, test_acc))
   print(f'loss {train_1:.3f}, train acc {train_acc:.3f},
```

(continued from previous page)

```
f'test acc {test_acc:.3f}')
print(f'{metric[2] * num_epochs / timer.sum():.1f} examples/sec '
    f'on {str(device)}')

def grad_clipping(net, theta): #@save
    """Clip the gradient."""
    if isinstance(net, gluon.Block):
        params = [p.data() for p in net.collect_params().values()]
    else:
        params = net.params
    norm = math.sqrt(sum((p.grad ** 2).sum() for p in params))
    if norm > theta:
        for param in params:
            param.grad[:] *= theta / norm
    }
}
```

```
def evaluate_accuracy(net, data_iter): #@save
    """Compute the accuracy for a model on a dataset."""
    metric = Accumulator(2) # No. of correct predictions, no. of predictions
    for X, y in data_iter:
        metric.add(accuracy(net(X), y), d2l.size(y))
    return metric[0] / metric[1]
```

```
def linreg(X, w, b): #@save
    """The linear regression model."""
   return np.dot(X, w) + b
def squared_loss(y_hat, y): #@save
    """Squared loss.'
   return (y_hat - y.reshape(y_hat.shape)) ** 2 / 2
def get_fashion_mnist_labels(labels): #@save
    ""Return text labels for the Fashion-MNIST dataset."""
   return [text_labels[int(i)] for i in labels]
def show_images(imgs, num_rows, num_cols, titles=None, scale=1.5): #@save
   """Plot a list of images."
   figsize = (num_cols * scale, num_rows * scale)
    _, axes = d21.plt.subplots(num_rows, num_cols, figsize=figsize)
   axes = axes.flatten()
   for i, (ax, img) in enumerate(zip(axes, imgs)):
       try:
           img = img.asnumpy()
       except:
           pass
       ax.imshow(img)
       ax.axes.get_xaxis().set_visible(False)
       ax.axes.get_yaxis().set_visible(False)
       if titles:
           ax.set_title(titles[i])
   return axes
class Animator: #@save
    """For plotting data in animation."""
   def __init__(self, xlabel=None, ylabel=None, legend=None, xlim=None,
               ylim=None, xscale='linear', yscale='linear',
                fmts=('-', 'm--', 'g-.', 'r:'), nrows=1, ncols=1,
                figsize=(3.5, 2.5)):
       # Incrementally plot multiple lines
       if legend is None:
           legend = []
       d2l.use_svg_display()
       self.fig, self.axes = d21.plt.subplots(nrows, ncols, figsize=figsize)
       if nrows * ncols == 1:
```

```
(continued from previous page)
```

```
self.axes = [self.axes, ]
       # Use a lambda function to capture arguments
        self.config_axes = lambda: d2l.set_axes(
            self.axes[0], xlabel, ylabel, xlim, ylim, xscale, yscale, legend)
        self.X, self.Y, self.fmts = None, None, fmts
    def add(self, x, y):
        # Add multiple data points into the figure
       if not hasattr(y, "__len__"):
           y = [y]
       n = len(y)
        if not hasattr(x, "__len__"):
           x = [x] * n
        if not self.X:
           self.X = [[] for _ in range(n)]
       if not self.Y:
           self.Y = [[] for _ in range(n)]
        for i, (a, b) in enumerate(zip(x, y)):
            if a is not None and b is not None:
               self.X[i].append(a)
               self.Y[i].append(b)
        self.axes[0].cla()
        for x, y, fmt in zip(self.X, self.Y, self.fmts):
            self.axes[0].plot(x, y, fmt)
        self.config_axes()
        display.display(self.fig)
       display.clear_output(wait=True)
class Accumulator: #@save
    """For accumulating sums over `n` variables."""
   def __init__(self, n):
       self.data = [0.0] * n
    def add(self, *args):
       self.data = [a + float(b) for a, b in zip(self.data, args)]
    def reset(self):
       self.data = [0.0] * len(self.data)
    def __getitem__(self, idx):
        return self.data[idx]
def accuracy(y_hat, y): #@save
    """Compute the number of correct predictions."""
    if len(y_hat.shape) > 1 and y_hat.shape[1] > 1:
       y_hat = y_hat.argmax(axis=1)
    cmp = y_hat.astype(y.dtype) == y
    return float(cmp.astype(y.dtype).sum())
import hashlib
import os
import tarfile
import zipfile
import requests
def download(url, folder='../data', sha1_hash=None): #@save
    ""Download a file to folder and return the local filepath."""
    if not url.startswith('http'):
       # For back compatability
       url, sha1_hash = DATA_HUB[url]
    os.makedirs(folder, exist_ok=True)
    fname = os.path.join(folder, url.split('/')[-1])
    # Check if hit cache
    if os.path.exists(fname) and sha1_hash:
       sha1 = hashlib.sha1()
```

(continued from previous page)

```
with open(fname, 'rb') as f:
            while True:
               data = f.read(1048576)
                if not data:
                   break
                sha1.update(data)
       if sha1.hexdigest() == sha1_hash:
           return fname
   # Download
   print(f'Downloading {fname} from {url}...')
    r = requests.get(url, stream=True, verify=True)
    with open(fname, 'wb') as f:
       f.write(r.content)
    return fname
def extract(filename, folder=None): #@save
    """Extract a zip/tar file into folder."""
   base_dir = os.path.dirname(filename)
    _, ext = os.path.splitext(filename)
   assert ext in ('.zip', '.tar', '.gz'), 'Only support zip/tar files.'
    if ext == '.zip':
       fp = zipfile.ZipFile(filename, 'r')
    else:
       fp = tarfile.open(filename, 'r')
    if folder is None:
       folder = base_dir
    fp.extractall(folder)
def download_extract(name, folder=None): #@save
```

```
"""Download_extract(name, rolder=None). mesave
"""Download and extract a zip/tar file."""
fname = download(name)
base_dir = os.path.dirname(fname)
data_dir, ext = os.path.splitext(fname)
if ext == '.zip':
    fp = zipfile.ZipFile(fname, 'r')
elif ext in ('.tar', '.gz'):
    fp = tarfile.open(fname, 'r')
else:
    assert False, 'Only zip/tar files can be extracted.'
fp.extractall(base_dir)
return os.path.join(base_dir, folder) if folder else data_dir
```

```
def tokenize(lines, token='word'): #@save
    """Split text lines into word or character tokens."""
    assert token in ('word', 'char'), 'Unknown token type: ' + token
    return [line.split() if token == 'word' else list(line) for line in lines]
```

```
def evaluate_loss(net, data_iter, loss): #@save
    """Evaluate the loss of a model on the given dataset."""
    metric = d21.Accumulator(2) # Sum of losses, no. of examples
    for X, y in data_iter:
        1 = loss(net(X), y)
        metric.add(1.sum(), d21.size(1))
    return metric[0] / metric[1]
```

More for the attention chapter.

```
(continued from previous page)
    """Load the English-French dataset."""
    data_dir = d2l.download_extract('fra-eng')
    with open(os.path.join(data_dir, 'fra.txt'), 'r') as f:
        return f.read()
#@save
def preprocess_nmt(text):
     ""Preprocess the English-French dataset."""
    def no_space(char, prev_char):
        return char in set(',.!?') and prev_char != ' '
    # Replace non-breaking space with space, and convert uppercase letters to
    # lowercase ones
    text = text.replace('\u202f', ' ').replace('\xa0', ' ').lower()
    # Insert space between words and punctuation marks
    out = [' ' + char if i > 0 and no_space(char, text[i - 1]) else char
           for i, char in enumerate(text)]
    return ''.join(out)
#@save
def tokenize_nmt(text, num_examples=None):
     ""Tokenize the English-French dataset."""
    source, target = [], []
    for i, line in enumerate(text.split('\n')):
        if num_examples and i > num_examples:
           break
        parts = line.split('\t')
        if len(parts) == 2:
            source.append(parts[0].split(' '))
            target.append(parts[1].split(' '))
    return source, target
#@save
def truncate_pad(line, num_steps, padding_token):
    """Truncate or pad sequences.
    if len(line) > num_steps:
        return line[:num_steps] # Truncate
    return line + [padding_token] * (num_steps - len(line)) # Pad
#@save
def build_array_nmt(lines, vocab, num_steps):
    ""Transform text sequences of machine translation into minibatches."""
    lines = [vocab[1] for 1 in lines]
    lines = [1 + [vocab['<eos>']] for 1 in lines]
    array = np.array([truncate_pad(
        l, num_steps, vocab['<pad>']) for l in lines])
    valid_len = (array != vocab['<pad>']).astype(np.int32).sum(1)
    return array, valid_len
#@save
def load_data_nmt(batch_size, num_steps, num_examples=600):
    """Return the iterator and the vocabularies of the translation dataset."""
    text = preprocess_nmt(read_data_nmt())
    source, target = tokenize_nmt(text, num_examples)
    src_vocab = d21.Vocab(source, min_freq=2,
                          reserved_tokens=['<pad>', '<bos>', '<eos>'])
    tgt_vocab = d2l.Vocab(target, min_freq=2,
                          reserved_tokens=['<pad>', '<bos>', '<eos>'])
    src_array, src_valid_len = build_array_nmt(source, src_vocab, num_steps)
    tgt_array, tgt_valid_len = build_array_nmt(target, tgt_vocab, num_steps)
```

```
#@save
class MaskedSoftmaxCELoss(gluon.loss.SoftmaxCELoss):
    """The softmax cross-entropy loss with masks."
   # `pred` shape: (`batch_size`, `num_steps`, `vocab_size`)
# `label` shape: (`batch_size`, `num_steps`)
   # `valid_len` shape: (`batch_size`,)
   def forward(self, pred, label, valid_len):
        # `weights` shape: (`batch_size`, `num_steps`, 1)
       weights = np.expand_dims(np.ones_like(label), axis=-1)
        weights = npx.sequence_mask(weights, valid_len, True, axis=1)
        return super(MaskedSoftmaxCELoss, self).forward(pred, label, weights)
#@save
def train_seq2seq(net, data_iter, lr, num_epochs, tgt_vocab, device):
     ""Train a model for sequence to sequence."
    net.initialize(init.Xavier(), force_reinit=True, ctx=device)
    trainer = gluon.Trainer(net.collect_params(), 'adam',
                            {'learning_rate': lr})
   loss = MaskedSoftmaxCELoss()
    animator = d2l.Animator(xlabel='epoch', ylabel='loss',
                            xlim=[10, num_epochs])
    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        timer = d2l.Timer()
        metric = d2l.Accumulator(2) # Sum of training loss, no. of tokens
        for batch in data_iter:
            X, X_valid_len, Y, Y_valid_len = [
                x.as_in_ctx(device) for x in batch]
            bos = np.array(
                [tgt_vocab['<bos>']] * Y.shape[0], ctx=device).reshape(-1, 1)
            dec_input = np.concatenate([bos, Y[:, :-1]], 1) # Teacher forcing
            with autograd.record():
                Y_hat, _ = net(X, dec_input, X_valid_len)
                l = loss(Y_hat, Y, Y_valid_len)
            1.backward()
            d2l.grad_clipping(net, 1)
            num_tokens = Y_valid_len.sum()
            trainer.step(num_tokens)
            metric.add(l.sum(), num_tokens)
        if (epoch + 1) % 10 == 0:
            animator.add(epoch + 1, (metric[0] / metric[1],))
    print(f'loss {metric[0] / metric[1]:.3f}, {metric[1] / timer.stop():.1f} '
          f'tokens/sec on {str(device)}')
#@save
def predict_seq2seq(net, src_sentence, src_vocab, tgt_vocab, num_steps,
                    device, save_attention_weights=False):
    """Predict for sequence to sequence."""
    src_tokens = src_vocab[src_sentence.lower().split(' ')] + [
        src_vocab['<eos>']]
    enc_valid_len = np.array([len(src_tokens)], ctx=device)
    src_tokens = d2l.truncate_pad(src_tokens, num_steps, src_vocab['<pad>'])
    # Add the batch axis
    enc_X = np.expand_dims(np.array(src_tokens, ctx=device), axis=0)
    enc_outputs = net.encoder(enc_X, enc_valid_len)
    dec_state = net.decoder.init_state(enc_outputs, enc_valid_len)
    # Add the batch axis
    dec_X = np.expand_dims(np.array([tgt_vocab['<bos>']], ctx=device), axis=0)
   output_seq, attention_weight_seq = [], []
    for _ in range(num_steps):
        Y, dec_state = net.decoder(dec_X, dec_state)
        # We use the token with the highest prediction likelihood as input
        # of the decoder at the next time step
        dec_X = Y.argmax(axis=2)
        pred = dec_X.squeeze(axis=0).astype('int32').item()
        # Save attention weights (to be covered later)
        if save_attention_weights:
            attention_weight_seq.append(net.decoder.attention_weights)
        # Once the end-of-sequence token is predicted, the generation of the
        # output sequence is complete
```

```
(continues on next page)
```

(continued from previous page)

20.8 d21 API Document

The implementations of the following members of the d21 package and sections where they are defined and explained can be found in the source file 307 .



20.8.1 Models

class d21.mxnet.Module(plot_train_per_epoch=2, plot_valid_per_epoch=1)
Bases: Block, HyperParameters (page 892)
Defined in Section 3.2
configure_optimizers()

Defined in Section 4.3

```
forward(X)
```

Overrides to implement forward computation using NDArray. Only accepts positional arguments.

*args

[list of NDArray] Input tensors.

```
get_scratch_params()
```

Defined in Section 4.3

loss(*y_hat*, *y*)

```
parameters()
```

Defined in Section 4.3

plot(key, value, train)

Plot a point in animation.

```
set_scratch_params_device(device)
```

Defined in Section 6.7

training_step(batch)

validation_step(batch)

class d21.mxnet.LinearRegressionScratch(num_inputs, lr, sigma=0.01)

Bases: Module (page 889)

Defined in Section 3.4

configure_optimizers()

Defined in Section 3.4

forward(X)The linear regression model. Defined in Section 3.4 $loss(y_hat, y)$ Defined in Section 3.4 class d2l.mxnet.LinearRegression(lr) Bases: Module (page 889) Defined in Section 3.5 configure_optimizers() Defined in Section 3.5 forward(X)The linear regression model. Defined in Section 3.5 get_w_b() Defined in Section 3.5 loss(y_hat, y) Defined in Section 3.5 class d21.mxnet.Classifier(plot_train_per_epoch=2, plot_valid_per_epoch=1) Bases: Module (page 889) Defined in Section 4.3 accuracy(Y_hat, Y, averaged=True) Compute the number of correct predictions. Defined in Section 4.3 layer_summary(X_shape) Defined in Section 7.6 loss(Y_hat, Y, averaged=True) Defined in Section 4.5 validation_step(batch)

20.8.2 Data

```
class d21.mxnet.DataModule(root='../data', num_workers=4)
Bases: HyperParameters (page 892)
Defined in Section 3.2
get_dataloader(train)
get_tensorloader(tensors, train, indices=slice(0, None, None))
Defined in Section 3.3
train_dataloader()
val_dataloader()
```

<pre>class d21.mxnet.SyntheticRegressionData(w, b, noise=0.01, num_train=1000,</pre>
Bases: DataModule (page 890)
Defined in Section 3.3
get_dataloader(<i>train</i>) Defined in Section 3.3
<pre>class d2l.mxnet.FashionMNIST(batch_size=64, resize=(28, 28))</pre>
Bases: DataModule (page 890)
Defined in Section 4.2
<pre>get_dataloader(train)</pre>
Defined in Section 4.2
<pre>text_labels(indices)</pre>
Return text labels.
Defined in Section 4.2
<pre>visualize(batch, nrows=1, ncols=8, labels=[])</pre>
Defined in Section 4.2

20.8.3 Trainer

<pre>class d2l.mxnet.Trainer(max_epochs, num_gpus=0, gradient_clip_val=0) Bases: HyperParameters (page 892)</pre>
Defined in Section 3.2
<pre>clip_gradients(grad_clip_val, model) Defined in Section 9.5</pre>
<pre>fit(model, data)</pre>
fit_epoch() Defined in Section 3.4
prepare_batch(<i>batch</i>) Defined in Section 6.7
<pre>prepare_data(data)</pre>
prepare_model(<i>model</i>) Defined in Section 6.7
class d2l.mxnet.SGD(<i>params</i> , <i>lr</i>)
Bases: HyperParameters (page 892)
Defined in Section 3.4
<pre>step(_)</pre>

20.8.4 Utilities

d2l.mxnet.add_to_class(Class)	
Defined in Section 3.2	
d2l.mxnet.cpu()	
Defined in Section 6.7	
d21.mxnet.gpu($i=0$)	
Defined in Section 6.7	
d2l.mxnet.num_gpus()	
Defined in Section 6.7	
class d2l.mxnet.ProgressBoard	(xlabel=None, ylabel=None, xlim=None, ylim=None, xscale='linear', yscale='linear', ls=['-', '', '', ':'], colors=['C0', 'C1', 'C2', 'C3'], fig=None, axes=None, figsize=(3.5, 2.5), display=True)
Bases: HyperParameters (page 89	92)
Plot data points in animation.	
Defined in Section 3.2	
<pre>draw(x, y, label, every_n=1)</pre>	
Defined in Section 20.7	
class d2l.mxnet.HyperParamete	rs
Bases: object	
<pre>save_hyperparameters(ignore=)</pre>	[])
Save function arguments into cla	ass attributes.
Defined in Section 20.7	

- Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Davis, A., Dean, J., ... others. (2016). Tensorflow: a system for large-scale machine learning. *12th* \$\\$USENIX\$\\$ symposium on operating systems design and implementation (\$\\$OSDI\$\\$ 16) (pp. 265–283).
- Abdel-Hamid, O., Mohamed, A.-r., Jiang, H., Deng, L., Penn, G., & Yu, D. (2014). Convolutional neural networks for speech recognition. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 22(10), 1533–1545.
- Ahmed, A., Aly, M., Gonzalez, J., Narayanamurthy, S., & Smola, A. J. (2012). Scalable inference in latent variable models. *Proceedings of the fifth ACM international conference* on Web search and data mining (pp. 123–132).
- Alayrac, J.-B., Donahue, J., Luc, P., Miech, A., Barr, I., Hasson, Y., ... others. (2022). Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.14198*.
- Alsallakh, B., Kokhlikyan, N., Miglani, V., Yuan, J., & Reblitz-Richardson, O. (2020). Mind the pad–cnns can develop blind spots. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02178.
- Anil, R., Gupta, V., Koren, T., Regan, K., & Singer, Y. (2020). Scalable second order optimization for deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.09018.
- Aronszajn, N. (1950). Theory of reproducing kernels. *Transactions of the American mathe*matical society, 68(3), 337–404.
- Ba, J. L., Kiros, J. R., & Hinton, G. E. (2016). Layer normalization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450.
- Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473.
- Bay, H., Tuytelaars, T., & Van Gool, L. (2006). Surf: speeded up robust features. *European* conference on computer vision (pp. 404–417).
- Bengio, Y., Ducharme, R., Vincent, P., & Jauvin, C. (2003). A neural probabilistic language model. *Journal of machine learning research*, 3(Feb), 1137–1155.
- Bengio, Y., Simard, P., & Frasconi, P. (1994). Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, 5(2), 157–166.
- Bergstra, J., Breuleux, O., Bastien, F., Lamblin, P., Pascanu, R., Desjardins, G., ... Bengio, Y. (2010). Theano: a cpu and gpu math compiler in python. *Proc. 9th python in science conf* (pp. 3–10).
- Beutel, A., Murray, K., Faloutsos, C., & Smola, A. J. (2014). Cobafi: collaborative bayesian filtering. *Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on World wide web* (pp. 97–108).
- Bishop, C. M. (1995). Training with noise is equivalent to tikhonov regularization. *Neural computation*, 7(1), 108–116.
- Bishop, C. M. (2006). Pattern recognition and machine learning. springer.
- Black, F., & Scholes, M. (1973). The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. *The Journal of Political Economy*, pp. 637–654.
- Bodla, N., Singh, B., Chellappa, R., & Davis, L. S. (2017). Soft-nms-improving object detection with one line of code. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 5561–5569).
- Bojanowski, P., Grave, E., Joulin, A., & Mikolov, T. (2017). Enriching word vectors with subword information. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, *5*, 135–146.
- Bollobás, B. (1999). Linear analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., Altman, R., Arora, S., von Arx, S., ... others. (2021). On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*.
- Bottou, L. (2010). Large-scale machine learning with stochastic gradient descent. Proceedings of COMPSTAT'2010 (pp. 177–186). Springer.
- Bottou, L., & Le Cun, Y. (1988). Sn: a simulator for connectionist models. *Proceedings* of *NeuroNimes* 88 (pp. 371–382). Nimes, France. URL: http://leon.bottou.org/papers/ bottou-lecun-88
- Boucheron, S., Bousquet, O., & Lugosi, G. (2005). Theory of classification: a survey of some recent advances. *ESAIM: probability and statistics*, 9, 323–375.
- Boucheron, S., Bousquet, O., & Lugosi, G. (2005). Theory of classification: a survey of some recent advances. *ESAIM: probability and statistics*, 9, 323–375.
- Bowman, S. R., Angeli, G., Potts, C., & Manning, C. D. (2015). A large annotated corpus for learning natural language inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.05326*.
- Boyd, S., & Vandenberghe, L. (2004). Convex Optimization. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Bradley, R. A., & Terry, M. E. (1952). Rank analysis of incomplete block designs: i. the method of paired comparisons. *Biometrika*, 39(3/4), 324–345.
- Brown, N., & Sandholm, T. (2017). Libratus: the superhuman ai for no-limit poker. *IJCAI* (pp. 5226–5228).
- Brown, P. F., Cocke, J., Della Pietra, S. A., Della Pietra, V. J., Jelinek, F., Lafferty, J., ... Roossin, P. S. (1990). A statistical approach to machine translation. *Computational linguistics*, 16(2), 79–85.
- Brown, P. F., Cocke, J., Della Pietra, S. A., Della Pietra, V. J., Jelinek, F., Mercer, R. L., & Roossin, P. (1988). A statistical approach to language translation. *Coling Budapest 1988 Volume 1: International Conference on Computational Linguistics*.
- Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., ... others. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33, 1877–1901.
- Buslaev, A., Iglovikov, V. I., Khvedchenya, E., Parinov, A., Druzhinin, M., & Kalinin, A. A. (2020). Albumentations: fast and flexible image augmentations. *Information*, 11(2), 125.
- Campbell, M., Hoane Jr, A. J., & Hsu, F.-h. (2002). Deep blue. *Artificial intelligence*, *134*(1-2), 57–83.
- Canny, J. (1987). A computational approach to edge detection. *Readings in computer vision* (pp. 184–203). Elsevier.
- Cer, D., Diab, M., Agirre, E., Lopez-Gazpio, I., & Specia, L. (2017). Semeval-2017 task 1: semantic textual similarity multilingual and crosslingual focused evaluation. *Proceedings* of the 11th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2017) (pp. 1–14).
- Cheng, J., Dong, L., & Lapata, M. (2016). Long short-term memory-networks for machine reading. *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing* (pp. 551–561).
- Chetlur, S., Woolley, C., Vandermersch, P., Cohen, J., Tran, J., Catanzaro, B., & Shelhamer, E. (2014). Cudnn: efficient primitives for deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.0759*.
- Cho, K., Van Merriënboer, B., Gulcehre, C., Bahdanau, D., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078.
- Chowdhery, A., Narang, S., Devlin, J., Bosma, M., Mishra, G., Roberts, A., ... others. (2022). Palm: scaling language modeling with pathways. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02311*.
- Chung, J., Gulcehre, C., Cho, K., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3555*.

- Clark, K., Luong, M.-T., Le, Q. V., & Manning, C. D. (2020). Electra: pre-training text encoders as discriminators rather than generators. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Collobert, R., Weston, J., Bottou, L., Karlen, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., & Kuksa, P. (2011). Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *Journal of machine learning research*, 12(ARTICLE), 2493–2537.
- Cover, T., & Thomas, J.M. (1999). Elements of information theory. John Wiley & Sons.
- Csiszár, I. (2008). Axiomatic characterizations of information measures. *Entropy*, *10*(3), 261–273.
- Cybenko, G. (1989). Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics* of control, signals and systems, 2(4), 303–314.
- Dalal, N., & Triggs, B. (2005). Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. 2005 IEEE computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'05) (pp. 886–893).
- De Cock, D. (2011). Ames, iowa: alternative to the boston housing data as an end of semester regression project. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 19(3).
- Dean, J., Corrado, G. S., Monga, R., Chen, K., Devin, M., Le, Q. V., ... others. (2012). Large scale distributed deep networks. *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference* on Neural Information Processing Systems-Volume 1 (pp. 1223–1231).
- Dean, J., Corrado, G. S., Monga, R., Chen, K., Devin, M., Le, Q. V., ... others. (2012). Large scale distributed deep networks. *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference* on Neural Information Processing Systems-Volume 1 (pp. 1223–1231).
- DeCandia, G., Hastorun, D., Jampani, M., Kakulapati, G., Lakshman, A., Pilchin, A., ... Vogels, W. (2007). Dynamo: amazon's highly available key-value store. *ACM SIGOPS operating systems review* (pp. 205–220).
- Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Li, K., & Fei-Fei, L. (2009). Imagenet: a largescale hierarchical image database. 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 248–255).
- Der Kiureghian, A., & Ditlevsen, O. (2009). Aleatory or epistemic? does it matter? *Structural safety*, *31*(2), 105–112.
- Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.
- Doersch, C., Gupta, A., & Efros, A. A. (2015). Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 1422–1430).
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., ... others. (2021). An image is worth 16x16 words: transformers for image recognition at scale. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Duchi, J., Hazan, E., & Singer, Y. (2011). Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12(Jul), 2121–2159.
- Dumoulin, V., & Visin, F. (2016). A guide to convolution arithmetic for deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07285.
- Dwork, C., Feldman, V., Hardt, M., Pitassi, T., Reingold, O., & Roth, A. L. (2015). Preserving statistical validity in adaptive data analysis. *Proceedings of the forty-seventh annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing* (pp. 117–126).
- Elman, J. L. (1990). Finding structure in time. Cognitive science, 14(2), 179-211.
- Fechner, G. T. (1860). Elemente der Ppsychophysik. Vol. 2. Breitkopf u. Härtel.
- Fedus, W., Zoph, B., & Shazeer, N. (2022). Switch transformers: scaling to trillion parameter models with simple and efficient sparsity. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(120), 1–39.
- Fernando, R. (2004). GPU gems: programming techniques, tips, and tricks for real-time graphics. Vol. 590. Addison-Wesley Reading.
- Field, D. J. (1987). Relations between the statistics of natural images and the response properties of cortical cells. *Josa a*, 4(12), 2379–2394.

Fisher, R. (1928). Statistical methods for research workers. Stechert.

- Flammarion, N., & Bach, F. (2015). From averaging to acceleration, there is only a step-size. *Conference on Learning Theory* (pp. 658–695).
- Frankle, J., & Carbin, M. (2018). The lottery ticket hypothesis: finding sparse, trainable neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03635*.
- Frazier, P. I. (2018). A tutorial on bayesian optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.02811.
- Freund, Y., Schapire, R. E., & others. (1996). Experiments with a new boosting algorithm. *icml* (pp. 148–156).
- Friedman, J. H. (1987). Exploratory projection pursuit. Journal of the American statistical association, 82(397), 249–266.
- Frostig, R., Johnson, M. J., & Leary, C. (2018). Compiling machine learning programs via high-level tracing. Systems for Machine Learning.
- Fukushima, K. (1982). Neocognitron: a self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of visual pattern recognition. *Competition and cooperation in neural nets* (pp. 267– 285). Springer.
- Garg, S., Balakrishnan, S., Kolter, Z., & Lipton, Z. (2021). Ratt: leveraging unlabeled data to guarantee generalization. *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 3598–3609).
- Gatys, L. A., Ecker, A. S., & Bethge, M. (2016). Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 2414–2423).
- Gauss, C. F. (1809). Theoria motus corporum coelestum. Werke.
- Gibbs, J. W. (1902). Elementary principles of statistical mechanics. Compare, 289, 314.
- Ginibre, J. (1965). Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. *Journal* of *Mathematical Physics*, 6(3), 440–449.
- Girshick, R. (2015). Fast r-cnn. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 1440–1448).
- Girshick, R., Donahue, J., Darrell, T., & Malik, J. (2014). Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 580–587).
- Glorot, X., & Bengio, Y. (2010). Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks. *Proceedings of the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelli*gence and statistics (pp. 249–256).
- Goh, G. (2017). Why momentum really works. *Distill*. URL: http://distill.pub/2017/ momentum, doi:10.23915/distill.00006³⁰⁸
- Goldberg, D., Nichols, D., Oki, B. M., & Terry, D. (1992). Using collaborative filtering to weave an information tapestry. *Communications of the ACM*, *35*(12), 61–71.
- Golub, G. H., & Van Loan, C. F. (1996). Matrix computations. Johns Hopkins studies in the mathematical sciences.
- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press. http://www. deeplearningbook.org.
- Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., ... Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 2672–2680).
- Gotmare, A., Keskar, N. S., Xiong, C., & Socher, R. (2018). A closer look at deep learning heuristics: learning rate restarts, warmup and distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.13243*.
- Goyal, A., Bochkovskiy, A., Deng, J., & Koltun, V. (2021). Non-deep networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07641.
- Graham, B. (2014). Fractional max-pooling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6071.
- Graves, A. (2013). Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.0850*.
- Graves, A., Liwicki, M., Fernández, S., Bertolami, R., Bunke, H., & Schmidhuber, J. (2008). A novel connectionist system for unconstrained handwriting recognition. *IEEE transactions* on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 31(5), 855–868.

- Graves, A., & Schmidhuber, J. (2005). Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional lstm and other neural network architectures. *Neural networks*, *18*(5-6), 602–610.
- Griewank, A. (1989). On automatic differentiation. *Mathematical Programming: recent de*velopments and applications, 6(6), 83–107.
- Gunawardana, A., & Shani, G. (2015). Evaluating recommender systems. Recommender systems handbook (pp. 265–308). Springer.
- Guo, H., Tang, R., Ye, Y., Li, Z., & He, X. (2017). Deepfm: a factorization-machine based neural network for ctr prediction. *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference* on Artificial Intelligence (pp. 1725–1731).
- Guyon, I., Gunn, S., Nikravesh, M., & Zadeh, L. A. (2008). Feature extraction: foundations and applications. Vol. 207. Springer.
- Hadjis, S., Zhang, C., Mitliagkas, I., Iter, D., & Ré, C. (2016). Omnivore: an optimizer for multi-device deep learning on cpus and gpus. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.04487.
- Hartley, R., & Zisserman, A. (2000). Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision. Cambridge University Press.
- Hartley, R. I., & Kahl, F. (2009). Global optimization through rotation space search. *Inter-national Journal of Computer Vision*, 82(1), 64–79.
- He, K., Chen, X., Xie, S., Li, Y., Dollár, P., & Girshick, R. (2022). Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition (pp. 16000–16009).
- He, K., Gkioxari, G., Dollár, P., & Girshick, R. (2017). Mask r-cnn. Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 2961–2969).
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2015). Delving deep into rectifiers: surpassing humanlevel performance on imagenet classification. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 1026–1034).
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 770– 778).
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Identity mappings in deep residual networks. *European conference on computer vision* (pp. 630–645).
- He, X., & Chua, T.-S. (2017). Neural factorization machines for sparse predictive analytics. Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (pp. 355–364).
- He, X., Liao, L., Zhang, H., Nie, L., Hu, X., & Chua, T.-S. (2017). Neural collaborative filtering. *Proceedings of the 26th international conference on world wide web* (pp. 173–182).
- Hebb, D. O., & Hebb, D. (1949). The organization of behavior. Vol. 65. Wiley New York.
- Hendrycks, D., & Gimpel, K. (2016). Gaussian error linear units (gelus). arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.08415.
- Hennessy, J. L., & Patterson, D. A. (2011). *Computer architecture: a quantitative approach*. Elsevier.
- Herlocker, J. L., Konstan, J. A., Borchers, A., & Riedl, J. (1999). An algorithmic framework for performing collaborative filtering. 22nd Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 1999 (pp. 230–237).
- Hidasi, B., Karatzoglou, A., Baltrunas, L., & Tikk, D. (2015). Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06939.
- Hochreiter, S., Bengio, Y., Frasconi, P., Schmidhuber, J., & others (2001). Gradient flow in recurrent nets: the difficulty of learning long-term dependencies.
- Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8), 1735–1780.
- Hoffmann, J., Borgeaud, S., Mensch, A., Buchatskaya, E., Cai, T., Rutherford, E., ... others. (2022). Training compute-optimal large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.15556*.

- Howard, A., Sandler, M., Chu, G., Chen, L.-C., Chen, B., Tan, M., ... Adam, H. (2019). Searching for mobilenetv3. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1314–1324).
- Hoyer, P. O., Janzing, D., Mooij, J. M., Peters, J., & Schölkopf, B. (2009). Nonlinear causal discovery with additive noise models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 689–696).
- Hu, J., Shen, L., & Sun, G. (2018). Squeeze-and-excitation networks. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 7132–7141).
- Hu, Y., Koren, Y., & Volinsky, C. (2008). Collaborative filtering for implicit feedback datasets. 2008 Eighth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (pp. 263–272).
- Hu, Z., Lee, R. K.-W., Aggarwal, C. C., & Zhang, A. (2020). Text style transfer: a review and experimental evaluation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.12742.
- Huang, C.-Z. A., Vaswani, A., Uszkoreit, J., Simon, I., Hawthorne, C., Shazeer, N., ... Eck, D. (2018). Music transformer: generating music with long-term structure. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Huang, G., Liu, Z., Van Der Maaten, L., & Weinberger, K. Q. (2017). Densely connected convolutional networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 4700–4708).
- Huang, Z., Xu, W., & Yu, K. (2015). Bidirectional lstm-crf models for sequence tagging. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.01991*.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1959). Receptive fields of single neurones in the cat's striate cortex. *The Journal of physiology*, 148(3), 574–591.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *The Journal of physiology*, *160*(1), 106–154.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1968). Receptive fields and functional architecture of monkey striate cortex. *The Journal of physiology*, 195(1), 215–243.
- Ioffe, S. (2017). Batch renormalization: towards reducing minibatch dependence in batchnormalized models. Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 1945–1953).
- Ioffe, S., & Szegedy, C. (2015). Batch normalization: accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.03167.
- Izmailov, P., Podoprikhin, D., Garipov, T., Vetrov, D., & Wilson, A. G. (2018). Averaging weights leads to wider optima and better generalization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05407.
- Jacot, A., Gabriel, F., & Hongler, C. (2018). Neural tangent kernel: convergence and generalization in neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*.
- Jaeger, H. (2002). Tutorial on training recurrent neural networks, covering BPPT, RTRL, EKF and the" echo state network" approach. Vol. 5. GMD-Forschungszentrum Informationstechnik Bonn.
- James, W. (2007). The principles of psychology. Vol. 1. Cosimo, Inc.
- Jia, X., Song, S., He, W., Wang, Y., Rong, H., Zhou, F., ... others. (2018). Highly scalable deep learning training system with mixed-precision: training imagenet in four minutes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.11205*.
- Jia, Y., Shelhamer, E., Donahue, J., Karayev, S., Long, J., Girshick, R., ... Darrell, T. (2014). Caffe: convolutional architecture for fast feature embedding. *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Multimedia* (pp. 675–678).
- Joshi, M., Chen, D., Liu, Y., Weld, D. S., Zettlemoyer, L., & Levy, O. (2020). Spanbert: improving pre-training by representing and predicting spans. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8, 64–77.
- Jouppi, N. P., Young, C., Patil, N., Patterson, D., Agrawal, G., Bajwa, R., ... others. (2017). In-datacenter performance analysis of a tensor processing unit. 2017 ACM/IEEE 44th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture (ISCA) (pp. 1–12).
- Kalchbrenner, N., Grefenstette, E., & Blunsom, P. (2014). A convolutional neural network for modelling sentences. arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.2188.
- Kalman, B. L., & Kwasny, S. C. (1992). Why tanh: choosing a sigmoidal function. [Proceedings 1992] IJCNN International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (pp. 578–581).

- Kaplan, J., McCandlish, S., Henighan, T., Brown, T. B., Chess, B., Child, R., ... Amodei, D. (2020). Scaling laws for neural language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361.
- Karras, T., Aila, T., Laine, S., & Lehtinen, J. (2017). Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10196.
- Kim, J., El-Khamy, M., & Lee, J. (2017). Residual lstm: design of a deep recurrent architecture for distant speech recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.03360.
- Kim, Y. (2014). Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5882.
- Kimeldorf, G. S., & Wahba, G. (1971). Some results on Tchebycheffian spline functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 33, 82-95.
- Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. (2014). Adam: a method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.
- Kingma, D. P., & Welling, M. (2014). Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes. International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).
- Kipf, T. N., & Welling, M. (2016). Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907.
- Koller, D., & Friedman, N. (2009). Probabilistic graphical models: principles and techniques. MIT press.
- Kolmogorov, A. (1933). Sulla determinazione empirica di una lgge di distribuzione. *Inst. Ital. Attuari, Giorn.*, *4*, 83–91.
- Kolter, Z. (2008). Linear algebra review and reference. Available online: http://cs229.stanford.edu/section/cs229-linalg.pdf.
- Koren, Y., Bell, R., & Volinsky, C. (2009). Matrix factorization techniques for recommender systems. *Computer*, pp. 30–37.
- Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 1097– 1105).
- Kung, S. Y. (1988). Vlsi array processors. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1988, 685 p. Research supported by the Semiconductor Research Corp., SDIO, NSF, and US Navy.
- Kuzovkin, I., Vicente, R., Petton, M., Lachaux, J.-P., Baciu, M., Kahane, P., ... Aru, J. (2018). Activations of deep convolutional neural networks are aligned with gamma band activity of human visual cortex. *Communications biology*, 1(1), 1–12.
- Lan, Z., Chen, M., Goodman, S., Gimpel, K., Sharma, P., & Soricut, R. (2019). Albert: a lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11942*.
- Lavin, A., & Gray, S. (2016). Fast algorithms for convolutional neural networks. *Proceedings* of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 4013–4021).
- Le, Q. V. (2013). Building high-level features using large scale unsupervised learning. 2013 *IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing* (pp. 8595–8598).
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & others. (1995). Convolutional networks for images, speech, and time series. *The handbook of brain theory and neural networks*, 3361(10), 1995.
- LeCun, Y., Boser, B., Denker, J. S., Henderson, D., Howard, R. E., Hubbard, W., & Jackel, L. D. (1989). Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. *Neural computation*, 1(4), 541–551.
- LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Orr, G., & Muller, K.-R. (1998). Efficient backprop. *Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade. New York: Springer.*
- LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., Haffner, P., & others. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11), 2278–2324.
- LeCun, Y., Jackel, L., Bottou, L., Brunot, A., Cortes, C., Denker, J., ... others. (1995). Comparison of learning algorithms for handwritten digit recognition. *International conference* on artificial neural networks (pp. 53–60).
- Legendre, A. M. (1805). *Mémoire sur les opérations trigonométriques: dont les résultats dépendent de la figure de la terre*. F. Didot.

- Lewis, M., Liu, Y., Goyal, N., Ghazvininejad, M., Mohamed, A., Levy, O., ... Zettlemoyer, L. (2019). Bart: denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461.
- Lewkowycz, A., Andreassen, A., Dohan, D., Dyer, E., Michalewski, H., Ramasesh, V., ... others. (2022). Solving quantitative reasoning problems with language models. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2206.14858.
- Li, M. (2017). Scaling Distributed Machine Learning with System and Algorithm Co-design (Doctoral dissertation). PhD Thesis, CMU.
- Li, M., Andersen, D. G., Park, J. W., Smola, A. J., Ahmed, A., Josifovski, V., ... Su, B.-Y. (2014). Scaling distributed machine learning with the parameter server. *11th* \$\\$USENIX\$\\$ Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (\$\\$OSDI\$\\$ 14) (pp. 583–598).
- Li, M., Zhang, T., Chen, Y., & Smola, A. J. (2014). Efficient mini-batch training for stochastic optimization. *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 661–670).
- Lin, M., Chen, Q., & Yan, S. (2013). Network in network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.4400.
- Lin, T.-Y., Goyal, P., Girshick, R., He, K., & Dollár, P. (2017). Focal loss for dense object detection. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 2980– 2988).
- Lin, Y., Lv, F., Zhu, S., Yang, M., Cour, T., Yu, K., ... others. (2010). Imagenet classification: fast descriptor coding and large-scale svm training. *Large scale visual recognition challenge*.
- Lin, Z., Feng, M., Santos, C. N. d., Yu, M., Xiang, B., Zhou, B., & Bengio, Y. (2017). A structured self-attentive sentence embedding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.03130.
- Lipton, Z. C., Berkowitz, J., & Elkan, C. (2015). A critical review of recurrent neural networks for sequence learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.00019.
- Lipton, Z. C., Kale, D. C., Elkan, C., & Wetzel, R. (2016). Learning to diagnose with lstm recurrent neural networks. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Lipton, Z. C., & Steinhardt, J. (2018). Troubling trends in machine learning scholarship. *Communications of the ACM (CACM)*.
- Liu, D. C., & Nocedal, J. (1989). On the limited memory bfgs method for large scale optimization. *Mathematical programming*, 45(1), 503–528.
- Liu, H., Simonyan, K., & Yang, Y. (2018). Darts: differentiable architecture search. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.09055.
- Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C.-Y., & Berg, A. C. (2016). Ssd: single shot multibox detector. *European conference on computer vision* (pp. 21–37).
- Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., ... Stoyanov, V. (2019). Roberta: a robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.
- Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., ... Stoyanov, V. (2019). Roberta: a robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.
- Liu, Z., Lin, Y., Cao, Y., Hu, H., Wei, Y., Zhang, Z., ... Guo, B. (2021). Swin transformer: hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 10012–10022).
- Liu, Z., Mao, H., Wu, C.-Y., Feichtenhofer, C., Darrell, T., & Xie, S. (2022). A convnet for the 2020s. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.03545.
- Long, J., Shelhamer, E., & Darrell, T. (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 3431–3440).
- Loshchilov, I., & Hutter, F. (2016). Sgdr: stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.03983*.
- Lowe, D. G. (2004). Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *International journal of computer vision*, 60(2), 91–110.
- Luo, P., Wang, X., Shao, W., & Peng, Z. (2018). Towards understanding regularization in batch normalization. arXiv preprint.
- Maas, A. L., Daly, R. E., Pham, P. T., Huang, D., Ng, A. Y., & Potts, C. (2011). Learning word vectors for sentiment analysis. *Proceedings of the 49th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies-volume 1* (pp. 142–150).
- MacKay, D. J., & Mac Kay, D. J. (2003). *Information theory, inference and learning algorithms*. Cambridge university press.
- Mangasarian, O. L. (1965). Linear and nonlinear separation of patterns by linear programming. Oper. Res., 13, 444-452.
- Mangram, M. E. (2013). A simplified perspective of the markowitz portfolio theory. Global journal of business research, 7(1), 59–70.
- McCann, B., Bradbury, J., Xiong, C., & Socher, R. (2017). Learned in translation: contextualized word vectors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (pp. 6294–6305).
- McCulloch, W. S., & Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, 5(4), 115–133.
- McMahan, H. B., Holt, G., Sculley, D., Young, M., Ebner, D., Grady, J., ... others. (2013). Ad click prediction: a view from the trenches. *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 1222–1230).
- Mead, C. (1980). Introduction to vlsi systems. *IEE Proceedings I-Solid-State and Electron Devices*, 128(1), 18.
- Merity, S., Xiong, C., Bradbury, J., & Socher, R. (2016). Pointer sentinel mixture models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.07843*.
- Micchelli, C. A. (1984). Interpolation of scattered data: distance matrices and conditionally positive definite functions. *Approximation theory and spline functions* (pp. 143–145). Springer.
- Mikolov, T., Chen, K., Corrado, G., & Dean, J. (2013). Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781.
- Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. S., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in neural information* processing systems (pp. 3111–3119).
- Miller, G. A. (1995). Wordnet: a lexical database for english. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11), 39–41.
- Mirhoseini, A., Pham, H., Le, Q. V., Steiner, B., Larsen, R., Zhou, Y., ... Dean, J. (2017). Device placement optimization with reinforcement learning. *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70* (pp. 2430–2439).
- Mnih, V., Heess, N., Graves, A., & others. (2014). Recurrent models of visual attention. Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 2204–2212).
- Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A. A., Veness, J., Bellemare, M. G., ... others. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *nature*, 518(7540), 529–533.
- Moon, T., Smola, A., Chang, Y., & Zheng, Z. (2010). Intervalrank: isotonic regression with listwise and pairwise constraints. *Proceedings of the third ACM international conference on Web search and data mining* (pp. 151–160).
- Morey, R. D., Hoekstra, R., Rouder, J. N., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2016). The fallacy of placing confidence in confidence intervals. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 23(1), 103–123.
- Morozov, V. A. (1984). *Methods for solving incorrectly posed problems*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Nadaraya, E. A. (1964). On estimating regression. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 9(1), 141–142.
- Nair, V., & Hinton, G. E. (2010). Rectified linear units improve restricted boltzmann machines. *Icml*.
- Nakkiran, P., Kaplun, G., Bansal, Y., Yang, T., Barak, B., & Sutskever, I. (2021). Deep double descent: where bigger models and more data hurt. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2021(12), 124003.

- Naor, M., & Reingold, O. (1999). On the construction of pseudorandom permutations: luby—rackoff revisited. *Journal of Cryptology*, 12(1), 29–66.
- Nesterov, Y., & Vial, J.-P. (2000). Confidence level solutions for stochastic programming, Stochastic Programming E-Print Series.
- Nesterov, Y. (2018). Lectures on convex optimization. Vol. 137. Springer.
- Neyman, J. (1937). Outline of a theory of statistical estimation based on the classical theory of probability. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, *Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 236(767), 333–380.
- Novikoff, A. B. J. (1962). On convergence proofs on perceptrons. Proceedings of the Symposium on the Mathematical Theory of Automata (pp. 615–622).
- Olshausen, B. A., & Field, D. J. (1996). Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images. *Nature*, 381(6583), 607–609.
- Ong, C. S., Smola, A., Williamson, R., & others. (2005). Learning the kernel with hyperkernels. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*.
- Papineni, K., Roukos, S., Ward, T., & Zhu, W.-J. (2002). Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association* for Computational Linguistics (pp. 311–318).
- Parikh, A. P., Täckström, O., Das, D., & Uszkoreit, J. (2016). A decomposable attention model for natural language inference. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01933.
- Park, T., Liu, M.-Y., Wang, T.-C., & Zhu, J.-Y. (2019). Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition (pp. 2337–2346).
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., ... others. (2019). Pytorch: an imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, *32*, 8026–8037.
- Paulus, R., Xiong, C., & Socher, R. (2017). A deep reinforced model for abstractive summarization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04304.
- Pennington, J., Schoenholz, S., & Ganguli, S. (2017). Resurrecting the sigmoid in deep learning through dynamical isometry: theory and practice. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 4785–4795).
- Pennington, J., Socher, R., & Manning, C. (2014). Glove: global vectors for word representation. Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP) (pp. 1532–1543).
- Peters, J., Janzing, D., & Schölkopf, B. (2017). *Elements of causal inference: foundations and learning algorithms*. MIT press.
- Peters, M., Ammar, W., Bhagavatula, C., & Power, R. (2017). Semi-supervised sequence tagging with bidirectional language models. *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)* (pp. 1756–1765).
- Peters, M., Neumann, M., Iyyer, M., Gardner, M., Clark, C., Lee, K., & Zettlemoyer, L. (2018). Deep contextualized word representations. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference* of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers) (pp. 2227–2237).
- Petersen, K. B., Pedersen, M. S., & others. (2008). The matrix cookbook. *Technical University of Denmark*, 7(15), 510.
- Pleiss, G., Chen, D., Huang, G., Li, T., Van Der Maaten, L., & Weinberger, K. Q. (2017). Memory-efficient implementation of densenets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06990.
- Polyak, B. T. (1964). Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods. *USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, 4(5), 1–17.
- Popper, K. (2005). The logic of scientific discovery. Routledge.
- Prakash, A., Hasan, S. A., Lee, K., Datla, V., Qadir, A., Liu, J., & Farri, O. (2016). Neural paraphrase generation with stacked residual lstm networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03098*.
- Quadrana, M., Cremonesi, P., & Jannach, D. (2018). Sequence-aware recommender systems. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 51(4), 66.

Quinlan, J. R. (2014). C4. 5: programs for machine learning. Elsevier.

- Radford, A., Kim, J. W., Hallacy, C., Ramesh, A., Goh, G., Agarwal, S., ... others. (2021). Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 8748–8763).
- Radford, A., Metz, L., & Chintala, S. (2015). Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434.
- Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T., & Sutskever, I. (2018). Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. *OpenAI*.
- Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., & Sutskever, I. (2019). Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI Blog*, 1(8), 9.
- Radosavovic, I., Johnson, J., Xie, S., Lo, W.-Y., & Dollár, P. (2019). On network design spaces for visual recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1882–1890).
- Radosavovic, I., Kosaraju, R. P., Girshick, R., He, K., & Dollár, P. (2020). Designing network design spaces. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 10428–10436).
- Rae, J. W., Borgeaud, S., Cai, T., Millican, K., Hoffmann, J., Song, F., ... others. (2021). Scaling language models: methods, analysis & insights from training gopher. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.11446*.
- Raffel, C., Shazeer, N., Roberts, A., Lee, K., Narang, S., Matena, M., ... Liu, P. J. (2020). Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21, 1–67.
- Rajpurkar, P., Zhang, J., Lopyrev, K., & Liang, P. (2016). Squad: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05250.
- Ramachandran, P., Zoph, B., & Le, Q. V. (2017). Searching for activation functions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.05941.
- Ramesh, A., Dhariwal, P., Nichol, A., Chu, C., & Chen, M. (2022). Hierarchical textconditional image generation with clip latents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125.
- Ranzato, Marc'Aurelio, Boureau, Y.-L., Chopra, S., & LeCun, Y. (2007). A unified energybased framework for unsupervised learning. *Artificial Intelligence and Statistics* (pp. 371– 379).
- Reddi, S. J., Kale, S., & Kumar, S. (2019). On the convergence of adam and beyond. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09237.
- Redmon, J., Divvala, S., Girshick, R., & Farhadi, A. (2016). You only look once: unified, realtime object detection. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 779–788).
- Redmon, J., & Farhadi, A. (2018). Yolov3: an incremental improvement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767*.
- Reed, S., & De Freitas, N. (2015). Neural programmer-interpreters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06279*.
- Reed, S., Zolna, K., Parisotto, E., Colmenarejo, S. G., Novikov, A., Barth-Maron, G., ... others. (2022). A generalist agent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06175*.
- Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., & Sun, J. (2015). Faster r-cnn: towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 91–99).
- Rendle, S. (2010). Factorization machines. 2010 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (pp. 995–1000).
- Rendle, S., Freudenthaler, C., Gantner, Z., & Schmidt-Thieme, L. (2009). Bpr: bayesian personalized ranking from implicit feedback. *Proceedings of the twenty-fifth conference* on uncertainty in artificial intelligence (pp. 452–461).
- Revels, J., Lubin, M., & Papamarkou, T. (2016). Forward-mode automatic differentiation in julia. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.07892.
- Riesenhuber, M., & Poggio, T. (1999). Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. *Nature neuroscience*, 2(11), 1019–1025.

- Rockafellar, R. T. (1970). *Convex Analysis*. Vol. 28. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Rolnick, D., Veit, A., Belongie, S., & Shavit, N. (2017). Deep learning is robust to massive label noise. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.10694.

Rudin, W. (1973). Functional Analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., Williams, R. J., & others. (1988). Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Cognitive modeling*, *5*(3), 1.
- Russakovsky, O., Deng, J., Huang, Z., Berg, A. C., & Fei-Fei, L. (2013). Detecting avocados to zucchinis: what have we done, and where are we going? *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*.
- Russakovsky, O., Deng, J., Su, H., Krause, J., Satheesh, S., Ma, S., ... others. (2015). Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. *International journal of computer vision*, *115*(3), 211–252.
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Malaysia; Pearson Education Limited,.
- Saharia, C., Chan, W., Saxena, S., Li, L., Whang, J., Denton, E., ... others. (2022). Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11487*.
- Sanh, V., Debut, L., Chaumond, J., & Wolf, T. (2019). Distilbert, a distilled version of bert: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108.
- Santurkar, S., Tsipras, D., Ilyas, A., & Madry, A. (2018). How does batch normalization help optimization? Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (pp. 2483–2493).
- Sarwar, B. M., Karypis, G., Konstan, J. A., Riedl, J., & others. (2001). Item-based collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms. *Www*, *1*, 285–295.
- Schein, A. I., Popescul, A., Ungar, L. H., & Pennock, D. M. (2002). Methods and metrics for cold-start recommendations. *Proceedings of the 25th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval* (pp. 253–260).
- Scholkopf, B., & Smola, A. J. (2002). Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond. Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning Series. missing journal in schuhmannlaion
- Schuster, M., & Paliwal, K. K. (1997). Bidirectional recurrent neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 45(11), 2673–2681.
- Schölkopf, B., Herbrich, R., & Smola, A. J. (2001). Helmbold, D. P., & Williamson, B. (Eds.). A generalized representer theorem. *Proc. Annual Conf. Computational Learning Theory* (pp. 416–426). London, UK: Springer-Verlag.
- Schölkopf, B., Burges, C., & Vapnik, V. (1996). Incorporating invariances in support vector learning machines. *International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks* (pp. 47–52).
- Schölkopf, B., & Smola, A. J. (2002). Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond. Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning Series.
- Sedhain, S., Menon, A. K., Sanner, S., & Xie, L. (2015). Autorec: autoencoders meet collaborative filtering. *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web* (pp. 111–112).
- Sennrich, R., Haddow, B., & Birch, A. (2015). Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909.
- Sergeev, A., & Del Balso, M. (2018). Horovod: fast and easy distributed deep learning in tensorflow. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05799.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948, 7). A mathematical theory of communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.
- Shao, H., Yao, S., Sun, D., Zhang, A., Liu, S., Liu, D., ... Abdelzaher, T. (2020). Controlvae: controllable variational autoencoder. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Shaw, P., Uszkoreit, J., & Vaswani, A. (2018). Self-attention with relative position representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.02155*.

- Silver, D., Huang, A., Maddison, C. J., Guez, A., Sifre, L., Van Den Driessche, G., ... others. (2016). Mastering the game of go with deep neural networks and tree search. *nature*, 529(7587), 484.
- Simard, P. Y., LeCun, Y. A., Denker, J. S., & Victorri, B. (1998). Transformation invariance in pattern recognition—tangent distance and tangent propagation. *Neural networks: tricks* of the trade (pp. 239–274). Springer.
- Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2014). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.
- Sindhwani, V., Sainath, T. N., & Kumar, S. (2015). Structured transforms for small-footprint deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.01722.
- Sivic, J., & Zisserman, A. (2003). Video google: a text retrieval approach to object matching in videos. *Computer Vision, IEEE International Conference on* (pp. 1470–1470).
- Smith, S., Patwary, M., Norick, B., LeGresley, P., Rajbhandari, S., Casper, J., ... others. (2022). Using deepspeed and megatron to train megatron-turing nlg 530b, a large-scale generative language model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.11990.
- Smola, A., & Narayanamurthy, S. (2010). An architecture for parallel topic models. Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, 3(1-2), 703–710.
- Speelpenning, B. (1980). *Compiling fast partial derivatives of functions given by algorithms* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Srivastava, A., Rastogi, A., Rao, A., Shoeb, A. A. M., Abid, A., Fisch, A., ... others. (2022). Beyond the imitation game: quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04615.
- Srivastava, N., Hinton, G., Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Salakhutdinov, R. (2014). Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(1), 1929–1958.
- Srivastava, R. K., Greff, K., & Schmidhuber, J. (2015). Highway networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.00387.
- Strang, G. (1993). Introduction to linear algebra. Vol. 3. Wellesley-Cambridge Press Wellesley, MA.
- Su, X., & Khoshgoftaar, T. M. (2009). A survey of collaborative filtering techniques. Advances in artificial intelligence, 2009.
- Sukhbaatar, S., Weston, J., Fergus, R., & others. (2015). End-to-end memory networks. Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 2440–2448).
- Sutskever, I., Martens, J., Dahl, G., & Hinton, G. (2013). On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning. *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 1139– 1147).
- Sutskever, I., Vinyals, O., & Le, Q. V. (2014). Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 3104–3112).
- Szegedy, C., Ioffe, S., Vanhoucke, V., & Alemi, A. A. (2017). Inception-v4, inception-resnet and the impact of residual connections on learning. *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., ... Rabinovich, A. (2015). Going deeper with convolutions. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 1–9).
- Szegedy, C., Vanhoucke, V., Ioffe, S., Shlens, J., & Wojna, Z. (2016). Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition (pp. 2818–2826).
- Tallec, C., & Ollivier, Y. (2017). Unbiasing truncated backpropagation through time. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.08209.
- Tan, M., & Le, Q. (2019). Efficientnet: rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 6105–6114).
- Tang, J., & Wang, K. (2018). Personalized top-n sequential recommendation via convolutional sequence embedding. *Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining* (pp. 565–573).

- Taskar, B., Guestrin, C., & Koller, D. (2004). Max-margin markov networks. Advances in neural information processing systems, 16, 25.
- Tay, Y., Dehghani, M., Bahri, D., & Metzler, D. (2020). Efficient transformers: a survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06732*.
- Teye, M., Azizpour, H., & Smith, K. (2018). Bayesian uncertainty estimation for batch normalized deep networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06455.
- Thomee, B., Shamma, D. A., Friedland, G., Elizalde, B., Ni, K., Poland, D., ... Li, L.-J. (2016). Yfcc100m: the new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2), 64–73.
- Tieleman, T., & Hinton, G. (2012). Lecture 6.5-rmsprop: divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude. *COURSERA: Neural networks for machine learning*, 4(2), 26–31.
- Tikhonov, A. N., & Arsenin, V. Y. (1977). Solutions of ill-posed problems. W.H. Winston.
- Tolstikhin, I. O., Houlsby, N., Kolesnikov, A., Beyer, L., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., ... others. (2021). Mlp-mixer: an all-mlp architecture for vision. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *34*.
- Torralba, A., Fergus, R., & Freeman, W. T. (2008). 80 million tiny images: a large data set for nonparametric object and scene recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 30(11), 1958–1970.
- Touvron, H., Cord, M., Douze, M., Massa, F., Sablayrolles, A., & Jégou, H. (2021). Training data-efficient image transformers & distillation through attention. *International Conference* on Machine Learning (pp. 10347–10357).
- Tsoumakas, G., & Katakis, I. (2007). Multi-label classification: an overview. *International Journal of Data Warehousing and Mining (IJDWM)*, *3*(3), 1–13.
- Turing, A. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. Mind, 59(236), 433.
- Töscher, A., Jahrer, M., & Bell, R. M. (2009). The bigchaos solution to the netflix grand prize. *Netflix prize documentation*, pp. 1–52.
- Uijlings, J. R., Van De Sande, K. E., Gevers, T., & Smeulders, A. W. (2013). Selective search for object recognition. *International journal of computer vision*, 104(2), 154–171.
- Van Loan, C. F., & Golub, G. H. (1983). *Matrix computations*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Vapnik, V. (1995). The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory. New York: Springer.
- Vapnik, V. (1998). Statistical Learning Theory. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Vapnik, V., & Chervonenkis, A. (1964). A note on one class of perceptrons. Automation and Remote Control, 25.
- Vapnik, V., & Chervonenkis, A. (1968). Uniform convergence of frequencies of occurence of events to their probabilities. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 181, 915-918.
- Vapnik, V., & Chervonenkis, A. (1971). On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 16(2), 264-281.
- Vapnik, V., & Chervonenkis, A. (1981). The necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of averages to their expected values. *Teoriya Veroyatnostei i Ee Primeneniya*, 26(3), 543-564.
- Vapnik, V., & Chervonenkis, A. (1991). The necessary and sufficient conditions for consistency in the empirical risk minimization method. *Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis*, 1(3), 283-305.
- Vapnik, V. N., & Chervonenkis, A. Y. (1974). Ordered risk minimization. Automation and Remote Control, 35, 1226–1235, 1403–1412.
- Vapnik, V. (1992). Principles of risk minimization for learning theory. Advances in neural information processing systems (pp. 831–838).
- Vapnik, V., Levin, E., & Le Cun, Y. (1994). Measuring the vc-dimension of a learning machine. *Neural computation*, 6(5), 851–876.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., ... Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 5998–6008).

Wahba, G. (1990). Spline models for observational data. SIAM.

- Waibel, A., Hanazawa, T., Hinton, G., Shikano, K., & Lang, K. J. (1989). Phoneme recognition using time-delay neural networks. *IEEE transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal* processing, 37(3), 328–339.
- Wang, L., Li, M., Liberty, E., & Smola, A. J. (2018). Optimal message scheduling for aggregation. *NETWORKS*, 2(3), 2–3.
- Wang, Y., Davidson, A., Pan, Y., Wu, Y., Riffel, A., & Owens, J. D. (2016). Gunrock: a high-performance graph processing library on the gpu. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices* (p. 11).
- Warstadt, A., Singh, A., & Bowman, S. R. (2019). Neural network acceptability judgments. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 7, 625–641.
- Wasserman, L. (2013). All of statistics: a concise course in statistical inference. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Watkins, C. J., & Dayan, P. (1992). Q-learning. Machine learning, 8(3-4), 279-292.
- Watson, G. S. (1964). Smooth regression analysis. Sankhyā: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A, pp. 359–372.
- Welling, M., & Teh, Y. W. (2011). Bayesian learning via stochastic gradient langevin dynamics. *Proceedings of the 28th international conference on machine learning (ICML-11)* (pp. 681–688).
- Wengert, R. E. (1964). A simple automatic derivative evaluation program. *Communications of the ACM*, 7(8), 463–464.
- Werbos, P. J. (1990). Backpropagation through time: what it does and how to do it. Proceedings of the IEEE, 78(10), 1550–1560.
- Wigner, E. P. (1958). On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices. Ann. Math (pp. 325–327).
- Wood, F., Gasthaus, J., Archambeau, C., James, L., & Teh, Y. W. (2011). The sequence memoizer. *Communications of the ACM*, 54(2), 91–98.
- Wu, B., Wan, A., Yue, X., Jin, P., Zhao, S., Golmant, N., ... Keutzer, K. (2018). Shift: a zero flop, zero parameter alternative to spatial convolutions. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference* on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 9127–9135).
- Wu, Y., Schuster, M., Chen, Z., Le, Q. V., Norouzi, M., Macherey, W., ... others. (2016). Google's neural machine translation system: bridging the gap between human and machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144.
- Xiao, H., Rasul, K., & Vollgraf, R. (2017). Fashion-mnist: a novel image dataset for benchmarking machine learning algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07747.
- Xiao, L., Bahri, Y., Sohl-Dickstein, J., Schoenholz, S., & Pennington, J. (2018). Dynamical isometry and a mean field theory of cnns: how to train 10,000-layer vanilla convolutional neural networks. *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 5393–5402).
- Xie, S., Girshick, R., Dollár, P., Tu, Z., & He, K. (2017). Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp. 1492–1500).
- Xiong, W., Wu, L., Alleva, F., Droppo, J., Huang, X., & Stolcke, A. (2018). The microsoft 2017 conversational speech recognition system. 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP) (pp. 5934–5938).
- y Cajal, S. R., & Azoulay, L. (1894). Les nouvelles idées sur la structure du système nerveux chez l'homme et chez les vertébrés. C. Reinwald.
- Yamaguchi, K., Sakamoto, K., Akabane, T., & Fujimoto, Y. (1990). A neural network for speaker-independent isolated word recognition. *First International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*.
- Yang, Z., Moczulski, M., Denil, M., De Freitas, N., Smola, A., Song, L., & Wang, Z. (2015). Deep fried convnets. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision* (pp. 1476–1483).
- Ye, M., Yin, P., Lee, W.-C., & Lee, D.-L. (2011). Exploiting geographical influence for collaborative point-of-interest recommendation. *Proceedings of the 34th international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in Information Retrieval* (pp. 325–334).

- You, Y., Gitman, I., & Ginsburg, B. (2017). Large batch training of convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.03888.
- Yu, J., Xu, Y., Koh, J. Y., Luong, T., Baid, G., Wang, Z., ... Wu, Y. (2022). Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789*.
- Zaheer, M., Reddi, S., Sachan, D., Kale, S., & Kumar, S. (2018). Adaptive methods for nonconvex optimization. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (pp. 9793– 9803).
- Zeiler, M. D. (2012). Adadelta: an adaptive learning rate method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.5701*.
- Zeiler, M. D., & Fergus, R. (2013). Stochastic pooling for regularization of deep convolutional neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3557.
- Zhang, A., Tay, Y., Zhang, S., Chan, A., Luu, A. T., Hui, S. C., & Fu, J. (2021). Beyond fully-connected layers with quaternions: parameterization of hypercomplex multiplications with 1/n parameters. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Zhang, C., Bengio, S., Hardt, M., Recht, B., & Vinyals, O. (2021). Understanding deep learning (still) requires rethinking generalization. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(3), 107–115.
- Zhang, S., Yao, L., Sun, A., & Tay, Y. (2019). Deep learning based recommender system: a survey and new perspectives. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 52(1), 5.
- Zhang, S., Roller, S., Goyal, N., Artetxe, M., Chen, M., Chen, S., ... others. (2022). Opt: open pre-trained transformer language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068*.
- Zhang, W., & others. (1988). Shift-invariant pattern recognition neural network and its optical architecture. *Proceedings of annual conference of the Japan Society of Applied Physics*.
- Zhang, Y., Sun, P., Jiang, Y., Yu, D., Yuan, Z., Luo, P., ... Wang, X. (2021). Bytetrack: multi-object tracking by associating every detection box. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.06864*.
- Zhao, Z.-Q., Zheng, P., Xu, S.-t., & Wu, X. (2019). Object detection with deep learning: a review. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, *30*(11), 3212–3232.
- Zhu, J.-Y., Park, T., Isola, P., & Efros, A. A. (2017). Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 2223–2232).
- Zhu, Y., Kiros, R., Zemel, R., Salakhutdinov, R., Urtasun, R., Torralba, A., & Fidler, S. (2015). Aligning books and movies: towards story-like visual explanations by watching movies and reading books. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision* (pp. 19–27).
- Zoph, B., & Le, Q. V. (2016). Neural architecture search with reinforcement learning. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1611.01578.

Index

Α	L
accuracy() (d2l.mxnet.Classifier method), 890)layer_summary()(d2l.mxnet.Classifier method),
add_to_class() (in module d21.mxnet), 892 890	
С	LinearRegression (class in d2l.mxnet), 890
Classifier (class in d21 minut) 800	LinearRegressionScratch (<i>class in d2l.mxnet</i>),
clip gradients()(d21 minet Trainer method) eac()(d21 minet Classifier method) 800	
891	loss() (d21 mxnet LinearRegression method)
<pre>configure_optimizers()(d2l.mxnet.Linear</pre>	Regression
method), 890	loss() (d2l.mxnet.LinearRegressionScratch method),
<pre>configure_optimizers()(d2l.mxnet.Linear</pre>	Regres8001Scratch
method), 889	loss() (d2l.mxnet.Module method), 889
configure_optimizers()(d2l.mxnet.Modul	^e M
method), 889	Module (<i>class in d2l.mxnet</i>), 889
cpu() (<i>in moaule u2i.mxnei</i>), 892	Ν
D	<pre>num_gpus() (in module d2l.mxnet), 892</pre>
DataModule(class in d2l.mxnet), 890	P
draw() (d2l.mxnet.ProgressBoard method), 89	2 ⁻ parameters() (<i>d?l mxnet Module method</i>)
F	889
FashionMNIST (class in d2l.mxnet), 891	<pre>plot()(d2l.mxnet.Module method), 889</pre>
<pre>fit()(d2l.mxnet.Trainer method), 891</pre>	<pre>prepare_batch() (d2l.mxnet.Trainer method),</pre>
fit_epoch()(<i>d2l.mxnet.Trainer method</i>),891 891	
forward() (<i>d2l.mxnet.LinearRegression metho</i> 890	ap;repare_data()(<i>d2l.mxnet.Trainer method</i>), 891
<pre>forward() (d2l.mxnet.LinearRegressionScrate method), 889</pre>	hprepare_model() (<i>d2l.mxnet.Trainer method</i>), 891
forward() (d2l.mxnet.Module method), 889	ProgressBoard (class in d2l.mxnet), 892
G	S
<pre>get_dataloader()(d2l.mxnet.DataModule</pre>	<pre>save_hyperparameters() (d2l.mxnet.HyperParameters method), 892</pre>
memou), 690	set_scratch_params_device()(<i>d2l.mxnet.Module</i>
method), 891	method), 889
<pre>get_dataloader()(d2l.mxnet.SyntheticRegre</pre>	SGD (class in d21.mxnet), 891 ssionData d21.mxnet SCD method) 801
method), 891	SyntheticRegressionData(<i>classin d2l mynet</i>)
<pre>get_scratch_params() (d2l.mxnet.Module</pre>	890
<pre>get_tensorloader()(d2l.mxnet.DataModule</pre>	e l
method), 890	<pre>text_labels() (d2l.mxnet.FashionMNIST method),</pre>
get_w_b() (d2l.mxnet.LinearRegression metho	d), 891 train dataloader() (d21 mynet DetaModula
	method). 890
gpu() (<i>in moaule a2i.mxnet</i>), 892	Trainer (<i>class in d2l.mxnet</i>), 891
Н	<pre>training_step() (d2l.mxnet.Module method),</pre>
HyperParameters (class in d2l.mxnet), 892	889

۷

- val_dataloader() (d2l.mxnet.DataModule method), 890 validation_step() (d2l.mxnet.Classifier method), 890 validation_step() (d2l.mxnet.Module method), 889 visualize() (d2l.mxnet.FashionMNIST method),
 - 891