# **Key Exchange**

## Outline

- Trusted Third Parties
- Merkle Puzzles
- The Diffie-Hellman Protocol

# **Trusted Third Parties**

### Key Management

#### Problem: n users. Storing mutual secret keys is difficult



O(n<sup>2</sup>) keys in total

#### A Better Solution

#### Online Trusted Third Party (TTP)



Every user only remembers **ONE key** 

### Generating keys: A toy protocol

Alice wants a shared key with Bob. Eavesdropping security only.



### Generating keys: A toy protocol

Alice wants a shared key with Bob. Eavesdropping security only.

Eavesdropper sees:  $E(k_A, "A, B" \parallel k_{AB})$ ;  $E(k_B, "A, B" \parallel k_{AB})$ 

(E,D) is CPA-secure  $\Rightarrow$  eavesdropper learns nothing about  $k_{AB}$ 

Note: **TTP needed for every key exchange, knows all session keys (k<sub>AB</sub>).** 

(basis of Kerberos system)

# **Key Question**

Can we generate shared keys **without** an **online TTP**?

Answer: **yes!** 

Starting point of **public-key cryptography**:

- Merkle (1974),
- Diffie-Hellman (1976),
- RSA (1977)
- ...

# Merkle Puzzles

# Key exchange without an online TTP?

- Goal: Alice and Bob want a shared key, unknown to eavesdropper
- Security against eavesdropping only (no tampering, no message injection)



• Can this be done using generic symmetric crypto?

### Merkle Puzzles (1974)

Answer: yes, but very inefficient

#### Main tool: "puzzles"

- Puzzles: Problems that can be solved with "some effort"
- Example:
  - E(k,m) a symmetric cipher with  $k \in \{0,1\}^{128}$
  - puzzle = E(P, "message") where  $P = 0^{96} II b_1 ... b_{32}$
  - To "solve" a puzzle, find **P** by trying all **2**<sup>32</sup> possibilities

### Merkle Puzzles

<u>Alice</u>:

- Prepare 2<sup>32</sup> puzzles:
  - For i = 1, ...,  $2^{32}$  choose random  $P_i \in \{0,1\}^{32}$  and random  $x_i, k_i \in \{0,1\}^{128}$   $x_i \neq x_j$ Set  $puzzle_i \leftarrow E(0^{96} || P_i, "Puzzle #" || x_i || k_i)$
  - Send **puzzle<sub>1</sub>**, ..., **puzzle<sub>2^32</sub>** to Bob.

<u>Bob</u>:

- Choose a random puzzle<sub>j</sub> and solve it by brute-force.
- Obtain (x<sub>i</sub>, k<sub>i</sub>) and use k<sub>i</sub> as shared secret.
- Send **x**<sub>j</sub> to Alice.

#### <u>Alice</u>:

- Lookup puzzle with number **x**<sub>i</sub>.
- Use k<sub>i</sub> as shared secret.

# In a figure



Alice's work:  $O(2^{32})$  (prepare  $2^{32}$  puzzles)in general O(n)Bob's work:  $O(2^{32})$  (solve one puzzle)in general O(n)Eavesdropper's work:  $O(2^{64})$  (solve  $2^{32}$  puzzles)in general  $O(n^2)$ The eavesdropper didn't know which puzzle has been chosen by Bob

### Impossibility Result

Can we achieve a better gap using a general symmetric cipher? Answer: **unknown** 

## Key exchange without an online TTP?

- Goal: Alice and Bob want a shared key, unknown to eavesdropper
- Security against eavesdropping only (no tampering)



• Can this be done with an **exponential gap**?

#### High-level idea:

- Alice and Bob do NOT share any secret information beforehand
- Alice and Bob exchange messages
- After that, Alice and Bob have agreed on a shared secret key k
- k unknown to eavesdropper



(Security) Based on the **Discrete Logarithm** Problem: **Given** 

g p g<sup>k</sup> mod p Find k

Fix a large prime **p** (e.g., 600 digits) Fix an integer **g** in {2, ..., p-2}

#### <u>Alice</u>

Choose random <b>a</b> in {1,,p-2}	g <sup>a</sup> mod p	Choo	se random <b>b</b> in {1,,p	<b>)-2</b> }
	g <sup>b</sup> mod p			
Alice computes (g <sup>b</sup> ) <sup>a</sup> mod p =	g <sup>ab</sup> mod p	=	Bob computes (g <sup>a</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> mod p	
Alice and Bob n	ow share SECRE	Т КЕҮ	g <sup>ab</sup> mod p	

Roh

### Security

Eavesdropper sees: **p**, **g**, **g**<sup>a</sup> **mod p**, and **g**<sup>b</sup> **mod p** Can she compute **g**<sup>ab</sup> **mod p** ??

How hard is the DH function mod p?

Suppose prime **p** is **n** bits long. Best known algorithm (*General number field sieve: GNFS*): run time is exponential in **n**:  $\exp(\tilde{O}(\sqrt[3]{n}))$ 

### Insecure against Man-in-The-Middle (MiTM)

• As described, the protocol is insecure against **active** attacks



• Attacker relays traffic from Alice to Bob and reads it in clear